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## PREFACE.

The present work was undertaken simply as a revision of that published by its Editor, with brief Latin notes, at intervals between 1845 and 1853. But it has, for several reasons, proved to be something more than a mere revision. A more complete commentary was required, in which explanation of the text should form the chief feature; and it was found impossible to accomplish this, especially in English, without greatly enlarging the limits, as well as materially altering the style of annotation. Again, much had been done by other scholars, and something had been gained by the Editor himself both in the way of experience and in accumulated corrections and illustrations of his author, on which he had never ceased to devote pains and attention since the publication of the former work. First to be mentioned among the more recent aids is the posthumous edition of Hermann's Aeschylus, containing by far the fullest and most authentic critical materials that have ever been collected. That continual reference has been made to Hermann in the present volume is nothing more than is due to so great an investigator and restorer of Grecian literature. Whatever opinions may be entertained on the degree of prudence and caution exhibited in that long-expected work, it is impossible to deny to it the credit of great sagacity and ingenuity in the treatment of the most perplexing passages: Under these circumstances, the notes have
now been wholly re-written, and the text re-considered line by line and word for word, in order that, as far as pains and good intention could effect it, the Bibliotheca Classica might retain, in yet another volume, its well-earned character for practical utility and careful editorial supervision.

Few scholars will be disposed to deny that to produce a complete edition of Aeschylus in one volume of moderate size, with a sufficient but not overloaded commentary, is a peculiarly difficult task. In writings both obscure in style and corrupt or doubtful in many parts of the text, the demands of the young student for continual explanations, and of the maturer scholar for reasons why certain readings are to be preferred to others, form together a claim that something should be said, which it may not be easy to say at once briefly and well, on nearly every verse. Now if an editor's notes are not kept closely to the point,-if they are suffered to run into topics which, though not unimportant, are not directly pertinent,-they are apt to be set aside as verbose and prolix. However learned, or thoughtful, or argumentative they may be, they are barely honoured with a hasty glance from the majority of readers, on the idle plea that they are at least as difficult as, and infinitely more dull than, the author they were designed to illustrate. If, on the other hand, short and sketchy notes be attempted, they are disparaged, and not unjustly so, as being inadequate to the full elucidation of the text. They have, besides, in the case of really difficult works, the disadvantage of encouraging a cursory and superficial sort of reading, in the process of which a student is apt to overlook nearly as much of the author's meaning as he comprehends. If, again, notes are solely engaged in the discussion of various readings, like Hermann's book, these are, for ordinary students, practically useless. What they want is to get at the full and exact meaning of the text, which they have seldom the patience, and still more seldom the ability, to investigate for themselves. Something then was required between the occasional observations in Prof. Scholefield's edition, and the diffuse and voluminous commentaries which Dr. Peile has appended to his Agamemnon
and Choephoroe. And that desideratum has been held in view, and an attempt made to supply it, in this volume.

Besides the want of a good running commentary, in the way of foot-notes, compiled uniformly for all the plays of Aeschylus, one canse of the distaste which many feel towards the careful study of this great poet is the exaggerated notion which they entertain of the uncertainty of the text. Unfortunately, Aes. chylus has more often been made a field for critical ingenuity than for the exercise of sober judgment and sound poetical taste. This is evidenced in the thousands of improbable conjectures which have been hazarded by critics of the so-called Porsonian school, who, mistaking mere shrewdness for scholarship, and ambitious only to surpass their predecessors in sagacity, have so handled the more obscure parts as scarcely to leave a line unquestioned or a phrase unassailed. Even where they have not ventured to alter, they have indulged in needless suspicions, and thus have tended to throw discredit upon the entire works on which they thought to shed a new light. Now, although a very large number of conjectural corrections must of necessity find a place in every good edition of the poet, and indeed are now adopted by almost universal consent, as possessing either sêlf-evident truth or a degree of probability closely approximating to absolute certainty, these bear no proportion to the attempts that have been made upon passages which may, with at least equal probability, be pronounced perfectly genuine, and may often be proved so by parallel examples from the author himself. On the other hand, there are those who cause scarcely less dissatisfaction to a reader of taste, by rejecting all, or nearly all, conjectural correction, and by as greatly overrating the authority of our present imperfect MSS. as the others depreciated it. They seem to think no idiom too complex, no figure of speech too harsh, no violation of the ordinary grammatical rules too gross, no metrical deviations too violent to be accepted as from the pen of Aeschylus himself. They construe through thick and thin, and convert nonsense into sense with a facility absolutely startling to sober scholars. With such a Scylla and such a Charybdis to avoid,
an editor has a perilous task to steer his bark according to the golden rule, medio tutissimus ibis.

But every editor who labours with a conscientious regard for modern scholastic requirements, has a reasonable claim to indulgence in proportion to the difficulty of his work. Haste and carelessness are unpardonable; want of judgment may be leniently dealt with; want of accuracy argues incompetency and therefore presumption. As it is no vain boast on the part of the present Editor to say that this volume contains the cherished results of twenty years' particular and critical study of Aeschylus, so it is no affectation to state, that he only now fully knows the difficulties which beset the right understanding of this author. It is, indeed, almost painful to reflect how many really great intellects have been for the last half-century devoted to a task in which, after all, comparatively few persons are interested, and the extent and perplexities of which still fewer can rightly appreciate. Considerable has been their success, but yet very far from complete. The very fact of their differing so widely, where one only can be right and all may be wrong, seems to throw a doubt on the utility of such labours; and yet it is a doubt which ardent lovers of literature will scarcely allow themselves to entertain. Suffice it to say, that the conflicting opinions of really learned men, while they raise a smile of contempt in the unlearned, and are used by them as an argument against the study of ancient literature, cannot fail to furnish materials for earnest thought to succeeding editors, who feel that each opinion is entitled to deferential consideration, while both time and space are too often wanting to do this fully. In truth the notes, critical and explanatory, which have been already published on Aeschylus, form so large a mass of literary matter, that it has become a very formidable task to consult, and a positive impossibility to discuss at length, the views of each writer on disputed passages. It is not easy to be well acquainted with even the more recent editions, as those of Blomfield, Wellauer, Scholefield, Franz, Müller, Dindorf, Hermann, Haupt, Klausen, Peile, Conington, Linwood, Burges, Griffiths, Bamberger, Minckwitz,
\&c., not to mention at least as many more ${ }^{1}$ who preceded them in the same literary field. And yet we must every now and then appeal to these. All have done something for their author, and that something deserves to be specially and honourably commemorated. It is a just law among the community of scholars that credit should ever be rendered to whom credit is due. Besides, it is really vain to expect a blind acquiescence, on the part of an intelligent and inquiring student, in the solitary judgment of each latest editor. No scholar will accept unquestioned the text of any one edition, as finally settled with that degree of precision beyond which criticism cannot hope to go. Every editor must give a sort of history of his text; and that history will be a very long, and hardly a very interesting one, unless he confines himself to a brief notice of the more important MS. variations and the most plausible conjectural emendations.

Thus much has been said,-it is feared somewhat at length,by way of apology for what many will think a useless, but what really is a necessary and inevitable part of an editor's duty, viz. the continual discussion of various readings,-a duty which happens to fall with unusual severity on the editor of Aeschylus. It is indeed the fashion of the present day, which is impatient of slow processes and tediously minute learning, to depreciate, in a wholesale way, the critical study of the classical writers, on the ground that the matter rather than the words ought to be our chief concern, and that too much care about the latter has a tendency to divert our attention from the former. Now, as words are but the vehicles of matter, so to speak, this objection obviously strikes at the root of all really accurate learning. The science of classical criticism requires no defence; what it has already effected in restoring and settling the texts of the classical authors entitles it to be spoken of with the highest respect. There is, perhaps, at this time, a not unnatural nor unhealthy reaction from the dry verbal scholarship which was exclusively in vogue during the last generation, and was undoubtedly

[^0]esteemed far beyond its merits. Still we must remember that nothing less is involved in the principles of sound criticism than the laws of grammar and metre, nay, of language itself, in all its nicer shades and more refined and subtle modes of expression. Many are tempted to smile at the pains which a naturalist takes to determine the species of a fossil, or to define the distinctive characteristics of a new plant or insect, in itself quite insignificant to behold. But here the answer is the same; all these are methods and helps, individually small, but great in their ends, and therefore not undeserving of pains, towards the perfecting certain branches of human knowledge. And whether the object be the understanding of Nature's laws, or the penetrating the inmost depths of the human intellect, either of these is certainly worthy of our best attention. There is nothing which may not become ridiculous when carried beyond due bounds; and if classical criticism be liable to extravagances, it has this fault in common with nearly every branch of human learning. Those are wiser who, instead of disparaging it, try to correct its aberrations and to chasten its tendencies to excess by bringing taste and learning and a sound knowledge of principles to bear on the practice of it.

The settlement of the text of Aeschylus, as far as it has yet gone, has been a gradual process of restoration and recovery, founded not merely on a series of happy guesses, but on a constantly increasing knowledge of general laws, and on brilliant archaeological investigations and discoveries. What has been corrected with certainty has in its turn suggested the true readings in other passages; and thus at the present time the really corrupt verses do not probably amount to a hundred out of some eight thousand in all. There are, however, a great number of passages where there is no doubt at all about the reading, but much uncertainty as to the author's meaning. And this leads us to speak on another point, the difficully of Aeschylus as a poet.

First then, he is difficult because he is profound, or in other words, because he treats of matters beyond the reach of man's ordinary knowledge and perceptions. There is more of esoteric
theology in him than in any other Greek poet, not excepting Pindar or Hesiod. He is fond of dwelling on the principles of divine action in relation to man, but he rarely expresses his sentiments on these subjects in plain and ordinary language, but employs terms mystical, figurative, and sometimes grammatically obscure. He writes with the reverent reserve of a religious man. He has a system before him, uniform, connected, and consistent; but he gives us mere glimpses of it here and there, which, without the additional light of other passages, would hardly guide us through the intricacies of the subject. His mind was pervaded by a gloomy awe of invisible and supernatural agencies for evil, especially those of Earth and the demon powers of Hades. Hence there is a continual reference to the ideas of expiation, propitiation, and averting of possible ills. Pythagoras, one of the most deepminded speculators of the ancient world, speaks in every page of Aeschylus, and in language so remarkable for metaphor and imagery that we justly feel that we ought to know more than unfortunately we do about the master, before we can comprehend the full scope and meaning of the disciple.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta a u \lambda o l \text { үà } \rho \pi \rho a \pi i \delta \omega^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

That part of the opening chorus of the Suppliants, where these words occur ( $73-102$ ), is a fair specimen of the school of mystical divinity in which the mind of Aeschylus was trained. Though here and there perhaps doubts occur as to the right reading of words, we cannot help feeling that the views of the author as to the attributes of the Divine Mind are the real difficulties which we have to encounter, and which lie beyond the province of the mere critic or grammarian. The same is true, in a greater or less degree, of nearly every choral ode in the Orestea. We can see their drift, so to speak, and can explain pretty well their general connexion; still we are under the constant impression that there was something in the mind of the poet which we imperfectly comprehend. To bring these remarks home to the reader, we would request him to reflect on such
sentences as the following, and say if, without note or comment or parallel passages, he can satisfy himself of their full and exact sense. Those who have studied Aeschylus the longest will be the least inclined to dogmatic assertions on the subject.

Agam. 172,





Ibid. 365,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{a} \tau 0 \lambda \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \omega \mathbf{s}^{\text {² }} \mathrm{A} \rho \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

$\phi \lambda \epsilon \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ठ $\omega \mu$ áт $\omega \nu$ únє́ $\rho \phi \in \nu$
$\epsilon \mathcal{Z} \pi \rho \alpha \pi$ i $\delta \omega \nu \lambda \alpha \chi \delta \nu \tau \alpha$.

Choeph. 628,
$\tau \delta \pi \tilde{a} \nu \Delta ı \partial_{s}$
бє́ßas тарєєßávтos oủ $\theta \in \mu เ \sigma \tau \hat{s} s$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { тiveı } \mu \text { и́бos }
\end{aligned}
$$

In such passages as these,-and they are very numerous,--there is, literally, scarcely a word that does not involve a doctrine, a metaphor, or a meaning that lies below the surface. Take a few points from the last: How is a sword said oủrầ dià $\Delta i \kappa \eta$ s?
 $\theta \in \mu \iota \sigma \tau \omega ิ \varsigma \pi a \rho a \beta a \iota \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ? How is a man said mapaßaivelv $\Delta l o ̀ s$ $\sigma \varepsilon \in \beta a s$ ? What is meant by $\pi u \theta \mu \eta \eta_{\nu} \Delta i k \eta s$ ? Why is the sword said $\pi \rho \circ \chi a \lambda \kappa \epsilon \cup \in \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ? What doctrine is involved in $\tau^{\prime} \kappa \nu о \nu$ è $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ ? In what way does the Fury éктìveı $\mu v ́ \sigma o s$ aiцáт $\omega \nu$ ? In what sense is she $\beta v \sigma \sigma o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ and $\chi \rho o ́ \nu \propto$ $\kappa \lambda \nu \tau a ́$ ?

Such questions are well calculated to arrest the attention of hasty and careless readers of Aeschylus. But much more remains for consideration.

In the next place, Aeschylus is difficult because his mind was given to brood over subjects in their nature obscure, and the point and interest of which centres in the very fact of their being obscure. Dreams, prophecies, oracles, bodings, omens, and portents, were the favourite food of his fancy. In a word, the supernatural was his delight. We have ghosts and demons, Furies and gory spectres, prophetic ravings and dark presenti-ments,--all grand and awful and terrific both in the language in which they are clothed and the conceptions which they embody. And he treats these subjects with the earnestness of a poet who had a firm belief in their reality, and in their playing an important part in human affairs. The relations between the seen and the unseen, the modes by which departed spirits communicate and are made to sympathize with those on earth, or on the contrary, shew their resentment beyond the grave; the mysterious connexion between sin and woe, crime and retribution, impiety and misfortune; the fixed laws of Fate, Necessity, and eternal Justice; - such are the themes which Aeschylus loved, and which certainly are not conducive, when deeply reasoned out by a naturally mystic mind, to the formation of a lucid style.

Thirdly, he is difficult from the almost Oriental figurativeness of his expressions, and from the constant use of metaphors and similes, and in particular, from a habit of confusing these two distinct forms of speech, which greatly involves and perplexes the meaning. Add to this a certain irony consisting in equivocal senses and double meanings, especially in dialogues, and an allusive or indirect way of speaking which is extremely liable to be misunderstood. To say that his words are often susceptible of more than one interpretation, is perhaps to state a fault which the Greek language, with all its clearness, is by no means exempt from. But whereas in other writers the context is usually quite decisive of the true sense, in Aeschylus this too
often fails us as a guide, from the general obscurity of his meaning.

Fourthly, he is difficult from a grammatical carelessness or incoherency resulting from hasty composition, or rather from the impetus of genius, which, full of its own thoughts that crowd each other in rapid succession, leaves much to be understood, and causes an abruptness and suddenness of transition which some have attempted to explain by the supposition of lost verses,-a theory which Hermann has carried to an extent much beyond probability. Nominatives standing alone without their verbs, clauses cut short by aposiopesis, the frequent use of particles which have a force depending entirely on something to be mentally supplied, and of anomalous constructions and unusual meanings of words, are also frequent causes of perplexity. The extreme metrical accuracy which he uniformly adopts in the choral odes must also have greatly restricted him in the choice of words, and this in passages which the utmost freedom in diction would hardly have rendered very clear.

Lastly, a certain inflated, grandiloquent, and strained loftiness of language, sometimes not far removed from sheer bombast, is a cause, if not of positive difficulty, at least of a continual mental effort in the perusal of his writings. He is, so to speak, always upon stilts, and reluctant to descend to the ordinary standard of poetical expression. Tranquillity and repose are thus too seldom allowed; he was great in ${ }^{\prime \prime} \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \xi \iota \varsigma$, but sacrificed every thing to it. Aristophanes with good reason called him $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \phi a \xi$, $\kappa о \mu \pi о ф а к є \lambda о \rho \rho \eta ́ \mu \omega \nu, ~ a u ̛ \theta a \delta o ́ \sigma т о \mu о \varsigma, ~ a n d ~ \xi \nu \mu \beta a \lambda e i ̂ \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ \rho ́ a ́ d o ́ \delta s . ~$ His invention was constantly occupied with strange forms and unnatural portents. His fondness for horrors amounted almost to a morbid appetite for blood. The conception of the spectral children in the Agamemnon, carrying their own gnawed hearts in their hands, the frightful details; in the same play, of the king's murder by his wife, the blood-dripping and blood-sucking Erinyes, the mangled liver of Prometheus, and his agonizing tortures; not to add the list of atrocities enumerated in Eum. $177 \& c$., fully bear out this estimate of his idiosyncrasy.

It may seem almost a contradiction to add, that the general style of Aeschylus has a straightforwardness and a simplicity rather epic than dramatic in its character. The truth however is, that his narratives are too impetuous to be artistically involved; and hence his idioms, on the whole, present a marked contrast with the complex and rhetorical constructions of Sophocles. Especially to be noticed is the natural order and arrangement of his words. The chief impediments arise from uncertainty of the readings, or archaic phraseology, or from some point of political or religious usage only partially known to us. The latter indeed,--the religious system held and inculcated by the poet,--is of such paramount importance to the right understanding of his works, that an outline of it,-necessarily a very brief one,-may here be usefully subjoined.
In several respects, and not the least so in this, Aeschylus may be regarded as a poet of the heroic ages. His mind was deeply imbued with the old Element-worship of the PelasgoArgive people. Earth is to him a real divinity, closely connected with the infernal powers, and therefore requiring propitiation both as the guardian of the dead, whom she holds in reserve as potent agents for good or evil, and as the sender of hostile monsters, diseases, and barrenness, in wrath for pollutions contracted from the human race. The nurturer of youth, the mother of all produce, which she benignly teems forth to be received back again into her lap, she holds the foremost place among the powers which directly sustain human life, and as such she is always invoked first by new-comers to a country. As the giver of vitality, she is able to impart even to the spirits of the dead a certain power, without which they would be totally helpless, and unable to hold any communication with their friends on earth. She is, in a word, the medium by which such connexion is sustained. The sun and the moon, and perhaps the other stars, are "the bright powers that bring summer and winter to mortals;" the light of the sun is the source of joy and hope and prosperity; and hence his identity with Apollo, though rather obscurely hinted at in the extant works of Aeschylus, is
not to be doubted, and indeed is clear from a single passage, rightly understood (Choeph. 974). Apollo, Hermes, Pallas, and last but not least, Zeus under very varied attributes (Té̀ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma$,
 divinities of the supernal or upper order of gods, though not unfrequent mention is made of Artemis, Aphrodite, Ares, Hera, and Poseidon. Each of these has his or her peculiar and welldefined office; but it is needless to pursue the inquiry into this subject. Between the infernal powers ( $\mathbf{X}$ O'ovor) of the old elemental mythology, including demons, heroes, and Erinyes, gloomy, vengeful, and terrible,-and the newer and more benign deities of the Jovian dynasty ( $\nu \epsilon \omega \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$ Өєò, Eum. 156), the Olympian gods generally, he draws a clear distinction. The former are the genii of death and Nature's sternest laws; the latter interfere closely and sympathetically in the affairs of men, as protectors of cities and of the people in their social and political relations. It was the great object of the poet to explain away the old legends which represented these two powers ( $\chi$ Óóvos and oúpávoo ) in continual conflict, and to shew that there was a real and material union between them,--in a word, that the government of the world and the law of Nature could not be other than a harmonious principle. From their eternal warfare he perceived that nothing but evil could result for man, and therefore he laboured to reconcile what appeared to be adverse, or at least to shew that it was but a temporal and accidental disagreement. Of the Chthonian Powers he speaks with a mixed veneration and religious awe ( $\sigma \in \in \mathcal{R} \beta$ s and $\delta \in \iota \sigma \iota \delta a \iota \mu o \nu i a$ ) which leads him to deprecate, propitiate, and euphemise them, and which leaves no doubt of the sincerity of his belief in their influence over the destinies of mankind.

Aeschylus was, indeed, pre-eminently a religious poet. He derived from the teaching of his great master Pythagoras a sublime conception of the divine attributes,-the mysterious and inscrutable ways, the irresistible will, the inviolable majesty of God. He shrinks from impiety as the fertile source of every woe. But most especially does he dwell on the Omnipotence and
the Justice of the Supreme Being. On these two points hangs a large portion of his theology; the helplessness of man, his inevitable fall sooner or later, when under the wrath of heaven; the dependence of every event on the will of Zeus; the facility with which he works out his own counsels ; the certainty of sin being ultimately punished. Zeus knows no superior, but only that Eternal Destiny which even he is compelled to obey. He is the Consummator ( $T$ é $\lambda \in \iota o s$ ) of all things with this reservation, that Fate or Necessity must have preordained the event which he brings to pass. "What is there," the poet asks, "which is accomplished to mortals without thee?" In his capacity of Soter or Preserver, in which he is always spoken of as the Third (or rather, as connected with two others), he appears as the especial friend of mankind, intermediate, in a certain manner, between the adverse Chthonian powers and the benign Olympian gods, and holding the especial office of harmonizing and adjusting their conflicting claims, as supreme over both. But. Fate is not to be averted even by Zeus, either from himself or from man : he can only direct what has been forecast in the womb of time, and guide it to the best interests of the human race. Fatalism is a doctrine which the poet strongly and sincerely held. "What is fated, that will happen," he says; and again, "What is fated awaits both the bondsman and the free."一"You have no chance of escaping what is fated." He views with conscientious horror the atheism which was then just beginning to arise, and which taught that the gods had no regard for the actions of mortals. He held that every thing human is regulated by a superior mind, and hence he leaves no ground for free agency, in the proper sense of the word. All great actions are the result of an irresistible impulse. But there are certain conditions under which a man may voluntarily place himself, and by which he is made the helpless victim of circumstances, as by defiance or disobedience to the commands of the gods. He must take heed to escape the thunderbolt darted from the eye of Zeus, who regards with jealous dislike ill used wealth, exalted reputation, and overweening insolence and pride. This is the

фӨóvos which Agamemnon knowingly incurred, and against his own better judgment, by walking on purple carpets after his victory. Thus his own folly and the inherent family curse co-operated for his ruin. By more discretion and humility he might perchance have avoided instant fate.

Unlike Euripides, whose scheme of divinity is a cold, lifeless, unreal, and purely artificial system,-in fact, infidelity barely disguised;-unlike Homer in his half-human conception of the life and converse of the celestials,-Aeschylus makes his gods to be gods indeed, the beginning and the end of all the action of his dramas, the centre on which every event turns either for weal or for woe. If disposed to mercy, their deliverance is speedy and effectual; if to wrath, they are equally powerful to destroy. In all his existing plays, divine agency forms the leading idea. In the Suppliants, innocence is protected and lewd insolence is thwarted by Zeus as the patron of kindred. In the Prometheus, daring rebellion is curbed and disobedience is made a fearful example. In the Persians, Zeus again crushes pride and avenges impious boasts. In the Seven against Thebes, Zeus protects, in concert with other associate gods, a beleaguered city, at the same time that he baffles the vaunting insolence of the adversary, and accomplishes a fatal family curse. In the Agamemnon, Zeus Xenius brings a late retribution for the wrongs of violated hospitality, and then strikes the conqueror of Troy for his pride. In the Choephoroe, Apollo and Hermes conspire to direct Orestes to a deed of justice. And in the Eumenides, they are his patrons and protectors when he is called to account for the crime he has committed in obedience to the behest of Apollo, while Pallas gives a divine sanction to his judicial acquittal.
"It was the poet's aim" (Müller observes ${ }^{2}$ ) "throughout to extol the majesty of the external ordinances which uphold the universe; whereas Sophocles, in the new form which he gave to Tragedy, had in view the moral sentiments, apprehended under

[^1]a more refined aspect." In fewer words, we might almost call Aeschylus the Poet of the Gods, Sophocles the Poet of Mankind. The one deeply studied the laws of divine action; the other sounded the depths of the human heart. To reconcile the old law of inexorable justice with the newer law of mercy, seems to have been the leading idea of Aeschylus* To improve humanity by holding up to admiration the finer qualities of justice, fortitude under affliction, sympathy with distress, firmness in duty, and generally, all practical goodness, was the cherished object of Sophocles.

The moral teaching of our poet is founded not only on a sound philosophy, but on truths as immutable as human nature itself. He constantly represents the danger of wealth and prosperity, as conducive to a haughtiness and a presumption which lead to a man's downfall and ruin. Not that mere prosperity, as he takes care to define it, is necessarily productive of evil; but that when combined with insolence, $v \boldsymbol{v} \beta \rho \iota \rho$, it gives rise to that daring contempt of holy things which has wickedness for its offspring, and sooner or later brings a certain retribution. By the actual commission of crimes, and even through the crimes of his forefathers, a man is placed in the power of the Furies. Zeus Soter then stands aloof, for he is the keeper only of religious men (oiкoфú $\lambda a \xi \dot{\xi} \dot{o} \sigma i \omega \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ ). Once in the ken of these avenging goddesses, he is hunted down to destruction; his name and his honours dwindle and perish, and he becomes under a shade, an $\dot{\alpha} \chi \lambda \dot{u} \varsigma$, and one of the Lost (év áljotocs). So Agamemnon and so Oedipus came to wretchedness, for they were too confident in their prosperity. By a well-timed humility they might have escaped the curse of ancestral guilt. But the sins of the father are visited on the children, and by the ordinary rule one crime begets another, even in the third and fourth generation. Thus a murder once committed brings on another, it may be in retribution; but that other is sure to be followed in its turn by a third. At last a curse may expend itself, but it leaves a family under a cloud from which it can only look up by the peculiar mercy of Zeus.

Now the first origin or motive of crime is $\ddot{a} \tau \eta$, a mental delusion or infatuation which, prevents a man from foreseeing the consequences, as the sin of Atreus against his brother Thyestes was a $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau а \rho \chi o \varsigma \alpha ̈ \tau \eta$. This $a ̈ \tau \eta$ is sent by the god on those whom he has resolved to destroy. It is the method by which divine vengeance commences to work out its designs. I.ts immediate effect is to harden a man ( $\beta$ porov̀s $\theta_{\rho a \sigma v ́ v e \iota \nu) ~ a n d ~}^{\text {a }}$ make him reckless. He thus lays the foundation of a family curse by "kicking the altar of Righteousness with profane foot." Then all is over; he is a doomed man; fate has him in its inexorable grasp, and neither wealth nor honour can save him from ultimate annihilation. He is even so blinded that he cannot see his own progressive descent and coming ruin. Having once transgressed against Themis, he rapidly goes on from bad to worse.

- Justice is described as a power always victorious in the end, though often silent, and slow, and lingering in its approach. It both restores usurped rights and punishes guilt, and thus sides with the oppressed and against the oppressor. But the aspect under which the poet regards it is rather that of retaliation and reprisal than as a corrective discipline. "For the doer to suffer " is with him "a very old maxim," that is, a law given to man from the first. Blood for blood, reproach for reproach; plot and counterplot, craft frustrated by craft. To injure fully as much as you have been injured, so as not to let your enemy have the balance of advantage over you, is a fair ground for boast and exultation. But this view, albeit essentially and characteristically a heathen one, was held by Aeschylus as the appointed law of heaven ( $\theta$ '́ $\sigma \mu \circ \nu$ ), not as the legitimate indulgence of resentful feelings. He thought that it was right that man should so treat man, because crime was too fearful a thing to go unpunished, or to be punished less than its deserts. Man was by nature rebellious against God, and required to be taught sobriety ( $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$, the contrary to $v^{v} \beta \rho \iota \varsigma$ ) by suffering. The merit of virtue consisted in its being voluntary, i. e. cultivated for its own sake, and not from compulsion. Irreligion he re-
garded as folly, piety as wisdom ( $\epsilon \mathcal{u}$ фpoveiv), the greatest gift of heaven. And he held that awe or fear (aiסìs and $\delta$ '́os) was the best preservative of obedience, whether towards the state or to the laws of God. Finally, he fully believed in a future judgment, and the responsibility of man, of which he does not lose sight while he maintains the doctrines of Predestination. "The God of the unseen world keeps a stern scrutiny over man, and records all his actions in the tablets of his mind."-"A Zeus of the nether world judges sins in the last judgment."-" Not even in the other world shall the lewd man escape from being arraigned."

In his political sentiments Aeschylus was aristocratic and conservative. He was a partisan of the anti-popular faction represented by Aristides and Cimon, and an opponent of Themistocles, whom he regarded as a dangerous innovator on established customs. His play of the Eumenides is thought to have been directed against the supporters of Ephialtes, who desired, by curtailing the power of the Areopagus, to open a door to greater freedom from state prosecutions, if not to a greater licentiousness of life. His proud patriotism revolted from the overthrow of any time-honoured institution, the object of which was to keep in check the otherwise unbridled passions of a fickle multitude. He was nevertheless a moderate man, far more disposed to a conciliatory course than to be obstinately one-sided. An ardent admirer of the kingly office, in the abstract, he was no advocate of despotism. "Approve neither a life under no government nor that under a master; for God always gives the superiority to a mean between extremes." His feelings however evidently incline to an excessive reverence for kings. He delights to pourtray the abject service of eastern courtiers, even while as a Greek, or at least as writing for Greeks, he takes care to throw a certain invidious air over such servile adulation. The person of a king was in his eyes absolutely sacred, as invested with an authority derived from Zeus and appointed by Fate. The throne and the sceptre were prerogatives which, as Hesiod had taught, came close to those of the gods themselves. Thus Agamemnon and Menelaus are $\delta i \theta \rho o y o s ~ \Delta i o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta i \sigma \kappa \eta-$
 was a sacrilegious wretch, and one doubly accursed. Kings are the object of veneration to their people ( $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \beta a s$ ), the shepherds and fathers of their flock, the dispensers of justice, invincible in wars, ${ }^{\alpha} \mu a \chi o \iota$ and $\dot{a} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \iota$, guardians of religion and lords irresponsible ( $\pi \rho \nu \tau$ ávєıऽ äкрьтои) over the altars of the state. The beggar-kings of Euripides would certainly have found no favour in our poet's eyes. But while Darius and Xerxes are described as King of Kings, a God to the Persians, the Eye of the Palace, and a degree of grandeur is thrown over their state, such as is wholly incompatible with real dislike or contempt for it, the poet can paint a constitutional monarch of the heroic ages declining the absolute power attributed to him by strangers, and refusing to act without first consulting his people in full assembly. Even the Persian kings are supported by certain elders or councillors called חıбтoi, equivalent to the Bounウ of the Greeks in the heroic times, who had the privilege of advising and dissuading, and of declaring their views on all questions, though with the most deferential submission to the superior wisdom and power of the sovereign lord.

Even when dead, kings held rule over the inferior ghosts in Hades, and were honoured by being made the ministers or attendants of the infernal gods. They had a delegated power, as $\delta a i \mu o \nu \epsilon$, to send up justice, blessings, aid, \&c., to their friends above; to hear and answer invocations at the tomb, and even to reappear in person, if Earth, propitiated by offerings, consented to restore them for a brief period to the upper air: They relied on the remembrance, offerings, praises, and sacrifices of their surviving relations, successors, and subjects. They could feel resentment beyond the pyre, and could shew it by sending evil dreams to their enemies. Nor did the angry spirit rest till vengeance had been wreaked for the wrongs suffered in life. If neglected, it was dishonoured, äт $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$, even in Hades, and proportionally lost its influence over human affairs with the powers below. To be reinstated in its rights in Hades, it must have full amends made to it on earth. Thus Agamemnon can
do nothing for Orestes, until by libations, dirges, promises of future honours, and condolences, the son has roused the longslighted spirit of his father from its sullen and unhonoured sleep. He at last raises his head to listen, as one starting out of a death-slumber, and accepts the propitiatory offerings descending to him through the kindly lap of mother Earth. He is then called upon to witness the combat undertaken in his cause, to send Justice as an ally to his friends, and to regard with pity the sorrows of his offspring, who have been not unwilling but unable to honour him as they ought.

Such was the poet's conception of the kingly character,-a conception of the chivalrous Homeric chieftain aggrandized by the pomp of Eastern King-worship, and one the more remarkable in its free and graphic expression from the extreme and singular jealousy with which the Athenians themselves regarded that supreme dignity. One might almost imagine, from the favourable light in which he takes pains to pourtray the modified monarchy of the Pelasgic king in the Suppliants, that the poet was very far from insensible of the benefits which such an institution would have conferred on his country, then suffering under the growing evil (as he thought it) of democratic influence.

Indeed, both Sophocles in his characters of Creon, Theseus, and Oedipus, and Euripides still more remarkably in his Creon and Theseus of the Suppliants, have so much admirable instruction on the differences between tyrannical absolutism and constitutional monarchy, and invariably display the latter in so fair a light as a set-off to anarchy, that they at least could not have shared in the jealous fears in which the $\delta \tilde{\eta} \mu o s$ held the very name of Baбi入єús. Those persons form a very inadequate estimate of the Greek dramatic writings, who regard them merely as old legends popularized so as to please national vanity, or who imagine that an intellectual Greek would have sat out the day in the theatre for no higher purpose than to be amused. Still less can we compare any modern theatrical representations, which fall short of the ancient in their social and political importance as much as they surpass them in mere gorgeousness of decora-
tion and variety of effects. The Tragic competitors of old were not only poets, but also good men and patriots, anxious to use their art to the best advantage for inculcating moral truths, elevating and purifying the feelings, and for directing the popular mind in the right way, by inspiring a love of virtue, of their country, of their fellow-citizens. To such an extent did this object prevail, that iambic verses embodied the proverbial philosophy of the day. The tragic writer was preacher, essayist, and lecturer, as well as poet; a fact not to be doubted, when we consider how familiar to the multitude those writings must have been, when a casual quotation by a comic author, or even an indirect allusion by a rival poet, could find an immediate response in the vast assembly of the Athenian theatre,-when we remember too that the greater part of the large collection of fragments from lost plays consists of moral sentiments and philosophical reflections which have come down to us simply because they were celebrated as such. No one will deny that they form an admirable set of maxims, and that the wise and the good in them greatly predominate over the evil and the unsound. The intense satisfaction which the scholar finds in the gravity, the majesty, and the well-studied wisdom of iambic verses, is something more permanent and universal than fashion or mere educational predilection could inspire. They are inexhaustible sources of thought, instruction, and gratification. Like good pictures and good music, the more they become familiar to us, the more they seem replete with new and undiscovered beauties. What then a tragedy must have been to the Athenian, to whom it was besides a religious festivity, a poetical treat, an imposing spectacle, and a political lesson, we need not stop to inquire.

With respect to Aeschylus, a prejudice exists amongst many, from causes already explained, that he is not worth the time and mental labour which must be bestowed before we can master the difficulties of the author,-imperfectly, perhaps, after all that has been done in correcting and explaining the text. But, if he is deserving of any attention at all, he is surely deserving of all that can be bestowed upon him. Rather than rejecting the
whole because some parts are obscure, and others, perhaps, hopelessly corrupt, let us make the most of what we have, and heartily wish that it were more. Considered merely as an intellectual discipline, the task is even rendered more useful, as it is more stimulating and exciting, by the very perplexities which beset it. Words, in themselves undeniably genuine, must have some meaning, though the right one be not as yet determined with certainty. Words undeniably corrupt must be capable of more or less plausible restoration, when metre and context, the finite resources of the language, and the known laws of palaeography, are all so many limitations within which our efforts are restricted. Nor is it, perhaps; altogether an ignoble ambition to have seen further into the meaning of the author, or to have more shrewdly detected the errors and interpolations of copyists, than others have been able to do.

In saying this, we would by no means imply that undue attention should be given to the mere letter of the text; either in determining trifling points of orthography, or even in dwelling too much on the history and meanings of words individually: There is a vast difference between construing an author and understanding him. And a prudent editor will ever have prominently before him the latter object: he will try to guide the reader to the full appreciation of the meaning by paraphrases, hints on the connexion, brief summaries of the argument, distinction of the parts, and so forth,-but especially by pointing out traits of character and the motives of action which lie at some depth below the surface. We seem to have had enough of that useful, but still insufficient sort of scholarship, which consists in the collection of parallel passages, and the compilation of glossaries from the voluminous works of the old grammarians and lexicographers. Without doubt much is due to those who have distinguished themselves in this important field; but it is obvious to remark, that such work may be done, and done well, by those who have scarcely troubled themselves with tracing the connexion of ideas, or bestowed a thought on the design,- the mythological views or the moral and political teaching,-of the
author whose words they are absorbed in illustrating. To Müller and Klausen we are indebted for a movement in the right direction towards the interpretation of Aeschylus; in fact, they may be fairly called the founders of a new school of Aeschylean philology. They created a revolution, as startling in its novelty as satisfactory in its general results, in the method of interpretation hitherto applied. If some of their theories appear untenable, and some of their views a little far-fetched or devoid of evidence, they have at least pointed out the path in which succeeding editors should travel. On the whole, we have little to regret but that their labours have extended over so small a portion of the text of Aeschylus as a part of the Orestea. That these writers have been, the one acrimoniously attacked, the other passed by in supercilious silence, by Hermann, the leader of the verbal-critics, is a significant circumstance.

It is commonly held, though the opinion may be controverted by weighty arguments, that all the existing MSS. of Aeschylus, which are by no means numerous, are derived from one single copy, well known as the Medicean, and now preserved in the Laurentian Library at Florence. It is believed to be of the tenth century, and contains all the seven tragedies (besides Sophocles and Apollonius Rhodius), with the exception of some leaves of the Agamemnon which have been long lost. Now this MS. can be shewn to have been an apograph from a very ancient one, written in uncial or capital letters, and probably without any division between the words; for mistakes occur in the transcription which would naturally have occurred under these conditions alone. The same MS. contains marginal scholia, written in a different but not much later hand, and also occasional corrections, by the same later hand, of the text itself. Some further additions and alterations have been made in handwriting of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. Now a remarkable fact connected with these scholia is, that they evidently represent an independent and certainly earlier text than the Medicean, and consequently, than its uncial archetypus; and this at once brings them back to a great antiquity. For, whe-
ther copied or not from that archetypus (a supposition which the diversity of handwriting renders improbable), not a few of the comments refer to readings which are at once perceived to be genuine, but are not to be found either in the original or the altered text of the Medicean ${ }^{3}$. Hence it follows that the scholia were composed anterior to the time when the carelessness of transcribers and the unwarrantable alterations of more or less learned readers had combined to furnish the deteriorated text of the present MSS. That these scholia are of a very early date is further shewn by the occasional quotations from or reference to works and plays which have long been lost. In fine, they were, in all probability, either wholly or in the greater part, compiled and abridged from the exegetical writings ( $\dot{v} \pi о \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$ ) of the Alexandrine grammarians who lived before, and in the early centuries after, the Christian era. That they are collections from various sources is manifest from the admixture, in not a few places, of two or more independent and sometimes conflicting comments under one and the same scholium. In several of the later MSS. of Aeschylus, these scholia have been greatly enlarged by Byzantine teachers of the middle ages; but of these, as of far less importance, no notice need here be taken. Indeed, the object of mentioning the scholia at all was to point out their extreme importance in determining the true readings in many doubtful and difficult passages.

With regard to the corrections subsequently made (generally over the erasure of the original word) in the Medicean, there is every probability that they were derived from the collation of a different copy, and, to judge by the identity of the handwriting, from that very one whence the scholia were transcribed. For in times when MSS. were alone in use, and prized in proportion to their accuracy, it was the object of every possessor to endeavour to obtain a text as authentic as possible; and to this end it

[^2]appears to have been a common practice to compare different copies, as an opportunity might occur, and to note down the various readings of importance, or correct the errors of copyists by their aid. Hence, as in the case of the Medicean MS., the second hand, though a later one, will often be found to give a better reading than the original one. And hence also it will be seen, that any single ancient MS. so corrected and revised contains, in truth, a great deal more than at first sight may appear. It bears along with it the credentials of several MSS., and some of these, it may be, belonging to an independent line of transcription.
Further, it is probable that more than one MS. was sometimes used by the same transcriber and at the same time. Thus we may explain discrepancies in our MSS. of Aeschylus, which agree on the whole so closely with the Medicean, that they are with great reason believed to have been copied from it. Or again, copies from the Medicean may have received subsequent corrections from other sources; and these being again transcribed, will have a sufficiently close correspondence with their archetypus to be justly classed with it in its general character. Of this kind was probably the valuable MS. used by Robortello in his edition of 1552 .

However, to discuss these minutiae in detail, and to illustrate them by instances, would require a great space, and would be wholly uninteresting except to the professed critic. Suffice it to say, that from a proper use of these resources, from a very careful investigation of the style, metrical laws, idioms, and usages of Aeschylus, from numerous glosses in Hesychius and other grammarians, and generally, from a more enlarged philological knowledge of the language, means have been found for restoring, with a precision almost marvellous, numerous passages in this great poet which not a quarter of a century ago had been wrongly edited and were totally misunderstood. The same mind of man which has revealed the secrets locked up in Egyptian hieroglyphics and in the arrow-headed characters of Nineveh and Babylon, has successfully grappled with the scarcely less difficult,
if less important, task of emending and explaining the text of Aeschylus. We may compare the fortunate preservation of a single ancient copy to the inheritance of a fine old mansion which for years had become less and less like itself from injudicious patchwork and gradual decay. At a first glance, and after only a casual survey, the proprietor doubts if it is possible to restore it. But when he has begun to remove from it the rubbish of a century, to cleanse the mouldy walls and ceilings from the stains and matted cobwebs, and has well studied the uniform principles of decoration which the hand of a master artist had followed in carrying out the design, he is surprised to perceive how much of gilded cornice and frescoed wall, of carved wood and of delicate sculpture, has been preserved in its pristine state,--overlaid indeed, but not obliterated; and he is gratified to find how satisfactorily that which remains will supply a precedent for that which has wholly or partially perished.

The collection of a long series of Fragments from the lost plays of Aeschylus and the other two great Tragic writers, is one of the happiest results of the laborious research of modern scholars. The value and interest of these isolated passages are perhaps in general too little appreciated, for students seldom care to read them till they have mastered the entire tragedies. Nevertheless, as already remarked, the Fragments are mostly of more than ordinary merit, and generally owe their preservation to that very circumstance. Of Aeschylus indeed scarcely four hundred entire verses have been recovered from the various writers of subsequent ages; but this number is small compared with the Fragments of Sophocles, amounting to not far short of a thousand verses, and those of Euripides, of which between three and four thousand have come down to us. Even in the second and third centuries of the Christian era many plays were in existence which have long since perished. They are quoted by Plutarch, Galen, Athenaeus, Pollux, and Stobaeus and a host of grammarians of even a still later date. An inference may fairly be drawn from the comparative number of these Fragments (not to say, from the fact that the quotations from Aeschylus are more of
a casual and accidental kind, while those from the others are to a considerable extent select extracts), that Aeschylus was, in the later classical ages, by much the least popular of his compeers, and Euripides pre-eminently the favourite. Aristophanes evidently saw the tide that was setting strongly in favour of the new candidate for scenic supremacy, and he vainly tried to stem it by the barrier of his ridicule. Throughout all ages and in all places where the Greek language has been systematically taught, Euripides has clearly been the favourite in the schools of the learned; and to this cause alone, and not to mere accident, is to be attributed the much larger number which we still possess of his plays. The reason why Aeschylus has enjoyed the least favour of the three must be looked for in the ideal, supernatural, and mythological turn of his mind, in his obscure and somewhat turgid diction, and in his want of sympathy with the ordinary feelings and conditions of humanity. He dealt with gods, demons, and heroes, while Euripides treated of man as he is. Aeschylus is a poet of the imagination, Sophocles a poet of the feelings; but Euripides is a poet of reality. Euripides alone had the courage to lower tragedy, if we may so speak, to the sphere of purely human action. That reality should, in the long run, have won the race, is perhaps to the credit of human nature. It is to this that the Iliad and the Odyssey owe their well-earned immortality of fame. Homer's gods are at once subordinate and supreme. They direct and control human affairs, and even enter largely into the scheme of action; but human, and not divine, nature is the subject of his pen. There is however no positive standard by which we can test the respective excellences,-all transcendent, yet all different,-of the three great masters of Tragic composition. Each will have, and ever has had, his votaries, accordingly as the grand, the terrible, and the sublime, -the tender and touching,-or the truthfully descriptive, affect the various dispositions of men. All however will concede to Aeschylus the credit which attaches only to genius of the highest order, 一that of having perfected what he commenced, and of exalting the tragic art to a height which none of his rivals can
be justly said to have exceeded. The immense influence which scenic exhibitions and dramatic literature have exercised on the minds and manners of mankind, is a sufficient reason for profoundly venerating the author and originator of it. For so we may justly style the poet who out of the uncouth banterings of a religious festivity created the majestic and soul-inspiring art which has softened the sternest hearts and claimed for its votaries the proudest intellects. The Drama is the manifestation of the invisible mind of man, the mirror in which, while we think we are looking at others, we unexpectedly see ourselves reflected. To possess in our own native literature the greatest dramatist the world has perhaps ever seen, should in itself be an inducement to study one of kindred genius and scarcely less exalted sentiments.

## LIFE OF AESCHYLUS.

[From the Medicean MS.]
"Aeschylus the Tragic writer was by birth an Athenian, of the deme Eleusis, son of Euphorio, and brother of Cynegirus, born of noble parents. He commenced tragedy in his youth, and far surpassed his predecessors both in his poetry and in the arrangement of the stage, as well as in the splendour of the choral outfit, the dress of the actors, and the imposing appear-. ance of his chorus; as Aristophanes also attests (Ran. 1004),

[^3]He was contemporary with Pindar, having been born in OI. $63{ }^{1}$. He is reputed to have been a valiant man, and to have taken a part in the battle of Marathon with his brother Cynegirus, and in the naval engagement at Salamis with the youngest of his brothers Aminias, as well as in the land-fight at Plataeae.
"In the composition of his poetry he always affects the grandiloquent style ${ }^{2}$, using coined words and epithets, besides metaphors and every means of imparting a lofty tone to his diction. The plots of the plays have not with him, as with the later writers, many incidents and complexities; for he only aims at giving weight to his characters, judging that this peculiarity, the magnificent and the heroic, was of the antique stamp, and con-

[^4]sidering that cleverness, prettiness of style, and sententiousness ${ }^{3}$, were alien from tragedy. Hence he is ridiculed by Aristophanes for the excessive heaviness of his characters ${ }^{4}$. For example, in the Niobe, till the third act, Niobe sits at the tomb of her children with her head muffled, and says nothing; and in The Ransom of Hector, Achilles in the same way covers himself over and does not speak, except a few verses at the beginning in a dialogue with Hermes. Hence many passages may be found in him excellent in the composition ${ }^{s}$, but not either sentiments, or touches of sympathy, or any other of those traits, the effect of which is to lead to tears. In fact, the spectacles and the myths which he employs are intended to startle by their strangeness rather than to produce illusion.
"He retired to the court of Hiero, as some say, being a victim to the bigotry of the Athenians ${ }^{6}$, and from having been defeated by the youthful Sophocles; but according to others, being beaten by Simonides in the elegy on those who died at Marathon. For elegy must share largely in the refinement of sympathy, which, as we have said, is alien from the nature of Aeschylus. Others assert that in the exhibition of the Eumenides, by introducing the chorus without order into the orchestra he so scared the people, that infants expired and women miscarried.
"Having arrived in Sicily, as Hiero was then engaged in founding the city of Aetna, he exhibited his Women of Aetna, by way of predicting a prosperous life to those who contributed to colonize the city. Here he was held in high honour both by the tyrant Hiero and the people of Gela, but survived only three years, and died at an advanced age in the following manner. An eagle having picked up a tortoise, and not being able to get at his prey, dropped it down on the rocks by way of smashing the shell, when it fell on the poet and killed him. He

[^5]had been forewarned by the oracle, A stroke from heaven shall slay thee. When he died, the people of Gela buried him at great cost in one of the public tombs, and paid him splendid honours, inscribing as follows:-

> 'Euphorio's son and Athens' pride lies here;
> In fertile Gela's soil he found his rest; His valour Marathon's wide plains declare, And long-haired Medes who felt it can attest.'

His tomb used to be visited by the professors of the tragic art, who offered sacrifices to him as to a hero, and rehearsed their plays over it. As for the Athenians, they were so devotedly fond of Aeschylus, that they passed a decree after his death, that whoever wished to exhibit the plays of that poet should be furnished with a chorus. He lived to the age of sixty-three ${ }^{7}$ years, in the course of which he composed seventy plays, and beside these, about five Satyric dramas ${ }^{8}$. He gained in all thirteen victories, and carried off not a few after his death."
"Aeschylus was the first to improve tragedy by passion of a more exalted kind ${ }^{9}$. He introduced scenic decorations, and struck the eyes of the spectators by their splendour, through the aid of paintings and machinery, altars and tombs, trumpets, ghosts, and Furies ; he also furnished his actors with gloves, and gave them a stately mien by the train (syrma), and raised their height by unusually thick buskins. As his first actor ${ }^{1}$ he employed Cleander, but he afterwards added to him as his second actor Mynniscus of Chalcis. Of the third actor he was himself the inventor, though the credit is given to Sophocles by Dicae-

[^6]archus of Messene. If we compare him in the simplicity of his dramatic composition with his successors, it might be considered meagre and deficient in elaborateness; but if we look to those before him, one may well admire the poet for his genius and invention. Those who consider Sophocles to have been a more perfect tragic poet, are right indeed in their opinion, but then they should remember that it was much more difficult after Thespis, Phrynichus, and Choerilus to advance Tragedy to such a degree of greatness, than for one who wrote after Aeschylus to arrive at the completeness of Sophocles ${ }^{2}$."

[^7]
## AIEXMAOM IKETIAEE.

## SUPPLICES.

Tee precise date of the Supplices, which has been generally regarded, on internal evidence, as the earliest tragedy extant, is unknown. Müller however (Dissertations on the Eumenides, p. 84, ed. 2, transl.), after Boeckh and others, thinks that from certain political allusions in the play $(677,740,930)$ to the then contemplated alliance of Athens with Argos and the war with Egypt (Thucyd. i. 102, 104), Ol. 79,3 , b.c. 461 , the date may be fixed at only a few years previous to the Orestea, which was brought out Ol. 80,2 , or b.c. 458. It may be doubted if these supposed allusions are sufficiently clear and definite to establish the argument. Those at least who judge by the style, which is so singularly epic, the simplicity of the plot, the paucity of the characters, and the great predominance of choric action, will be reluctant to believe that the Suppliants was composed more than ten years after the Promethens, Persians, and Seven against Thebes. It may be remarked, though not as an eridence of date, that the play is rather a melodrama than a tragedy. It ends happily, and has no other claim to the latter title than from the pathos excited and sustained by the helpless condition of the fugitive maidens in a foreign land. There are only two actors in the piece, for the same person alternately assumes the characters of Danaus and the Herald. The trilogy, of which the present seems to have been the middle play (Müller, Diss. p. 212), comprised also the Aegyptii, of unknown argument, and the Danaides, of which the trial and acquittal of the women for the murder of their husbands formed the subject. The Chorus consists of (probably) twelve Suppliants, who sing the opening anapaests in their procession from the door of
the orchestra (parodos) to the thymele in the centre, the long antistrophic ode commencing when they have ranged themselves there in the usual rank-and-file order. (Müller, Dissert. p. 31.) The argument is briefly this:-Danaus and Aegyptus, sons of Belus, had settled, as the descendants of Io and Epaphus, in the vicinity of Canopus at the mouth of the Nile (Prom. 870). Aegyptus wishing to unite his fifty sons to the fifty daughters of Danaus, the latter fly from Egypt to Argos in order to escape from a union at once incestuous and detested. Arriving with their father at Argos, the land of their ancestress, they appeal to the country for protection on the plea of their descent, to the national gods and heroes, and especially to Zeus as the author of their race. The king, by name Pelasgus, tardily grants them a refuge with the consent of the people, and in a spirited scene at the conclusion, repels the insolent attempt of the Herald to seize them in the name of the sons of Aegyptus.

The extant MSS. of the Supplices are very few. Hermann enumerates four, of all of which he has given an accurate collation in his edition of 1852 . These are,
(1) The Medicean, saec. x.
(2) MS. Guelph., saec. xv., copied from the Medicean.
(3) A Paris MS., saec. $x \mathrm{x}$. ., transcribed, according to Hermann, from the archetypus MS. of the Medicean, but according to his editor Haupt, from the Medicean itself.
(4) A paper MS., saec. xvi., preserved in the library of the Escurial, and probably a transcript from the Paris MS.
(5) Another M.S. of saec. xv., formerly in the monastery of St. Mark at Florence, and said to be a copy from the Medicean, is mentioned in the catalogue prefixed to Hermann's edition, but no use appears to bave been made of it in this play.
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1. 'Aфіктшן. Hesych. àфіктора' $\tau \delta \nu$ inéotov día. Inf. 237 the Suppliants themselves are called äфiктopes, and so Orestes is $\sigma \in \mu \nu \partial s$ тробlктшр Eum. 419, while $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ ikторєs, $i b$. 118, seems to mean 'patrons of Suppliants,' which is the sense in the present passage. Where the adjective is not a mere epithet, but a title or attribute, as inf. 188,621 , it seems proper to mark it by a capital letter.
 45. Pers. 791. Hermann joins $\nu$ dáov $\mathfrak{a} \rho$ $\theta \in ́ v \tau \alpha$, but it is not easy to see why $\sigma \tau \delta \bar{\lambda} 0$ s válos may not stand in contradistinction to a land expedition. In this technical expression alpely may be classed with such
 $\mu \in \tau$ '́coos, in reference to the raised appearance of the ocean towards the horizon. Compare altum mare, and our term 'the high seas.' I am not sure that aipet $\sigma \tau$ ónov is ever used of a land army alone, as we say 'to raise forces.'
2. $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau о \mu i \omega \nu . ~ S c h o l . ~ a ̆ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu o \nu \tau d \sigma \tau \delta-$
 word does not occur elsewhere. Hermann understands by it not the actual mouths of the Nile, but the alluvial deposit called $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \chi \omega \mu \sim$ Prom. 866, which would be rightly described as $\lambda \in \pi \tau \circ \psi \alpha_{-}^{-}$
$\mu a 00 \nu$, composed of fine sand or mud. For so Pauw bappily emended $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \rho \mu a-$ $\theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ of the MSS., an error which arose from the accidental omission of $\psi a$. Others have proposed $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o \beta \alpha 0 \hat{\omega} \nu$ or $\lambda \epsilon v \kappa 0-$ $\beta a \theta \omega \bar{\nu}$.
3. The MSS. have $\delta$ iav $\delta$ è $\lambda e l$ inouval. Hermann adopts Seidler's $\delta \dot{\prime} a \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{1} \kappa \lambda \epsilon l-$ movaal, and it is dificult to decide between this and $\lambda \iota \pi o \tilde{u} \sigma \alpha l$, though the aorist is rather more suited to the context. With respect to the accent of $\delta i a \nu$, there seems no ground for altering it. Both Homer and Hesiod shorten the last syllable, as Od. xi. 375. xiii. 275,440 . xix. 540. Theog. 697. See Pers. 273.
4. $\delta \eta \mu \eta \lambda a \sigma i a \nu$. So Auratus for $\delta \eta \mu \eta-$ $\lambda a \sigma$ ía, which violates both grammar and metre. The $t$ in oữılı could not be elided, and $\gamma v \omega \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a, ~ \phi v \gamma \eta \eta \nu$, 'to have banishment recorded as a sentence,' follows from the usual construction катаүсү $\boldsymbol{\omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu}$ фurind tivos (Herod. i. 45. Thuc. iii. 81), where katà is only necessary when the person against whom the sentence is given is added.- ${ }^{2} \phi^{3}$ al $\mu a \tau \iota$, ' for murder,' directly or indirectly,-a charge the chorus is ansious to clear itself of at the outset, since this was the commonest and least creditable cause of flight, as well as the
$\psi \eta^{\prime} \phi \omega \tau$ тó $\lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \in \hat{i} \sigma a l$, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \sigma \gamma \epsilon \nu \in \hat{i} \phi \nu \xi \alpha \nu \circ \rho i ́ a$, үá $\mu о \nu$ Aiүv́ттоv $\pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ ả $\sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ ỏ $\nu о \tau а \zeta$ о́ $\mu \in \nu \alpha$.
least calculated to enlist the sympatiny of foreigners. So $\phi \in u ́ \gamma \in i \nu$ '́ $\phi^{\prime}$ alluãı Dem,



5. aủroyєעeî фugavopía. 'By a voluntary retreat from wedlock, and loathing as unholy a union with the sons of Aegyptus.'
 dyopay, but the Med. with the letters $\nu \lambda a, \xi$ in an erasure, and $\gamma \rho$. фuģávopav in the margin. The common reading, ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
 and is from Turnebus. It is objectionable both on account of the article and because the law of anapaestic synaphaea is violated by a dactyl coming before an anapaest. It has been proposed to con-


 a little reflection will show that Bamberger's correction is rightly adopted by Hermann. The origin of the error is curious and instructive. When the $t$ had
 -av) no longer completed the verse. For this end two metrical corrections were proposed, vying with each other in ab-

 The union of these two resulted in the reading of the Med. We might even retain the accusative, on the ground that $\phi \in \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \bar{y}$ фugavopiay is only another form
 following would not be rightly coupled by $\tau \epsilon$. The interpretation of aùzoyєy $\bar{\epsilon}$, ' originating with ourselves,' is certainly better than 'kindred,' סià $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \in t a \nu$. For the antithesis is between compulsory banish-
ment and voluntary flight.
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$. This word, like $\mu^{\prime} \mu \phi о \mu a t$ and its derivatives, has the primary sense of 'dissatisfaction,' ' disparagement,' \&c. It is here a sort of euphemism. Cf. 331.
6. $\kappa$ v́ठ $\delta \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ à $\chi$ ' $\omega \nu$, 'determined on the best (i. e. the least bad) of evils.' Com-
 фépтatoy Il. xvii. 105. Hesych. кúdoov-
 explained it 'the most creditable,' as if from $\kappa \hat{\nu} \delta o s$. As aioरupds (originally ai-
 ros. The substantive кûios has a strict analogy in the Homeric al̃qos. On


 pov $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \delta \quad \phi \in \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon เ \nu$. It is self-evident that the above scholium belongs to this verse. Dindorf, who prints it to $\mathrm{\nabla} .9$, has wrongly altered é\& $\overline{\text { ® }}$
 $\kappa \cup \beta a \lambda$ éo . The true reading is preserved by Hesychius in v. à $\nu \in ́ \delta i \eta \nu$. Bekk.



7. кé̀ $\bar{\sigma} \alpha, \delta^{2}$. Hermann gives кé̀ $\lambda \sigma a \downarrow$ $\tau^{\prime}$ without remark. The use of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ in mere connexion is not uncommon in Aeschylus, as inf. 63, 75. Pers. 195, 565.
 кย่лааı тотє́.
8. Ė $\pi \pi \pi \nu$ olas. The words $\pi \nu \epsilon \bar{\nu}$, Ė $\pi t-$
 used of the feelings inspired by love. So Ag. 1177, кáp $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \mu 0 l \pi \nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu \chi$ dápıy. Here the same idea is conveyed as in Prom.


 $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta^{\text {à }} \phi \iota к о і ́ \mu \in \theta a$


 v̀татоí $\tau \in$ Өєoì каì $\beta$ рри́тцноь

 $\delta \sigma i \omega \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \delta \delta \rho \omega \nu, \delta \epsilon \in \xi \alpha \iota \sigma \theta^{\circ}$ iккє́т $\eta \nu$ тòv $\begin{aligned} & \eta \lambda \lambda \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \text { } \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o \nu ~ a i \delta o i ́ \varphi ~\end{aligned}$ $\pi \nu \epsilon v ́ \mu a \tau \iota \chi \omega \dot{\omega}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{s}^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu o \pi \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \delta^{\circ}$
viz．that the generation was supernatural， not physical and material．－$\epsilon \mathcal{u} \chi \delta \mu \in \nu o \nu$ ， i．e．Elval，by a common ellipse，$\epsilon \check{U} \chi \in \sigma \theta a$, meaning properly＇to aver，＇＇to declare，＇ as inf．268，1044．So Pindar，Ol．vii． 41 ，
 Apoll．Rhod．ii．359，той каí $\pi \in \rho$ à $\phi^{\prime}$ alıaтоs єủХетбшขта．Inf．271，308， 530.

19．$\tau i \nu^{2}$ 㸚 $\nu$ oul $\nu$ ．So Dindorf with G． Burges．The MSS．give tiva oû $\nu$ ，but in the Med．a letter has been erased after tiva，which Hermann says was not $\gamma$ ， and therefore there is no authority for riva yoûy beyond ed．Turn．Hermann gives tiva $\delta^{2} \grave{\alpha} \nu$ ，because，he says，Aeschy－ lus ought to have written so．The erased letter in the Med．can hardly have been any other than $y$ ，and it was erased be－ cause somebody mistook tivay for an er－ roneous form of the accusative．The same error occurs in $\phi \rho \in ́ \nu a$ for $\phi \rho \in \in \nu^{\prime}$ 㸚 $\nu$ Cho． 839．In the former editions of this play I admitted Haupt＇s $\tau i v a \nu v \nu$ ，comparing， for the omission of $\bar{t} \nu$ ，Pind．Pyth．iv．210， ou छ̇єivav ikoíuทv raiav zi $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ．But the enclitic $\nu v \nu$ is not properly used except with imperatives or true optatives．

23．育 $\pi \delta \bar{\alpha} t s, \hat{\omega} \gamma \hat{\eta}$ ．So the MS．of Robortello．The rest give $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi \delta \partial u s, \hat{\omega} y$ $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ ，which Hermann rightly attributes to the false reading $\delta \in \in\} \notin \iota \theta^{3}$ in v．27．It was usual to invoke the elements，the gods， and the heroes，on entering any land for the first time．The herald in Ag． 491 does this even on returning after a long absence．

25．$\chi \theta$ биıoь．The antithesis with ย̈тa．
tot，which occurs also Ag．89，is in favour of those who understand the infernal gods， and interpret $\beta \alpha \rho^{\prime} \tau \tau \mu 0 \iota$ with the Scholiast， of Bapéws тเขעv́ $\mu \in \nu 0$ ．There seems also an antithesis between the wicked who are punished and the of otol $\alpha \nu \nu \delta \rho \in s$ who are under the immediate protection of Zev̀s $\Sigma \omega \tau h \rho$ ．Add，that the poet seems to have had in view Il．iii．277，каl тотаноl каl
 тínvoӨov．These avenging deities are said ＇to have in their keeping＇the sepulchres， in the sense of $\kappa \alpha \tau$＇́ $\chi \in \iota \nu$ noticed on Pers． 43．On the other hand，Өभккаs катє́ $\chi \in \iota \nu$ is more commonly applied to the dead in their graves，as Ag．440，1518．Theb． 729，and hence Hermann understands the heroes，or dii indigetes of the country， and reads $\beta a \theta$ ót $c \mu o l$ ．I know of no in－ stance of $\chi^{\theta 0 \delta \nu}$ oo being applied to beroes， while it is the regular epithet of the powers below，as in Pers．630；but I think it possible that it may include the former， as alike inhabitants of the invisible region．

27．$\delta \in \xi \alpha a \sigma \theta^{\circ}$ ．The MSS．have $\delta \in \xi \notin a \theta^{\top}$ ， which Dindorf retains．Hermann adopts $\delta \in ́ \xi a \sigma \theta^{3}$ from Heath．The optative，though generally in the third person，often alter－ nates with imperatives；see especially the Chorus 619 inf．On $\Sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ т $\bar{i}$ íos see Agam．237．Cho．236．Müller，Diss．Eum． p． 190 seqq．

28．aiסolq туєठиaть．＇With merciful spirit．＇The phrase is only a modification of a sentiment commonly expressed by oũpov or oủpi乌єเע．Cf．$\chi \in \iota \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ inf． 156.
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu A \boldsymbol{i} \gamma v \pi \tau \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\nu} \hat{\eta}$,30$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a \tau \epsilon \pi о ́ \nu \tau о \nu \delta^{\prime}, ~ \not ้ \nu \theta a ~ \delta \grave{~} \lambda a i ́ \lambda a \pi \iota$$\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \nu \circ \tau v ์ \pi \omega, \beta \rho \circ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \pi \hat{\eta} \tau^{3}$85${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \eta \dot{\gamma} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon$, ö $\lambda о \iota \nu \tau$,$\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu \pi a \tau \rho a \delta \in \lambda \phi \epsilon i a \nu$$\tau \eta^{\prime} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ảєко́ขт $\omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi \iota \beta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$.$\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{0}$ є́ $\pi \iota к є к \lambda о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \quad \sigma \tau \rho$. á. 40$\Delta \hat{i} o \nu \pi o ́ \rho \tau \iota \nu$ vi $\pi \epsilon \rho \pi o ́ \nu \tau \iota o \nu \tau \iota \mu \alpha ́ o \rho ’$ '̂̀ív $\tau$ 'aì $\nu$45
31. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon t$. From ${ }^{\alpha} \sigma t s$, silt. Hesych. à $\sigma \omega \delta \eta s^{\circ}$ à $\mu \mu \dot{\omega} \delta \bar{\eta} s . ~ L e x . ~ B e k k . ~ p . ~ 457, ~$
 epithet is applicable to the low marshy shore of Lerna; see on Prom. 695. It was immediately opposite to Nauplia, where according to Pausanias, iv. 35, Danaus first disembarked, and colonised the place with Egyptians. But inf. 748 Danaus speaks of coming to a $\chi \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ f$\mu \in v o s$, which therefore could not be Nauplia, since that was a $\nu a v u^{\prime} \tau \alpha \theta \mu 0 \nu$, Strabo, lib. viii. cap. 6, ad init.
33. ${ }_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \nu \theta a$. For $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta a$, and like $\pi \delta \nu$. $\tau o v \delta \epsilon$, an epic use. This clause must be considered parenthetical, or else with Hermann and others we must read $\sigma \phi \in \tau \in \rho \stackrel{\xi 6}{ }$ $\mu \in \nu o t$, depending by a well known Attic law of attraction on the subject of öдouvo.



 agreeing with $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \omega \nu$. The phrase èmıA $\quad$ uévol ev̉vท̂s is Homeric. Hermann chooses to read dぇ $\kappa \nu \tau \omega \omega$.
40. è $\pi \kappa \kappa \in \kappa \lambda о \mu$ éva. So Turn. and most recent editors for $\overline{\epsilon \pi} \_\kappa \in \kappa \lambda \dot{d} \mu \in \nu a l$. A gloss in the Med. also recognises the plural, ѐ $\pi \iota \kappa a \lambda о \dot{\mu} \mu \in \theta a$. In the plural itself there is little difficulty. The verb is withheld till v. 51, and might have been in the sin-
 in 49. And this is the opinion I formerly
entertaired, being unwilling to change the MSS. reading. But the use of the first person singular throughout the remainder of the chorus seems nearly decisive, and would be quite so, but for the single exception in 149 Probably $\bar{\pi} \pi \kappa \epsilon \kappa \wedge \delta \mu \in v a$, is due to grammarians, who were at a loss for a finite verb, and had noticed the use of the plural in the preceding anapaests.
41. $\tau \iota \mu$ áupa. Hermann thinks this form defensible, referring to Lobeck, Paralip. p. 216 Blomfield on Ag. 497 condemns it ; but the day is past when scholars obelised words, though consistent with sense and metre, merely because they were ${ }^{\circ} \pi \pi \alpha$ $\lambda \in \gamma \delta \mu \in \nu a$. The sense is, "invoking Epaphus, not only as a patron-god able to protect us on the other side of the water, but also as the son of our ancestress.' Compare this use of $\tau \epsilon$, which couples two attributes of the same person, with
 places Hermann omits $\tau \in$, here assuming that the is in Yus is long, as in $\kappa \delta \dot{v}$ s, ưous, and there reading кьркэлд́tas.
45. Еффұty. This is an instance of 'res pro persona' which is exceedingly barsh; yet it is not less so to refer Eфaұıv, by a change of punctuation, to $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { eneн }\end{array}\right)$ and to take the latter in a middle or deponent sense, as in Eum. 927, with Schütz. ${ }^{\circ}$ There is a gloss in the Med., é $\pi$ iкãod-
 $\dot{e} \pi t \pi v o l a s$ toû $\Delta \dot{\partial} s$, which is not very in-

#   <br> à $\nu \tau . \alpha^{\alpha}$. $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ċv $\pi$ oıovó $\mu o \iota s ~ \mu a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \rho \chi a i a s ~ \tau o ́ t o ı s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad 50$   фалєital. <br>  55 $\epsilon i$ ठ́̀ кvpєí $\tau \iota \varsigma \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha s$ oi $\omega \nu 0 \pi o ́ \lambda \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho$. $\beta^{\prime}$.   

telligible. The meaning is, 'the proper' time, i. e. of gestation, passed in conformity with the name;' a sort of enallage for 'the name was given according to the circumstances of the birth,' viz. 'Emaфos
 expresses the duration of the intermediate
 of birth. $-\epsilon \lambda \lambda \delta \gamma \omega s$ is sometimes used to imply that a name is rightly given from some event, as inf. 248. Frag. Aetn. 1,


 $\dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon!$ apds $\bar{\eta} \lambda \iota o v$. - The nominative to ${ }^{i}{ }^{i} \gamma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y} \nu \boldsymbol{j} \alpha \sigma \in \nu$ (which in the MSS. is corruptly combined with the next word, e' $\gamma$ ' $\nu$ $\nu a \sigma^{\prime}$ ébvt') is not aichv, but $\beta$ oùs, $\gamma \in \nu \nu a ̂ \nu$ being used of both sexes indifferently. The best copies have "Etaфov $\delta$ '. See sup. 15.
 from this passage, explains $\dot{\vec{e} \pi t \kappa a \lambda \in \sigma \alpha-~}$ $\mu^{\prime} \dot{y} \eta$. The Schol, also has è $\pi$ tika Another interpretation is proposed by Bothe, 'choosing as my patron.' Cf. Herod, iii. 157, т $\omega \bar{\nu}$ Baßu入ต $\nu^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ė $\pi$ $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi a \tau o$, and $i b$. vii. $10, \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \nu \lambda \epsilon \bar{\xi} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ ă $\nu$ $\delta p a s$ tous ė $\theta$ é $\lambda \in t s$. Schütz understands, 'mentioning the name,' as a testimony to their origin. The first appears to be the traditional meaning, and is accepted by Hermann.
52. Here the MSS. reading, $\tau \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \tau^{3} \dot{\alpha} v \delta \delta^{-}$ $\mu o t a$ oi $\bar{\delta}^{\prime}$ ă $\in \lambda \pi \alpha^{\alpha} \pi \in \rho$, is clearly corrupt.

 approved by J. Wordsworth, cannot be considered satisfactory. Hermann seems to have made a much happier guess,
 change of $\tau \dot{d} \tau \epsilon \nu \hat{v} \nu$ into $\gamma 0 \nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$, which he fancies is justified by the words of the
 єis $\pi \rho \sigma \gamma \delta \nu \omega \nu \gamma \eta \nu$, ,a mere supplement to explain the point and object of the tek$\mu \dot{\eta} \mathrm{p} i a$, -is too violent. For $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}{ }_{6} \in \lambda \pi \tau a$, \&c. I am responsible. There is no difficulty in $\tau \alpha ́ \tau \varepsilon \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ answered by $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \hat{\delta}$, as $\tau E$ and $\delta \in$ are often so used. In fact, if we suppose a very slight transposition, OIAOIA contains the same letters as OIOIA $\Delta$, i. e. OICTA $\Delta$, for there is hardly any difference between OI and CT. It is needless to remark that $T, \Gamma, I$, and $Z$, are continually confounded.
 has in view the subsequent conversation with the Kiag, by which the whole story of Io and her descendants is elicited, inf. 285 seqq.
58. ¿ảhoúєเข is Heath's certain correction for $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o \forall ̀ \omega \nu$. Conversely $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{d} \nu$ has been corrupted to $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i \nu$ in 174, and the confusion is very frequent. On tis repeated see Ag. 646. Eum. 516. Trach. 943. In Ar. Ach. 569 it occurs thrire,

 $\mu \eta \tau i \delta o s$, an epic periphrasis for T T $\rho$ éc $\omega$ s, as the Schol. remarks. Hermann condemns the other way of construing the
 $\mu \hat{\eta} i \delta o s$, which I had formerly adopted with Bothe and Dindorf, as against the natural order of the words. Otherwise, it may be defended by such expressions as Nutúéas à̀入ó $\omega$, Theocr. xxviii. 9. N $\eta$ $\lambda \eta * \omega v \hat{l}$, Il. ii. 20 . On the force of $\tau \epsilon$ see sup. 41. Scholefield was, I now think, right in understanding et mulieris et avis, i. e. one and the same person under the two characters. For in the following words she mourns as a bird for her lost haunts, as a woman for her son.

## 


 $\xi \nu \nu \tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota \delta e ̀ ~ \pi a \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ \mu o ́ \rho o \nu, ~ \oplus ́ s ~ a u ̉ r o ф o ́ v \omega s ~$
 §vбرáтороs кóтоv $\tau v \chi$ б́v. 65

61. єip ${ }^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\nu} a$. The MSS. give Épyo$\mu^{\prime} v a$. Hermann reads é $\gamma p o \mu e ̂ y a$, which he thinks borne out by the scholium $\delta \omega \kappa \sigma \mu$ év $\eta$. But the present participle seems rather to suit elpyouéva. She is kept away from her favourite haunts by the continual fear of the kite; rather than roused from them by a sudden invasion. But Hermann goes yet further. Supposing that the poet had in mind the fine verses on the nightingale in Od. xix. 518,
 ä $\eta \delta \grave{\omega} \nu$,

 עoî̃t,
 $\mu e ́ v a$. This is highly ingenious; but he fails to show that the vulgate is wrong by the somewhat frivolous question, 'num aquatilis avis est luscinia ?' The ancients always spoke of the bird as loving solitude; and the deep shade of trees is naturally associated with river banks. On the legend see Apollodor. iii. 14. Pausan.



 єipyo $\mu_{\text {éva). . Virg. Ecl. vi. 80, 'quo cursu }}$ deserta petiverit, et quibus ante Infelix sua tecta supervolitaverit alis.,
62. עéǒkтov olitov. 'A strange and wild strain,' with the notion so often attached to $\nu$ tos and its compounds of 'unfortunate,' 'wretched,' \&c. cf. inf. 336. Pers. 258. So Hermann for $\nu$ д́ov oîktov. Either the strophic or the antistrophic verse must be altered; and if we retain the vulgate here we must have recourse, with Dindorf, to the yet more violent alteration of Bamberger, in v . 57, évráios, oîktod dutiou. Hermann remarks that the two verses ought to correspond in the repetition of two similar
words. I formerly conjectured $\pi \in \nu \theta \in \hat{L} \nu$ єоккєy, but I have doubts if eotкa is ever used in the simple sense of фaivopal, especially with a relative. In tragedy it always involves the sense 'it is likely that
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma a s \kappa \delta \mu \eta s$. The later Greeks were less accurate; thus Strabo, xiii. p. 608,
 applied to the nightingale, oinos is the proper word, and so Blomfield long ago remarked, with reference to this passage, on Callim. Lav. Pall. 94, where we have
 1091, òpyts 发-
63. $\xi v \nu \tau i \theta \eta \sigma t$. "Nove dictum videtur, ut sit addit, quod dici poterat ${ }^{\prime} \nu \tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota$,

 posed to think he is right, to the rejection of the interpretation I formerly gave, 'comporit naeniam de fato filii, quomodo a se ipsa occisus perierit,' which was
 548. Bekk. Aneed. i. p. 63, $\sigma v \nu \theta \in i \downarrow v a l$
 aủtoфф́ves is here used as aùтоктóvers in Ag. 1613.
 is kept away from her native woods and driven into exile by a cruel pursuer, so I leave my native Nile through fear of my cousins.'-Nє $\uparrow \lambda 0 \theta \in \rho \hat{\eta}$, Schol. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{E} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 posed to 'Iaoviot though there is also an allusion to the name Io, as inf. 152 , and possibly to the soft and plaintive Ionian melody. The chorus says, 'Though born in Egypt, I lament in Grecian strains.' The same idea is expressed in rap $\beta a z \nu a \operatorname{avj} \delta \grave{\nu} \nu, \mathrm{v}$. 110, viz. that as Egyptian women descended from Greeks, they can speak Greek intelligibly. But this meaning is wholly lost if with Hermann we admit Emper's ei入otep $\hat{\eta}$, or with Dindorf adopt.

# $\delta \alpha ́ \pi \tau \omega ~ \tau a ̀ \nu ~ \dot{a} \pi a \lambda a ̀ \nu N \epsilon \iota \lambda o \theta \epsilon \rho \eta$ $\pi a \rho \epsilon \iota a ̀ \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ \delta \alpha к \rho v ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa а \rho \delta i ́ a \nu$. $\gamma o \epsilon \delta \nu a ̀ \delta^{\circ}$ à $\nu \theta \epsilon \mu i \zeta о \mu a \imath$ <br> $\delta \epsilon \mu a i \nu o v \sigma a$ фídovs，$\tau \operatorname{a} \sigma \delta \epsilon \phi v \gamma a ̂ s$ $\dot{\alpha} \in \rho_{i ́ a s}^{\alpha}{ }^{2}$ ò̀ $\gamma$ âs <br> єïтLs Ł̇ $\sigma \tau \grave{\iota} \kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \mu \omega ́ \nu$ ． <br>     

à $\eta \delta o v i l o t \sigma \varepsilon$ from Spanheim．It is however worthy of notice，that lao is written in the Med．over an erasure，and we have

 $\alpha$ of the penult must be long，contrary to common usage，if v． 75 be right，which Hermann and others alter；and кapoiav must be a dissyllable．The latter may surely be allowed without writing káp Sav $^{2}$ with Dindorf．For $\delta<\alpha_{\text {a }}$ is constantly a monsyllable in choral verses；see on Cho． 774 ；and perhaps generally when used in composition in iambics．
70．$\delta \in \mu$ аivouбa．There are serious difficulties here，especially as the antistro－ phic verse is not quite free from suspicion． Hermann，who quarrels with both the sense and the metre，reads $\delta \in i ̂ \mu a, \mu e ́ \nu o v \sigma a$ фinous，but without adding a word in de－ fence of the strange expression $\gamma 0 \in \delta \nu \alpha$

 dous，a question arises whether it means the relations，i．e．sons of Aegyptus，or the Argives，whose friendship is as yet unsecured．The comparison with the case of Philomela（see on 66）is clearly in favour of the former sense，which is adopted by Dindorf．We must thus un－ derstand $\epsilon$ litus द̇ $\sigma \mathcal{L}$ ，\＆cc．＂if any one of them is personally interested in my flight，＇ i．e．since they are interested in it．I think however there is some probability in what I formerly conjectured，$\delta_{\epsilon}$ 保i－

 taken for the Argives，the meaning will be，＇fearing that none of them care for my flight，＇i．e．will befriend me in it． See inf．716．Elmsley ad Med． 181.

71． usplas．Egypt was so called from
the dim and misty aspect it presented from the sea．Steph．Byzant．in vv．＇Aepía and Aľuuntos．Eustath．ad Dionys．p． 35，ed．R．Steph．Apollon．Rhod．iv．

 Pindar，Pyth．iv．93，similarly speaks of the $\kappa \in \lambda a \iota \nu \in \phi \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ a$ of Libya．

74．\＃8a．The Paris MS．has 引）Bal，the Med．$\hat{\eta}$ кal，Rob．务 кaí．Schütz con－ jectured ${ }^{\text {f }} \beta$ ，$\alpha$, but Mr ．Conington more rightly，as I think，adopts the dative（which also has the highest MS．authority）．Cf． 97 inf ．The meaning will then be，＇Not allowing youth to have its desires realised contrary to justice，＇i．e．not letting the sons of Aegyptus unlawfully possess our persons．It is easy to supply $\tau \delta \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu a$ ， or to Boúlevpa，with $\tau \in \in \in \epsilon \nu$ ，or even ＂̈ß $\beta \iota \nu$ from the following verse．The $\mu \eta$ is used in continuation of the imperative sense，as $\mu \eta \kappa$ ќ $\tau^{\prime}$ lá $\pi \tau \omega \nu$ Ag．493，$\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ inf． 792.
75．éroincws．So the Med．Hermann
 with Turnebus，$\sigma \tau v$ रoũv $\epsilon \in s$ ．But Homer uses the aorist ${ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \tau v \gamma o v, \mathrm{Od} . \mathrm{x} .113$ ，and $\sigma \tau u \gamma \delta \nu \tau \in s$, which all the good copies give， suits the preceding $\delta \delta y$ ves much better． Hermann further gives $\nu \delta \mu o s$ for $\gamma$ á $\mu o s$, which he thinks may be detected in the
 $\eta_{n} \hat{\imath} \nu$ ，and explains，＇be just to the laws which protect Suppliants at your altars．＇ But the Scholiast only meant＇lawful marriages，and such as are satisfactory to ourselves，＇contrastiug $\gamma$ duots with ${ }_{\delta}{ }^{\beta} \beta \rho i \nu$ ，which frequently signifies＇rape＇ or＇abduction．＇Translate，＇and showing a prompt hatred to unholy passions，be just to our marriage，＇i．e．if we are to wed，let it be lawfully．

## 

$\beta \omega \mu$ òs ảpâs фvүáouv.
 єỉ $\theta$ єíخ $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \epsilon \grave{v} \pi a \nu \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$.
$\sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.

$\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha$ тоь $\phi \lambda \in \gamma \in \epsilon \in \epsilon$
 $\mu \epsilon \rho o ́ \pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \lambda a 0 i ̂ s$.

 §av入oì $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \rho a \pi i \delta \omega \nu$
 mov, which led me formerly to suggest
 metrical discrepancy inf. 537, 546 , though in a proper name. The meaning is, 'Those who are hard pressed in war find safety in the sanctity of an altar; and shall we be denied the like security?' The MSS. here generally give "Ap g , which Dind. retains. But $\dot{\alpha} p \eta$ is Homeric, Il. xviii. 100. Cf. Hes. Theog. 657. He-
 pare for the sense Cho. 328. Plutarch.



 See inf. 185.
80. $\theta \in$ d́s. The MSS. give $\Delta$ tós. Porson (on Orest. fin.) shews that these words are occasionally confounded. The poet seems clearly to allude to the derivation of $\theta$ eds from $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$, whence he adds тava入 $\eta \theta \omega \hat{s}$. 'May Providence in good sooth provide for us well.' So inf. 309,


 $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \in i ̄ \chi o v$. See New Cratylus, § 473. Hermann's conjecture $i \theta \in i ́ n ~ \Delta i d s$, recta voluntate Jovis, (Hesych. єiesia' סıкa.so$\sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$,) is rather ingenious, but has the great disadvantage of coutinuing the sense into a new strophe, which is a licence very rarely allowed. See however inf. 577.
81. The connexion is, 'And yet our hopes may be disappointed, as human hopes often are (v. 90); for the counsels of Zeus are not easily divined.'- $\pi \dot{d} \nu \tau \alpha$, Doric for $\pi d \nu \tau \eta$. The MSS. give $\pi d^{\prime} \nu \tau$,, Rob. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \eta$, Dind. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a$. The doctrine
here is clearly Pythagorean: ' That there is a divine will is clear even amidst the darkness which prevents mortals from knowing what that will is.' Compare 1042.

 sponding verse. The schol. seems to have

85. $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon t$ à $\sigma \phi a \lambda e ́ s . \quad ' F a l l s ~ w i t h o u t ~$ being tripped up, and not on its back.' A singular expression, but sufficiently intelligible from the customs of the wrest-ling-school, where the victory consisted in three 'clean throws,' i. e. in the adversary being fairly laid on his back, when he was said $\kappa \in i=1 \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \in \sigma$. $y$. See Eum. $559 . \mathrm{Ag} .165 .858 .1256$. If he fell on the knee only it was no defeat; Ag. 63. Pers. 914. Moreover, $\chi \alpha \mu a l \pi\{\pi \tau \in \epsilon \nu$ was a proverb for words or intentions which were never realised, as Theb. 791. For $\sigma \phi \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \omega$ in its primary signification, see Il. xxiii. 719. So Callimachus, корифà
 sense, these two verses merely amplify the $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ тol $\phi \lambda \in \gamma^{\prime} \theta \in t$, \&c., while the $\gamma \dot{d} \rho$ which immediately follows reverts to oùr eidyparos. The metaphor changes to the overgrown tracks through a forest.
87. бauरol. Pausan. х. 4, 5, $\kappa \alpha \lambda \in \hat{i} \sigma \theta a b$


 Cf. frag. 30. The Spartans worshipped Zeìs Eкoтitâs in a grove of shadowing oaks. Pausan. iii. 10, 7. Similarly Strabo, ix. p. 423, тойעо $\mu \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \bar{\epsilon} \tau \varphi \uparrow$ то́ $\pi \varphi$ (se. $\Delta \alpha \nu-$

 bably from $\delta a ̀$ and $\mathcal{t} \lambda \eta$.

ба́бкьоí $\tau \in \tau \epsilon i ́ v o v \sigma \iota \nu \pi o ́ \rho o \iota$, $\kappa а \tau i \delta \epsilon i v$ à $\phi \rho \alpha \sigma \tau о$.  $\pi \alpha \nu \omega ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ ß ротov́s,  $\pi \hat{a} \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \pi o \nu o \nu \delta \alpha \iota \mu o \nu i ́ \omega \nu$. $\stackrel{\gamma}{\eta} \mu \in \nu$ оs ò̀ $\nu$ фро́v $\eta \mu \alpha ́ \pi \omega \mathrm{~s}$   $\nu \in \alpha ́ \zeta \in \iota \pi \nu \theta \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\delta \iota^{2} \dot{a} \mu \grave{\partial} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \circ \nu \tau \epsilon \theta a \lambda \grave{\omega} \varsigma$

90. $\delta \quad$ è $\lambda \pi \delta \omega \nu$. So Herm. and Well.
 258 one MS. has àmidas for é $\lambda \pi i \delta a s$.
 must suppose the metaphor to have again changed to the military operations of a siege (áánтєi, \&c.). 'To do this,'-viz. in order to hurl mortals from their towering hopes, -'he calls into action, (or arms as his ally,) no force: every supernatural event is brought to pass without labour or trouble.' So Eum. 621, Zeus is said to
 give $\tau \grave{a} v$ 台Troivov, which is manifestly corrupt. I have admitted the correction of Wellauer, without feeling any great con-

- fidence in its truth. Hermann objects that Aeschylus would have written $\xi \xi$ $o \pi \lambda t\} \omega \nu$, and $\pi \hat{a} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ámovov: but this is at most a matter of opinion. His own correction is very bold, Biav $\delta^{2}$ oйтts $\bar{\epsilon} \xi-$ $\alpha \lambda i \xi \in \epsilon$. In support of the sentiment however, he might well have compared Pers.
 $\phi \nu \gamma \in i v$. As for $\delta a t \mu \nu \nu^{\prime}(\omega \nu$, the omission of the article makes it a harsh expression, and scarcely parallel to the well-known
 Med. 1159. Bacch. 1388. In the first edition I corrected $\delta$ aımóvov, but the metre hardly allows of this.
 $a \mathrm{a} \nu \omega$, which Hermann alters to $\mu \nu \eta \mu_{\mu} \nu$ $\not \partial \nu \omega$, objecting that ${ }_{\eta} \mu \in \nu \nu \nu$ is "languidum"
 But this difficulty is readily disposed of by retaining E' $\phi^{3}$ of the MSS. and rejecting $\dot{\alpha} \phi^{3}$ of the emendators. For the notion of majesty is often expressed by the mention of a regal throne, as inf. 591. Cho.

962. Thus the sense is satisfactory: 'Seated on his holy throne he nevertheless (i. e. though from afar) works out his will without stirring from the spot.' This is the force of aùv $\delta \theta \in \nu$, illico, and it quite bears out the preceding ăสovov. Nor need we write ${ }^{\mathbf{\alpha}} \phi^{2}$ for $\bar{\epsilon} \phi^{3}$ merely because
 was suggested by J. Wordsworth. The Homeric ${ }^{b} \nu$, suum, may be allowed a place in a chorus remarkable for its epic diction throughout. Indeed, the Schol. seems to have read thus in explaining
 is clear that he read ' $\epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ and not ${ }^{3} \phi^{3}$, for though he repeats the latter at the end, it is only as a gloss to aviv $\delta \theta \in \nu$. This will be clear to any one who considers his words correctly punctuated, $\tau \grave{\partial} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \phi \rho о \eta \eta \mu a$


 pavov. Mr. Conington conjectures $\ddagger$ $\mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \quad{ }^{\prime} \in \nu$, , in the way that he chooses.'
963. olq, sc. Ü $\beta \rho \in$ ¢ . So Schütz. The MSS. give oia, Hermw oila, putting a comma after $\nu \epsilon \dot{d} \zeta \epsilon$, so that $\bar{\pi} \nu \theta \mu \eta \eta \nu$ stands in opposition, and we have $\nu \in \dot{\alpha}\} o v \sigma a \mathbb{V} \beta \rho \iota s$, Agam. 739. By $\pi v \theta \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ the family of Aegyptus is indirectly meant, of which he is himself the stock or parent tree. Schol. aùtos o Aťyuntos. The old stock is here said to bud and blossom anew in the insolence of his sons. Cf. Cho. 196. 252.
964. $\tau \in \theta a \lambda \omega$ 's. Bothe's emendation for to $\theta \alpha$ dos is completely confirmed by the scholium oủ фú $\lambda \lambda o t s$, à $\lambda \lambda \alpha \grave{a ̀} \tau \hat{p}$ àvola $\tau \hat{\omega} y$ $\pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu$ éavoov, where there seems an allusion to Od. xii. 103, фú $\lambda \lambda$ oเ $\sigma$ ı $\tau \in \theta \eta \lambda \omega$ s.

# $\delta \nu \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta$ oú入o८ $\iota \iota \phi \rho \in \sigma \grave{\nu}$, <br> 100 cai סıávolà $\mu a \iota \nu o ́ \lambda ı \nu$   <br> $\lambda \iota \gamma \epsilon ́ \alpha \beta$ ß $є$ є́a $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho v о \pi \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$, $i \eta$ ，in, <br> i$\eta \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о \iota \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \hat{\eta}$ ． <br> $\zeta \hat{\sigma} \alpha \alpha$ रóoıs $\mu \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega}$ ． <br> i入є́о $\mu \alpha \iota \mu$ м̀＇＇$A \pi i \alpha \nu \beta o v ิ \nu \iota \nu$ ， <br> $\kappa \alpha \rho \beta \hat{a} \nu$＇av̉סà $\nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \hat{v}, \gamma \hat{a}, \kappa о \nu \nu \epsilon i ̂ s$. 

 feminine form is rare，but occurs Orest．

 put os．The word $\mu a l \nu \in \sigma \theta a t$ is often used of the phrenzy of love．For סidaolay it may be doubted whether we should not restore $\delta l^{\prime}$ ávocav，for the schol．alludes to this reading in $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ab $\mathbf{\nu}$ olaf．On the meaning of this word，which is very appropriate to the present passage，see inf． 1144.

102．à $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau a ̆$. ＇Through disappoint－ mont．＇So Antiq．630，ar $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \alpha s ~ \lambda \epsilon \chi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ $\hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho a \lambda \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．－$\mu \epsilon \tau a \gamma \nu \circ \dot{\iota}$ s，zero cognøscens． Though this seems to be the only instance of $\mu \in \tau a \gamma \nu \omega \bar{\omega}{ }^{\circ}$ so used，it gives a more natural and simple sense than that before proposed by me，from Ag．214，＇having resolved on an infatuated act，＇i．e．the pursuit．See on 400 inf．

104．$\lambda$ é $\gamma \omega$ ．The MSS．give $\lambda$＇́ $\gamma \omega \nu$ ． Hermann follows Anger in reading $\delta^{\circ}{ }^{\mathbf{z}} \gamma \dot{\omega}$, connecting the pronoun with $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega}, \mathrm{v}, 108$. These words，$\delta^{\prime \prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ and $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$ ，or rather， $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ ，are confounded in Ag． 1262. But，like $\pi a ̂ \nu$ 兑 $\pi o \nu 0 \nu, \& c$ ．in v．93，the short sentence in v． 108 may be allowed to stand by itself．The MSS．repeat


108．$\tau \mu \mu \hat{\omega}$ ．The construction with a dative is not uncommon，as Herc．Fur．
 p．25．$\tau \mu \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon$ тoúvots．Aelian，Var．
 Cf．Theb．1040．Orac．ap．Pausan．vi．9， ad fin．$\delta \nu$ vvalats $\tau \mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon$ ．More unusual is $\mu \varepsilon$ for ${ }^{\prime} \mu a u \tau h \nu$ ．For the sentiment， Wordsworth compares Il．vi．500，ai $\mu \dot{\in} \nu$



 §ลิעтas．
 entering a strange land to invoke it，with the elements and the $\theta \in a l$ E＇$\gamma \chi \bar{\omega} \rho t o t$ ，to be propitious．Supra，23．Oed．Col．44，
 Cyrop．iii．3．22，émei тáxıбтa $\delta \iota \in ́ \beta \eta$ Ta

 кápßavos（inf．891）is explained by the grammarians $\beta$ áp $\beta a p o s$ ．In its origin it is probably Semitic．The chorus says， ＇You understand my barbaric address，＇ because Boûvis，a hill－country，was be－ lieved，though perhaps wrongly，to be a Cyrenean or African word．See sup．on v．67，inf．756．New Cratylus，p． 659. The reading of the following words is un－ fortunately corrupt，both here and inf． 121.
 Hence $\epsilon \hat{y}, \gamma \hat{a}$, кovyeís Boissonade and
 I have transposed the $\delta^{\prime}$ for several rea－ sons ；first，because the Med．has кар $\beta$ d $\nu$ ai $\delta a \dot{v} \delta a^{2}$ in 121，and MS．Guelph．кар－
 commonly misplaced，as inf．891，rad $\rho$－ Bravos $\delta^{2} \omega \nu$ for $\kappa \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho \beta a \nu o s ~ \omega \nu \delta$＇，（see also on v．315，）lastly，the verse corresponds with $152-3$ infra．being spondaic ana－ paestic dimeter．The Schol，indicates the same to be the true reading，or very near
 where we should correct $\bar{\omega} \gamma \hat{\eta}$ ．We have the form roy ven inf．154．Others have conjectured noєîs or $\kappa$ vecîs．（Jeff，Gr．Gr． § 23．obs．4．）It is not impossible that the MSS．reading is a corruption of a gloss，єủ̉̉́кoúєıs．Robortello gives єủ－ aкоєis．

 <br>  $i \omega$ ，${ }^{i} \omega$ ，<br>ì̀，$\delta v \sigma a ́ \gamma к р \iota \tau о$ то́vou•<br>$\pi o ̂ ̂ ~ \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \kappa \hat{v} \mu$＇ $\mathfrak{a} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \xi \in \iota$ ；<br><br>  §ìv 入aкídı 入ivoorıv ${ }^{\eta}$<br><br>125<br>$\pi \lambda a ́ \tau \alpha \mu$ èv oû̀ $\lambda \iota \nu 0 \rho \rho \alpha \not \eta^{\prime} s \tau \epsilon$<br>$\sigma \tau \rho . \zeta^{\prime}$.

112．ל̧ìv $\lambda$ ancios．＇I fall upon my linen dress and head－attire with rending．＇Cf． inf．879．Cho．26．Pers．129．The Egyptians were always famous for the manufacture of linen，as Sir J．Gardner Wilkinson has shown（Ancient Egyptians， Vol．ii．p．72，\＆c．），whence also $\lambda$ ivop． paфض̀s inf． 126 ；nor was the art of em－ broidery unknown to them（ib．p．81），so that we might be disposed to ask what Sidonian manufactures are here meant， but that Aeschylus probably had in view


 It appears that in the Med．there is an erasure of a letter after the first syllable $\sigma$ ．The scribe therefore originally wrote $\sigma \tau \nu \delta o \nu l a$, and $\sigma L \nu \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ is the very word used by Herod．（ii． 86 ；see Wilkinson ut sup．p．73）for the mummy－cloths of linen．－ка入úттрa，as the name implies， was a kind of veil，probably a cloth thrown over the head as it is still worn in Asia Minor（see Sir Chas．Fellows＇ Travels in Lycia，p．353，ed．1852），for the Egyptian women，properly speaking， do not appear，from the ancient pictures， to have worn any thing of the sort．

116．The MSS．have the slight errors， long ago corrected by Hermann， $\mathfrak{e} \pi / \delta \delta \delta \delta \mu \omega$


 He should rather have supplied $\tau \omega \nu \pi \rho a \gamma-$ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ ，by an idiom not uncommon in

Aeschylus，as Theb．263，$\epsilon \hat{\dot{v}} \dot{\xi} v \nu \tau u \chi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ， inf．437．Eum．742．Ag．938－－т́́ $\lambda \eta$ ， ＇sacrifices，＇as Pers．206，$\hat{\omega} \nu$ тét $\eta$ тá $\delta \epsilon$ ， but with the notion of payment or re－ compense for，so that the genitive de－
 a vow，＇or rather，＇under the ban of a broken vow．＇See the commentators on $\mathcal{E}^{2} \nu a \gamma$ خोs $\phi$（גas，Oed．Tyr．656．The senti－ ment is general，as appears from 8 too （ $\alpha \nu$ ）$\dot{\alpha} \pi \hat{y}$. －Wherever there is an escape from death，thanksgivings for safety ac－ crue from a moral obligation to the gods，＇ i．e．they shall be paid in this instance．
 $\lambda^{\prime}$ เvoppaфض）s，sup．112．The pseudo－Egyp－ tian in Ar．Thesm． 935 is called iottop－ pd́oos，i．е．$\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu o \rho \rho \alpha ́ \phi o s$, because the Egyptians are said to have first used sails． （See Wilkinson，i．p．412．ii．123，who quotes Ezekiel，xxvii．7．）Whether $\delta$ opos belongs to $\delta \delta \mu o s$ or ${ }^{2} \chi \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \alpha \pi о \nu$ is uncer－
 670 ，but on the other hand $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \pi \nu 0 a i ̂ s$, non sine ventis，i．e．by the aid also of favouring winds，makes rather the other way．The Schol．joins $\delta \delta{ }_{\mu} \mu o s ~ \delta o p \delta s$ ，and understands $\lambda$ ．$\nu$ oppaфìs of sewing toge－ ther papyrus－boats．（Wilkinson，ii．120．） The imperfect $\ddot{\varepsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon$ implies（as in Pers． 280 ）that the action is only contemplated so far as it has yet gone；hence $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon v \tau a ̀ s$
 to find with it；it has performed its part so far well enough．＇Cf．Soph．Phil．1465，


où $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \in \mu \phi о \mu a l \cdot \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a ̀ s \delta^{\circ}$

$\pi \rho \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \in і$ і́s ктібєєє $\nu$,
$\sigma \pi \varepsilon ́ \rho \mu \alpha \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ̂ s ~ \mu e ́ \gamma a ~ \mu a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~$


$\theta \epsilon ́ \lambda o v \sigma a \delta^{\prime}$ aî $\theta$ énovgav áyvá $\mu$ ’ àvt. $\zeta^{\prime}$.
є̇ $\pi \downarrow \delta \in ́ \tau \omega$ पiòs кópa,
' ${ }^{\text {² }}$
$\pi \alpha \nu \tau i ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu o i ̂ s$
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \grave{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}} \dot{\alpha} \delta \mu \tilde{\eta} \tau \sigma \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \delta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \alpha$
ṕv́cıos $\gamma \in \cup \in ́ \sigma \theta \omega$,

$\epsilon \dot{c} \nu a ̀ s ~ \alpha ̉ \nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$, éǹ,

$\epsilon^{i} \delta \grave{~} \mu \bar{\eta}, \mu \in \lambda \alpha \nu \theta$ ès
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \mu \not{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \nu \hat{\eta} \alpha$.
129. The MSS. give $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon u \tau \hat{\tau} s$. Hermann introduces rather extensive alterations here and in the antistrophe. By adding $t \nu$, he destroys the wish expressed in $\kappa \tau / \sigma \in \epsilon \epsilon$, which seems the very point of the passage. The Schol. however ex-
 тд̀ téخos. See on 1036.
137. 'Aptєpts. This is Hermann's conjecture for $\dot{a} \sigma \phi \cos ^{\prime} \hat{\epsilon} s$, a word undoubtedly corrupt. Cf. 1P10, èmiòt $\delta^{\prime}$ "Aptemis a $\gamma \nu$ á. I have ventured to admit this, because, while the vulgate is evidently worthless, there is a strong probability of
 properly the inner walls of a temple or court, against which statues were placed. Hesych. èvámta, tà катаעтıкр̀̀ тoù $\pi v$ -

 meric. In this instance we must understand the wall (inoorituiov) below the stage, where a statue of Diana was placed.
138. Again we are met by serious corruptions. The MSS. give $\pi a \nu \tau i$ 立 $\sigma \theta \hat{-}$ -

 $\delta เ \omega \gamma \mu 0 \hat{s}$ (so I had given in ed. 2) $\epsilon_{\mu}^{\prime} \mu \hat{\imath} \sigma t \nu$
$\dot{a} \sigma \chi \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma^{3}$, and fills up the supposed deficiency in the strophe by inserting aavтápXas before $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \dot{\sigma} \tau \pi a s$ from Soph. Oed.
 may mean, without much violence to the words, (see sup. 85 ,) 'uncaught (untripped) in the chace,' since Diana had been pursued by Orion, Alpheus (Pausan. vi. 22,5 ), and Otus, and escaped without hurt. Thus the point of the invocation becomes at once clear and appropriate. - $\dot{\alpha} \delta \mu \hat{\eta} \tau o s$ seems a plausible correction. There were two forms, $\dot{\alpha} \delta \mu \eta \eta_{s}$ and $\approx \delta \mu \mu \eta \tau o s$,
 singular or the accusative plural of the
 тapөévos ท̀n $\mu \mathrm{a} s$ tàs $\pi a p \theta \in ́ v o u s$, which shews that $\dot{\alpha} \delta \mu$ jras is an ancient reading, and that he understood it as the accusative. But throughout the whole of this chorus (see on v. 40) either one of the sisters or the $\dot{\eta} \gamma \leqslant \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ appears as the speaker, whereas in the anapaests of the parode the plural is uniformly used. We need not however infer that 144-151 were recited by all the voices at once. Hermann distributes the latter part, from v. 104, between Hemichoria $A$ and B, the end of each strophe being repeated in the antistrophe.

# $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o ́ \kappa т v \pi о \nu ~ \gamma \in ́ v o s$, тò̀ $\gamma$ áıov， <br>   ảpтávaıs $\theta a \nu o v ̂ \sigma a u$, $\gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \hat{\alpha}{ }_{s}^{*} \sigma \hat{a} s$ oủpavovíкоv． 

145．ท่入льктитоу．So Wellauer happily
 well known，imagined that the dark colour of African and Indian races arose from their greater proximity to the sun．The colour of the Egyptians seems to have been a dark shade of reddish brown，so dark indeed，that Herodotus calls the women black，ii．57，Mé̉alyà 入érovtes

 Epaphus is $\kappa \in \lambda a \iota \nu \partial s$ Prom． 870.

146．$\tau \delta \nu \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} t o v$ ．The MSS．give $\tau \partial \nu-$ tatov，with some varieties of accent． Wellauer restored $\Gamma$ for $T$ ．Zè̀s $\gamma$ dócos is the Zєès кatax日bvios of Il．ix．457．Zèेs ádios inf．227．This passage is quoted by two Grammarians，（Etymol．Gud．p． 227．38，and Cramer＇s Aneedota Graeca， vol．ii．p．443，）who read $\tau \delta \nu \dot{a} \gamma p a i o \nu$. Pluto was called $\pi o \lambda \dot{\prime} \dot{\xi} \in \nu o s$, as he was $\pi o \lambda \nu \delta \in \in \kappa \tau \eta s$ and $\pi \sigma \lambda \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \mu \omega \nu$ ，as the receiver of all mortals without distinction．Aes－ chylus applied the same epithet to Zaypè̀s， another name of the infernal god，Frag． 229．Dind．

151．$\mu \grave{\eta} \tau u \chi o v ิ \sigma a t$ ，＇if we should fail to obtain the favour of．＇Dobree compares Virgil＇s＇flectere si nequeo superos，Ache－ ronta movebo；＇and for $\tau u \chi \epsilon \bar{\nu}$ ，Hippol． 328，бо̂̀ тטХєìv．Lysias，p．170，$\mu \grave{\eta}$
 тoîs，\＆c．Antiphan．ap．Athen．vii．p．
 тuхєìv．

152．The MSS．here give the strange word $\bar{a}\langle\eta v i o v \sigma t \omega$ ，which the Schol．as

 $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \omega \tau \iota \kappa 斤$ ．For $\omega \delta \bar{\eta} s$ Dind．reads $\dot{\omega} \delta 1 s$ ，a very improbable correction．Her－ mann，with an acuteness which it is im－ possible not to admire，corrects $i \omega \delta \eta \mathrm{~m}$ ， and suggests that this could only have
been an interpretation of＇Iov̂s $\hat{i} \hat{\varphi}$ ，i．e． ＇through spite against Io．＇It can hardly be doubted that the Schol．really did read thus；and though $\delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \phi \rho \omega \nu$ lds，Ag．801， ＇the venom of malevolence，＇in the ab－ stract，is a much more natural expression than ibs tivos，＇rancour against any one，＇ there is some excuse for the poet in the evident play on the words．The common reading，from Salvinius，दे Zìv＇Iovs ì $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu i s$ ，is not only weak in sense and totally unmetrical，but quite opposed to the words of the Schol．The sense is，＇$O$ Zeus，＇tis through spite against Io that the anger of the gods still pursues us； for I know the fury of thy all－powerful bride．＇On the nom．Zin see Ar．Av． 570，Apoעтáтढ ע̂̀v $\delta \mu \not \mu^{\prime} \gamma a s$ Zád．Hesych． Záy＇Z $\in \dot{u} s^{\prime}$ ．Pausanias，v．21，2，says that the people of Olympia called certain statues of Jupiter Zâves．Hence Dind． reads in this place Zòv with Bamberger．

153．$\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon!\rho a$ ，vestigatrix，the feminine form of $\mu a \sigma \tau \eta p$ ．Hermann thinks the poet may have used $\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \tau \rho$ from $\mu^{\prime} a \sigma \tau \ell \epsilon L$ ． Mr．Conington finds an allusion to the Athenian $\mu a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s$ ，or commissioners for investigating the affairs of public debtors． －коурй，see sup．110．Hesych．кoцveiv．


154．$\gamma \alpha \mu \in \tau \hat{a} s$ бâs．The MSS．give үаметочрадб⿱亠䒑єноу．The Schol．rightly read oùpayoviкov，Ald．Turn．ov̀payovéкou． Compare a similar corruption in 598. The word $\gamma \alpha \mu \in \tau \bar{\alpha} s$ ，standing alone，had always appeared to me ambiguous and un－ satisfactory；and I now accept Hermann＇s highly probable supplement $\sigma \hat{u} s$ ，believing that the verse（which I had made Ion．a minore）is in fact the base to the preceding anapaestic couplet．Hera was indeed the titular Conjunx，or goddess of marriage ； but，considered as a title，there is no place for the name in the present passage．
$\chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi o \hat{v}} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ध́к
155
 каì тóт’ ov̉ Sıкаíous $Z \epsilon \grave{\varsigma}$ ẻvé ${ }^{\prime} \in \tau \alpha \iota$ 入óyols， тò $\nu \tau \hat{\alpha} \varsigma \beta$ oòs
 $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ モै $\chi \omega \nu \pi \alpha \lambda_{i ́ \nu \tau}{ }^{2} \circ \pi о \nu$

vi $\psi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \delta^{\circ}$ єv̉ к入úol ка入оú $\mu \in \nu о s$.

$\mu \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \rho \cdot$＇є́к $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \cdot \kappa о \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \delta$＇äт $\tau \alpha \nu$
$\gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \hat{a}{ }^{*}$＊$\sigma \hat{\alpha}$ s ov̉ $\rho a \nu o \nu i ́ k o v \cdot$
$\chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi o \hat{v}} \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ éк
$\pi \nu \epsilon \cup ́ \mu \alpha \pi o s ~ \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \iota \chi \chi \in \mu \omega \prime \nu$.

## $\triangle A N A O \Sigma$ ．


 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\tau} \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\pi} \grave{\imath} \chi \in ́ \rho \sigma o v \nu \nu \bar{\nu} \nu \pi о \mu \eta \theta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$

$\dot{\circ} \rho \hat{\omega}$ кóvıv，aٌ้ $\nu \alpha v \delta o \nu \stackrel{a}{a} \gamma \gamma \in \lambda о \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \hat{v}$ ．

155．$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ énc，\＆c．The $\gamma$ d̀p is rather obscure．The Scholiast refers it to 147 supra，the verses between， $\bar{\alpha} \mathrm{Z} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$, \＆c． being parenthetical．I doubt if this is possible；and I think the chorus speaks of Juno＇s anger as a＇breeze，＇meaning that further troubles await them from this manifestation of it．

 corrected ${ }^{2} \nu \in \dot{U} \dot{\xi} \in \tau a l$ or $\alpha, \nu \in \dot{U} \xi \in \tau a l$ of the MSS．Cf．${ }^{2} \nu \in \xi \in \sigma \theta a t \phi \delta \nu \varphi$

161．Еैкт $\tau \sigma \in \nu$ रбуч，generando creavit． Pearson proposed to read $\gamma \delta \nu 0 \nu$ ，because the word commonly means offspring in tragedy．

166－71．These verses are omitted in the MSS．Canter perceived that they should be repeated，as in all the anti－ strophes from 104 supra．

172．Hккетє．In the former editions I was loath to part with lieete，the MSS．
reading，on the ground that Aeschylus must elsewhere have used that epic form， as appears from Frag．Aetn．1，Пa $\lambda$ iк $\omega \nu$
 бн⿰㇒́巾ov Tó $\delta^{\prime}$ ès $\phi$ dás．But the exceptional nature of that passage，the frequent confusion of $t$ and $\eta$ ，and the consensus criticorum in favour of Porson＇s correc－ tion，afford sufficient grounds for admit－ ting 豹 $\kappa \tau \tau$ ．
 $\lambda a \beta \in i \nu$ ．See on V．58．It is clear that caution by land is contrasted with the prudence shewn by Danaus during the voyage．For aiv⿳亠丷厂彡（i．e．$\dot{\pi} \alpha \rho a t \nu \hat{\omega})$ see
 $\phi u \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon เ \nu$ Ajac． 782 ；more usually in the middle，as inf．202，989．－$\delta \in \lambda \tau о \nu \mu$ évas，
 We should say，＇making a memorandum of them，＇without reference to actual writing．And so Schol．àлоүрафоце́vas．


 $\tau \alpha ́ \chi$ ’ ${ }^{a} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \gamma \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \gamma \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \iota$

 $\mathfrak{\omega}_{\mu \hat{\eta}} \xi \grave{\nu} \nu$ ỏ $\rho \gamma \hat{\eta}$ тóv $\delta^{\prime}$ є่ $\pi o ́ \rho \nu v \tau a \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o \nu$, ä $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \kappa ’, ~ \grave{\omega}$ ко́рає, $\pi a ́ \gamma о \nu \pi \rho о \sigma i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{’} \dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu i ́ \omega \nu \quad \theta \in \hat{\omega} \nu$.
крєí $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ठѐ $\pi v ́ \rho \gamma о v$ $\beta \omega \mu$ о̀s, ă $\rho \rho \eta \kappa \tau о \nu$ ба́коя. ả入入’ ${ }_{\omega}^{\omega} \varsigma \tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \beta \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$, каì $\lambda \epsilon v к о \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \epsilon i s$
180. $\pi \rho \dot{\partial} s{ }_{\eta}^{\eta} \mu$ âs ỏn $\tau \hat{\eta} \rho \in s \in \hat{l} \in \nu$. Compare
 i. e. on hearing the news of our arrival brought by the country folk. The Suppliants had not sent any herald, inf. 234.
 rather, perhaps, ${ }^{\text {a }} \rho \chi \eta \gamma \bar{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$. This is Porson's correction for $\tau \in \theta \in \mu \in \mu^{\prime}$ Scholef, and Dind., while Hermann adopts $\tau \in \theta \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon$ vos from Pearson. The choice is not easy : one of the two, it may almost be said, must be right; and it is in favour of Hermann's decision that I and $\Gamma$ are elsewhere confused, as inf. 222, the Med.
 has also used $\tau \in \theta \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon$ yos Theb, 712. But in this case we should rather have looked for ${ }^{\circ} \rho \gamma \hat{n}$ than $\xi \bar{\xi} \nu \bar{b} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta}$, which can only be construed with é $\pi \delta \rho v v_{\alpha a l}$ Cf. Soph.
 evidence for $\tau \in \theta \nu \mu \dot{d}$ evos is Plato, Phaedr. § 8, Тифйvos $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \grave{~} \pi \tau t \in \theta \nu \mu \mu \in ́ v o \nu$.



 last appears to be only a corruption of $\tau \in \theta v \mu \mu \in{ }^{\prime} \nu 0 \nu$, for a MS. Etymol. quoted by Ruhnken on Timaeus in v . è è $\tau \tau \in \theta v \mu \mu$ évos explains $\tau u ́ \varphi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ by $\dot{\tau} \epsilon \tau \cup ф \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a \iota \tau \eta \nu$ Stajotav.
183. द̈ $\pi \delta \rho \nu v \tau \alpha \iota ~ \sigma \tau \dot{\partial} \lambda o \nu . \quad$ Schol. $\tau \delta \nu$


 fusion between $\dot{\partial} \rho \gamma \eta$ and $\delta \rho \mu\rangle$ is very frequent : but $\omega \mu \dot{\eta}$ is more applicable to the former.
184. Tavids eivek', 'in respect of whatever may happen.' Dindorf, Hermann, and others, correct of $\nu \in \kappa^{\prime}$, but the question
seems set at rest by the argument in New Cratylus, § 277. In later times it cannot be questioned that oî ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ became one word; not in the Homeric sense, answering to $\tau 0{ }^{2} \nu \in \kappa \alpha$, but taking the place of the simple preposition. See on Prom. 353, where the MSS. agree in elvera.
185. $\pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \gamma_{0} \% \pi \rho o \sigma i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$. They are directed to leave the Thymele and approach to an altar with images and symbols around it near, if not on, the stage. Thus they will at once converse more conveniently with the stranger who is arriving, and enjoy the more immediate protection of their father, v. 204. Hermann reads $\tau \delta \nu \delta$ 'for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime}$, but either case gives the same meaning. Cf, inf. 349, ö $\mu / \lambda o \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \ell \omega \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$. For the accusative compare $\beta \omega \mu \dot{\jmath} \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \nu$, Pers. 205. $\beta \omega \mu \partial \nu$ $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma l \zeta_{\epsilon} \in \nu$ Eur. frag. incert. 24, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma-$ $\sigma \tau \eta ̂ \nu a \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta \eta \nu \tau \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \zeta \alpha \nu$, Soph. Frag. 580.
 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \grave{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \omega \nu \pi \rho a \epsilon \sigma \tau \bar{\omega} \tau \epsilon 5$, as Hesychius explains, though Eustathius on II. $\omega .1$

 latter view is adopted by Müller on Eum. p. 253. If a mere coincidence, it is remarkable that the very gods who presided over the great Grecian games, Zeus, Apollo, Poseidon, are separately and specially invoked below. As one of these games, the Nemean, belonged to Argos, the reference seems the more appropriate. Even Hermes was worshipped as ${ }^{3}$ Evaүш́vios, Pausan. v. 14, 7. Aesch. frag. 387, 'Еváyஸ́vte Maias ral $\Delta_{i} \partial s^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \rho \mu \mathrm{a}$. In Ag. 496, тov́s $\tau$ ' àravious $\theta$ єot̀s mávтas
 evidently meant: but both senses may have co-existed.





 тò $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu a ́ \tau a \iota o \nu \delta^{\prime}$＇่̇к $\mu \epsilon \tau \omega \pi о \sigma \omega \phi \rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu$




188．ikr ine 1 pilas，which is less suited to the re－ gularity of the Aeschylean senarius．－Ai－ סoiov $\Delta i d$ s，the god who shows mercy to Suppliants．Cf．aioolu $\pi \nu \in \dot{\cup} \mu a \tau \iota$ sup． 28.

189．$\epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu \dot{\jmath} \mu \omega \nu$ ．This certain correc－ tion of $\sigma \nu \nu \omega \nu u \mu \omega \nu$ is attributed to both Auratus and Pearson．Schol．$\tau \hat{n}$ àpto $\tau \epsilon \rho \hat{a}$ тò̀s $\kappa \lambda$ d́סovs натє́ $\chi$ оубаı．So inf．697， for $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \theta u \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ the MSS．have $\sigma v \nu o v \tau \eta ิ \rho o s$, and on the other hand cu่ $\gamma \nu \dot{\prime} \eta$ for $\sigma v \gamma$－ $\gamma \nu \not{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{v}$ ． 211.
 I proposed in the first edition，has been received by Hermann from Bamberger． The MSS．give rà $\chi \rho^{\prime} \alpha$ Ё $\pi \eta$ ．Theocr． xxy．6，סбoû 〔aरpeîos $\delta \delta i ́ \tau \eta s$ ．Hesych．
 reading is $\tau \grave{\alpha} \chi_{\rho \in \in \vec{i}} \neq \pi \eta$ ．Cf．198．So
 ed in Pers．22．See sup．52．But the verse seems like an interpolation．It is not wanted to complete the sense，and the repetition of aisoîos，which here can only mean＇respectful，＇offends good taste．

192．àраıикктоиs．Cf． 6.
193．$\phi \theta_{0} \gamma \hat{n}$ ．So Porson for $\phi \theta_{0} \gamma \gamma \gamma$ ． Dindorf，without any apparent reason beyond the love of change，admits Bothe＇s
 tend＇rather than＇to follow．＇

194．The Med．has the slight error $\mu \epsilon \tau \omega \pi \omega$ $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \delta \nu \omega \nu$ ，which Porson cor－ rected．As the excellent Paris MS．gives $\mu \in \tau \omega \pi \omega \sigma \omega \phi \rho \delta \nu \omega \nu$ ，it is truly strange that Dindorf should still edit $\delta \kappa \mu \epsilon \tau \omega \pi \omega \nu \sigma \omega-$
 sense and metre．By $\tau \dot{d} \mu \grave{\eta}$ 㲡alo nothing more is meant than＇a modest look．＇This sense of $\mu \alpha ́ \tau a t o s$, and several words of the like primary meaning，is sometimes overlooked．Thus $\mu \hat{\omega}$ pos，

そф $\alpha \omega \nu$ ，àvóךтos，$\mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma o s$, often signify impudicus．See Hesych．in $\mu$ atat $\} \in!$ ，and compare $\mu d \tau a s$ ，Cho．904．So Trach．565，
 The usual antithesis，as here，is $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega v$, ＇discreet．＇Plat．Gorg．p．507，$\psi \mathbf{u} \chi \bar{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\eta}$


 $\pi \rho o u ̀ \nu 0 \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ ．Demosth．p．1383，ai

 $\tau \partial \nu \xi \cup \nu \delta \nu \theta^{\prime} \dot{\cup} \pi \in \rho \phi \rho \sigma \nu \in \hat{1}$. These words are more commonly used of the female sex．

195．خ̇テúzov．Compare Troad．649，
 тapé $\sigma \chi o v$ ．With the Romans oculi tre－ mentes were a sign of incontinence，Juv． ii．94．vii．241．The Greeks generally regarded the eyes as the seat of bashful－ ness，but sometimes，as the Romans，the brow．Thus Iph．Aul．1090，пой tò tâs aidoûs $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ ；like Juvenal＇s＇ejectum semel attrita de fronte ruborem．＇See
 Theocr．xxvii．69，九 $\not \mu \mu \sigma \sigma \iota \sim$ ai̊o $\mu$ é $\eta \eta$ ．Eur．


 given by the Scholiast，［ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ］$\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho 0-$
 $\lambda$ doov．The latter seems right：＇be not forward in conversation，nor prolix．＇See inf． 269.

197．Ėmi $\phi \theta 0 \nu o \nu . \quad$＇Jealous of long speeches；＇we might almost render it， ＇is very particular．＇See inf．269．Her－ mann rashly reads $\gamma v \nu \eta$ ，asking，＇Unde didicit Danaus，qui modo Argos venit， pronos ad vituperandum esse Argivos？＇ The dislike was one which，as a na－ tional characteristic，Danaus may very



$\phi \nu \lambda a ́ \xi o \mu a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau a ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \sigma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \nu$






XO. * $\quad$ * * * * *
$\Delta A$. каi Z $\eta \nu o ̀ s ~ o ै \rho \nu \iota \nu ~ \tau o ́ \nu \delta є ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ к \iota \kappa \lambda \eta ́ \sigma \kappa є \tau \epsilon . ~$ XO. ка入ov̂ $\mu \in \nu$ aủyàs $\eta^{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ o u s . ~$
well have heard of, though it is equally likely that the poet never considered the objection that might have been captiously raised on this ground. By adopting $\gamma u v \eta$, he is driven to the awkward expedient of making $\tau \delta \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ signify ' $q u o d$ ad hanc rationem attinet, (justum in loquendo modum tenendi).'
203. tiouto. This verse, which in the MSS. follows $\hat{\epsilon} \mathrm{Z} \in \hat{\nu}, \kappa \delta \pi \omega \nu, \& c$., evidently belongs to this place, as Scholefield first remarked in his Appendix, $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ being commonly so used when a word is repeated with assent and approval. Compare 212. Eur. Electr. 672-6. The whole of this dialogue is disjointed and disarranged in the MSS., nor are there (at least in the Med.) any distinctions of the persons. I have not hesitated to follow Hermann in his new disposition of the whole passage. He truly says, 'Versuum ordinem cur sic ut feci mutaverim, ipsa diverbii ratio ostendit.'
 $\kappa \alpha \theta \in \sigma \theta \in ́ v \tau o s$. He had probably sate down by the statue of Zeus, who is first invoked on their approach. It seems clear from

 the statues and symbols were close to Danaus, who is throughout an actor on the $\lambda$ oreion, and therefore that when the chorus express a wish to sit near him, they must leave the middle of the orchestra, and range themselves in front of the stage; see supra 185. It is not improbable that either here or at v .228
they even ascended the stage by the steps leading up from the parodos on each side.
 ever plan you propose, delay not to put it into effect. At this verse some little pause must have intervened while the maidens were shifting their places.

 sanias distinctly asserts that the cock was considered sacred to the sun, lib. v. 25,5,

 and that the sun was worshipped by the Argives, (as indeed might be expected from a Pelasgic race) ; lib. ii. 18, 3, $\pi \rho 0$ -

 was some fancied connexion between $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \in ́ \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ and $\dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \omega \rho$, the Homeric title of the sun (Il. xix. 398, Hymn. ad Apoll. 369), though the latter is for ${ }^{e} \lambda \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ (New Cratylus, p. 181). The verse which has been lost contained some question or remark on the sculptured symbol which called forth the injunction to invoke it.
 here distinct from Apollo, whereas a later mythology identified them; and indeed there are traces of this in Cho. 974, and the worship of Apollo Lyceus (inf. 668). If Apollo were invoked simply as the sun, he would most inaptly be termed фuyàs $\dot{a}^{3} \pi^{3}$ oùpavov, which relates to his servitude under Admetus. The identity of Zєvेs and ${ }^{\text {"HALos in this instance is very remark- }}$ able. It further appears, as Mr. Coning-


$\Delta A$. $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu$ ồтo $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ каì $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \tau \alpha i ̂ \eta ~ \pi \rho \sigma \phi \rho \omega \nu$.






ton has observed, from the addition of
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i=u s$, the attribute of $Z \in \dot{v} \sum \omega \tau \eta \rho^{2}$. $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i o u s$, the attribute of Zevs इi $\omega \tau \eta \rho$.
211. $\sigma v \gamma \gamma v o i ́ \eta ~-~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu o i t o . ~ T h ~$ middle corresponds to the active, though in the same sense, just as in 203, roouro to žoo. Cf. Cho. 398. Inf, 344 compared with 353. Herod. vi. 92, Zıкvต́viot


 $\tau \in a \grave{̀ j} \theta a \delta \in ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho 0$. The Schol. makes as strange a blunder here in explaining $\sigma v \gamma-$ रעоĩto by $\sigma v \gamma \dot{\gamma} \dot{\sim}$ $\theta \epsilon \omega ̄ \nu \nu$ by $\gamma \omega \nu$ las oùk èx $\chi \nu \tau \omega \nu$. Hesych. $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu \sigma \mu \omega \nu$. हों $\quad$ 向 $\mu \omega \nu$. There is a point in $210-11$ which should be noticed : ' He will sympathise with us mortals, having himself been exiled as a god.'
214. тр\{aıay. See inf. 735. There was a place at Argos so called. Schol.

 $\delta \omega \nu$. There would seem to have been a tradition of this part of Argolis having been covered by the sea, which is likely, if we compare the low marsh of Lerna with ascertained changes on our own eastern coast. Pausan. ii. 22, 5, èvtaîoa


 каl av̀r aùtav̂ т $\grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ "Ivaxos nal of
 also in the Acropolis of Athens, in the Erechtheum, the impress of a trident, to which, as the author of Athens and Attica remarks, the poet particularly alludes in the word $\sigma \eta \mu$ ह̂on. See Eur. Frag. Erechth.
 Bd́日pots. Pausan. i. 26, 6, kal tptaluns





215. $\epsilon \mathcal{U} \tau \epsilon-\tau \epsilon$. 'As he has safelỳ conducted us, so may he favourablý receive us.' Cf. 734.
216. 'E $\rho \mu \hat{\eta} s$ s $\delta \delta$ ' $\alpha \lambda \lambda o s . ~ ' H e r e ~ a l s o ~ i s ~$ Hermes, as the Greeks represent him.' For the Egyptian Hermes, or Thoth, see Cic. de N. D. iii. 22. The Scholiast seems to have understood ${ }^{c} \lambda \lambda$ os for $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ $\lambda o i o s$, for he remarks $\dot{\omega} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ Aifurticu

 and this one a giant.' Eur. Ion 161,
 where mention has just before been made of an eagle. It is true that the Greek Hermae were usually columnar busts, and so different from the Egyptian form; but this is sufficiently implied by roĩat ${ }^{\text {' } \mathrm{E} \lambda}$ -


 кабเข of: \% $2 \lambda \lambda о$.
 єival. The кŋ̂puद, as the Roman praeco, seems to have been connected with sales, whence $\kappa \eta \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \in L \nu$, to announce for sale, inf. 978. Herod. i. 194. vi. 121. The meaning appears to be, 'May he prove a kind berald, and not sell us as slaves.'
 The usual antithesis is $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \in \dot{U} \theta \in \rho o s$ and $\pi \in \pi \rho \alpha \mu \in ́ \nu o s$ or $\grave{\epsilon} \mu \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon l s$, Cho. 901. Trach. 250. Compare inf. 603.
218. кочу $\beta$ wula, Generally an altar common to two, but here to many gods.






 ta.

іॅढ $\sigma \theta \epsilon$, кі́ $\kappa \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ о $\mu о \pi \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ фо́ $\beta \omega$,
є̇ $\chi \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\mu \alpha i ́ \mu \omega \nu$ каi $\mu \iota \alpha \iota \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ үє́vos.


 фú $\eta \eta \mu a ́ \tau \alpha \iota o s ~ a i \tau i \alpha \nu, \pi \rho a ́ \xi \alpha, ~ \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$.

 $\sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon i \tau \epsilon, \kappa \mathfrak{a} \mu \epsilon \mathfrak{i} \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ то́v $\delta \epsilon$ то̀ $\nu$ то́ $\pi о \nu$,
221. eं $\chi \theta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$. Hermann calls this ' in-

 practised ear should have told him was by no means Aeschylean. There were two distinct grounds on which the marriage was disliked, hostility to their cousins personally, and scruples as to the religious defilement, $\tau \grave{\partial} \mu \grave{\eta} \theta \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \mu i s$, inf. 330. Hence nal is by no means superfluous. There is a little uncertainty whether $\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime} \chi \theta \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$ ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} y \tau \omega \nu\right)$, \&c. is the genitive absolute, or in apposition with кipkav, which I am iaclined to prefer. In this case there is a slight confusion between the simile and the persons compared. Cf. Prom. 876. Thus $\delta \mu 0 \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \omega$ is to be taken literally in the one sense, and for $\sigma v \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ in the other. On this principle we may explain


 Mr. Conington well refers to Ajac. 168,

 b. $\phi \omega \nu 0$. Compare with these verses Aen. ii. 514, ' Hic Heciba et natae nequicquam altaria circum, Praecipites atra ceu tem. pestate columbae, Condensae et divum
 refers to the protection afforded to doves by the precinct of a temple, Eur. Ion 1197.
 common with' verbs of eating, $\mu$ épos tt being understood. Hermann complains of some incoherency here; but the verse, which was a sort of proverb, merely illustrates $\mu$ uaively $\gamma$ févos. 'As a bird would be defiled by preying on its own kind, so would men be guilty by a forced and unnatural marriage with blood-relations.' The notion of $\dot{\alpha} p \pi \alpha \oint \epsilon L \nu$ connects the two terms of comparison. Plutarch, Quaest.




223. äкоутоs $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha$. There is nothing obscure in the somewhat elliptical expression rapeì тapá tivos. The Schol. has тарà тatpds ăкоутos, whence Dindorf needlessly gives ăкоутоs тarpods, from ' Burges. Had the Scholiast found this, he would have made no comment on a simple genitive absolute. His note is clearly meant as a supplement to the vulgate.
225. $\mu$ átatos aitíav. This emendation, given in my first edition, and also suggested by Mr. Linwood, seems more probable than Schütz's $\mu a \tau a i \omega v$ aitías, which Hermann has adopted; though we find $\delta \iota$ каíw for $\delta$ íк $\bar{\prime}$ Ag. 785. Dindorf retains the vulgate $\mu$ átalov airías, destitute as it is of any intelligible meaning. On the meaning of $\mu$ d́tatos see sup. 194.
226. т $\grave{\pi} \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \mu a \theta^{\prime}$. This word is very corruptly written in the MSS., and was restored by Stephens. On the double

 tas. A similar construction is Od, viii.

 be interpreted as an euphemism for the Egyptian Osiris; but Danaus perhaps speaks as the chorus in 147, according to the Greek mythology, whence he adds $\dot{\omega} s$ $\lambda$ д́ros.
228. т6тov. Dindorf and Hermann read $\tau \rho \dot{\text { ofor }}$ with Stanley. The next verse certainly favours a correction slight in itself and probable from the similar sense of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \in i \beta \in \sigma \theta a c$ in 191. The objection is, that $\tau \delta \nu \delta \varepsilon$ can hardly be referred so far back as v. 191 ; and the last remarks of

## 

## BAEIAETE.

 $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda о \iota \sigma \iota$ ßарßа́роьбь каì $\pi \nu \kappa \nu \omega ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$
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 $\kappa \lambda \alpha \delta^{\delta o \iota ~} \gamma є \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \delta \grave{\eta}, \kappa \alpha \tau a ̀ ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v s ~ a ̉ \phi \iota \kappa \tau o ́ \rho \omega \nu$,
t

Danaus have had no reference to his former instructions about a proper reply, unless we can suppose him to mean, 'tell them there is a Zeus below who,' \&c. But such moralising can hardly be called a reply, even granting that $\tau 60 \delta \bar{m}$ might stand in this case for тồov. It would, at all events, be a reply, not to the king, but to the sons of Aegyptus. I think therefore that the meaning is, as Wellauer explained, 'huc vos conferte;' and Danaus must be supposed to point out some spot, a little apart from that taken at 205 , which they are to occupy on the approach of the king. On this use of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \in / \beta \in \sigma \theta a t$ see Theb. 293. Plat. Apol. p. 37, d,

 of a lacuna before this verse. It is not improbable that some such line as $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
 have dropped out; but the abruptness in бкотєїт $\epsilon$ is not unsuited to the sudden entrance of the king.
230. $\sigma \tau \delta \lambda o v$. The Schol. seems to have read $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta \bar{\eta}$, which is plausible. Hermann adopts Bothe's д̀ $\nu \in \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \delta \sigma \tau o \lambda o \nu$, but this, making every allowance for the oddness of some Aeschylean compounds, rather exceeds probability: The only question seems to be whether $\sigma$ тб́dov means 'company,' agreeing with d̀vé $\lambda$ $\lambda \eta \nu a$, or 'equipment,' depending on the sense of $\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha}$, ' not like Greeks in your accoutrements.' The former appears to me most probable, as we have $\sigma \tau \delta \lambda o \nu$
 inf. 481.
231. $\pi и к \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \sigma$. Hermann reads $\pi \nu$ «́́ $\sigma \mu a \sigma t \nu$, a conjecture mentioned by G. Burges. But, as $\pi v \kappa \nu \delta \omega$ and $\pi v \kappa \alpha ́\{\omega$
have precisely the same primary meaning, who shall presume to say that in a writer as old as Aeschylus $\pi \dot{u} \kappa \nu \omega \mu \alpha$ may not have signified a fold or wrapping, i. e. any enveloping cover, just as well as $\pi \dot{u} \kappa a \sigma \mu a$ ? It does not appear how far the dress of the Suppliants was barbaric, and how far Greek; but not a few details of the latter sort are mentioned, as кd́入 $\lambda \pi \tau \tau \rho$ จ. 114, $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\phi} \phi o \iota$ and $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha ı$ จ. 451, $\chi \iota \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$ v. 878, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda o \iota$ v. 426, $\not \boxed{ } \mu \pi \nu \kappa \in s$ v. 425. Doubtless, from the word $\chi^{\lambda i o \nu \tau a, ~ i . ~ e . ~} \tau \rho v-$ $\phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$, and the mention before of Sidonian or embroidered head-dresses, there was much of colour and splendour, if only for stage effect.
234. \%̈ $\pi \omega s \tau \in$ Herm., but perhaps by an error of the press. He inclines to read (as any one at first sight would incline) оยтє кпри́кшу ข̀̃o. But I think the ex. planation I formerly gave, ne praemisso quidem praecone, is right. Of the three persons who might naturally have been employed in introducing strangers, $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho v \xi$, $\pi \rho \delta \xi \in \nu 0 s$, and $\hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$, the first was obviously of most importance, as affecting the question of peace or war.
237. $\gamma \in \mu \not ิ \nu \delta \frac{1}{h}$, tamen. See inf. 269. Ag. 644, 860. Eum. 390. Trach. 484.-
 $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \mu \mathrm{i}$, , i. e. deposited by your sides at the statues of the gods. See Elmsl. on Heracl. 125. Infra, 641. The sense is, ' Though your dress is strange, your customs as Suppliants are familiar to me.' ' In this matter alone,' he proceeds to say, 'will Greece agree with you in its conjecture,' i. e. in guessing who you are, it will find this one point only to use as evidence that you are countrymen. On $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \hat{q}^{\rho} \in \sigma \theta a \mathrm{~L}$, consentire, see Stallb. on
$\kappa \in \hat{i v} \tau a \iota \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ ípìv $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \in o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ y \omega \nu i o ı s . ~$

 $\epsilon i \mu \eta ̀ \pi \alpha \rho o ́ \nu \tau \iota \phi \theta$ ó $\gamma \gamma o s$ ท̉ $\nu$ ò $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha \nu \omega ิ \nu$ ．





Protag．p．317．Herod．vi．59．i．173， \＆c．The Schol．has $\sigma v \mu ф \omega \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \in l$ ，which suits both Hermann＇s $\sigma v \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon T a l$（ $\sigma v \nu i \eta \mu l$ ， cf．II．xiii．381），and Mr．Conington＇s $\xi$ छ̀vą $\sigma \in \tau \alpha L$ ，on Ag．1583．But as $\eta$ and ot are often confused，Hermann is per－ haps right．See however Eur．EI． 527. Ion 694.

240．кal т应入入．In this place Her－ mann adopts a needless and improbable
 though sal $\tau \not \approx \lambda \lambda \alpha$ is used precisely in the same way Ag．891，каіे $\tau a ̆ \lambda \lambda a, \mu \grave{\eta} \gamma v-$
 placing the stop as I had done，so八ג $\dot{\alpha}$ naturally belongs to $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \in \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha$, ．More－ over，there is an evident antithesis be－ tween $\mu \delta \nu o \nu \tau \delta \delta^{\prime}$ and $\tau \hbar \lambda \lambda \alpha$, ，which would rather have been $\not \approx \lambda \lambda \alpha$ if the poet had written $\pi$ ou．Scholefield＇s correction was better，$\kappa \dot{a} \tau^{\prime} \not \approx \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \delta \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ，\＆c．But this use of кal $\tau \not{\mu} \lambda \lambda a$ ，which answers to the Latin ceterum，has been elsewhere mis－ understood．Cf．Alcest．792，$\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} a \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ，
 239，$\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}$ व̈ $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ，दُá $\sigma \omega$ таûтa．
242．à $\mu \phi!\kappa \dot{\delta} \sigma \mu \nu \nu$ ．On this epic use， sometimes adopted by the Attics，see Stallb．on Plat．Gorg．p．490，в．
243．Еैт $\tau \nu$ ．Schol．$\delta \eta \mu \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \nu$ ．Hesych．
 in Aesch．frag．314．Eur．frag．incert． 158．In the＇Tabula Eliaca＇（Rose，Gr． Inscr．p．45）we find PETA with the digamma written，according to the an－ cient pronunciation，Il．vii．¿295，$\sigma o$ ús $^{\tau} \tau$ $\mu \dot{1} \lambda \tau \sigma \tau a$ Ё $\tau a s$ ．
244．The MSS．reading，as given in the text，has been generally rejected or retained only as hopelessly corrupt．Her－ mann however well observes that there were but three characters under which the king could be addressed as a stranger，
－king，herald，or private citizen．He－ ralds，it is well－known，carried a wand or staff（ $\sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \tau \rho \sigma \nu$ ）in virtue of their office； they were also under the patronage of Hermes（supra，217）．Hence they might
 The word anpos does not elsewhere oc－ cur；but it may mean，as the Schol．ex－ plains，фи́ $\lambda$ aкa，＇protective，＇and thus the phrase will signify，＇one who carries the wand of Hermes as a symbol of protection．＇It is perhaps strange to call a man ṕáBoos，＇a stick；＇yet we have a very close analogy in our state terms， ＇Black Rod，＇＇Gold Stick，＇\＆c．＇Ep $\mu$ ой is the reading of the Paris MS．；the Med．has $\hat{\eta} \in \rho a \bar{v}$ ．Dindorf edits $\hat{\dagger} \tau \eta \rho \partial \nu$ ipô $\beta \alpha, \beta \delta o \nu$ ，＇the verger of the temple．＇ I formerly conjectured $\tau \eta \rho\langle\rho a \beta \delta o \nu$ i $\rho \delta \nu$, but I now incline to the belief that the vulgate is genuine．－$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\partial} \nu$, sc．$\eta_{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \mu \delta \nu \alpha$ ，as explained by Hesych．and Lex．Bekk． （Anecd．i．p．330．）

245．$\pi \rho \bar{\rho} s \tau a \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ ．＇For that matter．＇So


247．$\Pi \in \lambda a \sigma \gamma o v ̃$ ．The later editors seem to agree in adopting Canter＇s conjecture חeגar $\quad$ os．The reason of this is，that the king proceeds to state that the Pe － lasgic race of the country derives its name from him；and other writers，as Apollo－ dor．ii． 1,7 ．iii． 8 ，give him that ap－ pellation．On the other hand，if the nominative had been found by a scribe in his copy，he was not very likely to have altered it；and Haupt has well ob－ served，that elsewhere in the play the king＇s name never appears（except indeed 987），but he is called by some other title； vid． $322,342-3,610,944$ ；and in the ＇Dramatis personae＇and the dialogues he is always Baбt $\lambda \in \dot{e} s$ ，not $\Pi \in \lambda a \sigma \gamma \delta{ }^{\prime} s$ ．He even appears to conceal his name in $\mathrm{\nabla}$ ．


 $\sum \tau \rho v \mu \omega ̀ \nu, \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta u ́ v o v \tau o s ~ ท ं \lambda i ́ o v ~ \kappa \rho a \tau \omega . ~ . ~$





915. And for the sense, there is nothing harsh in saying, 'I am king of this Pelasgian race, which is called after me.' It only remains therefore to defend the Greek $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ Пe入a $\sigma \gamma{ }^{6}$ s. Now Plutarch, Symposiac. lib. $\nabla$. § ii. quotes from an anonymous poet $\chi^{\theta}{ }^{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \quad \Pi_{\epsilon \lambda} \alpha \sigma \gamma \grave{\eta}$, and inf. 280 we
 Indeed, Aeschylus seems to be fond of the masculine termination, as inf. 517, $\tau \dot{\chi} \chi \eta$ трактйріos. Ib. 712, кúpıos ท̊ $\mu$ épa. Cho. 228, бакрит $\delta \mathrm{s}$ Eл $\lambda \pi l_{\text {s. }}$ I therefore think that, though Meגa, ${ }^{\text {onds }}$ is a highly probable emendation, in rejecting $\Pi \in \lambda a \sigma$ roù we may possibly be altering the very words of the poet.
 ing is "Adyos and $\sum \tau \rho \nu \mu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon$. But for $\tau \epsilon$ the Med. has, or rather had, $\tau \boldsymbol{r}$, whence both J. Wordsworth and Hermann made the certain emendation in the
 $\mu \dot{\nu}$ оos. As the Strymon separates Thrace from Macedonia, the poet says that the territory of Pelasgic Argos lay on the west side of that river; though in fact Thrace itself was one of the most im. portant Pelasgic settlements. See Varronianus, p. 26-8. New Cratylus, § 13 . Strabo, vii. 7. ix. 5. x. 2. Id. p. 221 :








252. $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon$. So Stanley for $\tau \neq \nu \delta \epsilon$.סpl!ouat has here a true middle sense, 'I mark as my boundaries.' Inf. 388,
 p. 239, $\Delta \alpha \rho \in i ̄ o s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \Sigma \kappa \kappa \nu \theta \omega ิ \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \grave{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{\otimes}$ ploato. Hermann adds a fragment of
 Sєтаı.
253. тàлє́кєєขa. 'The parts beyond Pindus, nearly as far as the Paeonians.' For Pindus itself rather belonged to Perrhaebia, whence Propertius calls it 'Perrhaebus Pindus,' iii. 5, 33. Homer places the Perrhaebi about Dodona, IL ii. 749.
254. $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \in \mu \nu \varepsilon \varepsilon . \quad$ 'Cuts it short' (compare oúvтomos). The sea is probably meant which washes both the eastern and western coasts. Eur. Ion 295, bpots
 Tá $\delta \epsilon$, i. e. all within these limits. The phrase answers to é $\pi \in ́ \kappa \in L \nu a$ as cis to ultra.

 Aristot. Hist. An. viii. 28, $\overline{\text { en }}$ Køфал-

 тai. For the metre cf. inf. 382.
 only of the Argive territory within the Peloponnese. 'Atia was probably a very ancient Scythian or Pelasgian name. See Varronianus, p. 36 . Herod. iv.
 TaBıtl,- $\Gamma \hat{\eta} \delta \hat{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime}$ 'A $\pi i$. The whole Peloponnese, as Strabo shows, viii. 6, was included in the Homeric term "Aphas, and was also called $\dot{a} \pi i \eta$ raia, 'the far land.' Pansanias, ii. 5, 5, says that all within the Isthmus, before the arrival of Pelops, was called 'Amia from Apis the son of Telchin. The subject is discussed by Buttmann in his Lexilogus. Both 'A and ${ }^{\top}$ Amis may however be connected with yotos. The earth is called 'gentle' by a propitiatory epithet, like the Bona Dea of the Romans. Physicians' remedies are
 the idea of his taming the earth overrun with fierce monsters,-a process which other writers expressed by $\frac{\rho}{\xi} \xi \eta \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega \bar{\sigma} a$.


#### Abstract

$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \kappa \epsilon ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ \phi \omega \tau o ̀ s ~ i a \tau \rho o v ̂ ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu . ~$    $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ ai $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \iota a ́ \sigma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ $\chi \rho \alpha \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma^{\prime}$ ả $\nu \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \gamma^{\alpha} \hat{\alpha} \alpha \mu \eta \nu \iota \tau \grave{\eta}$ ठáкŋ, §ракорӨó $\mu \iota \lambda о \nu \quad \delta v \sigma \mu \in \nu \hat{\eta} \xi v \nu о \iota \kappa i ́ a \nu$. тои́т $\omega \nu$ äк $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ тонаîa каì $\lambda \nu \tau \eta ́ \rho \iota a$   


258. $\pi$ f́pas. This word, the accusative of which, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu$, passed into a preposition, seems to have signified 'a land lying opposite over the water,' just as France would be $\tilde{\eta}^{\eta} \pi \in \rho a$ to an inhabitant of our southern coast. So Ag. 182, Xaגcíסos
 See Arnold on Thuc. ii. 23. New Cratylus, § 178. Homer, Il. ii. 626, has $\nu$ how $\nu$
 exactly illustrates $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{fa}$ Navaккila. The Schol. points out the anachronism in the name. Pausan. x. 38, 5, ė $\pi l$ Naumákтध




259. $\pi \alpha$ îs 'A $\alpha \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu o s$. This was a general name for a physician. Apis was the son of Phoroneus (Apollodor. ii. I, 1), and was put to death for his tyranny by Telchin. Others (Pausan. ii. 5, 5) call him the son of Telchin.
 тติ้ аủтоктоขךสd́yтшข. Plat. Menex. p.








260. $\mu \eta \nu \iota \tau$ h. This is Porson's emendation, and is perbaps the best that has yet been made; and I have now preferred it to the doubtful adverb $\mu \eta \nu i \tau l$ I had before adopted, though approved by the reviewer of my second edition (Christian Remembrancer, April, 1852). The com-
 chylean; and it is an important argument, that $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu t s$ and $\mu \neq \nu \mu a$ are the terms regularly used by Greek writers in speaking of calamities sent in consequence of a curse. The MSS. give $\mu \eta$ шеїтa. äк, which Dind. alters to $\mu \eta \nu \iota \hat{\imath}^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \alpha \chi \eta$, " beluas singulis mensibus emissas ulciscendorum
 'quod solamina irae significare puto.' But neither seems to have much probability. Moreover, $\delta \alpha ́ \kappa \eta$ is far better
 immediately follows.
261. $\mu \nu \eta \mu \eta \nu \in ย ์ \rho \in \tau \sigma$. 'For his reward got mention in our prayers.' This 'commemoration of benefactors' is of great antiquity. Thus Bacchus (Eur. Baceh. 46) complains of Pentheus, that $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$ è̉xoîs
 lusion to the usual physician's fees.
 in which $\delta$ ' seems to have been thrust in for the sake of the metre. The common
 the marginal $\gamma p$. ÉXoufav. But it is very difficult to believe ${ }^{\text {Ë }} \chi$ Хо $\delta^{\circ}$ a mere corruption. The king appears to address himself in particular to Danaus, as the leader of the company, though the chorus is in a manner obliged to give the answer, because the dialogue is no where between more than two parties in the same scene. If the supposition made above, V .204 , be correct, there is no difficulty in this view.
 say how this is to be reconciled with égeúxoto. As a 'nominativus pendens' it is very awkward, and not less so with ह $\sigma \pi \epsilon$ supplied.
 $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho a ́ \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \mu$ ѐ $\delta \grave{\eta}$ ' $\rho$ ท̂ $\sigma \iota \nu$ ov̉ бтє́ $\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota$ тó入ıs.
 є́ $\xi \in v \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a, \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \tau^{3} \epsilon ป ̉ \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu о v$ ßoós•
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 $\kappa a i ̀ N \epsilon i ̂ \lambda o s ~ a ̊ ̀ \nu ~ \theta \rho \epsilon ́ \psi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon ~ \tau o l o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \phi u \tau o ̀ \nu$,
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 is, 'does not tolerate.'
262. $\pi \rho о \sigma \phi u ́ \sigma \omega$. Schol. ष̀moঠ́є $\ddagger \xi \omega$. This rare word appears to correspond in all respects to the Latin affirmare, to attach or fasten a thing so that it cannot be shaken, i.e. to assert, or prove incontrovertibly. Ar. Nub. 372, тои̂тó $\gamma^{\prime}$ тоц

263. Neìios. Cf. inf. 491. Frag. 159,
 The king says, that from their colour (sup. 14j) and dress he should believe them to be Egyptians, Cyprians, Indians, Amazons, rather than Argives, as they assert themselves to be. This passage (283) proves the opinion of the ancients to have been, that the Amazons, who certainly cannot be regarded as a wholly fabulous race, were as dark-complexioned as Indians or Libyans. Herodotus expressly states (ii. 104) that the Colchians were like the Egyptians, $\mu \in \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \chi$ pocs nal

 That some of the inhiabitants of Cyprus were from Ethiopia is asserted by Herod. vii. 90.

tikùs Cho. 551. The simile is probably from coining; possibly, on account of the word $\tau \in \kappa \tau \delta \nu \omega \nu$, from statuary. Herod. i. 116, каl $\delta$ ха.рактोो той троба́тои
 the same phrase, in speaking of a stamp or cast of countenance.
264. àкоข́ш. The MSS. have àкov́w, which is easily accounted for by the $\nu$ in yopádas. Hermann however retains this, and reads, with G. Burges, oipua for elvau. The change is very slight indeed as far as palaeography is concerned; but it introduces a complex construction, and one that does not, to a nice ear, sound very like the style of Aeschylus. For 'I 10 ouvis Dind. and others read 'I $\nu \delta \delta{ }^{2} s$, but the masculine form may have been used like रvvो Aillo廿 frag. 315, $\gamma^{v \nu \eta}{ }^{5}$ E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ (Bekk. Anecd. i. p. 97), $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda\rangle{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {E }}$ E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ Hec. 131. $\gamma \hat{\eta}^{\text {" }}$ E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ Iph. Aul. 344,-not to quote, as somewhat uncertain, $\gamma \hat{\eta} \Pi \in \lambda a \sigma \gamma \delta s$ sup. 247. The meaning is quite simple: 'I am told that the Indian women travel about ( $\nu 0 \mu$ d́das $\varepsilon$ eival) on camels which are mounted like horses and bear burdens like mules,' i. e. performing the double duty which among the Greeks is assigned to separate animals.
265. Hermann reads à $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \beta_{1} S$ ov́ $\sigma a s$ with Dindorf and others. This is found in the Med. and Paris MS., but by an alteration of the original reading in the former. The Schol. explains кпйضдous






## 

$\chi^{\theta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \alpha}$ evidently depends on $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau 0-$ poupévas, ' having their country alongside of the Ethiopians.' On the word $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \eta$ see Buttmann, Excurs. vii. ad Dem. Mid. Harpocration: $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho d \beta_{\eta} \cdot \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu i o v o s^{\cdot} \mu \dot{\eta}$ -
 o$\chi$ रov̂yrat. It was particularly said of a mule that was used for riding ( $\sigma \omega \mu a r$ $\eta \gamma$ ós). On the Indians and their camels see Herod. iii. 98, 102, 103, who (ib. 107-114) appears to regard India, Arabia, and Ethiopia as in some way connected; and this ancient notion must have given rise to the tradition preserved by Pausanias, lib. ii. 5, 2, that the Nile was a continuation of the Euphrates. Strabo, i. pp. $30-4$, discusses this theory at great length. Ephorus, he says (p. 34), records an old geographical opinion, that the earth was divided into four parts, whereof the Indians dwelt towards the east, the Ethiopians on the south, the Celts on the west, and the Scythians on the north; but that Ethiopia is larger than Scythia, for that the Ethiopian race extend from the rising of the sun in winter even to the west. Homer seems to have held simitar views, in placing the Ethiopians of $\mu$ èv Sǘojú oi $\delta^{\prime}$ àvtivitos, Od. i. 24. The fact of the Indian tribes being almost as black as Ethiopians (though not woolly-haired), added to the fact, that the entire hemisphere below the line was unknown to the early Greeks, will sufficiently account for this otherwise perplexing error. Even Strabo ( $p$. 103) held the opinion that 'the Ethiopians near to Egypt (oi mpos Ai( $\dot{\prime} \pi \tau \tau \psi$ ) are divided into two parts, for some of them are in Asia and others in Libiba, differing nothing from each other.' By Aîtious we must suppose Aeschylus to mean the former.
283. креовбтоия. The MSS. have $\kappa \rho \in о \beta \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau o u s \delta^{\prime}$. The confusion between
$\beta \circ \tau \delta \nu$ and $\urcorner \rho \rho \tau \delta \nu$ is so frequent (vid. inf. 836), that this form seems more probable than крєoßboous, though Hermann thinks otherwise. Compare $\in \check{0}$ Boтos, 'well-fed.' The $\delta$ is perhaps rightly omitted by the latter. Porson and Dindorf write $\tau^{2}$, but as a general rule additional epithets are not coupled by any particle. The name 'A $\mu a \delta \delta \nu \in \varsigma$, according to Eustath. on Dionys. Perieg. p. 110, ed. R. Steph., was from $a$ and $\mu \hat{\alpha} \delta a$ : ${ }^{\prime} A \mu a-$




 bably arose from a corruption or dialectic variety of the name इavpouátal, who were connected with the Amazons, Herod. iv.



 married,' $\sigma \tau v \gamma d \nu o p a s$ as they are called Prom. 743. Hermann reads $\kappa \alpha . \tau \not{\Delta \nu \nu}$ for cal $\tau \dot{d} s$, because the suppliants could not be called 'the Amazons,' i. e. the whole race ; and it is doubtful if the Med. has tàv or tás. The change appears altogether for the worse. Is there any example of the crasis ?
284. то६отєuХєîs. Cf. Eum. 598. Herod. iv. 114.
 appears to be right in reading $\bar{\eta} \nu$ for $\hat{\eta} \nu$, and gixing this verse to the king. To the first statement of the chorus the king at once assents, as a fact notorious to the Argives. He proceeds to question them more closely, to see if their account tallies with the local tradition, and so to test their veracity. 'There was some story too, I think, that Zeus had intercourse with a mortal?'

## 








BA．то̂̀o $\pi a \nu o ́ \pi \tau \eta \nu$ oioßovкólò $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s ;$



## Xо．$\beta_{\circ} \eta \lambda a ́ \tau \eta \nu \mu v ́ \omega \pi a ~ к \iota \nu \eta \tau \eta ́ \rho \iota o \nu . ~$ ［oì $\sigma \tau \rho o \nu ~ k a \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ aủtòv oi Neílov $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a s]$.

291．коט криттá．This correction of кal критт $\alpha$ was made in my ed．1，and （I believe）before by Stanley．Hermana has given ка̌крилта．All accounts repre－ sent the amour as known to Hera．




 $\lambda \in v \kappa \nmid v$. Kal and кò̀ are often confused； and the correction has now been made， （as I formerly suggested）in Ion 1444，
 rà $\mu \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$, is Hermann＇s happy cor－ rection for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，a reading which had baffled all preceding editors． He quotes a slightly corrupt gloss of
 and observes that the Schol．here explains ai $\pi \in \rho \iota \pi \lambda$ окаí．The verb $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi a \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \downarrow$ occurs Thuc．vii．84．Her．vii．85．It is obvious that when once written $\tau \dot{d}$ тaл入dүuata，the metrists would have changed it to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi$ ．

292．$\nu$ єík $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\alpha} \delta \bar{\delta}$, ＇these jealousies．＇ The word is so used Bacch． 294.

294．Boûv т $\grave{2} \nu \gamma$ ．So Canter for Boú $\quad \eta \nu$ ． But in the Med．a letter has been erased， which proves that the alterations by the second hand were not always for the best

295．＂̈r $\tau^{\prime}$ ．So Schütz for $\epsilon^{2} \pi \pi^{\prime}$ ．These letters are continually interchanged，as in
 and so the MSS．give tofé $4 \in t \in \nu$ for $\pi \rho \epsilon$＇－ $\psi \in \epsilon \in \mathrm{Ag}$ ．1299．Cf，513．843．The
 $\tau \iota v$, and the sense required is＇Zeus then
no longer approached her，after she had been changed into a cow？They say be did so in the likeness of a bull．＇See on Prom．528．－$\pi \rho^{\prime} \in ́ \pi \in \iota \nu$ may here have an active sense，as in Ag． 1299.

299．oioßoukd久ov．Compare ím $\pi$ oßov． ко́дос Phoen．28．Bovもutєî̀ §̂y Ar．Plut． 820．On Argus see Prom． 578.

300．＇Epu $\mathrm{T} s$ s．Schol．recent．ad Prom．




 his Homeric title＇Apyєiфóvt $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ．

 K $\lambda \lambda \pi$, \＆c．This is probable；for $\delta \in$ has been written out of its place inf． 315. But instances of $\tau!$ oiv and $\tau\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma} \sigma \tau\right.$ are not wanting，e．g．Pers．689．783．Ag． 1084．Theb．196．701．Eum．862．See Monk ad Hippol． 975.
303．oi N éílov mé̀as．Hermann reads ＇I $\nu$ d́xou $\pi$ té $\lambda a s$ ，by a very ingenious cor－ rection，（see 491，）and assigns this verse to the king．Unquestionably it is either corrupt or spurious；for it is absurd to say that the Egyptian name for the $\mu \dot{\prime} \omega \psi$ was olotpos，a pure Greek word，imitative of the whizz of the insect．Hermann＇s view involves rather serious consequences； he alters the persons to the three following verses，and marks a lacuna after 306. Still，it is quite reasonable to suppose that the object of the king＇s remark oi $\sigma \tau \rho o \nu$ $\kappa \quad \kappa \lambda 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu, \& c$ ．was to notice the smallest discrepancies in the two narratives；and

 каì $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ Káv $\omega \beta$ оь ка̉ті̀ Мє́ $\mu \phi \iota \nu$ íкєто.

BA. tís oûv oo $\Delta i ̂ o s ~ \pi o ́ \rho \tau ı s ~ \epsilon ข ้ ้ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha l ~ \beta o o ́ s ; ~ ; ~$

BA.

*     * 
* 
*     * 


 Xt. B $\hat{\lambda} \lambda o \nu ~ \delta i ́ \tau a \iota \delta a, ~ \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \tau o v ̂ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \mu o v ̂ ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ́ s . ~$ BA. тov̂ $\pi a \nu \sigma o ́ \phi o v ~ \nu v \nu ~ o ै \nu o \mu a ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v ~ \mu o \iota ~ \phi р a ́ \sigma o v . ~$
$\sigma \nu \gamma \kappa 6 \lambda \lambda \omega s$ द̇ $\mu 0 l$, ' consistently with $m y$ account,' is really more suited to the person of the king.
306. Kávoßov. See Prom. 863. According to Apollodor. ii. 4, Memphis was so called after the daughter of the Nilegod, and wife of Epaphus.
 added just as in Track. 644 we have $\delta$
 see 18.
309. $\delta v \sigma l \omega \nu$. On this obscure verse Hermann is silent; but on Prom. 852 he seems, with most editors, to follow Stanley's explanation, 'liberationis per é $\phi \neq \psi \iota$, ,' in allusion to $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega \epsilon \quad \chi \in t \rho l$, because Io was thereby restored to her former senses, (Prom. 867,) and thus rescued and pereserved from her degraded condition. The objection to this is, that $\rho \cdot \sigma \sigma o \nu$, or rather the plural pu rata, signifies 'booty ;' see on Ag. 518. Inf. 406; and that puatwe ex $\phi$ d $\pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ is the regular phrase for 'to lay hands on booty.' Cf. 406. 708; and we even find $\nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ae $\nu \in ́ \pi \pi \alpha ф a t$, Dem. p. 1292, 'ships unhurt by pirates.' Had the poet meant $\dot{p} v \sigma i \omega \nu$ in the sense of pottos sup. 140, he would have expressed himself with culpable ambiguity. The difficulty is increased by the loss of at least one, if not more verses following. As it stands, the obvious sense of the verse is ${ }^{*}$ Etados
 added as sup. 80. Phoen. 636. But it does not appear that Epaphus was famed as a freebooter; and on the other hand, the context is in favour of ${ }^{~} \mathrm{E} \pi \alpha 0 \phi 0$ from ${ }^{2} \pi a \varphi \eta$, as sup. 45. See on Prom. 867. Perhaps therefore we should read $\dot{\rho} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega$, and understand as above, ' Epaphus called after the liberating touch of Zeus.'
310. Bothe supplies the lost verse from
 тatpós;
 Porson's sagacious correction of $\mu$ é $\gamma \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ to v $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$. According to Apollodor. ii. 4, and others, Libya derived its name from a daughter of Epaphus.
312. $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \eta \mu \delta v$. Hermann retains $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mu o \nu$, the MSS. reading, and explains, 'hoc dicit: $\tau \in \nu^{2}$ ồv ar $\lambda \lambda \lambda o \nu \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon t s$, $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon ~ \beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \mu \nu^{\prime}$; quemnam porto memonas, quid ex hoc sit prognatus?' See on The. 10. Pausan. iv. 23, fin. $\delta$ \& 2


314. $\pi \alpha \nu \sigma \delta \phi o v$. Hermann and Dindorf retain the MSS. reading $\tau \dot{\delta} \pi \dot{\alpha} \alpha \sigma \sigma \varnothing \circ \nu-$ тoùvo, the latter adding 'lectio suspecta,' the former with confidence that it is right. His argument is this: 'Apertissimum hic est de otis sibi rebus quaerere Danaum. [Qu. Regem?] Strabo, i. 15, p. 23, ex Polybio homines inventis nobiles memo-

 $\pi a \rho \alpha \grave{\omega} \nu \nu \pi \rho \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$. Itaque frustra $\tau \grave{\partial}$ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \sigma o \phi o \nu$ in dubitationem vocatum est.' Now it would be in itself absurd to say, 'Tell me now the name of your father here, whose history is familiar to me, that I may know if you are speaking the truth;' and it also must be inferred from Strab. viii. p. 370-l, that the above discovery of Danaus, by which his name was rendered famous in Argos, was subsequant to his settlement in the country :











XO．$\alpha^{2 ้} \nu \alpha \xi \Pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \gamma \omega \bar{\nu}$ ，aió $\lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha ́$ ．



One of these springs was called Amymone after one of the daughters，Callim．Lav． Pall．48．Besides，Hermann contradicts his own argument，sup 197；for if Danaus could then know nothing of the Argives， neither were the Argives likely to know any thing of Danaus．Now let us hear Dr．Donaldson，Varron．p．312：＂In continuous narrative $\tau \dot{d} \delta \epsilon$ are the things which I am about to say，which are before $m e$ ，but not yet before my readers；whereas $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a$ are the things just said，and which have been submitted to them．This shews that the true reading in Aesch．Suppl． must be：－
 татр́́s．
 $\mu o 九$ фрárov．
For the chorus having spoken of their father as present by them（ $\tau 0 \dot{0} \delta \mathrm{f}$ ），the king in his reply would designate him as by their side（（ of íov）．＂This reading is partly Schütz＇s，partly Tyrwhitt＇s；I had admitted $\pi \alpha \nu \sigma$ б $\phi \circ v$ toútou in the former editions，and still believe it to be true． The king might naturally call the old man táyooфos from his prudence and venerable aspect，to put mere compliment out of the question：but he could not speak of＇this wise name＇without know． ing it；and if he knew it，he would not have asked it．

315．$\Delta a v a \partial s, ~ \grave{d} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \delta s \delta^{2}$ ．So Pors．for $\Delta a, v a \partial^{\prime} s \delta^{\prime}$ dं $\delta \in \lambda p b s$ ．See sup．301．Inf． 891．Theb．142．－тєעтпкоута́таıs．Cf． Prom．872．The Med．has $\pi \in \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau \delta-$ тats，the Paris MS．$\pi \in \nu \tau \eta к о \nu \tau$ о́таıs．
316．кal тov̂̃ औ，そoryє．So Porson for kal toû $\Delta a v a 0 i \gamma \epsilon$ ．The MSS．also give


317．єi $\delta \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ s，\＆c．＇Now that you are assured of my pedigree from old times，
fail not to raise（i．e．protect）a race which is Argive by descent．＇The MSS．
 which Hermann prefers，comparing Antig．
 ${ }^{'} E \rho \in \chi \theta \in i \delta \hat{a} y$. The sense would then be，
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau L \nu \delta \sigma \tau \delta \lambda o s$ 名 $\nu$ 并 $\nu \tau \eta \sigma a s$ ．But the use of.$\dot{a} \nu \tau \hat{a} \nu$ with an accusative is extremely rare，while the construction in the text is rot uncommon，and answers to the Latin fac ut erigas．Herod．i．209，$\pi$ oíeє

 そл

 є $่ \tau v \chi \hat{\eta}$ ．

319．סoкeite $\delta$ 万́ $\mu$ оו．J．Wordsworth compares Plat．Resp．ii．p．368，סокєît
 MSS．$\delta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ is omitted．Robortello has $\delta 0$－ $\kappa \in i \tau \in \gamma \in \mu 0 L$ ．The $\delta\rangle$ is from Turnebus． The true reading is quite as likely to be бокєī＇${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ ．The king expresses his conviction that they really are of Argive descent，but desires to know the cause of their exile before he extends to them his protection．＇Well，you do seem to me to have some ancient connexion with this land；but how happened it that，＇\＆c．




322．aib $\lambda a$ ，sc．$\epsilon_{\sigma} \sigma \tau$ ，＇chequered，＇＇ca－ pricious．＇The word is properly used of varying shades or stripes of colour，whence a cat was named＇ring－tail，＇aü＾oupos．So đió $\lambda \frac{1}{} \delta \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \omega \nu$ Trach． $11 .-\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \delta \nu$ carries on the same simile．Cf．$\delta \mu \delta \pi \tau \in \rho o s$ of the colour of hair，Cho． 166.

324．$\tau$ is $\eta{ }^{\text {U }} \chi$ Let．＇Who would have said that this unexpected flight would bring













（back）to Argos a race originally indi－ genous，causing them to leave their homes through dislike of marriage？＇These verses are usually very differently ex－ plained，$\phi v \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu$ being taken for $\phi v \gamma a ́ \delta a s$, and both $\kappa$ é $\lambda \sigma \epsilon t \nu$ and $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \tau o t o \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$ as intransitive．See Elmsl，on Heracl． 39. But $\pi \pi \sigma^{\prime} \omega$ and its compounds are clearly
 $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \pi \tau о i \eta \sigma \epsilon ~ \gamma u v a i ̂ k a s . ~ B a c c h . ~ 303, ~ \sigma \tau \rho a-~}^{\text {－}}$ $\tau \delta \nu-\phi 6 \beta o s \delta_{t \epsilon \pi \tau o l \eta \sigma \epsilon \text { ．The analogy of }}$ the Lain cello，percello，shows that ké $\lambda$－ $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ is trassitive，though $\nu a \hat{v} \nu$ is often understood，as sup．15，and $\kappa \in ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ тıjà may not elsewhere occur．

327．Ti 中 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}$, \＆c．＇What is it that you say you conjure me by these gods to grant？＇The Schol．absurdly explains

330．$\pi b \tau \epsilon \rho a$. ＇What is your objec－ tion？On the ground of personal dislike， or legal impediment？＇一т $\mu \bar{\eta}$ ब́＇$\mu$ us is used as an indeclinable noun，and even tépis alone seems sometimes to have this peculiarity．See Elmsl．ad Oed．Col． 1191．Stallb．on Plat．Gorg．p．505，D．

331．оैעоито．The MSS．give 屯уоито （not $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu 0 \hat{i} \tau o$ ）．The correction of Bois－ sonade is satisfactory：＇Why，who would object to masters if they were friends？＇ i．e．we should care little about being called $\delta \mu \omega t \delta \delta E s$ ，if we did not entertain a personal dislike．Compare óvozaکouévn sup．10．Hermann thinks $\phi$（ious was a corruption of $\phi i \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma^{2}$ ，and the latter an alteration of $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，and reads $\tau i s \delta^{\prime} \hbar \nu$
 enim amans sibi emat quibus serviendum
sit？＇But the notion of buying（i．e．with a dowry）is here out of place，though not without examples，as Herod．v．6．Virg． Georg．i．31，＇Teque sibi generum Tethys emat omaibus undis．＇

332．＇＇Tis by this kind of alliance（i．e． intermarriage with relations，inf．38t） that families acquire greater influence．＇－ ＇True；and when people are in distress， it is easy to desert them．＇The chorus replies to one acknowledged，truth by adducing another，which reflects on the king＇s hesitation to help them；bence кal－ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ，which Hermann objects to，and reads $\nu a i-\gamma \epsilon$ ．The connexion between the two verses must be looked for in the feeling of the speakers．The king appears，by his answer $\sigma \theta \in \in \nu o s ~ \mu \grave{̀} \nu$ oũ $\tau \omega$ ， sc．to favour the cause of the male cousins．This conviction produces the desponding rejoinder，＇You mean then to desert us because we are the weak party，and in distress．＇－єं $\mu a p \eta{ }^{\prime}$ ，＇a matter of indifference．＇The true mean－ ing of this verse is determined by the following，$\pi \hat{\omega} s$ oĩv，\＆c．＇how then am I to act as you think I ought？＇

335．$\mu \grave{\eta}{ }^{\text {＇}} \kappa \delta \bar{\psi} \mathrm{s}$ ．Porson，Herm．，Dind．， and others read $\mu \eta$＇＇nooús．Cf． 408.

336．עt́ov，＇temere et secus quam par est susceptum，＇Herm．So Dr．Words－ worth had explained it on Theocr．xxiii． 55．Cf．993．

337．$\xi \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi \omega \nu$ ．＇Those who fight on her side．＇Cf．390．Others understand， ＇those who fight on our side．＇But the next verse seems to justify the former；＇yes， if she took part in the matter from the first，＇




 $\pi \rho o ́ \phi \rho о \nu \iota \kappa а \rho \delta i ́ a ̨, \Pi \epsilon \lambda a \sigma \gamma \omega ิ \nu$ äva豸.
 $\lambda ข к о \delta_{i}^{\prime} \omega \kappa т о \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega}$ s $\delta \alpha ́ \mu a \lambda \iota \nu \stackrel{a}{a} \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha \iota s$
 фрáלov $\alpha$ а $\beta$ отท̂pı $\mu$ ó $\chi$ Өovs.





 $\pi о т \iota \tau \rho o ́ \pi \alpha \iota o \nu$ ai̊óó $\mu \in \nu O$ ov̉ $\pi \in \nu \in i ̂$
$\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \mu a \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi^{3} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ a \dot{a} \gamma \nu 0 \hat{v}$.
BA．оข้тоь ка́ $\theta \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є่ $\phi є ́ \sigma \tau \iota o \iota ~$




$\sigma v ́ ~ \tau o \iota ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma, ~ \sigma u ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \eta \eta \mu \iota \nu \nu$, $\pi \rho v ́ т a \nu \iota s \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \tau o s \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \nu$,
$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о и ̆ \nu т о s ~ к \alpha l ~ к р а і у о у т о s . ~ P a u s a n . ~ v i i i . ~$




 butive justice．＇So 录ecvlov $\Delta i d s$ 日épus Pind．Nem．xi． 9.

355．$\gamma \in \rho \alpha \iota \phi \rho \omega \nu$ ．So Dind．and Herm． from G．Burges．The MSS．have $\gamma \in \rho a$－ фрóvшу．Mr．Conington observes that $\gamma \in \rho \alpha \rho \circ \phi \rho о \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ would better satisfy the metre and involve less change of the text． The antithesis is the same Cho．163，$\pi \omega \bar{\omega}$
 next verse $\pi \in \nu \in \hat{\imath}$ is Hermann＇s restoration
 There are some words lost，which might be thus supplied by conjecture，ipodo－
 show mercy to a suppliant you shall never want，provided the gods receive gifts from a holy man．＇

360．＇̇ $\mu \omega \nu$, ＇my own private hearth．＇ See Thucyd．i．136．Od．vii．153．－ $\mu$ каivєтац，cf．inf．467． 613.

362．mápos．This is but a conjecture， though a certain one，in ed．Rob．The MSS．give тара́кроs or тар ${ }^{2}$ бккроs．Pro－ bably ta was written as a correction over aк in a false reading äкроя．

363． $\mathfrak{a} \sigma \tau 0 i \hat{s}$－т $\hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ ．The MSS．have $\dot{\mathbf{a}} \sigma \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$－$\tau \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\iota} \delta \delta \epsilon$ ．Hermann attributes the correction to Scaliger．The same
interchange of terminations occurred in uátasov aitlas for $\mu$ átalos aitlav sup． 225.

364．бú тоь тó $\lambda \iota s$, к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．＇You are the city，you alone the public，being irresponsible lord over all causes both civil and religious．＇To enlist the sym－ pathies of an Athenian audience with the conduct of the Argive king，the poet re－ presents him as deprecating absolute monarchy，and upholding constitutional rights，much as Euripides deals with the character of Theseus in his Suppliants． That this was really the early form of go－ vernment in the Greek states appears from

 392．The word $\pi \rho u u^{2} a v i s$ ，a synonym of Baनi入cùs，Prom．176，（Dissen ad Pind． Nem．xi．3，）seems connected in its ety－ mology（ $\pi \rho \delta$ ）with the simple notion of precedence．In ancient times the office of high priest was associated with that of king，as in later times the Roman Em－ perors called themselves＇Pontifex Maxi－ mus，＇and as the＇Rex Sacrificus＇held certain functions which were purely re－ ligious．The word however is here used with especial reference to the sacred fire （ $\epsilon \sigma \tau i a)$ preserved in the Greek Притаveĩa， －a Pelasgic rite connected with Persian fire－worship．See Müller，Dor．ii．pp．73， 141．Arnold on Thuc．ii． 15.
 ข́ $\mu i ̂ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ả $\rho \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ov̉к $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \omega \beta \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta s$ ä $\tau \epsilon \rho$ ． oủ $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \mathfrak{v} \tau o ́ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \hat{v} \phi \rho o \nu, \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ ả $\tau \iota \mu a ́ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \lambda \iota \tau \alpha ́ c . ~$


 $\phi v ́ \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \pi o \lambda v \pi o ́ v \omega \nu$
$\beta \rho \circ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，ồ тоîs $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$ Síkas ov̉ $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ẻvขó $\mu$ ov．
 $\delta v \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \theta \in \lambda \kappa \tau о s ~ \pi \alpha \theta$ о́ขтоs ойктоьs． 380


 סєî $\tau о$ ó $\sigma \epsilon \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ кат⿳亠 $\nu o ́ \mu о \nu s$ тov̀s оїко $\theta \epsilon \nu$


370．тoîs é $\mu$ oîs．Perhaps we should read toîs दُ $\mu \mathrm{ol}$ ．

379．＇Iктaiov．Herm．reads iktiov with Dindorf，a form not elsewhere found． The middle syllable may have been pro－ nounced short，as in $\delta$ eidatos，$\gamma \in$ patbs． See Eum．907．Monk ad Hippol． 170.

380．$\delta \cup \sigma \pi a p \mathrm{~d} \theta \in \lambda \kappa \tau 0 s$ ．So Schütz and Hermann for $\hat{心}$ סvađap $\theta$ én $\kappa \tau 0 t s$ ．Schol．
 тov $\Delta i \delta s \chi^{6}$ रुos．Hence the nominative seems more probable than the dative， though the latter may be rendered＇to those who are not easily softened by the complaints of the sufferer．＇The tran－ scribers would probably have altered it to suit ournools．But as this introduced two terminations in－ous，some one who per－ ceived that the words ought not to agree wrote $\varphi$ in the margin for $\delta v \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \theta$ é $\lambda \kappa \tau \omega$ ， instead of which the next transcriber gave $\bar{\omega} \delta u \sigma \pi a p \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \kappa \tau o s$. There is another reading，recorded also by the Schol．，$\delta v \sigma$－ $\pi a p \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \tau o t s, \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{\Lambda}, \mathrm{H}$ and K ，being sometimes confused．See inf．775．So
 The anger of Z è̀s ${ }^{\text {© }} \mathrm{I} \kappa$＇́ $\sigma$ oos was especially dreaded by the Greeks．See 473．Pau－ sanias viii．25， 1 ，calls it $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \mu$ a à ánapal－ $\tau \eta \tau o \nu$ ，and quotes an oracle of Dodona，



382．$\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ ．The king here professes his respect for the laws of other states， and his unwillingness to interfere with the local tribunals．See on this passage Müller，Dor．ii．p．209．There was an Attic law that heiresses（ėmik $\quad$ n $\rho o t$ ）should be bound to marry the next of kin，and not have the liberty of choosing for them－ selves．This allusion to Athenian cus－ toms explains 332 sup．－＇̇ $\gamma \gamma$ र́tata ү́́vous． Compare Isaeus，p．257，тробท̂коу єival
 $\gamma \in ́ y o u s ~ \sigma \nu \nu о к к i ̂ \nu . ~ A r . ~ A v . ~ 1665, ~ т о i ̂ s ~$
 See Stallb．ad Plat．Lachet．p．187，fin． Apol．p．30，b，who remarks that the

 tul fével，as we might rather have ex－ pected．

384．фéveєı－ $\mathbf{\omega s}$ ．＇To urge in your defence that，＇\＆c．So Xen．Hellen．I．iii．
 $\pi \rho o \delta o i ́ n ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda i \nu$ ．So also defendere， Cic．in Pis．x．5，＇ Si triumphum non cupiebas，cujus tandem rei te cupiditate arsisse defendes？＇Tac．Ann．xiii．43， ＇Ille nihil ex his sponte susceptum，sed principi paruisse defendebat．＇










 $\pi \delta \lambda i \nu$＇lкoн $\theta$＇$\delta \pi a i s$ ．Orest．1147，$\mu \grave{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$
 read $\mu \eta$ भ́ $\pi o \tau \in ́ v \nu \nu$ ，as $\tau t$ and $\pi$ ，oûv and $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ， are often confused．See inf． 756.
 make the stars the sole limit to my ex－ pedient for escaping from the marriage by flight，＇i．e．I do not propose to fly only into this or that country，but as far as the canopy of heaven extends，till I shall have got clear away from a marriage that is odious to me．This seems to have been a proverb．Hesych．九̆ $\sigma \tau \rho o t s$ $\sigma \eta \mu \in i-$







 Strabo，xvii．1，тро́тєроע $\mu$ èv ỗv èvvктo－


 which is righteous in the sight of the gods．＇Cf．$\pi \rho \delta s \pi \delta \lambda \in \omega s$ inf．613．$\tau \delta$


393．каl $\mu$ भптотє．．See on 352．Canter read $\mu$ خो kal $\pi$ тотє．Hermann，with J． Wordsworth，коv $\mu \not \eta_{\pi о \tau \epsilon \text { ．（On кal and }}$ nov̉ confused see on 291．）The vulgate， however，may very well stand．It is likely that the poet had in view II．xxii．

 $\lambda a \dot{\nu}$ ．
394．$\tau \dot{\prime} \chi \eta$ ．The MSS．have $\tau u \chi \theta \hat{p}$ or $-\theta \eta$ ．This arose from the o written over тúx $\eta /$（i．e．$\tau u ́ \chi^{\circ t}$ ）being corrupted to $\theta$ ． But the subjunctive is just as good（cf． є $\dot{l} \kappa \rho a \nu 6 \hat{\eta}$ sup．86），and perhaps better， because the Greeks were fond of assimi－ lating the tenses and moods of two verbs closely connected．－$\mu \grave{\eta} \tau o \hat{\imath} o \nu$, i．e．ё́ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$, ＇adverse．＇A common，yet remarkable


 Compare Pers．225．Hence ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \omega \boldsymbol{\prime} ;$ frus－ tra．

396．à $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o u s$. Herm．reads da $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́-$ pots with Schütz，which is probable（cf． 468），but not necessary，for $\tau \alpha \delta \delta$ is some－ times used for＂thus，＇or＇in this matter．＇
 $\nu \in \sigma \theta a l$ táde．Frag．Aesch．129，$\tau d \delta^{\prime}$ où $\chi$

 $\delta a t \mu \delta \nu \omega \nu \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime} \notin \sigma \chi \epsilon$ ．Cf．Prom．283．Pers． 118,161 ，where $\pi \rho \delta s$ or $\delta \dot{\alpha}$ may be sup－ plied as кат⿳亠口冋丸灬 in the above．－＇O $\quad$ aif $\mu \nu$
 Theb．410．－$\epsilon \tau \in \rho \circ \rho \rho \in \pi \hat{\eta} s$ ，＇inclining to this side or that，as may happen，＇i．e．im－ partial．Theognis， $157, \mathrm{Z} \in \dot{\iota} s$ रáa тоt тo


397．$\nu \epsilon \mu \omega \nu$ aैठıкс．Not that Zeus awards injustice，but that he awards an equivalent for each man＇s deeds，какà какоїs，à $\gamma a \theta$ à à $\gamma a \theta 0 i \hat{s}$ ．This way of speak－ ing is not without examples．Theogn．

#   <br> 400 

BA. $\delta \epsilon i ̂$ toi $\beta a \theta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ ф \rho o v t i o ́ o s ~ \sigma \omega t \eta p i o v, ~$








 $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ oủ ठокєî $\delta \epsilon i ้ \nu$ фроитíסos $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ o v ;$




400. $\delta \in \pi \neq \mu$ éved. Hermann calls attention to the middle use of the verb. Why should it not be passive? For $\beta \in \in \pi \omega$ is clearly transitive. Cf. Ag. 241. Eum. 848, and Theognis, quoted on 396, sup. $-\mu \in \tau a \lambda \gamma \epsilon i \nu$, he rightly observes, is properly 'to grieve after any thing,' i. e. to regret or repent, as $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \in \dot{\prime} \nu \mu \alpha, ~ \sigma \partial \nu$ ăд ${ }^{2}$ yos Med. 996. So $\mu \in \tau \alpha \gamma v a ̀ ̀ s ~ s u p . ~$ 102, and $\mu \in \tau а к \lambda$ do $\mu a \mathfrak{H}$ Hec. 214. The meaning is, that as the merits of the case are equally balanced by impartial Zeus, there will be no cause to repent of having acted rightly.
401. The construction is the same as
 $\mu о \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ єis $\beta \nu \theta \partial \nu \nu$ ( $\tau \omega \bar{\nu} \nu \quad \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu)$ ). $\delta \in \delta o \rho \kappa \delta s$, alluding to divers, who keep their eyes open under water to see sponges, oysters, \&c. See II. xvi. 747. - 廿'עш $\mu^{\prime} \nu_{0} \nu$, 'giddy,' or, as is vulgarly said, ' muddled.' The form occurs Bacch. 687.
404. $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \tau a{ }^{\mu} \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$. It is not very clear whether $\mu \hat{y} \nu$ is answered by $\tau \epsilon$ in the neat verse, or by cal in 406, in which latter case the stop should be removed after $\pi \delta \lambda \in \epsilon$. The former construction is not without examples. Cho. 962, $\sigma \in \mu \nu 0 l$


 $\sigma$ oal.
406. §votw $\mathfrak{E} \phi \dot{d} \psi \in \tau \alpha l$. 'Lay hands on you as booty.' In a quarrel, $\delta$ हिpıs, each party endeavours to make a reprisal on the other, and carry off what he can as a fair and lawful prize. See on these words sup. 309. Oed. Col. 858, каl $\mu \in i ̂ ̧ o \nu$ ãpa

 drag off for oneself, came $\rho$ и́ctov, any thing forcibly carried away, as cattle in a foray. See Il. xi. 671, seqq. Od. xxi. 16, seqq. As stolen cattle have to be surrendered, or a pledge given for their return, fúviov came to mean 'pignus,' and $\beta$ रucad ${ }^{( } \omega$ 'to distraiu,' or take an equivalent by force. Inf. 418, $\delta v \sigma t a \sigma \theta \in i-$

 GiaSov. Lastly, púctov $\tau \mathfrak{i \sigma a t}$, Solon. frag. 19, Philoct. 960 , is 'to pay back what you have taken,' and so 'to redeem your pledge.' In Ag. 518, रuviou à $\mu \alpha \beta \tau \epsilon \bar{\nu} \nu$ is 'to lose,' i. e. to be obliged to give up, ' the prize,' or booty already obtained.
 enduring curse on the country from the anger of Zè̀s iкє́ $\sigma$ tos. Cf. 263, 613. Oed.

411. $\mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ ov̀ $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{i}$. Hermann calls this 'ineptissimum,' and reads $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ боь бонє As $\mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ is the same as the Latin num, this would mean, 'surely you cannot think,' or 'perhaps you think there is need,' \&c. whereas the sense should be, 'surely you cannot think there is no need,' i. e. $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ò $\delta$ окє?. The poet might have


##  <br> 

just as a Roman might say nonne videtur or numquid non videtur. Cf. Oed. Col. 1729, $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oủX $\delta \rho \rho a ̂ s ; ~$
416. ò $\rho \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \nu_{\text {. }}$ Thus Pauw for obpo $\mu$ éval or дошнє́vay. The same variations occur Ag. 1378.

421. ко́тоv. Schol. тд̀ той $\Delta t \delta s_{s}$ Cf. 380, 610.
422. $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \tau \lambda \hat{\eta} s \tau_{\text {d }} \nu$, \&c. The Med. has $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \tau^{3}$ ảalo ${ }^{2} \alpha \nu$, by the slight change of $\Lambda$ to $A$.
425. ${ }^{2} \mu \pi \dot{u} \dot{k} \omega \nu$. There is a play on the double sense of $\alpha \mu \pi \nu \xi$, which meant the frontal of a horse's bridle ( $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \nu \kappa \tau \eta) \rho$ Theb. 456), and also a golden ornament worn on the forehead of women, Il. xxii. 469.



429. סopl Tively. Thus Hermann after Boissonade. The MSS. give $\delta \rho \in$ thrivelv or - telveiv, o having been corrupted, as it constantly is, to $\epsilon$, and transposed. Dindorf edits "A ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ' $k e t i \nu \in L \nu$, as I had done
in ed. 2, from Seidler. But I was then hardly aware of the extreme accuracy with which the antistrophic metres of Aeschylus correspond, syllable for syllable, not merely foot for foot. Construe, $\tau$ á $\delta \varepsilon$
 oppose the gods or the sons of Aegyptus, you must abide by the consequences.'$\delta \mu o t i a \nu$ for $\delta \mu o i a \nu$ is due to Klausen, who has thus restored one of the many Homeric forms in this play.
431. кри́т $\eta$, imperia, 'commands.' So Cho. 1, $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \hat{\omega}^{\prime}$ ёпопт



 Prom. 190 observes: кé入 $\sigma a l$ кupíws тd


 In this sense it occurs Ag. 649, $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$

433. тоîtu औ̀ тoîs. Cf. 352, 1031.

$\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma^{\prime}$ єै $\sigma \tau^{\prime}$ à $\nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta$, каi $\gamma \in \gamma о ́ \mu \phi \omega \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \phi о s$ $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \beta \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu a v \tau \iota \kappa \alpha i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ és $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$.
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$, ढ̉к $\delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu \pi о \rho \theta о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$,



ả̀ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \theta \nu \mu o v ̂ ~ \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \tau \alpha ~ к \iota \nu \eta \tau \eta ́ \rho \iota a, ~$





434. $\gamma \in \gamma \delta \mu \phi \omega \tau$ a . ' It is nailed fast, like a ship's hull set in the stocks.' Cf. 922. The exact meaning of $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \in \lambda \alpha_{l}$ is unknown. Hesych. $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \in \beta \lambda a t$ עautıка.
 रoнфои́ $\mu$ суa. It would seem to mean some device for keeping the planks or ribs of vessels in their proper places while they are being pegged down, as is practised in modern ship-building. Hermann objects to $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \gamma \mu$ évoу ( $\pi \rho а \sigma \alpha ́ \gamma \omega$ ), and reads with Scaliger тробทриévov. Had this latter been found in all the MSS., it would probably have been altered to

437. र $\uparrow$ भ $\mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$. There is another reading preserved by the Schol., रpquárav, which Dindorf adopts. If Aeschylus wrote $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$, it was from a dislike to the similarity of termination in three words of the verse. It is easy to supply auvt $\hat{\omega} \nu$, i. e. $\chi \rho \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, to $\pi \rho \rho \theta o v \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$. Cf. Ag. 938. Eum. 742. Sup. 115. Prom. 880. Theb. 263. Hermann reads каl $\delta \dot{\mu} \mu \sigma_{\nu}$ $\mu \grave{̀} \nu \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi о р \theta о \nu \mu$ év $\omega \nu$, because 'expugnata domo non opibus, quae jam nullae sunt, aliae possunt accedere, sed domui, quae spoliata opibus est.' But this is a hypercritical objection. We may
 $\chi \rho \emptyset \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ or $\grave{\alpha} \nu \tau l \chi \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$. If any correction is required, aopooupévors is the most probable. The next verse seems to belong to this place, though in the MSS. it stands after $\ddot{\alpha} \tau \eta s \quad \tau \in \mu \in l \zeta \omega$, which is


the Scholiast to explain it is futile: $\tau 0 \hat{u}$
 т $\partial \nu \quad \gamma \delta \mu 0 \nu$, which shows that he read $\gamma \in \mu i \xi \omega \nu$. But there can be little or no doubt of ärms $\tau \in \mu \in i \zeta \omega$, ' greater than the loss.' For $\gamma$ б́pou I have given rє́pos,

 Zeìs Kthotos see Ag. 978, 1005.
440. тo $\ddagger$ Gúraб $\alpha$. The nominative absolute, not unusual in Aeschylus. See Eum. 95. Cho. 511. The following line comes
 mann, observing 'duplex facta est comparatio, utraque tribus versibus, utraque consimili ordine et forma sententiarum,' ingeniously reads $\mu \grave{\lambda} \vec{a} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \hat{\alpha} \hat{\alpha} \theta \dot{u} \mu o v$, i. e. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \in \mu \dot{\eta}$. The general sentiment is this: 'The loss of property may be repaired, the injuries inflicted by the tongue may be amended; but the blood of relations once shed, there is neither remedy nor atonement.' Compare Ag, 978. Eum. 615. Eur. Suppl. 775, $\mu$ óvov Bpocoîs ov̀к


446. тароíx омal. 'I pass by, I decline; this quarrel.' ${ }^{\prime}$ After much hesitation the king thus delivers his present decision. His view of the matter is given in the next couplet; and then the chorus replies, 'hear what I had resolved on in the event of my petition being refused.' Hermann says, this reply is too abrupt; and he alters and transfers to the chorus v. 446,
 रomat, "Assuredly I am undone without

##  








BA. $\tau i ́ \operatorname{\sigma o\iota } \pi \epsilon \rho a i \nu \epsilon \iota \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \zeta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$;




pity from him.' He also denies that $\nu \in$ ikous $\pi \alpha \rho o i \chi \chi \in \sigma \theta a$ is explicable, and reads in Med. 995 , $\delta \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau a v e ~ \mu o l \rho a s$, ófov $\pi a \rho o l-$ $\chi \in!$. It is true that the word properly means ' to be past and gone,' as Ag. 550, or as inf. 718, 'to be undone;' but we have the similar phrases elкє $\chi \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \delta \delta o \hat{u}$ very frequently, so that we may fairly accept the scholium éктठेs Єัซo. нає той $\nu \in$ inous.
 I foresee evil, I hope I may prove wrong in my boding.
449. $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ ai $\delta o i \omega \nu \lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu$. The sense seems to be, 'hear what will be the end of all these appeals for mercy, if they are rejected.' See sup. 188.
 hear you; speak on.' Of course the aorist must be taken to mean, 'I have prepared myself to listen.'
451. $\sigma \tau \rho \delta \phi o u s . ~ T h e ~ M S S . ~ g i v e ~ \sigma \tau \rho o ́-~$ Bous, which Hermann retains without any remark. But $\sigma \tau \rho \delta \beta$ os is 'a whirlwind,' Ag. 640, $\sigma \tau \rho \delta \phi о s$ or $\sigma \tau \rho \delta \phi ı \nu$ ' a boddice,' or sash for the breast, Theb. 865 . Ar. Thesm. 139, 638, frag. Thesm. ii. 309. Catullus has 'strophio luctantes vincta papillas." It was used like the Roman fascia, and, like it also, sometimes meant ' swathing-clothes,' Hom. Hymn. ad A poll. Del. 123.- $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda a \beta \dot{\alpha} s ~ \pi \in ́ \pi \lambda \omega \nu$ refers only to 广白vas, and $\pi \in ́ \pi \lambda \omega \nu$ cannot be under. stood properly, since it was the $\chi i \tau \omega$ only that was gathered round the waist. But mémגos, as Müller has observed, Diss.

Eum. p. 64, was a general term for the tragic dress. He reckons among the articles of stage attire 'very broad embroidered girdles ( $\mu a \sigma \chi a \lambda / \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \in s$ ), sitting high on the breast,' which are perhaps the $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\phi} \phi \circ \iota$ here meant.
 are befitting the condition of women,' i. e. I am not surprised to hear that you wear them. Because the MSS. give $\tau \dot{\chi} \chi a \nu$ or $\tau 0 \chi a$, by a common Doricism (see on Prom. 694), Hermann reads $\tau \alpha \chi^{2}$

 Herm. for $\dot{\text { unoorth } \sigma \in L . ~ C f . ~ A j a c . ~ 1091, ~}$



 $\chi$ रop. The custom of attaching votive tablets to statues, 'genua incerare deorum,' is well known. We must infer that the statues of the áycutou $\theta$ eol were of colossal size, or they would not have served for executing the threat.
458. $\alpha<\pi \lambda \omega \bar{s}$. So Dind. Herm. for $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda$ $\pi \omega \hat{s}$, after Abreschius. For this antithesis is elsewhere found, as Prom. 611, ov่к
 Anaxilas ap. Athen. xiii p. 558, ai $\lambda \alpha-$
 тtбív. Dobree (Adv. i. p. 516) quotes the same corruption from Demosth. p. 1315. 26.
460. The MSS. give $\mu \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$, which occurs also in Pers. 694, $\mu$ री тt $\mu \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} p a$

## 

BA. каì $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi \hat{\eta} \gamma \in \delta v \sigma \pi a ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$,










 $\sigma \grave{v} \mu \grave{̀} \nu, \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho$ रєраıє̀ $\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \theta \in ́ v \omega \nu$,
 $\mu a \kappa i \sigma \tau 斤 p^{*} \beta^{\prime} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{o s}$. There is a gloss in the Med. $\mu$ акь $\sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \rho a^{\prime}$ ibv. Hesychius probably took it from this place; for in the Persae it clearly bears the sense of $\mu \hat{\eta} \kappa$ оs. Compare the Doric form Mákeqtos, Ag. 280. The conjerture of Auratus, $\mu$ aбтik$\tau \hat{\eta} p a$, containing as it does the very same letters with the addition of $\tau$, seems to be a safer reading, and has been admitted by Dindorf. Compare $\mu a \sigma \tau i k \tau \omega \rho$, Eum. 154. Hermann gives $\delta$ aкviбт $\hat{\rho} \rho \alpha$, because the Schol. explains карбías $\delta \eta \kappa$ $\tau \kappa \delta \delta$. It appears to me that the Schol. is too sparing of his comments on this play to have made so needless a remark, had he read $\delta$ аклібт $\hat{p}$ а.
462. The MSS. insert $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ or $\mu \grave{e} \nu$ before $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a \chi \hat{\eta}$, but add $\gamma \epsilon$. From not knowing the latter fact, I formerly edited ral $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$. But $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ was probably added in the margin to suit $\delta \hat{k}$ in the next verse. See on 927.


## впка.

467. $\mu$ la $\mu \mu$. The pollution of the holy images by the suicide of the maidens. It is this argument which makes the king relent at last, and reconsider his decision. If compassion is the real motive, the plea is religious fear (472); and the responsibility of undertaking the dangerous cause is shifted from himself to the people. 'Go,' says the king to Danaus, ' and appeal to the citizens. Show them your suppliant boughs before the public tem.
ples, and secure their sympathy. The final decision must be given in the assembly (512); at present I can only act as $\pi \rho d \xi \in v o s$ (485), the supporter and patron of your cause, not as supreme arbiter.'
468. $\delta \mu a l \mu o t s$. The Med. and others give $\delta \mu \alpha i \mu 0 u s . \quad$ See 396.
469. т $\dot{2} \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega \mu \alpha$. See Ag. 553. The article is used as Iph. Taur. 1001, т
 given for the vulg. oũvex'. See on 184.
470. фб́ßos. Schol. ס тoúvov ф́́ßos मéras éaтlv év Apotoîs. 'There is nóthing men so much fear as the vengeance of the god of suppliants.' See on 380.
 Pers. 812, ed. Blomf.
471. $\sigma \dot{u} \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu}$. He was going to say something about the conduct of the maidens meanwhile; but this is postponed to v. 499. After this verse Hermann introduces one of his own composition, which he supposes to have been lost,
 I still think that the difficult $\tau \epsilon$ may be explained by the similar passage Cho. 1033, which is by many needlessly al-
 $\sigma \tau \delta \mu \alpha$ ф $\eta \mu \eta \pi$ по $\eta \eta \rho \bar{q}$. For $\mu \eta \delta^{7}$ a $\pi о \rho \rho ф \phi \theta \hat{\eta}$ is in effect the same as кal $\mu \bar{\eta}$ à $\pi о \rho \rho i \psi \eta$ s. The meaning is, 'let not a word about me be dropped.' Mr. Conington conjectures $\psi$ jojos, on account of $\phi$ i入alitios, which is ingenious and not improbable,








 тoîs $\eta ้ \sigma \sigma o \sigma \iota \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \pi a ̂ s ~ \tau i s ~ \epsilon ̇ ̇ \nu o i ́ a s ~ \phi e ́ \rho \epsilon t . ~$

 ó $\pi \alpha ́ o \nu a s ~ \delta غ ̀ ~ ф \rho a ́ \sigma \tau о р \alpha ́ s ~ \tau ' ~ є ’ \gamma \chi \omega \rho i ́ \omega \nu ~$
 $\beta \omega \mu \circ$ ùs $\pi \rho o \nu$ áous $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \dagger \pi o \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o u ́ \chi \omega \nu$ éd $\delta \rho a s$



are elsewhere confounded, e. g. Cho. 976.
 mere loqui,' are phrases of common occurrence, often with the implied notion of impropriety or contempt. See Prom. 319, 953. Herod. i. 153. vii. 13. viii. 92 ; and $\lambda$ byos $\tau i \nu d s$ means 'words about a person,' as $\lambda 6 \gamma 01 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \in \sigma \tau \omega ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \nu$, Ion 929 . $\mu \nu \hat{\partial} \theta$ os $\phi\{\lambda \omega \nu$, Antig. 11. Cf. Ajac. 224, 997. Stallb. ad Protag. p. 26, в. On áүкć̇גаเs $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ see inf. 641.
472. रd́p. 'Beware,' says the king, ' lest the people should hear that you have privately consulted me first, for they are fond of blaming their rulers,' i. e. naturally jealous of their constitutional rights.
473. oikтíбas i $\bar{\delta} \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon$. 'Feeling pity, for you on seeing these suppliant wreaths.' So Hermann for oîctos ci$\sigma \tau \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \delta$. The correction was also made by Mr. Linwood. The $\gamma$ à $\rho$ seems clearly to refer to $\dot{\omega} s \% \delta \omega \sigma l \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon s, \& c$. not, as Hermann says, to ároppıфөरी. He evidently understood ' letnot. my words be thrown away,' which is the common, but certainly less correct, explanation, though à $\pi \epsilon \in \rho \rho ı \pi \tau a l$ is so used Eum. 206.
474. edvolus. The plural occurs Theb.
 dam. p. J29, $\tau$ â̂s $\gamma$ ' èvoiats $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ д̈ $\downarrow \tau \alpha s$.

 unanimous judgment of later editors in favour of Porson's emendation. Mr. Shilleto on Dem. de Fals. Leg. p. 164 (433, r)
 de Cor. p. 272, and Hor. Sat. i. 7, 28, 'salso multoque fluenti.' But, after all that may be said, it is a strange thing to, talk of 'getting a patron who flows well,' whether we explain 'speaking kindly' or 'offering a safe and favourable course.' We might be tempted to read evjpooûvia
 but that $\delta$ al $\mu \omega \nu$ is not so much a personification as a synonym of tíx $\eta$. Translate, 'who has been proved merciful.'
 é $\gamma \chi$. of $\phi$ pá ${ }^{\prime}$ ovat. Plut. de Mul. Virt.,


475. tpoodaus. This, as Hermann well
 $\pi 0 \lambda \hat{i} \tau \alpha$ in 477 . For $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o u ́ \chi \omega \nu$, which is clearly an error of the transcriber from the preceding verse, Hermann reads moגv$\xi \in \sigma \tau o u s . \quad$ I had conjectured $\pi \in \rho \iota \sigma \tau$ únous.



 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \xi \nu \mu \beta o ́ \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ o v ่ ~ \pi о \lambda v \sigma \tau о \mu \epsilon i ̀ \nu ~ \chi \rho \epsilon \omega ̀ \nu$,



BA．к入áסovs $\mu$ èv av̉тồ $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon, ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \pi o ́ \nu o v . ~$
ХО．каì $\delta \eta$＇$\sigma \phi \in \lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi \omega ~ \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota s ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \nu . ~$



ХО．ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ єi $\delta \rho а к о ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta v \sigma \phi \rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ є ́ \chi ~ Ө i ́ o \sigma \iota \nu ; ~$


492．фovov．So Haupt for $\phi 6 \beta o v$, which Hermann retains and defends．It is true that there is an antithesis，though rather an unmeaning one，in the vulgate： ＇beware lest courage should produce fear，＇ i．e．lest my boldness in going alone should cause a panic among the citizens． But the real point to be guarded against is clearly contained in the next verse： －There may be slaughter in consequence of a mistake．＇The Schol．has $\mu \eta$ ท $\theta a \rho \sigma \neq-$
 Unless we should read $\phi \circ \nu \in \cup \theta \hat{\omega}$ ，this only shows that he found $\phi 6 \beta$ Boy but could not explain it．The two words are perpetu－ ally confused in the MSS．See Prom． 363．There does not seem to be much weight in Hermann＇s objection，that by reading $\phi \delta{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$＇＇Argivi ut proni ad caedem notarentur．＇－On ral $\delta \bar{\eta}$ see Eum． 854.

 $\lambda o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu$, as $\xi \nu \mu \beta 0 \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ occurs in this sense Theb．3＋4．The correction is the more probable because $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu$ Bodas seems to have had a distinct and technical meaning：see on Prom．495．On the attendants here present as supernumeraries on the stage see inf． 916.
4！9．ע＇$\dot{\mu} \mathrm{Eis}$ ．Pors．，Dind．，and others read $\nu \in \mu \epsilon i ̂$ s．Schol．à $\nu \tau l$ tô̂ $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \in \nu 0-$
 that $\pi o \hat{v}$ has dropped out after $\tau o v$.

501．$\chi$ eipl кal $\lambda \delta$ бots．＇At your beck
and command．＇$\chi$ eipl of course refers to a $\dot{v} \tau 0 \hat{\nu}$ ，which is said $\delta \in \iota \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} s$ ．

502．$\lambda \in u p \partial \nu$ वै $\lambda \sigma \sigma$ ．The epithet im－ plies what is at once level and open；see Prom．37\％．á $\lambda \sigma \sigma$ s involves a similar idea；hence it is sometimes used of the sea，as inf．847，Pers．114，and inf． 552 of the open plains of Egypt．Strabo well

 king points to au unoccupied part of the orchestra near the sacred statues，which the chorus in the next verse calls $\beta^{6} \beta \eta$－ $\lambda_{o \nu}$ because it was uninclosed and accessi－ ble to all．There was a grove sacred to Argus not far from Nauplia（Herod．vi． $76-8$ ）which the poet may have had in mind．

504．ápmaरñ $\sigma^{\prime}$ ．The MSS．give
 $\dot{a} \sigma \phi \alpha^{2} \lambda \epsilon i a \delta \bar{j}$ ．Porson and the subse－
 being often confused；cf．927．Pers． 533. －$\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ，cf．220．So Eur．Bacch．
 е̌モetv．
505．à $\lambda \lambda$＇єi．＇But what if？＇See Cho．762．Hermann reads $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{3}$ 分 with Porson．

 is＇complimentary，＇Dem．de Fals．Leg． p．356．The meaning is，＂You who have been received with fair words ought not
 $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \omega$ тò $\kappa o \iota \nu o ̀ \nu, ~ \omega ́ s ~ a ̂ ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon \grave{v} \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon ̀ s ~ \tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega}$,
 про̀s таиิта $\mu i \mu \nu \epsilon$, каì $\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \gamma \chi \omega \rho i o v s ~$



xt.

$\sigma \tau \rho . \alpha{ }_{\alpha}$. $\mu а к \alpha ́ \rho \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$
to call your cousins by such ugly names as 'hateful dragons.'
508. àдd́ктตу. Mr. Linwood suggests quvaıкwิ. Hermann, with his usual confidence, says ' apertum est poetam ar ado $\tau \omega \nu$ scripsisse. Suns anim virgines ${ }_{2} \nu$ aрктоь absentee pare.' Schütz understands, 'an excessive fear of majesty always possesses inferior minds;' which implies that $\phi \delta \delta \beta \varphi \phi p \in \nu \delta s$ in the preceding verse has reference to the king himself, whereas it clearly is meant as an apology for their mistrust and harsh language, on the plea of fear of their pursuers. The sense appears to be, as Scholefield explanned it, 'if $y / 0$ are afraid, I am not; for fear becomes not kings.' This is the Homeric sense of ékaícos, ' unreasonable,' 'improper,' as Od. iv. 690. xvii. 577. The meaning 'excessive' appears to belong to a later age. There is a passage very similar in sentiment, Oed. Col. 655, where Theseus replies to the affrighted
 the answer of the chorus is quite approx.. prate: 'do you, who say you are not afraid, assure us not only by words, but by your deeds.' For $\phi \rho^{\prime} \nu a$ a the MSS. give $\phi \rho \in \nu$, which was corrected by Heath. In the ed. 2, I admitted Boche's $\phi \rho \in \nu o \hat{v}$, but I think it safer to return to $\phi \rho \in \varepsilon$, $\alpha$, with Hermann, though it is not easy to account for the corruption. Compare Crest. ${ }^{237}$,
 ой.-кац - ra! means, 'as by saying, so by acting.' Cf. 734.

both of the metre and the omission of the pronoun, and reads $\delta \alpha \rho \delta \nu \sigma^{\prime}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \xi} \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \omega \sigma \epsilon t$. But this is a reckless alteration. He might with less violence have written maros, ' you will not be long left alone from your father,' which I strongly auspet is the true reading.

511. |  |
| :---: |
| $\nu \gamma \kappa a \lambda \omega ิ \nu . ~ T h e ~ p o e t ~ h a d ~ i n ~ v i e w ~$ | the $\sigma \dot{\delta} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau o l$ érк $\lambda \eta \sigma$ lat of the Athenians, before which it was the custom to produce public suppliants. Thus in Eur. Suppl. 354, Theseus says, $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu{ }^{~}{ }^{1} A \delta \rho \alpha \sigma$ -

 el $\mu$. There is an allusion to the Assembly also inf. 598 seqq.
513. troia. This reading is written above the vulgate toil in the Paris MS. Mr. Shilleto on Dem. de Fals. Leg. p. 186 (446, R.), conjectured $\tau \hat{\imath}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ ad. Cf. Prom. 783. 943. But $\tau$ and $\pi$ are elsewhere confused, as sup. 295. inf. 547. 843.
516. er $\lambda \in \dot{\prime} \sigma o \mu \alpha$. This form is rare for ci $\mu$. and not very common in its proper sense, veniet. See Elmsl. on Heracl. 210.
 $\sigma v \nu \omega \bar{v}$ for $\pi<\rho \sigma \dot{v} v \omega \nu$ is due to Heath. See Elms. on Heracl. 799.
 or ot $\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon t$ are 'magistrates,' or 'authorities,' $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \phi \delta \rho o l$, and $\tau \in \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon 0 s$ is an attribute of Zeus as the perfecter and accomplisher of prayers (Ag. 946), as well as of other gods (see on Theb. 240), the two words are here combined, by a Grecism which hardly admits of translatron, and $\gamma \in \nu \in \delta \sigma \theta \omega$, 'so be it,' is as it were
$\pi \iota \theta \circ \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \prime \sigma \theta \omega$.
$\lambda_{i ́ \mu \nu \alpha} \delta^{\prime} \ddot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi о \rho \phi \nu \rho о є \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
$\tau \alpha ̀ \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda a \nu o ́ \zeta v \gamma^{\prime}$ ä $\tau \alpha \nu$.
$\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ є่ $\pi \iota \delta \omega ̀ \nu$ ，
ả $\nu \tau$ ．${ }^{\text {á．}} 525$
та入аíфатоע á $\mu$ є́ $\epsilon \epsilon \rho о \nu$
$\nu \epsilon ́ \omega \sigma o \nu ~ \epsilon \nu ้ \phi \rho o \nu ’$ aỉvo ${ }^{\prime}$
$\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o ̀ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ єis＇＂＂$\chi$ ขos $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \nu$
$\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.
$\lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu a \beta_{0} \chi^{\prime} \backslash \lambda o \nu,{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \theta \in \nu$＇$I \grave{\omega}$
ої $\sigma \tau \rho \underset{\iota}{\text { é }} \rho \in$ Өо $\mu \in ́ \nu \alpha$
the amen to the request which follows． Lobeck conjectures $\gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon$ 浐，but no change seems advisable．
 seem combined in this unusual phrase； the black men（inf．700），the ship bring－ ing them，and the $\mu$ é $\lambda a \iota \nu \alpha \alpha_{\alpha} \tau \alpha$（Ag．745）， or dark calamity which attended their presence．
 regarding that which is on the side of us women（i．e．the women＇s cause），recall the pleasing legend of our ancient race descended from an ancestress that was dear to thee．＇Here décoov aluon révos is precisely like $\kappa \tau \ell \sigma a l$ Bod $\nu$＂Ap $\eta$ inf．627，
 example is Soph．El．124，тd́кets oip $\omega$ үày
 be，that the person is put in apposition to the thing as the subject of $i t$ ，much as in Prom．209，where see the note．一 $\tau \delta \pi \rho \delta s$ $\gamma u \nu \alpha, \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ forms an antithesis to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\sigma \epsilon$ ßas $\tau \delta$ tp $\delta s \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu, 390$ ．Hermann very needlessly reads $\tau \delta$ трдs $\gamma \in \nu \alpha \rho \chi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ，con－
 ailvos，＇a tale，＇＇a legend，＇cf．Hes．Opp．
 Scholiast is right as to the sense，du do－


529．$\pi о \lambda \nu \mu \nu$ ívт $\omega \rho$ ．This explains and
enforces $\nu \epsilon \in \omega \sigma o \nu$ ．Dind．and Herm．read $\pi о \lambda \nu \mu \nu \eta \bar{\sigma} \tau 0 \rho$ ，the advantage of which is not very apparent，as the quantity of ú $\beta$ рıv（522）will suit either．Porson cor－


530．סias．The construction is，ev．
 ठías râs．Schol．Tins días Aîứtrou． Cf．4．552．Hermann prefers the less

 makes dia refer to Argolis．See Pers． 273．But $\mu \in \tau \in \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \nu$ more naturally suits the former，implying transition from one to the other．Cf．sup．41．The diffi－ culties of metre may fairly be pleaded in advocating the less obvious meaning． Porson read $\delta l^{\prime} \bar{\alpha} s$ ，but this does not suit the strophe．

533．＇̇̀ $\pi \omega \pi d s$ ．＇The watchings，＇i．e． the place where Io was watched by Argus．


 verses must be altered，é $\rho \in \theta$ o $\mu \dot{e} \nu \mathrm{v}$ a is per－ haps better than the other alternative which I before proposed，and Hermann has adopted from his own conjecture，
 $\ell \rho \in \in \tau \sigma \mu \partial s$ ，are peculiarly applied to the teasing and tickling of insects．So


$\phi \in u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau i v o o s$, $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ \beta_{\rho о \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu} \delta \iota \alpha \mu є \iota \beta о \mu$ е́va $\phi u ̂ \lambda a$. $\delta<\chi \hat{\eta} \delta^{\mathfrak{x}}$ ävтítopov  <br>$\mu \eta \lambda o \beta o ́ t o v ~ \Phi \rho v \gamma i a s ~ \delta \iota a \mu \pi d \xi \xi^{\prime}$ <br><br><br>   $\pi v \rho o \nu$ aiav.

 tis épelifouaa tàs Bovis. Another grammarian has oil $\sigma \tau \rho o s \cdot{ }^{\prime} \rho \in \theta \in \sigma \mu \delta \delta$. The verse is a dochmiac of resolved syllables.
540. $\delta \iota \chi \hat{\eta}$ סpi $\{\in$ t. Literally, disterminat, 'separates the opposite continents (i.e. leaves them apart) by crossing the Bosporus.' Cf. Prom. 752. But $\delta \iota \chi \hat{\eta}$ may perhaps allude to her double or twice made journey from Europe into Asia.-
 Cf. Herod. ii. 111, кข $\mu a \tau i \eta s$ о $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu \delta s$ Є่ $\gamma \in \dot{\prime} \nu \in \tau 0$.
541. kìalסos. The MSS. give $\beta a \sigma i \delta o s$, and the corruption must be ancient, for the Schol. remarks $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \kappa \alpha i$. The editors follow Turn. in reading $\delta^{\prime 2} \mathrm{~A} \sigma$ ' $\delta \mathrm{os}$. But I think k $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \delta o s$ is more likely to be right, for $\kappa$ and $\beta$ are constantly confused. So \# Bal and nt ral v. 75, каß阝às and ка́ккая inf. 807. д̀ßрєкдддоєs and öкр. Ag. 141. кóp ${ }^{2}$ and $\beta \alpha \dot{p} p \eta$ Eum. 824. $\pi \rho о \beta \lambda$ дббtos and $\pi \rho о к \lambda$. Herod. ix. 75.
 and rapúıteos Cho. 922. Compare $\kappa^{2} \rho$ $\gamma$ fia in the verse of Sophocles quoted on v. 269.- ${ }^{\prime} \alpha \pi \tau \epsilon t$ is intransitive, or rather, Eavà̀ $\nu$ is to be supplied. So píntely Eur. El. 1346, ed. Herm. Cyel. 166. Theogn. 176. $\beta a \lambda \in \hat{i} \nu$ Ag. 1143. iévai Pers. 472.



 Mayvךбiav. Ib. xiii. p. 615, $\pi \in \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon v \tau a l$

$\lambda \in v \sigma a \nu \tau \eta ิ s \chi \omega \rho a s \tau \eta ̂ s \pi \in \rho l \tau \grave{\eta} \nu T \in v \theta \rho a v i ́ a \nu$ каl $\tau \grave{\nu}$ Káíkò.
545. © $\rho$ p $\omega$. So Herm. from the margin of the MS. in the Escurial Library. The Med. has ơpov by a second hand, $\delta \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$ by the first. Hermann says that in choruses the tragedians use $\dot{\delta} \rho \epsilon \in \omega \nu$, not ${ }^{\circ} \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$.
546. Пa $\dot{\psi} \dot{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ тє. The MSS. add $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \eta$. The spondee is perhaps defensible, (see v. 70,) especially in a proper name.
547. тà $\rho$ тотаноús. So Robortello by conjecture. The MSS. have $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \pi a \tau a \mu o t s$. See on 513. We find $\pi \grave{\alpha} \rho$ even in a senarius, Eum. 220, and the poet may have had in view Homer's $\pi \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi о \tau \alpha \mu \delta \nu \quad \kappa \epsilon \lambda$ adouтa Il. xviii. 576. Hermann reads $\gamma \hat{a} s$, and appears to construe noтapoùs
 has been corrupted to tâs or tos. In the Med. $\delta^{2}$ is added after moтauoús. The grammarians were very particular about these connecting particles, and occasionally (Prom. 429, Theb. 696) intruded them even against the metre. The Scholiast sometimes remarks $\lambda \epsilon$ í $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta$ ral, e. g. in ₹. 541, and again 570 . The rivers and the 'rich land' here meant are probably those of Cilicia, which Xenophon, Anab. I. ii. 22, describes as a plain well-watered and very productive of corn and fruits. For the poet traces the course of I o through Asia Minor, from north to south, till she crosses over to Cyprus, and thence to Egypt.
549. тâs 'Aфpoठit $\tau \alpha s$ ( $\tau \grave{a} \nu$ 'A ${ }^{\prime}$. Herm.) ailay, i. e. Cyprus, which Eur. Bacch. 401 is called $\nu \hat{a} \sigma o s ~ \tau \hat{a} s$ 'A $\mathrm{A} p o \delta i t a s$, and is de-

#  ßovкóлоv $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho о ́ є \nu \tau о \varsigma$, ठิор $\pi \alpha ́ \mu \beta о \tau о \nu{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \sigma о \varsigma$, 

 Tvф̂̂ $\mu$ évos,

 "Hpas.

scribed by Strabo, xiv. 6, as evotyos kal
 Schol. understands Phoenicia, probably on account of the worship of Astarte or Venus Urania. But the people of Cyprus had derived the worship from the Assyrians, perhaps independently of the Phoenicians. Pausan. i. 14, 6. There is no difficulty in making Io swim over the sea, for she had crossed the Bosporus, Prom. 750.

 can be little doubt that he explains the present MSS. reading; for छौфıк $\bar{\epsilon} \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$, $\kappa \alpha \nleftarrow \iota \kappa \nu \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a l$, are frequently used for 'to strike.' Indeed, the Latin icere is only the Greek $\ell_{\kappa \in \nu \nu}$. Compare ictus with intós (ęфикто́s). Oed. Tyr. 809, кd́pa $\delta \iota \pi \lambda о$ îs ке้́троьбl $\mu о \nu \kappa \alpha$ íкєто. Photius:
 that tbere is no point in this play on wurds, 'she goes along as the sting goes into her,' and corrects é 'єкєєрı $\mu$ éva, from Prom. 578, $\chi \rho \mathfrak{\ell} \epsilon t \tau t s$ a $\bar{\delta} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha \nu \alpha \nu$ oildrpos. Of the propriety of this or any other 'lusus verborum,' Aeschylus was surely the best judge. There does not seem the slightest probability in the alteration. No transcriber was likely to meddle with érкєхрецє́va if he had found it in his MS.
551. Bovrd́גov. Hesychius doubtless had this passage in view: ßoukóגor où
 тıva oütc кадли̂̀vтat. The gloss however is founded on an absurd mistake, since Bovid́dos is only metaphorical.
 $\pi$ д́ $\mu$ вотоу, cf. 8:34.
 $\tau \delta$ for $\tau \epsilon$, which is due to Schütz. Hermann and Dindorf follow the Schol., who
 $\tau и ф \hat{\omega} \mu$ н́vos. So also Klausen on Ag. 262, 'aqua Nili in qua inest vis Typhonis; quae aucta est vi Typhonis.' Haupt compares II. xvii. 263 seqq. I think that the whole passage should be differently explained; and I threw out a bint to that effect in ed. 2. Tuфفs is here the real giant, also called Tuфウ̀v and Tupweìs, who was fabled to have wandered over Egypt seeking Osiris. Strabo, xvii. 1, $\mu \nu \theta \in \dot{v} 0 u \sigma \iota$

 $\delta \grave{1} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$




 Herod. iii. 5. It is remarkable that in
 the verb used; and if Aeschylus employs the present tense, it is to represent the action as more nearly contemporaneous with and directly concerning Io, who was also called lsis. By this explanation, $\delta \delta \omega \rho \tau \in$ Nei $\lambda o v$ is the accusative depending on inveital, 'she comes to Egypt and
 in allusion to its salubrity, for which it is still celebrated. So eütotod féos Prom. 831. See Wilkinson's Egypt, vol. i. $293-5$; ii. 5.
556. кєขтроба入итьбt. So Herm. after Erfurdt for - intois. There can be very little doubt about this emendation, which the metre requires. $-\theta$ viàs, 'frenzied,' $\mu \alpha \iota \nu о \mu$ е́̀ $\eta$.
 rare word. See Pind. Pyth. is. 101, of
 $\delta \omega \rho$ hбєта.

# $\chi^{\lambda \omega \rho \hat{\imath}}$ ठєí $\mu \alpha \tau \iota ~_{\theta \nu \mu \grave{\nu} \nu}$ <br> $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \psi \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \theta \eta$,  $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \mu$ ù̀ $\beta$ oòs,  <br>  ठóvךто⿱ ' ' $\omega$ ' ; 567 <br>  $\sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$. Bías $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \mu a ́ \nu \tau \varphi$ б $\sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \in \iota$ 570 каì $\theta$ єíaıs е̇ $\pi \iota \pi \nu o i ́ a \iota s$  

562. द̀ $\sigma o p \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s$. Hermann denies that Aeschylus could have written this: and here it is to be feared that he is right. For $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a \nu \pi o$ is sufficient to govern $\partial \not \psi \iota \nu$, to which Bocò was in apposition; and the Schol. must have meant this by adding $\delta_{\rho} \omega \bar{\nu} \tau \in s$, that is, he found nothing else but $\pi d \lambda \lambda \lambda o y \tau o$, and wrongly supposed the participle must be supplied. And hence it seems to have crept into the text, to the ejection of some epithet. Hermann supplies како́ $\chi a \rho \ell$, an improbable word. Perhaps $\kappa \in р \alpha \sigma \tau l$ (Prom. 692) or кєрофбоо is more likely. The Schol. has $\tau \in \rho a \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \in s$, which seems a gloss (not on $\mu \ell \xi \mu \beta$ porov, but) $\delta u \sigma \chi \in \rho \in \varepsilon$, as Prom. 821, ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \nu \delta^{\prime}$ àkoí $\epsilon \iota \delta \nu \sigma \chi \in p \hat{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon \omega \rho} i \alpha \nu$. Hermann adds, that the reading of the Med. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \quad \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau$ tes shews that the gloss of the Schol. has heen patched up to make a senarius. The argument however is inconclusive, for the same MS. has cio djā̀ in Prom. 254. For $\pi d \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ oै $\psi u \nu$, compare $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma-$

 ย̆ $\chi \in t$, i. е. $\phi о \beta \in i ̂ \tau \alpha$.
563. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta^{3}$. aủ. Hermann gives $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{3}$ $a \hat{i}$ from MS. Guelph. This passage is not very easily reconciled with v . 294, where Io is spoken of as wholly changed into a cow. See on Prom. 578. The usual legend represented her as a woman with a cow's head. Herod. ii. 41, To T $\hat{\eta} s$

 фovat. So Propert. iii. 20, 17 (ii. 28, 17.) 'Io versa caput primos mugiverat annos: Nunc dea, quae Nili flumina vacca bibit.' She was, in fact, an impersonation of the

Moon, whence she is called 'priestess of Hera,' v. 287, i. e. attendant of Earth. Her horns may be supposed to have represented the moon's crescent, as Pausanias (vi. 24, 5) describes figures symbolising the sun and moon in the agora at Elis; of which he says, кal $\tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \kappa$ ќpara
 ÉXovaıv. Mr. Blakesley, on Herod. ii. 41, inclines to think the name $I o$ derived from the Coptic word for the moon. Others connect it with ala, earth.
568. This passage is mutilated. Hermann's supplement is contrary to the punctuation of the antistrophe, $\delta i^{i}$ ai$\omega \nu=s$
 Zús. In the next verse he reads $\delta$ úa $\delta^{\prime}$ for $\beta^{\prime} a^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$, and these words are confused in Prom. 533. But the Schol. remarks, $\lambda \in\{\pi \in \iota \delta$ каl. (See sup. 547.) He therefore read Bias, and with this clue to guide us we may assume the sense, including the lost verse, to have been this: 'For by him she was released from the violence of the persecution by his unharming might.' The Greeks do not say

572. ànoбтáS $\epsilon$. She sheds tears on returning to her senses ( ${ }_{\kappa}^{\mu} \mu \phi \rho \omega \nu$, Prom. 876), tears being the attribute of humanity alone. Hermann, who maintains that $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau d \in E L \nu$ means rather 'to exhibit' by bringing out to the surface, than 'to cast off,' reads $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma \chi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \in \iota$. The Schol. however is clearly with the text, for he adds
 959 may very well be understood, $\delta \in \iota \nu \partial \bar{y}$
 away,' 'frets away his anger.'

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\gamma \epsilon i \nu a \tau o \pi \alpha i \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \mu \phi \bar{\eta}$, | 575 |
|  | ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}$. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 580 |
|  |  |
|  <br>  |  |
|  | $\sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | 585 |
|  $\gamma^{\prime} \nu$ дous $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$ oó $\phi \rho \omega \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha s$ |  |
|  <br>  | ${ }^{\mathbf{a}} \nu \tau$. |

574. ép $\mu$ a. Schol. $\beta$ ápos. $\Delta$ îov ả $u \in v-$ $\delta \in \hat{i} \lambda \delta \gamma \nLeftarrow$ must be taken together ; cf. 580. 578. Tò òn. Pors., Dind., Herm. read $\tau 6 \delta \epsilon$. There seems no necessity for the change.
 regards this and the next verse as part of the speech, which I have marked with inverted commas. The argument reverts to the first strophe of the chorus. As Zeus relieved Io, and the chorus are descended from her through her son Epaphus, so he is the proper god to invoke in the present distress.
575. єù̉dóras. See 46. 'What god can we reasonably invoke as having performed juster works?' i. e. than the " $\rho$ ¢ $\rho$ ov mentioned just before. The sense is, 'Who has proved his justice towards our race more clearly than Zeus?'
576. A word is lost, as Hermann observes, from the beginning rather than the end of this verse. He supplies $\varepsilon_{\dot{\ell} \tau \tau^{\prime}}^{\gamma} \gamma \in$, which does not seem satisfactory. Some verb appears rather to be wanting, answering to $\kappa \in \kappa \lambda о\left\{\mu \alpha \nu\right.$, like $\chi_{i \epsilon}$ (Eum. 807). - $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \phi ф \rho \omega \nu$, ff. $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \mu \nu \grave{\eta} \sigma \tau \omega \rho$, 529 ; or perhaps, $\delta \pi d \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \pi \epsilon i f \omega \nu \gamma$ रévos, as 355.775.
577. тठ $\pi a ̂ \nu ~ \mu \hat{\eta} \chi a \rho$ oûplos, 'directing every expedient,' $\delta \pi a ̄ \sigma a \nu \mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta \eta_{\nu}$ où-
 à aотр $\sigma \pi \omega \nu$ áos Cho. 147. We cannot be sure that ouptei (Pers. 604) is not the
true reading. The Schol. took $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \mu \hat{\eta} \chi a \rho$ for the nominative, $\hat{\eta} \pi \alpha y \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta)$, i. e.


 $\tau_{\tau 〕} \mu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ È $\chi \omega \nu$. We may readily explain
 But the passage is perplexed and difficult. Hermann, who denies that ood $\delta \omega$ ever means 'to sit,' (on which much disputed question see Buttmann's Lexil., and New Cratylus, § 472,) explains 'ad nullius imperium properans,' comparing sup. 90. The construction, in his view, is this,
 mác $\omega$, and the general sense as follows: ' himself urged to action by no authority (and in consequence disliking that others should be coerced), he approves of the inferior mastering the superior though from a lower position, no one else being seated above him.' That is, 'he will not allow the strong to prevail over the weak in the present contest.' It seems better to acquiesce in the commonly received interpretation, "he does not, sitting under the rule of another, hold an empire less than superiors; nor does he worship from a lower place, while another is enthroned above.' The passage contains some of the Pythagorean speculations on the Divine Nature, and would present much less difficulty if we knew more about Oods $\omega$.

тò $\mu \in \hat{o} \nu \nu \kappa \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ v \omega \nu$ кратúvєا.
ovैтıvos ä้ $\nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \in ́ v o v ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \epsilon \iota ~ к \alpha ́ \tau \omega . ~$


 ठ $\eta$ भ́mov $\delta \epsilon ́ \delta о к \tau a l ~ \pi a \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \psi \eta \phi i ́ \sigma \mu a \tau a$.




592. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \sigma \tau-\sigma \pi \in \hat{v} \sigma \alpha a$. 'Action is as prompt as speech to execute anything that his counselling mind brings forth;' or, as Callimachus says, 'he will accomplish by the evening what he may have thought of in the morning.' Epyov ©́s Etros was a common proverb, and in point of construction may here be taken for taðút $\overline{\text { f. Hom. Hymn. Merc. 46, }}$




 which Auratus corrected. Hermann finds an intentional relation between $\theta$ oás ${ }^{\circ} \omega$ and $\sigma \pi \in \hat{v} \sigma a t$, but this is not very evident. The meaning of all this is, that every wish is instantly and without trouble effected, i. e. he has only to will it, and it is done : ( $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ Ginovov $^{\delta} \alpha_{\mu} \mu \nu i \omega \nu$, sup. 93 ) Therefore he can render assistance promptly and of his own free will.
597. nồ, quorsum? 'To what purport has the vote of the people' been passed ?' This is the usual construction, as mot

—кирои̂̀ occurs Pers. 229. Eum. 550.


598. $\chi \in i \rho \delta \pi \eta$. So Dobree for $\chi \in \iota \rho o-$ $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{v} \in \tau a . \quad$ See sup. 170. Others read $\chi \in l_{\rho}$ ббто, Hermann $\chi \in i \rho$ б́тє $\rho$, on account of the apparent tautology; which however involves an unusual construction, 'the decision which the hand of the people has carried by a majority.' It seems most probable that $\pi \eta$ should have been lost before $\pi \lambda \eta$. - $\pi 0 \hat{i}$ and of $\pi \eta$ correspond like oîa and $\delta$ тоía Oed. Tyr. 1272, oîs and $\delta \tau 0, s$ Trach. 1118 , $\delta \sigma \alpha$ and $\delta \pi \delta \sigma \alpha$ Dem. Aphob. p. 817.7. For $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{v} \in \tau a t$ most editors read $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{v} \nu \in \tau a t$, as in Ag. 1341. Dindorf retains the vulgate, and Herod. has $\pi \lambda \eta \theta v_{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a l$ ii. 93. There is no proof that $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \delta \omega$ and $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\nu} \omega \omega$ differed in meaning; cf. $\theta u \omega^{\circ}$ and $\theta u ́ v \omega$. The allusion is to the $\chi$ eipotovio in the Athenian assembly.
600. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \beta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha l \quad \mu \epsilon$. So Tyrrwhitt for $\alpha_{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \beta \eta \sigma \alpha \iota \mu$.
 0є́pous, cf. 217.

    $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu \delta \iota \pi \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu \mu i \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha$ п $\pi$ òs $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ф $\alpha \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$       <br> 

XO．

611．$\pi \rho \circ \phi \omega \nu \omega ิ \nu$ for $\pi \rho \delta \phi \rho \omega \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is due to Canter．The insertion of $\rho$ in similar words is very frequent，as sup．283．inf． 672，836．Thus äßatov and $\quad$ áBpotov are confused Prom．2，̇̇ $\pi a \chi \theta \hat{\eta}$ and ė $\bar{\pi} \alpha a ́ \chi \theta \eta$ ib．49．Aldus has $\phi \rho \omega \nu \in \bar{i} \nu$ and $\phi \rho \omega \nu \bar{\omega} \nu$ in Eum． 269 and Ag．198，for ф $\quad$ 少这， \＆c．Hermann translates，＇edicens，ne civitas magnam in futurum tempus Jovis
 is a very unusual，not to say improbable expression；while of $\pi \alpha \chi$ кis was a com－ mon phrase for oi $\pi \lambda$ dóvtor．See Photius in v．$\pi a \chi$ eits．Baehr on Herod．v． 30.
 $\theta \in\left\{s\right.$ ．Hence $\pi \alpha \chi{ }^{d} \nu \in[\nu$ should rather mean $\pi \lambda$ ourl ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon \pm$. The sense，in my judgment， is this：＇warning them that the great wrath of Zeus would never hereafter en－ rich the city．＇Professor Conington well observes，in approval of this view，the confirmation it receives from the word $\beta \delta \sigma \kappa \eta \mu \alpha$ in 614．＂The disease is to be a drain on the body politic，exhausting its powers of support，and preventing it from thriving or becoming fat．＂The idiom is well known，by which any thing is said to be done by another，who in fact only allows it to be done，i．e．who is passive rather than active in the matter，


 his feet go regularly．＇The Schol．how－ ever has $\mu \grave{j} \pi \omega s$ aúsíncel rótov $\delta$ Zeús． He seems to have considered $\pi a \chi \dot{y} v a i$ as
the optative，and to have read $\pi \delta \bar{\delta} \epsilon$ ．
613．The double pollution，as the Schol． observes，was that of rejecting those who were at once $\xi \in{ }^{\prime} y o u$ and $\dot{a} \sigma \tau o l$ ，suppliants and relations．Cf．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau 6 \xi \in \nu 0 \iota$ v．350．— For $\pi \rho \delta \pi$ ．Hermann reads $\pi \rho \delta{ }^{2} \pi$ ．，as I had corrected in ed．1．Compare II．xxii．
 Xen．Anab．ii．2，5，and iv．3，26，$\pi \rho \delta s$ тои потаной．The metaphor is from a pestilence or a hostile army suddenly ap－ pearing．
 The word is rare in the sense of $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \xi$ ， and probably from Homer＇s кирика，калй－ тора，II．xxiv．577．Schol．mplu eiteîv
 סoкê．Their enthusiasm was shown by not waiting for the due forms and cere－ monies of the meeting．

618．Z $\epsilon$ ús，i．e．Zè̀s àyopaîos Eum． 931．Hermann reads $\epsilon \lambda v \sigma \in \nu$ for $\eta^{\eta} \kappa o v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ， and крáveıev for èréккрayev．These altera－ tions cannot be justified．What autho－ rity can he adduce for $\lambda \dot{u} \in \iota \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho o ф d . s$, solvere contionem？$\sigma \tau \rho o \phi a l$ are the elo－ quent periods of oratory，whence $\sigma \tau \rho \frac{1}{-}$ $\phi \in ⿺ 辶 ⿱ 亠 乂 寸$ doous，Plat．Gorg．p．511，where see Heindorf．There is a slight repeti－ tion in the sense，but evidently for the sake of an antithesis；＇it was the people， as I said，that heard the eloquent appeal， but it was Zeus who put it into their hearts to vote in our favour．＇Danaus， in fact，corrects himself，to give all the praise and the honour to Zeus．

# $\dagger \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \mu \nu \nu{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \tau \omega \varsigma \pi \rho o ̀ s{ }^{\circ} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$.  $\chi$ єои́баs* $\quad \sigma \tau \rho$. á.  626     630    ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \alpha^{\alpha}$.  

623. à $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \mu \pi \tau \omega$ s. Hermann has ${ }_{2} \mu \varepsilon \mu$ $\pi \tau o \nu$, because the Med. gives $\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega \nu$. On - $\omega \nu$ and - $\omega s$ confused see Agam. 1366. The Schol. explains $\beta \in \beta$ aíws Eis
 easy to say whether he meant $\beta \in \beta a i \omega s$ as
 and in the latter case whether from ф'ि $p \omega \dot{\nu}$ some participle-perhaps $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \omega \nu$-is to be restored to the text. The reading of the Med. is as much in favour of this as the context; for we want something to fill up and elucidate the strange phrase
 $\mu o \nu a$, 'May Zeus regard with favour the requital of a stranger's prayers, so as to give them accomplishment satisfactorily in every result.' It appears to me highly probable that Aeschylus wrote $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho \dot{\rho}$ $\tau \in \rho \rho o \nu$ ' ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$, 'conducting all things to their end,' a sense at once simple and satisfactory.
624. $\nu$ yิ้ ดัтє. See Theb. 702. Lobeck ad Ajac. 801. From the original sense 'now is the time when' something is to be done or will be done, the two words passed into the single idea 'now at length,'
 ఢ่ $\mu$ ข̂.
625. The MSS. reading is $\tau$ à $\nu$ П $\quad \lambda a \sigma$ yia $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$. Hermann is probably right in correcting тávঠє $\Pi_{\epsilon} \lambda a \sigma \gamma \gamma^{\prime} a v$. We have Meגa $\sigma$ ía for Argos in Prom. 879. The grammarians were fond of patching up senarii; see on Ag. 448. The same critic
 Conington had before proposed), and explains the whole passage thus: 'Never
may this Argive city, consumed by fire, raise the joyless cry of wanton war.'
 supra 525-8. The order of the words, he rightly observes, is entirely against joining $\pi u \rho i \phi a \tau a \nu$ kтíax. On the supposed historical allusion to the treaty between Athens and Argos, в.c. 461, see introductory note.
626. $z^{2} \nu$ ăd $\lambda \lambda o t s$. Hermann and others explain infaustis, adversis, comparing $\mu \eta$ тойоу, v. 394. The sense seems to me rather to be this : 'who mows down men in other battle fields, and may some day do so in this ;' which is equivalent to expressing a hope that he will not. The Scholiast, in merely repeating the words
 Goyta toùs $\beta$ potoùs, seems to have thought the order might be mistaken by some.
627. тра́ктора́ тє бкото́v. These words are suspicious, for the reason given on Cho. 1058. Hermann has $\pi \rho \alpha к т о \rho \sigma$ та́p$\sigma \kappa о \pi \sigma y$, from the Schol. $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \Delta i \partial s$ ö $\phi \theta a \lambda-$
 just as probably have intended to explain $\sigma \kappa о \pi \delta \nu$. Mr. Conington inclines to Bam-
 the dochmiac. The true reading $\pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau о \rho{ }^{\circ}$ $\epsilon \in\{\sigma \kappa о \pi o \nu$ is perhaps to be restored from


 'having due regard to the divine and avenging observer.' Cf. $\tau \delta \nu \quad \dot{u} \psi \delta \theta \in \nu \sigma \kappa 0-$ $\pi \partial \nu$ sup. 375.
628. тis. So Well. for oütts. The idiom is not uncommon. Dem. p. 1017,

##  <br> ă乌ovtal $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ء́ $\mu$ aí $\mu$ avs, <br> Zךขòs їктораs $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu 0$ vt.


 $\tau \iota \mu \sigma s \in \dot{\chi} \chi \alpha$ -

Мท́тотє $\lambda о \iota \mu o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$
$\tau \alpha ́ \nu \delta \epsilon \pi o ́ \lambda l \nu \kappa \epsilon \nu \omega \sigma \sigma a l$.
645
$\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \iota \omega \rho i o \iota s$ * $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota s$
$\pi \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ai $\mu \alpha \tau i ́ \sigma a \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \nu \gamma \hat{a} s$.



 є $\delta$ סaupovi $\sigma \in \epsilon$; Lysias, Ort. ii. p. 194,



637. mályoyta. Most editors have condemned this word as corrupt; yet it is easy to show that it is both genuine and literal in its meaning, 'making dirt on the roof.' The doctrine, -probably Pythagorean, certainly eastern,--that a roof beset with foul birds was an evil omen, is still scarcely extinct, since some superstitious persons fear a raven or an owl on a house-top little less than the evil one himself. Compare Ag. 1447,
 $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \epsilon t$. Nothing can be clearer than the testimony of Hesiod, Opp. 744, $\mu \eta \delta{ }^{\prime} \epsilon$

 where we should perhaps read र $\rho \omega \varsigma \eta$. Hence $\mu$ dod $\sigma \omega \rho$ became a general term for an unclean spirit, or evil genius. The original use of the metallic plate called $\mu \eta \nu l \sigma \kappa o s$ (the nimbus of saints) was to keep birds from dirtying the heads of statues; see Ar. Av. 1114-17. Hence
 Med. 1371. It may be added, that $\bar{\xi} \phi\left\}_{\xi} \in\right.$ in the present passage is the word regularly used of the perching or alighting of birds. The Romans had the same ideas on the subject. Tibull. v. 53, 'e tectis strix violent canal.' They constantly spoke of birds as foedae, obscoenae, itportunae. Tace. Ann. xii. 43, 'insessum diris avibus capitolium.' This too is the
chief point in the description of the disgusting Harpies, Virg. An. iii. 216, 'foedissima ventris Proluvies.'
 that $\gamma$ ad $\rho$ refers to v. 634.
641. பітобкiшv. Cf. 349. Ag. 476. The boughs were so carried as to shroud the face. Hence Orest. 383, àqú̃aou
 (Journal of Classical Philology, ii. p. 235) suggests that "each suppliant, while seat--ed, retained his $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \mu a$ attached to his neck by a festoon of wool, even while it lay on or beside the altar." In this way he explains the obscure verse Oed. Tyr.
 notion of the boughs themselves and the fillet worn on the neck or head being one and the same. The boughs seem to have been clasped in the arms ( $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda a t s$, sup. 474), and thus held upright against the chest so as to shroud the face.
645. Td $\nu \delta \in \pi \delta \lambda_{1} \nu$. So Herm. and others for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta$ e. Cf. 626, 662. ' May pestilence never empty this city of its inhabitants.'
646. $\sigma \pi \alpha \sigma t s$ is wanting in the MSS. I added the word in ed. 1 , and so Hermann has edited from Bamberger. Cf. Cum. 933, a passage very similar to the present.- $\pi \tau \mathscr{\omega} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ here clearly means corpses. Assuming that $\sigma \tau \dot{d} \sigma$ os, and not $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \notin \nu$, was the lost word immediately proceding, we must pronounce Phrynichus


 has $\pi \tau \omega ́ \mu а т \alpha, ~ \nu \in \kappa р \omega \nu, ~ P h o e n . ~ 148 \% . ~$

#   $\phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu^{\bullet}$ ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$. <br>  Z $\hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \mu_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \alpha \nu \sigma \epsilon \beta o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ， <br> 655  ôs $\pi o \lambda \iota \omega \hat{c} \nu o ́ \mu \omega$ â̂ $\sigma \alpha \nu$ ỏ $\rho \theta$ ồ．  ä入入ovs $\epsilon \dot{u} \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{*} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon$ ，   $\tau \alpha ́ \nu \delta \epsilon \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \delta \alpha i \zeta \zeta \omega \nu$,  $\beta \circ \alpha ́ \nu \tau^{\prime}$ є้ $\nu \delta \eta \mu \circ \nu$ є́ $\xi \circ \pi \lambda i \zeta \omega \nu$ ． 665 $\nu o v ́ \sigma \omega \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 


#### Abstract

651．Both Bporoخoryds and $\alpha \omega \tau o v$ are Homeric words．The latter appears here synonymous with äp日os，as Fishlake well observes with reference to Buttmann＇s discussion upon it in Lexilogus．The sense is，＂May the flower of the youth not perish in war．＇


652．$\gamma \in \rho \alpha \rho o i ̃ \sigma \iota-\phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．＇Blaze with offerings．＇So Ag．91，$\beta \omega \mu 0\rangle$ § $\omega^{\prime} \rho o \sigma_{t}$ $\phi \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma a y \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$. On $\gamma \in \rho \alpha \rho \alpha$, a neuter adjec－ tive used for a substantive，see Ag． 701. New Cratylus，§ 297．The MSS．give $\gamma \in \mu \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，which Hermann supposes to have arisen from a gloss for $\phi \lambda \in \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ， for so he reads for $\phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，from Ag． 368．1389．He conjectures the word so ejected，and agreeing with $\gamma \in \rho a \rho o i ̈ \sigma t$ ，to have been $\pi \rho o \beta o u$ inots．All this is in－ genious，perhaps plausible；but it is too uncertain for any prudent editor to ac－ cept．There is no objection to the slight tautology in $\pi \rho \in \tau \beta \cup \tau о \delta \delta к о \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$. Compare т $̀ \nu \quad \forall \nu \mu o \beta\langle\partial \rho o \nu ~ ф \rho \in ́ v \alpha, ~ \lambda u ́ \pi \eta \nu ~ A g . ~$ 103．Indeed，the Schol．seems to have found this reading，for he explains $v$ ． 655 thus：$\tau \omega ิ \nu \quad \gamma \in \rho \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \in \beta o \partial \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \delta \nu$
 also has $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o v i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ ，which must be a gloss either of $\gamma \in \mu \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ or Hermann＇s $\phi \lambda \epsilon \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu$ ．
658．фboous，fetus，＇produce，＇in gene－ ral．The more usual word is фopà，фф́pos being＇tribute．＇The latter meaning is hardly to be reconciled with $\tau i k \tau \in \sigma \theta a$,
unless we understand metaphorically＇the earth＇s tribute＇for＇its fruits．＇The MSS．have $\overline{\text { E }}$ bopovs，and so the Schol． Bavtitiss．But Hermann and Dind．are probably right in accepting the correction of H．L．Ahrens ；for it was quite out of place to pray for new kings，but quite in place to anticipate the usual triple wish （more fully explained below，v．670），that a continued succession（ádiovs $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon l$ ）of produce from crops，herds，and women might be kept up．
664．${ }^{2}$ Zopov．This passage was re－ stored by Porson from Plutarch，Amato－



 The MSS．give á $\chi$ opos àkílapıs－－Boáv $\tau \epsilon$ $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \nu \nu \bar{\epsilon} \xi \omega \pi a l \zeta \omega \nu$ ．The last three words might easily have been corrected by criti－ cal sagacity，especially as the Schol．ex－ plains $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$ é $\mu \phi u ́ \lambda t o \nu . ~ H e r m a n n ~ d i s-~$ cusses at some length a difficulty which seems to arise from the same sentiment having been before expressed，and he concludes that a distinction must be made between $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma$ ts（64fi），and $\lambda o t y \dot{d} s$ in the sense of party quarrels and civil factions． The same kind of repetition may indeed be remarked in 6.58 and 670 ．It is a well known characteristic of Eastern poe－ try，and of Hebrew in particular．

#   

667．крarbs．The MSS．have nра́тos． Turn．крátous，and so the Schol．With $\nu$ vó $\sigma \omega \nu$ é $\epsilon \mu \partial s$ ，＇troop of maladies，＇we may compare Horace＇s＇cohors febrium．＇

668．Aúretos．This ancient name of the god of light（ $\lambda$ ó $\kappa \eta$ ）was in early times， when that word had become obsolete， confounded with $\lambda$ tros（Soph．El．6），and thence a notion of destructiveness attach－ ed to it（Müller，Dor．i．p．326），which is apparent in the present prayer，＇may the destroying god be favourable to our youth．＇Cf．Theb．132，каl ซù Аúкe＇
 New Cratylus，p．443．There was pro－ bably an old verb $\lambda u \kappa \epsilon \in \omega$ ，luceo，but with the $u$ short，whence $\lambda u \kappa$ di $\beta a s, \lambda u \kappa \eta \gamma \in \nu \eta \eta_{s}$ ，
 Apollo was said to destroy with his darts those who died suddenly by disease or other unknown cause．There was a tem－ ple of Apollo Lyceus at Argos，said to have been founded by Danaus himself， Pausan．ii．19， 3.

671．таע $\rho \rho \varphi$ ．Schol．катаे $\pi \alpha \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ẅ $\rho a \nu$ aỉgavouévч．Three blessings are gene－ rally combined in prayers for prosperity， viz．that children may be born，fruits come to maturity，flocks increase．See Eum．865．Oed．Tyr．170，270．Herod．

 тіктоєє．Id．vi．139，д̀токтєivaбt ठ̀


 тov nal $\pi \rho \delta$ тov．See also ib．ix． 93. Hesiod，Opp．232．Callim．Hymn．in

Dian．125，seqq．
672．Boг̀̀ $\gamma \hat{\alpha} s$ ．So Herm．for the cor－ rupt $\beta$ pótaros of the MSS．The com－ mon reading，Borà $\tau \grave{\omega}$ s，is from Turn． Cf．653．On $\pi \rho б \nu о \mu с$ see Ag．128．Her－ mann understands＇pecudes huc illuc， dum pabulum quaerunt，vagantes．＇On the corruption of Borà into Bporà see 611， 836.

673．$\lambda a ́ \beta o t e \nu$ ．So Turn．for $\lambda d^{\prime} \theta_{0} t e v$ ． Hermann reads $\theta \dot{a} \lambda o t \in \nu$ ，which he admits is an aorist of very rare occurrence（Hom． Hymn．ad Pan．33），but he does not notice what is strongly in favour of $\lambda \alpha-$ $\beta o t \epsilon \nu$ and against $\theta d \lambda o \sigma \in \nu$ ，that the Schol． explains $\epsilon_{n} \kappa \delta a \mu \delta \nu \omega \nu$ by $\pi a p a ̀ ~ \delta a \mu \mu \partial \nu \omega \nu$ ．
 correction of $\mu \hat{v} \sigma a t \theta \in a l \tau^{\prime}$ ssems to have occurred independently to Hermann and H．L．Ahrens．
 Another reading，$\dot{a} \sigma \phi a \lambda i a s$, is preserved in the Med．and the Scholia．We can hardly doubt that it was from a gloss $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \epsilon$＇$\omega$ s，which，with the additional scholium à aetanivntol etey aùvoîs ai d $\rho$ xal，is a strong testimony in favour of

 mann reads ápт $\epsilon \mu \epsilon a$ with considerable confidence，though the word does not seem elsewhere to exist，and the sense， ＇incolumitas servet honores，＇is by no means very satisfactory．
680．тро $\mu$ allas．The MSS．have $\pi \rho 0-$ $\mu a \theta \in \dot{v} s$ or $\pi \rho \rho \mu \eta \theta \in \dot{\prime} s$ ．Dobree corrected тро $\alpha$ 日市（Soph．El．1079）．Hermann has edited $\pi \rho \rho \mu a \theta i s$ ，form unknown

 $\pi \rho i \nu$ є̀ $\xi \circ \pi \lambda i \bar{\zeta} \epsilon \iota \nu{ }^{*} A \rho \eta$, <br> <br> тò $\gamma$ à $\rho \tau \epsilon \kappa \delta ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ бє́ßas трítò тód ${ }^{\text {év }} \boldsymbol{\nu} \theta \in \sigma \mu i ́ o u s$<br><br>   

except in the proper name $\Pi \rho \delta \mu \alpha \theta i s$. The chief difficulty seems to lie in the improbable compound è̀кovvo $\mu \eta \tau t s$. The Schol.

 I formerly (in p. vi. of the Preface to the
 sense : ' may the government', wisely let-' ting its counsels share in precaution, preserve intact the offices, viz. the people' which is the real strength of the state.' I commend to the thoughtful reader Mr.
 (cf. Eum. 949). He understands $\pi \rho o-$
 who (sup. 363) takes counsel with his citizens, and so protects the people ( $\tau \delta$ $\delta i \neq \mu \nu \nu)$ wherein the strength of the state lies. We might also read, on the analogy of the plural $\epsilon \dot{v} v o l a s$ sup. $483, \tau ो \pi \tau \delta \lambda \iota \nu$
 ' may the constitutional monarchy preserve the magistracies of the people, which well support the state by their vigilance.' The metre of the antistrophe points decidedly to either $\pi \rho o \mu \eta \theta$ ias or троиךөLaıs. Müller (Diss, ad Eum. p. 83) proposes $\phi u \lambda d \sigma \sigma o i ~ \tau \iota \mu i o l \sigma t ~ \tau ~ t \mu d े s$

 grant to strangers satisfaction by international compacts, without having recourse to blows.' The al $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \dot{\partial} \sigma \mu \beta \delta \lambda \omega \nu$ or $\sigma u \mu \beta$ b́八atal $\delta$ itcou are meant, on which see Thuc. i. 77. Buttm. Mid. p. 570. Müller on Eum. p. 83. Thuc. iv. 118,


686. The MSS. give $\delta a \phi \nu 0 \phi$ bototu.
 are the indigenous Argive gods, including the heroes, and, strictly speaking, the gods of the Danaids also, since they abjure the Egyptian divinities inf. 1002.
 The laws of Draco, called $\theta \in \sigma \mu o l$ (Aelian, Var̀. Hist. viii. 10), are alluded to; among which this triple precept occurred, borrowed, as was said, from Triptolemus,

 doubtless took his doctrine from Py thagoras: see Laertius, vit. Pyth. xix. 23. Compare also Pind. Pyth. vi. 33, and Eur. frag. Antiop. 38, $\tau \rho \in i$ is eioiv


 reference to any received order of the above precepts, but means ' this with two others,' as Stanley remarked.
693. $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta{ }^{\prime}$ à $\pi \delta$ бкот $\hat{\eta} s . ~ I ~ f o r m e r l y ' ~$ conceived the thymele to be meant; but to this there is the objection already noticed at v. 204, viz. that Danaus on the stage could not speak of the raised steps in the orchestra as $\eta \delta \mathrm{E}$, still less can we suppose that he left the stage and joined his daughters at the thymiele. We must rather understand the place he occupied on the $\lambda$ ofeioy, somewhat higher than his daughters, who had ranged themselves near him at v. 228. The Athenian stage commanded a real view of the sea; see Arist. Equit. $170-1$, where the sausageseller is represented as being able to see
$\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda o i ̂ o v \cdot \epsilon v ̈ \sigma \eta \mu \circ \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ovै $\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \nu \theta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota^{-}$ $\sigma \tau о \lambda \mu$ оí $\tau \epsilon \lambda \alpha i ́ \phi o v s$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \rho \rho v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \nu \epsilon \omega ̀ s ~$


 $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi т о \nu \sigma \iota \delta^{\circ}$ ä้ $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \iota \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \iota \mu \circ \iota s$


 $\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha$ 入аîфоs таукро́т $\omega \mathrm{s}$ є́рє́ध $\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha$ ．

it merely by mounting his chopping－block． Hence Danaus might actually point to some imaginary vessels in the offing．－－d $\pi \lambda o i t o v$, i．e．the very ship we have been fearing．Cf．701．By adding e⿱̆́rचиav yàp，\＆c．he gives his reason for knowing it to be that particular ship and no other， －＇I say the ship，for it is so clearly marked that I cannot mistake it．＇

695．mapappúvets．These were a kind of covering of hides，so placed as to afford shelter from the enemies＇darts．Some－ thing of the same sort is described in


 ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \beta \beta \lambda \lambda \delta \mu \in \nu a$ ．See Hesych．in тарарри́－ $\mu a \tau \alpha$ and тарацр $\neq \alpha \tau \alpha$ ．Pollux，i． 93. x．134．Xenophon，Hellen．i．6， 19.

696．\％$\mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$ ．Stanley supposes that the poet meant to derive $\pi \rho \varphi \bar{\rho} \boldsymbol{f}$ from троорây．But this is needless；for he doubtless alludes to the emblem of an eye painted on the prow，on which see Wilkinson＇s Egypt，ii．p．127：＇The place considered peculiarly suited to the latter emblem（the eye of Osiris）was the bow of the boat；and the custom is still re－ ．tained in some countries to the present day．In India and China it is very ge－ neral ：and we even see the small barks that ply in the harbour of Malta bearing the eye on their bows，in the same manner as the boats of ancient Egypt．The Egyptians however appear to have con－ fined it to boats used in the funeral cere－ monies．＇The last statement is contra－ dicted by the present passage．The same painted eye is alluded to in Acharn．95， where Pseudartabas，the＇King＇s Eye，＇is said עá́фрактоу $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon є \nu$.

697．$\delta \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau o v \nu \epsilon \bar{\omega} s$, ＇at the hinder part of the ship．＇On the Esyptiañ rudder， which was a paddle worked by a long handle，see Wilkinson，ii．p． 125.

698．©̂́s t̀ ou $\phi$ í $\lambda \eta$ ．The MSS．give $\tau \bar{\omega} s$（or $\tau \hat{\omega} \sigma^{2}$ ） $\begin{gathered}\Delta \\ \nu\end{gathered}$ ，which Hermann retains， and explains with the Schol．oftcos $\delta \hat{\xi}$

 the prow advancing towards us，and not going away from us．If ròs ta can be understood as $\tau \dot{\omega} s$ 效 $\nu$ oü $\sigma a$ ，so as to avoid the abruptness of $\tau \dot{\omega} s \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{a}} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { E }} \mathrm{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ，this explana－ tion is satisfactory．But on the other hand $\gamma \in$ is sometimes added after äpav， as Ag．1212．Antig．573．Ajac． 589. Alcest．825，and the use of $\dot{\omega} \Delta v$ ，sc． $\kappa \lambda \dot{u} \boldsymbol{c}$, may be defended by many similar

 Demosth．Mid．p．519， $\begin{aligned} & \text { б } \rho u \beta \text { оу каl кро́тоу }\end{aligned}$ тоюồtov，és à̀ ėtalvồvtés te kal $\sigma \nu \nu$－




700．$\lambda \epsilon \cup \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．The Egyptians wore


702．aúrो，i．e．that which bore the party in pursuit，as distinct from the rest， who would lend aid if required．

703．таүкрбтшs．Like $\pi!\tau u \lambda o s, ~ к \rho б ́ \tau о s$ is the equal stroke of the oar．The an－ cient ships，when close to land，used to furl the sails and row into port．So Aen．iii．207，＇vela cadunt，remis insur－ gimus．＇Compare Od．xvi．353，iбтia $\tau \epsilon$

 $\nu \eta t \mu \in \lambda \alpha i \nu \eta,-\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ єis $8 \rho \mu о \nu$ троє́ $\rho \in \sigma=$ $\sigma \alpha \nu$ è $\rho \in \tau \mu 0 \hat{\mathrm{I}}$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu$＇$\dot{\delta} \rho \omega ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta}{ }^{\text {＇}} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．




 710 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \eta \hat{\eta}_{s} \lambda a \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \hat{\varsigma} \pi о \tau \epsilon ́$.
 $\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \alpha ̉ \tau i \zeta \zeta \omega \nu \tau \iota s ~ \beta р о т \omega ิ \nu ~ \delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \delta i ́ к \eta \nu . ~$

 715

 $\pi \alpha \rho о i ́ \chi о \mu a \iota, \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho, \delta \epsilon i ́ \mu a \tau \iota$.
$\Delta A$ ．є̇тєì $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ a ~ \psi \eta ̂ \phi o s ~ ' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu, \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu \alpha$,
 720
 $\mu a ́ \chi \eta s \tau^{\prime}$ ä $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau о \nu$ • каi $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i \delta o ́ \tau \alpha$.

 $\pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon i ̂ ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda a \gamma \chi i \mu \omega$ 乡̇̀े $\nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\varrho}$ ．

706．दuydikous，i．e．to argue the legal question alluded to sup． 381.
707．$\not 2$ v．So Herm．，Dind．with G． Burges for ${ }^{\eta} .-\pi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \beta \eta$ ，the reading of the Med．，is not elsewhere found，but seems to be a synonym of $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in\{\alpha$ ． The Paris MS．however has $\pi \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \beta \eta s$ ， which in some degree supports the reading of Turnebus，$\pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta$ s．There is an equal difficulty in the latter，which only in the plural has the sense here required．On fucicy see sup． 406.
 ǎyel 日édoovtes．＇They will wish to carry you off，but fear not，they shall not suc－ ceed．＇For ${ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ we should probably read

 $\theta$ cíc．If，says Danaus，we should be long absent in our attempt to procure aid，your best course will be to keep close to the statues．Properly，＇in the matter of the rescue．＇That the whole of this $\delta \hat{\eta} \sigma t s$ belongs to Danaus，to whom it is rightly
assigned in the MSS．，and that I was wrong in following Dindorf，who makes the latter part a dialogue between the chorus and Danaus，has been well shown by the Reviewer of my former edition． Hermann also gives the whole to Danaus．
 will intervene before they are here．
 ne parum profuerit fugisse．See on $\nabla$ ． 72．The Schol．read $\pi a \rho o i \chi \in \tau a t$ ，and so ed．Turn．，which gives an entirely different sense：＇if there was any good in my coming here，it has all vanished now through my fears，for I see I shall obtain no aid．＇Cf．446．766，ǒ̌о $\quad$ ац $\phi \dot{\beta} \beta \varphi$ ．

719．te入єía．te入єía Med．，which is important in reference to Theb． 692.

724．$\grave{\epsilon \pi} \tau \tau v \chi \in \mathfrak{i}$ ，＇which has reached us，＇ i．e．successful．－For $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon t$ Stanley cor－ rected $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \overline{2}$ ．The dative seems to have been in little use，though we find mo入є́a Ag．702，тo入є́юs Od．viii． 405.

#   



 730 Sváápous фрєбiv,

 єi $\sigma$ oì $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̃ \sigma \nu$ éx $\theta$ aıроíaтo.

${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.
 736
 $\mu є \mu \alpha \rho \gamma \omega \mu є ́ v о$ и
726. èv $\mu \in \sigma \eta \mu \beta$ píq. Hermann reads $\mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu$ Bpias with Schütz, Dindorf $\mu \in \sigma \eta \mu$ $\beta \rho \iota \nu \hat{\varphi}$ with Bothe. There does not seem any reason for objecting to the vulgate : ' having their arms well-hardened by heat in the noon-day sun.' The custom of the Athenians which is here alluded to may be learnt from Plato, Phaedr. § 35. Respubl. viii. 9. Eur. Bacch. 458, oùz

 $\mu$ éves is properly ' filed down,' whence in
 каi китєрриц $\eta \mu$ ย́vov, it means ' polished.' The metaphor conveys the idea of rubbing away and removing all superfluous flesh. Bothe compares Quintil. Inst. Or. viii. 3, 12, 'quorum lacertos exercitatio expressit ac constrinxit.' So also Tac. Germ. 30, 'strictos artus.' Lucret. iii. 214, ' nil ibi limatum de toto corpore cernas. ${ }^{\text {. }}$
730. I have here ventured to follow Hermann in inserting akay. The MSS.
 Stanley's $\delta 0 \lambda \delta \phi \rho 0{ }^{\prime} \in s$ is of course right; but there is no authority for the commonly received $\delta \circ \lambda ı o \mu \eta \tau \iota \delta e s$ beyond Askew's margin. Now dora, occurs in the same place in the antistrophic verse 737; the two words ought clearly to be similar compounds ; and, last but not least, the Med, gives cal without an accent, which implies an admitted corruption.
732. $\beta \omega \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$. As birds snatch prey from the very altar, so these hawks (cf. 220 ) would carry off suppliants even from
their sacred asylum. Pausan. v. 14, 1,


 $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha_{\gamma} \gamma \chi^{\nu \alpha}$ \# $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa \rho \in \dot{\omega} \nu, \nu \in \nu b \mu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$

734. $\sigma$ ol te kal $\theta \in o i ̂ \sigma t \nu$, i. e. to both equally, and therefore to the latter as much as to the former. So Philoct. 390, of



 Compare also Cho. 104. The meaning is, it will be all in our favour if they do attempt a sacrilegious act, for then they will have the gods against them.
735. $\sigma \in \beta \eta$. Mr. Conington conjectures E $\delta \eta$. The plural of $\sigma \in \in \alpha s$ perhaps hardly occurs; the singular constantly means 'an object of veneration.' as Cho. 48. 150.
736. où $\mu \grave{\eta}$ àm $\sigma \sigma \chi \omega \nu \tau \alpha$. 'There is little chance of their keeping hands off us. through any reverence for these tridents," \&c. (cf. 214). The Schol. wrongly understood $\chi$ єíp for $\chi$ Etpl, which Dindorf has very erroneously changed to $\chi \in i p \epsilon_{\text {. }}^{\text {As }}$ the usual construction is àmé $\chi \in \pm \chi$ Хєîpas. tuvos or ámé tivos, but in the middle simply $\bar{a} \pi \epsilon \in \chi \in \sigma \theta a l$ t $\tau \nu 0 s$, the grammarian. thought that $\chi$ кip $\rho^{3}$ could not stand for $\chi$ еīpa. Compare however Od. xxii. 316,

737. $\pi \in \rho!\phi \rho о \nu \in s$, sc. $\epsilon i \sigma l$, 'proud.' Cf. Age 1400, $\pi \in \rho, 1 \phi \rho о \nu \alpha$. $\lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \leqslant$.



XO. ès каì $\mu \alpha \tau a i ́ \omega \nu$ ả $\nu 0 \sigma i ́ \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \delta \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu$

$\Delta A$. ойтоц $\tau \alpha \chi \epsilon i a ~ \nu a v \tau \iota к о \hat{v} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma \tau \circ \lambda \eta ̀$,






740. креl кvעoӨpareits is introduced by à $\lambda \lambda$ d́. Hermann reads repeícoovas $\lambda u$ úkous kuvîv, because MS. Guelph. and Rob. have the lengthened form for $\kappa \rho \varepsilon i=\sigma \sigma o u s$. The article is certainly unusual; but the alteration is rather bold. Suidas in v. Búßлos quotes the next verse, as also two of the writers in 'Paroemiographi Graeci,' ed. Gaisford, pp. 22 and 373. The meaning, according to them, is something like our proverb, ' Many a slip 'twixt the cup and the lip,' because the byblus does not always bring its umbel to maturity. It may be questioned if such was the meaning of the poet. He seems to say, 'As wolves are stronger than dogs, and corn is better food than the papyrus plant, so Argives are more than a match for Egyptians.' On the byblus used as food for the poorer classes (Cyperus Papyrus) see Wilkinson's Ancient Egyptians, i. p. 168. It was not however the fruit, but the stalk of the plant which was eaten, as Herodotus expressly says, ii. 92.
742. ©s nal $\mu a r a t \omega \nu$. кal means etiam, and belongs to éxoytas, otherwise kal -TE must stand for tencal, on which questionable use see Theb. 576. The

 mann cuts the knot by reading ${ }^{\circ} \mu \pi \alpha$ s. But $\dot{\omega}$ s is almost necessary if we read
 usual idiom. So Heracl. 693, is $\mu$ h




 $\mu$ áralos see 194.
743. крdтos. Schol. тìv Biav. Dindorf thiniks the word corrupt, construing

744. Schol. $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \hat{p}$. $\delta \rho \mu \hat{\eta}$. The MSS. also give the dative. The word here implies $\tau \grave{\partial} \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon L \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \grave{\partial} \nu(A g .772)$, 'the getting under weigh,' as dpuos is to ' $\delta \rho \mu i-$ $\zeta \epsilon i v$, the mooring when the ships have reached their destination. 'Naval movements are not in their nature either rapid or secure.'
745. Hermann gives ówrtpia, from Scaliger, comparing Troad. 810, padiet ${ }^{*}$ àjұषатo $\pi \rho \nu \mu \nu \tilde{a} \nu$. The MSS. have $\sigma \omega \tau \eta$ plou, Turn. $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho^{\prime}(\alpha$, and $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \dot{p}$ 'as ' is said to have been the original reading in MS. Guelph. We must supply $\tau \alpha \chi$ हia $\begin{gathered}\text { e } \sigma \pi l \text {, }\end{gathered}$ and so the emphasis is on $\pi \alpha \rho a y \tau i k a$ in 747. Hermann needlessly reads novi ${ }^{\circ}$ for

747. тоıцéves. Cf. Agg. 640. Pilots are even called $\nu o \mu \eta \hat{\eta}$ s in an oracle ap. Pausan. x. 9, 5.
748. ${ }^{2} \lambda\left\{\mu \in y o \nu \chi^{\theta \partial \nu a . ~ S e e ~ s u p r a ~} 31\right.$.

749-50. There is reason to suispect corruption in this interesting passage. If with Hermann and Dindorf we place the stop at $\dot{\eta} \lambda l o v$ and not at $\chi \theta 6 v a$, the sentence beginning with $\phi(\lambda \in \hat{i}$ is too abrupt, especially (cf. 752) at this unusual place in a senarius; and Hermann's $\phi \mid \lambda \in \hat{i} \bar{\delta}$ is extremely questionable as an Aeschylean licence. On the other hand, if 749 -50 form a distinct sentence, the repetition of $\nu \dot{\xi}$ is rather awkward, though it may be defended on the ground that Hincos might otherwise have been taken


 $\pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi a \sigma^{3}$ ả $\rho \omega \gamma \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ä $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \epsilon \tau a \iota$
 755


 $\mu e ́ \lambda a s ~ \gamma \in \nu o i ́ \mu a \nu ~ к а т \nu o ̀ s ~$

 кóvıs, ä $\tau \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \rho$ र́ $\gamma \omega \nu$ ỏдоí $\mu a \nu$.


$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \alpha^{\alpha}$.

for the nominative. Then again Plutarch, who twice quotes the verse, viz. in the treatise ' non suaviter vivi secundum Epicurum,' p. 10.0 , a, and Symposiae. i. Quaest. 3, p. 619, adds in the former passage the words kal $\gamma a \lambda\{\eta \eta$, whence I formerly suggested that a line might

 tured to insert this verse in the text. For the sentiment compare Theog. 1376,
 the passage translated by Cicero from the Prom. Solut. frag. 193, 3, ' navem ut horrisono freto Noctem paventes timidi adnectunt navitae.'
753. фрd $\nu \in \iota \mu^{\prime} \varphi$. The $\mu \grave{e} \nu$ here answers to $\ddot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \in \lambda o \nu \delta^{\circ}$, the sense being кal $\sigma \grave{\nu} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$

 you bear in mind that you are not to desert the gods, as you might be tempted to do in your alarm, now that you have obtained their aid;' i. e. do not leave your seat at the sanctuary during your father's absence. Cf. Theb. 618, $\gamma$ ' $\rho \circ \nu \tau a$
 Danaus leaves the stage, reappearing at v. 816 in the character of the herald.
756. $\gamma \hat{a}$ ßoôv. The MSS. have $\gamma \hat{a}$
 editors follow Pauw, Bov̀vıs, évঠ̇коy, but Dindorf has $\beta \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$, é $\nu \delta<\kappa \circ \nu$, which he defends by Acharn. 749, $\Delta_{\iota}$ каı $\delta_{\pi} \lambda_{1}, \hat{\eta} \lambda \hat{\eta} s$, к.т. ג. The true reading, I am persuaded, is $\gamma \hat{a} \hat{\beta} \beta o \hat{\nu} \nu$, , $\pi a ́ \nu \delta \delta เ k o \nu$, as I corrected in ed. I, the $\pi$ in $\pi$ divórov having been taken for $\tau \iota$, by a frequent error. So in

Plat. Lysid. p. 217, c, the MSS. give $\boldsymbol{\text { id }}$

 fused. See Theb. 262; Pors. ad Phoen. 1277. Then, as a matter of course, $\measuredangle_{\chi}$ this passage Hesychius doubtless took his Boûvis $\gamma \hat{\eta}$. Air $\chi$ únos. On the word, which was called barbarous sup. 109, see New Cratylus, p. 660, where the connexion is traced with $\beta o u ̂ s, \beta \bar{\omega} \lambda a \xi, \beta \omega \mu \delta{ }^{\prime}$. Mr. Blakesley (Herod. vol. i. p. 556) thinks it an ancient Pelasgian term.
759. кanvos. The scholium on this
 $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \cup \gamma \omega \nu, 763 ; \mu \in \tau \alpha \beta \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i \eta \nu \quad \mu \eta$ єis

 for the corrupt $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \hat{\eta} \sigma a t \sigma \delta \delta \sigma \omega s$, or $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon-$ тhoas dóows of the MSS. Hermann, one of whose principles seems to have been to give something different from rather than something better than other critics,
 к.т.ג., and explains it from Hesych. Kos. $\pi \nu \in \hat{\varepsilon} \mu a \neq$ ta $\mu \alpha$ ( $\quad \eta \mu \alpha$ ). The balance of probabilities seems strongly in favour of Haupt, who appositely compares Troad.

 the passage requires correction. See also Plat. Phaed. p. 70, A, $\mu \grave{\eta} \tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \nu \in \hat{\nu} \mu a$

 seems right in deriving $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} s$ from

764. ${ }^{2} \phi$ иктоу. This verse is perhaps corrupt; for it is questionable if it can

 $\theta \in ́ \lambda o \iota \mu \iota ~ \delta ̊ a ̆ ̀ \nu \mu о \rho \sigma i ́ \mu о v$



771


 $\gamma v \pi \iota a ̀ s \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha, \beta \alpha \forall ̀ ̀ ~ \pi \tau \omega ิ \mu a ~ \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho o v ̂ \sigma \alpha ́ ~ \mu o \iota$, $\pi \rho i ̀ v$ daíктороs Bía $^{\prime}$





mean 'my heart will no longer be without flight,' i. e. my fears will not allow me to remain; and карбia in the very next verse shows that kéap can hardly be genuine. Hermann ventures to edit
 spectre (i. e. the herald) can no longer be avoided.' Mr . Conington inclines to adopt Bothe's méлoьто кйp, and to read aфиктоs. There is a strong probability that they are right.
765. кє入aเข $\chi \chi$ pas. So Pauw for $\mu \in \lambda \alpha \nu-$ бxpas. Cf. Cho. 402. Pers. 118. Herm. has $\kappa \in \lambda a \iota \nu \delta \chi \rho \omega \nu-\pi \rho \delta$ карঠิаs, objecting to $\mu o v$ not only as not suiting the metre but as feeble and useless in itself.
766.. татрд̀s бкотаí. Cf. 683. Schol.


769. àprávais. So the Paris MS. alone for $\sigma$ ap od́vals.
770. т $\hat{\phi} \delta \epsilon \chi \rho \stackrel{\mu \phi \theta \hat{\eta} v a l ı}{ } \chi \rho 0$ t. The Med. has expiciфөй̀ хpoĩ. Rob. with MS.
 ade conjectured $\chi$ pot, which is now found in the Escurial MS. I formerly edited $\tau \hat{\alpha} \delta \epsilon$, i. e. द̇ $\mu o l$, retaining $\chi \in \rho o i ̂ \nu ~ f r o m ~$ Robortello.
771.. $\pi \rho \delta \pi а р$, a rare use for $\pi \rho о \pi \alpha \rho о и$ в. 'Sooner than that happens, may Hades possess me in death.' So $\pi$ ápos, Cho. 359.
774. ע'́ $\phi \eta \delta^{\prime}$. Not knowing what to
do with this verse, I have retained the MS. reading. Porson transposed $\chi^{\text {i } \nu}$ and $\nu \in \emptyset \eta \eta$, but Hermann with reason objects to that order of the words in the sense required by the context. He reads $\kappa \check{\prime} \phi \in \lambda \lambda^{2}$ v́ $\delta \eta \eta \lambda \grave{a}$ with G. Dindorf; an alteration sufficiently bold in itself, and especially as it appears that $\kappa \dot{v} \varphi \in \lambda \lambda a$ is only known as an Alexandrine word. It is more probable that Aeschylus wrote $\beta$ เү $\eta \lambda$ à, 'where the cold clouds become snow,' and that some one corrected $\dot{v} \delta \rho \eta \lambda \grave{a}$, preferring the antithesis between water and ice, and inserted $\delta^{\prime}$ for no better purpose than to fill up the hiatus.
775. $\alpha \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \delta$ enctos. So lofty that its summit cannot be seen or pointed out

 tus says of mount Atlas, iv. 184. The description, as Hermann remarks, is fine, and the accumulated epithets convey a picture singularly truthful to nature.


 ${ }^{E} \lambda \in \tau \theta \in \rho o \hat{L}$. There seems no reason why it should not be taken passively, for the present tense might be excused by the metre, as inf. 941, 966, though in a general sentiment it can hardly be considered incorrect.

 ..... $\sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.$\tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \alpha \delta \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \varsigma, \pi \epsilon \lambda \not \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha ́ \mu о \iota$790Bíala $\mu \grave{\text { ク̀ }}$ фí入ous ópûv$\sigma \epsilon \beta i ́ \zeta o v \delta^{\circ}$ ікє́ $\tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \sigma \in ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ ，रaıáoдє $\pi \alpha \gamma \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon ̀ s ~ Z \epsilon \hat{v}$ ．795

786．This passage is quite corrupt in

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \quad y \dot{d} \nu$ is a really good emendation，＇or what other refuge or deliverer from the marriage can I hope to find ？＇For the rest
 adding $\mu \epsilon$ at the end of the strophic verse 77\％．The reading in the text seems to me some improvement on his sugges－ tion．For $\gamma$ d́áuv $\lambda u \tau \bar{\eta} p a$ compare ảva－ $\lambda \nu \tau \dot{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$＇$\delta 6 \mu \omega \nu$ Cho．153．I think $\pi \delta \rho o \nu$ is only a gloss to $\lambda v \tau \tilde{\eta} p a$ ，and that this explains the marginal note in some MSS． $\lambda$ eínel єvipa，for $\pi$ dopoy evipeiv was the ordinary phrase；cf．Prom．59．Med． penult．$\pi \delta \rho o \nu \in \varepsilon \bar{p} \in \in \in \delta s$ ．Iph．Aul． 356. Mr．Conington also suggested $\phi u \gamma \hat{a} s$ and $\tau \in \tau \mu \omega$ ．

788．oủpaplav．MSS．oủpávia．Din－ dorf bpaviav．The Aeolic form occurs Oed．Col．1466，as in the fragment of
 $\chi \in \mu \omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ．Hermann retains oujpdyıa，and
 supposes $\delta \beta \beta p t$ to be a neuter adjective，
 out venturing upon this，I consider Airyiutctiov（cf．58）very probable，for it is unlikely that the common form Aifóntion pévos could have meant any thing but the Egyptian race in general．Cf．Pers．

575，à $\mu \beta 6 a \sigma o \nu$ oủpdvi＇$\alpha \chi \eta$ ．
789．Schol．$\lambda l$ tava $\lambda^{2} \tau a \nu \in u \tau t k d$. See Theb．99．The MSS．have $\mu$ é $\eta$ $\lambda$ itava $\theta \in 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma_{l}$ кal．Hermann reads $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \quad \theta \in 0 і ̈ \sigma t$
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \bar{e} \mathrm{~s}$, oí，к．т．д．I have adopted the trans－ position proposed by Mr．Conington，$\theta \epsilon-$ oî̃ı being a dissyllable．

791．$\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi a \nu$ ．So Hermann for $\mu d \chi \mu \dot{a}$ ； as I had before corrected．

792．$\mu \grave{\eta}-\delta р \hat{\nu} \nu . \quad$ See sup．74．The MSS．have $\phi i \lambda \in$ is，which might be ren－ dered num amas videre？But Lach． mann＇s $\phi$ inots is much more probable． Hermann gives $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \xi \eta s$ ，supposing $\phi i \lambda \epsilon t$ or $\phi$ ideis to have been a gloss．

 and this sense seems determined by the context．There is a natural connexion between the ideas of searching and not finding，whence it seems that the accusa－ tive of this word passed into the adverb
 again，from the sense of $\mu \dot{d} \tau$ atos（sup． 194），да́таı meant д̀кодабlа，Cho． 904. Cognate forms are $\mu \dot{\alpha} \omega, \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \alpha a, \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{U} \omega .-$ Blaa，i．e．Btalws．

801．Ėлímav，＇universal．＇Schol．Zoov $k \pi \grave{i} \pi \dot{d} \nu \omega \nu$ ．The adjective occurs Pers． 42．I formerly edited $\bar{\epsilon} \pi l \pi a ̂ y$ ，bat I now think the vulgate as good．

<br><br>XO. <br>óठє $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \pi \tau \iota s$ válos $\gamma$ álos.<br>$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀, \mu a ́ \rho \pi \tau \iota, \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \nu o \iota s, \dagger$ iò $\phi$ ỏ $\mu$ $\alpha u ̋ \theta \iota \kappa \alpha \beta \beta \grave{\alpha} \frac{\nu v ิ \nu .}{}$  о́ $\rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha ́ \delta \in ф \rho о i ́ \mu \iota a \pi \rho a ́ \xi a \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ <br>Baî̀є $\phi v \gamma \underset{\sim}{\hat{a}} \pi \rho o ̀ s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \alpha ́ \nu$. $\beta \lambda о \sigma v \rho o ́ \phi \rho о \nu a \quad \chi \lambda \iota \delta a ̂$  ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \xi, \pi \rho o \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma o v$.

KHPY䇫.
ov̉коขิ $\nu$, ov่коขิ $\nu$

XO.
804. The passage which follows, as far as 874 , is unfortunately so corrupt that while there is the greatest scope for conjecture, there is the utmost uncertainty in most of the guesses, however ingenious, which have hitberto been made. The whole passage was probably so obliterated as to be only partially legible in the ancient copy from which the Medicean was derived. I have thought it best to give the corrupt readings in those places which seem hopeless, while I have occasionally admitted such corrections as seem highly probable and not widely departing from the letter of the MSS. Hermann has indeed reduced the unmetrical mass 804-821 to strophes and antistrophes; but few will venture to follow him in such wholesale emendations as that of $806-8$, where he reads $\delta \sigma i \delta \phi \rho o \nu a \quad \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma, \nu$
 first verse we might write $\bar{\omega}$ and $\hat{\alpha}$ for $\delta$ and d. In ed. 2, I assigned this verse to the herald, as ©dvatos comes on the stage with $\bar{\alpha} \hat{\alpha}$ Alcest. 28. But it is safer to return to the MSS., especially as the Schol. observes, $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau a \quad \mu \in \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \nu o s ~ \pi d ́ d o u s$


805, $\mu d \rho \pi \tau \iota s$. So Turn. for $\mu d \rho \pi i s$.
 this passage. Compare the masculine forms tрó $\langle i s, \lambda$ dut $\rho t s$. 'Here on land is the plunderer who came by sea.'
806. ib $\phi \partial{ }_{\partial} \mu$ are mutilated and corrupt parts of lost words. - $\kappa \alpha \beta \beta \dot{u} s ~ \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$, for кdкккаs $\nu 1$, seems plausible: 'May you be lost (perhaps, stranded or shipwrecked, cf Theb. 198. Ag. 653) before you reach us having landed here.' Schol. $\pi \rho \delta \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{}$
 Compare the Aeolic $\kappa \alpha \beta \beta a l s$ Pind. Nem. vi. 37. Schol, кavaßá $\sigma \epsilon t s$. On $\kappa$ and $\beta$ confused see sup. 541.
809. The MSS. give $\pi p d \underline{j} a v . ~ T u r n . ~$ $\pi \rho \delta \xi \in \nu \alpha$, a mere conjecture. Possibly we should read $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\xi} \alpha \nu \tau a$ or 一 $-\tau a s$, ' I see them performing this first prelude to the violent treatment of me.' Cf. $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon l \nu$ $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a \operatorname{Ag}$. 1326. Hermann omits the word as a gloss.
815. $\pi \rho o \tau d . \sigma \sigma o v, ~ ' b e ~ o u r ~ p a t r o n . ' ~ ' ~$


 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi o s$ (read $\tau \alpha \chi o u s) \pi о \delta \hat{\nu} \nu$. Herod. ix.
 єīxov. Plat. Gorg. p. 507, àкодаб́al

817. ou่koîy. 'Now then there will be

# $\tau \iota \lambda \mu o i ̀, \tau \iota \lambda \mu o \grave{~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \tau \gamma \mu o i ̀, ~}$ толvaíuни фóvos àтокотà крато́s. 


хо.
$K H$.

$\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \theta^{\circ}$ àvà $\pi о \lambda \hat{\rho} \rho \nu \tau o \nu$<br>á $\lambda \mu$ เó $є \nu \tau \alpha \pi$ по́ $\rho о \nu$<br>$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \circ \sigma i \omega \varphi$ گ̀̀ $\nu \nu{ }^{\nu} \beta \rho \epsilon \iota$<br><br>825<br><br>$\dagger \eta$ ク̆бvঠovтía тáтıга.<br><br>фреıòs äфpovos ä ${ }^{2} \alpha \mathrm{\nu}$.<br>ict, $\mathfrak{i o v}$.<br><br><br>$\sigma \tau \rho . \alpha$.

$\epsilon \in \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ả $\gamma \dot{\varphi} \nu a$, Hom. Hymn. ap. Thuc. iii. 104. The MS. reading ${ }^{2} \pi^{2}{ }^{3} \mu i \delta a$ has been corrected as before by Hermann,

 tasse mittent in navem perituram copiae terrestres Argivorum.' Dr. Donaldson
 beat your breast, noisy one?' comparing Pers. 124. Mr. Conington thinks Aeschylus may have written aluová $\pi^{3} \quad k \pi^{\prime}$
 difference between the guesses of the best critics shows the rashness of admitting any one of them.


 tains and defends the word, inserting $\sigma$ to complete the dochmiac. He does not appear to object to the accusative after $\mu \in \theta \in \sigma \theta a l$. In the next verse the MSS.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \phi p \in \nu \dot{d} s$. I have acted on Hermann's hint, that this verse probably corresponded in composition with 841. See 62 compared with 57. He however has given
 dignatio.
830. ì̀ ìे Med. ià ià Rob. iov́, ioú Hermann.
 $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \beta \omega \nu$, and so the Schol. must have found, $\mu \nmid \pi \sigma \tau \in \mu \in \tau \in ́ \chi \omega \nu \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~S}$ 色 $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$
$\theta \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon o s \dot{\alpha} \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \rho$, Bia，Bia． $\beta \hat{a} \theta \iota$, тро́кака $\mu \grave{̀} \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i \nu$ on $\lambda о \mu \dot{v} \nu a \operatorname{\pi a\lambda á\mu \alpha ıs~*~}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu a i ̂ s . ~$
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \in \dot{\jmath} \sigma \in \beta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．I have followed Schole－ field；＇the gods that are reverenced in this city I honour not．＇Cf，$\tau \in \epsilon \tau \alpha t$ in 1019；and 869，au үàp фоßov̂ $\mu a, 2$ val $\mu$ vas тò̀s év $\nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon$ ．Hermann has ad $\tau i ́ \epsilon \tau o s$ à $\nu \grave{a}$ $\pi \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda} \nu \bar{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \beta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，＇impune in urbe sacratas seder volans．＇

836．Bотоїбt．So Mr．Conington rightly reads for Bpotoîrt．Schol．Med．
 fusion is very common；see on 672， and on 283，611．The Nile water was thought to fatten and improve cattle， whence Apis was not allowed to drink it， as Wilkinson shows from Melian，＇An－ cent Egyptians，＇i．p．293．Cf．Pers．33， $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \theta \rho \epsilon \mu \mu \omega \nu \mathrm{~N} \in \grave{\lambda} \lambda o s$.

837．$\gamma$ єîos．So Herm．for al ácoos， which probably arose from the very com－ mon error of prefixing the article．He considers that the word，which does not elsewhere occur；（though Steph．Byzant． has $\gamma \in \dot{\epsilon}$＇cos，apparently a corruption of it，）meant＇one born，or having property， in a land．＇He well adds：＇debent hae virgines causam memorare cur jure suo istos gradus（sc．before the statues of the gods）tenant；itaque dicunt $\gamma \in \operatorname{cios} \beta a \theta v$－
 quissima nobilitate generis hone locum sibi vindicantes．＇We might，however， be tempted to prefer $\chi$ aids，comparing ßар̀̀ $\tau \delta$ ．тєрißapu Eam．155．Hesych． $\chi^{\text {ait obs }}$ ája日d＇s．See Theocr．vii．6．Ar．
 The rest of his gloss is confused，and arose either from a very different reading or a very erroneous idea of the meaning．For Er $\chi \omega$ the MSS，have＇̇ $\gamma \omega$ ．The correction was made by Butler．

840．$\delta^{3}{ }^{2} \nu \nu v^{t}$ Hermann and Conington for $\delta \epsilon \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nu$ al．＇Ut sacrum esse huns ques tenent locum asseverent，iterant banc vocem；ad que responders praeco acerb cum ionia $\grave{e ̀ v} \nu a t$ ，vat dict，ipse quoque iterate nominans cum locum in quo mos suit sessurae．＇Hermann．

 $\mu \in \nu a l \pi a \lambda \alpha \mu \alpha i s$ ．The other MSS．present only slight variations．Hermann observes， as I had before remarked，that $\beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \in a l$ arose from a gloss $\beta \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$ combined with $\beta \hat{a} \theta_{l}$ ．I had also anticipated his $\pi \rho \delta \neq a \kappa \alpha$. Cf．Pars．967，971，and on the corruption， sup．295．The addition of ${ }^{e} \mu a i s$ is due to Dr．Donaldson．

846．cal $\gamma$ adp．Herm．with Heath reads $\epsilon_{i}^{i}{ }^{\text {dad }} \rho$ ．Perhaps we may say that cal connects $\delta v \sigma \pi a \lambda d \mu \omega s$ with $\pi a \lambda \alpha \mu a l s,-$ ＇yes，you may speak of hands，but may you perish without a hand to help you．＇ Compare 739－40．
 $\psi \alpha^{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta o \nu$ in ed． 1 ，and the same occurred to Hermann．kã＜̀ is＇off the sandbank，＇ as кarà $\pi \rho \omega \bar{y} y^{\prime}$ g＇deov Pars．872．In the next verse I think he has hardly done

#  

<br> <br>XO．<br>oioî，oioî<br>$\dot{\alpha}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\tau} . \beta^{\prime}$.<br> $\dagger \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \alpha \mu \pi \tau \grave{\alpha} \beta \rho v \alpha \jmath^{\dagger} \epsilon \iota$ ® $^{\circ}$ 855<br> 

 оั $\sigma о \nu \tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \cdot \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \iota \varsigma \sigma \chi o \lambda \alpha \zeta \epsilon ́ \tau \omega$.

XO．
oioî，$\pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$ ， $\sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$. $\beta \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \dagger$ ä $\rho o s$ ä $\tau \alpha$ ．
justice to my conjecture for $\epsilon \vec{y} \rho \in\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { als } \\ \text { ciy }\end{array}\right.$ aupoas，by briefly saying＇Nibili est $\epsilon u \bar{p} \in i$ i－ aıбıy a， thus restored，and without any material change．There was a promontory of Cilicia called by this name，$\sum, a \rho \pi \eta \delta \omega \nu$ àkтो Soph．frag．40．Strabo，xiv．p．670，


 near the Thracian Chersonese，Herod，vii． 58．The east wind would be likely enough to drive ships from their course in the voyage from Greece to Egypt；though there is no necessity to understand it otherwise than poetically for any stormy blasts．Hermann gives à $\epsilon$ pia $\sigma \iota \nu$ ，from ảepía sup． 71.

852．I have adopted Hermanu＇s reading of this verse，as on the whole the most probable．＇You shall not escape even though you should utter a still more piercing cry of woe．＇The MSS．have ius


854．$\lambda$ úpas．The MSS．give $\lambda$ ú $\mu \alpha \sigma!s$ v́тооүабu入dбкet or－oi．The verse can－ not be restored with any thing like cer－ tainty，nor is it easy to conjecture what the Schol．could have found，who explains

 $\pi \in \rho_{i}^{\prime} о \mu \pi \alpha$ ßриá\｛ $\omega v$ ．I have retained the corrupt $\pi є \rho \iota \chi \alpha \mu \pi \tau \alpha, \quad$ Hesych．BpuáSєьע． रаирıā，трифаิ้．

856． 8 aє $\theta \rho \in ́ \psi a s$. Thus I corrected $8 s$ épwrâs in ed．2．Written in uncial letters
these two readiags are as nearly as pos－ sible identical．For the confusion of $T$ and $\Psi$ see Ag．1543．I was not then aware that the margin of the Med．has $\delta N \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \delta \delta \sigma \epsilon$ ，which reduces this conjecture almost，if not quite，to certainty．Cf．870． Hermann perceived the sense required， and has edited $\delta$ סè $\beta \omega \in \tau \alpha s, \delta \mu$ évas N．，
 sc．$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \in \in$ € $\nu a r$. The epithet ought，from the natural order of the words，to belong to $\tilde{U} \beta \rho \iota \nu$ ．The construction seems con－




858．á $\mu \phi$ l $\sigma$ т $о 申 ф \nu$ Dind．，Herm．，with Porson for à $\nu \tau i \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi o \nu$ ，from the Schol．

 indeed be defended by 696，and we may add the testimony of Wilkinson，＇Ancient Egyptians，＇ii．129，that there are no in－ stances of double－prowed ships in ancient paintings．It seems however certain that the Schol．must have found the reading in the text；and we have only to suppose that a kind of barge is described to either end of which a moveable rudder might be applied．See on 697．Tac．Ann．ii．6， －adpositis utrimque gubernaculis，converso ut repente remigio hinc vel illinc adpel－ lerent．＇Hist．iii．47，＇pari utrimque prora et mutabili remigio，quando hine vel illinc adpellere indiscretum et innoxium est．＇

862．$\beta \rho \in \tau \in \in \epsilon \nu$, к．т．入．The MSS．have
${ }^{\alpha} \mu a \lambda \alpha \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota \mu$ ，
 ${ }_{\text {ö }}{ }^{\circ} \nu a \rho$ ，oै $\nu \alpha \rho \mu$ $\mu$ é $\lambda a \nu$ ． їтототоі，
$\mu \hat{a} \Gamma \hat{a}, \mu \hat{\alpha} \Gamma \hat{a}, \beta_{o a ̀ \nu}$
фоßєро̀̀ à $\pi o ́ \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon$ ．
©̂ $\beta \hat{a}$ Tâs $\pi \alpha \hat{\imath} Z \epsilon \hat{v}$ ．


XO．

$\mu a \mu \mu \hat{a} \pi \in ́ \lambda \alpha s$ $* * *$<br>סímovs oै $\phi \iota s$ ， <br>





 he found a corrupt reading and endea－ voured to explain it；for apos is totally unknown from other sourees．Hermann however retains it，reading $\beta p \in ́ \tau \epsilon \subset$ from
 $\tau \in l \mu \in$ ，and in the next verse ingeniously correcting à $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ ă $\gamma \in t \mu \in$ ．Cf． 38 and 821．I formerly admitted $\beta \rho \in \tau \in ́ \omega \nu$ трঠs


 united authority of the Schol．and the learned Enstathius is not lightly to be set aside．

864．öuap．The herald creeps stealthily towards me like a spider or a spectre． Hermann gives vóap，a word of no au－ thority，but before introduced by him in 764.

866．$\mu \hat{\alpha} \Gamma \hat{\alpha}$ ．Schol．录 $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma \hat{\eta}$ ．Com－ pare Cho．39，ì̀ үaîa maía．Similarly in Prom．580，the Earth is invoked to keep away the spectre of Argus．On the shortened form $\mu \hat{\alpha}$ the following passage of Strabo may tend to throw some light， and it is perhaps equally applicable to $\beta \hat{\alpha}$













 ă $\gamma 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$ є́ $\rho$ ．

868． $\bar{\omega} \beta \hat{\alpha}$ ．Said to be the vocative of an old word $\beta \bar{\alpha} s$ for $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ ús．Zeus is called son of Earth because Earth and Rhea were identical．Hermann compares Soph．Phil．391，Гर̂，$\mu \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho$ aủтồ $\Delta$ ıós．－ ßоà $\phi о \beta \in \rho \delta \nu$ ，the herald whose cry in－ spires fear；as $\delta \in \iota \nu o l ~ \mu \alpha ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ P e r s . ~ 27 . ~ H e r-~$ mann reads $\beta o \alpha$, ，the Med．having $\beta o \alpha \nu$ ．
 ท้үаүєע（グүабоу）．Hesych．үทра́бкоута＊ үпроколйута．See on Cho． 894.

874．The same correction of this verse occurred to Hermann which I had printed in ed．2，$\tau \iota \varsigma \pi \delta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta a \kappa o \hat{\sigma^{\prime}}$ for $\tau\left\{\pi \sigma \tau^{3}\right.$
 §áкvou ${ }^{\prime}$ more probable，not only because it suits the antistrophe perfectly，but be－ cause the present is far more appropriate than the aorist，and the $\nu$ may have arisen from a correction of $\delta \alpha \kappa о \hat{\nu} \sigma$＇to $\delta \dot{\alpha} \kappa \nu о \nu \sigma^{\prime}$ ． There can be little doubt that the poet was thinking of Philoctetes，on which subject he wrote play．Compare frag． 246.248.

> о̇тототоі̂,
> $\mu \hat{a} \Gamma \hat{a}, \mu \hat{a} \Gamma \hat{a}, \beta o \alpha ̀ \nu$
> фо $\beta \epsilon \rho о ̀ \nu$ а́ $\pi о ́ \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon$.今̂ $\beta \hat{a}$ Tâs $\pi a \hat{\imath} Z \in \hat{v}$.


XO.

$$
\text { ¿̀̀ } \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s \text { ảyoì, }
$$ $\sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.

$$
\pi \rho o ́ \mu o \iota, \delta \alpha ́ \mu \nu a \mu \alpha l .
$$

881


XO.

$$
\delta \iota \omega \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\circ} \cdot \stackrel{a}{a} \in \lambda \pi \tau^{\prime},
$$ $\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \xi, \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \circ \mu \in \nu$.

KH. $\pi о \lambda \lambda о$ ѝs ä $\nu \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha$, $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ A i \gamma u ́ \pi \tau o v, \tau a ́ \chi \alpha$

 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota s \chi^{\theta o ́ \nu \alpha ;}$
 $\kappa \alpha ́ \rho \beta \alpha \nu o s \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime \prime} E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \nexists \chi \lambda i ́ \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ ä $\gamma \alpha \nu$. $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda$ ' $\alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \grave{\omega} \nu$ ov̉ס̀̀̀ $\nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \rho \theta \omega \sigma a s \phi \rho \in \nu i ́$.



 In this place it must mean the act of rending, as sup. 112.
881. $\pi \rho о д \mu \iota . ~ S o ~ S t a n l e y ~ f o r ~ \pi \rho \delta \mu \nu o t . ~$



 E1. 30. In the MSS. and old edd. this and the succeeding iambic distich (886-7) are transposed, an arrangemaent first questioned by Heath, but retained by Dindorf. It is not certainly wrong; but the order in the text, which Hermann also adopts, gives a sort of play on $\nprec \nu \alpha \xi$ and $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o u s$

888. molov. Hermann reads $\tau$ inos from an anonymous conjecture. But it is well known that in questions moios regularly follows $\tau i s$, and the quantity of the word is no valid objection. Cf. Od. xx. 89,
 ajuras is made short Eum. 402.
891. The MSS. give $\kappa \alpha^{\prime} \beta \beta a y o s \delta^{\prime} \phi \nu$, corrected by Porson. See on 315. The antithesis between "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \eta \in s$ and $\beta$ áp $\beta a p o l$, i. e. all except Greeks, is too well known to require illustration.
894. छ'́vos elual. 'To behave as a stranger.' $\xi \in \notin \nu o s ~ \omega \nu$ would have meant, 'You forget you are a stranger.' Dobree compares Oed. Col. 927, (a play which has several well-marked resemblances


 mann considers two following verses to have dropped out, supposing the argument to have proceeded thus: Herald: 'Why, I am wronging nobody.' King: 'Yes you are, in dragging away these Suppliants.' Herald : 'Why, I am but taking my own.' The difficulty seems sufficiently met by marking an aposiopesis, the verb to $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ being $\ddot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \gamma o \mu \mu^{\prime} \hat{\alpha} \nu$ in 901. Compare supra 453-7.


















 $\pi \epsilon \sigma \eta \eta_{\mu} \alpha \tau^{’} \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \sigma \mu о \grave{\imath} \beta i ́ o v$.
897. 'Ep $\mu \hat{q}$. The patron of heralds, sup. 244, and at the same time the god of theft and abduction, and the recovery of stolen property.
899. Cf. 832.
900. of $\delta$ ' $\bar{e} \nu \theta a \delta^{\prime}$ '. 'You mean then to say that the gods of our country are no gods at all?" Which was the greatest insult he could offer to the chief minister of religion. See 366.
901. єĭтıs. Nothing but force, i. e. no persuasion, shall prevent me from carrying them off.
903. $\phi เ \lambda 6 \xi \in \nu 0 \nu$. Cf. 894, to which this verse is a retort.
905. The common reading is $\lambda$ é रoเs à $\nu$, - You had better go and say this to my masters in person,' i. e. you dare not talk thus to them. To which the King replies, 'it is a matter of indifference whether I say it to them or to you.' But Hermann with great probability adopts Heath's correction $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o \mu{ }^{3}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{2} \nu$. Similarly in Prom. 777, for $\eta \delta o \iota^{2}$ a $\alpha \nu$ the MSS. give $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta o i \mu \eta \nu$ $\alpha_{\alpha \nu}$ or $\quad \forall \delta o \iota \mu$ ' $\alpha \nu$. As the herald proceeds to ask what name he shall give to his
masters, it is clear that he intends to return to them.

909-10. Prom. 780, $\pi \rho \delta \partial_{s}$ тои̂ тúpàעa $\sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \tau \rho \alpha \sigma \nu \lambda \eta \theta$ そुбєтab; Herod. iii. 65, $\mu \hat{\eta}$
 The following four verses Hermann transposes after 927 . The connexion with the preceding is not very close; yet the argument may run thus:-'tell me at once who you are that have dared to insult me thus, since the matter has gone so far that nothing short of war between the principals can settle it.' The meaning is, 'The court in which this matter must be tried is that of Ares, who neither calls witnesses nor takes money as a compromise, but requires the lives of many as a satisfaction.' This conveys a taunt that the king is at heart averse from war. Cf.

914. Bíov. Plutarch, who twice quotes this verse, De Curiositate, p. 517, F, and De Facie in orbe Lunae, § xxiv. has $\beta$ i $\omega \nu$, which Hermann adopts, quoting $\mu а к \rho \alpha i \omega-$ vas $\beta$ íous from frag. 281.

916．$\epsilon\left[\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \dot{v} \tau^{\prime}\right.$ is the correction of G． Burges for $\epsilon i \sigma \theta l \gamma$ ．A question may be raised，whether the $\xi v \nu \in ́ \mu \pi o p o s ~ h e r e ~ m e n-~$ tioned are mute persons on the stage，or merely part of the ėrıovpia in 701．It is clear from 931 and 962 ，that a secon－ dary chorus of attendants was actually present，and they may in turn have ful－ filled the several minor parts，as that of the guides in 494.

921．є่ $\varphi$ 斤 $\lambda \omega \tau \alpha$ ．＇A nail has been fixed through these decrees，so that they re－ main immoveable，The ancient custom of suspending fasti and public documents on bronze plates in the temples is here alluded to．

923 seqq．Hermann understands these verses thus ：－＇I tell you this not because of any written law or treaty between us， but of my own independent authority．＇ The Schol．gives oủk Éरुpáфळs тaûta

 This is a difficult verse to correct so as to make consistent sense with the next． Hermann has $\epsilon i$ бot $\tau \delta \delta^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \delta \dot{\partial}$ ，but he sug－ gests also ool $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \delta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\nu}$ ，which I have preferred，though the interpolation of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ might be accounted for as in 462．The antithesis is then well marked between


ठè тoîs k $\rho \sigma \epsilon \sigma t \nu$ ．Hermann is evidently a good deal puzzled how to fit in the four lines he has transferred from 911 sup．， and is obliged to have recourse to the clumsy expedient of placing a lacuna after this verse，and another immediately be－ fore єĭ $\eta$ ठѐ עíкך，к．т．д．His reasons do not appear sufficiently strong to require a detailed examination of them．－aip $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=$ $\theta a \iota$ for $\epsilon \in \in โ \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is Porson＇s．See 433. 336.

930．е́к крı日ิ̂̀ $\mu$ é $\theta v$ ．The Egyptians drank a sort of beer called ̧U日ov or Bри̂тоу．Athen．x．p．447．Aesch．frag． 123．Strab．xvii． 1 and 2．Xen．Anab． iv．5，26．Herod．ii．77．Plin．N．H． xix．22．xxii．ad fin．Wilkinson，＇Ancient Egyptians，＇i．p． 53.

931．$\phi$ liरols．Hermann and Dindorf give фí入ats with Schütz，referring to $\delta \mu \omega$ ti $\epsilon$ s in 954．But why may not the òmáoves ф’pávtopés te of 486 be here meant？For that these must have been there on the stage has before been re－ marked；and the king may be supposed to point to them as escorts ready to con－ duct the maidens．This view also gives additional force and meaning to $\theta \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma$ s $\lambda a \beta o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ ．The use of $\bar{o} \pi \alpha, \omega \nu$ in the femi－ nine seems questionable in tragedy．
 $\pi v ́ \rho \gamma \omega \nu \beta \alpha \theta \epsilon i ́ a ~ \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \in ́ \nu \eta \nu$.

 935 єỉ $\theta \nu \mu o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ \epsilon u ̉ \tau v \chi \omega ̂ s ~ \nu a i ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \delta o ́ \mu o v s ~$ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{3}$ ä̀ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ єỉ $\delta \epsilon ́ \tau \iota s \mu \epsilon i ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ Хápıs, $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ оікєì каì $\mu о \nu о \rho \rho v ́ \theta \mu о v s$ סó $\mu о v s$. тои́т $\omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \alpha$ каì $\tau \grave{\alpha} \theta \nu \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$,
 940 à $\sigma \tau о i ́ \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~ \hat{\otimes ิ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho ~} \eta^{\prime} \delta \epsilon к р а і \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi о s . \quad \tau i ́ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa$ кирьтєє́povs $\mu \in ́ v \epsilon \iota s ;$
 $\delta \hat{i} \epsilon \Pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \gamma \omega \nu$. $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi о \nu$ סє̀ $\pi \rho о ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho ’ \epsilon \dot{v} \theta a \rho \sigma \hat{\eta} \triangle a \nu \alpha o ̀ \nu, \pi \rho o ́ v o o \nu$
 $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota s$, öтоv $\chi \rho \eta े ~ \delta \omega ́ \mu a \tau \alpha ~ \nu \alpha i \epsilon \iota \nu$,
廿óyov ả $\lambda \lambda$ дo $\theta$ póoıs

934-8. 'There are many houses which are public property, and I too have an ample palace, if you prefer to dwell comfortably with many others; but if it is more agreeable, you may occupy single and separate abodes.' The $\sigma \nu \nu o \ldots i o u$ are meant, where, as in the Roman insulae, many families resided under one roof. Probably the king's palace was represented on the proscenium.


 кov. But ev่าúkous seems in this place an otiose epithet. Without having great confidence in eviruđ $\omega$ s, I think it is at once more likely to have been corrupted
 suited to the kind benevolence of the king.
940. rdácott. Perhaps it is best to take this parenthetically for $\pi d \rho \in \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \alpha \rho$. Others understand $\tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau \alpha-\pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \in \sigma \tau \iota$, $\lambda \omega \tau \mathfrak{l} \sigma a \sigma \theta \in \alpha u \boldsymbol{u} \tau \alpha$. In the former editions I inclosed the words kal $\tau \grave{\alpha} \theta \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau a$ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \sigma \tau i$ so as to form a parenthesis, on the ground that the article is not rightly
repeated before $\theta u \mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \tau a \tau \alpha$. But this sort of parenthesis, though familiar enough in English, is not very Greek, still less very Aeschylean. The article is defensible on the ground that the two superlatives express distinct considerations, since what is best is not always identical with what is most pleasant. Still I have grave doubts whether $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \sigma \tau \pi$ has not superseded some other word, for the MSS. have $\lambda \omega \tau i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha l$, and it seems likely that $\pi d p \in \sigma \tau t$ should have been inserted to govern it, though, as $\pi \alpha \rho \in \sigma \tau t \nu$ oik $\frac{i}{\nu}$ had occurred just before, the poet himself would hardly have repeated it. Hermann ventures to read $\frac{\alpha}{\tau} \rho \in \sigma \tau l$, supposing $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \sigma \tau \iota$ to have accidentally caught the transcriber's eye from 938.
940. $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta s . ~ C f . ~ 815 . ~ A g . ~ 57 . ~$
941. краіуєта. See on 782.
949. $\pi \bar{\alpha} s$ тts. Cf. 971. The Schol. rightly supplies $\boldsymbol{e} \pi \in l$, for this is the point of the $\tau \delta \pi=s^{\prime} \epsilon \delta \phi \rho \omega \nu$, a place where they will not be exposed to illnatured remarks. - $\psi$ 'óos is particularly used of reproaches cast on the female character. See Ag: 594. Eur. Hel. 1292. Thuc. ii. 45:

#  







952．Hermann denies that the king＇s speech could have begitn thus abruptly， and marks a lacuna of three terses，on the ground that the two systems of ana－ paests ought to correspond．But I think Mr．Conington has rightly seen that the whole of the speech should be attributed to the chorus，who in 954 address their own attendants，advising them to adhere to the same resolution they have them－ selves formed，to reside where they will give no scandal nor offence．Hermann reasonably ；objects also to the king ad－ dressing the attendauts as $\phi i \lambda a s \quad \delta \mu a i t e s$, and reads $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon, \phi(\lambda \alpha u, \delta \mu \omega i \delta \alpha s$, where $\tau d \sigma \sigma \in \sigma \theta a \varepsilon$ is to be taken in a middle sense，as in Heracl．664．Androm． 1099.

953．${ }^{2} v \chi \chi \dot{\alpha} p \notin$ ．On the metre of this verse see supra 7．Theb．822．Pers． 32. Ag．357．Hermann formerly corrected， and has edited，$\tau \hat{\alpha} v$ é $\gamma \chi$ бopay．The order
 $\chi$ борч．Besides the guards or attendants before mentioned，we can hardly escape from supposing that each member of the ehorus was accompanied by an assistant； but these probably appeared in the or－ chestra only at the close of the play．
$960-1$ ．These two verses are very difficult．Hermann gives ral mos（so I

 －They heard without resentment how I had acted against our degenerate rela－ tions．＇That фínous is corrupt is not altogether improbable；for the Med．has ．os．
－$\phi$ inov and it may have been a gloss

the alterations proposed are very un． certain；and the valgate may without violence be understood thus：－＇And from me they heard（cf．513）with indignation what measures bad been taken（i．e．in concert with the king）against our over－ bearing relatives，your cousins．＇тикраิs of course must mean，＇with angry feel－ ings against them．＇Cf．Bapécs ク̈novoav， Xen．Avab．ii．1， 9 ．We might be tempt－ ed to read apòs toùs érरeveís mıкро⿺̀丶s фíגous к．т．$\lambda$. ，É $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu$ ग̀s meaning＇a rela－ tion＇in Oed．R．1168，while ékrevts is a word of very questionable authority， at least in the above sense，though $\dot{\alpha} \tau \mathrm{cwh}{ }^{\prime}$ occurs Ag．71．Thus $\pi$ terpol фithor would be an instance of oxymoron，＇friends who are no friends，＇＇friends to our cost．＇Cf．
 öpras тuкробs．（The same conjectare， founded on the latter passage，I see has been made by the reviewer of my 2nd edition．）Hermann defends his encyєyeis by Oed، R．1506，as corrected by G．Din－ dorf．－kai $\mu \mathrm{o}$ seems very probable，for this is a common construction with $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma$－ Gety，as Thuc．vi．56，kal aùtoîs tà $\mu$ ̀̀


 shortly afterwardis тd $\mu$ ѐे $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \neq \mu$ éva
 The $\mu$＇ो $\nu$ is rather irregularly placed，be－ langing as it does to the whole clause．Cf． 753.

962．${ }^{2} \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ 8．Herm．and Dind．have ${ }^{2} \mu \mathrm{v} \hat{\mathrm{v}} \delta^{\prime}$＇，from a correction in the Med． The true reading is perhaps $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{l}, \delta^{\prime}$ ．It will be observed that $\tau 0$ ofote necessarily



implies the presence of a body-guard, who appear as mutes on the stage.
964. סорькаעєі̂. The MSS. give סорик² à $\nu \eta \mu \in ́ \rho \varphi$ with slight variations. Porson corrected $\delta о \rho v к a \nu \varepsilon \hat{\imath} ~ \mu о ́ p q$. On the form of the compound see Blomf. on Ag. 115.
965. I think that a verse must have been lost after this, for the construction seems incomplete. We want something to correspond with $\mu \hat{j \tau \epsilon}$,-sthat I might neither be killed, and so a pollution arise to the country, nor dwell alone among
 $\mu$ bos. The difficulty is not in $\delta \dot{\xi}$ following $\mu$ htc, of which there are instances in abundance (cf. هüte- $8 \bar{\xi}$, Pers, 654-6), nor merely in its continuing the negative sense as part of the first clanse; but in its retaining that negative sense even in the second arad iadependent claase.
966. $\pi \rho \in \mathcal{y} \mu \in \psi \hat{\eta}$. The MSS, give $\epsilon \hat{u}-$ mpp $\mu v \hat{\eta}$, which contains very nearly the samae letters. See on 52, Hermann has

 rection I entertain no doubt; but I think $\pi \rho \in \nu \mu \in \nu$, which I proposed in ed. 2, better than $z^{2} \pi \rho \dot{u} \mu \nu \eta$, theugh the latter may fairly be translated ' in intimo animo,' the chief seat or position of the heart, where Reason is at the helm. It is clear that $\tau \mu \gamma \chi^{d} y$ yov $\alpha$, which the MSS. give, would have been written to avoid the hiatus; whereas the context points to
 his gratitude for honours conferred on himseif, The form $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \pi \rho \nu \mu \nu$ ins does not seem to occur, the usual compound being eйтрициos. For ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu o v ̃$ nothing better had
occurred to me than $\chi p \xi \sigma y$, That the word is corrupt can hardly be questioned.
968. Hermann reads ral $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ á $\mu^{3}$ krypáuiarbe, by a highly probable emendation, which scarcely amounts to an
 qù, к.т. $\lambda$. I had suggested $\gamma \rho « \psi \alpha a \sigma \theta \in$ in ed. 1; but he objects that $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \quad \mu \mathrm{e} \nu$ seems to refer to what precedes, whereas
 at 973. Here therefore $\tau a \hat{y} \tau a$ seems to stand for $\tau \alpha{ }^{\delta} \delta \epsilon$ (see on Prom. 542).
 company of strangers, may become known in the course of time,' By è $\lambda \in \dot{\prime} \gamma \chi \in \sigma \cdot \theta$ at he does not mean sataryverâin $\mu a$, as the Schol. supposed, referring y $\mu$ i $\lambda$ os to the sons of Aegyptus; but that the character of the Suppliants will be prozed and tested, and their innocence made manifest to all by time. In the next verse the $\delta$ t connects the sentiment thus: ' I say, in time; for when people first take up their residence in a country there are always tongues prepared to slander them.'
971. єйтvkov. So Spanheim for $\epsilon \%$ $\tau u \chi 0 \nu$. Cf. 951.
972. то́ $\tau^{2}$ єizeîv. Schol, тঠ єiteîv
 The order of the words is against this; and we should rather have expected to $\delta$ єiสєiv. I think $\tau \delta$ єiтeiv is the accusative after $\phi \frac{f}{\rho} \rho \varepsilon$, exegetical of $\gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{\eta} y$, and $\pi \omega s$ means, 'to say it in some indirect way.' $\epsilon$ intetès is 'thoughtless,' without considering the pain it may cause.
 фоuray eis $\theta$ tap. Cf. Cho, 342.

 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa \nu \omega ́ \delta a \lambda \alpha ~ \pi \tau \epsilon \rho о \hat{\nu \tau а ~ к а i ̀ ~} \pi \epsilon \delta о \sigma \tau \iota \beta \hat{\eta}$, $\kappa а \rho \pi \omega ́ \mu \alpha \theta^{\circ}$ à $\sigma \tau a ́ \zeta о \nu \tau a ~ к \eta \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ K u ́ \pi \rho \iota s$,

 980




 985
 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \Pi \epsilon \lambda a \sigma \gamma o ̀ s, ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \delta \iota \delta o i ̂$, оіккєì $\lambda \alpha ́ \tau \rho \omega \nu \stackrel{a}{u} \tau \epsilon \rho \theta \in \nu \quad \epsilon \hat{\jmath} \pi \epsilon \tau \eta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$.
 тò $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \tau \tau \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma a$ тồ $\beta i ́ o v \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ o \nu$.
XO. $\tau \alpha ̈ \lambda \lambda$ ' єv̉ $\tau v \chi o \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{3} O \lambda v \mu \pi i \omega \nu$ '

 ${ }_{\iota}{ }^{2} \chi \nu 0$ s $\tau$ ò $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \in \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \iota a \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \psi \omega$ ф $\rho \in \nu$ ós.
976. $\tau \ell \mu \not \nu_{j}$ Cf. Eum. 194. Ag. 655. Hermann reads ohpais $\delta \frac{\text { ̀ }}{}$ кnpaivovoí vi B potoi. Ti $\mu$ h ; strangely objecting that beasts do not ravish virgins. It is evident that $\bar{b} \pi \epsilon \rho a$ is general, not said of the human race alone.
978. карт $\omega \mu \alpha \theta^{2}$ ä. So Mr. Conington and Hermann for ккртш́цата. The same error has been removed from Eum. 439. - $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} S_{0 \nu \tau \alpha}$ is a metaphor from ripe grapes (ò $\pi \omega \rho a$ ), which burst and allow the juice to escape. Eustathius (see frag.

 for sale,' 'proclaims,' i. e. toùntóvtos ápтá $\sigma \alpha$, , Oed. Col. 752, 'ready for the first comer to gather.' See sup. 217.
979. ©́s $\mu \in \operatorname{yety} 8 \rho \varphi$. With Mr. Conington, I adopt this emendation of Hermann's as by far the best yet made. The MSS. give $\kappa a \lambda \omega p a \kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\prime} o v \sigma a \nu \theta^{\prime}$, and $\epsilon$ èpô. Stanley corrected $\kappa \ddot{\alpha} \omega \rho a$, which keeps up the metaphor of the vintage, as does $8 p \varphi$, as will appear by comparing Eum. 928. The term seems to have been applied to the space allotted for each plant
(vine or olive) to occupy in closely set rows. The sense is, 'they (both beasts and men) check the unripe fruit, so that it remains stationary,' i. e. they scarcely allow it full development, in their eagerness to seize it. Hermann takes a different view of the whole passage, and I think a much less satisfactory one.
984. $\eta_{p} 6 \theta \eta$. Heath corrected oüvec'
 serves that sometimes words were written without elision, so that the $\lambda$ is only the final a of oüveca. I have however given €lvek'. See on 184. 'To plough' the sea' was a phrase common to Greeks and Romans. Cf. Eur. frag. Sthen. iv., Ad́$\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha, \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho o \hat{u} \mu \epsilon \nu$. The form $\bar{\eta} \rho \delta \theta \eta$ is found Oed. R. 1485. By a bold instance of zeugma the same verb is applied to $\pi \delta \nu o s$.
988. $\lambda a ́ \tau \rho \omega \bar{y}, ~ ' r e n t . ' ~ H e s y c h . ~ \lambda a ́ \tau \rho o \nu ' ~$ $\mu l \sigma \theta_{10}$. The word is from $\lambda \alpha \omega_{0}$, capio, like $\lambda u ́ \tau p o v$ from $\lambda u ́ \omega$, Cho. 41.
993. $\nu$ ' $o y$. In the usual sense of ' evil.' See 336.

НM．á．ïтє $\mu a ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \sigma \tau v a ́ v a к \tau а s ~ \mu a ́ к а \rho a s ~ \theta \epsilon o u ̀ s ~ \gamma a \nu \alpha ́-~$

 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \nu a i ́ o \nu \tau a \iota ~ \pi a \lambda a \iota o ́ v . ~$


 HM．á．$\pi о \tau \alpha \mu о$ ѝs $\delta^{\prime}$ oî $\delta i a ̀ ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \omega ̄ \mu a ~ \chi \epsilon ́-~$ ovaıข

 1010

 å $\theta \lambda o \nu$.

996．The ode which follows is Ionic a minore，as in Pers． 65 seqq．，as far as v．1046，when the chorus，by shifting the step and position or arrangement，change the rhythm to trochaic．Hermann sup－ poses that a short pause intervened be－ tween these two schemes．－For raváevtes of the MSS．，which is a＇vox nihili，＇the choice lies between $\gamma \alpha \nu \delta \in \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ，which I proposed in ed．1，and $\gamma \alpha \nu d o \nu \tau \in s$ ，which Hermann has edited．The adjective ravó－ ets，though a probable form，is not known to exist；while $\gamma a y$ áw occurs several times in Homer，е̇ $\pi \eta \in \tau \alpha \nu \partial\rangle$ ү $\alpha \nu 6 \omega \sigma \alpha \iota_{,}$к．т．$\lambda .$, in the sense of＇bright．＂Here it would seem to have an active sense，фalסpúv－ oעtes，єüф＂раinovtes．I have preferred this，because so many epic words occur in the present play．The uncontracted form may be defended by калéw，Ag． 144. тоө́́ovad Pers．544．Or should we write
 Ag．88．Theb． 261.

999．$\pi \in \rho เ \nu a l o \nu \tau a l . ~ S o ~ H e r m a n n ~ f o r ~$ $\pi \in \rho \iota \mu \boldsymbol{l} \in \tau \in$ ．The middle form is unusual； but the metre leaves no room for doubt． The river Erasinus was famed for its pas－ sage underground from the lake Stym－ phalus．See Ovid，Met．xv．275，＇Sic modo combibitur，tecto modo gurgite lap－ sus Redditur Argolicis ingens Erasinus in arvis．＇Strabo，viii．6，底入入os $\delta$ è mota－



 See also ib．viii．8．vi．2．Pausan．ii．24， 6．Herod．vi． 76.

1000． ó $\pi a \delta o l$ ．Not the handmaids（cf． 954），as was commonly understood，but the other half of the chorus，as Hermann rightly explains it．－alyos，к．т．$\lambda .,{ }^{6}$ let us henceforth hymn Argive gods and rivers， not Egyptian gods and the Nile．＇

1001．$\mu$ é入os．So Legrand for $\mu$ évos．
1007．$\theta \in \lambda \epsilon \mu \delta \nu$ ，＇gentle．＇Photius，$\theta$ é－ $\lambda \eta \mu o s^{\circ} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau$ тồ $ク \boldsymbol{h} \sigma \nu \chi o s$, and so Hesych． $\theta$ ө́́रє $\mu$ оv оіктрд̀，久久 $\sigma v \chi o v . ~ H e s . ~ O p p . ~$ 119，é $\theta \in \lambda \eta \mu o l$ グ $\quad \sigma v \chi \circ$ ．Dr．Donaldson （New Crat．§ 273）compares є́кп入оs from єкє๘ ．The Paris MS．gives $\theta a \lambda \in \rho \delta \nu$ ，an important reading in reference to Theb． 704.

1008．толи́тєкขои．Schol．то入ขтекขías $\pi \rho \delta \xi \in \nu O L . ~ C f . ~ 836 . ~-~ \mu \in \iota \lambda i \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau \epsilon S ~ i s ~$ Pauw＇s emendation of $\mu \in \lambda / \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \in s$.

1012．Kvépeías．Compare $\Delta \iota o \mu \not\langle\delta \in i a$
 Kuéperos from MS．Guelph．，adding， ＇honeste $\gamma d \mu o \nu \mathrm{~K} \nu \theta \in ́ \rho \in t o \nu$ concubitum vocat．＇The epithet seems altogether unnecessary with $\gamma$ ápos，which is a per－ fectly modest word．The MSS．are in favour of the genitive．－$\sigma \tau v \gamma \in \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ is due to Hermann，for $\sigma \tau b$ yeiov．The nulg． is $\sigma \tau v \gamma \in \rho \delta \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \in \lambda \in t$ ．He rightly explains， ＇may this prize（i．e．$\gamma$ á $\mu o s$ ànov́vios）fall to my enemies．＇The Med．has mé ${ }^{\prime}$ ol．



HM. $\beta^{\prime}$. $\mu \in \tau \alpha ́ \kappa о \iota \nu o l ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \phi i ́ \lambda \alpha ~ \mu a \tau p i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu \quad ~ 1020 ~$




1025


 $\mu$ ois ;

1030


 $\boldsymbol{v} \mu \nu 0 v \nu \partial \mu o s$. The chorus here offer some sort of apology for their last words: 'Not that we neglect the goddess altogether; on the contrary, we acknowledge her power.' Nothing was more dreaded by the Greeks than any thing like a contempt for the rites of Aphrodite, See Hippol. 100, and passim.- $\sigma \grave{v} \nu{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Hag}}$, sc. $\tau \in \lambda \in(\alpha$, , the goddess of marriage, Eum,
 expression.
1024. 'Appovía. The daughter of Aphrodite by Mars (Hes. Theeg. 937), and one of her numerous assessors or attendants, as $\Pi \dot{6} \theta_{0}$, $\Pi \in t \theta \dot{\omega}$, "i $\mu \in$ gos,
 i. 43, 6. She represented harmony in wedlock. The meaning simply is, 'Harrmonia too and the Loves share in the prerogatives of the goddess.' Hermana
 concorditatem cogens Veneris vis.' He well adds: ' ceterum positum est $\delta \hat{k}$, non $\tau \epsilon$, quia respicitur ad praegressam negationem.'
1025. 廿'́supat тpp/Bow It is diffiẹult to translate this verse, which is a mere periphrasis for $\psi \in ́ \delta v p a r ~ " E \rho \omega \tau \epsilon s$. The exact meaning of $\psi t \theta u p i\{\epsilon t v$ and $\psi 4 \theta u p d s$ will be understood from Theocr. ii. 141,
 ${ }^{*}$ Epos were involeed. (Suidgs, Harpacration, and Lex. Bekk., Anece. i. p. 317. .) It implies the secret converse between lovers or married people, кри́фıo ò óaıf $\mu \mathrm{ol}$, Hes. Opp. 789. As zegayds the form, Hermann is probably right in preferring
 Hesy.ch. 廿évupgs' $\psi$ lovpos. The accent of both words appears doubtful.
1026. The MSS. give фuyádes $\delta^{\prime}$ èmt$\pi \nu o i ́ a u$ (some omitting $\delta^{\circ}$ ), whence Burges and Haupt have suggested the reading in

 poías, 'I fear wass and troubles resulting from this scheme of our dight.' He ob-
 is one of those simple and nataral compounds that any poet might adopt at once. The sense is, 'I fear they will sail against us as fugitives,' i. e. to claim us as belonging to their country.
1030. $\tau$ i $n o \tau^{2}$. If the reading be right,
 Ei $\mu \grave{\eta}$ סì roûro; But I think wẹ should read $\delta \pi \delta \tau^{\prime}$, siquidem, for the Schol. has

 the note on Jjatis Prom. 38. The $\tau$ arose from $\pi$ (cf. 756), when $\delta \pi \pi \delta \sigma \epsilon$ had been written, and the initial $\delta$ obliterated. The sense of the vulgate is unsatisfactory; and Hermann's coprection has much to com-
 else have they sailed back with all speed, unless to bring more forces?' Stilill, to his question 'quid est $\pi p a \bar{c} a \iota$ є we may surely reply, that $\pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \xi a$, is con-
 Pyth. ii. 74. Isthm. v. 10. Mr. Conington explains, 'why have the gods granted fair gales for their pursuit?' It does not appear to me easy to supply $\theta=0$. in a sentence where no mention of them has been made.


 $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\rho} \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda o \iota ~ \gamma v v \alpha \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu$.




HM. $\beta^{\prime}$. $\sigma \grave{v}$ ठé $\gamma^{\prime}$ oủк ol̂c $\theta a$ tò $\mu e ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu$.



НМ. á. тíva каьро́v pe סıठáбкєเऽ; 1043

 Sálov, ò $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 'I $\omega$

1049

1036. Schol, $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ă $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \nu$
 seems to have taken $\pi$ té $\lambda o l$ not as a wish, but for $\pi \in ́ \lambda o c \quad \alpha \nu$. See 131. The sense appears to be, 'may this consummation of marriage (Ag. 721) be to us as it has been to many women before us,' i. e. a subject of apprehension at first, but happily escaped. There is a contrast between past and present in $\alpha \delta \delta \in$ and $\pi \rho o \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$.
1039. The argument seems to shew
 mean, ' that would be best,' but, 'it will all turn out for the best,' тò Béגтaтò єั̌ク $\hbar \nu$, for the Hemichorium $\beta^{\prime}$ throughout responds in calm and consoling language to the excited fears and passionate exclamations of the other side. "Fear not," says the former, 'all will be well in the end.' 'You,' the other retorts, 'would make light of a matter which admits of no alleviation.' 'Why so ?' is the reply; 'You cannot foretel what may be in store for us.' For the unusual position of the article in тд $\beta$ éd $\tau a \tau o \nu$ it is enough to refer






Cf. Cho. 412, qà $\delta^{\prime}$ oủx ${ }^{\ell}$ Oé $\lambda y \in \tau a l$, sc.

1044. кั̌ $\chi$ ou, 'speak.' See on 17. The meaning is, 'beware lest you say any thing violent or ill-omened in your detestation of the marriage.'
1045. кaupóv. 'What moderation do you recommend?' These words, katpos and $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o v$, are elsewhere combined, as


 źaı́тos. See on Prom. 513.
1046. á $\alpha \zeta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$. From ă $\gamma a \nu$, like $\lambda \iota a ́-$ $\zeta \epsilon i \nu$ from $\lambda l a \nu$, which Photius explains
 to the proverb $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \not \approx \gamma a \nu$, as in Prom. 72.



 Col. 1695, тò фépou êk $\theta \in o v ̃ ~ \kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} s \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ă $\gamma a \nu$ ф $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \in \sigma Ө о \nu$.
 Cf. 572. Hermann corrects кат $\alpha \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \alpha \nu$, chiefly because krlaas has no substantive after it. But it appears simply to mean тoıク $\boldsymbol{\sigma} a \mathrm{~s}$, 'having effected it,' i. e. the liberation of Io. On the middle é $\bar{\sigma} \sigma \sigma a \tau o$ see Prom. 243. Eum. 166.

є $\grave{\mu} \mu \nu \in \hat{\imath} \beta$ íą ктíбas. $\quad\left[\dot{a} \nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}\right.$.

 1055
 pious $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \alpha i ̂ s ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \pi a ́ \rho a$.

1G54. тঠ $\beta$ é̀тєроу накой. See on 13. - $\delta \delta \delta i \mu o \iota p o y$, the better part, though not unmixed with evil, i. e. the escape from the marriage, with the banishment it involves. The Schol. understands 'a double share of evil with one advantage.'-aivw, sc. $\sigma \tau$ є́ $\rho \gamma \omega$, ' I am content with,' 'I acquiesce in.'
1056. $\delta$ tка. So G. Burges .for $\delta i \kappa$. ' That the trial may be conducted justly.' Mr. Conington conjectures dika סíkas (i.e. $\delta \ell \hbar \eta s)$ éné $\sigma \tau a l, ‘ J u s t i c e ~ s h a l l ~ p r e s i d e ~ o v e r ~$ our cause.' Perhaps $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \omega$ would be still nearer the truth; but in such passages ingenuity is exercised almost in vain, where there is an evident reference to something now lost. The next play of the trilogy, the Danaides, doubtless con-
tained an account of this event. Cf.

 kotvd̀s édpas. Pausanias (ii. 19, 6) relates that Hypermnestra was brought to trial at Argos for disobeying the commands of her father (Prom. 881), and that being acquitted she dedicated a statue to 'Aфробitๆ иикпфdpos. Probably Aeschylus treated of this in the Danaides, where the goddess was introduced making a speech not dissimilar in sentiment to 976 seqq. of the present play. See Aesch. frag. 41.- $\xi \mathfrak{y} \nu \bar{\nu}$ è̉ $\chi a i ̂ s$, in conformity with my prayer.
1057. Perhaps we should write $\mu$ aरajaîs.

## IIPOMH@EMミ $\triangle E \Sigma M \Omega T H \Sigma$.

## $\Upsilon \Pi O \Theta E \Sigma I \Sigma$

## ПРОМН@ЕЛะ $\triangle E \Sigma M \Omega T O Y$.















## AMASE.















 $\mu \in \nu o v, \beta \rho о \nu \tau \eta ̀ ~ к а \tau а \rho \rho а \gamma є i ̂ \sigma a ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̉ ф а \nu i ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota . ~$



## PROMETHEUS.

Trim precise date of this play, and consequently its chronological order, cannot be ascertained. It has been inferred, from v. 375 , that it was written soon after the eruption of Aetna, Ol. 75. 2, (Thucyd. iii. 116,) while others, arguing from the more advanced and developed style of the play, have placed it as late as Ol.77. 3. Hermann refutes the error of those (e. g. Müller, Diss. Eum. p. 64) who suppose that a third actor appears in the opening scene. He truly observes, after Welcker, that Prometheus cannot be regarded as an actor, but that he must have been represented by a huge effigy, the words he is supposed to utter being recited by one of the two who had just before acted Vulcan and Robur, concealed behind it. The other in turn takes the characters of Ocean, Io, and Hermes. The person addressed as Bía (v. 12) is a mere mute.

This play is believed to have been the second of a trilogy of which the $\Pi \rho o \mu \eta \theta$ evs $\Pi v \rho \phi$ ópos formed the first, and the $\Pi$. $\Lambda v o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s$ the last piece. The Satyric drama which concluded the series is unknown: the $\Pi$. Пvpкaevs was the last of the tetralogy which comprised the Persians. Of the extant plays of Aeschylus the Prometherus Bound may be justly considered the best, and that not merely for its subline poetry, but for the profound conception of the character of Prometheus. Had the entire trilogy come down to us, there is every reason to believe we should have possessed in it an unrivalled monument of inventive genius. The legend which formed the subject probably belongs to the most ancient traditions of the human race; but whether mystical and allegorical, or connected in its origin with primeval Revelation concerning the creation of Man, must remain undecided. There is much to be said in favour of the latter opinion.

# TA TOT $\triangle$ PAMATO乏 ПРOЕ $\Omega \Pi A$. 

```
KPATO\Sigma.
BIA.
HकAIETOE.
ПPOMHOEYZ.
XOPOS QKEANI \(\Delta \Omega N\) NYM \(\Omega \Omega\).
QKEANOE.
I \(\Omega\) H INAXOX.
EPMH』.
```


# ПPOMHEEMZ $\triangle E \Sigma M \Omega T H \Sigma$ ． 

## KPATOE．






2．BBaroy．This is the reading of all the MSS．，but the editors since Porson have generally preferred ¿ßротоу，from Suidas，Phavorinus，and other gramma－ rians who quote the verse．The Med． has afikatov $\tau^{2}$ ．It is not denied that \％$\beta a \tau 0 s$ is a perfectly appropriate epithet for an inaccessible desert region，while $\alpha \beta \rho o \tau o s$ in the sense of $\chi_{\nu \nu \in \cup} \beta p o \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，if borrowed from Homer＇s $\nu \dot{\nu} \xi$ ả $\beta \rho o \dot{\sigma} \eta \eta$ ，＇di－ vine night，＇presupposes that Aeschylus wrongly understood it＇solitary night．＇ See Buttmann，Lexil．in v．We must also take into consideration the tendency of transcribers to insert $\rho$ ，as inf．49， $\epsilon \pi \rho d \chi \theta \eta$ for $\epsilon \pi \alpha \chi \theta \hat{\eta}$ ．See on Suppl． 283. 611． 672.836 ．This will readily account for a var．lect．Kßporov．Hesychius in－
 believed to refer to this passage；but if so，it proves nothing more than that the variant is as old as his time．On the
 from this verse，see Ar．Ach．704．Av． 1484．The scene of the play is laid in western Scythia，on the N．W．confines of the Euxine，not in the Caucasus，as in the Prometheus Solutus．Schol．Med．




 he should have said חóvzou，as may be inferred from the later Scholiast on $v$ ．

572，who has $\pi \rho \partial_{s}$ toîs Eùpんтalots $\mu \in \rho \in \sigma t$ тov̂ Kaukd $\sigma o v$ ．It would be vain to spe－ cify any particular geographical limits in a narrative so purely mythical ；but Strabo applies the term $\dot{\eta} \Gamma \in \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu i \alpha$ to the whole district above the Danube and Dnieper，and calls it $\pi \in \delta i \alpha ̀ s$ $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$ kal そvoठिos，lib．vii．p．305－6．This cor－ responds sufficiently well with Io＇s wan－ derings eastward as far as the Caucasus， inf．726，738．Of course，the фápa $\bar{\xi}$ ，or ravine，in $v .15$ is a mere poetical figment．

4．＇̇фहiтo，＇enjoined upon you．＇Od．

 є $\mu \alpha$ ．Hence モ́ $\phi$ є́тทs，Pers．80．These commands，says Robur，you have good right and reason to care for，since it was your fire that was stolen，and your pre－ rogatives that were encroached upon． Robur is not the minister of Vulcan， nor did the poet intend to represent him as a superior divinity．If the latter acts under his orders，it is because he is com－ missioned by Zeus to see the work duly executed．Vulcan，who shows pity and reluctance，is incited to the unwelcome task by the remorseless demon who exults and glories in the anguish inflicted．The one throws all the blame of his conduct on Necessity and the absolute will of Zeus；the other labours to overcome the natural but criminal disinclination of the appointed agent by urging the conse－ quences of a refusal．
ن́ $\psi \eta \lambda о к р \eta ́ \mu \nu o \iota s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o ̀ \nu ~ o ̉ \chi \mu a ́ \sigma \alpha \iota ~$5$\theta \nu \eta \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi a s{ }^{\omega} \pi \pi \alpha \sigma \nu^{`} \tau 0 i a ̂ \sigma \delta \in ́ ~ \tau o \iota$á $\mu a \rho \tau i ́ a s ~ \sigma \phi e ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ \delta o u ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \delta i ́ k \eta \nu, ~$10$\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \dot{\pi} \pi о v$ ठє̀ $\pi a v \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о v$.HФAIETOE．15$\epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \omega \rho \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \Pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o u s ~ \beta a \rho u ́ . ~$

 $\mu \in \nu o \nu$ ．The word is preserved both by Hesychius and Photius，who rightly ex－ plain it．Demosthenes has to $\lambda$ रlav $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{U}} \chi \in \rho \bar{\eta}$ in the same sense，Mid．p． 548. From the epithet iv $\psi \eta$ дoк $\rho \eta \mu \nu o i s$ ，and
 $\pi \in \delta \alpha \rho \sigma$ iots v． $277, \pi \in \tau \rho a i ́ a ~ d \quad \gamma к d \lambda \eta$ v．
 not improbable that Prometheus was chained erect at some height from the ground．＇O $\rho \theta$ Oor $\alpha$ d́ $\delta \eta y$ in 32 does not necessarily imply more than an upright position．But we do not know enough of the mechanical expedients employed to come to any certain conclusion．

6．This verse has been preserved by the Schol．on Ar．Ran．826．The MSS． give the tame and unmetrical reading
 with little variety．The poet perhaps had in view ảapク̆kтovs $\pi$ t́das，II．xiii． 37.

7．$\tau \delta \sigma \partial y$ 号 $\nu \theta 0 \mathrm{~s}$ ．He should have said
 flos flammae Lucret．i． 900 ；but the geni－ tive more conveniently depended on $\sigma$ é $\lambda a s$ ．
 ＇Hpalotov Plat．Protag．p．321，and ibid．
 oùv rupl．Inf．262．The Ionic philoso－ phers taught that the aionp，or upper firmament，was a vast magazine of fire， by which the sun and star＇s were fed and
maintained in their brightness．It was this element，too pure and godlike for the use of man，according to the doctrine of the old fire－worshipping Arian and Pelas－ gic tribes，that Prometheus daringly and profanely transferred to earth．

11．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \iota$. ．＇To bear with，＇not to be impatient under．See Suppl． 269.


12．$\sigma \phi \hat{\psi} \nu \mu \epsilon \in \nu$ ．The meaning is，＇You have done your parts in declaring the will of Zeus，and nothing now remains but resolution on my part to carry it into effect．＇The construction is not so evident．The Schol．Med．explains it by

 seems to make the substantive take the


 strued in any other way than by taking $\sigma \phi \hat{\nu} \nu$ as the dative of relation，＇as far as you are concerned．＇

16．$\sigma \chi=\theta \in \hat{i} \bar{i}$ ．The MSS．generally give $\sigma \chi^{\prime} \theta \in!v$ and $\sigma \chi^{\prime} \theta \theta \omega \nu$ ．That it is really an aorist appears from the uncontracted
 v．320．See New Craiylus，p． 470.

17．$\left.{ }^{\xi} \xi \omega p a \dot{d}\right\} \in \epsilon$ ．Porson and others alter this to Ev凶ptaj $\epsilon L V$ ，because the latter word is recognised by some of the old lexico－
 occurs．Still it bears a natural meaning，









 тоьâ̂t' à $\pi \eta u ́ \rho \omega ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \phi \iota \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \pi o v ~ \tau \rho o ́ t o v . ~$


#### Abstract

' to put out of one's care and concern,' to neglect or despise. Schol. Med. $\begin{gathered}\text { Ésw }\end{gathered}$  18. © $\epsilon \mu \delta \frac{s}{} \alpha i \pi v \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \pi \alpha i$. In this address Hermann sees a mixed admiration and reproach for the too lofty daring of Prometheus. One of the later Scholiasts remarke that Prometheus is called the son of Justice because a sense of justice causes men to consider and deliberate, $\pi \rho \rho \mu \eta \theta_{\varepsilon} \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a$. But this is somewhat sophistical. Themis, as holding the oracular seat (Eum. 2), imparts to her son the attribute of foreknowledge, but not that of infallibility or 'counselling aright.' Thus the responsibility is thrown on the son alone, whose forethought for man in. duces him to dare in their cause while fully aware of the penalty of befriending them. In this, as throughout the play, a stern and gloomy view of divine providence is propounded. Man owes every thing to the intervention of a benefactor, nothing direstly to the supreme Being. He does not seem to will their happiness, but simply to claim their obedience. He is not a God of love, but of discipline; not of mercy, but of rigorous and exacting justice.


 100. Verbs of physical perception, it is well known, are for the most part of sufficient latitude to include more than one of the senses; but the construction is perhaps rather an instance of zeugma,
 See Suppl. 984. Hermann's comment on this passage deserves to be quoted at length : 'Eximia arte cumulavit poeta infinitam mali magnitudinem. Ferreis vinculis ad saxa affixus vacuo hominibus in
loco, neminis cujusquam alloquio aut adspectu fruens, interdiu solis flamma tostus, noctu ex pruinis tremens, ab die levamen nocturni mali, diurni ab nocte expetens, semper dolore doloris alius vicario cruciatus, nullum habiturus liberatorem, eodem immobilis statu, somni expers, numquam fessa stando flexurus genua haeret in rupibus ille qui gènus humanum affecit beneficiss.'
24. тонtidel $\mu \omega \nu$. The 'starry-kirtled night' is a noble expression, and one which has a peculiar significance in connexion with the awful solitude of the Scythian desert. The change from day to night and night to day is described as bringing the only relief, for $\mu \in \tau a \beta o \lambda \eta$ $\pi \pi^{2} \nu \tau \omega \nu \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \grave{v}$, Eur. Orest. 234.
27. ойтш тє́фикє. It was destined that Hercules should finally release him; cf. 791 ; though it need not be supposed that Vulcan knew this. He could not however have said with truth oủk $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eatau }\end{aligned}$

 $\pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \omega \nu \quad \sigma \in \pi \hat{\eta} s \tau \alpha \lambda a l \pi \omega \rho / a s$.
 the reading of the Med. Others with Elmaley write èmqupou. The usual aorist
 with a genitive, and sometimes an accusative also, as Andor. de Reditu suo, ad


 have been two forms, in - $\alpha \mu \eta \nu$ and $-\delta \mu \eta \nu$, like $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \delta \dot{d} \mu \eta \nu$ and $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \delta \mu \eta \nu$. But I think the true reading is either äm $\eta$ úpo or $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \rho o v$, and the former is not unlikely to have been preferred as the less common (cf. 17). Buttmann, Lexil. in v., is













HФ. ${ }^{\alpha} \epsilon i ́ \gamma \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta} \nu \eta \lambda \eta ̀ s ~ \sigma \grave{v}$ кaì $\theta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma o v s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega s$.

inclined to retain here the termination in - $\omega$. This verse and what follows is not said in a tone of taunting severity, but the converse, as is clear from 36. It is simply a declaration of the sentence on an act of humanity. It conveys as much of sympathy, and even of reproach against Zeus (34-5), as Vulcan dares to express, though he is somewhat of a craven god, and always uses cautious and measured language. Hence $\pi \epsilon \in \rho \alpha$ ठ的 convey Vulcan's opinion of the matter, but means ultra quam fas erat. It was a well-meant action, but one of disobedience, and as such it brought its evil consequences even to man: 'post ignem aetheria domo Sub́ductum macies et nova febrium Terris incubuit cohors,' Hor. Od.
 какду, Нея. Opp. 57.
35. ätas t $\quad$ axús. He means Zeus, who had recently ejected Saturn, but he dares not say it otherwise than by implication. Cf. 156.
38. $\delta \sigma \tau 1 s$. Not for ofs, but with a causal sense, 'for having betrayed,' qui prodiderit; or more closely, 'one who


 persuaserit, 'I distrust her for having persuaded me to come.' Compare inf. 778. Pers. 741; and indeed the use is sufficiently common. But \%ovis cannot
be used simply for $8 s$, as some have wrongly proposed $8 \sigma \tau \iota s$ àvт́́ $\sigma \tau \eta \quad \theta \in 0 i ̂$ inf. 362. See on 841.
39. $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \nu$, 'a strong tie.' Schol. re-
 $\grave{\eta}$ ék $\pi a \lambda \alpha\left\llcorner o \hat{u} \sigma u \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha\right.$. The Schol. Med. explains пupd̀s тapias $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ каl aùtds, and $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \dot{\partial} \nu$ in $14, \tau \delta \nu \dot{a} \pi \delta \delta \mu \hat{a} s \delta \rho \mu \dot{\omega}-$ $\mu \in \nu o \nu$ тє́ $\chi \nu \eta s$. But the word may very well be used (as inf. 297) for the common relationship of all the gods with each other, as a family of Oúpanizau.- $\delta \mu i \lambda / a$ must be understood of a previous intercourse, by which Prometheus learnt the Єॅтироs тє́ $\chi^{\nu \eta \eta \text {, a knowledge of which he }}$ imparted to man together with fire. It was this art, the peculiar prerogative of Vulcan, on which the crafty Robur dwells rather than on the mere element. See 262 compared with 110 . To communicate it to mortals was a breach of confidence and a betrayal of trust.
42. à $\in \mathfrak{l} \epsilon_{\text {. So Herm., Dind. for } \alpha \in l}$ $\tau \epsilon$, which it is hardly worth while to defend at the expense of an awkward and unusual construction,- $\theta \rho \alpha \alpha_{\sigma o s, ~ l i k e ~ a v ̉ \theta a m ~}^{\text {a }}$ סía, as opposed to aisìs, 'mercy,' means ' relentlessness,' ' cruelty.'
43. $\theta \rho \eta \nu \in i \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$. This is, perhaps, the middle voice, like $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \tau a l$, Pers. 62. Compare the rare form $\mu 0 \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta \alpha L$ inf.
 299. е̇тикраіンєбӨa! Eum. 927. $\sigma \pi \epsilon$ v́$\delta \in \sigma \theta a l$ and $\alpha v ̄ \delta \bar{\alpha} \sigma \theta a l$ ibid. 339, 357. à $\pi-$


 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ oủ $\delta$ èv airía $\tau \in ́ \chi \nu \eta$.




$K P$. ov̋коvข $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \nu$,













 $\sigma \omega \zeta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ Cho. 783. vaí $\sigma \theta$ ©a Suppl. 949.
48. ${ }^{\prime} \nmid \mu \pi \alpha a s$. 'Nevertheless (i. e. though my art be not to blame), I could have wished that another had possessed it.'
49. $\epsilon^{2} \pi \alpha \chi \theta \hat{\eta}$. So Herm., Dind., Blomf. for é en á $\chi \theta \eta$. The correction is the more probable from the frequent intrusion of $\rho$. See on v. 2. 'Every thing has its burden except supreme sovereignty,' i. t. that alone is free from compulsory duties.
51. Éरעఎкa $\tau 0 \hat{\sigma} \delta \delta \in$. 'I know it by

55. $\nu i \nu$, i. e. aù ${ }^{2}$. It is clear from $v$. 60 that $\psi \dot{d} \lambda l a$ are here the same as $\psi \in ́ \lambda$ $\lambda l a$, 'armlets,' and that $\chi \in \rho \sigma i v$ means ' arms,' not ' hands,' as in Herod. ii. 121,
 see Mr. Blakesley's note.
 and is not undertaken in vain,' Schol. Med. ou $\mu$ át $\eta \nu$ rivetal. This seems the
sense of $\mu \alpha \tau \hat{a} \nu$, rather than 'to delay:' See Theb. 37. Eum. 137. Hom. Il. xvi.
 $\tau \eta \sigma \in \nu$. Apoll. Rhod. iv. 1395, ou' $\delta{ }^{\prime} \notin \mu \alpha ́-$ т $\eta \sigma a \nu \pi \lambda a \zeta \phi \mu \in \nu o \iota$, Compare $\lambda \eta \mu d \omega$ from $\lambda h \mu \eta$, and see on Suppl. 799, where $\mu d \tau \eta$ occurs in its primary sense of 'a search in vain.'
58. $\sigma \phi^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \epsilon$, 'tighten them,' Lat. constringe. Properly, 'to squeeze,' whence fingo, applied to manipulating wax or soft clay, and $\Sigma \phi\{\gamma \xi$, 'the grasper,' cf. Theb. 538. Phoen. 808. Blomfield's fanciful derivation from $\sigma \phi \hat{\eta} \nu^{\prime} \not\langle\gamma \omega$ is perhaps scarcely serious. - $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\eta}$ ұá $\lambda \alpha$, 'leave nothing loose in any part of the fetters."
65. סıa $\mu \pi \alpha \grave{\alpha}$, 'right through.' As Prometheus was immortal (cf. 772) the expression may well be taken literally. At the word of command an iron spike is driven into the breast of the wooden effigy. So Lucian, who in his dialogue entitled Pro-

Hத．aiâ̂ $\Pi \rho о \mu \eta \theta \hat{\varepsilon} \hat{v}, \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \tau \notin \nu \omega \pi o ́ v \omega \nu$ ．



 ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{i} \pi \lambda \epsilon v \rho a i ̂ s ~ \mu a \sigma \chi a \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a s \beta^{\beta}{ }^{\lambda} \lambda$.

$K P . \hat{\eta} \mu \eta_{\nu}^{\nu} \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma \omega, \kappa \alpha ̉ \pi \iota \theta \omega v ́ \xi \omega \quad \gamma \epsilon \pi \rho o ́ s$.




НФ．ö ${ }^{\circ} о \iota \alpha \mu о \rho \phi \hat{\eta} \gamma \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha ́$ бov $\gamma \eta \rho v i \epsilon \tau a l$.




metheus has had Aeschylus in view，華入ous
 has a fancy that the poet misunderstood
 ＇fixing the chains half way up the pillar．＇

66．$\sigma \omega \nu$ ย̇ $\pi \in \rho$ Herm．，Dind．，on account of the next verse．

 there is a statement，virtually conveying a reproach，rather than a question；and $\alpha \overline{6}$ is not＇again，＇in respect of $v .36$ ，but ＇on the other hand，＇i．e．differently from me．

69－70．These are admirable verses． In the first Vulcan gives a reason why he laments，and why Robur should lament too．In the second，Robur，true to his character，can see nothing but the grati－ fying sight of a felon justly tortured． And he hastens on，as if in mockery of ill－bestowed compassion，to enforce a further constraint．

72．$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\iota} \nu$ ह̇ $\gamma \kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \nu^{3}$ a $\gamma \alpha \nu$, i．e．as before屯́раббе $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu, \sigma \phi \hat{\ell} \gamma \epsilon$ ，v．58．On $\mu \eta \delta є \nu$ bray see Suppl． 1046.

76．סiat pous $_{\text {тéסas．Hermann under－}}$ stands＇pierced，＇rather than＇galling；＇ the Schol．giving both explanations．First Vulcan is ordered to enring the legs，then
to nail the links to the rock．It is possible that $\pi \epsilon$ fís refers to the fetters round the ankles，$\sigma \kappa$ é $\lambda \eta$ to the legs above the knee． The process of fastening proceeds regu－ larly downwards from arms to feet；see $55,64,71,74$ ．By the words $\chi \omega ́ \rho \in i$ кd́ $\tau \omega$, as the Schol．Med．acutely remarks，the huge size of the effigy is indicated．Per－ haps a stage or scaffold erected against a wall afforded space and means for the operation．－－${ }^{2} \pi \tau \tau \mu \eta \tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ ，i．e．Zeus himself，who is similarly called ev̈Ouvos Bapùs Pers．824．Soph．frag．478，ко－ $\lambda a \sigma \tau a l$ кג่тเтıиŋтаl какติр．Cf．Theb． 1015．The word was perhaps applied to overseers of slaves．

78．$\mu о \rho \phi \hat{\eta}$ ．The Scholiasts refer this to the ugly mask worn by Robur．

81．$\sigma \tau \in i \chi \omega \mu \in \nu$ ．Vulcan is anxious to leave the distressing scene，but the other stays behind to gloat over the agony he has inflicted，to taunt his victim，and even to joke upon his name．All this is very finely drawn．The origin of the passage is probably Il．xxi．122，̇̇עтavөồ עvע

 epúkev．Compare also Vesp．149，zv－


$\sigma v \lambda \omega ิ \nu$ є่ф $\eta \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota \sigma \iota \pi \rho о \sigma \tau i ́ \theta \epsilon \iota$. тí $\sigma \circ \iota$ oîoí $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \tau o i ̀ \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta^{’} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \pi o ́ \nu \omega \nu$;
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu \cdot \alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \sigma \epsilon ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \Pi \rho o \mu \eta \theta \epsilon ́ \omega s, ~$


ПРОМНЄЕТЕ.

 $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \pi \eta \gamma \alpha i, \pi о \nu \tau i \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ à $\nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota \mu о \nu$ $\gamma^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu a, \pi \alpha \mu \mu \hat{\eta} \tau о ́ \rho \tau \epsilon \gamma \hat{\eta}$,  í $\delta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu^{\prime}$, oî $\alpha \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \nu \nu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \chi \omega \theta \epsilon o ́ s$. <br>ठьакขaıó $\mu \in \nu$ оs $\tau \grave{\nu} \mu \nu \rho \iota \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$   $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \iota \kappa \eta$. $\phi \epsilon \hat{v} \phi \epsilon \hat{\vartheta}$ • $\tau$ ò $\pi \alpha \rho o ̀ \nu \tau o ́ ~ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon \rho \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \alpha^{\prime} \chi \omega, \pi \hat{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\jmath} \chi \theta \omega \nu$ $\chi \rho \eta े \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \delta^{\circ}$ є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \epsilon і \lambda \alpha \iota$.

86. $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ חpopq $\theta$ Éws. ' You have yourself need of a contriver (i. e. contrivance), how you may extricate yourself from this handy-work.' The meaning is the same as if he had said $\delta \in i$ if $\quad$ oun $\theta$ las or $\pi \rho o-$ $\mu \gamma \theta e \hat{i} \theta \theta a$, but he prefers to personify it that the play on the name may be more pointed. Similarly we have חpoud $\theta$ eos aidès Pind. Ol. vii. 44, where see Dr. Donaldson. Schol. recent. à à toû oi-




87. \& sios aithp. Nothing can be more grand and solemn than this appeal to the elements against the tyrannical decree of Zeus. An enemy to the gods, and an outcast from heaven, he addresses the free air, the rivers, the dimpled and flashing ocean, and earth, on which he must abide in torture for thousands of years. Not a word had he deigned to utter under the taunts of Robur, nor does he now even allude to them; but in solitude he vents his feelings of profound indignation
against Zeus, yet of heroic submission to Necessity. Hermann remarks that the anapaests imply an excitement which subsides again into iambics when the thoughts of his own dignity and real innocence recur to his mind.
88. $\gamma^{\prime} \lambda a \sigma \mu a$. The quivering or rip. pling motion, which suggests the notion of 'countless' because the dimples are never for an instant still. It is inferred from this passage that Prometheus was chained within sight of the Euxine. Cf. inf. 1109.
89. $\mu \nu \rho \iota \epsilon \hat{\eta}$. This must be understood in a limited sense, for in 793 he foretels that he shall be liberated fourteen generations after Io. The Schol, explains толиєт $\eta$. Strabo, xi. cap. v. той 'Kpa-

 self in the П. Пupфópos made the term тpeîs $\mu$ uptódoss, as the Schol. affirms.
90. $\phi \in \hat{v} \phi \in \hat{v}$. Hermann has aiâ̂ with Rob. and several MSS. The same variation occurs in 124.
 relias. The word is said to be properly


#### Abstract

каíтоь $\tau i ́ \phi \eta \mu \iota ; \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho о v ̄ \xi \epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$     $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oü $\tau \epsilon \sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ovै $\tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau u ́ \chi a s$   $\nu \alpha \rho \theta \eta к о \pi \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \omega \tau о \nu$ סє̀ $\theta \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota \pi v \rho o ̀ s$ $\pi \eta \gamma \eta ̀ \nu$ клотаíav, $\hat{\eta}$ ठı $\delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda о s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta s$ $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s$ ßротоîs $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi \eta \nu \epsilon$ каi $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a s ~ \pi o ́ \rho о s . ~$ тоиа́ $\sigma \delta \epsilon \pi о \iota \nu \alpha ̀ s ~ \dot{a} \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau i ́ \nu \omega$, 


 Phoen. 1116, because constellations reappear in succession after their setting$\pi \hat{\eta} \pi 0 \tau \in$ is not a direct question, but for $\delta \pi \eta$, 'in what part of the sky,' as if he had added $\phi u \lambda d \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, and was constantly watching the horizon to greet the welcome star of his delivery.
106. $\sigma t \gamma \hat{a} y$ ойтє $\mu$ ทे่ $\sigma เ \gamma a ̂ \nu$. This idea is repeated in 205. He cannot help appealing to the elements against his cruel sentence, and yet he cannot fully declare its injustice without self-praise; 'for it was through giving privileges to mortals, that,' \&c. The Scholiasts refer $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \quad \sigma \hat{a} \nu$ to his fear of Zeus; but such fear was no part of Prometheus' character.
 seems to mean 'filled, or stored within a
 i. e. for the purpose of concealment. So $\pi \lambda \eta p o u ̂ \nu$ olvov Eis ärros Eur. Iph. Taur. 954. The $\nu d\{\theta \eta \xi$ was a species of fennel (ferula or ferulago, but different from our foeniculum officinale, the pith of which has none of the properties of tinder). Theophrastus, Hist. Plant. vi. cap. 2, describes it as $\mu \in \mathcal{\prime} \gamma a s \quad \sigma \phi \delta \delta \rho a, \mu o \nu \delta$ -
 sheathed or amplexicaul leaves, divided into capillary segments, and with umbelliferous head. From the stem the Bacchic thyrsus was made. It is said that the modern Greeks still use the dry stalk for transferring fire. The legend is from Hesiod, Theog. 567. Opp. 52, who represents the offence against Zeus to have consisted not merely in stealing the fire,
but in the deceit practised by Prometheus in giving mortals the better share of the sacrifice, on account of which Zeus had denied (ov̀ esifoou) them fire. To convey it to them under these circumstances was an act of rebellion: for the object of the supreme ruler had been to restore the balance of advantages between the gods and mankind.
112. Totá $\sigma \delta \epsilon$, i. e. Told $\sigma \delta \varepsilon$ nowds $\tau 0$ $\hat{\omega} \nu \delta \in \mathfrak{a} \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. For he had just recounted both the fault and the penalty of it. The common reading $\pi \alpha \sigma \sigma a \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \delta s$ $\omega_{\nu}$ is retained by Hermann, on the sole authority of Turnebus. Most MSS. omit $\omega \nu$, but the Med. has $\pi a \sigma \sigma a \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu$ f́vos, and
 says, rather too confidently, " non dubito quin Aeschylus scripserit $\dot{v} \pi \alpha l \theta \rho$ Loas $\delta \in \sigma$ $\mu 0 i ̈ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \mu \epsilon ́ v o s$, ,' which he admits into the text. Perhaps $\pi \in \pi a \sigma \sigma a \lambda \in \nu \mu$ évos has been too hastily rejected. We have just such a verse Suppl. 924, ovi $\delta^{3}$ èy
 other writers, who seem to have had Aeschylus in view, use this very participle. Menander, frag. inc. vi. $\epsilon i \tau^{2}$ oủ

 Dial. Marin. 14, $\delta \rho \bar{a}$ т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ ' $A \nu \delta \rho о \mu$ é $\delta a \psi$
 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \pi \epsilon \pi a \tau \tau a \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$. Also in his Kard-
 The reading of the Med. points to $\pi \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha-$ $\lambda o u_{\mu} \mu \nu o s$, but the present participle is less appropriate, and $\pi \alpha \sigma \sigma a \lambda \sigma \omega$ is said to mean 'to furnish with pegs.' See on 663.

\author{
$\hat{a} \hat{a},{ }_{c} \alpha,{ }_{c} \alpha$. <br> 
 ікєто тєр $\mu$ óvıо е̇̇ті $\pi \alpha ́ \gamma о \nu$ $\pi o ́ v \omega \nu \bar{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu . \theta \epsilon \omega \rho o ̀ s, \vec{\eta} \tau i ́ \delta \grave{\eta} \theta \in \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$;
 đòv $\Delta$ iòs é $\chi \theta \rho o ̀ \nu, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~$ <br> 
 $\delta_{\iota a ̀} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda_{i ́ a \nu} \phi \iota \lambda o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha \beta \rho о \tau \omega ิ \nu$. $\phi \in \hat{v} \phi \in \hat{v}, \tau i ́ \pi o \tau^{\prime} a \hat{v} \kappa \iota \nu a ́ \theta \iota \sigma \mu a \quad \kappa \lambda v^{\prime} \omega$


 $\pi a ̂ \nu \mu \circ \iota$ фоßєрò̀ $\tau \grave{̀} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon ́ \rho \pi о \nu$. XOPOE. <br> |  | $\sigma \tau \rho .{ }_{\text {a }}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | 130 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

114. " $\mathfrak{\alpha} \hat{\alpha}$ rei subitae mirationem, ${ }_{\epsilon} \alpha$ * $\alpha$ quid secuturum sit expectationem significat." Herm.
 panied by any vision. Fragrance was generally regarded as the token of a divine presence, as of Artemis in Hippol. 1391. - кєкраце́v $\nu$, something partaking of both human and divine, as of heroes or demigods. The metre of 115 is bacchiac, as inf. 594. Theb. 101. Ag. 1072 ; that of 117 is composed of a resolved dochmius and a cretic. The nominative to $\%_{k \in \tau o}$ is $\theta$ Eds or $\beta \rho o \tau \partial s$ implied in the adjectives.



 $\epsilon \chi \bar{\omega}$.

 There is something of bitterness in thus characterising the partisans of Zeus, as those who had the entrée of his court. Prometheus (says Plato in the Protagoras)
was not permitted to enter the abode of Zeus after stealing the fire.
115. $\pi a ̂ \nu ~ \phi \circ \beta \in \rho \delta{ }^{2}$. Because he foreknows the approach of the dreaded vulture: hence his alarm at the rustling of wings. Cf. Ajac. 229, ợ $\mu o t$, фовỗ $\mu a \iota$ $\tau \delta \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \frac{1}{\rho} \rho \pi \sigma \nu$. The introduction of the Ocean nymphs is a beautiful conception, and finely carried out. Their language throughout breathes the purest virtue, modesty, and beneficence. Their character, as ministers of mercy and consolation, was obviously designed as a contrast to the unbending obstinacy of Prometheus, just as a skilful painter brings out a dark foreground by contrast with a light sky.
116. $\mu \eta \delta \in \nu \quad \phi \circ \beta \eta \theta \hat{\eta} s$. The metre is the same as Suppl. 518, a choriambus preceded by an iambic dipodia. Hermann arranges these verses as Ionic a minore, and so Dindorf in his Metres of Aeschylus. V. 137 begins with a superfluous syllable (anacrusis), as Suppl. 520, тeגєiб́тatoy кра́тоs ö $\lambda \beta \iota \in \mathrm{Z} \in \hat{v}$.
117. тарєเтov̀ $\alpha$. This is Homeric, as

|  <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\delta \imath \hat{\eta} \xi \in \nu$ ä้ $\tau \tau \rho \omega \nu \mu \nu \chi \grave{\partial} \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \delta^{\prime} \underset{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \xi \in{ }^{\prime} \mu \circ v$ $\tau \alpha ̀ \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \pi \iota \nu$ aí $\hat{\omega}$. | 135 |
|  aiaî aiâ̂, |  |
|  <br>  | 140 |
|  $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ ' \Omega \kappa \in \alpha \nu \sigma \hat{v}, \delta \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \theta \eta \tau^{\prime}$, '̇ $\sigma i \delta \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta$ ' oit $\varphi \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \pi \rho о \sigma \pi о \rho \pi a \tau o ̀ s$ |  |
|  <br>  | 145 |
| $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega, \Pi \rho о \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{v}, \phi \circ \beta \epsilon \rho \frac{a ̀ ~}{} \delta^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  |
| $\pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \xi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \eta s \delta \alpha \kappa \rho v \mathcal{\omega} \omega$, <br>  | 150 |
|  |  |
|  |  |


 Many verbs of this kind bear the sense of 'talking over to one's side,' i. e. persuading, consoling, \&c. So map $\quad$ ropeiv, 664. 1022. $-\mu$ б $\gamma \mathbf{i s}$, because the maidens ought not, in strict Greek propriety, to pay such a visit, even on the plea of charity. Hence the difficulty in obtaining their father's consent.
134. кти́mov à $\chi \dot{\omega}$ रáגußos. 'The reverberation of the hammering of iron,' viz. the noise of Vulcan's hammer, 'penetrated to the depth of our cave' (see 309), ' and drove away my sedate modesty.' The contest between maiden bashfulness and curiosity is happily described. Hermann derives $\theta \in \mu \in \rho \hat{\omega} \pi \iota s$ from $\theta \in ́ \mu о s$, 'position,' whence $\theta_{\epsilon \mu} \epsilon_{\theta} \lambda_{i l}$ and $\theta_{\in} \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha$, , Od. ix. 486. Hesych. $\theta \in \mu \in \rho \eta \quad \beta \in \beta a l a, \sigma \in \mu \nu \eta$,
 195.
 for ' in haste,' which Blomfield well illustrates in his Glossary.- ${ }^{2} \chi \psi$, probably a real aerial car, краıтуббитоу $\theta$ âкоу inf. 287. By some mechanical contrivance
the chorus are made to hover in the air on a level with the head of Prometheus till desired (280) to alight and hear his history at leisure. On the latter passage the Schol. Med. remarks $\beta$ obлєтаı $\sigma \tau \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma a!$

140. толитє́кขо⿱. Hes. Theog. 337
 таvíqupoi ' $\Omega$ кeavìval. Plutarch, Symposiac. V. Quaest. x. §4, каl $\gamma$ àp aùrdy

 фаіцoűty.
142. peúuait. This may point to an early knowledge of the great North Atlantic current imparted by Phoenieian navigators. See Herod. ii. 21 ; iv. 8. Plato, Phaed. § 61. ${ }^{\text { }}$ Hom. Il. xviii. 607.
146. óxhow. An Homeric use; Od. . vii. 211, à $\chi$ є́oyтas àztóv.
152. taî $\delta$ '. Most MSS. have taîs à $\delta a \mu \alpha \nu \tau o \delta \in ́ t o s s$, but $\delta '$ is added in the Med. by the original hand. The confusion between toîs and toĩ $\delta \mathrm{E}$, \&c. is perpetual; see Monk on Hippol. 402. 1391. Inf. 242.

ע́́o兀 $\gamma$ à $\rho$ oiakovó $\mu$ o七

$Z \epsilon$ ùs à $\theta$ є́ $\tau \omega \mathrm{s} \kappa \rho a \tau \cup ́ \nu \epsilon$ ，



Tápтаро⿱ $\hat{\eta} \kappa \in \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu о i ̂$ à $\lambda \lambda$ úrous
 $\mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \tau \iota s \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ os $\tau о \stackrel{\imath}{ } \sigma \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ ．


$\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu,{ }_{\circ}^{\circ} \tau \omega \tau \alpha{ }^{2}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \pi \tau \chi \alpha \rho \hat{\eta} ;$

156．${ }^{2} \theta \in ́ \tau \omega s$ ．The MSS．have ${ }^{2} \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \mu \omega s$ ， but Bentley restored the true reading from Hesychius，ả àéras ḋ่ $\theta \in ́ \sigma \mu \omega s$ ，aủ
 $\Delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \eta$ ．See a probable instance of a similar gloss having crept into the text， inf． 254.

157．тд̀ $\pi \rho l \nu \pi \in \lambda \omega \dot{\rho} i \alpha$. ＇All that was anciently great he is now doing away．＇ Schol．Med．roùs Tıtâvas ral roùs roútwy $\nu$ $\delta \mu o v s$ ．The word may be called Ho－ meric，but we have $\pi \in \lambda \dot{\omega} \rho i o \nu ~ \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu a$ Ar．
 41．The verse well expresses contempt for the spirit of progress which has come over the celestials under the new dynasty．It is remarkable that the chorus as well as Vulcan（v．35）join in the dis－ like to the new sovereignty．

161．The MSS．give $\dot{\omega} s \mu \eta \pi \pi \sigma \tau \in ~ \theta \in d s$ ， and as à $\lambda \lambda o s$ is added by a later hand in the Med．，Hermann conjectures is $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$－
 of $\tau$ ts has a parallel in Suppl．57．－For $\dot{e} \pi \pi \in \gamma \eta \theta \in \iota$ ，which Dindorf reads with the Med．，Hermann，Blomfield．and others prefer $\epsilon^{2} \gamma \in \gamma \nmid \theta \in \ell$ ，on the ground that $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \eta \theta$ a rather than $\gamma \eta \theta$＇́c is the Attic usage．We find indeed $\gamma \eta \theta 0 \hat{v} \sigma \eta \phi \rho \epsilon \nu l$ in Cho．759， but in a passage not free from suspicion． Though ${ }^{2} \pi l$ adds much to the sense（cf． $\left.{ }_{z}^{2} \pi i \chi a l p e l y\right)$ it may have been added by a．
grammarian or transcriber，and then ${ }^{2} \pi$－ $\in \gamma \in \gamma \hbar \theta \in l$ would have been cut down to ${ }^{2} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \eta \theta \in L$ ．On the construction of the indicative，see inf． 766.

163．кívv $\gamma \mu \alpha$ ．We have the form $\kappa l-$ $\nu \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \omega$ in Cho．188．Compare alv $\gamma \mu \alpha$ and aivíбनouai in connection with aivéw．The word seems to have signified a moveable image suspended so as to turn with the wind（oscillum）．Hence Schol．Med．ex－
 ${ }_{\text {à }}^{6}$ fpa．－The MSS．give $\delta$ тdias．Elmsley is perhaps right in omitting an article which is at least unnecessary．

168．Hermann gives $\delta$（ $\chi$ a yoûv évds， from two MSS．which have $\delta \ell_{\chi \alpha} \gamma^{3}$ evds， though in these the vulgate is given as a various reading．The chief reason for the change lies in the antistrophe 188，where see the note．

169．The reading of this verse is very doubtful．The MSS．give $\theta e ́ \mu \in \nu o s$, which does not suit the antistrophe，and was therefore altered by Pauw．The present participle is well suited to the sense， though $\theta \in \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ עóo is the Homeric ex－ pression，and $\delta \in ́ \delta \iota a$ yá $\rho$ is very plausibly corrected to $\delta \delta$ é $\delta i \alpha \delta^{2}$ in 189 by Porson， $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ and $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ being constantly interchanged． So one MS．gives Zè̀s $\gamma$ à $\rho$ for Z $\in \dot{\nu} s \delta^{\prime}$ in 410．There is a farther difficulty in ar $\quad$ vajurov，which is written by a late

סá $\mu \nu a \tau a l ~ o v ̉ p a \nu i a \nu ~$<br>170<br> <br> <br>  $\sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \tau \rho о \nu \tau \iota \mu \alpha{ }_{s} \tau^{\prime}$ ä $\pi о \sigma v \lambda a ̂ \tau a \iota$.  <br>  $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \chi^{\alpha \lambda \alpha}{ }^{\alpha} \sigma \eta, \pi o \iota \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} s \tau \in \tau i \nu \in \iota \nu$ $\tau \eta \bar{\sigma} \delta^{\prime}$ aiкías è $\theta \in \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \eta$.<br>XO.<br>$\sigma \grave{u} \mu$ è̀ $\theta \rho a \sigma u ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi ı к \rho a i ̄ s ~$<br>

hand in the Med., and does not accurately correspond with the metre of 189 . Hence Hermann reads $ఓ \sigma \tau \rho a \phi \hat{\eta}$. Such corrections however assume a contested point, that not the smallest syllabic deviation is ever allowable in the choral metres of Aeschylus. Compare Theb. 344 with 358, and ib. 559 with 623. Suppl. 570 with 577. For these and similar sentiments some have expressed surprise that the poet should have escaped a $\gamma \rho a \phi$ 市 a $\sigma \in \beta \in$ las at Athens. On this subject see Grote, Hist. of Greece, i. p. 514. The open infidelity of Euripides and the equally undisguised ridicule of Aristophanes shew that the Areopagus sometimes found it convenient to be asleep. But Aeschylus cannot fairly be charged with favouring such opinions. On the contrary, the general tone and moral of this play tend to shew the omnipotence of Zeus. The expressions here uttered are but the puny murmurs of dissatisfied subjects.
174. $\bar{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$. These particles often imply threat or defiance, as inf. 928. 'I can tell him that he will yet want me, \&c. Wakefield proposed aikı $\zeta \delta \mu \in \nu o s$, from one of the later Scholiasts, who has кaito aiki $\delta \delta \mu \in \nu 6 s \quad \mu \mathrm{f}$, and elsewhere the verb is
used transitively, as 203. 235. 264. On три́тavis see Suppl. 365.-тd עéà $\beta$ oú$\lambda \in \nu \mu$, i. e. the marriage with Thetis; cf. inf. 786. The notion of plot or plan is to be attributed to the fears of Zeus in asking for the information.
179. oйтє Porson, Herm., Blomf., by a probable correction; for oठัтol, the reading of the Med. and others, was likely to arise from the error of shortening । before $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \lambda$. Hermann adds, "opponi inter se preces et minae debebant."
183. тоıдд̀s тivetv. Schol. Med. тои̂то

 $\Delta i \alpha$. It is not enough for the haughty rebel to be liberated. He will have satisfaction for the wrong, or Zeus shall be the sufferer in the end.
 with ed. Turn. Hermann retains $\eta_{\rho} \notin \neq \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ with the MSS. See sup. 168; inf. 435. The omission of the augment in a chorus presents no difficulty, especially in a poet who affects an epic style. We have unquestioned examples in ráллоутo, Suppl.
 411.

#  $\pi \hat{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \frac{\pi}{\partial} \nu \omega$ 

 $\kappa \in ́ \alpha \rho$
à $\pi a \rho a ́ \mu \nu \theta_{o \nu}$ é $\chi \in \iota$ Kpóvov $\pi a i ̂ s$.


[ 0 "̈ $\omega$, ] $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa о \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$












 shore you are to reach before you see the end of these troubles.' See sup. 100.

 xvii. 75), ' not to be reached, or come over,' i. e. obstinate, inexorable.
194. $\pi \alpha p^{9}$ € $\alpha u \tau \bar{\varphi}{ }^{\text {E }} \chi \chi \omega \nu$. This notion of keeping justice all to oneself seems derived from the heroic times, when the kings were bound to dispense it impartially to their subjects. Blomfield compares Eur.

 Zens, inf. 411, are opposed to kolvol, those for the public weal.
196. otw. This word, so appropriate to the context, is omitted by most exitics; though the later Schol. found it, and ex-
 would have suited the metre as well, and is the usual Attic word; but oitw is epic, and found even in Lysistr. 1256, so that it is by no means without authority. Hermann suspects that it is a corruption of
olf, comparing 929, and that some verses have been lost, on the ground that this system probably corresponded with the preceding 174 seqq. This question, how far anapaestic verses interposed in choruses fall under the rule of antistrophic correspondence, still requires investigation. See on Ag. 1430. Inf. 1112.
197. тavit $\eta$, i. e. as described in 178.
 is epic. Hom. Hymn. ad Merc. 52l,
 тクть.
205. кal $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon เ \nu$. Cf. 660, каl $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o v \sigma^{3}$ ai $\sigma \chi$ úvopa. Supra, l06. 'These things are painful to me even to speak of, but then it is pain also to conceal them.'
208. $\sigma \tau \dot{d} \sigma t s-o \hat{e} \mu \dot{y} \nu$. Compare Cho.


 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu d . \zeta \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. So Virgil, Eccl. vii. I6, ' Et certamen erat, Corydon cum Thyrside, magnum.' The Scholiasts needlessly take this verse $\delta$ ı̀ $\mu$ f́夭ov, or as
 ©́s Zє̀̀s $\dot{\alpha} \nu a ́ \sigma \sigma o \iota ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu$, oí $\delta \epsilon ̀ \tau o v ̋ \mu \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu$

 Tıгâvas, Oủpavô̂ $\tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ X \theta o \nu o ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu a, ~$ oủк $\eta^{\prime} \delta \nu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ aifúnas $\delta \in ̀ \mu \eta \chi a \nu a ̀ s$ $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ картєроîs фрорй $\mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$








interfering with the construction סaluoyes




213. Ttтã $u a s$. Prometheus himself was called Tıт $\dot{2}$, Phoen. 1122, and his mother Themis Titavis, inf. 893 ; but unless we identify Themis and Earth, these Titans were not strictly his brethren, but only of kindred race, Titanum soboles, socia nostri sanguinis, in the fragment translated by Cicero, Tusc. ii. 10, $\xi$ yoo $\mu \mathrm{ai}$ Moyes inf. 418. Elsewhere, as Eum. 2, Aeschylus distinguibhes @émes and 「aía, and it does not seem necessary to confound them in the following passage, which may be understood thus:- Both my mother Themis, and also her predecessor in the oracular seat, Earth (who is called by many other names, as Rhea, Demeter, Cybele, \&c.), had foretold me how the event was to be brought about.' It may be objected, that if distinct persons were meant, the verb should have been in the plural. But this is not always the case, the verb in fact referring only to one of the nominatives. Hermann attributes some weight to the argument of Schütz, that as Prometheus does not seem to speak of the Titans as his own brothers, he cannot have meant that there was but one and the same parent
of them all ; but he observes that in 1112 he certainly addresses the earth as $\%$ $\mu \eta \tau \rho \delta s{ }^{2} \mu \hat{\eta} S \sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \beta a s$, and thinks that here the poet is inconsistent with his own mythology in other places. Perhaps the explanation of this confusion, if such it is, may be found in the union of the later polytheism with the older elementworship, which was then in a transition state.

 craft was the purport of his 'advice for the best' (212), not so much his own suggestion as derived from oracular assurance.

 in Soph. frag. 537; but there can be no question that the alteration was rightly made.-For $\dot{\text { ute }} \rho \sigma \chi$ б $\nu \tau \alpha s$ the MSS. give йтє $¢$ ย́ $\chi$ оעтas, some inferior copies having $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \in \sigma \chi \chi^{6} \nu \tau a s$. The correction is Porson's. Compare $\dot{\mu} \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \chi \grave{\omega} \nu$ ö $\lambda \beta o \nu$ Pers. 70̄, and see Monk on Hipp. 1362. The meaning of the aorist is 'those who should have prevailed' (qui vicissent). Hermann reads ยँ $\pi \in \rho \tau$ €́pous, which he defends by the ingenious but perilous argument elsewhere adduced (see on 254), that viméptepos is often explained by the gloss $\delta_{\pi}$ ере́ $\chi \propto \nu$. Yet he introduces a needless complexity

224. $\tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \nu \tau \delta \tau \epsilon$. Not, 'the best of present circumstances' (Schol. re-
 'of the schemes which then occurred to me.' So the Greeks often say $\pi a \rho \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta$ $\mu 0 \iota$ тồto. The two meanings however are closely connected; the former is the better translation in Ag. 1020, Є̈ $\pi o v, ~ \tau \alpha ̀$

225. Most MSS. give троб $\lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau$, which Scholefield defends, and so I formerly edited. But the reading was likely to proceed from a grammarian ignorant of the usual idiom, by which the participle should rather agree with the accusative understood as the subject of the infinitive. Besides, $\pi \rho o \sigma \lambda a \beta \in \hat{\imath} v$ means rather 'to take as a partner' than 'to admit to one's counsels,' though in either case the oracular aid of Themis is meant. The point of the whole narrative is, that Prometheus at first sided with the Titans against the new Jovian dynasty ; but when they would not listen to the only counsel which he knew would ensure success, namely, the employment of cunning instead of open force, on which they proudly relied, he went over to the opposite faction, resolving, like a god of forethought
as he was, to be with the winning party at all events.
228. кад $\dot{\prime} \pi \tau \epsilon$. Il. xiv. 203, $\delta_{\tau \epsilon} \tau \epsilon$

 i. e. the Titans.
231. тoเvaîs. Some inferior copies have tipais, which Hermann admits,

 has $\pi \eta \nu \alpha i ̂$. See on Ag. 672.-For $\epsilon \xi-$
 from two MSS.
 triotic stage-sentiments which the recent dominion of the Pisistratidae rendered of obvious and popular application.
234. \& $\delta^{\delta}$ o ${ }^{\hat{\nu} \nu}$ दे $\rho \omega \tau \hat{\alpha} \tau^{\prime}$ : 'However, to revert to your question,' i. e. in 202.
238. $\delta \iota \in \sigma \tau o \iota \chi!\zeta \epsilon \tau 0$. Hesych. $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \tau i \theta \epsilon \tau 0}$


 Similarly é $\sigma \tau o$ í $\chi$ เ $\sigma a, 492$, but the true sense both of the imperfect and of the middle voice must here be enforced, suum ipse imperium sibi constituere incipiebat. Probably the idea was taken from Hes.



 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \circ \iota \tau \circ \prec \hat{\imath} \sigma \delta \epsilon \pi \eta \mu \circ \nu a \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \pi \tau о \mu \alpha \iota$, $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ả̉ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu$ ，оiкк $\rho \alpha \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu \delta^{\prime} i \delta \epsilon i \nu$.



XO．$\sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha ̉ \kappa \pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho a s ~ \epsilon i \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \varsigma$, ő $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma, \Pi \rho о \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{v}, \sigma o \imath ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ ov̉ $\xi_{v \nu a \sigma \chi} \alpha \lambda \hat{a}$


IIP．каì $\mu \eta ̀ \nu$ фí入ots ẻ̉ $\lambda \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ́ \sigma o \rho a ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega ́ . ~$


 rıuds．

242．toîtv．So Hermann with the MSS．Others give тỗ $i \delta^{\prime}$ ，and it appears to have been the original reading in the Med．See on 152．Though Aeschylus rarely uses the article in the strict Home－ ric sense for oîtos，there are at least two undoubted examples，Suppl．352，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} p$ oủ סeîtai mó入ıs，and ib．1031，ชัтı тоь
 also Eum．323．In other places it stands for the oblique cases of aùrds，＇him，＇ ＇it，＇\＆c．

243．${ }^{\{ } \xi \in \lambda \nu \sigma d \mu \eta \nu$ ．This is the reading of the Med．and other MSS．，and I was wrong in preferring ${ }_{\epsilon} \xi \in \rho \rho \cup \sigma \alpha \not \mu \eta \nu$ in ed．1， not only as having less authority，but because analogy rather requires e $\xi \in \rho \rho v_{-}$ $\sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ ，though I collected many examples of the single $\delta$ in similar words，to which add II．xiii．544．Od．xii．105．Soph． frag．25．Buttmann（see Lexil．in v．） suspects that we should even write $\epsilon^{2} p$－ pua $\alpha^{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$ ，since Homer shortens the
 $\dot{\beta} v \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu$. So also Theb． 153 291．The quantity of the $u$ however seems doubt－ ful；we find $\delta \nu \quad \theta a v e i v ~ \epsilon ̇ p \rho \nu \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu$ Alcest． 11 ，and elsewhere $\hat{\rho} \bar{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha L$ ．But ${ }_{\xi}^{\xi} \in \lambda \tau \sigma \alpha$－ $\mu \eta \nu$ seems free from all objection；the middle voice being often used in this verb where we should rather have ex－ pected the active．See Suppl．1051．Eum． 166．Androm．818．Oed．Tyr． 1003.

Ajac．531．Hes．Theog．528．Od．x． 286. －In тồ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ two constructions are mized， тठे $\mu \bar{\eta}$ ，for $む \sigma \tau \in \mu \bar{\eta}$ ，and $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu 0 \lambda \epsilon i \hat{v}$ aù－ rov́s．The $\mu \eta$ is added in the latter case from the notion of prevention and pro－ hibition in $\mathfrak{\varepsilon}_{\xi} \xi \lambda v \sigma d \mu \eta \nu$ ．Similarly Herod．
 Compare 256.
247．тovitov tuxeiv．This is the doc－ trine of the ${ }^{\kappa} \rho \alpha \nu 0 s_{z}$ or meeting with the like favour from those we have obliged． See on Theb．472．We might therefore correct tav่rov̂ with some probability．
248．$\nu \eta \lambda \epsilon \omega \bar{s}$ ．The MSS．have d d $\nu \eta \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega}$ ， perhaps the original form，but Aeschylus seems to prefer the Homeric word．See sup．42．Cho． 234.
 ＇Yes，but it is only to friends，not to Zeus（248），that I seem deserving of compassion．＇He thus shows that what the chorus has said is no real consolation． －$\frac{2}{2} \lambda \epsilon \nu \nu \delta$ is Porson＇s correction for ${ }^{2} \lambda \epsilon-$ eเvos．Hermann rearls oint poss，on the principle already pointed out（221）that ${ }^{2} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu \delta s$ superseded it as the established gloss on that word．Thus Hesychius has
 civós．It is certain that the most obvious and useless interpretations have occasion－ ally crept into the text．Thus in Ag．

 so in Prom． 979 many copies have tupar－


255．$\mu$＇h $\pi 0 v$ ．＇You don＇t mean to．


















 $\theta \nu \eta \tau o \hat{o} \delta^{\circ}$ ä $\rho \dot{\eta} \gamma \omega \nu$ aủròs $\eta \dot{\nu} \rho o ́ \mu \eta \nu \pi o ́ v o v s$.
say you went yet beyond this (i. e. 243)? -Yes, I stopped mortals from looking forward to their fate.' Hermann corrects $\theta \nu \eta \tau 0 \neq s \gamma \in \pi a u ́ \sigma a s$.
258. In $\tau \nu \phi \lambda \grave{\alpha} s$ he of course alludes
 for hope is a characteristic of man alone. It has been well observed that "instinet is ignorant that it knows, and reason knows that it is ignorant; but spirituality does more,--it hopes." It has been generally assumed that there is here an allusion to Pandora (Hes. Theog. 570. Opp. 60 seqq.) ; but there is no similarity whatever between the woman so called, sent by Zeus as a punishment to mortals, and who let loose upon the world all the evils of humanity, leaving hope alone, and the gift of Prometheus, who confers hope as one of his benefits to man, even before his gift of fire. Hermann thinks Plato had this passage in view, when he makes Zeus say to Prometheus (Gorgias,

 By $\pi \rho \rho \delta \in \rho \kappa \in \sigma \theta a l$ we must not understand
literally 'to foresee,' i. e. to know on what day they were to die, but, as one of the later Scholiasts explains it, $\pi \rho \delta$
 contemplating it in a desponding light, so as to have no energy for trying to improve the present condition.
260. 'z $\gamma \omega$. The pronoun is by no means redundant, the sense being, 'it was I who gave them fire.'
271. è $\lambda a \phi \rho d y$, \& $\sigma \sigma \tau t s$. ' 'Tis easy for one who -.' The proverb is given in Bek-
 $\tau \partial \nu \varkappa \kappa \kappa \hat{s} \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \delta \tau a$. The proud contempt as well as the obstinacy of Prometheus is admirably drawn. He admits that he sinned, knowingly and in defiance, but pleads that it was in a good cause. He is a martyr to benevolence and philanthropy. And smarting under a sense of injustice, he adds that he had not expected this! Thus the superior power of Zeus is made to appear. He cannot crush his spirit; but he can make him feel, and confess that he feels.


 каíтог $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu$ ѐे $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau a ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \dot{v} \rho \in \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ä $\chi \eta$, $\pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \beta a ̂ \sigma a l ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi \rho o \sigma \in \rho \pi o v i \sigma a s ~ \tau u ́ \chi \alpha$,
 $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \mu o \iota, \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon, \sigma \nu \mu \pi \sigma \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$



$\tau о \hat{\imath} \tau o, \Pi \rho \circ \mu \eta \theta \in \hat{v}$.

 $\pi$ о́ $о \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ої $\omega \nu \omega ิ \nu$, öкрьо́́ $\sigma \sigma \eta$



## תKEANOS.

279. кaitol. The MSS. have kal $\mu$ ot. The reading of Rob. is admitted by Hermann, and the context is entirely in favour of it.
280. סič TÉ̇خous. He intends, as Hermann observes, to tell them all about his destined deliverer, though in 531 he avows his resolution not to reveal what Zeus most desires to know.
281. т ouvtá тou. ' 'Tiş thus that -.' See on Suppl. 396. Hermann edits rav̂rd́

 $\sigma i \omega \nu \delta \delta \nu a \mu \nu \nu-\dot{\omega} \mu\{\lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon$. The meaning is, ' You may some day have to suffer yourselves.' Hence there is an emphasis on $\tau \hat{\varphi}$





282. סo入i $\chi \hat{\eta}$ s. The abode of Ocean was in the far west, for the early Greeks knew absolutely nothing of what they
conceived to be the eastern shore of the great circumambient stream. Hence Ovid, who copies every thing Greek (Fast. $\nabla$. 233), makes Juno rest in the Islands of the Blest (the Canary islands) in her visit to Oceanus. The scene of Prometheus' sufferings was in a part of Scythia lying above the Pontus; and Euripides (Hippol. 3) speaks of those who "dwell within the Pontus and the Atlantic limits" as the inhabitants of the whole world in the direction of latitude. See also ib. 1056, and Herc. Fur. 234. Inf. 425.—Tépua $\kappa \in \lambda \in \dot{U} \theta o u$ is in point of construction for $\kappa_{\epsilon} \lambda \in v \theta o \nu$, the notion of completing the journey naturally suggesting $\tau \in ́ \rho \mu \alpha$.
283. т $\dot{1} \delta \delta^{\prime}$ oiwyd. ${ }^{2}$. It was a beast rather than a bird ( $\tau \in \tau \rho a \sigma \kappa \in \lambda \frac{\eta}{s}, 403$ ), 一 a winged monster like the $\gamma p u \pi \dot{\alpha} \in \tau o t$ and
 lighted, and which, as the discovery of the Assyrian sculptures suggests, may have been derived, through the Persians, from the east. "Grotesque mixtures of the
$\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \quad \sigma \tau \rho \mu i \omega \nu$ ä $\alpha \epsilon \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu$.
$\tau a i ̂ s ~ \sigma a i s ~ \delta \grave{c} \tau u ́ \chi a l s, \not ้ \sigma \theta l, \sigma v \nu a \lambda \gamma \hat{\omega}$.







 фídos évтì $\beta \in \beta$ aıóтє pós $\sigma o l$.
$\Pi Р$. ${ }^{\epsilon} a, \tau i ́ \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a ; \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma \grave{v} \delta \grave{\eta} \pi o ́ v \omega \nu, \epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$




 סє́pкоv $\theta$ Є́a $\alpha$, тóv $\delta є ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Delta i o ̀ s ~ ф i ́ \lambda o v, ~$
 oìaıs vंm’ aùrov̂ $\pi \eta \mu о \nu a i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ к а ́ \mu \pi \tau о \mu \alpha \iota . ~$
$\Omega K$. о $\rho \hat{\omega}, ~ \Pi \rho о \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{v}$, каі $\pi \alpha \rho a \iota \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma a l ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ ~ \sigma о \iota ~$
bird and the quadruped" have also been recently discovered in Phoenician tombs at Cumae- - $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$, the mere will of the rider. It is vain to speculate on the machinery by which such effects as a real aerial car (287) and a cumbrous hobbyhorse could have been deposited on the stage from above: As the Greek theatre was wholly without roof, we must conceive à sort of crane ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \delta \eta$ or ${ }^{2} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu \alpha$ ) strong enough and lofty enough to hoist these supernatural visitants quickly and noiselessly over the heads of the actors.
284. $\xi_{v \gamma \gamma}{ }^{2} \nu$ és. See on 39. The connexion alluded to in 570 would not con-

285. $\nu \in(\mu \alpha \mu$. For the construction see Ag. 603. Cho. 164. The phrase seems to have arisen from the custom of awarding a larger share of the feast or the prizes taken in war to the chiefs in the beroic times. See II. xii. 311. xxiv. 626. Od. xiv. 448. xv. 140. xx. 280. Thuc. iii. 3. Herod. vi. 57, $\delta \leqslant \pi \lambda \nmid \sigma t \alpha$
 $\lambda o l \sigma \iota$ dalv $\mu \dot{\partial} \nu \in \sigma$. Hence there is no real ground for the ingenious guess of


286. $\phi \in \rho \in$ fáa. As a proof of readiness to serve him beyond mere words, he desires to know how he can help him. Thus $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \in \varepsilon \nu$ is opposed to $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \in \nu \mathrm{im}$ plied in xapıтоүл $\omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon i \nu$. See Suppl. 509.
287. kal où ठ̀̀. 'So you too have come to gaze at my tortures.' The character is admirably sustained. Prometheus wants no one to console him; he treats the fine words of Ocean with cold contempt, and only asks him ironically how he has had the courage to attempt so long a journey.
288. $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \sigma \omega \nu \kappa a l$ 立 $u \nu \alpha \sigma \chi a \lambda \omega ิ \nu$. 'Or can it be that you have come not merely idly to gaze at, but also to condole with, my misfortunes? See then a sight,' \&c. The MSS. and edd. vary between $\#$ and $\bar{n}$.
$\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \alpha$, каíтєр on $\nu \tau \iota$ тоькí入 $\omega$.

 $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \tau \rho a \chi \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o u s$







$\gamma \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s, \Pi \rho о \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{v}, \tau a ̀ \pi i ́ \chi \in \varphi \rho a \quad \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha a i$.








289. $\gamma$ ' $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon ~ \sigma a \nu \tau \delta v$. This is clearly one of the wise men's saws, $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \theta, \sigma \in a v \tau \delta \nu$,
 ar $\gamma \dot{4} \oint_{E \in L D}$ Suppl. 1046, and inf. 909, where see the note. $-\mu \epsilon \theta$ d $\rho \mu \sigma \sigma \alpha$, , ' adapt to yourself new ways;' so Alcest. 1157, $\nu \hat{u} \nu \quad$ خàp
 к al, к.т.ג., i. e. your old fashioned ideas do not suit the new dynasty, which makes light of your fancied deserts (230), and exacts absolute obedience. The character of Ocean is that of a prudent adviser, who without servile fear on his own part inculcates submission to the supreme power as the wisest course. He does not impeach the justice of Zeus, but reguards him as a stern and absolute ruler (332), against whose omnipotent will it is mere folly to contend.
290. piles. See on Suppl. 478.Td $\chi^{\prime}$ ha $\kappa \lambda \dot{\prime} 0$. This passage seems to be ridiculed by Aristophanes, who makes Prometheus in the Birds (15C8) hold up an umbrella that Zeus may not see him nor hear his treasonable conversation.
291. Tל̀ $\nu \hat{v} \nu \chi \bar{\chi}\langle 0 \nu$, the present wrath
of Zeus. $\mu \delta \chi \theta \omega \nu$ mu $\delta$ icon $\nu$ must be taken together, ' a mere mockery of suffering.' The later Scholiast explains $\chi$ б $\lambda \frac{0 \nu}{\mu \delta} \chi^{\theta}{ }^{\nu \nu}$

292. 'ápxaīa, 'stale,' 'old-fashioned.'
 1357. 1469, $\omega$ 's ar $\rho \chi$ ais $\in \bar{l}$. The proverb alluded to is expressed in other words

 word ' $\mathrm{e} \pi \ell_{\chi} \in!\rho a$, where ' $\mathrm{e} \pi l$ gives the sense of reciprocity, as in $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \imath \gamma a \mu i \alpha, \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \mu a x i a$, and many other words, see New Cratylus, p. 223.
293. тipds кéyтрa. So Ag. 1602, тр申ोs
 The phrase is borrowed from an ox that kicks against the goad, and is worse wounded for the resistance.
294. $\lambda a \beta p o \sigma \tau<\mu \epsilon t$. 'Do not go on talking intemperately.' A few copies give $\lambda \alpha u p \sigma \sigma \tau \delta \mu \in$, by a common confusion between $v$ and $\beta$. So $\lambda a \dot{\prime} p \varphi$ for
 ib. 377 and Eam. 434. e vi $\delta \delta \mu \psi$ for ${ }^{\text {s }} 8 \delta \delta \mu \varphi$ The. 271.


 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \grave{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \epsilon \tau о \lambda \mu \eta \kappa \grave{\omega} s \epsilon_{\mu} \mu \circ$ í.











295. тробт ißetal. The expressionseems in its origin to belong rather to comedy, in reference to the punishment of slaves, as Equit. 5, $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\alpha} s$ d̀ $\epsilon l \pi{ }^{2} \rho o \sigma-$ $\tau \rho!$ हетa, тоі̂s oinétous. In Ag. 386, $\pi \rho \delta \sigma$ $\tau \rho \mu \mu \alpha$, though used, with an allusion to the 'wear and tear' of metal, and corresponding to our term 'detriment,' can hardly be independent of this sense of тробтр! $\beta_{\varepsilon \sigma \theta a t .}$ In later writers only it was employed in a good sense, as Dem.
 етріЧато тоїs кєктŋие́voıs.
338: $\zeta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma^{\prime}$. This is said in irony: 'fine talk, for one who has escaped all blame,' or 'lucky that you are,' \&c.$\pi \dot{d} \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \chi \dot{\omega} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. This seems to mean that Ocean was implicated in the faults Prometheus had committed; yet in 242 the latter boasts that he stood alone in thwarting Zeus. Hence we must rather understand, 'in having made common cause with me.' Schol. Med.

 $\delta i^{\prime} \hat{\omega} v$ бuv $\lambda \lambda \gamma \eta \sigma a s$, 'having shown your toleration of my doings by your sympathy.' The construction itself implies that кal $\tau \epsilon \tau о \lambda \mu \eta \kappa \omega \bar{s}$ is only an equivalent to $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta \rho \omega \hat{s}$. Not that instances are wanting of a word so inserted as to interrupt the regular syntax, as Antig.
 tias.
296. $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \in$ бol $\mu \in \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{d} \tau \omega$. This use is rather rare, and is perhaps confined to the third person singular of the aorist. Cf. Theb. 1030, $\mu \grave{\text { й }}$ ठокпба́тш $\tau ı \nu$. Ajac.
 $\theta \in ́ \tau \omega \sigma \in \mu \neq \pi \pi \theta^{\prime}, \dot{\omega} s$, к.т. $\lambda$.
297. aủt $\partial s \mu_{\eta}^{\prime} \tau \iota \pi \eta \mu \alpha \nu \theta \hat{\eta} s$. There is a certain degree of irony in this. His: ansiety lest Ocean should come to harm on his account is only so far sincere, that he is too proud to seek any consolation in others suffering in common with himself. The same cold refusal is repeated in 348, and the tone of the whole passage is not that of friendly concern but of isolated endurance. In this sense we must also understand 382 and 398.
298. $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \bar{\omega} s \mu^{3}$ Blomf., Dind., from the two Cambridge MSS.
 Qupias. The phrase must not be taken to imply hearty thanks; the meaning is quite the reverse: 'you are very good, but pray don't trouble yourself.' In fact, $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \alpha a \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ meant, with the Attic writers, 'no, thank you,' and was chiefly used in formal or ceremonious acknowledgement of something which was declined. So





299. $\partial \phi \in \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$ द $\mu \mathrm{O}$. So with a dative







 $\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \epsilon \hat{t}$ ．Antig．560．Ar．Av．420．－For $\theta$ é $\lambda e t s$ Hermann has $\theta$ é $\lambda o t s$ with two or three MSS．，understanding novinceis for


353．Here，as in Suppl．181，all the MSS．give Elvera，which the editors change to oúveka．Properly speaking，



 See the note on the former passage，and compare ib．629．－$\tau \nu \chi$ є 1 ，accidere，Pers． 702．Ag．623．Suppl．785．

355．In the MSS．this part of the speech is wrongly assigned to Oceanus． Elmsley first detected the error，and the editors have generally followed him．Atlas was the к $\alpha \sigma$ i $\gamma \nu \eta$ тos not of Ocean but of Prometheus，Hes．Theog．509．Exam－ ples of ov่ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ ėा $\pi \in l$ in continuous narra－ tive are quoted by Dindorf from Oed． Col．435．Alcest．557．Heracl． 507 ；see also Hec．36i7．Hippol．1062．The argu－ ments，that Prometheus rather than Ocean would speak of the severity of Zeus，and also that the former，as foreknowing every thing，is the fitter person to predict the eruption of Aetna，are not in themselves cogent；for Ocean might have quoted an instance of heavy punishment simply as a warning，and also，as a god，may be supposed to have been not less prescient than Prometheus．It is true also that the mention of $\dot{v} \psi$ ir ора ко $\kappa \pi d \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ in 368，which seems to imply a reproach to himself，appears less adapted to Prome－ theus．Nevertheless the latter part of the speech（v．381）is clearly addressed by Prometheus to Ocean，and that too in terms which imply no change in the dialogue．In the present passage ov̀ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{*}$ $\boldsymbol{\ell} \pi \in l$ asserts his reluctance to see others in suffering，and denies that he takes pleasure in that как⿳亠凶禸 notvavía which was commonly thought a consolation．

This is one of the noble traits in his character，and consistent with his disin－ terested philanthropy．Atlas，it should be remembered，held his post as bearer of the heavens by compulsion，к $\rho a \tau \epsilon p \hat{\eta} s$ $\dot{v} \pi^{3} \dot{a} \nu d \gamma \kappa \eta s$, Hes．Theog．517，and there－ fore as a punishment．See inf．435：
 has shown that the Atlas of the ancient mythology was the great volcano of Tene－ riffe，which rises 12,172 feet above the sea，and usually bas its snow－capped cone enveloped in mist．Phoenician mariners who had seen it in the distance appear to have communicated vague information to the Greeks．The later writers always speak of the Atlas in Mauretania；＇quan－ to sublimior Atlas Omnibus in Libya sit montibus，＇Juv．xi．25．Indeed，as early as the time of Herodotus Atlas was be－ lieved to be one of the chain in the N．w． of Africa，which he says（iv：184）is so high that the peak cannot be seen，and that the clonds never leave it，so that the natives call it klova тoû oủpavoû．So



 тє́фикє．The description is physically true；but the height is less than Tene－ riffe，being about 11,400 feet．There is a splendid description of this Atlas in Virg．Aen．iv，246－251．
357．$\kappa$ Kov＇．Here we notice a departure from the earliest tradition，in which Atlas is said by Homer Exetu rlovas aujds
 ${ }^{6}$＇̌ovaty，Od．i．53，i．e．to have in his custody the pillars which keep heaven and earth apart，－a task implying vigilance without personal exertion．But Hesiod， who rightly places Atlas near the gardens of the Hesperides，that is，in the Canary islands（Strabo，iii．p．150，ad fin．），re－ presents him as actually holding up the





 $\sigma \mu \epsilon \rho \delta \nu a i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \gamma a \mu \phi \eta \lambda a i ̂ \sigma i ~ \sigma v \rho i ́ ̧ \omega \nu$ фóvov．


 chylus seems to combine or confound these two distinct ideas，for he makes him stand erect supporting on his shoulders the Homeric pillar，which is thus ázoos oivk єủdरкка入ov，a burden in which the arms cannot be employed，but a crushing pressure upon the back．

359．$\gamma \eta \gamma \in \nu \hat{\eta}$ ．He was sprung from the earth；Hes．Thecg．820．Both here and in 945 Aeschylus had Pindar in view．

 Also Ol．iv．11，and Pyth．viii．20，where he is éкатоүкрауоs and е́катоүкєфе́ляаs． The form of this compound given in the text is somewhat uncertain．The MSS． have éкатоитакdpqvov，but $a$ is super－ scribed in the Med．，and the Attic writers preferred the sound $\rho \alpha$ ，to $\rho \eta$ ，as in $\rho q^{\circ} \delta$ os for $\bar{p} \eta$ tioios，©pdiclos for ©p pare карайбт．̀̀ $\rho$ Eum．177，карароиิтаı Cho． 519 692．Hesychius also preserves the orthography éкатоүка́pavol．Hesiod however has $\pi \in \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \eta \nu o \nu$ ，Theog． 312，and Porson here read étatoүнdipquov， which Herm．and Schoemann prefer．



 ésatpo $\mu \in \boldsymbol{y} 0$ ，＇＇naturally delighting in the portentous＇）où $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau 0 \lambda o \gamma \in \hat{i} \tau a l ~ t \grave{\alpha} ~ \pi \rho a ̀ \gamma-$ mara．The reason why Typhoeus is called a Cilician，and why some placed Arimi
 783），in the volcanic district of Phrygia （Strabo，lib．xii．ad fin．），others near Sardis，others again in Syria（Strabo，xiii． p．626），is to be found in the violent earthquakes and eruptions which in an－ cient times desolated various parts of Asia Minor．The Cilician cave，Strabo re－ marks，was identified by Callisthenes with the Corycian，near the promontory of Sarpedon（Suppl．848）．The same notion is embodied in the Roman legend of Cacus，
the son of Vulcan，who spit forth flames from his mouth，and like Typhoeus，dwelt in a cave，－that being the nearest repre－ sentative of living subterranean agency．

362．os $\dot{a} \nu \dot{\nu} \sigma \sigma \eta$ ．This verse is well known for the difficulty it has occasioned the critics．The MSS．give 各 $\dot{d} \nu \tau \epsilon \in \tau \eta$ ． To the correction in the text，which is that of Wünderlich，Hermann with some truth objects that the rhythm of the verse is not Aeschylean．He himself reads $\pi a ̃ \sigma t \delta^{\circ}$ à $\nu \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \quad \theta \epsilon o ̂ \hat{s}$ ，comparing Theb． 566．On this use of $\delta \hat{k}$ see inf．410．This emendation is certainly probable；but he inclines to believe some words have been

 rule against the admission of anapaests may perhaps，like most rules，have ad－ mitted of rare exceptions，especially in an earlier play．Yet few critics will be found
 à $\nu \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta$ $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s$, though Schoemann gives the latter in his edition of 1844．Nor would the Homeric elision $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma^{2}$ of find many advocates，though we have $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \sigma^{\prime}$ ， $\chi^{\epsilon} \rho \sigma^{3}, \pi \dot{d} \mu \tau \in \sigma \sigma^{2}, \& c$ ．in the Miad．
363．фбyoy．The Med．and others have $\phi 6 \beta o \nu$ ，which might be compared with Horace＇s＇magnum ille terrorem intulerat Jovi．＇But the words are constantly con－ fused；see on Suppl．492．Theb． 233. The account of Apollodorus，i．6，3，agrees with that of Aeschylus in representing Typho as half man，half serpent；歌 $\delta \dot{k}$





 бै $\mu \mu a \sigma$ ．Hesiod，Theog． 820 seqq．，gives a very fine description of the blasting of the great serpent，with his hundred hissing heads and fire－flashing eyes，in terms which strongly remind us that＂the fall of Satan＂and the rebel angels must have been a vivid tradition of the ancient world． But this poet says nothing of Cilicia，of

365





$\kappa \alpha i ̀ \nu v ̂ \nu$ ả $\chi p \in i ̂ \nu ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi a p a ́ o \rho o \nu ~ \delta e ́ \mu a s ~$ $\kappa \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \omega \pi \sigma \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ o \nu \quad \theta a \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma i ́ o v$



$\pi о \tau \alpha \mu o i ̀ \pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \alpha ́ \pi \tau \tau \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ả $\gamma \rho i ́ a \iota s ~ \gamma \nu a ́ \theta o ı s$




Aetna, nor of the 'lofty boastings.' He



371. àxpễò rà̀ тapáopov, 'helpless and paralysed.' Most copies give $\pi$ aphopov, but the Med. as well as Hesychius recognises the $\alpha$. The word seems borrowed from Il. vii. 156, тодлд̀s $\gamma \alpha \rho$ тıs ёкєєто
 explains by ék é $\overline{\lambda \lambda \nu \mu e ́ v o s . ~ I t ~ i s ~ m o r e ~}$ commonly applied to the side or trace horse, $\sigma \in t \rho a \phi 0$ óos, which is as it were suspended along the others. See Il. xvi. 152. 341. 471. xxiii. 603. Compare $\pi \epsilon \delta$ d́opos for $\mu \in \tau$ fiopos $=\mu \in \tau$ éwpos, Cho. 581.
372. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \omega \pi о \hat{v}$. Homer had called this strait $\sigma \tau \in \nu \omega \pi d$ s, Od. xii. 234. So also Strabo, vi. p. 257. Apollodor. i. 6. 3, fin.





373. imoúuevos. Pindar describes Aetna as ІІтоע $\mathfrak{\eta} \nu \in \mu б \in \sigma \sigma a \nu$ еккатоүкєфє́да Тифw̄yos, Ol. iv. 10. (ff. Ar. Equit. 924, imov́cevos tais єígфopaîs. Herod. ii. 94 has the compound $\mathfrak{a} \nu \iota \pi o \hat{v} \sigma \mathrm{~L}$, 'squeeze out.' It is probable that the true meaning is 'to destroy by squeezing or crushing the
head ' (like our word nip); whence ? inos came to mean that part of a trap which falls on the mouse. According to Pindar, whom Aeschylus follows, only the head and breast of Typhoeus were under Aetna, his feet extending to the isle of Prochyta of Cumae. See Strabo, v. p. 248. Others, as Ovid, Met. v. 346, laid Sicily upon his extended body.
374. корифаîs èv árpaus. This must be distinguished from the legend which represented the interior of Aetna or Stromboli as the workshop of the Cyclopes, so finely described Aen. viii. 416 seqq. Here Vulcan sits on the summit, and produces those strange ringing noises which have frequently attracted the attention of modern observers, and which Humboldt attributes to the fracture of masses of obsidian deep in the bowels of the earth.
375. $\pi 0 \tau \epsilon$. The event had in fact happened just before the poet wrote. Thucydides, iii. 16, describing the third recorded eruption of Aetna r.c. 425, and speaking in round numbers, adds, 'it is said this eruption took place in the fiftieth year after the preceding one.' This would give в.c. 475 for that here alluded to; but the true date is $\mathrm{Ol} .75,2$, or b.c. 474 .
377. 入evpoús. So the Med. rightly gives for $\lambda \in u \rho d s$. It is well ascertained that the nominative was $\delta \gamma{ }^{0} \eta \mathrm{~s}$, not $\hat{\eta} \gamma^{u} \eta$.











Indeed this is clear from Eur. Heracl.
 the note on $\lambda \in u \rho \partial{ }^{2} \alpha \lambda \pi \sigma$ Suppl. 502.
379. àm^d́тov. So Blomif., Dind., with Schütz for $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \tau \sigma v$, which Hermann retains, remarking cur corrigatur quod caret vitio?' But the transeribers were in the habit of confounding these forms (see on 735), and Pindar, whose description Aeschylus seems to have had in view,
 danger of approaching the crater in an eruption naturally suggested itself. The later Scholiast explains äno $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} \tau o v$, because volcanic fires are ineztinguishable.
 compliance, which you know how to. adopt, though I do not. See on 342.-

 uncertainty about this reading, though foumd in all the MSS., and decidedly supported by Cicero's well-known version of the passage, Tusc. iii. 31, 'atqui, Prometheu, te hoc tenere existimo, Mederi posse rationem (fort. orationem) iracundiae.' Stobaeus also, xx. 13, quotes
 iarpol is probably the true reading. This there can be no doubt that $\dot{o} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ is an ancient variant, if not the genuine word. But Plutarch, Eustathius, and Isocrates (p. 167) read $\psi \nu \chi \hat{\eta} s$ for $\hat{o} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s$, and as the latter word may very well have been a gloss for $\psi \nu \chi \hat{\eta} s$ voooúv $\eta$ s, 'a disordered mind,' Hermann agrees with Blomfield in supposing 'that $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ was the original reading. Euripides had the passage in 'view, but his parody unfortunately proves

 фápuaikoy vóvov, for $\nu$ bofos there means a
physical disorder. In the sense of anger, $\ddot{\partial} p \gamma \eta$ cannot be said $\nu \sigma \sigma \in i v$, since it is in itself a disease; and the sense of temper seems too ambiguous to have been used in a sententious verse. This however is the view taken by Pauw and Wellauer. Dindorf corrects óp $\hat{\eta} s$. Geoúvns, for which he fancies he has some authority in a passage of Themistius (Or. vii. p. 98). The Schol. has nothing explicit in oi $\lambda$ doyot oi $\pi a p a-$
 yovaay ral éтatpopépqy, though this shows that he certainly found obphns, and probably עoбov́aŋs.
388. $\sigma \phi \nu \delta \omega \nu \tau \alpha$. So Hermann from the Med. The common reading is $\sigma \phi \rho \cdot \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \tau a$. The former word is recognised by Hesychius. The metaphor is derived from reducing a swelling by softening applications and not by violent pressure, which only inflames the sore. See note on 490. Cicero, ut sup. 'Siquid m qui tempestivam medicinam admovens Non ad gravescens volnus illidat manus.' The argument runs thus: 'Don't you know that even an obstinate man may be talked over?-Yes; if you address him prudently, and do not exasperate him.-What then if I should venture to approach Zeus cautiously? Can there be any harm in trying?-You will lose your labour and be thought a fool for your pains.-Well, be it so, as long as I am really wise.-If you do not mind the odious charge of folly, $I$ do.I see it is hopeless to convince you: I shall depart.'
 position of the article so as to comprise both infinitives shows the sense to be 'in being venturesome with due caution,' i. e. in boldness combined with prudence. Similarly Eur. frag. Alcmen. 9, бкatóy $\tau t$

 ..... 390







ПР．тov́тov фu入á $\sigma \sigma o v \mu \eta \eta^{\pi} \pi \tau^{\top} \dot{a} \chi \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon ́ a \rho$ ．
$\Omega K$ ．$\dot{\eta} \sigma \grave{\eta}, ~ П \rho о \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\mathrm{v}}, \xi \nu \mu \phi о \rho a ̀ ~ \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma к а \lambda о s . ~$

 $\lambda \epsilon v \rho o ̀ \nu \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ oì $\mu$ ov aïध́िos $\psi a i \rho \epsilon \iota \pi \tau \epsilon \rho o i ̂ s$
 $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu$ ois év oiкєíoьбı ка́ $\mu \psi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ үóvv． 404

 $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \grave{a ̀ \nu}$
when accompanied by inexperience．＇The Med．and others have тооөupєiбणat，by a very common confusion．

392．$\nu \delta \sigma \omega$ ．Some MSS．have ти́nסє ті̀ $\nu \dot{\nu} \sigma 0 \nu$. Elmsley compares Trach．544，
 $\nu \delta \sigma o s$ meant is of course єù $\theta^{\prime}$ ía，which，as Mr．Blakesley observes on Herod．iii．140， is the reverse of＇knowingness，＇properly the guileless simplicity of a noble and un－ suspecting nature．Schol．Med．Éa $\mu \mathrm{E}$

 $\dot{\alpha} \phi \rho о \nu \in i \nu$ ．The sense rather seems to be this：＇it is best to be thought foolish when one is really wise，＇i．e．there is no harm in the charge when it is ground－ less．

394．$\epsilon^{2} \mu \delta \nu$ бокクбєє．Prometheus fears lest he should be thought foolish for sending Ocean on such a mission，rather than Ocean for undertaking it．Hermann confidently reads $\delta \delta \kappa \kappa \in \epsilon \sigma \dot{v}$ ，understanding the verse very differently，＝

396．$\mu \grave{\eta}$ रáp．＇True，for I fear lest your commiseration for me should bring you into enmity．＇So $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu \nu$ aid $\dot{\omega}$ ， Pers． 695.

398．This verse seems to be ironically
said；and accordingly the answer conveys something of a repartee．It is clear that they part a little ruffled in temper．

402．$\lambda \in u \rho \delta \nu . \quad H e r m a n n ~ h a s ~ \lambda \epsilon u \rho d ̀ y$ from two MSS．，comparing Alcest．838， ó $\theta \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \pi \rho^{\prime}$ oì $\mu о \nu$ ．Like $\tau \rho($ Bos，ol $\mu a s$ is of two genders．See Suppl，1025．－廿aipet， ＇flaps，is said to be a nautical word， applied to sails．Schol．recent．кvpi $\omega \mathrm{s} \delta \bar{\epsilon}$
 $\kappa \iota \nu о \cup \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \lambda \in ́ \gamma \in \tau \alpha u$ ．Hence it is well used of that motion of the wings which shews impatience to start but does not cause progress．Aristoph．，Av．1717，has aupal
 $\sigma \kappa \in \lambda \eta \mathrm{s}$ ，see sup． 294.

403．そ $\sigma \mu \in \nu o s \delta$ t́ $\tau a ̆ \nu$ ．The tou $\partial \nu$ gives a tone of affected indifference，＇I dare say he will be glad enough to rest in his stall at home．＇

405．The chorus opens in the metre Ionic a minore，which passes into trochaic， as in the final ode of the Supplices，with a glyconean verse．The first line has an anacrusis（see 137），and many of the feet are not pure，but $-\smile--$ ，or $-\smile-\smile$ ， for - － ．

406．The MSS．give $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho \nu \sigma$ lotaктоу $\delta$ and $\lambda \in i \beta o \mu \in ́ v a$ ．Hermann has successfully410à $\nu \tau$. $\alpha$. $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda о \sigma \chi \eta ́ \mu \circ \nu a ́ ~ \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \iota \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \hat{\eta}$ * $\delta a \kappa \rho v \chi$ ย́єє $\sigma \tau \in ́ v o v \sigma a$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \sigma \alpha{ }^{2} \nu$
restored this and the antistrophic verse. He compares Antig. 527, $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha \bar{\delta} \in \lambda \phi \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega$ $\delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa v^{\prime}$ elißouévŋn. For the position of $\delta$ è compare Suppl. 9. Most editors follow Heath in omitting $\lambda \in \iota \beta o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a$ and reading Е $\tau \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\xi} \in$ with one MS.
411. à $\mu$ '́ $\gamma \alpha \rho \tau \alpha$, 'sad,' 'unenviable,' Suppl. 63l. Hermann places a stop after $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon$, and so 1 had done in ed. I, with Rob. and one MS., which has Zè̀s $\gamma$ á $\rho$. See 169. This exegetical use of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, which is much like quippe or nempe, occurred in 109 : cf. 362 . Cho. 231, and perhaps 510.
412. aí $\chi$ ád. In Aeschylus the word appears to signify indoles, as Ag. 467, Cho. 619, from àt $\sigma \sigma \omega$, líke $\theta \nu \mu \delta s$ from Qvo, in both the notion of impulse prevailing, according to the natural temperament of the Greeks.
417. $\sigma \tau$ ย́ עovoa. The MSS. vary between this and $\sigma \tau \in ́ \mu o v \sigma$. Hermann acutely perceived that a word has been lost, and hence it follows that the grammarians changed the participle into a verb as a matter of necessity. The preceding epithets well express the grandeur and antiquity of the Saturnian dynasty contrasted with the new reformas of Zeus. On $\xi \nu \nu о \mu a ц \mu \nu \nu \nu$ see 2J3.
420. ётоккои є́боs. A mere periphrasis for ETroula, the colonies of Asia Minor, by which the poet means to express the eastern Greeks, before he proceeds to enumerate $\beta \alpha \dot{p} \beta$ apol. See on Pers. 878. The Schol, notices the anachronism.
423. Ko入 $\chi$ tios. See Suppl. 277.$\mu d \chi$ as is the accusative, like $\delta \in \iota \nu o l$ id $\chi \eta \nu$ Pers. 27. $\phi \circ \beta \in \rho \delta$ s $\beta$ od̀ $\nu$ Suppl. 868.
 graphical meaning of this will appear from the note on 292, Eastward, or rather to the N. E., of the sea of Azov they imagined the great stream of Oceanus would be met.
427. 'Apaßías. This word is generally acknowledged to be corrupt, for Aeschylus could not have been so ignorant of geography as to believe that Arabia extended as far northward as the Caspian sea; and nothing is known of any Scythian people of the same name. Mr. Burges ingeniously suggests 'Aßápı́s i's, from "Apapıs the Hyperborean, whose arrow, which some have supposed to be a compass, is well known from Herod. iv. 36. Still there is nothing recorded of any people so called. Hermann's conjecture, $\Sigma \alpha p \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \hat{\nu}$, is a happy one, for it has at once metre, geography, and the resemblance of letters in its favour. The initial $\Sigma$ having been lost, and the $\mu$ corrupted into $\beta$ (which is not uncommon, as they are written very much alike in many MSS.), the two words would closely approximate. The character of the Sarmatae as a warlike race is borne out by Dionys. Perieg. 652, $\bar{\eta} \tau o L ~ \mu \in ̀ \nu$

 $\theta \lambda \delta \nu$ 'Edvadiov $\gamma$ '́vos "Apeos. Asiatic Sarmatia is now Circassia, possibly by a corruption of the old name.

vífíкр $\eta \mu \nu 0 \nu$ ố $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \mu a$ <br>  <br><br>"AT $\lambda a \nu \theta$ ", ồs aièv<br><br>จủคávıóy $\tau \in \pi$ тódov<br><br>

 which the metre shews must be omitted. Indeed, the Schol. observes $\lambda \in \mathfrak{l} \pi \in \mathrm{f} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ nal.
430. Kauráaou đé入as. Hermann writes $\pi \dot{v} \lambda a s$, shewing from Pliny and other writers that there was a pass called the Caspian or Caucasian gates; and he observes that a 'lofty settlement' ought to be sought for on rather than near Caucasus. Strabo indeed makes frequent mention of the Kdajtial múzal. This correction also seems highly probable, though it is far from necessary. Perhaps in Cho. 719 we should write $\pi 0 \hat{0} \delta \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \tau \in \hat{\imath}$, Kí-

433. In the epodus; as it has hitherto been considered, it is probable that serious corruptions exist. Hermann, by doing some violence to the text, has arranged it into strophe and antistrophe as follows:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mu a u s \in \sigma \in \iota \delta \delta \mu a \nu \quad \theta \in \hat{\omega} y$

> Boầ $\delta \hat{k} \pi$ ávtıos $\kappa \lambda u ́ \delta \omega \nu$
> $\xi \nu \mu \pi i \tau \nu \omega \nu, \sigma \tau \in \nu \in \iota \quad$ Bu $\theta$ d́s,

This is very much better than the arrangement of Dindorf, which introduces at least as much change with little metrical benefit. I have thought it advisable to give the ordinary readings in the text, but with a
caution to the student that they are not the genuine words of Aeschyluss
434. $\dot{\alpha} \delta \alpha \mu a \nu \tau 0 \delta \tilde{\tau} \tau o s s$. This is only found in one MS. The rest have àкаиаито8́fors. There is no mention elsewhere of Atlas being chained; so that the 'adamant' here must be that of Necessity, as in Hor. Od. iii. 24, 6.

4i35. eitiofuav. Compare the omission of the augment in épétrok, sup. 188.
437. This passage, as it stands; can hardly be construed; for few will appreve
 is for $\sigma \theta^{\prime} \nu o s ~ \pi \delta \lambda o v$. As a general rule, such artificial constructions are not Aeschylean. May we read úmepé Xel, i, e. $\dot{a}^{\circ} \nu^{\prime} \chi \in \in$, 'holds up,' or 'sustains his strength in a standing posture?' This would remove all difficulty by the slight chiange of 0 into $\mathcal{\epsilon}$, and the final $\nu$ into $\iota$, but then we may search in vain for an instance of intept $\chi \in \in$ in a similar sense. On the word $\pi \delta \lambda o v$, which means the whole revolving firmament, see Mr. Blakesley's note on Herod. ii. 109،
 a brief expression, as the Schol. Med. remarks, for $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \cdot \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a \gamma \mu о \hat{\imath} \phi \in \hat{\rho} \in!$. There seems no just ground for admitting Her-
 $\hat{a} \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \in \nu d \hat{d} E u$ The mention of his groans is in fact essential to the context, for the poet goes on to say that the elements roar in unison. It seems less appropriate to understand $\xi \nu \mu \pi i \tau \nu \omega \mu$ of sympathy with Prometheus, referring back to 421 . As the neighbouring nations lament for him , so the elements alone condole with Atlas in the remote west. And thus the two cases are completely parallel. There was some fabled connexion too between the
$\xi \nu \mu \pi i ́ t \nu \omega \nu, \sigma \tau \dot{v} \nu \in \iota \beta \nu \not \partial \ddot{s} s$,









 $\begin{aligned} & \text { eds, Oed. Tyr. 177, cf. inf. 825, } \text { ) which }\end{aligned}$ thus re-echoes to the groans of Atlas, but not to those of Prometheus in the east. Hermann, however, as usual, has something to say for himself. It is singular that he forgot to quote in his favour
 He refers however to Hesychins and
 бvע' $\chi \in \iota \nu, \beta a \sigma \tau \alpha\{\in \epsilon \nu$, and he supposes that the reading of Robortello, vimoßaбтá§ $\oint \iota$, was a gloss founded on this explanation. Perhaps the association of ideas, between a roof and the $\sigma \tau \hat{\lambda} \lambda o s$ moíiphs (Ag. 871), which supports it, will bear out this unusual sense.
441. "Aifos. 'The dark recess of the unseen world rumbles underground:' Hesiod has (Scut. Herc. 227) "Aäठos кuvé ${ }^{\prime}$, 'the cap of invisibility.' The omission of $\delta \hat{\text { en }}$, which Dindorf prints after *Ai $\delta$ os, is certainly very plausible. Cf. 429.

 OEdovtov end $\lambda a \beta \rho \sigma \sigma v \tau a s$ inf. 615, 617, where the MSS. as usual double the $\sigma$.
445. $\sigma v v_{0}$ a. Reflection on what he had done and the reward he had met with. Like all proud men, Prometheus dwells indignantly on the sense of unrequited merit. The art of the poet is shown in this, that he powerfully enlists our sympathies with the sufferer, even though a boaster and a blasphemer against Zeus. Humanity sides with the philanthropist, while our sense of jastice condemns the rebel; and humanity prevails in our estimate of the claaracter.
 either $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta \lambda о \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ or $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \in \lambda \sigma \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$, one only having $\pi \rho o \sigma \sigma \in \lambda \lambda o b \mu \in \nu \partial \nu$, whence
 the reading in the text 'mira et inaudita forma,' and Buttmann's explanation of it in the Lexilogis 'perplexa.' That eminent scholar refers it to $\pi \rho \delta$ and ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega$ with the digamma, the aspirate being represented by $\sigma$, as in suavis from ádós. Thus $\pi \rho o \sigma F_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$ would mean proculcare, and by transposing $F$, we have $\pi \rho_{0} F_{\sigma \in \lambda \epsilon i v}$, or apovaє $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \hat{\nu} \nu$. Cómpare $\sigma \dot{\phi} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ and $\sigma \phi e ́ \lambda a s$. The word occurs only in one other passage, Ar Ran. 730, where the
 Wtymol. Mag. in $\pi p \circ \sigma$ ह́ $\lambda \eta$ nol ( p .690 .11 ) recognises тpovaє入єiv, tè úbpífelv. Hermann's long and learned note cannot be epitomised with justice to himself; but his conclusion is that $\sigma \in \in \lambda \in \epsilon \nu$ (found in Eustath. 'p. 1011) is a form of el $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$,
 and the name $\sum^{\prime} \in \AA \lambda o s$, Ar. Vesp. 325, 1243, which he interprets from the context à $\lambda a \zeta \measuredangle \iota \nu$. The primary idea was vibratory motion or rotation, whence that of shooting and boasting (jactare), throwing at, insulting, \&c. easily arose. It is possible that $\pi \rho \circ F \sigma \in \lambda \in i=10$ remained the traditional pronunciatión even when $\pi \rho o \sigma$ $\in \lambda \in \mathrm{ij}$ was the written form ; and if so, this must be added to the many words
 1038, ent申opos ib. 797, which had a metrical power beyond that of their actual letters. See on Theb. 159 483. Pers. 299. The Arcadian word $\pi \rho o \sigma \in \lambda \eta \nu \varepsilon t$, and the $\Sigma \in \dot{\lambda} \lambda o l$ of Dodona (Strab. i. p. 28. Soph. Trach. 1167); render it probable that the root, if not the form itself, is Pelasgic.
 allotted the privileges and prerogatives to each, it was on the suggestion and by the advice of Prometheus.






$\kappa \lambda v ́ o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ои̉к ${ }^{\eta} \kappa о v o \nu \quad$ ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' ỏvєє $\rho a ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 є้фvроข єiкท̂ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$, койтє $\pi \lambda \iota \nu \theta v \phi \epsilon i \varsigma$

 $\mu v ́ \rho \mu \eta \kappa \in s, \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \tau \rho \omega \nu$ ėv $\mu v \chi o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu \eta \lambda i ́ o \iota s . ~$

 $\theta$ épovs $\beta \in \epsilon ́ \beta a i o \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ a ̈ \tau \epsilon \rho ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{a} \nu$


450. $\pi$ h $\mu a \tau a$. The sufferings and inconveniences described 455-65. There is no reason for correcting eíph$\eta a \tau \alpha$, as proposed in Phil. Mus. Cant. i. p. 687.
453. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi \iota \nu$. 'Not with any wish to disparage mankind,' i. e. to represent them as being in a worse plight than they really were. See on Suppl. 10. So $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ -

 $\delta \omega \kappa \alpha$, i. e. \& (not oโs) $\delta \in \delta \dot{\sigma} \kappa a$, 'the good intention of my gifts.' Cf. Thuc. ii. 40, ad fin. $\delta \delta^{2}$ єuvolas $\tilde{\omega} \nu \delta \in \delta \omega \kappa \kappa$, though others

 àкоиิซaı, Cho. 5, $\kappa \lambda \hat{v} \in \iota \nu$ referring to physical, àкobet to the intellectual faculty (audire and auscultare). Hom. Il. xv.

 ท̆коугข.
458. E' ${ }^{\prime}$ ииpov. See on Theb. 48. Plat.
 фúpo. Eur. Suppl. 201, aivē $\delta^{2}$ òs $\grave{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} \nu$
 $\kappa а т є \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \eta \sigma \alpha т о$.
459. тробкi久hous, 'turned to the sun.' Many MSS. have $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ そौious, as just below ahoupot and $\begin{aligned} & \text { eícoupot are confused. See }\end{aligned}$ Photius in v. The word ell $\eta$ had especial
reference to the apricatio or basking in the sun (Suppl. 726), as Ar. Vesp. 771,
 $\eta_{\eta} \lambda t o \nu$. Thus $\delta \delta \mu o t \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma t \lambda o t$ are opposed

460. кат ${ }^{\prime} \rho v \chi$ еs, implying that the caves were artificially made.- ahoupos, 'tiny,' 'light as air,' or 'light enough to be blown away.' The word is from àntns, with the termination $u \rho o s$, as in $\dot{\dot{a}} \lambda \mu \nu \rho \partial s$,
 that it has nothing to do with oupfiv, as some grammarians supposed. Compare
 $\bar{\eta} \omega \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{a}$ anp. (Buttmann, Lexil. in v. ànp, § 8.) Apoll. Rhod. ii. 1002, speaking of



464. Bє́BaLov. On which they could rely with certainty, and beyond mere guesses arising from changes of heat or cold. The improvement in this respect he attributes to astronomy, viz. that rude form of it which commenced with observing the risings and settings of the stars, as the watchman did in Ag. 7.
466. סvoкрітous $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon t s$. Hermann, failing to see the exact force of the epithet, corrects $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon t \mathrm{~s}$. The meaning has
 є่ $\ddagger \eta \hat{v} \rho o \nu$ aủ $\tau o i ̂ s, \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \nu \nu \theta \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$,


 $\theta \nu \eta \tau o i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ ठıáSoх $<\iota \mu о \chi \theta \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$

been happily explained by Mr. Blakesley on Herod. ii. 4, from the difficulty of distinguishing the true from the apparent or heliac setting of a star, owing to its obscuration by the sun's rays.
 sidered the best of all sciences was that of numbers. Euripides copied this passage closely in his Palanedes, as Hermann has pointed out:-

 бофоу

469. $\mu \nu \eta \mu \eta \mathrm{m}$. The arguments of Hermann seem all but conclusive in favour of the genitive, which is Hemsterhuis' correction for $\mu \nu \nsim \mu \eta \nu \theta^{\circ}$. In the first place, the $\theta^{3}$ is added in Med. by a later hand; secondly, Prometheus did not invent the putting together of letters and memory,the latter, so far as it was a technical matter, being ascribed to Simonides,-but he invented the former as a means of recording every event. Thus E'p $\quad$ dan $\mu \nu \nmid \mu \eta s$ is simply 'memoriae effectio,' and $\mu о v \sigma о \mu$ गторa implies that this is done by giving birth to literature. The strict meaning of the phrase is, "the literary handmaid of the memory of all things,' but it is better to render it rather less closely, 'the means of recording all things by the aid of literature.' There is also an allusion to Mnemosyne being popularly called the mother of the Muses. See Hes. Theog. 54. 916. Hom. Hymn. ad Merc. 430. Plutarch, De educandis liberis, § xiii. סıà тoûto $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Movô̂ע


 тє́фикє. Euripides also in the Palamedes elegantly called letters $\lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \eta \bar{\eta}$ фа́ $\rho \mu \alpha \kappa$. Of the Spanish Turdetani Strabo says



$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. At all events, as Hermann adds, we must take $\mu \nu \nmid \mu \eta \nu \dot{\dot{c}} \pi{ }^{\dot{2}}{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ separately in apposition to what precedes, and
 There is another reading $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \gamma d \tau \tau \nu$ or $\epsilon \rho$ रárnข. Hermann distinguishes between ${ }^{2} \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta$ effectio and ép $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} t \mathrm{~s}$ effectrix. The former however was used as an epithet of Athene: see Soph. frag. 724.
471. סov入єvovia. 'Submitting themselves to the collar and to the burden of men's bodies,' i. e. adapted both for draught and for riding. I believe Hermann is right in his view of this passage, which is also that taken by the later
 кal $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \omega \mu a \sigma t v$. The usual punctuation is after $\delta o u \lambda \in \dot{d}$ tinued thus: 'And that by their bodies they might relieve men from their heaviest toils, I brought horses under chariots.' There is nothing absolutely objectionable in this; but in the other case we have the two duties of oxen and mules combined,
 the purpose for which they were so trained. Moreover the term applied to animals for riding was, as Hermann shews from several grammarians, $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \eta \gamma 0 l$ or $\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \eta \gamma o \hat{\nu} \nu-$ tes. See on Suppl. 281. So toîs tà
 i. ad fin. $-\zeta \varepsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \lambda \eta$ was that part of the yoke which encircled the neck. Hom. Il.

 whole machinery of the Homeric yoke is used for draught-ozen without any material change even in England to this day.
473. $\dot{\delta} \phi^{\prime}$ äp $\mu \alpha \tau^{3}$. So one MS. for the vulg. v́ $\phi$ ' $\quad$ a. $\rho \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ '. The sense is, 'beside this, I taught them how to train horses for chariot racing.' The horse was a superfluity,-a luxury and a display at the games rather than a necessity. Schol.
 éart. So Alcibiades was considered extravagant in his immorpoфía, Thucyd. vi.










 oias $\tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu a s \tau \epsilon \kappa$ кai $\pi o ́ \rho o v s$ є $\epsilon \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu$.



15 , and he himself boasts of his seven chariots at the Olympic games in the very next chapter. Herodotus speaks of oinin $\tau \in \theta \rho є т \pi о т \rho \delta \phi o s, ~ v i, ~ 35$, and similarly Demosthenes, p. 1046, immorpóфos à ${ }^{2}$ a0́s
 ral i $\sigma \chi u p d s$ «น. Pausan. iii. 15, 1, Ku-

 All these passages show that in reading about horses in Greek we must discard from our minds those ordinary and varied purposes to which they are now applied.
480. aikès $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu$ '. 'The calamity that has befallen you is a humiliating one: you have gone wrong through an error of judgment, and then, like a bad physician, you despair of finding a cure.' It is the combination of both circumstances that constitutes the airia, or discreditableness of the case. Hermann, not seeing this, calls the punctuation in the text 'pessima ratio,' chiefly because it seems to him to convey a severer reproach to Prometheus than it was either the part or the character of the chorus to administer. But the chorus only means to assent to his own declaration, that having helped others he could not help himself. The blame, if any, was self-imposed. Impressed with the above notion, Hermann omits $\pi \lambda a v \hat{a}$, and reads thus: кakds $\delta^{\circ}$ latpbs ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s} \tau t \mathrm{~s}$ हैs
 which the pointless repetition of kanoiss and rakoss is most objectionable, to say
nothing of the $\check{\iota}$ in laupoेs, which was long in 386 , and generally is so.
487. ойтє Bрdбт
 is the usual idiom. See sup. 458. Theb. 45. Oed. Col. 972. Orest. 46. Troad. 934. The practice of medicine was much in vogue with the Pythagoreans, which will account for the frequent metaphors and similes derived from this subject by Aeschylus. Aelian, Var. Hist. ix. 22,

 the various kinds of remedies in ancient use Blomfield has a good note in the Glossary. The Greeks had their фápuana $\pi \delta т ı \mu a$ or $\pi เ \sigma \tau \grave{a}$, draughts; $\dot{e} \pi i \pi a \sigma \tau a$, powders (externally applied); Bрळ́वヶца, which were taken solid; Ë $\gamma$ xptora, em. brocations, including lotions, \&c.; кaráпла $\pi \tau \alpha$, plaisters, or poultices ; d $\sigma \phi p a \nu \pi \mathrm{~d}$, scents; єૅनфpŋтa, injections. The prom fessors of the healing art were divided into physicians, who prescribed or applied any of the above; surgeons, who used the knife or the cautery, тоцगे and kav̄ats, Ag. 822; and quacks or empirics, who
 мaтa, үonteial, philtres, and amulets, $\pi \in \rho \mathfrak{l a \pi \tau a}$. Hence Ajac. 581, où $\pi \rho d s$
 $\pi \not \pi \mu a t \iota$. Thus in all essential points the modern practitioner may find his. counterpart in the system of the Greeks.
ov̉ रрıбтòv, oữє $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ́ v \cdot ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ фар $\mu \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu$

490
 $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o v s ~ \tau \epsilon \pi 0 \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~ \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \eta ̂ s ~ \in ̇ \sigma \tau o i ́ \chi \iota \sigma \alpha$,


 $\gamma \alpha \mu \psi \omega \nu \dot{\chi} \chi \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\omega \nu \omega \nu \quad \sigma \kappa \epsilon \theta \rho \omega ิ \varsigma$


 є้ $Ө \rho \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \eta \theta \rho a$ каi $\xi v \nu \epsilon \delta \rho i ́ a \iota$.



 with all the MSS. but the Med.
494. $\kappa \lambda \eta \delta \delta v a s$. This seems to comprise all sounds regarded as ominous, from whatever source proceeding. They do not appear to have differed from $\phi \hat{\eta} \mu a L$, of which an example will be found in Od. xx. 100, compared with 120. They included those 'aery tongues that syllable men's names, On sands, and shores, and desert wilderness' (Milton, Comus).
 met on a journey.' Suppl. 496, nal $\xi \cup \mu$ -
 frag. 161. Schol. ad Av. 721, $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \delta \lambda \lambda_{0}$

498. єjiauv ${ }^{\prime} \mu$ ovs $\tau \epsilon$. The ancient Greeks must have observed birds with the face turned to the north. Hom. Il. xii. 238,


 Hence it is clear why these directions were lucky or unlucky, for the west was the abode of darkness and gloom, the east of the sun-god, with which joy and gladness were always associated: There are persons who still believe in the unlucky appearance of magpies, and are seriously uneasy at it, deriving however the omen from the number rather than from the position. The superstitions of mankind
are among the most ancient things in the world.
 quotes Aristot. Hist. An. ix. 1, $\tau$ às $\mathrm{\delta}_{\mathrm{t}}$ -



503. $\chi^{\circ \lambda \lambda \hat{y} s . ~ ' T h e ~ f a v o u r a b l e ~ a p p e a r-~}$ ance of streaks in the gall-bladder and liver.' There is some ambiguity in this verse; the $\tau \in$ seems to be required to connect $\chi 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ and $\lambda a \beta_{0} \hat{v}_{\text {, }}$ and therefore єjuopфía must be taken as exegetical of what immediately precedes:-‘'1 shewed them what colour the entrails should have to please the gods, namely, the streaked appearance,' \&c. The $\lambda \epsilon t$ ót $n s$ meant is the fulness and plumpness of the organs, as opposed to the shrivelled or wrinkled state. See on these and similar signs Cic. de Div. ii. 13. Hermann thinks a line has been lost, because 'fellis color et forma res alienae erant.' But he has no more right to assume this than the lexicographers have to distinguish $\chi$ odh, gall, from रoial, gall-bladder, in the early $^{2}$ Greek of Aeschylus. We may perhaps
 'a well formed lobe,' for the absence of it was a bad omen. Eur. Electr. 826, kal
 de Div. ii. 13, 'caput jecoris ex omni




 $\chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa o ̀ \nu, ~ \sigma i ́ \delta \eta \rho o \nu, ~ a ̈ p \gamma v p o \nu, ~ \chi \rho v \sigma o ́ v ~} \tau \in \tau i ́ s$


 $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \iota \tau \in ́ \chi \nu a \iota ~ \beta \rho о т о i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ П \rho о \mu \eta \theta \epsilon ́ \omega s . ~$




ПР. oủ таv̂та таúтŋ Moîpá $\pi \omega ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \phi o ́ \rho o s$ $\kappa \rho a ̂ \nu \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \rho \omega \tau \alpha \iota, \mu v \rho i ́ a \iota s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi \eta \mu o v a i ̂ s$
parte diligentissime considerant; si vero id non est inventum, nihil putant accidere potuisse tristics.' It is clear however that the chief point lies in rookl $\lambda \eta \nu$, on account of $\chi$ poiáv.
504. крібŋ $\sigma v \gamma \kappa a \lambda v \pi \tau \alpha$ d. Cf. Antig.
 The practice is well-known from Homer. There is an allusion to the fraud practised by Prometheus in distributing the flesh and bones of an ox, Hes. Theog. 535-41.- $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \dot{a} \nu$ ò $\sigma \phi \grave{v} \nu$, 'the long chine.' Aristot. Hist. An. i. 15, ப்токáтш кат̀̀
 word he fancifully derives from ioopuès in § 13. Hermann observes that $\mu$ ankò is used because the chine of the sacrifice included the tail ; and indeed this is clear from the description so fully given in Ar. Pac. 1053, \&c.-тє́ $\chi \nu \eta \nu$, i. e. т $\grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ द $\mu \pi<\dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$.
507. $\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi} \mathrm{\xi}^{2} \mu \mu d \tau \omega \sigma a$. ' I made clear.' The word is properly applied to the removal of


 $\tau \omega \tau a t \mathrm{kal} \lambda \in \lambda d^{\prime} \mu \pi \rho \nu \nu \tau a t \mathrm{~K} \delta \mathrm{pas}$, which the Schol. says is from the Phineus of Sophocles. See Suppl. 463. Cho. 839, where the simple form occurs. But in Eur. Oedip. frag. ii. it means' to deprive
of sight.'-флоү由тц̀ $\sigma \not\langle\mu a \tau \alpha$ are signs derived from the pointed or cleft appearance of the flame. See Phoen. 1255.
514. èк Проиךө'́cs. This is of course an allegory implying that all arts sprung from $\pi \rho \rho \mu \eta \theta$ ia, the necessity of forecasting and providing for the increasing requirements of civilised life.
515. каıрой $\pi \in \in \rho a$, trans finem, Hor. Od. i. 8, 12, 'beyond the mark,' ultra scopum. The contrary is $\pi \rho \delta$ ralpoû, before, or short of the mark, Ag. 335. This, if not the original, must have been a very early sense of raupos. Hence $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ тà кalpıa, 'to speak to the point,' Theb. 1, ти $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\alpha}{ }^{d \nu є \iota \nu ~ к а ı \rho o v ̂, ~ S o p h . ~ E l . ~ 31, ~}$ and many similar expressions. The other meanings are easily deduced from this; 1. кaliptos, 'fatal,' hitting the part aimed at. 2. the point of time, opportunity. 3. moderation, like $\mu \in ́ \tau \rho o \nu$, Suppl. 1045, because any thing wide of the mark is extravagant or excessive.
519. où $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta$. Ar. Equit. 843,
 Пoбeiठw. See also Eur. Med. 365.$\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \omega \tau a t$ is here used personally, of which I know no other example. So d̀pkâ for а̉ркєî $\mu 0 \iota$, inf. 639, そоиса $\mu d \tau \eta \nu$ ह́рєiv, 1028, and such phrases as סikatos ei тоєєі, \& \&.






XO. $\tau i ́ \gamma a ̀ \rho \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \rho \omega \tau a \iota ~ Z \eta \nu \grave{\imath}, \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \in \grave{̀} \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon i \nu$;


 $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu \epsilon i v$, ảd入à $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda v \pi \tau \epsilon \neq s$


X0.
$\mu \eta \delta \alpha ́ \mu \mu^{\prime}$ ó $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$
$\sigma \tau \rho . \alpha$.
 53.6
 ßou申óvoוs $\pi \alpha \rho$ ' ' $\Omega \kappa \epsilon \alpha \nu о \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma \tau о \nu ~ \pi o ́ \rho o \nu, ~$
523. Tis oiv. If, as you say, there is a power superior to and capable of overcoming these strong bonds, who is it that will call such power into action, and so ensure your delivery? The reply is, Fate; the same fate already mentioned in 519. Now it was evident, that if this Fate brought about a release, it must be against the will of Zeus; and hence the question is logically put by the chorus (525), "Is then Zeus inferior to and under the control of Fate?'
 give the same force as $\tau \nmid \nu \gamma \in \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu$, for Prometheus evades a question to which a direct affirmative answer would have offended the simple piety of the chorus. He alludes to the marriage with Thetis, inf. 783. This doctrine of fatalism is ridiculed by Lucian in the Zeis T $\rho a \gamma \varphi \delta \delta \delta$, where Zeus says to Hercules, $\mu \in \mu \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \kappa a s$,

 Pausanias describes a statue of Zeus in the Olympieum at Megara with the Fates ahove his head, which he interprets as
 $\pi \in\{\theta \in \sigma \theta \alpha$.
 from his own conjecture, subsequently
confirmed by one of the inferior MSS. Most of them give ovik tे $\nu \pi \dot{\delta} \theta o 10$, but the Med. has oúcòy oiv, where oûv is a mere metrical insertion. Several good copies have ov̉кé $\tau^{\prime} \not \partial \nu$, which is in itself unobjectionable. This use of oviкє́ть, not strictly applying to time, is not uncommon, ' up to this point but no further.' Cf. Suppl. 295. Od. xii. 213. Il. v. 662. It is singular that nearly the same varieties in the reading occur also inf. 635.
529. गे गov. The chorus are very anxious to know the secret, and in order to elicit it they rejoin, 'No doubt it is something of solemn import you thus wrap up in mystery;' which is tantamount to saying, 'You certainly ought to tell us, if it may be told.'
532. $\tau \delta \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \omega \oint \varsigma \nu$. 'It is by keeping this secret that,' \&c. See supra. 174-8.
 $\lambda \hat{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l \mu \in \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \dot{v} s$ т $0 \hat{0} \delta \in \sigma \mu \hat{v}$.
536. 'Never may the all-ruling Zeus set his authority in opposition to my will.' That is, ' may my duty and my inclination ever coincide; may the one never clash with the other, so that I may be tempted to disobey like Prometheus.'
538. This verse does not agree with the antistrophe. When I conjectured (ad-


 ả $\delta \dot{v} \tau \iota \theta a \rho \sigma a \lambda$ éaıs $\quad$ à $\nu$. á.

 коне́vа
 $Z \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha$ रà ${ }^{\rho}$ oủ $\tau \rho о \mu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$

$\theta \nu \alpha \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̈ \gamma \alpha \nu, ~ \Pi \rho о \mu \eta \theta \hat{v}$.

denda to ed. 1) that the words marpos $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \beta \in \sigma \tau \pi \nu$ should be omitted, and that we
 aware that Porson had quoted those very words from Hesychius, though in a gloss which does not certainly refer to this passage. It is evident that something is redundant in this place or wanting in that. Hermann decides in favour of a lacuna in 550, and so Dindorf prints it.
 err by presumptuous language against Zeus,' There was nothing the Greeks regarded with more superstitious awe than the utterance of either proud words against the gods or boastful words about their own good luck. (See Suppl. 1044. Theb. 437. Pers. 823.) Those silent ministers of divine vengeance, N $\operatorname{f} \mu \in \sigma \iota s$ and $\Phi \theta \delta \dot{\nu} o s$, were ever within hearing. The timid piety of the chorus is aroused to these reflections by the preceding conversation. All their well-meant efforts to make Prometheus relent, and reveal the dread secret to Zeus, have been as vain as those before made by their father, and afterwards (785), though for a different object, by Io. He has "sinned in words" by declaring to the chorus (180) that he never will obey Zeus. This is indeed his prevailing fault, غं $\lambda \in v \theta \in \rho о \sigma \tau о \mu \in i ้ \nu(187)$ and $\lambda \alpha \beta \rho о \sigma \tau о \mu \in i \nu$ (335).
542. The MSS. give $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu 0 t \tau \delta^{\prime}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu o l$, which is again at variance with the antistrophe. I have adopted Hermann's emendation rather than Dindorf's aù $\delta \nu \varphi$ in 55z. Not only are tofe and touto continually confused, but the latter is better suited to both sense and metre, for the wish
relates to, what has just been expressed. See on Suppl. 314, though the rule is not invariable, as inf. 565. Pers. 59. 331. Suppl. 968. There was also a temptation for metrists to patch up a trochaic verse by changing $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$ into á à $\lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} . ~ D i n d o r f$ indeed proposes to place a comma at $\epsilon^{e} \kappa$ такein, that $\tau \delta \delta \in$ may, as usual, refer to the following sentiment; but this is a manifest perversion of the entire passage.
 is something striking in this description of the happiness resulting from the satisfaction of a good conscience. The application of the sentiment to Prometheus is obvious. Obedience suggests the hope of a reward, or at least removes the fear of punishment. A sense of security produces cheerfulness and contentment. The spectacle of a rebel tortured without the prospect of release induces them to avow their unconditional submission to the supreme will. The true object of all punishment, viz. to deter others, is realised, and a moral is thus deduced which was not ill adapted to an Athenian audience.
550. $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \nu a t \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \nu . \quad$ Hermann supposes some adverb to have dropped out signifying 'crudeliter vel immisericorditer.'
555. фє́p' 8tas. The meaning of this verse is obscure. Perbaps from the following єinè we may supply iסoù (okó$\pi \eta \sigma o \nu$ Schol. Med.), 'see now how thankless was the favour you conferred, and say where and what assistance there is,' $i$. e. to be obtained from mortals. G. Burges conjectures $\dot{\omega} \phi \in ́ \lambda \epsilon i$, i. e. $\phi \in ́ \rho^{3}$ єimè ötcos $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon ́ \lambda \in i \quad \sigma \epsilon$, 'say how it benefitted you.' This seems by no means improbable, for



 560 đà̀ $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ a ́ p \mu o \nu i ́ a \nu ~$




 ย̌ $\delta \nu 0$ os

570

$1 \Omega$.
$\tau i ́ s \gamma \hat{\eta} ; ~ \tau i ́ \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu 0 s ; ~ \tau i \nu a ~ \phi \hat{\omega} \lambda \epsilon u ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$
when once corrupted to $\begin{gathered}\text { © } \\ \phi \\ \text { inc } \\ \text { the gram- }\end{gathered}$ marians would have written $\bar{\omega}$ фínos, though rather an unusual form of address, to avoid the hiatus. We have however $\bar{\omega}$ фínos in Iph. Taur. 830. For ${ }^{\alpha}$ 人apıs xdpts see Ag. 1522. Cho. 38.
557. obdicoópaviav. Aristophanes has
 applied to mortals in a passage which seems to be parodied from this, Av. 686.
b58. I $\sigma \delta \nu \in t \rho o \nu$. The first syllable is made-long as in lot $\mu o t \rho o \nu$ Cho. 311,
 after the epic use.-After $\bar{\partial} \lambda a \partial \nu$ Hermann inserts $\delta \in \tilde{\text { ® }} \in \tau \alpha L$, and reads oйт $\omega$ for oйтотє. Both corrections' I had anticipated, except that I proposed oû̃ws, comparing
 $\in \xi \in \lambda \theta \in i v$, and this appears better, because $\pi \alpha \rho \in \xi$ दूa $\iota$ is future, not past. Cf. Antig.

 or decree of Zeus; Schol. Med. тì $\nu$

566. $\tau \delta$ dıa $\mu \phi \delta$ oov. Hermann says the
 $\hat{v}_{\mu \in \nu a i o u v, ~ к . \tau . \lambda, ~ a n d ~ c h a n g e d ~ t h e ~ c o n-~}^{\text {a }}$
 Similarly Schol. recent. $\tau \delta \tau \delta \delta \epsilon \kappa a l \tau \delta$
 be unnecessary and unusual. Some allowance may perhaps be made for metre ; cf. Pers. 638 . Hesychius, quoting from
this passage, explains $\vec{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda \hat{1} o \nu, \delta \iota a \pi a \nu \tau \delta s$ $k \in \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \in \dot{\nu} \circ \nu$, and so Schol. Med.
568. kal 入é Xos. Hermann, who denies that iobvecpov in 560 can have the © long, reads $\lambda^{\prime} \in \chi$ as eis oóv. And certainly the verse begins more appropriately with an anapaest, like the others. Hermann also refers $\dot{u} \mu$ evaioun (wherein $\bar{v}$ is long by the augment) to $\dot{j} \mu \in \nu a t \in \epsilon$, not $\dot{v} \mu \in \nu a i \delta \omega$. Photius however expressly says $\dot{u} \mu \in \nu \alpha, o u v$,





 'individuality,' s isolation,' from idss, unus, II. vi. 422, just as éeratı is the dative of eras, and thus arose the idea of action apart from others and independent, referring to or exerted by one alone. See New Cratylus, p. 351 (ed. 1). Others attribute to it the primary sense of motion or impulse (iéval):
'569. $\delta \mu о \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho เ o \nu$. The word seems to imply, 'of the same father but a different mother.' Nothing definite is recorded of her parentage, if we except the statement
 'నкєaขov̂ fal T $\eta$ Өíos, which makes her own sister to the Chorus; cf. 140-3.
572. The episode of Io's wanderings is so far connected with the story of Pro-

#  <br>  <br> тоьขàs ỏ入є́кєь ; $\sigma \eta \eta_{\mu} \eta \nu 0 \nu$ öтоь <br> $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S} \dot{\eta} \mu о \gamma \epsilon \rho \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta \mu a \iota$. <br>  <br> Хрíєı $\tau \iota \varsigma$ av̉ $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \nu$ oî $\sigma \tau \rho o s$.  ${ }_{a}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon v^{\prime}, \hat{a} \hat{a} \delta \hat{a} \cdot \phi o \beta o v \hat{\mu} \mu \iota$ 

metheus, that it is by one of her descendants that he is to be liberated (inf. 793), besides the general propriety of her condolence (632) as having been wronged by Zeus equally with Prometheus. But in other respects it cannot be regarded as having any thing directly to do with either the moral or the plot of the play. It will be observed that Io makes no attempt to convert Prometheus. Her generally selfish speeches and inquiries form a contrast to the devoted friendship and heroic companionship (1088) of the Ocean Nymphs. As a dramatis persona, she serves at once to employ the $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \eta \pi \lambda \in i \omega \nu$ of Prometheus, to draw out his foibles by her conversation, and to illustrate his prophetic and omuiscient gifts.
575. тo«vás. So the Med., the common reading being molvais. The accusative inay be defended in two ways: either because ó入є́кe\& involves the notion of rívets, as Hermann suggests, or in apposition to the sentence, as Ag. 218. 1392. Eur. Hel. 77, $\mathfrak{a} \pi \delta \lambda \alpha \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ єikov̂s є̈ $\theta a \nu \in s$ ta $\nu$ $\Delta i \delta s$ ко́р $\eta$. Herc. Fur. 58, $\tilde{\eta}^{\circ} \delta \nu \sigma \pi \rho \alpha \xi i a$,

 For ofol, the reading of the Med. and other MSS., most editors prefer of $\pi \eta$. The words are commonly interchanged: but it is hard to find fault with the sense, 'tell me to what land I have wandered.'
578. $\chi$ piti, 'stings me.' Cf. 693. 899. Io is represented as a crazed person, fearing the unreal and imaginary apparition of Argus, whom it would have been difficult to bring bodily on the stage. On the question whether she is a cow with a human head or merely a horned woman, see Suppl. 567. The matter is not very easy to determine. The notion of the gadfly, the $\sigma \kappa i \rho \tau \eta \mu \alpha$ inf. 693, the emaфض of Zeus, and the herdsman Argus, all point to something more monstrous than simply the latter, while it is evident that
her long speeches could only have proceeded from a human mouth. Apollodorus, ii. 1, 3, distinctly describes her as a cow, and so also Moschus, Id. ii. 45. As for Argus, he is an equally mysterious being. Some made him the son of Agenor, some of Inachus; but Acusilaus, (says Apollodorus, ibid.) called him $\gamma \eta$ $\gamma \in \nu \hat{\eta}$. He is $\pi a \hat{i} \delta \alpha \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ Suppl. 300. There can be little doubt that he symbolises the peacock, a bird little known to the early Greeks, but reputed sacred to Juno (Pausan. ii. 17, 6); indeed, Ovid recognises the identity, Met. ii. 533. Probably an Indian picture of a cow and a peacock had been seen by Phoenician traders, who thus reported it to the Greeks. For the story of Io was generally connected with Phoenicia: see Eur. Phoen. 257. It was a natural transition to represent the manyeyed and watchful companion of the cow as a real herdsman, and even to give him a pipe (inf. 590). The journey of Io through • Scythia into Europe from the East, her visit to Dodona, and her connexion with the Argive Hera, prove that in part at least the legend records the migration of the Pelasgi. The visit to Egypt seems a later addition.
 earth!' Being the son of earth, the latter is implored not to suffer him to rise again from beneath. Compare Suppl. 866, $\mu \hat{\alpha}$ $\Gamma \hat{\alpha}, \beta o d \nu \nu 0 \beta \in \dot{p} \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} . \pi o ́ \tau \rho \in \pi \epsilon$. There seems to be no good reason for altering the text. We have $\hat{\alpha} \mathrm{Z} \eta \nu$ in Suppl. 152, and all the MSS. as well as the Etymol. Mag. (p. 60. 8 , in $\vee$. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon v a ́ \delta a)$ recognise the reading. Hermann however omits $\bar{\alpha}$ with Blomfield, and makes a senarius of 579-80; he also excludes $\phi 0 \beta o \hat{v} \mu \alpha t$ as 'a gloss, and regards $\epsilon i \sigma o \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha a$ as depending on $\chi \rho l \in i \mu^{2}$ oil $\sigma \tau \rho o s$, as if the poet had used oi $\sigma \tau \rho 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \alpha$. This he considers to be well suited to Io's wildness ; but we may be allowed to prefer the simple text of the MSS.


 à $\lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \nu$







$\pi \eta \mu$ обv́vaıs ；є́ $\eta$ ，


 Bорàv，
$\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \circ \iota \phi \theta о \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma, \mathrm{s}$
$\epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu,{ }^{2} \nu \alpha \xi$ ．
äठ̈ $\eta \nu \mu \epsilon \pi \circ \lambda \dot{\lambda} \pi \lambda \alpha \nu 0 \iota \pi \lambda a ́ v \alpha \iota$


585．$\kappa \nu \nu \eta \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon t$（without accent）Med． nuvayei Hermann．Brunck restored the Doricism，and it is doubtful if we should not admit $\gamma \hat{a} s \stackrel{\circ}{\alpha} \mu \sigma \gamma \in \rho d{ }_{c}$ in 576 from two or three MSS．

586．廿ápuay．So the Med．，a form that occurs in Lysistr． 1261 and Herod． iv．181，where Mr．Blakesley suspects it to have been a Dorian peculiarity．

589．$\kappa \eta \rho \delta \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau 05$ ．Stuck together with wax into the form of a panpipe．

591．$\overline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \mathrm{o}$ ．The MSS．vary in this passage between $\pi \hat{\omega}$ and $\pi \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath}$ several times repeated．Hermann is undoubtedly right in objecting to $\pi 0 \hat{\iota}, \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \pi \Delta \iota, \pi 0 \hat{\imath} \mu^{\prime}, 8 \mathrm{sc}$ ．as ＇valde inelegans．＇－$\tau \eta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda a \nu o t$ ．So Her－ mann and most editors after Elmsley for $\tau \eta \lambda \epsilon \pi \pi \lambda a \gamma \kappa \tau o u$ ．There is the same variety in 601，and the latter reading necessitates the questionable lengthening of $\alpha$ before $\pi \rho$ in 612 ．There is an example of this in $\tau \iota \nu \bar{a}$ a $\pi \rho \dot{\text { vota }}$ Cho．596，but in a suspicious passage．Cf．inf． 677.
592．The metre of this verse is paeonic， as in Eum． 316 and 335 seqq．－$\tau!$ belongs
to $\dot{\alpha} \mu a p \tau o \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$ ，＇having caught me erring in what respect？＇The next verse is bacchiac，succeeded by a dochmiac．I have ventured to omit the unnecessary $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} y$ after $\dot{a} \mu a p r o z i \sigma a \nu$, on account of the anti－ strophic verse，where Hermann supposes something to have been lost．

594．$\pi \eta \mu 0 \sigma$ vols．This is Hermann＇s correction，admitted by Dindorf，for $\pi \eta$－ $\mu o \nu a i \sigma t \nu$ ，and it seems safer than the ground which I formerly took in defence of the vulgate，that фо七тaлéocぃıy in 616 was pronounced with a double $\lambda$ ，like Alb $\bar{\lambda} \lambda o v$ for Al $\bar{b} \lambda o v$ in Od．x．36．60．For this at best，though I believe the prin－ ciple to be sound（see on 446），gives an unmetrical verse．

596．тара́котоу，＇crazed，＇＇maddened．＇
597．$\pi v \rho$ t́ $\mu \in \phi \lambda \in \xi=\nu$ ．The $\mu \in$ was in－ serted by Elmsley．

602．$\% \pi \alpha$ ．Hermann has $8 \pi \pi \eta$ with most MSS．There is，as usual，a variant $8 \pi o u$ ， which is quite defensible．See on 575. 656.

$\kappa \lambda v \in \iota s$ ф $\theta$ '́ $\gamma \mu a$ тâs $\beta$ ov́кє $\rho \omega$ тap $\theta \in ́ v o v$;














$\hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a ́ \mu o \iota \tau о \rho \omega \hat{s}$
$\tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \mu \eta \rho о \nu$ ö $\tau \iota \mu^{\prime}$ є่ $\pi \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$

$\delta \epsilon i \hat{\xi} \circ \nu, \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \pi \in \rho$ oî $\sigma \theta$.




604. This verse is assigned to the chorus in the MSS., by an error which Elmsley first detected
607. $\delta \rho \delta \mu о \nu s \quad \gamma \nu \mu \nu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha i$. So Ar. Nub.
 vets : ©pópous. Trach. 1045, бuрфорàs olas otos ©y énaúvetai. Prometheus, by at once telling her story and parentage, gives a proof of his omniscience which astonishes Io.
 with the best MSS. The verse as it now stands is made up of a cretic, a trochaic dipodia, and a dochmiac.
618. "Hpas. This word is wanting in the MSS., and was restored by Hermann from the Schol. Med. тoîs $\pi \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\text {"t Hpas. }}$ This brief note however, so far from proving that the grammarian read "Hpas
in the text, seems to shew the very contrary. He was probably right in adding the explanation; but the word had been lost before his time. Translate; 'And I have come rushing violently with famished and unseemly (i. e. not human) bound, subdued by the crafty wrath of Hers.'
623. тérцuпpov. 'Make clear by tokens,' i. e. signify to me. The active, which is much less common than the middle, is so used in Pind. Ol. vi. 73. Nem. vi. 8.
624. Tí $\mu$ '́n $\mu \mathrm{E}$ र $\rho$ 向. The MSS. have $\tau l \mu \prime \prime$ ( $\mu 01$ or $\mu \epsilon$ ) $\chi \rho \eta$. It is very likely that $\mu \in$ would be lost after $\mu \eta$. Otherwise there is great probability in Elmsley's elegant conjecture $\tau \hat{l} \mu \hat{\eta} \chi^{a \rho}$ 方 $\tau \iota$, к.т. $\lambda$., and most editors have admitted it.
628. aini $\gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$, к.т.ג. On the antithesis see Suppl. 458.

## $\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta \rho o \tau o i ̂ s ~ \delta о \tau \eta ิ \rho ’ ~ o ́ \rho a ̂ ́ s ~ П р о \mu \eta \theta ́ ́ a . ~$









ПР．тобойтод а̉ркї $\sigma о \iota ~ \sigma а ф \eta \nu i ́ \sigma a \iota ~ \mu o ́ v o \nu . ~$







633．àpp．ồ．＇Of late．＇A rare adverb， said to be one of the words brought by the poet from Syracuse．It is the old dative of áp $p \mathrm{~d}$ s，＇a joint，＇or＇fitting，＇ Antig．1216（the same as armus，＇the shoulder，＇and our familiar word arm）． Properly，like $\epsilon \nu \kappa \alpha, \rho \varphi \bar{\varphi}$ ，it must have meant ＇in due time，＇or＇in the nick of time，＇ and then，from the notion that present time，or opportunity；is best，it came to bear the meaning in the text．Prometheus haughtily rejects the epithet $\tau \lambda \nmid \mu \omega \nu$ just applied to him．
639．àpки．Between the notions＇suf－ ficio＇and＇valeo＇there is such close relation that $\dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ may here be used for
 $\mu o t ~ \sigma \alpha \phi \eta \eta l \sigma a t$ ，＇it is enough to tell you＇ thus much only；＇i．e．he does not acknow－ ledge any $\dot{a} \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\imath} \eta \mu a$ ．See on 520．Here again roбoûtov，not tóaovठ $\epsilon$ ，is correctly used in reference to what has been already said ：cf．542．
 Téppac must be taken of geographical limit．Hermann suspects that a line quoted as a proverb by the Scholiast originally followed 642，\＆$\delta \in \hat{\imath} \gamma \in \nu \in \in \sigma \alpha a$ тav̀ra，kal $\gamma \in \nu$ ク $\sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ，because the present distich ought to be answered by two
verses，and 643 seems directly to refer to it．It is however by no means abrupt as it now stands ；and Hermann himself ob－ serves that a similar isolated distich in 631－2 is followed，as here，by seven single verses：so that this is only to set up an objection and then satisfactorily answer it．

644．え̀ $\lambda \lambda$＇ov．＇Nay，＇ṭis not that I grudge you this bóon．＇So $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ мo七

 or $\phi \theta \sigma \nu a \nu$ ë $\chi \omega$ ．See Buttm．Lexil．p． 408. The MSS．have $\tau 0$ for $\sigma 0$ ．

645．$\mu$ خे ò $\gamma \in \gamma \omega \nu i \sigma \kappa \in เ \nu$ ．Both the particles are to our idiom superfluous： but see 244，and Elmsley on Med． 1209. Eustathius ad II．M．p．9\｜9， $\boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \boldsymbol{\sim} / \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota v$,






646．$\theta \rho \alpha \grave{\xi} a l$, i．e．$\tau a \rho \alpha \dot{\xi} a t$, the $a$ being omitted and the $\theta$ depending on the aspi－ rated $\rho$ ，as $\phi$ poi $\mu$ to from $\pi p o o i \mu, ~ T h e$ form occurs also in Soph．frag．812．Her－ mann remarks that Buttmann was wrong in writing $\theta \rho a \bar{\xi} a \iota$ ，like $\pi \rho a \bar{\xi} \alpha$. ．Indeed a little consideration shows that the $a$ is


















short, as in tapaxh. It seems connected with our word 'to harass.'
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \quad \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \kappa \eta \eta_{\delta} \epsilon \sigma \theta a i l$ uov. This is Hermann's former correction of $\mu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o \nu \hat{\omega} s$, though in his last edition he has preferred Elmsley's $\mu a \sigma \sigma \delta \nu \omega s$ \# ' $\mu o l$, on the ground that the rare form $\mu \alpha \sigma \sigma d \nu \omega s$ was likely to be corrupted. On the other hand we may observe that $\omega \nu$ and $\omega s$ are constantly confused : see Ag. 1366. Others have proposed to take $\dot{\omega}$ for $\overline{\|} \dot{\omega} s$, but the few passages adduced are too uncertain to found an argument upon.
649. $\mu \boldsymbol{j} \pi \omega \gamma \in$. The use of $\gamma \in$ in deprecating is to be noticed. See on Theb. 71. Ar. Nub. 196, $\mu \hbar \pi \omega \quad \gamma є, \mu \hbar \pi \omega$. lb.
 $\omega \mu a$.
651. $\pi 0 \lambda u \phi \theta \dot{\rho} \rho o u s$. In this epithet the well-known sense of $\phi \theta \in\{\rho \in \sigma \theta a u$, 'to lose oneself in wandering,' seems to be contained. See Pers. 453. Inf. 839, $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi о \lambda u \phi \theta$ bpou $\pi \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta$.
 Pers. 685. The rivers in general were the sons of Ocean (Hes. Theog. 367), so that Inachus, the father of $I 0$, was brother to the Nymphs of the chorus.
656. $8 \pi o v$. So Blomf. for $8 \pi a t$ or $\frac{\partial \pi}{2} \pi$. See on $6^{\prime} 12$. Dindorf and Hermann retain the latter. The true senses of these particles are respectively pnsition, destination, direction; nor would it be easy to prove that $\delta \pi o v$ and $\delta \pi \eta$ are ever really identical. See on 100.-ой $\sigma \in \sigma \theta a \imath$ रárepu, 'to win a tear,' a metaphor from prizes,

660. кal ' $\lambda$ '́ Yoư'. See 205. Almost all the MSS. have ódúpouat, but many give aioरuboual as a variant, and it is clearly the best suited to the context.
6633. $\pi \omega \lambda \in i \mu \in \nu a$. . Hermann retains this Ionicism, as I had done, though it is uncertain whether the poet or his transcriber had in view Od. ii. 55, of $\delta^{\prime}$ єis ${ }^{\dagger} \mu \in ́ \tau \in \rho o v$
 question may be raised on $\epsilon i \sigma o \iota \chi \nu \epsilon \bar{v} \sigma \boldsymbol{\omega}$ in 122. There is a variant $\pi o \lambda \in \dot{\prime} \mu \in \nu a l$, but only one MS. gives $\pi 0 \lambda o \delta \mu \in \nu \alpha$.
664. тap7 бopouv. See on 132. Herod. v. 104, ồvos $\dot{\omega} \nu \grave{\prime} \rho$ по $\lambda \lambda$ dкcis $\mu \hat{e} \nu$ кal $\pi \rho \delta_{r}$
 дат̀ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda$ '́os, i. e. 'tried to talk him over.' Od. xvi. 279, $\mu \in \iota \lambda \iota \chi$ ºเs $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma t$ $\pi \alpha \rho a v \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$. Ib. 287, $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \eta \bar{\eta} a s$ иалакоîs



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma o v ̂ ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \theta a \lambda \pi \tau a \iota, ~ к а \grave{~ \xi v \nu \alpha a i \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ K v ́ \pi ~} \rho \iota \nu$
 тò $Z \eta \nu o ̀ s, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ \epsilon ै \xi ॄ є \lambda \theta \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Lambda \epsilon ́ \rho \nu \eta s ~ \beta a \theta ̀ ̀ \nu \nu ~$

 то七oî $\delta \epsilon \pi a ́ \sigma a s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \phi \rho o ́ v a s ~ o ̉ \nu є i ́ p a \sigma \iota ~$











 $\kappa \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \nu o ̀ v$, ôs $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ є́ $\xi a i \sigma \sigma \tau \omega ́ \sigma o \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu o s . ~$




[^8]
 etal. Io was not yet changed into a cow ; but the words of the oracle anticipated it. 685. $\mu 0 \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} v$. He seems to have meant
 where the aorist infinitive takes a future sense from the context, the only real condition of an aorist being the contemplation of something realised. See Theb. 424. Some bave needlessly proposed ầ for $\epsilon \kappa$. - $\boldsymbol{\xi} \xi a \ddot{\sigma} \tau \tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$ Blomf,, Dind., for - $\epsilon \iota$, which Hermann retains; and it is of course defensible, though less elegant. The future optative is however rather a rare usage except with some few verbs.

$\Delta \grave{o}{ }^{2} \chi^{a \lambda \iota \nu o ̀ s ~} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta i ́ a \nu ~ \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon . ~$
 $\hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu, \kappa є \rho a \sigma \tau i \mathrm{~s} \delta \delta^{\prime}, \dot{\omega}$ о́раَ $\tau^{\prime}$ ，ỏ乡vбтó $\mu \varphi$
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 à $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta o ́ \kappa \eta \tau o s \delta^{\prime}$ aủròv aí申víðoos $\mu$ ópos






long and the compulsion gradual and con－ tinuous．So in $694 \hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma a \nu$ seems to mean ＇I set out，＇＇I began to go．＇

692．kєpar $i$ ls．So Dind．Hermann retains the accent of the MSS．кepáotis．

694．Kep ${ }^{\text {peias．So the Med．The }}$ other MSS．give Kє $\quad$ Х $\rho \in$ fias，which is also found in Pausan．ii．24，8．The reading of the next verse is very uncertain．The

 whence Hermann edits $\Lambda$ ép $\nu \eta s \tau^{\prime}$ ès àк ${ }^{\prime} \grave{\eta} \nu$, from Pindar Ol．vii．60，$\Lambda \in p v a l a s ~ \vec{a} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{3}$ $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau$ âs．Lerna was a marshy lake close to the sea，near the mouth of the Inachus． Pausanias speaks of $\dot{\eta}$ kazà $\Lambda$ épyay $\theta \alpha \dot{\alpha}-$ $\lambda a \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ，ii． 36,6 ，and it would seem the sea－coast in that part took the name． But the later Schol．appears to have read $\kappa \rho \not \subset \nu \eta \nu$ ，as Canter perceived：$\pi \rho \phi s \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\partial} \nu$

 Apollodorus，ii．1，4，mentions the springs，
 that ко申р $\quad \eta \nu$ ，with a superscribed $a$ as a
 posed to akp ${ }^{\circ}$ commonly introduced into the MSS．even in senarii ；e．g．in 510 the Med．has $\sigma 1 \delta a \rho o v$, in Theb． $527 \mu a \tau \rho \delta s$. See on Suppl．52．Inf．1009．Cho．759． 1034.

696．икратоs й $\rho \gamma \bar{\eta} \nu$ ，＇hot－tempered，＇ a metaphor from strong wine．I have
retained the comma after $\omega_{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \tau \epsilon \mathrm{t}$ to show that кaq̀ $\sigma \tau i$ Bous belongs to it rather
 Өךрє́єєı，\＆c．，was the usual expression．

698．The MSS．give aipviठnns，which is a trisyllable．See on Pers． 972. Porson transposed the words，aiфvidios aṽ $\tau \boldsymbol{\partial} \mu \delta \rho o s$, which does not sound like an Aeschylean verse．Elmsley proposed $\dot{a} \phi \nu i \delta i o s$, comparing a $\neq \nu \nu$, and he is fol－ lowed by Blomf．and Dind．But Hermann reads aiфviita，and he thinks that Hesy－ chius had this passage in view ：ádolía． ai $\phi \nu i \delta i \omega s$ ，zф $\phi \nu \omega$ ．If so，this is another example of those words in ta which，as suggested on Eum．764，seem to have been metrically equivalent to $a t$ ，and pro－ nounced like our yea．The death of Argus is thus described by Apollodorus，




700．$\gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \grave{\partial} \gamma$ ทेs．This phrase occurs Ar．Ach．235，кal $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \delta \gamma_{n}$
 sense of $\pi \delta \rho \rho \omega$ ．Thus $\pi \rho \delta \delta \delta \delta \hat{\theta}$ e่ $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \nu 0 \nu \tau 0$,
 ＇advanced in the journey，＇apoṽp\％ov for $\pi \rho \delta$ Épyov．It is singular that the true reading should be more or less corrupted in all the MSS．
x 0.



 à $\mu \phi \eta{ }^{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \iota \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \rho \varphi \psi \psi \dot{\jmath} \xi \epsilon \iota \nu \psi v \chi a ́ \nu$. $i \omega$, ì̀, $\mu \mathbf{i} \rho \alpha, \mu o i p a$,



 $\tau o ̀ ~ \lambda o \iota \pi \grave{\partial} \nu a^{a} \lambda \gamma o s \pi \rho o v ̄ \xi \epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau о \rho \omega \bar{s}$.


 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda o u \pi \alpha ̀ ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa о$ v́ $\sigma \alpha \theta^{\prime}$, oía $\chi \rho \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$
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711-12. The MSS. reading is here clearly unmetrical, $\pi$ h $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\lambda \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \alpha$ $\delta \in i \mu a \tau^{\prime}$
 have given Dindorf's emendation, except that he writes $\dot{a} \mu \phi \alpha_{k} \in \varepsilon .-\psi(\hat{\xi} \epsilon \iota \nu$, ' would chill.' Properly 'to fan,' as Bion, Id.
 тд̀ "Aסwulv. Hence $\psi \bar{\partial} \chi$ os (Ag. 944) is the coolness produced by a fresh breeze, while firos (frigus) is the winter cold. Hesych. $\psi \downarrow \xi a s^{\circ} \dot{a} \mu \beta \lambda u u^{2} a s, \tau \eta \nu \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \phi v$ -
 from this sense that $\psi v \chi \geqslant$ is derived, (like animus from ă $\nu \in \mu \circ s$ ), and $\pi a p a \psi v \chi \eta$, 'consolation,' properly the physical relief afforded by a fan at one's side.
721. $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi^{\prime}$ ' $\epsilon \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \bar{\alpha} \theta \lambda o \nu$. This is




724. $\sigma 6 \tau^{\prime}$. "Vobis satisfactum est, tibique, Io, satisfiet." Hermann.
727. $\sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \nLeftarrow \alpha \sigma \alpha$. Hermann gives $\tau \rho \in ́ \psi-$
a $\sigma a$ from two MSS. His reason is that the former implies turning round, the latter turning towards, or facing the east. And as Io came from the west into Scythia (857), she woald not have to alter her course, but only to continue it. But it is sufficient to suppose she had already turned to address Prometheus.-àvqpóqous yóas, probably the country of the Don Cossacks and Astrakhan, though the description applies generally to the steppes east of the Dnieper, the inhabitants being then, as now, Nomads, oüre $\sigma \pi \in$ ípovtes
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \alpha i \quad \sigma \tau \in \in \gamma a$, or wicker huts carried about on waggons, are still used by the Kalmucks. Herodotus (iv. 46) calls them





 $\kappa a l$ крє́aб兀ע. He places their winter abode









near the Palus Maeotis, but adds that in summer they live in the plains, viz. the steppes of the Kouban, or those extending to the Caspian sea. Nearly the whole of the vast empire of Russia was a terra incognita to the Greekg. No wonder then if the term Scythia, and its geographical relation to the Euxine, was very vaguely known in the time of Aeschylus. - $\sigma \tau \in \ell_{\chi} \chi \in \nu$ yúas is used like $\pi \eta \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \tau a$ $\pi \in \delta_{i c}$ Ajac. 30, 'to go over plains.' So Virg. Aen. i. 524, 'ventis maria omnia vecti.' Cf. inf. 855. Theb. 461, $\kappa \lambda i-$ $\mu$ ккоs $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon\{\chi \in \iota$, 'walks over a ladder,' i. e. treads the steps of it.
 field retain the MSS. reading e éfnpan $\boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}$ though the words are commonly confused, as in Herod. vii. 147, and 'arcubus instructi' affords a better meaning than 'arcubus suspensi.' The litter participle, like 'suspensi. loculos' in Horace, takes rather an accusative. So Lucian in his


 however (in $\epsilon \xi \eta \neq \tau \eta \mu a_{1}$ ) gives the reading of our present MSS., which is not in. defensible.
 close to the surf-beaten shores of the Euxine.' By paxlous he means that part of the Caucasus which forms the N. e. boundary of that sea. Schol. recent. $\pi$ âs
 For $\pi \delta \delta$ as the MSS. have róitodas, a atrange reading, which the Scholiasts mistook for the name of a nation. Hermann atbributes it either to an old reading $\gamma \mathbf{i} a$, or to a confusion with $\gamma$ úas in 727 ; but this is hardly satisfiactory.
733. 入auãs Xetpés. So Herod. iv. 34,



 ти̂s Xepós; Whether écr was omitted in a familiar phrase, or this is a true genitive of place, we need not stop to inquire. See Jelf, Gr. Gr. § 530, obs. I.
734. Xadivies. This people really dwelt on the south of the Euxine, below Colchis; but if Io, proceeding eastward, had them on her left hand, and the Euxine on her right, they would have been found higher up in Seythia. The truth is, the poet connected these $\sigma i \delta \eta \rho o \tau$ ékroves with the $\sigma \delta \eta \eta \rho о \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$ ala of Scythia, sup. 309. Apollon. Rhod. ii. 1001-8 describes them as living ever in the smoke of iron furnaces, in terms which remind us of our Colebroois dale or Wolverhampton.
735. $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \pi \lambda a \tau o l$. So Elmsley for $\pi \rho \delta \sigma-$ $\pi \lambda a \sigma \tau o l$. See on 915.
736. i $\dot{\beta} \beta \rho / \sigma \tau \eta \nu$, 'violent,' 'rapid,' \&c.
 Kìpos тô̂тo poses a line to have been lost, because the poet could not consistently have said où $\psi$ evóGuvuov unless he presented his hearers with the niame Araxes, тapoे $\tau \dot{\partial}$ b.ááa $\sigma \in \nu$ (as the Greeks imagined; cf. cataract). Certainly it must either have been expressed, or the audience must have been left to infer the name from the description; but a Greek audience was clever enough to do the latter. The real Araxes flows into the Caspian; though the name seems to have been rather vaguely applied to more rivers than one. The poet meant the 'saxosum sonans Hypanis' (Georg. iv. 370), or Kouban, which flows from the Caucasus into the Euxine just opposite to the Crimea, and which he seems to have confused with the efllux of the Borysthenes, or Dnieper, to the west of the Palus Maeotis. (Hermann, De Euroribus Ius Aeschyleae, p. 156.)





 $\grave{\eta} \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma \sigma \tau v \gamma a ́ \nu o \rho$ ’, aî $\Theta \epsilon \mu i \sigma \kappa v \rho a ́ \nu$ тотє

 Є’ $\chi \theta \rho o ́ \xi \epsilon \nu a s ~ v a u ́ \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \iota, \mu \eta \tau \rho v ı a ̀ ~ \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu-$


 $\lambda \iota \pi o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu a u ̉ \lambda \omega \nu^{\prime} \in \epsilon \in \kappa \pi \epsilon \rho a ̂ \nu ~ M a \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ́ \nu$.

Strabo remarks (xi. p. 493) that some geographers imagined the Tanais, which really flows into the Palus Maeotis from the north, to have its rise in the Caucasus; and the poet may have followed this erroneous tradition. Io is therefore enjoined to follow this half real, half mythical river, to its source in the Caucasus; and then, crossing that lofty range, to descend southwards to the country of the Amazons, Colchis (cf. 422).
743. ©єцібкираע. Apollon. Rhod. calls
 ibid. ii. 966. Strabo, xi. p. 505, Thे $\delta \dot{\delta k}$


 aùtàs év $\nu \in ́ \nu \delta \varepsilon$. He describes it as a most fertile and beautiful country, lib. xii. p. 547. Cf. Herod. iv. 86. Apollodor.

 Epitaph. p. 190, and indeed many others. The Thermodon is the Thermeh, which falls into the Euxine. It flowed northwards, through Pontus. But Aeschylus is again inaccurate in placing it near Salmydessus, which lay much further to the west. "The name was originally applied to the whole coast from the promontory of Thynias to the entrance of the Bosporus; and it was from this coast that the Black Sea obtained the name of Pontus Axenos, or inhospitable." (Smith's smaller Classical Dictionary.) Xenophon, Anab. vii. 5,




 nuquॄ́ $\omega \nu$ тò $\mu \hat{\eta} \kappa o s$. It is called by the poet $\gamma$ dodos, from swallowing up ships, and 'step-mother of ships,' from the cruelty of the natives to mariners.
 juvaiká $\sigma \epsilon \delta \delta \eta \gamma$ भी $\sigma o v \sigma t$. Their conduct is contrasted with the savage Chalybes, v. 735. By what path the Amazons on the south could lead Io to the Tauric Chersonese (Crimea) on the north of the Euxine, does not appear. There is no indication of her being taken round by Thrace, so that we might not unreasonably suppose the route lay back again by the shores of the Caspian, by which course she would pass into the Crimea from above, and then return into Asia by crossing the Cimmerian Bosporus (754), which is called aủ $\lambda \omega \nu$ Maı $\omega \tau \iota \kappa \delta s$, or channel into the Sea of Azov. Strabo vii. p. 309, 火al






 more than probable that the poet, ignorant of the true position of the Caucasus, and supposing it to extend considerably to the N. E. of the Euxine, placed the Amazons in Scythia.

ё́qтal סè $\theta \nu \eta \tau o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i s ~ d ̉ \in i ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ \mu e ́ \gamma a s ~$




Bíalos єîval ; $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ àp $\theta \nu \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon o ̀ s$

 $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s{ }^{*}$ oûs $\gamma$ à $\rho \nu \hat{v} \nu$ ảкそ́коаs $\lambda$ óyous,

$I \Omega$.




IIP. $\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \rho o ́ v ~ \gamma \epsilon \pi \in ́ \lambda a \gamma o s ~ a ̉ r \eta \rho a ̂ s ~ \delta u ́ \eta s . ~ 765 ~$
 $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \rho \rho \psi^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \mu \alpha \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau \eta \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ ảnò $\sigma \tau u ́ \phi \lambda o v \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha s$,




 $\alpha v ̊ \tau \eta \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \pi \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta^{\prime}$.




752. B6бтopos. The word is of other than Greek etymology, and probably belongs to an ancient dialect of Asia Minor.
754. 'Avf8. So Elmsley and Dindorf for 'Actád'. Hermann retains the latter; but the two words are generally confused, even where the former is clearly necessary to the metre, as Suppl. 541. Pers. 551. See also Pers. 272, 759, and 813, where for $\Delta \omega p / \delta o s$ the Med. gives $\Delta \omega p \mathrm{coc} \delta o s$. Strabo, vii. p. 303, quotes from Choerilus

 throw myself;' the usual force of the aorist in similar phrases. On $8 \pi \omega \omega$, 'in which case,' with an indicative see Jelf, Gr. Gr. § 813. Monk ad Hippol. 643.
 $\sigma \delta \mu \eta \nu$.
 $\$ \nu$ or $\eta \dot{\delta} \delta i \mu \eta \nu \partial \nu$, a conjecture since confirmed by one MS. See a similar variety Suppl. 905.-On ${ }^{7} \boldsymbol{7}$ us in the next verse, see sup. 38. The sense is, Cur non, quum male patiar?


I』．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \tau u ́ \rho a \nu \nu \alpha ~ \sigma \kappa \eta ̂ \pi \tau \rho a ~ \sigma v \lambda \eta \theta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ;$
ПР．aữòs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a i ́ \tau o v ̂ ~ к є \nu о ф \rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon ข \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu . ~$



ПР．$\tau i ́ \delta^{\prime}$ ò $\nu \tau \iota \nu^{\prime} ; ~$ ov $\gamma$ à $\rho \dot{\rho} \eta \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \delta a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon . ~$
Iת．ท̂ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta a ́ \mu \alpha \rho \tau о \varsigma ~ \epsilon ́ \xi \alpha \nu i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota ~ \theta \rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu ;$


$\Pi$ ．ov̉ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha, \pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$ єै $\gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a} \nu \epsilon \in \kappa \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon i ́ s$.
$I \Omega$ ．$\tau$ ís ov̂̀ ó $\lambda u ́ \sigma \omega \nu \sigma^{3}$ є่ $\sigma \tau i ̀ \nu$ äкортоs $\Delta$ lós；




 cusative is used as in 178．Suppl． 910.
781．aủtòs $\pi \rho \partial{ }^{2}$ aívoû．Hermanu and Elmsley prefer the reading of the Med． and others，$\pi \rho \grave{s}$ aù àds aútoô，like $\in \epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$


783．$\gamma a \mu \in \hat{i}$ and $\dot{a} \sigma \chi \alpha \lambda \hat{̣}$ are Attic futures．Cf．$\sigma \kappa \in \delta \bar{i}$ in 25.

785．$\tau!\delta^{3}$ 敋 $\frac{v^{\prime}}{}$ ；＇Why do you ask what marriage（i．e．do not ask），for it is not lawful to be told．＇The question had been put by Io in jealous alarm．But she is not more successful in eliciting the secret than the Chorus had been，sup． 530．Prometheus is thoroughly cunning in resisting inquisitiveness on this one point．

786．${ }^{2} \xi a v i \sigma \tau a \tau a$. ．The present tense is used as in 178．969．To this passage Lucian refers，Dial．Deor． 1 （Prometheus



 $\mu \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ à $\rho \chi$ रोs；Apollodor．iii．13，5，







oùpavô̂ $\delta v y a \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{v}$ ．The later Scho－ liast on v． 174 preserves the last men－







 inf．941．There is a little obscurity in the use of the futures，$\gamma \alpha \mu \in \hat{i}$ yduop and $\sigma \nu \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \tau \rho \alpha$ ，for the event was not really to happen，but only destined conditionally．

789．$\pi \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu$ گ้ $\gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ \＆े $\nu$ ，＇unless indeed I should prove to be，＇sc．$\gamma \in \nu 01 \mu \eta \nu$ aù $\frac{\oplus}{\omega}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau_{u} \chi \eta s \dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho о ф \dot{\eta}$ ．This is the read－ ing of the Med．，and it seems better on the whole to retain it with Hermann． The MSS．vary between $\pi \rho l \nu$ à $\nu$ è $\gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ ， $\pi p l \nu$ है $\gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime} \quad{ }^{2} \nu$（which is a solecism）$\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ ${ }_{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma^{2} \partial \nu$ ，and $\lambda v \theta \hat{\omega}$ and $\lambda v \theta \epsilon i s$ ．I for－ merly edited after Elmsley $\pi \lambda \eta \nu \quad$ ढ $\gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ گ̈ $\tau a \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega \bar{\nu} \lambda \nu \theta \hat{\omega}$ ，which is not impro－ bable，as $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ g $\delta \tau \alpha \nu$ is occasionally found， e．g．supra 266．Soph．El．293．Lysias， p．124，$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ö тav $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \nu \mu \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$ ．The worst reading of all is that given by Dindorf，


792．芀＇$\mu \mathrm{d} \mathrm{s}$ taîs．＇Shall a son of mine？＇oí $\mu \partial s$ would have meant，＇shall $m y$ son，＇\＆c．The answer implies，＇yes， your son thirteen times removed＇（to













$\tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu \epsilon i ̀ \nu \pi \alpha ̂ \nu ~ o ̈ \sigma o \nu ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \chi р \hat{́} \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.




adopt an expression more Greek than English). The pedigree was this: Epaphus, Libya, Belus, Danaus, Hypermnestra, Abas, Proetus, Acrisius, Danae, Perseus, Electryon, Alcmena, Hercules.
795. $\sigma a u \tau \hat{\text { yेs }} \gamma^{3}$. Hermann adds the particle, the Med. having $\sigma \alpha u \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau^{\prime}$.
 use is common in Homer, but rare in


802. $\lambda$ б́бous, Suppl. 372, $\tau d \sigma \delta^{\text {a }}$ d̀тt $\mu$ áfrat $\lambda \iota \tau \dot{d}$ s. Elmsley conjectured $\lambda 6$ रov.



 resumed from the passage of the Cimmerian Bosporus in 754. The Scholiasts understand $\beta$ हitpon of the Tanais, others of the Phasis. But see on 747.
810. ท̀ $\lambda \iota o \sigma \tau i \beta \in i \hat{s}$. I formerly conjectured $\dot{\eta} \lambda t o \sigma \tau i \beta \in!$, 'walk by the sun,' i. e. guided by it in your course to the east,
 Herod. iv. 116. But other considerations induce me to believe that Brunck was
right in supposing some verses to have been lost here,-perhaps indeed a whole page of the archetypus MS. There is a passage quoted by Galen, vol. v. p. 454, which he expressly says is from the Prometheus Vinctus, and which seems to fill the gap so appositely that I have ventured to introduce it here, though only in brackets, as it is at best a conjecture that it belongs to this place at all, and if so, the chance seems but small that the exact number of verses wanting in our MSS. shauld have been preserved in a casual quotation. We may understand Bopedóas $\pi v o d$ òs of the blasts from the Hyperborean or Ural mountains, which Io is warned to avoid in her passage along the borders of the Pontus. This is at least a natural and consistent meaning of
 in 732,) and alludes to her second route in nearly the same direction. It is too well known that the Black Sea is liable to furious hurricanes, and Strabo attests this,






 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ Г o p \gamma o ́ v \epsilon \iota a ~ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ a ~ K \iota \sigma \theta \eta ́ \nu \eta ร, ~ i ̀ \nu a ~$

 $\mu о \nu o ́ \delta o \nu \tau \epsilon$ ，âs oü $\theta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \iota o s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \delta \epsilon ́ \rho \kappa є \tau a \iota$

812．me $\delta i a \mathrm{~K} \downarrow \sigma \theta \eta \nu \eta$ s．It is this part of the narrative which presents the greatest geographical difficulty，and indeed the only one which in the present state of the text seems almost insurmountable．Io is to proceed eastward，till she comes to Cisthene，the daughters of Phorcys，and the Gorgons．Now all existing evidence shews that these must be looked for in the far west；so that we must choose between supposing that a considerable lacuna exists here，and concluding that the poet takes a leap，and leads Io round by a northern track，which，as the whole north and west（see Strabo，p． 93 ad fin． and p．294）of Europe was unknown to the Greeks，could not be particularly de－ scribed．My own opinion is，that the latter is the correct view；and I attribute little weight to the circumstance that in Suppl． 535 seqq．Ia is introduced into Egypt＇through Asia Minor．For the whole story of her visit to Egypt is cer－ tainly a distinct legend．The Arimaspi， whom she is to meet with in her course westward，are placed by Strabo exactly where we want them，$\dot{v} \pi \bar{\epsilon} \rho$ qov̂ Eugeivov
 As for the site of Cisthene，nothing is known；but a verse of Cratinus is cited by Harpocration in v．，which shews that it was the fabulous boundary of the
 Kırөtipns zpos ö $\psi \in!$ ．The Schol．Med．
 And as the Gorgons were the daughters of Phorcys，whom Hesiod places in the

 Acjuфwvol，there can be no doubt that Cisthene was supposed to stand on the shores of the great Ocean stream．Pho－ tius indeed and Harpocration call it a
mountain in Thrace；but if we may hazard a conjecture，it was no other than Mont Blanc，of which vague accounts，as of a vast western mountain，were likely enough to have reached the Greeks．For we know that they had some knowledge of the amber from the north of Europe， yet so little infornation about the country that they believed in a purely mythical river，or amber－stream，the Eridanus； just as they indulged in dreams of a happy and mild land lying beyond the blasts from the Riphean mountains of the north；see Cho．365．The unexplored regions of the west，and the dark Ocean stream beyond，must have furnished a continual excitement to the imaginative Greek；and it is possible that the fables here recorded originated in the narratives of credulous merchants and travellers．

813．$\delta \eta \nu a a l$ k $\delta \rho \alpha$ ．The epithet is meant to represent their name Cpaina． Hesiod．Theog．270，Форки＂$\delta^{\circ}$ a $\bar{\delta} \mathrm{K} \eta \tau \dot{\omega}$ Граías тéкє ка入入ıтáp


815．oư $\theta$＇＂ク $\lambda$ ios．The west was the fabled region of darkness because the sun there sank below the waves，and the ＇nightly moon＇was assumed to follow the same path．Apollodorus gives these curious fancies，which may have origi－ nated in the savage garb of Celtic women， in similar terms，ii．4，2，匂 $\sigma a v$ סè aîtau




 фала̀s $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \mu \epsilon ́ v a s: 申 о \lambda i \sigma \iota \delta \rho \alpha-$



 âs $\theta \nu \eta \tau o ̀ s ~ o u ̉ \delta \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota \delta i ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \xi \epsilon є ~ \pi \nu o a ́ s . ~$
 ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \delta^{\prime}$ äкоубоу $\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon p \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho i ́ a \nu$.



 тои́тoıs $\sigma v ̀ \mu \eta ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a \zeta \epsilon . \quad \tau \eta \lambda o v \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \gamma \eta ̂ \nu$ $\eta ้ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \kappa \in \lambda a \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \phi u ̂ \lambda o \nu$ ，ô̂ $\pi \rho \frac{2}{}{ }^{\eta} \lambda i ́ o u$ $\nu \alpha i ́ o v \sigma \iota \pi \eta \gamma \alpha i ̂ s, \stackrel{\iota}{\iota} \nu \theta \alpha \pi o \tau \alpha \mu o ̀ s ~ A i ̂ \theta i o \psi$.

820．фpoiptov．Whether this means ＇objects to be guarded against，＇or＇guar－ dians of the place，＇is not clear．Schol． Med．© $\sigma \in \delta \in \imath$ фu入ágat，and $\kappa a \pi \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \eta \nu$
 true reading is $\phi$ рoípov．

821．סva $\subset$ คグ，＇portentous．＇Cf．Suppl．
 $\sigma \tau$ duous，with pointed or beak like snouts． àkpayeis is uncertain both as to meaning and quantity．The grammarians，whose glosses were often merely copied from one another，explain it as＇harsh，＇＇ill－tem－
 And Hermann derives it from äкроs and $\alpha \gamma \eta$（i．e．$\theta a \hat{v} \mu a$ ）for no better reason than that the a would not have been made long if from à and $\kappa \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ ．But compare $\theta_{\text {Eot }} \delta \delta$ mous in 677 ，where $a$ is long before $\pi \rho$ ．And the＇canes non latrantes＇is a phrase exactly parallel to ápoıs ${ }^{2} \pi u p o s$ in 69：，the epithet，as usual in such cases， qualifying the metaphor．Why they are called hounds of Zeus it is needless to inquire．The poet naturally assigns to them the usual attributes of eagles．Inf． 1043，$\pi \tau \eta \nu \dot{s} \kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ ，where the adjective is used to distinguish the eagle from a real hound．Now the eagle actually does bark，and with a sound closely resembling
 ai $\gamma v \pi t \omega \bar{\omega}$, Ag．48，compared with $\kappa \lambda a \gamma=$


824．＇А $\rho \mu \alpha \sigma \pi \delta \nu$ ．On this fabulous people of Scythia，or rather，of the north of Europe，see Herod．iv． 13 and 27. Ibid．iii．116．Pausan．i．xxiv．6， $\boldsymbol{\text { oùs }}$

 ＇Apıuaбтoîs［тоîs］úт̀̀p＇I $\sigma \sigma \eta \delta \delta \nu \omega \nu$＇$\tau \delta \nu$






825．חतqútwעos $\pi 6 \rho o \nu$ ．It is probable that the Tartessus or Guadalquiver is meant，about which Aeschylus knew no－ thing，beyond a vague tradition of a gold－ producing river somewhere in the west． Strabo has a remarkable passage on the gold found in this part of Spain，iii．cap．





 or Bactis was said to flow from a moun－ tain called＇Aprupouv，from the silver－ mines it contained（ibid．p．148）．But beside this，there was a fabled connexion between Tartessus and Tartarus，whence Tap $\quad \eta \sigma$ ia $\mu \dot{v} \rho a i \nu \alpha$, Ar．Ran．475．Strabo， p．149．This will bring Io to enter Libya by the strait of Gibraltar，which is pro－ bably altogether overlooked in the poet＇s half mythical geography；and thus，cross－ ing Africa from west to east，she is to arrive finally at lower Egypt．

827．$\pi \rho$ ds $\mathfrak{\eta} \lambda$ iov $\pi \eta \gamma \alpha i \hat{s}$ ．Probably the famed＇fountain of the sun＇near the temple of Jupiter Ammon．Quint．Cur－ tius，iv．7，22，＇Est etiam aliud Ham－ monis nemus；in medio habet fontem； aquam solis vocant．＇Lucret．vi．848， ＇Esse apud Hammonis fanum fons luce diurna frigidus，at calidus nocturno tem－ pore fertur．＇

828．тотандऽs Ai0io\％．Schol．$\delta \mathrm{N} \in \hat{i} \lambda o s$. If this be not a feigned river of an ima－ ginary continent（see on Suppl．281），





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\circ} \epsilon i ̋ \tau i ́ \sigma o \iota \psi \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \delta v \sigma \epsilon v ́ \rho \epsilon \tau o \nu$,





ठòs $\eta^{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ aírov́ $\mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \cdot \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \pi ~ \pi o v$.





it can hardly be any other than the Niger, though it is extraordinary that so ancient a notice should exist of a river which is still only partially explored. Hermann quotes Solinus, cap. 25, who calls it 'amnis qui atro calore exit per intimas et exustas solitudines;' and from this odd notion of the very waters of a tropical river being burnt black, the name is doubtless derived. Propertius has the same description of the Indus, iv. 3,10 , 'ustus et Eoa discolor Indus aqua.' Aeschylus seems to have thought that it flowed almost to the east side of Africa, and much more to the north than its real position.
830. катавабرбу. The catabathmus, or descent into the valley of the Nile from Libya, is here confounded with the cataracts of the Nile. The descent of the latter from the 'Bybline mountains' is purely mythical, nor is it easy to say what mounains could have given rise to the notion. There was a town called Byblus in the Delta, whence the wine was called Bußavov $\pi \hat{\omega} \mu a$, Fur. Ion 1195. The Schol. thinks the name in-
 $\beta \measuredangle \beta \lambda o v$.
831. єйтитоע. See Suppl. 836.
833. тो̀ $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha ́ v . ~ S e e ~ o n ~ T h e b . ~ 609 . ~$
835. $\psi \in \lambda \lambda \partial \nu$, 'obscure,' properly said of indistinct pronunciation, as appears from Aristoph. frag. 536, $\psi \in \lambda \lambda \delta_{s} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau t$ кal

 दे $\pi a \nu a \delta i \pi \lambda \alpha \zeta \epsilon$, but in Eum. 968 the metre

 à $\nu \tau \rho \in ́ \psi \eta$ Pers. 165, and even $\AA \mu$ тé $\frac{1}{\tau} \rho a t s$ Suppl. 346. Cf. Pers. 568.
841. $\eta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$, It is surprising that the
 allowed to stand unquestioned. Hermann seems right in correcting $\$ / \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho$, though be is not disinclined to read $5 \nu$ $\pi p i \nu$ jirov $\mu \in \sigma \theta \alpha$. Dindorf also gives the imperfect, andx I formerly followed him; but I think we may adhere to the MSS. in this, for the request, though before made (cf. $80{ }^{2}$ ), still rensained in force. By altering the punctuation somewhat awkwardly, Hyviva might be retained:


 narrative of the journey from the shores of Lerna (sup. 695) northwards and round


 $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon i \alpha a \hat{\omega} \kappa o ́ s \tau^{3}$ є̇ $\sigma \tau i \grave{i} \Theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \rho \omega \tau o \hat{v} \Delta l o ̀ s$,




 855


by Epirus. So or $\chi$ dos is used of a general aggregate, in which it is unnecessary to specify and particularise, Pers. 934, Eur. Hipp. 842. Frag. Aeol, xvili. Ar. Eccl.
 аффієтє.
848. סáne $\delta a$. So all the MSS., and also in Cho. 784. But Blomf., Dind., and Herm. follow Porson in reading $\gamma \alpha \pi \kappa \delta \alpha$, and for no better reason than that $\delta d \pi \in \delta o \nu$ usually has the a short. Yet as $\Delta \bar{\alpha}$ was a form of $\Gamma \hat{a}$ (sup. 580, Eum. 836, Ag. 1039), it seems rash to deny that along with the epic $\delta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu$, of which the etymology is uncertain, another form, $\delta \bar{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \delta o \nu$, may have existed. In fact, analogy is entirely in favour of it. That $\delta \hat{\eta}$ was in use for $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ appears from $\Delta \eta \dot{\omega}$ and, $\Delta \eta \mu \hat{\eta}$ т $\eta$ p. And the Schol. Med. on 580 observes, of $\Delta \omega \rho L \epsilon i \hat{s}$ т $\eta \nu \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \nu \bar{\eta} \eta \nu$ каl $\delta \hat{l} \nu$ фa⿱iv. May not then $\delta \bar{a} \pi \in \delta o \nu$ have been a peculiar Molossian or Pelasgic word, like $\pi \rho o v \sigma \in \lambda \in \hat{i}$ in 446 ? There is at least a risk in rejecting it. Moreover, $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \pi \in \delta=\nu$ is explained by the grammarians to signify ' a front garden.'
854. This verse is perhaps an interpolation. In the MSS. $\epsilon t$ is wanting, and the Schol. does not seem to have found it. It is first supplied in ed. Turn. Such a crasis is not unfrequent in comedy, but is scarcely a tragic use. We find however in lph. Taur. 679, tpooov́s $\sigma \in \sigma \omega \oint \xi \in \sigma \theta a$, aùrds $\varepsilon$ is otcous $\mu \dot{b}$ vos. The verse may have been added by one who objected to the use of the article in the predicate, and sought to connect it with a participle. It is however not incorrect, as the actual words of the address were $\sigma \dot{v} \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \Delta \dot{\partial} s$ סduap. On the 'talking oaks' see Soph.

over your mind,' i.e. recurs to your memory, or, as the Schol. Med, very well
 less accurate and later explanation is $\tau \hat{\varepsilon} \rho-$ $\pi \in i$ or єủppalyes. Compare waiốs $\mu \epsilon$ бaivet $\phi \theta 0 \gamma y^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, Antig. 1214. Rhes. 55. Ion 685. $\phi \iota \lambda \delta \phi \rho \omega \nu$ патוбаіцоиба Pers. 100.
 oi $\sigma \tau \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu$, which is transitive, as Bacch.
 É $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega$. Perhaps we should read olatpệ for oivт $\rho \in \hat{\imath}$ in Theocr. vi. 28. Iph. Aul. 77,

856. ко́лтор 'Péas. The Ionian sea or

 point she advanced westward, and then returned towards the east, by the route thus described by Apollodorus, ii. 1, 3, $\tilde{\eta}$




 Hence $\pi$ тopelas in $\mathbf{8 6 0}$ does not mean the passage across, but the journey to, that sea.
857. $\chi є \mu d \zeta \epsilon$. The present is used because Io was now performing her journey eastward; and à $\phi^{\prime}$ ' oî must be taken closely with $\pi a \lambda_{i} \mu \pi \lambda \lambda_{\alpha} \gamma_{\kappa}{ }^{2}$ which point.' The Pelasgi, whose immigration into Europe through Scythia and Thrace Io represents, pursued the opposite course, from the country beyond the Caspian to the Hadriatic sea, at the head of which they first made their appearance in Italy. This inversion is a well-known characteristic of many early legends. The element-worship brought by the Pelasgi

Хمóvov Sè тòu $\mu \epsilon ́ \notin \lambda о \nu \tau \alpha$ тóvтtos $\mu v \chi o ̀ s$,
 $\tau \hat{\jmath} \sigma \hat{\eta} s \pi о \rho \epsilon i a s ~ \mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu a$ тоîs $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu . \beta$ ротоîs. $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \alpha ́ \alpha ~ \sigma o \iota \tau \alpha ́ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} s{ }_{\epsilon}^{\mu} \mu \hat{\eta} s$ ф $\rho \in \nu o ̀ s$,


 є̈ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ K a ́ \nu \omega \beta$ оs, є́ $\sigma \chi a ́ \tau \eta ~ \chi \theta о \nu o ̀ s, ~$


 е̇ $\pi \omega \dot{\nu} \nu \mu_{\mu} о \nu$ Sè $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Delta$ lòs $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$




seems indicated by her personification of the Moon. But her connexion with Egypt. is due to the alleged Phoenician origin of Inachus, and the resemblance of the worship of Isis.

 or outer boundary of Egypt, reckoning from the south, as $\dot{\nu} \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho v \nu \in \grave{\omega}$ Suppl. 697. It derived its name from Canobus or Canopus, the pilot of Menelaus, who was: buried there (Strabo, xvii. 1, 17. Tac. Ann. ii. 60). The Schol. notices the anachronism.
866. тропхсыцать. He appears to mean the alluvial deposit always increasing at the mouths of the Nile.
 be restored to the consciousness of humanity (Suppl. 573) by the stroking of the hand of: Zeus; and by the same miraculous touch ( $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \varphi \hat{\eta})$ Epaphus was to be conceived. These distinct ideas are, from the brevity of the description, so blended into one, that most commentators have found a difficulty in the passage. Hermann even marks a lacuna after this verse, supposing such a line to have dropped out as $\pi \alpha \dot{u} \sigma a s$ 対 $\mu \delta \chi \partial \omega \nu \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \delta \epsilon$
 Elmsley in condemning 868 as spurious. Hermann allows that the vulgate would
be unexceptionable were ${ }^{\text {ér }}$ кuov written for ${ }^{\ell} \mu \phi \rho о \nu \alpha$, a conjecture I had before proposed. But I believe all these expedients are alike needless, and that the text is quite right. By $\theta$ brù $\mu 6 \log ^{2}$ is meant that the generation was effected by the mere touch, and not by the ordinary connexion, though in Suppl. 295 a somewhat different statement is made. The discrepancy however need not surprise us, any more than that in this play Io gets to Egypt round by the west, in the Supplices through Asia Minor; or than the change of scene in the Prom. Solutus from Scythia to the Caucasus (sup. 2). The only real difficulty lies in v. 869 , where $\gamma \in \nu \nu \eta \mu a t a$ is improperly used for т $\rho \delta \pi \pi=s \gamma \in \nu \nu \nmid \sigma \epsilon \omega s$. Dindorf adopts an expedient, which I only mention to condemn, of inclosing 868 within brackets, and reading $\gamma \in \nu \nu \eta \mu^{3}$ $\dot{a} \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$. There is a want of true poetic taste in this and not a few other alterations admitted by this editor, which too often creates a feeling of surprise and disappointment in using his text. Every lover of Aeschylus will feel bound to enter a protest against the use of that text as authorised by the University of Oxford.
871. $\pi \lambda a \tau$ úppous. He appears to mean the Delta only, or the part where the Nile diverges and flows over a wide extent of country. So Neĩos émtápous Frag. 304.
Өך入v́$\sigma \pi о \rho o s, \phi \in u ́ \gamma o v \sigma a ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \gamma^{\prime} \mu о \nu$
 кіркоь $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \hat{\nu} \nu$ ov̉ $\mu \alpha к \rho \alpha ̀ \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota$,


 " $А \rho \epsilon \iota \delta \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \nu \nu \kappa \tau \iota \phi \rho о \nu \rho \eta \prime \tau \omega$ Ө $\rho a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$.


 $\mu i \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon ̀ \pi a i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ ï $\mu \epsilon \rho o s \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \xi \epsilon \iota \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ $\kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu \alpha \iota ~ \xi ̌ v ่ \nu \epsilon v \nu o \nu, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \mu \beta \lambda \nu \nu \theta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$





875. énтопиévot This word, like $\mu$ al$\nu \in \sigma \theta a t$, is properly used of the excitement of love.- кіркои, i e. $\dot{\omega}$ нíркои. Cf. Suppl. 220.- ой макра̀v $\lambda \in \lambda \in \iota \mu \mu$ у́vo!, ' not far behind doves in the chase.'
878. $\phi \theta \delta \nu 0 \nu$ éget $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$, 'shall grudge them the possession of their persons.' $\Pi_{\in \lambda \alpha \sigma} \gamma^{\prime} \alpha$, Argos; cf. Suppl. 626.
880. $\delta \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$. Supply $\tau \omega ิ \nu$ ảv or $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ di $\rho \sigma \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ implied in $\theta \eta \lambda u \kappa \tau \phi \nu \omega$.
 This use of the genitive absolute, where the participle alone is expressed, is peculiarly Aeschylean. See on Suppl. 437. Theb. 236.- $\delta \in \epsilon \xi \in \tau a$, , sc. aùvàs, as Suppliants on the plea of justifiable homicide. Hermann again marks a lacuna, and supposes the passage to have stood thus:

 $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$. But here, as in 869, it is more probable that the poet touched briefly and hastily on legends which were so familiar to all that his meaning could not be misunderstood.
882. ė̀ $\sigma$ व $a \gamma a i \sigma t$. Aristot. Hist. An. i.
 $\sigma \phi \alpha \gamma \dot{\eta}$. Orest. 285, $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \eta$ ins $\tau \epsilon \kappa о u ́ \sigma \eta s \in i s$


 connubio olim Jovi periculoso accipiendum." Herm.
884. $\mu i a y \pi a i \delta \omega \nu$. Hypermnestra who spared Lynceus.
 are Ovid's verses on this subject, Heroid. xiv. 7, ' Quod manus extimuit jugulo demittere ferrum, Sum rea; landarer, si scelus ausa forem. Esse tram praestat, quam sic placuisse parenti. Non piget immunes caedis habere manus.'-Baat$\lambda \iota \kappa \delta \nu \quad \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu 0$, see on 793.
889. дакрои̂ 入óyov $\delta \in \hat{\text { ® }}$. The narrative is hurried on in a manner which is indicated by the marks in the text. Hermann admits Schütz's conjecture $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ for $\delta \epsilon \hat{\text {, }}$ which goes far to remove the abruptness; but he wrongly remarks that the Schol. did not read $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$, for it is $v .894$ that he
 Cf. 894.- $\gamma \epsilon \mu \bar{\eta} \nu$, sed tamen, \&c.
 shot the eagle with his bow. Apollodor.



#     

$\theta$ о $\lambda \epsilon \rho o i ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ y o ı ~ \pi \alpha i ́ o v \sigma^{\prime}$ єiкरु$\sigma \tau v \gamma \nu \eta$ § $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \kappa u ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ ä $\tau \eta$ s．
 Cf．Frag．${ }^{205}$ ．－The Med．has $\kappa \lambda \epsilon$ IIoồs，$n$ reading evidently inferior．
893．Hermann reads from MS．Guelph． $\theta \in \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\Theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu l s$ ，i．e．$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho \theta \in \omega \hat{\omega}$ ，or $\Gamma \hat{\eta}$ ． He thinks $\left.\pi a \lambda a r \gamma_{\epsilon} \nu\right\rangle$ ）s inappropriate as an epithet of Themis，and supposes that ©éeps，on which one MS．has the gloss $\hat{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}$ ，was intwoduced from 217 sup．I cannot think the alteration a judicious one．Cf．Kum． 2.
898．ăpois äruvoos，＇the point not forged with fire；＇see on 822．－रplet，ef． 578. 616.

900．фрéva 入akт $\langle\bar{S} \epsilon$ ．Used in the physical sense of＇midriff，＇as Ag．967，


 $\ell \xi \omega T \hat{e} \rho \omega$ ，said of incipient madness．
905．$\pi \rho \partial s$ к $火$ uautl．The dative is used
 rí $\mu a \tau$ ．The metaphor is an unusual one， derived from the muddy waters of a river battling with the clear waves at the
aestuary．－$\quad$ ar $\eta$ bears the true epic sense of distraction，delusion，infatuation，\＆c．

906．召 $\nu$ ．I have inclosed this in brackets，as being at least uncertain when something is wanting in the antistrophe． Dindorf omits it with Monk．The true
 к．т．ג．，though in Ar．Vesp．725，which seems to have been taken from the present

 in his mind and expressed it in a proverb by words．＇Pittacus is said to have in－
 which is here quoted in reference to marrying according to one＇s station．See on Suppl．1046，sup．72，where $\mu \eta \delta \delta^{2} \nu$ a ${ }^{2} a \nu$ is clearly alluded to，and 317，$\gamma$ i－ үขшбкє $\sigma \alpha u \tau \delta \nu$ ．Cf．Rhes．168，ойк є́छ
 Pyth．ii．63．Eur．frag．Antiop．xviii．
 So Orid，＇Si qua voles apte nubere，nube pari．＇

#  

 $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon, \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon \in \mu^{\prime}, \widehat{\omega} \quad \dot{a} \nu \tau$. á． $\sigma a \nu$ ． 914



 919



912．वै $\nu \tau \alpha \chi \in \rho \nu$ 亿itav．＇For one who is an artisan；＇the Athenians holding trade and handicraft in contempt．

914．$\pi$ ót $\nu$ tat．Dindorf admits this word on my suggestion．Hermann marks the lacuna after Moîpal，and conjectures $\mu \alpha$－ краiwnss．The humility of the chorus in deprecating the splendid fate of Io agrees well with their pious sentiments in 535 seqq．，for goodness and humility are ever inseparable．

915．$\pi \lambda a \theta \in l \eta \nu$ ．So the Med．，but most copies wrongly give $\pi \lambda a \sigma \theta \in i \eta \nu$ ．The simple $\pi \in \lambda d \dot{S} \omega$ is never contracted into $\pi \lambda \alpha \int \omega$ ，whieh is a distinct verb；yet we
 II．xii．285，from $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \pi \in \lambda \alpha \dot{d}(\omega$ ．But $\pi \lambda a \tau \delta s$ ，̆̈ $\pi \lambda a \tau 0 s, \pi \rho 6 \sigma \pi \lambda a \tau 0 s($ sup．735） are always to be written without $\sigma$ ，being verbal edjectives from the obsolete $\pi \lambda d \omega$ ， whence $̈$ ë $\pi \lambda \eta \tau \circ$ and $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \mu$ évoљ，Il．xiv． 468．Od．xii．108．Hes．Theog． 193.
 Hymn．in Cer．83．Photius，$\pi \lambda a \tau \alpha$ ． тробтєла⿱та́．Eum．53，ай $\pi \lambda a \tau 0$ îat
 Acharn．132，from the sexual sense of $\pi \in \lambda \dot{\alpha} \delta_{\delta} \omega \nu$ in the present passage and Suppl． 295．Young students will distinguish
 form of än $\lambda \eta \tau o s$ ，（generally used of some－ thing boundless or immense，as xpuads
 ＇misshapen，＇from $\pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ ，which is pro－ bably the true reading in Hesiod，Theog． 151．The by－forms $\pi \lambda d^{\prime} \theta \omega, \pi \in \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \theta \omega$（Ar． Ran．1265），and $\pi \epsilon \lambda \breve{\alpha} \tau \eta s$ ，and the adverb $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma t o \nu$ ，show that $\pi \in \lambda d^{\prime} \omega$ and $\pi \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \omega$ co－ existed．
 riage．＇Cf． 665 seqq．

918．$\mu$ é $\gamma$ a．So Schütz for $\mu \in \gamma^{d} \mu \varphi$ ， the reading of Aldus and one MS．The rest have $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi$ ．

919．$\delta v \sigma \pi \lambda$ divots．So Turn．with two or three MSS．The Med．and most others give $\delta \nu \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \nu \sigma t s$ or $\delta u s \sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \bar{d} t s$ ，a
 See on 591．On $\pi \delta \nu \omega \dot{y}$ Hermann com－
 But ${ }^{2} \lambda a \tau \epsilon i a t s \pi \delta \nu \omega \nu$ is a less intelligible expression than d̀̉acetîv $\pi$ bjots would have been．In two MSS．$\pi \delta \partial \omega \nu$ is omitted， and it seems suspicious．Porson proposed to omit $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ in 912，but it is to be feared the metre would not allow this．

920．The conclusion of the chorus， generally regarded as an epodus，is re－ duced by Hermann into strophe and anti－ strophe．There are sufficient indications of this arrangement，as Elmsley perceived， to make the restoration probable；and it must be confessed，that an＂epodus＂is too often a mere expedient for disposing of intractable antistrophic verses．It is a curious fact that the most extensive and perplexing corruptions are usually en－ countered at the ends of choruses．See sup． 434 seqq．Cho．805，\＆c．I have followed Hermann，whose knowledge and judgment in metrical matters give the highest authority to his opinion．－The

 has ov̀ $\delta \in ́ \delta i a$ ，but others retain evidences
 oudedia．In what follows the common
 ¿фиктоу 兀े ица тробдра́кои $\mu \mathrm{\epsilon}$ ．The Med． has $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta a ́ p k o \iota ~ \mu \epsilon$ ，others $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \dot{́ f} \rho \kappa о \iota \mu$ ， a few тробдрव́ $\mu \iota \quad \mu \epsilon$ ．

$\kappa \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \sigma o ̛ \nu \omega \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$ єै $\rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \rho а ́ к о \iota ~$ оै $\mu \mu^{\prime}$ ăффиктоע．  ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$. <br><br>$\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \nu$ ö $\pi \alpha$ ф ф́́रoน ${ }^{3}$ ă $\nu$ ．
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 ôs $\delta \dot{\eta}$ кєра⿱⺌兀⿱⿰㇒一乂，

 elder gods，dii majorum gentium．

924．$\delta \delta \bar{\epsilon} \gamma^{2} \delta \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 s$ ，i．e．$\delta \pi \rho \delta s$
 Suppl． 588.

928． $\bar{\eta} \mu \eta \nu^{\prime}$ ．See on 174．Blomfield translates nihilominus；but elsewhere these particles imply a threat，as Oed． Col．816．Alcest．64．Ar．Nub． 1242. Av．1259．Plut． 608.

929．olov．Robortello alone has toin， which is the more usual when followed by
 But oiov stands for סiótı toẑov，as Nub．

 $\pi \alpha i \mathrm{~s}$ ．On the marriage here meant see 786.



${ }_{\xi} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ ．These examples show that the combination is equally admissible in time past，present，or future．
 Hermann follows Porson and Blomf．in reading $\tau \iota v d \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \quad \chi \in \iota \rho l$ пирпидò $\beta \in ́ \lambda o s$. Several MSS．have $\pi \nu \rho!\pi \nu o u \nu$ ，others $\pi \nu \rho$ ． $\pi \nu 60 \nu$ ，and there are variants $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \chi \in \rho \sigma l$ ， $\chi \in \rho \sigma l$ ，è $\nu \quad \chi \in \rho l$ ，$\chi \in!\rho!$ ．Dindorf reads as in the text．Cf．àvjlityouv in 1108：Per－ haps however we should write $\pi \nu \rho \pi \nu 0 u ̂ v$ ．

941．rotov nadatat̀̀v，i．e．the son of Thetis，who was destined to be melior patre．See on 786．Apoll．Rhod．iv．




944．$\dot{\text { it } \epsilon р ~} \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ оут $\alpha$ ．This word governs a genitive，though rarely，from the sense of npel $\sigma \sigma o v a$ which it involves．So Aristot．
$\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma i a \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \gamma \hat{\eta} s \tau \tau \nu \alpha ́ \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \rho a \nu \nu$ ขócov,















Hist. An. ii. 11, íméves mondol кal i $\sigma \chi$ upol
 $v^{2} \pi a \rho \chi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$. The outline of this fine passage may clearly be traced in Pindar, Isthm. viii. 72, ồs $\kappa \in \rho a v \nu a \bar{u} \tau \in \kappa \rho \in \frac{1}{\sigma} \sigma \sigma a \nu$
 àцачианєтоө̄.

 'bodingly utter against Zeus.' See Chọ. 1034. Ar. Lysistr. 37, $\pi \in \rho l \tau \hat{\omega} y$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu \bar{\omega} \nu$


 in v .
 dorf; but Hermanm and Blomf. retain the vulgate $\tau 0 \overline{0} \delta \bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\circ}$.
957. т $̀ \nu$ 'A $\delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon t a \nu$. This gentle reproof of a noble but fruitless defiance only provokes an expression of withering con-
 ' go on courting the party in power, whoever he be; to me Zeus is an object of less concern than nothing,' i. e. a mere nonentity. - $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu$ must be taken for $\tau \grave{\partial}$
 See on Cho. 69. 'Aסpáatela was the same




 But the name seems to mean 'impossibility of escape;' and Stallbaum is probably right in explaining it 'necessitss aeterna et inevitabilis' (ad Plat. Phaedr. p. 245). Hence $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \cup \nu \in i \nu$ 'A $\quad$ ра́áтє!av was used of deprecating the odium attaching to rash words. Rhes. 342, 'A $\delta \rho d \sigma \tau \in l a$
 Cf. ibid. 468. Dem. p. 495, kal 'Aסpd-



963. т $̀ v$ той тupdyvov тoû עє́ou. The article thus repeated expresses contempt, as Soph. El. 301, $\delta \pi d \nu \tau^{\circ}$ ¿ขa


入ov̂̀теs.

## EPMHE.


 $\pi о \rho o ́ v \tau a ~ \tau ч \mu a ̀ s, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi u \rho o ̀ s ~ к \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \eta \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega . ~$













 $\pi о \lambda \lambda o \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{̀} \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̣ \lambda \lambda \epsilon i ́ t \pi \omega . ~ \sigma v ̀ ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~}$

 giming privileges to mortals.' Most MSS., as any critic would anticipate, give sò $\nu$
 others has $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\mu} \rho o t s$, which Hermann alone has ventured to defend. But his objection to the vulgate reading and interpretation (" at hoc languet, alienumque est a tota loci conformatione") appears quite groundless; and it is altogether improbable that Aeschylus should have used ${ }^{7} \mu \in \rho o s$ in any other than the familiar sense of 'tame.' The later scholiast, though he admits the reading, took it in
 $\tau \omega ิ \nu$ à $\gamma p i \not \omega \nu$. The form itself, for $\grave{\eta} \mu$ éplos, has no other analogy than EGTTEPos for évat́pos, Oed. R. 177, though we find
 and mavy垪cos. The use of one participle depending on the other, where the Romans employed the geruad, is sufficiently common.
:969. $\pi \rho \delta \delta_{\tilde{E}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau^{\prime}$. 'And by whom,' i.e. by what ison or sons he is to be ejected.

Elmsley, Blomfield, and Dindorf are certainly wrong in omitting re. On the present ék $\pi i \pi \pi \tau \iota$ see 810 .
973. Toîs tootov́rots. Cho. 283, keal toîs тогои́тогs oйтє кратйpos $\mu$ épos єìvat $\mu \in \tau \alpha-$ $\sigma \chi$ हiv. The short, forcible, and authoritative speech of Hermes is admirably conceived. The effect on such a spirit as Prometheus might have been anticipated. Yet there is no lburst of frenzied rage, no impotent outpouring of abuse. He controls himself with an effort. 'So! this is pretty well for a servant of the gods.' How much of quiet bitterness is conveyed by the term may be judged by the contemptuous $\tau \rho 6 \chi$ is of 962 .
976. ע'́ор кратєite. 'Ye are yet young in your new empire.' schol. recent, ov
 тирávyous, i. e. Uranus and Cronus.
 you,' \&c., in the sense of 'surely I do not
 т $\hat{\eta} \delta \in, \lambda \in \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a, ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta ;$ numquid videmur? Supra 255.

## 


985






990








$\Pi \dot{P}$ ．$\nu о \sigma o i ̂ \mu{ }^{\prime}$ à $\nu, ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \nu o ́ \sigma \eta \mu a ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ e ́ ~ \chi ं ~ \theta \rho o v ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon i v, ~$



986．като仑́pıбas．Hermann has the credit of first restoring this word．The common reading was ка $0 \dot{\omega} \rho \mu \iota \sigma a s$ ，which however is only found in a few inferior copies．The Med．has ka $\theta$ bporas，and there are many variants，all pointing to
 Both oủpíS $\omega$ and ratovpi $\zeta \omega$ seem some－ times active，sometimes intransitive．See on Cho，309．Pers．604．For the active sense of the compound Hermann gives the suthority of the Schol．on Trach． 828. 989．Here again I feel no doubt that Hermann is right in assigning these two verses to Hermes．I had before sug－ gested that oilual conveys irony，and is not to be taken for крєí $\sigma \sigma o \nu \nu \rho \mu!\zeta \omega$ ．By $\lambda a \pi \rho \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon ⿺$ he retorts the implied insult in גarpeías，＇Of course，you would rather bear the servitude of being chained to a rock than be born a trusty messenger to Zeus．＇To which Prometheus replies， ＇An insolent answer is due to the in－ solent，＇i．e．＇You have no cause to be offended at my calling you $\lambda \alpha d^{\prime} p t s$, since you began the insult yourself＇（sc．965）．



992．$\chi$ 入 $\delta \delta a \nu$ ，＇to pride yourself upon them，＇i．e．if you would not exchange them for another lot．

994．kal $\sigma \frac{1}{\delta} \delta^{\prime}$ ．Examples of this use are Suppl．790．Eum．65．Cho． 864. Pers．155．263．548．775．Some critics of the Porsonian school seem wrongly to have denied it a place in tragedy．
995．$\xi v \mu \phi o p a i s . ~ O n e ~ M S S . ~ h a s ~ \xi ̧ ~ \nu ~ \mu ~-~$ фopâs，which is also correct，though in a slightly different sense．Cf．Dem．p．552，

 to our idiom，＇blame me for your mis－ fortunes，＇and does not depend on è $\pi$ l．
998．$k \lambda \dot{\nu} \dot{\omega} \omega \sigma$ ．＇I perceive by your words that your madness is a malady of no small extent，＇i．e．a hatred which is not particular but universal．
 mann observes that Prometheus does not so much bewail his own troubles as give vent to his indignation at the ingratitude of the gods．Nevertheless，the exclama－ tion seems extorted by the mention of $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon เ \nu ~ \kappa a \lambda \omega \bar{s}$ ，and the reply，that Zeus knows not the word alas！confirms the ordinary meaning．It is worthy of re－

пр.
EP.
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 1010 $\pi \rho о \tau \rho \in ́ \psi \epsilon \tau a i ́ \mu \epsilon Z \epsilon \grave{s} \gamma \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$,
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \rho ீ ı \tau \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ a i ̉ \theta \alpha \lambda o v ̂ \sigma \sigma \alpha ~ \phi \lambda o ̀ \xi, ~$

 1015

 EP. ŏpa $\nu v \nu$ єĭ̉ $\sigma o \iota ~ \tau a \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime}$ à $\rho \omega \gamma$ à фaívєтal,
 EP. тó̀ $\mu \eta \sigma о \nu$, ڤ̂ $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \iota \epsilon, \tau o ́ \lambda \mu \eta \sigma o ́ \nu ~ \pi о т є ~$ 1020 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t a ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha a \rho o v ́ \sigma a s ~ \pi \eta \mu o \nu a ̀ s ~ o ̉ \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s ~ \phi \rho o \nu \varepsilon i v$.
ПР. ỏ $\chi \lambda \in \hat{i} \mathrm{~s} \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu \mu \in \kappa \hat{\nu} \mu$ ' ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s} \pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$.

 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \lambda \iota \pi \alpha \rho \eta \prime \sigma \omega ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha ~ \sigma \tau v \gamma o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$
mark that this and Theb. 206 are the only examples in Aeschylus of an iambic divided between two speakers.
1003. The meaning is, $\epsilon i$ $\delta i \delta \delta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \epsilon t$

 $\boldsymbol{\eta \rho \alpha \dot { \alpha } \kappa \omega \nu \nu \mu \nu \hat { v } \text { . }}$
1006. кal $\mu \grave{\nu \nu, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~ ' W h y ~ t r u l y, ~ I ~}$ owe him a favour, that I should repay him one.' This being equivalent to a flat refusal, and something more, Hermes replies, 'You treat my commands with as much insolence as if I were a mere child.' Where the order of the words certainly is $\dot{\alpha}_{s} \delta \delta \hat{\eta} \theta \in \nu \quad \partial \quad \nu \tau a \quad \pi a \hat{\delta} \delta a$. Similarly in Ag.
 $\phi(\lambda \omega \nu$, we must take $\omega \sigma \pi \in \rho \in \ell$ maî̀es to-
 of $u$ a being omitted in the Med, and
several other copies.
1009. $\pi \in \dot{b} \sigma \in \sigma \theta \alpha i$. Hermann with the Med. and others has $\pi \in U \sigma \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a l$, a Doric form, as in Theocr. iii. 51, is тóvow
 sup. on 694.
1013. aiea入ovิ $\sigma \sigma a$. So Canter for ai$\theta$ á $\lambda o v \sigma a$ or $\alpha i \theta a \lambda o \bar{v} \sigma \alpha$, the reading of the Med. The form in -dets contracted has been generally corrupted in MSS. Thus we have тєнขой $\sigma \alpha$ for тєнעойббк Trach. 308. alpatos for aipatov̂s Oed. Tyr. 1279. TєХ $\nu \eta{ }^{2} \sigma a$ for $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta ิ \sigma \sigma a \iota$ Od. vii. 110.
1022. тарך $\quad$ орشิע. See on 664. Inf. 1084, 'You tease me to no purpose, for you might as well try to talk over a wave.' On eiбE $\lambda \theta$ ét $\omega$ with $\mu \bar{\eta}$ see on 340 .

##  <br> $\lambda \hat{v} \sigma a i{ }^{\mu \epsilon} \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \cdot \tau 0 \vartheta ิ \pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon \epsilon \omega$.

 $\tau \epsilon ́ \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \gamma$ à $\rho$ oủ $\delta \grave{\nu} \nu$ oủ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu a \lambda \theta$ ब́a $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ кє́a $\rho$







 фа́рауүа $\beta \rho о \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ каі̀ кєраvvía ф入оуì
 тò $\sigma o ̀ v, \pi \epsilon \tau \rho a i ́ a ~ \delta{ }^{\circ}$ ả $\gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \eta ~ \sigma \epsilon \beta a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$.



 as possible from that.' A stronger phrase
 See sup. 982, $\tau \hat{\partial} \hat{O} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \partial s$ è̉ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$.
1029. Kéap. This is only found in Rob., who with the MSS. adds é $\mu$ aîs after $\lambda$ itais. The later editions, after Porson, give the reading in the text.
1034. $\mu$ ह̂ov. The MSS. give $\mu \in \hat{\imath}$ fov, which I formerly retained with Wellauer and Scholefield, but on the doubtful ground that it might, stand for où atévet
 bridge Philological Museum, i. p. 244, thinks the negative is transposed from one term to the other, and compares Dem.
 elal $\chi \in$ !pous. We have 'every thing is worse' for ' nothing is better,' in Phoen.

 taken for $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \varepsilon \hat{i} o \nu$. But I think Hermann is right in restoring $\mu$ eîo by the omission of a single letter. Compare ${ }^{*} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$ \# $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu$ in 959. So also Dindorf and Blomf. after Stanley.
1036. трккицla. The origin of this word is not very clear, unless we assume that $\tau \rho i s$ gives a merely exaggerative force, ' a great wave.' Probably however it was
derived from an observation of nature; for it is a fact that in a storm three consecutive waves of larger size come to the shore at intervals. Hence тpıкupia is not 'every third wave,' but 'a triple wave.' The Roman idea that every tenth was larger-the 'decumanus fluctus'-cannot be verified, at least on our coasts. Ovid, Trist. i. 2, 49, 'qui venit hic fluctus, fluctus supereminet omnes; Posterior nono est, undecimoque prior.'一Eँ $\pi \in \sigma \tau$, cf. Suppl.
 e $\lambda \theta \eta$. The metaphor may possibly be from a great $\mathfrak{k \pi}$ induvis or earthquakewave; see inf. 1070 . The elistion of $i$ is
 Pers. 472 , but in a doultfen passage. Cf. Hippol. 319.
1040. á $\psi \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \eta ~ \sigma \epsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \in \epsilon$. You shall be buried in the ruins of splintered rock, so that you will be held up by its stony grip without other support. Schol. Med.
 $\mu \dot{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \frac{s}{} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi$ хє $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu$. It is not easy to comprehend the exact idea in the mind of the poet. He seems to have meant that Prometheus will be wedged in a crevice and so prevented from ;falling with the fragments of the mountain. One of the

$\pi \tau \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \kappa v ́ \omega \nu, \delta \alpha \phi o u \nu \grave{s}$ aiєєòs, $\lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \beta p \omega s$



 $\pi \rho i \nu \stackrel{a}{ } \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \delta \iota \alpha ́ \delta o \chi o s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \nu \omega \nu$
 "Aiठ $\eta \nu, \kappa \nu \epsilon \phi a i \alpha ́ \alpha ~ \tau ' ~ a ́ \mu ф i ~ T a \rho \tau a ́ \rho o v ~ \beta a ́ \theta \eta . ~ . ~$
 ó кó $\mu \pi о \varsigma$, ả $\lambda \lambda$ à каì $\lambda i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu e ́ v o s . ~$

 $\pi \alpha ́ \pi \tau \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha a ̀ ~ ф \rho o ́ v \tau \iota \zeta \epsilon, ~ \mu \eta \delta^{\circ}$ aủ $\theta a \delta i a \nu$





 but not an invited one, like ordinary

 stated time of banqueting, but all day long. Schol. recent. $\delta a \iota \tau \nu \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ ס $\delta \grave{\alpha} \pi a ́ \sigma \eta s$ тîs ทi $\mu$ épas. Hes. Theog. 525, кaí of $\epsilon^{2} \pi^{3}$


 $\pi \tau \in p o s \quad o p \nu i s$. In the Prom. Solut. the bird was represented as coming tertio quoque die, frag. 193, 10, and there is no contradiction here, unless we take mav. huepas to mean 'every day.' By a poetical fiction of questionable taste Apollonius Rhodius makes the Argonauts to have actually seen the vulture, and heard the groans of Prometheus, in the Caucasus, ii. 1250 seqq., the vulture being a bird of preternatural size.


loured from being gnawed.
104\%. סıá $\delta o \chi o s . ~ A p o l l o d o r u s, ~ i i . ~ 5, ~ 11, ~, ~$ says that Hercules, having dispatched the vulture with his bow (sup. 891), aवpé $\sigma \chi \in$
 aủtov̂ é $\theta$ '่́ served death, but could not die, being immortal, Zeus was satisfed with the vicarious death of one who was equally entitled to immortality, but voluntarily resigned it on account of the pain of his incurable wound (ibid. ii. 5, 4). That such a substitute will ever offer himself, Hermes does not pretend to predict. His object is not to hold out hope, but to announce the consequences of continued obstinacy.
1052. $\lambda$ \{av. We must supply $\downarrow \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$ s from the contrast in $\psi \in u \delta \eta \gamma o \rho \in \hat{i \nu}$.
1057. ou'k চ̈кcalpa, 'what is very much to the point.' See on 513.
 unreasonable.

## 





 $\xi \nu \gamma \chi \omega َ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau^{\prime}$ oủpavíc $\nu$

 тov̉ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ảváyкךs $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho a i{ }^{\text {s. }}$ Sívaıs*


 $\tau i ́ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ e ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \pi a i \epsilon \iota \nu$

1070. $\xi v \gamma \chi \chi^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. The subject is $\tau \delta$ $\pi \nu \in \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha$, the object $\kappa \hat{\nu} \mu a \quad \pi \delta \nu \tau o v$ and . $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \bar{y} \delta \epsilon \delta \delta u s$, i. e. mix the one with the other, $\pi \delta \nu \tau о \nu \quad$ ou $\rho \alpha \nu \varphi ิ$. The $\pi \nu \in \tilde{u} \mu \sigma$, here meant is certainly the confined vapour in the interior of the earth, by which upheavals of the ocean bed and consequently vast and destructive waves are formed. Strabo uses both $\pi \nu \in \bar{v} \mu a$ and $\not \partial \nu \in \mu o s$ in this sense, in speculating on the disruption of Sicily from Italy, lib. vi. p. 258. Also in discussing the volcanic agencies under


 vinoфopás. The confusion of all the elements, which are severally enumerated in
 catastrophe in 1102 seqq., are described in terms of wonderful power; yet the language seems of secondary interest while we are absorbed in contemplating the hero's sufferings. The effect on the feel-
 -realise our highest idea of true tragedy. The unflinching firmness with which Prometheus first challenges and then meets his fate is a great conception. His very last words are finely characteristic. The sense of injustice is uppermost. It is that which imparts the pang; for he can smile at the bodily agony. And all this he might have escaped by giving the required information. Yet such is the depth of
his hatred that he prefers to endure pains only short of annihilation to benefitting his enemy by a single word.
1073. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho a i \mathrm{~s}$. Hermann gives $\sigma \tau \varepsilon-$ $\rho \in a i ̂ s$ from one MS., two others having otepais. In 180 all the copies agree in $\sigma \tau \leqslant \rho \in d$.
1074. 0avat $\omega \sigma \epsilon$. 'Do what he will, he cannot at all events punish me with death.' This is said in bitter ridicule; cf. 954. Өavatoûy is not a synonym of фovevety, but refers only to the sentence or intention of capital punishment. The meanings closely approach in Herod. i. 113, where the shepherd carries the infant Cyrus $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { at } \alpha \sigma \omega \nu, ~ i . ~ e . ~ t o ~ l e t ~ h i m ~ d i e ~ b y ~\end{aligned}$ being exposed.
1078. This verse is corrupt in the MSS., and not easy to restore by conjec-
 with the var. lect. el tád by a later hand; and the latter, with trifing deviations, is found in all the other copies. Blomfield

 which I formerly admitted, but I am now by no means satisfied with it. Hermann
 " quid enim deest ad insaniam, si ne voto quidem quidquam de furore suo remittit? i. e. si eo furoris progreditur ut etiam optet illa fieri quae minatus est Juppiter." The paraphrase would thus be: 'This is madness indeed, when not only his actions,

#  $\xi \nu \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \nu о \nu \sigma a \iota ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau о \hat{\imath} \delta \epsilon \tau о ́ \pi \omega \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \pi o \iota \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \theta_{0} \omega \hat{\omega}$, $\mu \grave{\eta} \phi \rho \in ́ v \alpha s$ vi $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \theta \iota \omega \sigma \eta$ ßрогтйs $\mu$ v́кпи’ àтє́ $\rho а \mu \nu о \nu$. 


 тои̂тó $\gamma є \tau \lambda \eta \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi a \rho \in ́ \sigma v \rho a s$ ëños.


 койк єैбть עо́боऽ

EP. $\quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oûv $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta^{\top}$ á $\gamma \grave{\omega} \pi \rho o \lambda \hat{\prime} \gamma \omega$.
but his deliberate wish and choice bring him to incur these sufferings.' It is the ne plus ultra of infatuation to say, 'Let Zeus kill me if he can,' instead of deprecating his vengeance. $\epsilon \tau \gamma \epsilon$ is 'since,' and therefore où $\delta \hat{\xi}$, not $\mu \eta \delta \bar{\xi}$, would rightly be used. Hermann supposes that $\epsilon \bar{\chi} \chi \hat{n}$ was erroneously written $\tau u \chi \hat{n}$, and $\epsilon \hat{y}$ superscribed as a correction was taken for a syllable omitted.
1081. $\mu \in \tau \alpha^{\prime}$ тol. The MSS. give $\mu \in \tau$ d nou, which Hermann retains; but in one copy oi is superscribed.— ${ }^{2} \lambda \iota \theta i \omega \prime \sigma \eta$, 'stun,' 'stupify.'
1084. тарациधov̂. See on 604.
1086. $\pi \alpha$ át́ovpas. 'You have put in (as it were) by a side stroke, and not in a plain and straightforward way.' Schol.
 $\mu \eta \theta \in ́ \alpha$. But this is a very unusual sense. Properly it is said of torrents which carry down trees and stones along side of their banks. Ar. Equit. 527, $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \in \omega s$
 Tduvous. Strabo, zii. p. 539, $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \in l s$

 фávive mod入ás. Here however it must mean 'to drag in sideways.' For by addressing them as ai $\xi v \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \nu o v \sigma \alpha l, ~ \& c .$, Hermes had binted that they must expect to be treated as taking part with the culprit, if they did not, by instantly moving off, formally withdraw the expression of their sympathy. To stand by a friend in distress was, to Athenian ideas,
a principle that could not be yielded without moral turpitude. There is great poetical skill in this determination of the chorus. By their siding with Prometheus they indirectly show their disapproval of the conduct of Zeus in this particular instance,-a disapproval the more weighty from their avowed principles of general obedience. Their feminine gentleness and piety have throughout been contrasted with the stern obstinacy and blasphemies of Prometheus. Yet in the end their courage is equal to his; and it is even more sublime, since it proceeds from far higher and more disinterested motives, the duty of suffering with the oppressed in the cause of justice.
1089. тoìs $\pi \rho 0 \delta$ ótas $\gamma$ áp. Blomfield and others needlessly transpose roùs yàp $\pi \rho o \delta o ́ t a s$, for such corrections are but attempts to improve on the original. In $\pi \rho o \delta \dot{\sigma} \tau \alpha s \mu$ $\mu \sigma \in \hat{i} y$ there is a political allusion. Themistocles, as Hermann has shewn from Diodorus xi. 54, was banished by ostracism as a traitor, Ol. 77 2, but had before that been assailed by a certain Timocreon of Rhodes, in verses preserved by Plutarch, Vit. Them. cap. 21, as guilty of the grave misdemeanour of betraying a
 a private rather than a public affair, was sufficiently notorious to be mentioned on the stage; which seems the more likely, as Themistocles was politically unpopular at this time.
1092. á $\gamma \omega$. Thus Porson, whom Blomf.

$\mu \epsilon \mu \psi \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \dot{\chi} \chi \eta \nu, \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon \pi \sigma T^{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \pi \eta \theta^{\circ}$

$\pi \hat{\eta} \mu^{\prime}$ єi $\sigma \in ́ \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu^{\cdot} \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ ，av̇ $\tau a i{ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$
í $\mu a ̂ s ~ a u ̉ \tau a ́ s " ~ \epsilon i \delta v i ̂ a l ~ \gamma a ̀ ~ \rho ~$
кои̉к $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \neq i ́ \phi \nu \eta s$ ov̉סè $\lambda a \theta \rho \alpha i ́ \omega s$
єis $\alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu \tau о \nu ~ \delta i ́ к \tau v o \nu ~ a ̈ \tau \eta s ~$
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \in \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \theta^{\text {v }} \pi^{\prime}$ ả $\nu o i ́ a s . ~$
 $\chi^{\theta} \grave{\omega} \nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta \rho v \chi i ́ a \delta^{\circ} \eta \chi^{\grave{\omega}} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu v \kappa \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota$


єi入í$\sigma \sigma o v \sigma \iota \quad \sigma \kappa \iota \rho \tau \underset{a}{\hat{a}} \delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$ $\pi \nu \epsilon \cup ́ \mu a \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \epsilon i s$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \alpha$ $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ ả $\nu \tau i \pi \nu \nu \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \in \nu a$.
$\xi \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime} \alpha i \theta \eta \grave{\eta} \rho \pi o ́ \nu \tau \not \subset$.

今̉ $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta ̂ s ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta a s, ~ \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$

 $\gamma \epsilon, \sum_{\lambda} \lambda \gamma \omega$ ．The sound is not pleasing to our ears；but it does not follow that it would have offended a Greek．In spite of Hermann＇s assertion，＂ä $\tau \epsilon$ dici non potu－ isse certum est，＂I am inclined to think there is authority for it in Il．xv．130，ouk
 Still，as \＆＇zid，written without a crasis， would have been tampered with by me－ trists，it is at least as probable that＂ar ${ }^{\prime}$＇or ${ }_{\alpha} \quad \pi \tau$＇is a transcriber＇s correction．
 caught，or overtaken by the consequences of your own folly，＇i．e．calamity．Cf． 905.

1095．दोmpoomтov．On the open syl－ lables see Monk on Hipp． 1363.
 à $\mu 申\langle\beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho \circ \nu$, Ag．1353，properly said of a bag－net out of which there is no exit． Here it perhaps passes into the general sense of＂$\pi$ oopov，unless we should rather understand the meshes of a net which will
allow the head of a fish to be entangled， but not its body to be drawn through （ $\pi \in \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ）．

1103．Bpuxía गुरб́．The subterranean sound of thunder，Bроцтŋиата $\chi^{\theta 6 \nu L a,}$ sup．1014，i．e．the rumbling which pre－ cedes or accompanies earthquakes，and which was doubtless imitated in the theatre．Hermann proves from Plato and Lucian that úmoApúxoos is used，without reference to water，though connected with Bpé $\chi \in I \nu$ ，of that which is covered up and concealed from sight．Strabo also，vi． p．275，calls a subterranean river vino－ Bpúxios．In Pers．399，$\pi \alpha i \in i \nu \quad \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \mu \eta \nu$ B $\rho U_{\chi L a}$ is accurately said of the oars which resist the water some way below the surface．

1104．é $\lambda, \kappa \epsilon s$ ．Like $\beta \delta \sigma \tau \rho u \chi o s$ in 1065， the word happily expresses zig－zag light－ ning，which was technically called èierlas．
 fear，＇but＇intended to frighten me；＇this being the force of the present participle．

1112．Hermann，who considers that

##  

this system answers to 1061 seqq., and the two speeches of Hermes, of nine lines each, to each other, that of the chorus being a $\mu \in \sigma \omega \delta \partial s$, reads $\bar{\omega}$ 〇ب́ $\mu t s, \bar{\omega} \Gamma \hat{\eta}$, after $\sigma \tau \in!\chi \in l$ $\phi a \nu \in \rho \omega \bar{s}$. In two or three copies $\Theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu l s$ is found after $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$, and the Schol. Med. explains © $\mu \eta \tau \rho \dot{\rho} s{ }_{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} s$ $\sigma^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon}$ as by $\hat{\omega} \gamma \hat{n}, \hat{\eta} \bar{\omega} @ \epsilon \in \mu s$. His argument however is independent of either metre or MSS., viz. that the actual name or names (see on 218) of his mother are required, for
that the bare $\overline{\widehat{c}} \mu \eta \tau \rho \partial s{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} s \sigma^{\prime} \beta \alpha s$ is " obscurior, et eam ob causam etiam minus gravis omninoque minus apta." It is, perhaps, only obscure to us, in consequence of the doubt about $217-8$, supra. There is no reason why we may not understand Themis, especially as the goddess of justice was naturally appealed to as a witness against injustice. On the uncertainty of anapaestic correspondence I have remarked sup. 196.

## IIEPシAI.

## $\Upsilon \Pi O \Theta E \Sigma I \Sigma$.


 тaṽ่ๆข.























 тıvès סè̀ кaì Tétaptov $\Delta$ apeîov $\lambda$ éqovolv tival.

## PERSAE.

This play was acted, as the Argument tells us from the didascaliae, in the Archonship of Meno, Ol. 76. 4, or b.c. 473 , only seven years after the battle of Salamis. Dindorf, following the testimony of

 It seems however that it was brought out the year after the Persae ; see Schol. ibid.s, and introductory note to the next play; so that the words of Aristophanes cannot be literally understood. The Persae was probably composed in rivalry rather than in imitation of the Phoenissae of Phrynichus, which had gained the prize Ol. 75. 3. There can be little doubt that the poet's detailed account of the battle is circumstantially correct; more so, as Mr. Blakesley with great reason argues, than the later and probably popularised narrative of Herodotus. It is the earliest specimen of Greek history that we possess, though a history in verse. It is said that this play was acted a second time at Syracuse at the instance of Hiero (Blomf. Praef. p. xxix.), and indeed, from the very nature of the subject,--the only one not borrowed from heroic myths among extant Greek tra-gedies,-it is not unlikely that it was repeatedly reacted ( ${ }^{\mathfrak{c} v e \delta \delta \delta \alpha \chi} \theta \eta \eta$ ). The tradition has been discountenanced by modern critics; yet there are good grounds for suspicion that it has been to some extent remodelled ( $\delta u a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \theta \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ' or ávarкєvar $\theta_{\epsilon} \nu$ ), and some passages interpolated by a later hand. And hence perhaps we may explain the absence of a passage extant in the time of Aristophanes, Ran. 1028, and of certain words quoted by ancient authors as from the Persae of Aeschylus, ínógivios and $\nu \eta \rho \iota \tau o \tau \rho o ́ \phi o v s$ (Schol. on Hermogenes and Athen. iii. p. 86, s). On this subject I still adhere to the opinion expressed in the former edition, and the reasons will be found in the course of the notes. The chorus consisted of twelve Persian elders. The tomb of Darius was represented by the thymele in the orchestra, as may be inferred from v. 682, where Darius says to the chorus,

 peared on the stage, the invocation is consistent with the Greek idea that the spirit hovered over the actual tomb.
ta tor $\triangle$ PAMATO乏 ПРO¿, $\Omega$ П.

XOPOZ TEPONTIN.
ATOE:A.
attenoz.
EIARAON $\Delta$ APEIOY.
EEPEHE.

## ПEPシAI.

## XOPOZ.

$T \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$ oì $\chi \circ \mu \hat{\mu} \nu \omega \nu$
 $\kappa a i ̀ \tau \omega ิ \nu \dot{a} \phi \nu \epsilon \omega ิ \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi о \lambda \nu \chi \rho v ́ \sigma \omega \nu$

 $\Delta a p \epsilon \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta_{s}$

 каì $\pi \circ \lambda \nu \chi \rho v ́ \sigma o v ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̂ s ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~$
 $\mu \in \theta a$ Miatol, which was the title officially given to the councillors of the king, much in the sense of our word "trusty" in letters patent. Inf. 173 they are addressed as $\gamma \eta p a \lambda \epsilon ́ a$ nเศт $\omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, and in $677, \bar{\omega} \pi เ \sigma \tau \mathrm{c} \pi t \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. The neuter plural seems to be borrowed from the opening of the Phoenissae of Phrynichus, $\tau \dot{d} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \bar{l}$ $\Pi_{\epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi d \lambda a \iota \beta_{\epsilon} \beta \eta \kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, though we know not whether $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ III $\sigma \tau \alpha$ followed in his second verse. Cf. Eum. 465, крivara

 Appías.
4. єठрdvav фи́лакєs. The immediate duty of these elders in the absence of the king was to act as his vicegerents generally, and especially to collect and keep the royal revenue. The idea however seems, like many details in this play, to be partly Greek: see Ag. 248, where the old men are ralas $\mu$ оу $\delta \phi \rho o v \rho o \nu$ "̈ркоs, and Theb. 10-13. By $\delta$ © $\alpha a \nu a$ he means the city of Susa, where was the chief treasure of the king. Herod. จ. 49, इov̂бa, -




 $\sigma \kappa \in u \hat{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$. It subsequently became the spring and summer residence; Plutarch, de Exilio, § 12, tóvs $\gamma \in$ Пєрб $\omega \nu$


 pare Strabo, xi. p. 522, fin. with p. 524 , § 5. Xen. Anab. iii. 5, 15.-кã̀े $\pi \rho \in \sigma$ Belav, 'according to seniority.' The Schol. Med. explains калд̀ $\tau \iota \mu \eta \nu$ aipe $\epsilon^{\prime} \varphi \tau \tau s$. Without doubt the notion of dignity (agl$\omega \mu a$ ) is involved, because they must have been selected for other qualities independently of their age. They are described however (inf. 1035) as having $\lambda \in \cup \kappa \hbar p \eta$ $\tau p i \chi \alpha$, and are $\xi \nu \nu \eta \lambda i k \in s$ with Darius v. 780.
 On the genitive in this construction com. pare $\mu \epsilon \tau o \kappa \epsilon i \nu \gamma \eta \eta^{\prime}$ Suppl. 603. Inf. 759,


# како́ $\mu \nu \tau \iota s$ ä $\gamma \alpha \nu$ ópбо入отєī $\alpha \iota$ $\theta v \mu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ै \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$,     oï $\tau \epsilon \tau$ ò $\Sigma$ 'ov́ $\sigma \omega \nu$ ク̉ $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} E \kappa \beta a \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ 

10. ’’рболотєі̂тац, 'is agitated,' properly, 'is ruffled.' It may be regarded as a synonym of $\phi p l \sigma \sigma \epsilon$, , for the most plausible derivation is ob $\rho \sigma \partial s$, Doric for óp $\theta \delta s$, and $\lambda 6 \phi o s$, which passed into $\lambda 6 \pi \sigma o s$ through the Aeolic $\lambda \delta \pi \phi o s$. It means therefore 'to set up the crest,' or 'bristle up the mane,' as an angry lion. Hes.


 Mars had the appropriate epithet of op $0 \sigma$. גotos given by Anacreon; see Blomf. Gloss. We bave ö $\rho \sigma \partial \mathrm{s}$ in Lysistr. 995, and also in the compound $\quad$ b $\rho \sigma o 0$ úp $\eta$ (Pho-

 Ai $\sigma \chi$ in $\lambda o s$, evidently referring to this
 $\pi о \lambda є \mu \epsilon \bar{\nu}$, i. e. 'to be pugnacious,' like a strutting cock. In the Homeric Hymn
 sense 'to shew fight.' Hermann connects it with $\dot{\partial} \lambda \delta \pi \tau \tau \epsilon \nu$ from $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi \omega$, and ò $\lambda о ф$ बíos, but the probability of this etymology is, I think, not very great.
11. $\beta a \dot{u} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon$. 'And it (sc. $\theta v \mu \partial s$ ) frets for our youthful hero,' Xerses. If the

 But the reading is not altogether free from suspicion, because the nominative to Baî $S e l$ is rather ambiguous, and the verb itself is somewhat improperly used. As $\phi \in\langle\zeta \epsilon \epsilon \mathcal{D}$ is from $\phi \in \hat{\nu}$ (Ag. 1279), so $\beta \alpha \dot{\forall} \zeta \in \epsilon$ from $\beta \alpha \hat{v} \beta a \hat{v}$ (bow-wow) expresses the uneasiness of a dog whining and barking for its master. Cf. Ag. 436, táde $\sigma \hat{i} \gamma \dot{\alpha}$ tis $\beta a \dot{u} \zeta_{\zeta} \epsilon$, said of the secret murmurs of dissatisfied people. The Schol. explains à चакалєitaц, and the construction may be defended on the principle that verbs of satisfaction, pleasure, \&c., and the contrary, take an accusative of the object. Hermann thinks $\not \quad \nu \delta \rho a$ an interpolation arising from $\delta \notin \beta a \ddot{U} \zeta \epsilon \iota$ being added in the margin and copied into the wrong place by the next transcriber. He reads thus :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ̀̀рбодотєїтаи }
\end{aligned}
$$

It seems to me that so violent a change is not justifiable. There is no mere tautology, as he complains, for $\gamma$ à $\rho$ amplifies and explains the preceding statement: ' My mind begins by this time to be anxious about the return of Xerxes and the army; for the land is emptied of its troops, and we are in doubt of the safety of the king, while day after day we are disappointed of the looked-for news.' Some (with the Schol.) make 'A ${ }^{\prime}$ ia implied in 'Aбtaroyevirs the subject to
 rally for $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \tau ो \nu \nu \in \dot{\partial} \tau \eta \tau a$; but this is still less satisfactor̈y.
14. a $\gamma \gamma \in$ غ $o s$. The true reading is perhaps ${ }^{2} \gamma \gamma a p o s$, for in Ag. 273 the MSS. give à $\gamma \gamma^{\prime}$ ㅅou $\pi u p d s$, though à árápou is quoted by the grammarians, and we know from the account of Herodotus, viii. 98, that the royal couriers were called arizapob, and the service àryapfioy. But oüre $i \pi \pi \in \grave{s} s$ may seem to show that by ${ }^{2} \gamma \gamma \in \lambda o t$ foot-messengers are meant, the áaraption being $\delta \rho \alpha \mu \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega ิ \nu \geqslant \pi \pi \omega \nu$. Herodotus, when describing the latter, specifies a $\gamma-$



 complaint of the chorus must be rejgarded rather as a tragic effect than as historically correct.
16. oltc. This refers to $\pi a ̂ \sigma a$ ' $\sigma \sigma \chi$ ìs above, and specifies the nations of which the aggregate force was composed. But $l$ strongly suspect we should read oi $\delta \hat{k}$, 'but they are gone,' \&c.-For 'Екßarduay, the reading of all the MSS., Blomf. and Dind. give 'A ${ }^{\prime} \beta a \tau \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \omega \nu$ after Brunck, as the older form. The question appears very uncertain; Strabo writes the word as in the text, and Hermann quotes Ste-
$\pi \epsilon \zeta$ oí $\tau \epsilon \beta$ ád $\begin{aligned} & \text { qu }\end{aligned}$20таүоі Пєроөิ，бои̂vтal，$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \hat{a}_{S} \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ ёфорои，25＇A $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \beta a \dot{\rho} \eta \mathrm{~s} \theta^{\prime}$＇imтьoхá $\rho \mu \eta$ ，

phanus of Byzantium（in v．＇A $\gamma \beta$ árava） in proof that it is the ancient Persian orthography．On the other hand，inf．v． 940 the Med．has＇A $\gamma \beta$ ár $\quad$ 立a，and the name is said to be derived from an Arabic word Agbatha，＇parti－coloured．＇It was the capital of Media，and is now Hamadán．

17．Kioftvov．Blomf．and Dind．give Kla $\sigma$ iov with one MS．Aeschylus seems to have wrongly supposed there was a city Kl $\sigma \sigma a$ ，distinct from Susa，whereas the district in which Susa stood was called

 Zỗ
 Cho．415 the MSS．rightly give Kıoбias， as referring to an inhabitant：With Kio－ ouvov Hermann properly compares Bt́－ Bגıya ópg Prom．830，but in saying that the regular form would have been $\mathrm{K} \iota \sigma$－ olandy he did not perceive that the poet had in view an imaginary city Cissa both here and in 123.

18．égay．To avoid the short syllable Blomf．writes tol $\mu \hat{e} \nu$ and $\tau o l \delta^{\circ}$ ，a form which occurs in 570.
19．$\beta$ dín $\eta$－$\pi a \rho \in ́ \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon s, ~ ' p r e s e n t i n g ~$ close ranks in their march，＇i．e．not ad－ vancing in disorder but in battle array． To join $\pi \in \zeta 0 l$ $\beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \eta \nu$ with Blomfield is to throw away a significant word．

21 seqq．The list of names that follow， rather in accordance with epic narrative， must be regarded as partly historic，since they are identical or nearly so with those mentioned by Herodotus．They are Grecised Persian words，in some cases slightly changed to suit the metre，as

 Фарацঠӑкクу inf．936．We read in Herod．
 $\tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \sigma \pi \eta s$ ibid．64，${ }^{\top}$ A $\rho \sigma \alpha \mu \eta s$ ibid．69，and Maбíтฑs in cap．82．Also Фapaydátทs in cap．79．Blomfield（Praef．ad Pers． p．xiv．）has a strange idea that the Persian names were invented by the poet，＇quae aures Atticas ludicra quadam scabritie titillarent．＇Nothing can be more ground－ less than such a notion，except the theory propounded by the same editor，that this play partakes of a comic character because Xerxes appears at the conclusion divested of his royal accoutrements and uttering lamentations．This is to judge of anti－ quity by habits not only modern，but national．

24．थ̈́тохои．Compare ヶároха inf． 225. On the forces led by the petty kings， tributaries to Xerxes，see Herod．viii． 67. Hence the Persian title＇King of Kings＇ or＂the Great King．＇See inf． 671.

ä $\lambda \lambda$ дovs $\delta$ ' ó $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma$ аs каì $\pi о \lambda \nu \theta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu$

П $\eta \gamma a \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu$ Aiyv
 $\mu e ́ \gamma a s{ }^{\prime} A \rho \sigma a ́ \mu \eta s, \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\omega} \gamma v \gamma i o v s$


$\delta \epsilon ı \nu o i ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o o_{s} \tau^{\prime}$ à $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho t \theta \mu o u$.







32. Z $\omega \sigma \theta$ divns. On the spondaic termination see Suppl. 7. Ag. 357. Inf. 154.
33. $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \theta \rho \epsilon \in \mu \mu \omega \nu N \in i \lambda o s$. See on Suppl. 835. With ミouaıakdinj, \&c. understand with Dindorf oiol eiot, or $\sigma o \hat{y} \nu \tau a \varepsilon$ from v. 25.
 anapaests compare inf. 52. 544. Suppl. 952. Eum. 992. Ag. 1059. Thucyd. i.
 ruaticy of et ettol. Schol, recent. "Eגos
 racleotic mouth of the Nile.
41. $\Lambda v \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{y}$. They are called ${ }^{\dot{a}} \beta$ poofíaitou in contrast with the more warlike eastern tribes. By the following words the poet intends to include Ionia. Lydi, qui omnes continentes incolas comprehendunt, Hermann. Strabo thus uses raré $\chi \in t \nu$, lib. v.



 катє́хєта. For there were some Ionian settlements within the Lydian territory, Herod. i. 142, but Aeschylus disguises the humiliating fact that they were compelled to serve with Persia as tributaries of the Great King. See on 767. The Asiatic Ionians were not famed for bravery so much as for luxury and effeminacy, so that ${ }_{\dot{2}}^{\mathrm{a}} \beta$ poótaitco may be supposed to glance at
them as well as the Lydians. Propertius, i. 6, 31, calls it ' mollis Ionia.'
42. $\quad \pi / \pi \pi \alpha \nu$. This is a rare adjective. See on Suppl. 801. Dindorf quotes from an inscription èminaytes 'Iepotútyia. The
 but in the adverb the final syllable is short, as is the neuter of $\pi \rho \delta \pi a s$.
43. Mirpoyafins. This name is variously written. The penult should perhaps be long in strict prosody; but see on v. 21. Hermann compares Mitpadárøs Herod. i. 121, and Mıгpoßá $\tau \eta s$, ibid. iii. 120. The first part of these names is Mitpas. Believing the word to be half Greek, and comparing фinovaөi)s.Theb.
 with Schütz and Dindorf, inclosed roùs in brackets as spurious, understanding the sense thus: 'and those who keep in check (command) the whole of the inland colonists, Mitragathes and Arcteus.' Dindorf however retains the vulgate in ed. 1851, and so Blomfield and Hermann.
44. $\delta$ lomot. Hesych. in ádionoy: $\delta$ iomot, of $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ עè̀s фú入aкєs. See Frag. 227 and 261.
45. ral. Blomf. and Herm. read $\chi$ ai,

 however the metre requires the article.
47. $\delta 1 \rho \rho \nu \mu a$ каl $\tau \rho!\rho \rho \nu \mu a$. Ranks of

chariots having two and three poles, or three and four horses abreast. The Schol. seems wrong in explaining té $\theta \rho \iota \pi \pi a$ kal


49. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \bar{\tau} \tau \alpha$. So Dindorf (in his Preface to ed. 1851) and Hermann with one MS., the $\nu$ in $\sigma \tau \in \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ being also marked with a dot, as suspicious, in the Med. This gives a "schema Pindaricum," though in that rare construction the metre seems mostly to have been imperative. Cf. Eur.



 тикov. In this case, as the metre equally admits $\sigma \tau \epsilon \bar{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha$, the singular could not have proceeded from an emendator.

 vils of the spear ' are those who resist the spear, or turn its point against their shields. Thus in Nub. 422 Strepsiades
 \%y. Antiphanes (in Camb. Phil. Mus. i. p. 591), тоîs ф(入ots tolovtool tís eilu, ти́ттєбӨal $\mu$ údpos.
52. а̀коитtбтаl Mugol. Herod. vii. 74,
 тoL $t$, i. e. sticks with the points hardened in the fire. Throughout this narration of the forces the poet accurately defines the arms and equipments of each nation. His object must have been to write as an historian, though he may have borrowed the idea from the second book of the Iliad.
54. $\sigma \dot{\prime} \rho \delta \eta \nu . \quad$ De magnis copiis dictum, quae longis tractibus quasi inundant vias - qua metaphora Aeschylus v. 89 seqq. utitur, $\mu \in \gamma \alpha \lambda \psi \hat{\rho} \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau \iota \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ dicens et そ̌uaxov кरิua $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s . " ~ H e r m a n n . ~$ Anything carried down by a current is said $\sigma \dot{\prime} \rho \in \sigma \theta a$. Strabo uses the word of gold dust, iii. p. 146, and elsewhere.
56. Ėк $\pi d \alpha \sigma \eta s{ }^{3} A \sigma l a s$. Not from any one particular country, but from all parts, the sabre being the common eastern weapon.
63. tokées $\delta^{\prime}$. So Blomf., Dind., Herm. for токє́es $\tau^{2}$. Compare II. ii. 136,



65 seqq. I have followed Dindorf in arranging these Ionic a minore verses in dimeters rather than monometers, though
with some irregularity in the length to avoid the constant division of words rendered necessary by the former method, to which Hermann adheres. See similar systems Prom. 405-21, and Suppl. 996 seqq. Many of the feet are here catalectic, viz. - - for - - -, which implies a pause or check in the choral step or beat. Thus v. 71 should be scan-

 us that Eupolis parodied the opening
 Mapıкās.
71. $\pi 0 \lambda$ र́子o $\mu \phi$ ор $\delta \delta \iota \sigma \mu a$, ' having thrown a pathway of boats as a yoke on the neck of the sea.' Barges fastened together and moored by ropes, $\lambda \iota \nu \delta \delta \in \sigma \mu 0 t \sigma \chi \in \delta i a t$, and finally overlaid with faggots, are described by Herod. vii. 36 as the pontoon bridges used on this memorable occasion. The epithet refers rather to the construction of the vessels themselves (Suppl. 434) than to the pathway being nailed to the decks. So indeed the author of the longer and later Greek introduction to the play re-

 the later Scholiast rightly adds, $\omega \sigma \pi \in \delta \delta \delta \nu$
 rouфoy єite. It was these ropes, which the poet calls metaphorically $\delta \in \sigma \mu \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a$, inf. 741, added to the notion of Suydy here expressed, which gave rise to the absurd story of Xerses having thrown chains into the Hellespont, and the consequent exaggerations of flogging and braṇding the recusant waves, as Mr. Blakesley has well pointed out, Herod. vol. ii. p. 207.

 literally as the narrow outlet between two seas, deserves attention. On §u $\boldsymbol{\partial} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ compare the oracle in Herod. viii. 20, $\phi \rho a \dot{d} \epsilon o$
 $\beta u ́ \beta \lambda \iota \nu o \nu$.

 Suppl. 747. It is called $\theta \in \hat{\varepsilon} 0 \nu$ in accurate imitation of Persian presumption.
76. $\delta\left\llcorner\chi \chi^{6} \theta \in \nu\right.$. 'In two divisions, both by land and sea.' $\pi \in$ Govo $\mu o t s$ is the dative after ĖAad́vet, as in the common phrase
 $\sigma \tau p a \tau t \hat{a}$. Hermann praises Blomfield for
 other way, pointed out by the later Scholiast, seems to be much simpler and better. On દ̇фétクŋs see Prom. 3.

 There is another reading xpuбov $6 \mu, \nu$, adopted by Blomfield, but rightly rejected by Herm. and Dind.
 ঠ́́ $\rho \gamma \mu a$. Il. xvii. 209, $\hat{\eta}$, каl кขаขє́ $\neq \iota \nu$
 319, mopфvpéq. In both places Hermann retains the uncontracted form, in which there is synizesis, as I had done against кuavoûv and $\pi о \rho \phi u \rho \underset{i}{c}$ of later editors.
85. ठı́́кшข. See Theb. 366. Dr, Donaldson (New Crat. p. 576, and Varron. p. 49) understands इúpıoy ápue of the chariot of the sun. In the oracle preserved by Herod, vii. 140, we have
 of course uncertain whether the word






means simply 'Syrian,' and if so, why the epithet was applied, or whether it is a Greek vocalisation of a Persian word. May not the á $\rho \mu a \Delta i d s$ ipd $\nu$ of Herod. vii. 40 ; viii. 115 , be meant? Perhaps indeed the poet meant nothing more than 'bringing Syrian war-chariots,' and intended to enumerate the principal forces by land and sea. Schol. àvzl тov̂ 'A $A \sigma$ úpıov' oil
入oûvтo. Herodotus, v. 49, mentions the Cappadocian Syrians as tributary to the Great King. But Syria and Assyria are sometimes confused; though in fact both at this time were included in the Persian Empire, which, the student should remember, corresponded rather with Turkey in Asia and Africa than with the modern Persia, or country east of the Euphrates as far as Cabool. See Strabo, lib. xvi. init.
 which seems a boast in the mouth of the Persian, would sound ridiculous in the. ears of the Greek; and such was the meaning of the poet, who throughout the parode adopts a sort of irony in making the chorus really speak rather to the advantage of the Greeks.
90. бокл $\mu$ оs. "Nemo adeo probatus est ut si id in se recipiat magna multitudine virorum ut valido munimento arcere possit invictum maris fluctum." Hermann. I have no doubt this is the true sense of $\delta \delta \kappa \iota \mu o s$, which others explain $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \dot{\kappa} \mu \mu$ оs, as if from $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о н \hat{\alpha} \nu$. Schol.
 $\lambda \eta \nu$. But I see no reason why itootàs $\delta \in \dot{\jmath} \mu a \tau t \quad \phi \omega \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ should not mean ' withstanding the tide of war,' like ovideis

 $\delta \alpha ; \pi \in \delta o i s ~ \chi o p \in u \sigma \sigma \epsilon$. The metaphor is thus better sustained; for to keep off a wave of the sea implies the opposing and pushing it back. Cf. Theb. 80. 85, and ib. 64,
 other sense of ijrootàs is defensible. Xen.


 é $\phi$ ón $\eta$.
 ด̈тороs. Schol. àкатаца́дクтоs.
95. The meaning seems to be, that too much confidence in military preparations is not wise, for there is no knowing how far fortune may deceive: that fate is irresistible, and the long training the. Persians have had in naval and military affairs may after all have been destined to bring about a disastrous consummation. The reader is thus as it were prepared to find in the end that the preceding proud boasts have come to nothing.
98. ${ }^{2} \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \pi \eta \delta$ 'inuatos, "being master of a leap,' is certainly an unusual phrase, but not altogether unlike $\kappa \omega \pi \eta s$ âva in 380. Schol. recent. крат $\omega \hat{\nu}$, ठ̀s $\gamma \alpha ̀ \rho \tau \alpha-$
 $\pi \in \tau$ éos Z $\quad \rho \chi \in$. Hermann follows Blomf. and Dind. in reading $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{q} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, which he pronounces 'haud dubie verum.' He disposes of the insuperable difficulty of the genitive by cutting the knot, and altering $\pi \eta \delta \delta \hbar \mu \alpha \tau \sigma s$ into $\pi \hat{\eta} \delta \eta \mu^{3}$ ä $\lambda, 15$, like $\pi \not ŋ \eta \eta \mu^{2}$ oे povías Ag. 799. It is needless to refute those who think that $\pi 0 \delta l \pi \eta$ $\delta \eta \mu a \tau o s$ can stand for $\pi o \delta \delta s \pi \eta \delta \hbar \mu a \tau L$, even with Prom. 919 apparently in their favour; nor is it much better to take $\pi 0 \delta 6$
 $\delta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota$, because the epithet $\kappa \rho \alpha \iota \pi \nu \underset{\sim}{\hat{\omega}}$ already gives that sense. For є $\boldsymbol{j} \pi \in \tau \bar{\omega} s$ most MSS., with the Scholiasts, give €ümetéos, but two have єป่̉тєтéws. Porson corrected єủтeтoûs, but the synizesis might be defended by 81 and 319. The whole context, especially compared with Ag. 1347,
 the poet has in view a beast inclosed in a net from which there is no escape but by leaping over it. Schol. recent. $\tau$ is $\hat{v} \pi \in \rho$.

 would be an extraordinary gift, and therefore he would be rightly said $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$, to be master of it, to be able to carry it into effect.
$\phi \iota \lambda o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ रà $\rho \pi о \tau \iota \sigma \alpha i ́ \nu o v \sigma \alpha$ тò $\pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau o \nu \pi a \rho a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \beta \rho o \tau \grave{\nu}$100
חє́ $\rho \sigma a \iota s \quad \sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.то入є́ $о$ оуs $\pi v \rho \gamma$ обaikтоvs


$\lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ ..... $\stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$.$\pi i ́ \sigma v \nu o \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o \delta o ́ \mu o \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma \mu a \sigma \iota ~ \lambda \alpha o \pi o ́ p o \iota s ~ \tau \epsilon \mu a \chi a \nu a i ̂ s . ~$тâ̂тá $\mu \circ \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi i ́ \tau \omega \nu$$\sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.$\phi \rho \grave{\nu} \mathfrak{a}^{\alpha} \mu \dot{\gamma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \phi o ́ \beta \omega$,120
100. тотเбаlvovac. This is Hermann's excellent correction for $\sigma$ aivov $\sigma$. As the
 Prom. 854), it is wonderful that no critic had hit upon it before, especially as the metre so clearly points it out. Dindorf introduces a more extensive alteration,
 kuas ${ }^{\text {arta. Wellauer had been nearer the }}$ mark in $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \alpha, \nu \rho v \sigma \alpha$. To Hermann also $a_{i} \tau \sigma$ is due, and from the same source, for the Schol. quotes Il. ix. 505, in $\delta^{\circ}$ a $\quad \tau \eta$
 no means necessary to change d̀кки́oтat' to ăpкvas. See Ag. 1346. Eum. 112. The metaphor in $\pi$ aןdóyєt, 'seduces,' is from hunting by decoys, or other methods of enticing animals rather than driving them into the snare.
101. $\tau \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$. For $\delta \theta \epsilon \nu$, as in Ag. 213.
 ble, but, I think, unsound correction. For $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \rho$ is essential to the idea of leaping
 word that we need not be surprised if a



 introduces a wrong notion, that of stealing out, or getting from under the net.
102. $\theta \in \delta \theta \in \nu$ ráp. See on 95. The Schol. understands this as a reason why the Persians should not be conquered; whereas the $\gamma \dot{d} \rho$ shows why (as we say)
they are " in for it," having long been led by fate to pursue the dangerous path of war. The former interpretation would hold good if the warning about the snares of fate were meant to apply to the Greeks, i.e. to their infatuation in presuming to oppose the Persians. But such is not the meaning of the poet, as is clear from 118.
109. тирүoдathтous. Here used ac-
 Cho. 845.
111. ${ }^{\text {en }} \mu \mathrm{a} \theta \mathrm{ov}$. Not being by nature or geographical position a naval people, they have learnt the art from the Greek tributaries. This is said with the feeling that what is mapd фúaty may well be a source of anxiety as to the result.
 - $\lambda \epsilon \pi т о \delta \delta \mu o l s$, i. e. $\lambda \in \pi \tau o i s$. Both expressions, that which follows being exegetical, alike refer to the pontoon bridge, $\lambda \iota \nu \delta \delta \in \sigma \mu 0 \iota \sigma \chi \in \delta i \alpha t, \mathrm{v} .69$. It is clear that $\pi$ lavyou conveys a certain misgiving, which is more openly declared in the succeeding strophe.
118. тâ̂ra, סı̀̀ taîta, as inf. 161.-

 $\tau$
 Dr. Donaldson thinks it the oriental Wahl (Varron. p. 49.)-arpartduacos depends on $\phi \delta \beta \psi$. Hermann removes the comma
 but I doubt if this is any improvement.
$\tau 0 \hat{v} \delta \epsilon, \mu \grave{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s \pi u ́ \theta \eta-$ тal кévavסpov $\mu \in ́ \gamma$＇ă $\sigma \tau v$ इovaíסos， каì тò Kıббі $\omega \nu$ тó入ı $\sigma \mu$ ，

In the following passage $\begin{aligned} & \text { écoctat } \\ & \text { as well }\end{aligned}$ as $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \eta$ in 127 depends on $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ．This construction has often been misunder－ stood，and especially in Ajac．570，＂̈s
 onrovat is commonly taken in an impera－ tive sense．In two passages of Homer the ordinary punctuation is incorrect． Read thus，I1．xxiii．341－3，$\mu \eta \pi \omega_{\mathrm{s}}$ \％$/ \pi$－




 There seems to have been a tendency to combine an aorist subjunctive with a future indicative；see on Cho．80．257－8．A passage very similar to the present is Ar．



124．àptiסovioy．The word סойтos， which implies a dull and heavy blow，like the fall of a body in Homer＇s $\delta 0 \psi \pi \eta \sigma \in \nu \delta \bar{\delta}$ $\pi \in \sigma \omega \nu$ ，is peculiarly used of the beating of the breast．So Ajac．633，$\chi \in \rho 6 \pi \lambda \eta \kappa т о t$
 27 and 367，where $\delta \leqslant \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ Mapá $\gamma \nu \eta s$ Soûtos is＇the blow of both hands to－ gether．＇－On Kı $\sigma \sigma i \omega \nu$ see sup． 17.
 $\pi \delta \lambda t \sigma \mu \alpha$ ．Schol．recent．ह̇ँєє $\delta \grave{\eta} \delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 то＾iб $\mu$ atos．The whole passage may be
translated thus：－‘For this cause my dark heart is rent with fear for this Persian army，lest the state should learn that the great capital of the Susan land has lost all its hosts，and the strong－hold of the Cis－ sians should re－echo to the cry by beatings of the breast，namely，the company of women uttering this word wah／and rend－ ing should fall on the shawls of fine linen，＇

131．＇Having passed the bridge－built headland projected into the sea from both continents alike．＇Schol．recent．kata－



 aù $\chi \in \frac{1}{y} a$ ．I rather think，with Blomfield， that by $\pi \rho \omega \nu a$ the actual bridge is meant， though the Schol．Med．agrees in under－ standing by it the Hellespont，and the epithet ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda^{2}$ ov seems rather to favour this view．－${ }^{2} \xi a \mu \epsilon i \psi a s$ is used indifferently with a $\mu$ é $\psi a s$ ，sup．69．So Eur．Phoen．



139．The MSS，and edd．give àкро－ $\pi \in \nu \theta \in i$ is．This ought to mean，＇grieving from the depths of the heart，＇but in Ag．

 the reverse，＇the mere surface of the heart．＇Yet in Bacch．203，бо औкршу $\phi \rho \in \nu \hat{\omega} y$ has the same force as тo弓órns anpos Ag．611，viz．that of height and
superiority. Blomfield quotes ${ }^{\mathbf{\rho}} \rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ Kupos, Herod. i. 73, which contains the same idea. I have admitted the correction which I formerly proposed without noticing that the Schol. must have so
 $\pi \in \nu \theta \in i v$. Compare ai á $\beta$ р́óroot Пєрбiठєs inf. 543. On $\beta$ and $k$ confused see Suppl. 541.
140. $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \not \approx \mu \epsilon ́ v \alpha_{\text {. }}$ With Hermann and Dindorf I now think this a better
 habens, and it has equal authority. The meaning is, 'having sent him off to the war;' compare the middle $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau$ é $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha_{L}$ Theb. 410. Xen. Anab. vii. 2, 14, $\delta \delta$ è

 Like producere, $\pi \rho \frac{\operatorname{t}}{} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \pi \epsilon \mathrm{l}$ was a technical term in this sense. It is like our phrase ' to see a friend off,' See Propert. v. 1, 89 ; Heroid. xiii. 143. Aen. ix. 487. But $\alpha$ д. $о т$ 组 $\mu \pi \in \sigma \theta a t$ is used of getting rid of samething odious, as Hec. 72.
148. $\pi a \tau \rho \omega \nu$ b $\mu$ Lov. 'One of our race which bears the name of its ancestor,'

Perseus, i. e. á Persian like ourselves, and therefore dearer than any foreign or usurping king. Schol. Med. кard̀
 150. Compare the forms ívidatos and
 sup. 80.
 are again opposed to spearbearing Greeks, as in 87 and inf. 242 . Cf. Od. xviii.
 headed,' or perhaps, "spear-heading;' $\lambda \delta \gamma \chi \eta$ being cuapis, the point, and $\delta \dot{\delta} \rho u$ the shaft, hastile. The scholium is ab-

 dide ornata et curru vecta, ut ex v. 610 intelligitur." Herm.
154. $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \pi / \tau \nu \omega$. If the text is right, the spondaic ending must be defended by v. 32. But Hermann's correction $\pi \rho o-$ $\pi i \tau \nu \omega, \pi р о \pi^{\prime} \tau \nu \omega$, seems highly probable. He compares, among other passages, inf. 590, є̇s $\gamma$ â $\pi$ тротitvadtes. On the custom of making the salam see Ag. 893.
155. кal- $\overline{\text { ÉG. }}$. Cf. Prom. 994, inf. 262.
 $\epsilon \ddot{\epsilon} \tau \iota \mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\circ} \delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o ̀ s \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \hat{\varphi} .160$

## ATOESA.










159. $\theta$ єoû $\Pi є \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$. Of one regarded as a god by the Persians, Darius. See inf. 707. Hermann makes єป̉vク่าтєцpa the vocative, and thinks that the construction was changed from $\theta \in a \hat{u} \delta \hat{k} \kappa$ кal $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \in \rho$ on account of the condition that follows, which implies that she was the mother of a god only if Xerxes should meet with the success of Darius. I doubt if this was the meaning of the poet. The preceding verse addresses her as mother and wife in the vocative; and the statement is naturally added, as a kind of comment, - As you are the wife of a god, so you are the mother of a god, and one who must be invincible unless the usual fortune of the Persians has deserted the army.' It has been before remarked (86) that a slight irony pervades the whole of this opening speech, which indirectly magnifies the Greek rather than the Persian cause. And in the present case the object of the poet, as writing for a Greek audience, was to shew the absurdity and presumption of the title $\theta$ єds applied to a fallible mortal.
163. каí $\mu \mathrm{E}$. A better reading perhaps would be $\kappa \dot{a} \mu \hat{\mu}$, in reference to 119 : 'I too have my fears on the subject as well as you.'
165. kovifas odidas. The idea seems to be that of a person running at and overturning a pile or column raised by another, injurioso pede proruere stantem columnam. So $\kappa o \nu i \in \varphi$ is used absolutely Theb. 60, from the epic novioytes $\pi \in \delta$ ioo. -
 perly used of any object that is carefully reared and brought up to perfection and
maturity. Cf. Cho. 254.
 guided as he asserts by both metre and sense, reads $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho \mu \nu a \quad \phi \rho a \sigma \tau \partial े s$, which he renders certa sententia. As the statement made is only a sentiment or truism, he cannot see why it should be called 'an ineffable anxiety.' Let us rather translate 'an anxious doubt not to be plainly expressed in words,' and we shall see good reasons for retaining the vulgate. And first, the objection to the metre depends on an arbitrary rule about trochaic caesura, though it is, I believe, generally admitted that any legitimate senarius becomes it legitimate trochaic by adding a cretic at the beginning. Secondly, the consideration was à $\phi p a \sigma \tau o s$, because it conveys a suspicion that the Athenians may be in the better circumstances. The meaning, which is rather obscurely expressed, appears to be this: 'As men without money cannot obtain successes proportionate to their military strength, so money without men ought not to be beld in too much estimation. Now our wealth cannot be gainsaid,'-which implies a suspicion, not
 ness lies in the other point, the inferiority of the men. But she turns this off to speak more directly of Xerxes. As for the infinitives, they depend on some verb implied in $\mu \hat{\rho} \rho \mu \nu a$, the full construction
 $\sigma \beta \eta \tau \hat{\omega}$, н. $\tau . \lambda$. The addition of $\delta \leqslant \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}$ shews that $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \nu$, bears its true sense of 'division' ( $\mu \in \rho!\delta \epsilon L \nu$ ). Cf. Homer's $\delta$ da $\nu$ $\delta \iota \chi \propto \mu \in \rho \mu \not \eta_{\rho \iota \xi \in \nu}$, II. i. 189.
 фóßos.





## $\mu a \tau a$.
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170. à $\mu \phi$ l $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu 0$ is $\phi b$ ßos. Hermann with Dindorf and others understands this literally and without metaphor, ' there is fear in my eyes,' i. e. the expression or look of fear, like Iph. Aul.
 ${ }_{3} \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$. If this be the correct view, the

 there is no intentional connexion between
 we consider the character of Persian hyperbole, and that $\delta$ Baбi入t $\omega$ s ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu \partial s$ was said of the king's representative, there seems no reason why we should not take ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ ồs here to mean Xerzes, 'the light of our eyes.' And so the later
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathrm{a} \rho$ éreivay radeci. Orestes is thus called ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu \delta_{s}$ oik $\omega \nu$ in Cho. 920. It is true that the addition of olicov makes all the difference; but then $\delta \delta \mu \omega \nu$ is added in the next line with o $\quad$ pua, as if for the very purpose of obviating the difficulty. Cf.
 $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho \quad \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon$ 'िs. Blomfield takes the above view, which is also Stanley's, com-
 $\lambda о \iota \pi \partial s$ ỏ $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu \delta_{s}$ Blov. Oed. R. 987, ral

172. $\pi \rho \partial_{s} \tau \alpha \delta^{\circ} . \quad$ Cf. Prom. 1051.$\sigma \dot{\mu} \beta$ ovдoı, к.т.д. Cho. 78.- $\pi เ \sigma \tau \alpha \mu a \tau a$,
sup. 2.
176. $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ă $y$, к.т.入. The meaning is, 'You shall not ask in vain in whatever respect our ability can guide you,' i. t. as far as we have the power of directing you. But the way of expressing this is obscure

 $\delta \dot{v a \sigma \theta a l}$. There is no impropriety in $\dot{\eta} \gamma \in \bar{i} \sigma \theta a l$, since counsels are as it were the guides in accomplishing an object. Not
 govar. See on Prom. 685.
 $\xi \nu \nu \epsilon \tau<\boldsymbol{\beta} \eta \nu$.
181. Ėvapyès єiסóuךv. This seems to form as it were one word, 'I never yet have had manifested to me.' Od. iv. 841, $\Xi_{s}$ of évapyès ठ̈yє аे $\mu \boldsymbol{1} \gamma \boldsymbol{\varphi}$.
183. סúo үvvaîce. Though Europe and Asia are meant, it is only indirectly, because Greece was the seat of the Doric race generally, Asia Minor of the Ionic colonies subject to the King. The Persian dress of the one implies that it had already submitted, while the former yet retained its national independence. It was the object of Xerses to reduce both, inf. 236. In an ancient Greek tomb recently opened at Canosa (Canusium), this subject was discovered painted on a large vase, and


$\mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu$ є̇кт $\rho \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau a \quad \pi o \lambda \grave{v}$






 $\tau i ́ \theta \eta \sigma \iota . \quad \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \delta^{’} \epsilon \in \pi v \rho \gamma \circ \hat{\tau} \tau о \quad \sigma \tau o \lambda \hat{\eta}$



 $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \delta^{’}$ द́ $\mu$ òs $\pi \alpha i ̂ s, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \rho ~ \pi \alpha р i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota ~$ $\Delta \alpha \rho \epsilon i o s ~ о i к \tau \epsilon i ́ \rho \omega \nu$ бфє́ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ö $\pi \omega$ s $\delta \rho \hat{a}$
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \nu v \kappa \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota \delta \epsilon i \nu ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \omega . ~$


though not, perhaps, referring to the present passage, yet evidently symbolical of the same events.
186. è̇ $\kappa \pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ \tau a$, a word purposely selected, as applicable both to the greatness of nations and the stature of women, and therefore a better reading than $\epsilon \dot{\dot{v}}$ $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau d \tau a$, which Blomfield adopts.
187. да $\mu \omega \mu \omega$, 'unexceptionable.' Com-
 $\sigma \chi \epsilon \in \sigma \nu \mu \omega \mu \eta \tau \partial s$, Theb. 502, and the frequent use of $\dot{a} \mu \partial^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ in Homer of personal qualities or appearance. Hermann has
 i. e. both Greek. It is hardly likely that the poet had in view the obscure mythology quoted by the Schol. from Andro of Halicarnassus, which made Europe and Asia daughters of Ocean by different wives.
190. $\sigma \tau \dot{d} \sigma \iota \nu \tau \nu^{2}$. It is enough to understand generally the rivalry between the two great Grecian families, rather than any particular quarrel which Xerxes wish-
ed to avail himself of in order to subjugate the Dorians. - The masculine $\tau$ ovita for тaí $\tau$ follows the well-known Attic usage of the dual article, $\tau \dot{\omega}$ for $\tau \delta$. The mixed
 $\dot{\omega} s \bar{\epsilon} \delta \delta \kappa o u \nu \delta \rho a \hat{\nu}$, is not without examples,

 inf. 566.
194. $\chi \grave{y} \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu$. Ionia was proud of her trappings, though the badge of her own slavery. The Schol. Med. explains $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \bar{\varepsilon}$ $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \hat{y}$ of the Persian dress, $\delta \in \iota \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{s}$, but this is less natural.
195. Blomfield here writes $\tau^{\prime}$ for $\delta^{2}$, and the correction is probable; see however Suppl. 15.
 $\delta \in \sigma \theta \epsilon i s \tau d \begin{aligned} & \pi \tau \\ & \omega\end{aligned} \mu a$. For he was ashamed not to have avenged his father's failure at Marathon.
204. $\pi \eta \gamma \eta \bar{\eta}$ s. The efficacy of running water not only in lustration but in averting evil dreams was generally held by the

$\theta \epsilon ́ \lambda o v \sigma a ~ \theta \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a \nu o \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\nu} \nu \tau \in ́ \lambda \eta ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon$.


$\mu \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ठè кіркод єїбор⿳⺈ $\delta \rho о ́ \mu \varphi$
$\pi \tau \epsilon \rho о i ̂ s ~ e ́ ф о \rho \mu а i ́ v o \nu \tau а ~ к а i ̀ ~ \chi \eta \lambda a i ̂ s ~ к а ́ \rho a ~$



 $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ s ̌ a s ~ o v ̉ \chi ~ v i \pi \epsilon v ́ \theta v \nu o s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$,




 $\sigma \epsilon \in \epsilon \nu$,


Greeks, and was probably of Pelasgic origin. If so, the same belief may have been common to the Persians. Yet inf. 612 seqq. the poet seems rather to have had in mind his own national feelings and customs; and it is only on this view that we can account for the Persians so often calling themselves $\beta \dot{\alpha} \rho \beta \alpha \rho o t$, as inf. 257.
205. $\beta \omega \mu \partial \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \in ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$. See on Suppl. 185.
 particular offerings belong.' So Suppl.

 She probably means the sun, as one of the later Scholiasts suggests.
207. $\delta \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \delta \hat{\text {. }}$. Instead of her mind being relieved by averting the omen, she is met by a second and still more alarming portent, a weaker bird pursuing and tearing the stronger, and that too without regard to the sanctity of the shrine where he had taken refuge.
216. $\sigma \omega \theta \in t s$. If only he returns safe, he must remain King, because, not being responsible to the state for his good or bad success, be cannot in the latter case be deprived of his kingdom. Since therefore it cannot be this that the omen por-
tends, it can only portend bis utter defeat
 $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega ิ s ~ \pi р a ́ g a s$. With $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \dot{y} \theta v \nu o s$ it seems best to repeat $\gamma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime}$ ot' ${ }^{\prime} \chi_{\nu} \nu$ from the preceding verse.
218. $\theta a \rho \sigma$ úveiv. The chorus, as before remarked, have throughout taken rather a desponding view of the expedition. See



219. $\phi \lambda a \hat{p} \rho о \nu . ~ A ~ e u p h e m i s m ~ f o r ~ r a k \delta \nu . ~$ For $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ Hermann adopts $\lambda \alpha \beta \in i v$ from several good MSS. On the vowel made long before $\tau \rho$ see Prom. 677. Suppl. 617. The poet preferred this rather unusual licence to using $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \grave{\lambda} \nu$, from the conventional use of $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \tau \rho о \pi \eta$, $\dot{a} \pi \delta-$ тротоs, \&c., as in 205, whereas we have
 mere physical evils, Ag. 823.
 $\gamma^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}$, i. e. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, and the same correction had occurred to me. The objection is not to the sense, but to the metre. The MSS. vary, maost having $\delta^{3}$ after àjaAdd. But this is a common error. See on Suppl. 301.315.












X0. $\tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta v \sigma \mu a ̀ s ~ a ̈ \nu \alpha \kappa \tau о s ~ \dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v ~ \phi \theta_{\nu \nu \alpha \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu}$.
 explained ib, 'curare effundendas,' for inf. 611 Atossa performs the rite with her own hand. Indeed, the middle voice is not unusual in this sense. So even in Od. xi. 26, we have ả $\mu \phi^{\prime}$ aủrề $\delta$ ह̀ $\chi o \eta 力 \nu$ $\chi \in \oint \mu \eta \nu \pi \hat{a} \sigma t \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \nu \in \epsilon \sigma \sigma t \nu$. Compare Orest.
 d $\mu \in v o s .-\pi p s \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ Dind. with several MSS. But the adverb belongs to $\pi \epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\mu} \pi \in \iota \nu$. On the notion of the dead being able to send up blessings, see Cho. 140, $\dot{\eta}_{\mu} \mu \bar{\nu} \nu \bar{\varepsilon}$
 to Hesiod, who in many places affords us glimpses of subsequent Pythagorean doctrines, Opp. 121, the "mighty dead" of the golden age became $\delta$ all $\mu$ oves after their placid departure from earth, and $\pi$ גouto$\delta 6 \pi a k$ to mortals, which he calls $\gamma$ fopas Bacilíiov. The heroes were of a lower rank and more limited power. Darius however is both $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ and $i \sigma o \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$, inf. 622. 635. 643. Agamemnon, though Bpotos as contrasted with $\theta \in d \rho$, is propitiated with libations and invoked as a spirit of power below, Cho. 122.
225. кáтoхa $\mu$ aupoûrtal. So Blomf.,
 Both forms existed, like $\delta \dot{\prime} \rho \rho \mu a$ and

 euphemism $\tau \not ّ \mu \pi \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \delta \in$ for каксे, see Suppl. 394.
226. өицдмадтіs. Without professing the art of a seer, but by the dictates of common sense. Phatius: $\theta v \mu \delta \mu a \nu \tau s^{\circ}$ o


227. $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \hat{\eta}$. Schol. катд̀ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ $\tau \rho \delta$ nov. If the dream is good, the prayers and libations will induce the gods to ratify it; if bad, the supplications (218) will induce them to avert it. Thus in either case we predict that they will bring about a favourable accomplishment. For this use of $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi \hat{\eta}$ see Eum. 447.
229. ėкúpwoas фáтıv. The Schol. quite misunderstood the sense here. The Greeks had an especial dread of bad news or bad omens immediately following good ones: see on Ag. 6L9. Consequently, Atossa gladly seizes on the first favourable inter. pretation ( $\epsilon \mathcal{J} \quad \tau \in \lambda \in \bar{\nu} \nu \quad \kappa \rho\{\nu 0 u \in \nu$ ), though against her own fears and suspicions, and assumes it as settling the matter finally, i. e. as precluding any subsequent bad interpretation from being of avail. Hence $\kappa \nu \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma a l$ ф $\alpha$ тtu is not 'to declare,' but 'to ratify and make valid the meaning of a portent.' We may paraphrase thus: ' Well, at all events you, who were first called on to explain the dream, have proved a favourable interpreter, and have attached a meaning which 1 aecept as final to my son and the royal house.'
233. тoû tàs 'A $\theta$ hivas. This famous question, which doubtless gave great umbrage to the proud Athenians, is recorded



 'A 1 nvaios.
 Brunck, with two or three MSS., for $\delta u \sigma \mu i_{s}$. Others after Pauw correct $\phi \theta_{1}-$






 $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$;







$\nu$ d́ $\sigma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$. I formerly edited $\delta \nu \sigma \mu \hat{\eta} s$, but I believe no instance is found of this word in the singular. Either the accusative or the genitive, but not the dative, is the ordinary construction with $\pi \rho \rho \delta s$ in the sense of towards or in front of, as $\pi \rho \delta s$ $\pi \delta \lambda \in \omega s$ фауè $\nu$ Suppl. 613. So $\pi \rho d s$ סúvoutos ${ }_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda$ lou Suppl. 251. Herod. vii. 115,

 € $\sigma \pi \epsilon \in \rho \eta \nu, \pi \rho \delta s$ т $\dot{\eta} \nu \hat{\eta} \omega े$. There is no diffi-
 $\delta v \sigma \mu a i \dot{\eta} \lambda$ lov $\phi \theta$ lvontos. The sun is called $a^{\prime},{ }_{0}, \xi$ in reference to the Persian doctrine of sun-worship.
238. тоtoûtos, $\ell_{\rho} \xi \xi a s$. No scholar will imagine this to stand for $\mathbb{E} \sigma \tau \in \stackrel{\models}{\epsilon} \rho \xi \alpha$. The first word answers to $\bar{\omega} \delta \epsilon$, and $\check{\epsilon} \rho \bar{\xi}$ as means, ' one which before now, at Marathon, has proved its prowess against the Medes.'
240. a $\rho \gamma \gamma^{\prime} p o v \pi \eta \gamma \eta$. The silver mines at Laurium, of which this is the earliest mention.
241. ठı $\chi \in p \hat{\omega} \nu$. The MSS. give $\delta$ id $\chi \in \rho \partial s$, but the later Schol, explains $\bar{\alpha} \rho a$
 то६ॄкท̂́ Whence Hermann corrects סid $\chi \in \rho d s$ $\chi \in p o i n v$ are confused, Prom. 938. Cf.
 $\dot{\omega} \pi \lambda \cdot \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$.
242. ${ }^{*} \gamma \chi \eta$ $\sigma \tau \alpha \delta a i a . ~ C f . ~ T h e b . ~ 508 . ~$ Sup. 86. The Greeks held archers in contempt as compared with $\delta \pi \lambda \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha$, im.
plied in $\phi \in \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \pi \iota \delta \in s$. The $\mu \alpha \chi \eta \sigma \tau \alpha \delta i \alpha$ is defined by Strabo, lib. x. p. 449, as that in which the spear is used ${ }^{2} \kappa \chi$ रetp $\delta s$, as a pike, and not thrown as a javelin.
 the host, sup. $75 .-\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \hat{v}$ Herm., Dind., Blomf., with several MSS., but ${ }^{3} \pi l$ in either compound sufficiently accounts for the dative, which is found in the Med.
244. סovinos, i. e. they acknowledge no $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta s$. Atossa naturally uses a word which the chorus, whose answers throughout are ingeniously turned to the praise of Athens, declares inapplicable to their form of government. The evident want of confidence in the Persian cause displayed on both sides is well represented in this dialogue, by which the former high hopes of Atossa are gradually dispersed, and herself prepared for the shock which is immediately to follow.
245. oiv, i. e. without some absolute and supreme authority. The answer is, "They have done so, to our cost, at Marathon, and may do so yet again.'
247. ibutw $\tau 0$ îs тєкой $\sigma$. The genitive shows that oi teкóntes stands for yoveîs, and therefore the article is not necessary with i $\delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$. Atossa acknowledges the defeat; 'what you say is enough to make every parent anxious for the absent army,' -meaning herself in particular as the mother of the absent king.
248. va $\mu \in \rho \tau \hat{\eta}$ Pors., Dind., Herm.

тои̂ठє $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \delta \rho \alpha ́ \mu \eta \mu \alpha$ ф $\omega \tau$ òs Пє $\rho \sigma \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon i \nu$,


## АГГЕАOE．


ふे $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma i s$ aîa каi mо入ùs $\pi \lambda о v ́ т о v ~ \lambda \iota \mu \eta \nu$,


 255 ${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega s \delta^{\prime}$ ả $\nu \alpha ́ \gamma к \eta \pi \alpha \hat{\alpha}$ ả $\nu a \pi \tau v ́ \xi \alpha \iota \pi a ́ \theta o s$,

XO．
 $\sigma \tau \rho . a ́$.

 262 ка兀̇тòs $\delta^{3}$ वُє́ $\lambda \pi \tau \omega s$ עо́бтıцоע $\beta \lambda$ е́ $\pi \omega$ фáos．
XO．
 ả $\nu \tau$ ．á．
 265
$A \Gamma$ ：каi $\mu \eta ̀ \nu \pi \alpha \rho \omega ́ \nu \gamma \epsilon$ ，кои̉ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s$ ằ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \lambda \nu \cup \omega \nu$ ，

XO． óтототоі̂，$\mu a ́ \tau \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ $\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$. $\beta$ ह́ $\lambda \epsilon a \pi \alpha \mu \mu \not \gamma \hat{\eta}$
 $\delta i ̃ \alpha,{ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a \chi \chi^{\prime} \rho \alpha \nu$.
 know it to be that of a Persian by its ap－ pearance．

251．${ }^{2}$ Aatios．See on Prom．754．Her－ mann retains the reading of the MSS．， ${ }^{\text {＇A A }}$ d $d$ osos．But the words are confused inf．272，where the metre allows of no doubt．

255．$\pi р \omega ิ \tau o \nu$ à $\gamma \gamma^{\text {é }} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ каки́．＇To be the first to announce evils．＇For the first person who brought good news ex－ pected a reward，Ag．569．－$\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau 0 \nu$ is the accusative masculine．

261．סıaìveซ日e．Schol．סaкри́єтe．Inf．
 $\nu \in \dot{\kappa} о \tau \sigma$ ，has simply the force of $\nu \in \in ́ a$ ，＇ca－ lamitous，＇＇of strange and unnatural cha－ racter．＇The Schol．explains it of the anger of the gods，but $\kappa \delta \dot{\sigma}$ os has its true sense of indoles．Compare $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ќкotas．

263．каütds 8＇．See on Prom． 994.

270．тà $\pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ．Hermann and Lach－ mann give $\tau$ à $\pi 0 \lambda e ́ a$ ．The form occurs in Ag．702，but the poet in this part of the play seems to have relaxed somewhat from his usual rule of absolute syllabic correspondence in strophe and antistrophe． Thus $\pi \alpha \mu \mu \cdot \gamma \hat{\eta}$ in the very next verse answers to $\pi 0 \lambda u \beta \alpha \phi \hat{\eta}$ in 277，${ }^{\wedge} \theta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$ in 285 with ยєктเбау in 291．By $\pi \alpha \mu \mu \gamma \hat{\eta}$
 фо́pol，\＆c．enumerated above，52－6．

273．סiay．So the Med．，and I think rightly．See on Suppl．4．Hermann gives diay with the early editions，Blomf． and Dind．$\delta \dot{q} a \nu$ from the Lambeth MS．， which has satav．The pherecratean metre allows of a trochee answering to a spondee at the beginning，as in Theb．289，$\chi є \rho \mu \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}$
 то入íтаı．

#   $\sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \nu \beta \alpha \phi \hat{\eta}$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \theta \alpha \nu o ́ v \tau \alpha$ 入е́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ ф'́ $\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ $\pi \lambda a \gamma \kappa \tau о i ̂ s ~ e ̉ v ~ \delta \iota \pi \lambda a ́ к \epsilon \sigma \sigma t \nu$. 

 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ s ~ \delta a \mu a \sigma \theta \epsilon i ̀ s \nu a t o \iota \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \beta 0 \lambda a i ̄ s$.

276. $\begin{gathered}\text { E } \lambda \text { idoua. Though one good MS. }\end{gathered}$ gives $\dot{\alpha} \lambda_{i} \delta \partial \dot{a}$, which Dindorf defends on the analogy of $\pi \epsilon \lambda \downarrow \delta \nu \partial s, \pi \alpha i \delta \nu \partial s, \vec{a} \lambda \alpha-$ $\pi a \delta \nu \delta s$, and which I formerly admitted, I have returned to the common reading as more appropriate to the sense, especially as the pronunciation may have made it amount metrically to the same thing. Besides, it is not clear that $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \wedge \delta y$ oss follows the same law as the above words, in which $\delta$ is part of the root. Dindorf in his last edition (see his praef. p. xviii.) has admitted a bold though rather ingenious correction $\pi о \lambda \dot{U} \delta \boldsymbol{o \nu \alpha} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \theta^{2} \dot{a}^{2} \lambda \_\beta a \phi \hat{\eta}$, but against the Schol., who explains $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \beta a \phi \hat{\eta}$ by $\dot{v} \pi \bar{d}$ той aluatos. It is enough to understand 'frequently immersed,' though the poet may have had in view the same idea as in 319.
279. There is considerable obscurity about the meaning of this verse, some understanding $\delta i \pi \lambda a \xi$ of the tide, others of the double surface of land and sea, of shifting sands, or of the broken and floating planks. But the word is only known in the Homeric sense of a double mantle or cloak, 11. iii. 126. Od. xix. 241, and Hermann gives what is perhaps on the whole both the simplest and safest meaning, "Videtur Aesclyylus $\pi \lambda a \gamma \kappa \tau a \grave{s} \delta \delta$. $\pi \lambda$ akas amplas Persarum vestes dicere, quae in mari nantibus mortuis late expansae huc illuc ferebantur."

reference to the Greek idea on the subject: see on 86. The imperfect tenses describe the action only in its immediate result, and without reference to the final catastrophe. Cf. Suppl. 128.
202. If in this passage I have ventured to follow Hermann in a somewhat bold alteration of the text, it is from no mere deference to his authority, but from a well considered conviction that his criticism is sound. The MSS. give Boàv סvбaıa命 חépбaıs $\delta$ aitors, which does not suit the antistrophe; but a Paris MS. has the remarkable corruption חє́ $\sigma \sigma a \sigma \omega \bar{\nu}$, which evidently proceeded from two readings, ח'́foats and חєрбஸ̃ע. Now the latter necessarily implies some word on which the genitive depended, and the antistrophe makes it highly probable that this was datots. But this last word, standing alone, was rather ambiguous, and hence חépouts was added as a gloss by those who, with the Schol., rightly ander-
 in war,' and Mepowy by those who explained it of the Greeks, the enemies of the Persians.
284. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \tau a$. So Hermann for $\pi d \dot{d} \nu \tau a$, who also adds $\theta$ eol, to complete both sense and metre, from a gloss in one MS.
248. $\sigma \tau v \gamma v a!\gamma^{\prime}$ 'A $\hat{1}$ avai. 'Aye, Athens has good cause to be hated by her enemies: we cannot forget how many wives she left widowed,' on the occasion of the
$\mu \in \mu \nu \eta \bar{\sigma} \theta a i \quad$ тo九 $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho a$ ஸ́s $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma i ́ \delta \omega \nu ~ \mu a ́ \tau a \nu ~$ є้ктı $\sigma \iota \gamma \omega ิ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha a \iota \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \pi \eta \nu o s$ é $\kappa \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu$ év $\eta$





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\rho \chi \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ ，${ }^{\circ} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$＇$\pi \pi \grave{\imath} \sigma \kappa \eta \pi \tau o v \chi i ́ a$,

 AT．ё $\mu \circ i ̂ s ~ \mu e ̀ ̀ ~ є i ̀ m a s ~ \delta \omega ́ \mu a \sigma ı \nu ~ ф a ́ o s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha, ~$



former expedition of Darius．The Schol． too narrowly renders it jiniv roîs $\delta \eta$ tots． The stern valour of the Athenians pro－ bably regarded with contempt this con－ sideration for the other sex；at least the poet dwells on it unusually in this play； see 139， 545.
290．$\mu$ áтav，immerito．Schol．$\mu \eta \delta \delta_{t \nu}$ $\beta \lambda a \psi \dot{a} \sigma a s$.
291．Ěntıgay．It is not easy to see how this verse corresponds with the anti－ spastic 285．Nor is the conjecture of Boeckh，admitted by Dindorf，much bet－
 the vulgate without remark；but it is to be feared that something is wrong．Per－ haps in 285 we should read $\because \theta \in \nu \tau^{3}$ ，com－
 as öputs is sometimes used for óputars．

292．$\sigma \iota \gamma \omega \mathrm{\omega} \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$ a．Not that Atossa has lost her power of speech with her presence of mind，but that it was more regal and dignified to hear the worst tranquilly，and more consistent with piety to meet it with
 ${ }_{\epsilon} \rho \in \in(\nu \in t \nu$ ，is regularly used for＇to ask about the calamity．，＇The construction is，
 297.

297．катабтàs，＇composed．＇Schol．


298．$\tau$ is où $\tau \in \in \varphi \eta \eta \kappa$ ．Anticipating a terrible revelation，she first asks who is not dead，（having especially in view Xerxes，of whom she hardly dares to in－ quire in any other terms，）and next，which of the personal friends or body guards of the King she and the citizens will have to mourn for．Schol．кал⿳⺈⿴\zh11⿰一一⿲丿⿱日十


 has $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \in \lambda \alpha \omega \nu$ ．The Schol．explains $\lambda a \omega \bar{\nu}$ apxoutw．I believe this to be a vestige of the old digammated genitive of ap $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$ é－ $\lambda$ ews．See the note on Prom 446．Her－ mann derives it from $\lambda \in l a$ ，which he shows to have meant not only booty but a flock or herd．So motuavopos of the army in 75．The $\sigma \kappa \eta \pi т о \bar{\chi} 0$ w were the royal eu－ nuchs，who bore that title，Xen．Cyrop． vii．3． 16.

 ordinem pro virili parte destituit．Ro－ bortello has áyap $\chi^{\circ \nu}$ ，a good reading， though apparently a correction．

305 इı৯ $\eta \nu \omega \omega$ ．So Herm．，Dind．，with the Med．for $\Sigma_{i} \lambda \eta \nu i \omega \nu$ ．The Schol．and Hesychius give this name to part of the shore of Salamis．On the fine narrative of the battle that follows Hermann well

#   <br>  <br> $\theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o ́ \pi \lambda \eta \kappa \tau о \nu \nu \eta \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu$ Al̈ $^{\prime \prime} \nu \tau о \varsigma \pi о \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. <br>  <br> oíठ’ à $\mu \phi і$ $\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma о \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \circ \theta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \mu о \nu \alpha$ <br>  <br> ［ $\pi \eta \gamma a i ̂ s ~ \tau \in N \in i ́ \lambda o v ~ \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau o \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Aiरvutтiov <br>  <br>  <br> X $\rho v \sigma \epsilon \dot{\text { ùs }}$ Máта入入os $\mu \nu \rho เ o ́ \nu \tau \alpha \rho \chi o s ~ \theta \alpha \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$, 

observes：＂Magna est ars poetae in iis quae nuntius dicit；qui consternatus adhue clade primo id quod summum erat，regem salvum esse，paucis verbis profligat；de－ inde，ut solent qui in re nova magnaque initium narrandi invenire nequeunt，plu－ rima raptim et confuse comprehendit；tum denique，tranquillior factus，rem omnem ordine exponit．＂Thus it is not till v． 355 that a detailed and circumstantial account of the event is given．

308．ápı field＇s conjecture ；but the change，though not improbable，is a very unnecessary one．
 with the Med．and another MS．，and so also ed．Rob．Cf．Od．xiv．203，${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \mu \epsilon$

 Eur．lon 592，$\nu_{0} \neq a \gamma \epsilon \mu \eta$ s．The meaning
 ＇a thorough native，＇Theb．408，said of the hero Melanippus．He was of the old Bactrian nobility，not a Mede by descent．

311．$\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \nu \pi \in \lambda \epsilon \angle 0 \theta \rho \epsilon \rho^{\prime} \mu \nu \nu \alpha$ ．The Schol．and Hesychius explain Za入a ${ }^{2} \hat{v} a$, but it is not likely that the same island should be meant which was just before called $\nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma o \nu$ A $\neq a \nu \tau o s$. Hermann supposes that one of the small adjacent islands is described by this epithet．Nothing how－ ever is recorded about doves in connexion either with Salamis or the islands near it． The poet may have had in view Homer＇s $\pi о \lambda \nu \tau \rho \eta \rho \omega \nu a$ © $\sigma \sigma \beta \eta \nu$, II．ii． 502 ，as the Schol．suggests．But it is more likely that the epithet is a distinctive one，and there－ fore we must assume it was properly applied as characteristic of some place．Stanley indeed argues from the Salamis in Cyprus
that the birds were bred as sacred to Venus．I cannot help thinking the whole passage from 310 to 315 of questionable genuineness．The three latter verses are however more evidently spurious．For， not to mention the unusual epithet，＇the Egyptian Nile，＇nor the absurdity of making Arcteus join the Persian forces from the wholly unknown sources of that river，（an idea possibly derived from the
 $\gamma_{e v i n s ~ i n ~}^{\text {v．}} \mathbf{3 5}$ ，）the construction is a mere repetition of what has just preceded， namely，an enumeration of names with тpicos and oife．The want of the augment in $\pi \epsilon \in \sigma \nu$ is very suspicious in this place，if not in itself conclusive；moreover both the sentiment and the versification are feeble and unlike Aeschylus．Porson read
 even worse than the vulgate．Hermann
 jactura fuerunt．But how came an Egyp－ tian leader of forces on board the same vessel as others，who at least bear Persian names？For the Schol．truly observes，

 Arcteus was mentioned in $\mathbf{v} .44$ as a leader of the Lydians．I think these considera－ tions of sufficient weight to justify me in inclosing the passage within brackets，as I before did in ed． 1.
316．Xpugeus，of Cbrysa，a town of the Troad．The word $\mu \nu \rho!6 \nu \tau a \rho \chi o s$ is formed on the analogy of éкaт $\delta \nu \tau a \rho \chi o s$, by assuming the termination ovia，as in триякоута，\＆c．to a numeral，$\mu$ úptol，to which it does not properly belong．See inf． 975.






 $\pi \in ́ \nu \theta o s ~ \pi a \rho a \sigma \chi \grave{\omega \nu}, \Sigma \in \iota \sigma a ́ \mu \eta s \theta^{\top}$ о́ Múбıos,] $\Theta \alpha ́ \rho \nu \beta i ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon, \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s ~ \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$
таүòs, $\gamma \epsilon ́ \nu o s ~ \Lambda v p \nu a i ̂ o s, ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\jmath} s$ ả $\nu \grave{\eta} \rho$,
$\kappa \epsilon і ̈ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \theta a \nu \grave{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon i ́ \lambda a l o s ~ o v ̉ \mu a ́ \lambda ’ ~ \epsilon u ̉ \tau v \chi \omega ̂{ }^{\prime}$
318. $\pi \nu \rho \sigma \neq \eta$. So Porson for $\pi \nu \rho \rho \grave{2} \nu$ or rupar. In the time of Aeschylus it is probable that the word was written in the last form. Dr. Wordsworth gives an inscription as late as the Peloponnesian war in which Mupives occurs for Mvpoivns. See Athens and Attica, p. 215. In later times the $\rho$ was doubled in this and similar words, as ${ }_{a} \rho \rho \eta \nu$, and the $\sigma$ resulted from the aspirate breathing produced by the combination. The word muppos, as an epithet of manhood, is usually applied to
 viii. 3. xv. 130, and is said of the first down on the cheeks. Here the addition of $\delta$ dontoy shows that it must be understood of the colour ; and bence $\dot{a}^{2} \mu \in\{\dot{\beta} \beta \nu$ $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ must be taken of the hairy face of yellow tint changed to purple by the blood stains. At the same time the poet doubtless had in mind the dye of the sea-purple. On the uncontracted $\pi$ opqupéa see on 83 .
320. Mâyos "Apaßos. Schol. Mâरos
 were a race on the confines of Media, Herod. i. 101.
321. énê.. It is perhaps better to take
 and so the Schol. $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \in \mathbb{I} \mathfrak{a} \pi \in \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \mu \in \tau o t-$



 Enciv, i. e. 'unless you wish to die here.'
$322-4$. I have marked these verses as probably spurious. The metrical difficulty of $3: 3$ is well known, from the ingenious rather than satisfactory correction of Porson, Praef. ad Hec. p. xxxv. There is an equal dificulty in the fact that the Ariomardus who here affords grief to Sardis
was before called tàs $\dot{\omega} \gamma v \gamma i o u s ~ © \eta\{\beta a s$ ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \nu, v .38$. Hermann disposes of the first objection on the plea that the licence is justified by the proper name; of the second, by an argument which he applies also to Arcteus in 314, viz. that the Persian generals did not always lead their own troops, but that the near relations or favourites of the King often had the command of foreign forces entrusted to them, as in this instance Ariomardus may have been born at Sardis and yet bave led Egyptians. The question may fairly be entertained (as hinted on Prom. 362), whether the Porsonian canons for iambic composition were inflexibly observed in every verse of the earlier plays of Aeschylus. Still, it appears so probable that this part of the messenger's speech, containing as it does little more than a list of names of those killed; should have been enlarged and added to by some interpolator, that the critical ingenuity shown in defending the vulgate carries with it. but little weight.
324. $\Sigma_{i \in \sigma}{ }^{\alpha} \mu \eta$. Some copies give $\Sigma \eta$ $\sigma \alpha \mu \eta \rho$, but this is perhaps a different name, as the $\alpha$ is long inf. 964.
326. Aupvaios. Lyrna or Lyrnessus was a city to the south of the Troad.
327. où $\mu \dot{d} \lambda^{\prime}$ є $\dot{d} \tau \nu \chi \omega ิ \varsigma$, i. e. $\mu \dot{d} \lambda \alpha \alpha \delta \nu \sigma-$ $\tau v \chi \hat{\omega} s$, ' unburied.' The peculiar force of this euphemism seems to have escaped the notice of commentators both ancient and modern. Compare Soph. Aj. 1126, ठíксц







#  


 $\left[\tau 0 \iota \omega \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \cdot\right.$

AT. aiâ̂, как $\omega \nu \nu \nu \psi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \lambda v ́ \omega ~ \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$, aï $\sigma \chi \eta \tau \epsilon \Pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \alpha \iota s ~ к а i ~ \lambda \iota у \epsilon ́ \alpha ~ к \omega к v ́ \mu а \tau а . ~$




 $\nu \alpha v \sigma i \nu ~ к \rho a \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \bullet$ каi $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\text {" }} E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ท̂̀
ò $\pi \hat{\alpha} s$ ảpı $\theta \mu$ òs єis трıака́סаs סє́ка $\nu \alpha \hat{\omega} \nu, \delta \epsilon \kappa \grave{\varsigma} \varsigma \delta^{\circ} \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \chi \omega \rho$ і̀s ёккритоя.
328. Zuévyeats. This seems to have been a Cilician title rather than a proper name, as Stanley remarked. See Mr. Blakesley on Herod. v. 118. Ibid. vii. 98, we have a Kídı $\xi_{\xi} \sum \nu \in ́ \nu \nu \in \sigma t 5$. So the Parthian Kings were each called Arsaces, but in addition to their own proper name,
 Med. and others give änapXas, by a very common error. Hermann suspects ürap$\chi$ os to be the true reading, a word which he observes is applied by the best prose writers to the Persian Satraps.
331. I have not ventured to alter the reading of the Med. and most MSS. in this verse, having little doubt that it and the following are an interpolation. The Med. has $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ written above, whence Hermann with Canter and Blomf. edits rot$\hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\delta} \gamma^{3}$ ă $\rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. Dindorf Tol$\hat{\omega} \nu \delta \varepsilon \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$. Without pressing the argument, that $\tau o \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\delta}$ ought to have been totov́tav (see on Prom. 542), we may justly object to $\gamma \in$ as a mere metrical makeshift. See inf. 843.
334. $\lambda$ ivéa. Probably pronounced as a dissyllable, for a tribrach is rarely formed of a single word. See sup. 81, and on Eum. 764. So $\mu$ é $\lambda$ eos infra 729. Theb. 871.
336. móoov $\delta \epsilon$. "Pertinet hoc $\delta \dot{\text { é }}$ ad illam sermonis Graeci proprietatem, qua post eas formulas quibus dictum quid iri vel dici debere indicatur, particula, quae
nectendae orationi inserviat, ita adsciscitur, tanquam si non praecessisset talis formula." Hermana. Dr. Peile on Cho. 78 well compares Xen. Mem. ii. is. 2,

 єри́кшat; So also Od. x. 281, Ё́mos $\tau^{\prime}$


339. Bápßapoy. So Blomf. and Herm. after Halmius for $\beta a p \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$. The later Schol. explains the construction thus:

 however, from Schol. Med, $\lambda \in$ ítet $\delta \hat{k}$ t $\delta$ $\hat{j} \nu$. Hence the reading $\bar{\eta} \nu$ for $t \nu$, adopted by Dindorf, who also gives BapBapous from ed. Turn., is a mere invention of grammarians who found $\beta a \rho \beta \alpha \alpha_{p \omega v}$, and fancied that $\tilde{j}_{\nu}$ could be supplied from 336. The construction $\chi^{2} \sigma \theta_{i} \kappa \rho a \tau \eta{ }^{2} \sigma \alpha t$ tuv is perfectly correct, though a prose writer might have preferred $\chi_{\sigma} \sigma \theta_{1} \kappa \rho a \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau a \hbar \nu$, the implied sense being as usual, ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{2}$ oùn én $\kappa$ á $\tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$. 'Know that as far as superior number was concerned, the Persians would have conquered.'
342. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \in \chi$ $\boldsymbol{p}$ is. Does this mean inclusive or exclusive of the 30? Mr. Blakesley, in a careful note on Herod. vii. 89, answers, "It is quite certain that the ten select ships here are not exclusive of the 300, and it is almost so that the 207 of the Persian armament is intended to



$\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma o \iota$ סокоиิ $\mu \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \mu \alpha ́ \chi \eta ;$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \delta \delta^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \kappa a \tau \varepsilon ́ \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$,


stand in the same relation to the whole as the ten of the allies to their fleet." He adds, "Both Plato, Legg. iii. 14, and Ctesias ap. Photium, p. 39, make the numbers of the Persian ships something above a thousand." And so also the later Schol. explains the statement in the text,


 $\zeta^{\prime}$. Herodotus however, who wrote late enough to admit some of the popular exaggerations into the account, says Xerxes had 1207 (vii. 89, 184), and it is remarkable enough that if the 207 swift ships be counted exclusively, the two statements exactly agree. Can it be that the historian had in view the very words of the poet? It is not, perhaps, too much to suggest, that by кal $\gamma$ àp oî $\delta a$, put in the mouth of the messenger, Aeschylus alludes to some particular and certain information of his own, as opposed to exaggerated rumours current at the time. There is a discrepancy however in the reckoning of the Greek ships, which Herodotus, viii. 48, makes 378.
 perly defends the MSS. reading against the unsound correction intépкотоє, adopted by Blomf. and Dind. See on Theb. 386.
345. $\lambda$ dros, ' the reckoning.' More commonly the phrase means, 'I have said my say,' as Ag. 1639. Theb. 214.
346. $\mu$ '力 $\sigma \iota$ бокоиิ $\mu \in \nu$. 'We surely do not seem to have been behind them (in forces) in this battle?' See on Prom. 980. Cho. 169. After $\lambda \epsilon i \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ understand écelvev. I cannot see the force of Hèrnann's argument, that if the two next verses, which he assigns to Atossa, are continued to the messenger, we must of necessity read $\delta o \kappa \bar{\omega} \mu \in \nu$, with Heath and MS. Guelph., "ita se habet ratio, ne nos hac ex parte putes in pugna inferiores fuisse." Not to object that this would
rather require ${ }^{l} \nu \alpha \mu \hat{\eta} \delta_{0} \kappa \bar{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$, and that it is very awkward to separate $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ from $\mu \hat{a} \chi \eta$, we may fairly explain the connexion as follows:-"With such a force we certainly ought to have proved superior, and so we should have been, as far as human means went; but such a discomfiture as this (or, under these circumstances) none but a god could have effected." And he goes on to remark, "As the gods are against our cause, so they preserve Athens." Now Athens bad just before been captured and burnt by Xerxes, Herod. viii. 53 ; Atossa therefore, who is supposed to have heard of the news dispatehed by express to Persia (ibid. 54), naturally asks, " What! has Athens then after all escaped destruction?" "Yes," replies the messenger, "for a city consists not of mere walls, but of inhabitants also, and while the latter remain there is a secure fortress." It seems unnecessary to interpret $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \nu{ }_{0} \nu \tau \omega \nu$, eorum qui vivi sunt; indeed, these words are opposed to an implied genitive $\pi \delta \lambda \in \omega s$ 这 $\rho \pi a \sigma \theta \in \ell \sigma \eta s$. The Athenians had abandoned the city to be ravaged by the enemy, and had retired to their ships, Herod. viii. 41. The present verse contains the only allusion the poet has ventured to make to so untoward an event; and he has ingeniously turned it rather to the credit of his countrymen than to their disgrace. In éfкоs $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \lambda^{\prime} \hat{e} s$ Müller (Diss. ad Fumen. p. 79) finas an allusion to the policy of Themistocles to fortify Athens and the Piraeus, which Aeschylus, as his political opponent, desires to ridicule. See on Prom. 1089. Compare the answer of Themistocles to Adimantus, Herod. viii. 61, ę̨ $\delta \lambda o v ~ \lambda 6 \gamma \omega$

 $\pi \in \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \alpha \alpha$.
 but most MSS. ${ }^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{2} p^{\prime}$. This and the next verse are assigned to the messenger

## 



















 370

in the MSS., and v. 349 to Atossa. Dindorf retains this arrangement, though very inferior in respect of argument and connexion. If any change is to be made in the persons of the dialogue, it would be better to distribute thus :-


 à $\sigma \neq \lambda \bar{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}$.

 I have some doubts about the genuineness of this and the next verse. There are instances of the like metrical fault sup. 253. Cho. 143. 484. 869. Theb. 452. Suppl. 924 ; but see inf. 467.
357. à $\nu \lambda\rangle p{ }^{4}$ E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$. This was Sicinnus, the slave of Themistocles. The anecdote is given in Herod. viii. 75. The yà $\rho$ implies some ellipse: ('I say, an evil genius
was the author, though man was the agent, ) for,' \&c.
360. ov̀ $\mu \in \nu 0 \hat{\epsilon} \in \nu$. So Monk for $\mu$ évoiev,
 The future optative is very generally corrupted in MSS., either in the accent or termination. See Prom. 686. The MSS. reading would give the sense servassent, whereas the context clearly requires servaturi essent.
364. T $\boldsymbol{\partial} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu \phi \theta \delta \nu o v$. Again and again this doctrine of fatalism is inculcated, to cover the disgrace of the defeat. See 95. 355. 375. 720.
 confusion here between the oratio recta and obliqua. In continuation of $\epsilon\left\langle\bar{\tau} \tau^{\prime}\right.$ à $\lambda \oint \xi \underline{\eta}$, on which the Schol. rightly remarks

 or (on the part of the messenger) $\dot{\omega} s$, ed
 suspects, but with little reason, $\partial \nu \pi \rho o-$
$\nu a v \sigma i ̀ \nu ~ к \rho v \phi а i ́ \omega s ~ \delta \rho a \sigma \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ч ์ \rho o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \iota \nu a ̀, ~$ $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu \sigma \tau \in ́ \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к р а \tau о ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \rho о к є і ́ \mu є \nu о \nu$.
 oủ $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ є̇к $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \eta \dot{\eta} \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o$.





 $\tau \alpha ́ \xi \iota \varsigma ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau \alpha ́ \xi \iota \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \nu \epsilon \grave{\omega} \varsigma \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \hat{\varsigma}$,
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \nu v \chi o \iota ~ \delta \eta ̀ ~ \delta \iota \alpha ́ \pi \lambda o o v ~ к \alpha \theta i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$
$\mu \varepsilon\{\mu \in \nu o \nu$. In fact, $\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{s}$ refers to something suppressed. The poet probably had in mind a construction which he has but



373. arépeatal. Some MSS. have $\sigma \tau \in \rho l \sigma \kappa \in \sigma \theta a l$, which indicates an ancient

 for the accusative absolute. This accounts for the seemingly absurd remark of Schol.

 He found the gloss $\tau \mu \mu \hat{\eta} s \mathrm{kal} \hat{a}^{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$, explanatory of крdrous, but could only reconcile it with the text by the unscholarly comment at the end of his note. We find крќzous and кратдs confounded Suppl. 667. The idea of decapitation suggested to the Greek mind a notion of barbarism ; hence the кapaurбт $\eta$ pes $\delta$ ikal are included in the list of Persian torments Eum. 177.
376. of $\delta \stackrel{t}{c}$, the Persians. - оі̀к $\dot{\text { a }} \kappa \delta \sigma \mu \omega \mathrm{s}$,
 évélyou.
377. $\tau^{3}$ is wanting in the MSS., and was added by Brunck. Blomfield suspects $\delta \varepsilon i \pi m y$ to have been a gloss on the original reading, which the Schol. explains by є $\omega$ úà.
378. т тотойтo. The omission of the augment is justified by the rapid and almost epic narrative. See on 499 inf . To write é époォoûto was at least unnecessary. The younger student should pay particular attention to the use of the imperfect throughout the narrative, varied
occasionally by the aorist where instantaneous action is contrasted, as in 391-2, 399, 411. In the present case the sailors began deliberateiy to prepare their dinner and to fasten the oars to the rowlock by the $\tau \rho о \pi \omega \tau \eta{ }^{2} \rho$ or loop, previously to carrying into effect the order in 366-9. The whole of the poet's account of the fight has been so fully commented on and explained by Mr. Blakesley, Excursus to Herod. viii. 76 (Vol. ii. pp. 400-419), that it would be useless in this place to discuss the details of the action, as compared with the narrative of Herodotus.

 paration of the Persians to intercept the Greeks is here described. Eurip. frag.



 field compares Eur. Hel. 1283, paĩy $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$
 is clearly wrong in explaining $\mathrm{e}^{2} \pi t \sigma \tau \nmid \mu \omega \nu$. But for its connexion with the similar expression just illustrated, the phrase ought rather to mean ' every, captain of the heavy-armed marines. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
 expected movement of the Greeks did not take place in the evening, the Persian fleet was kept rowing about all night to prevent the escape of the enemy ; so that in the morning the Greeks were fresh for the attack, while the sailors of the Persian fleet were worn out by service.

#  





 $\mu о \lambda \pi \eta \delta \grave{o} \nu \eta u ̉ \phi \eta \prime \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ，oै $\rho \theta \iota \nu \delta^{\prime \prime}$ á $\mu a$ $\alpha{ }_{\alpha}^{\nu} \tau \eta \lambda \alpha ́ \lambda a \xi \in \nu \eta \sigma \iota \omega ́ \tau \iota \delta o s \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \rho a s$
 $\gamma \nu \omega \prime \mu \eta s \dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu \cdot$ ov̉ $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ผ́s $\phi v \gamma \hat{n}$








392．$\pi$ ét $\rho a s$ ．One MS．has $\pi$ épas， which Hermann formerly preferred，（on Eur．Hel．955，）and has now rejected only from its want of better MS．authority． See on Suppl． 258.

396．$\delta \rho \mu \omega \ddot{\nu \tau \epsilon s .}$ It is perhaps best to understand this actively for $\theta a p \sigma$ úyovtes漓入入向入ous．

 ereîvos as indicating the opposite side to his own．Hermann compares 262，ís



 $\gamma \in \nu$ compare Virgil＇s＇Martem accendere cantu，＇Aen．vi． 165.

399．d́ $\lambda \mu \eta \nu$ Bpdzıov．See on Prom． 1103.

401．єย่̀र́кктшs Herm．，Blomf．，Dind．， with the Med．and several other MSS． This reading makes nóб $\mu \Psi$ little better than a tautology；and adjectives are some－ times confounded with their adverbs，as $\pi р є \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \tilde{\eta}$ with $\pi \rho \in \cup \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \bar{s}$ in 222．On $\delta \in \xi!\partial \nu \quad \kappa \epsilon \in \rho a s$ the Schol．remarks тो $\Theta є \mu \iota-$ $\sigma \tau 0 \kappa \lambda \epsilon_{0}$ ov，which appears to be an error． Both Diodorus xi． 18 （quoted by Herm．） and Herod．viii．85，make the Athenians
to have occupied the left wing，opposed to the Phoenicians；but the former assigns the right to the Aeginetans and Megarians， the latter to the Lacedaemonians．That the Athenians were drawn up against the Phoenicians is clear from 412；indeed the latter，on the morning of the battle，bore the brunt of the attack along the whole Greek line；see the plan of the battle in Mr．Blakesley＇s Herodotus，Vol．ii．p． 400. The Aeginetans，according to Herod．viii． 93，gained the first credit in the conflict， the Athenians being second；and it is probable that $\tau \delta \delta \bar{\delta} \xi$ toे $\kappa$ ќpas refers to the former in the present passage．Strabo indeed，viii．p．375，speaks of Aegina as
 $\pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon l \omega \nu \dot{\partial} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \partial s$＇$A \theta \eta-$
 probably because the first ship that grap－ pled with the enemy was commanded by Ameinias of Pallene，Herod．viii．84，whom some have called the brother of the poet， but erroneously，as both Hermann and Mr．Blakesley are of opinion．Indeed，it would be strange that neither Herodotus nor Aeschylus made the slightest allu－ sion to the circumstance：the former at least could have had no reason for sup－ pressing it，and every motive for mention－
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon i ̄ \tau o ~ \kappa o ́ \sigma \mu \omega$, , $\delta \epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \delta^{\circ}$ ó $\pi \hat{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \xi \in \chi \omega \dot{\rho} \not \epsilon \iota, \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\delta} \mu \circ \hat{v} \kappa \lambda \bar{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \beta o \grave{\eta} \nu,{ }^{`} \Omega \pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma{ }^{`} E \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu,{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \epsilon$,














ing it, if only from the celebrity of the play. Moreover, as Blomfield observes, Aeschylus belonged to a different deme, viz. Eleusis. See the Medicean 'Life of Aeschylus,' where however the later tradition is given, that the poet himself

 this Ameinias, whoever he was, the poet
 vaûs (411), for the dignity of tragic narrative would not allow the mention of the name. The later Schol. says $\nu \alpha \bar{v} s$ ' $A \theta \eta$.-
 $\pi \alpha i$ s. But his exploit referred to the battle of Artemisium; Herod. viii. 11.
410. $\sigma \tau \delta \lambda о \nu$. The ${ }_{\xi} \mu \beta$ оло $\quad$ or beak, i. e. the projecting beam armed with pointed iron or copper, which must in these early ships have occupied nearly the place of our bowsprit, as it carried away $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \delta \rho \nu \mu \beta \alpha$, the whole figure-head; cf. Il. i. 241. ix. 241.
 mivos кal Aifivns, wrongly, as the strait between Salamis and the main land was the scene of the fight. The meaning will be best understood by referring to Mr. Blakesley's plan of the battle. The posi-
tion was due to the acuteness of Themistocles. Thuc. i. 74, 今̀s airtítatos 部 $\mathrm{e}^{2} \nu$


 ${ }^{2} \sigma \pi \tau$. The allied fleets of the Persians lined the shore on either side, but had no room to take a part in the conflict with the Phoenicians. To this he alludes in oütts $\dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \gamma \eta)^{2} \pi \rho \eta \bar{\nu}$.
417. autol $\delta^{\prime}$. If the text be right, $\delta$ ह here marks the apodosis, like ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \pi \in i-\delta \bar{\epsilon}$, Cho. 613. Ag. 211-17. But Blomfield's aùvol $\theta^{\prime}$ is very plausible; ' they both broke away their own banks of oars striking against the prows, and alsu the Greek ships battered them from all points of a circle.' - $\pi$ alovt' is not for $\pi$ alovтo, but $\pi \alpha$ olov $\tau$, agreeing with $\sigma \tau \delta \lambda o v$. The Schol, took it for $\pi$ aiovt , which is not defensible. Blomf. gives $\pi a i \sigma \theta \in \in \nu \tau^{\prime}$ after Porson. But Hermann well compares Prom. 904, 00 -

 inf. 944. For $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu \beta$ Boais Stanley would read ${ }^{2} \mu \beta \delta \lambda$ дots. The construction is aùzol
 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{2} \mu \beta o \lambda \alpha i ̂ s, ~ i . ~ е . ~ द े \gamma \kappa \rho o v \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \alpha . ~$
419. оі̀к аффра $\mu \boldsymbol{\partial} \nu \omega \mathrm{s}$, promptly and

 $\nu \alpha v a y i \omega \nu \pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta$ ovба каì фóvov $\beta \rho о \tau \omega ิ \nu$.







 $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega ि \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \sigma \varsigma$, ov̉ $\delta^{\circ}$ à $\nu \epsilon \epsilon^{i} \delta_{\epsilon ́ \kappa '}{ }^{\prime} \eta \mu a \tau a$







actively. So Ag. 281, oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\phi р а \sigma \mu \delta \nu \omega s$
 mark or notice any tbing, as Eum. 125. But the word here involves the notion of watching an opportunity and skilfully using it.
 1046. It seems that $\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ úve is used both as active and neuter, on the analogy of $\tau a \chi \dot{U} \dot{\nu} \omega, \beta \rho a \delta i ́ \nu \omega, \& c .$, Ag. 842. 1341. The $\check{u}$ is shortened as in àmúv sup. 126, according to both epic and comic usage; but the licence is rare in tragedy. On the word $\chi$ oupádes see Eum. 9.
426. $\mathbb{E} \sigma$ тe vívyous. The huge tunny is still captured in the Mediterranean by stabbing and beating it with poles or pikes, when driven into a narrow space.
429. кшки́мaбь. Hermann reads каи$\chi$ huarav, which seems, to say the least, a needless alteration. By $\pi \epsilon \lambda a \gamma i \alpha \nu$ "̈ $\lambda \alpha$ the open sea is meant, as contrasted with the àктal and $\chi$ aupd $\delta$ es of v. 423. The'Saronic gulf was sometimes called $\pi$ É $\lambda$ aros, Strabo, viii. p. 369.
 $\chi \eta \nu$. Scholeficld well compares Thucyd.

431. oivi $0^{\circ}$ - oùr. Compare Ag. 1612,



 Plat. Resp. x. § 9, ouvi' $\dot{\delta} \pi \dot{d}$
 $\lambda v \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a} .-\sigma \tau i \chi \eta \gamma o p \in i \nu$, ordine narrare,

435. какิ̂̀ $\pi$ é $\lambda$ aүos. This was a not uncommon proverb, and there seems little truth in the fanciful remark of Schol.

 Atossa emphasises $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$ in 431, with which compare Suppl. 463.
437. $\mu \in \sigma о \bar{v} v$. The infinitive wather than the participle; cf. 433. 'Has not yet reached even the middle.' Ran. 924,

 suspect the word to be here properly used of the tongue in an equal balance, which
 were added to turn the preponderating scale.

## 

#  <br>   

AГ. Пєр $\bar{\omega} \nu$ ơ $\sigma о \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \alpha \hat{\imath} о \iota ~ \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu$,





$A \Gamma$. $\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma o ́ s ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon ~ इ ॅ a \lambda a \mu i v o s ~ \tau o ́ \pi \omega \nu$,



439. тоїбסє, sc. какоîs in 435, which he speaks of as yet actually present.- $\delta 1$ s $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \eta \kappa \omega \bar{\sigma} \alpha a$, not only to be equivalent in weight, but to outweigh them by as much more. The greater calamity is the loss of the most noble and distingaished of the Persians, which he proceeds to describe, as contrasted with the mere ó of os or multitude which had perished.
449. עท̂ods ris. Psyttalea, now Lipsokoutali, between Salamis and the mainland. See Mr. Blakesley's Map of the battle (Herod. Vol. ii. p. 400). The same event is described Herod. viii. 76, $\begin{gathered}\text { és } \\ \delta \text { ह̀ }\end{gathered}$




 Toùs $\delta{ }^{\prime} \hat{E} \delta t a \phi \theta \in\{\rho \omega \sigma t . \quad$ Pausan. i. 36, 2,


 $\mu \hat{y}$



 $\eta \mu$ йд. It is clear from hence that Pan was worshipped in the island. It was, as it were, under the protection of that deity, who had assisted the Athenians on a former occasion, Herod. vi. 105. In Soph. Ajac. 695, he is invoked as Fiàv $\dot{\dot{d} \lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} / \pi \lambda a \gamma-$ ктоs, perhaps in allusion to this very island, which was a kind of appendage to Salamis.

The island itself, Pausanias observes, iv. 36, 4, was obscure, and known to history only for the destruction of the Persians in
 vaval is pretty clear from Strabo, ix. p.

 as it lay exactly off the entrance to the Piraeus, ships would be compelled to find such shelter under it as they could till the wind served for entering the port of Athens. Casaubon proposed $\lambda \frac{h \mu \eta \nu}{\tau}$ тиú Meєpaiês, 'the eye-sore of Piraeus,' a conjecture rendered needless by the present passage.
4.52. öтav. There can be no reasonable doubt that the text is right. I long ago defended ö $\quad \tau a \nu$ against Elmsley's ' $8 r^{\prime}$ ' $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa$ $\nu \in \omega \bar{\omega}$, adopted by Blomf, and Dind., and am glad to find that Hexmann retains it. The very words of Xerxes are transferred as nearly as possible to the narrative, but the subjunctive passes into the optative because the action is past, though expressed by the praesens historicum

 He uses the present $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \sigma \dot{\omega} \oint \omega \nu \tau \alpha l$, rather than énow $\theta \omega \bar{\omega} \tau v$, because the mere attempt to land there is anticipated. Hence the messenger says cum se reciperent, not recepissent. There is a very similar con-

 aúatos $\beta \in \beta \dot{\omega} s$, where the very words of








 кขк $\lambda о \hat{\nu} \tau о \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma o \nu, \mathscr{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$

 $\theta \dot{\omega} \mu l \gamma \gamma$ оs ioi $\pi \rho о \sigma \pi i \tau \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon s \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \lambda \lambda \nu \sigma \alpha \nu$ ．
 $\pi \alpha i ́ v \sigma \tau \iota, \kappa \rho є о к о \pi о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \delta v \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \omega \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ ，


unknown to the prose writers，as An－ tipho，p．133－34，oîtol ס̀̀ $\operatorname{\theta ávatov~т\hat {̣}}$


 $\phi \theta a \rho \in ́ v \tau e s$, i．e．shipwrecked，as the poets
 Taur．276．The genitive depends on the notion of going out，as $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$
 Like éppeav（the Latin errare，cf．inf．942，） $\phi \theta \in i p \in \sigma \theta a t$ often implies losing one＇s way， and thence arriving out of time and place， as in Dem．Mid．p．560，$\phi \theta \in\{\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho \delta s$ toùs $\pi \lambda$ avofous．Ar．Eccl．248，$\tau\left(\delta^{\circ}{ }^{3}\right)$


454．ктєivotev．Porson and Dindorf read ктєivecav，several copies having ктelvetev，which however is only the error of $\epsilon$ for 0 ．The present tense seems more appropriate to ${ }^{2} \kappa \sigma \omega$ Solato，and $^{2}$ in－ deed is more consistent with the usage of the Greeks，for the intention，not the result，is expressed．Besides，the next verse has $\dot{\boldsymbol{j} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \omega ́\{0 t \epsilon \nu . ~}$

456．iбтор⿳⺈ข．Schol，$\sigma \kappa о \pi \hat{\nu . ~ S i m i-~}$
 ＇you are well－informed ahout，＇\＆c．






 натєфб́vєуテау тd́dгтas．Müller observes that the poet dwells with delight on this feat of his friend Aristides．

464． $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \in ⿺ 廴 ⿻ 肀 二$ taneous shout．＇Cf．408．The word in－ volves the notion of loud and stormy or confused speaking，generally in abuse （Theb．7），sometimes in assent or encou－ ragement，as in $\delta \mu о \rho \rho o \theta \in i ̂ \nu, ~ e ̀ ~ \pi i p p o t \in i ̂ v . ~$

465．крєокотойби．The MSS．give крєшкотойбt，as кєратутоицєขає in Ag． 638，except that the Med．here has the true reading by a correction，which Por－ son had restored by an obvious conjec－ ture．

467－473．These verses are perhaps an addition by another hand．In the first place，the flight of Xerxes has nothing to do with the direct object of the $\bar{\beta} \eta \sigma t s$ ， which was to describe the slaughter of the bravest Persians，sup．448，and which is alluded to by Atossa in 476，without reference to the flight of her son．Secondly， the account seems in itself apocryphal， differing as it does from that of Herodo－ tus，who makes Xerxes only to have con－ templated flight after the battle（ $\delta \rho \eta \sigma \mu \delta \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \beta o u ́ \lambda \in u \epsilon$ ，viii． 97 ），and even states that
 vavuaरi $\eta \nu$ ，ibid．113．Thirdly，the metre of 467 and 471 is faulty，and it is remark－ able that three others，equally violating the law of caesura，viz． $503,505,511$ ，







 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu \omega ิ \nu \eta \hat{u} \rho \epsilon, \kappa о \dot{\jmath} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \kappa \epsilon \sigma a \nu$


 $\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\delta} \epsilon i \pi \grave{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \hat{\omega} \nu$ aî $\pi \epsilon \phi \epsilon \dot{\prime} \gamma a \sigma \iota \nu \mu o ́ \rho o \nu$,

occur in a passage which internal evidence renders not less suspicious than the present. There are undoubtedly some such verses elsewhere to be found in the plays of Aeschylus (see sup. 354); but those few are exceptional, occurring only at wide intervals. Fourthly, $\pi \in \lambda a \gamma i \alpha s$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \partial s$ seems borrowed from 429 , where it has a meaning, while here it is a tame and otiose epithet. For whether the height occupied by Xerxes was Mount Aegaleos or the Heracleum (see Mr. Blakesley on Herod. viii. 90), both these points, so far from commanding the open sea, are in the very narrowest parts of the channel. Lastly, In $\sigma^{2}$, with the variant $\bar{y} t \xi$, in 472 , is open to suspicion. For though the active léval may have been used intransitively, like $\frac{1 \delta \pi \tau \tau \epsilon}{}$ Suppl. 541,
 $l_{E \mu \in \nu}$, still the elision of the $t$ is very unusual (see on Prom. 1037), and the use of the present tense is not satisfactory in this place. Hermann indeed, perhaps on these grounds, has tacitly adopted ${ }^{3}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$, referring only to Porson on Hec. 31, and Elmsl. on Bacch. 147, for the trisyllabic form of the more Attic $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$.
468. Eủayñ. On this somewhat rare and obscure word Hermann has introduced into his notes a long philological monograph. We have $\pi \dot{u} p \gamma o \nu \epsilon \dot{\operatorname{cog}} \hat{\eta} \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ in
 ejuafeis Bo入al Bacch. 660, apparently in much the same sense as the present passage, i. e. as synonymous with $\epsilon \dot{u} \alpha \nu \gamma \hat{\eta}$,
the genitive here being like さapшицкои
 menides is said to have written rceapâs


 resist the conclusion that $\alpha \dot{y} \gamma \dot{\eta}$ is a digammated form of the obsolete a $\gamma \dot{\lambda}$, like aủd́áa for àfata, i. e. ä $\tau \alpha$, Pind. Pyth. ii. 28." iii. 24. Indeed, we have à $\gamma \boldsymbol{\eta}$ from ary $\quad$ vul, sup. 427, and the ideas of light and breaking have several common relations. There appears to have been a distinct adjective (in sense at least) evia $\alpha$ ǹs, 'easily broken,' with the $\alpha$ long, as it seems to be in the text; also ejuad ${ }^{2}$ ) from áros, 'pious,' and possibly yet
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a \gamma \grave{\eta} s$ or $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \eta \gamma \grave{n} s$,) not from ä $\gamma \omega$, duco, but because there is a connexion between bending and breaking, as in trying to make a hoop out of a thin piece of wood. Nor can it be denied that Empedocles and Parmenides may have alluded to the circular orb of the sun. The grammarians, as usual, confound all these senses. Hermann's conclusion is that ejuaǹs ( ${ }^{(\alpha)}$ ) means here and elsewhere serene (Schol. каəapà $\nu$ ), from the purity of bright air ; but few will assent to his interpretation of this verse, 'ex toto exercitu loco propter altitudinem sereno sedem habuit Xerxes.'
475. mıирd̀ $\delta \bar{\delta}$. The sense would be improved by reading $\pi ı \kappa \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \epsilon$, especially as cal follows in the next verse.
$A \Gamma$ ．$\nu \alpha \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठè $\tau \alpha \gamma o i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu \quad \sigma v ́ \delta \eta \nu$

















482．vaఱ̂v $\delta$ غ́．Dindorf and Hermann are here right， I think，in retaining $\delta \delta \hat{E}_{\text {，}}$ ， the reading of all the MSS．，to the rejec－ tion of $\gamma 5$ ，which later editors had intro－ duced from ed．Rob．The narrative，as Schol． 2 observes，is continued from 473， without regard to Atossa＇s interruption．

483．aïpourac．The MSS．by a usual error give aipoûvial，corrected by Elmsley on Heracl．505．－кǎ ${ }^{2}$ o $\overline{\mathrm{b}}$ роу，cf．Theb． 687．Schol．ótou ó ăveqos aữoùs фépet．
 301，whence it appears that part of the army remained there，and therefore that the imperfect $\delta i \omega ́ \lambda \lambda u t o$ must be taken in its strict sense，like $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\prime} \mu \in \nu=1$ Ar，Ach． 71，＇remained perishing while the rest retreated．＇There is nothing which di－ rectly answers to $\tau \epsilon$ ，the poet having attended rather to oi $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ and oi $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ．Her－ mann＇s view of the construction seems correct：＇Quum duplex divisio sit，una locorum in quibus afflictus est exercitus， altera mortuorum et servatorum，ambas complicat，ita dicens，reliquus exercitus et in Boeotia periit［peribat］，alii prae siti ad fontes haerentes，alii autem anhelitu exhausti et in Phocidem ac Doridem et ad sinum Maliacum pervenimus．＇

490．＇A $\chi$ auifos．Most MSS．have＇A $\chi$ at－

Sos，but see on Theb．28．A district of Thessaly next to the Melian gulf was known as Achaia．Strab．ix．p．433，市．
 бuyd́atouqa тoîs Ma入ıeû̃ty．

492．Adovo．The augment is perhaps rather absorbed than omitted，as in 312， 460．Hermann suspects the verse；but I can hardly agree with him that é $\theta \nu \eta \sigma$ кoy would be more appropriate to the sense． He suggests that the poet may have writ－












496．Bód $\beta \eta$ g．A lake near the Strymon， now Beshek．See Thucyd．iv． 103.

498．九̌apov，тара̀ кацрঠ̀ Schol．＇Fri－ gus ab hac anni tempestate alienum，sc． non expectandum，＇Schütz．Stanley shews，from Her．viii．109，that the battle took place late in the autumn．



 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ s, \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{a} \kappa \rho v \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda о \pi \hat{\eta} \gamma a$ ठıà $\pi o ́ \rho o \nu$.
 а́кті̀ขas $\dot{\omega} \rho \mu \eta \eta^{\theta} \eta, \sigma \in \sigma \omega \sigma \mu \in ́ v o s ~ к ข \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.
499. $\dot{a} \gamma \nu 0 \hat{1}$ ZTTputbvos. See Suppl. 250. Herodotus says nothing about crossing the Strymon on the ice, but merely states (viii. 118) that Xerxes arrived at Eion on the Strymon, where there was a bridge by which they had formerly crossed, and he does not say it had been destroyed. Mr. Blakesley remarks how entirely uncertain all the details of the retreat of Xerxes appear to have been. It seems indeed strange that Herodotus should not have noticed important facts of this kind, if they had already been recorded by Aeschylus. That he has not done so adds some weight to the suspicion, resting on other grounds, that a part if not the whole of this $\dot{\rho} \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma \iota$ from $\mathbf{v}$. 482, (inclusive, of course, of $480-1$,) is not from the hand of the poet. We should have expected, from 566 and 730 inf., that something was added in the original play about the escape of Xerxes through Thrace, instead of a general and vague statement about the movements of the defeated army. It seems altogether improbable that the messenger, who has hitherto only described the result of the day's conflict, and whom we might have supposed to have set off instantly after the event with express speed, should have lingered long enough in Greese to be a witness of the slow march and protracted sufferings of the army, and even to have accompanied the survivors into Persia! This is to destroy the very notion of a special messenger ; for rumour must long ago have anticipated him. Yet the sense of $v .512$ is explicit, that the remainder of the army have returned to the land of their hearths. It is impossible to reconcile this with the known practice of the Persian ${ }^{6} \gamma \gamma a p o t$, and with the arrangements for a speedy announcement distinctly implied in v. 14, and what is more, distinctly related as a fact by Herod. viii. 98. Turn we now to the metre, and we shall find the gravest grounds for doubt.

There are two points here to attend to ; violated caesura, and omitted augment. We find not less than three verses, 503, 505 , and 511, which fall under the objection raised on the former head against 467 and 471 . As for the second, rim $\pi 0 \nu$ in 508 can only be compared with the spurious $\pi$ '́ $\sigma=\nu$ in 315 ; and when these two instances are set aside, I believe no other really similar examples of omitted augment can be adduced from Aeschylus, the choral odes being of course excepted (cf. Suppl. 561.575. Ag. 223. Cho. 411. 599). For, not to discuss at present a few only apparent instances, as Cho. 188, 725, 916, it is clear that supra 312, 460, 492, may be regarded as cases of augment absorbed by the preceding vowel ; and $\tau \rho o-$ $\pi 0$ ito in 378 as rather slurred by the rapidity of pronunciation than actually omitted, though in truth, as far as the metre is concerned, éтpoтoûтo might have been written. But $\pi i \pi t o \nu$ is a form in itself highly improbable in a genuine tragic senarius. The very uncertainty of the quantity of $t$ (for the MSS. give $\bar{\pi}$ ím $\pi o \nu$ ) adds something to the difficulty. Attempts have been made by Porson and others to get rid of at least some of these irregularities; thus, the former would transpose
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \bar{q}$, and Blomfield reads in 508, èmıт
 other cases, the remedy is worse than the

 practice of the other tragic writers, who do occasionally omit the augment in narratives, cannot be accepted as a testimony of much weight against the uniform usage of Aeschylus as exhibited in his extant plays.
501. үaià oủpapóy te. The Persians worshipped those elements.








$\Theta_{\rho} \hat{y}^{\prime} \kappa \eta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \mu o ́ \gamma \iota \varsigma \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \pi o ́ v \omega$,


$\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu, \pi о \theta_{0} \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu \phi \lambda \lambda \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu{ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \beta \eta \nu \chi \chi^{\theta}{ }^{\nu}$ ós．


XO．§ $\delta v \sigma \pi o ́ v \eta \tau \epsilon \delta a i ̂ \mu \nu \nu, \dot{\omega}$ äyav $\beta a \rho v ̀ s$












507．סîŋヶcє．From סiǘvat，used in－ transitively．See 472.
 tivuरins．The Med．and some others have єj่ $\tau \cup \chi \in \hat{i}$ ，which Hermann retains． The objection to the present is that it seems to imply a state of happiness con－ sequent on death，contrary to the sense of the passage，which evidently refers to the very time of the event；＂happy was he who met the quickest death．＇

 found Eum．345．The metaphor or image of a demon leaping down on the devoted head of a victim is a favourite one with Aeschylus，as in the passage last quoted； inf．895．Ag． 1638.

522．aray фaú入んs．Schol．oùk à $\lambda \eta \theta \omega \hat{s}$ ．

The meaning is rather，＇too lightly，＇or carelessly，as of but little import．Cf． 217 seqq．

 $\theta \in o i ̂ s ~ \kappa a i ~ \Delta a p e i \varphi . ~ O n ~ e ̀ к u ́ p w \sigma \in \nu ~ s e e ~ 229 . ~$
 $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \nu o \nu(\hat{\omega} s) \delta \omega \rho \nmid \mu a \tau a$.


 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu 0$ ors $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ ．In this expression èml does not so much signify after or consequent upon，as on or wilh，i．e．it refers to the state of affairs at the time of the action．

 Eur．Ion 228，$e^{\prime} \pi^{3} \dot{a} \sigma \phi d \kappa т o t s, ~ \mu \hat{\eta} \lambda o t s$.


## 



x0．$\hat{\omega} Z \epsilon \hat{v} \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}, \nu \hat{v} \nu * \Pi_{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda a v \chi \chi \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \nu \alpha ́ v \delta \rho \omega \nu$<br>$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀ \nu$ ö入є́ $\sigma a s$<br><br>$\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \theta \epsilon \iota \delta \nu о \phi \in \rho \hat{\omega}$ катє́крvభas． <br>катєрєєко́мєьац<br> $\tau \epsilon ́ \gamma \gamma o v \sigma^{\prime}$ ，ä̀ $\lambda$ ovs $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi$ оvбаи． ai $\delta^{\circ} \dot{a} \beta \rho o ́ \gamma o o 九 ~ \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma i ́ \delta \epsilon \varsigma, ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho \omega ̄ \nu$

 part，now that the matter has ended thus， to engage in faithful consultations with the royal councillors．＇Cf． 2 and 677. If this be the sense，which is not quite clear，the chorus，who are themselves тıa $\frac{1}{}$ ，sup．2，are exhorted to take coun－ sel with others bearing the same title． And this view furnishes an easy interpre－ tation of $\overline{\bar{\omega}} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ̀ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ inf． 677 ，i．e． ＇faithful out of the whole number of the faithful．＇Cf．$\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ è̀ $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau o \iota s$ V．445， which implies there were ranks and gra－ dations among the Mivтol．
533．$\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \eta \tau а ц к а к \delta v$, i．e．commit sui－ cide；a euphemism．The MSS．give $\pi \rho b \sigma \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$ ，by a very common error，espe－ cially of the Med．See Suppl．927．－ Here Atossa leaves the stage to prepare the libations for the invocation of Darius．
534 seqq．The chorus raise a lamenta－ tion over the defeat，which they attribute to Zeus as the prime cause，and the Ne － mesis which attends pride，but to Xerxes as the unhappy agent．They contrast his reign with that of Darius（556）．The king himself has barely escaped paying the penalty of his folly（566），and the slain hosts are left unburied，the food of fishes．There is an end of kingly autho－ rity now that the prestige of infallibility and divinity has passed away from royalty through the recent disaster（586－596）．

Salamis is now the sepulchre of the Persian empire．－The Commos commences with v． $550 .-\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \Pi_{\varepsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu . ~ A ~ s y l l a b l e ~ i s ~ w a n t-~}^{\text {a }}$ ing，but whether $\delta \dot{\eta}, \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ，or $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ，is alto－ gether uncertain．

537．Blomf．and Dind．give＇A $\gamma \beta a$－ $\tau \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \omega \nu$ ．The Med．has é $\gamma \beta \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu$ ，the other MSS．ét $\kappa \beta a \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu$ ．See on v． 16.

539．д̀тa入aîs．The Med．and all but one copy with ed．Rob．，give $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ a $\lambda$ aîs． Recent editors agree in accepting the epic． form，as less likely to be a correction．In the next verse Hermann has inserted $\mu$ aia rová $\delta \epsilon s$ ，from the reading of one MS．， which has $\mu$ күעdid катєрєкб $\mu \in \nu a z$ ，and he compares，what does not seem very much to the purpose，supra 63－4．However ingenious this may be，we must not forget that the authority of a single copy of saec． xiv．cannot，in so important a variety，be judged to outweigh all the rest；more－ over，the grammarians were so fond of filling up catalectic anapaests（of which an instance occurs below in 547），that this may well have been a corruption of some marginal addition．We find the strange reading $\gamma \dot{u} \pi o \delta a s$ in Prom．731，yet it is certain that the poet wrote $\pi \delta \delta \alpha s$ ．－On ка入úmт $\rho a s$ see Suppl．112．Schol．$\tau \grave{a} \tau \mathfrak{\eta} s$ $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta} s \sigma_{\kappa} \in \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu a \tau a$ ，i．e．the cloth which muffles the face of oriental women．

543．áßpóroot．The MSS．place the accent on the penult，and so Herm．and
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \rho \omega \nu \tau^{3}$ єv̉vàs $\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \circ \chi i ́ \tau \omega \nu a s$, $\chi^{\lambda \iota \delta \alpha \nu \eta \hat{s}}{ }_{\eta}^{\eta} \beta \eta s \tau \epsilon \in \rho \psi \nu \nu, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon і \sigma \alpha \iota$,
 $\kappa \alpha ̉ \gamma \grave{\omega} \delta \grave{~} \mu o ́ \rho o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oỉXo $\mu \in ́ v \omega \nu$
 $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \gamma$ à $\rho \pi \rho o ́ \pi \alpha \sigma a \mu$ ц̀े $\sigma \tau e ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$ үай’＇＇Aбі̀s є̇ккєขоข $\mu$ є́va．



 то́झа $\rho \chi$ оя то入ıи́таıs， इov ídaus фí入os äкт $\omega$ ．

Blomf．I have followed Dindorf and Lin－ wood on Eum．177．For the epithet see
 With the uncontracted notéováa compare тронє́одтая sup．64．Ag．144，калє́ш．－ aptıSupiay，＇the recent fellowship，＇for $\nu \in \delta \zeta_{u}$ yas ${ }^{2} \nu \delta \delta \rho \alpha s$.

547．àropé́テтots．So Hermann for the vulg．àкореттorditos．Thiough he has omitted to quote àтeкцартотd́тךs in 894， he is certainly right in saying that the superlative is here tame and unpoetical， whatever force may be attributed to his observation，＂omnis sermo ita institutus est，ut vix dubites quin singulae ejus partes，commemoratio Jovis，matrum， uxorum，chori ipsias，paroemiaco termi－ natae fuerint．＂The reading of the MSS． droopeatdrots，is of the same nature as the vulgate in Suppl．8，namely，a clumsy attempt to make up the full complement of syllables of an ordinary anapaestic verse． Only one MS．has àкорєбтo兀ḋots，with rp．àкоребта́таıs．Another has дккоребто－ тitots，which Hermann attributes to a confusion of two readings，àкорє́бтots and dкорभтоts，but I have little doubt it ori－ ginated in a desire to get rid of an evident false quantity，or fancied Doricism，in d́корєбтútous，by writing $\tau \eta$ above it．
 real object of alfo is rather perhaps $\pi^{\prime} \nu \nu$－


We however talk of＇taking up＇a mourn． ful theme or strain．I formerly compared $\xi u v \tau<\theta \eta \sigma t \mu \delta \rho o \nu$, Suppl．63，but it is not certain that छ̀vyтı日évac there means＇to compose．＇－סoкiншыs，＇creditably，＇doubt－ less alludes to the Persian custom of pro－ fessional or hired mourners，as exhibited at the end of the play．Cf，Cho． 415.

550．$\nu \bar{v} \nu \gamma \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \rho$ ．Most MSS．add $\delta \eta$ ，and so Hermann ；but Blomf．and Dind．give $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \bar{\delta} \eta$ ．
 or＇A ＇dàs，by an error similarly corrected in Prom． 754.
 words are the burden of the lamentations implied in $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \varphi \in \iota$ preceding．See inf． 912. They contrast the ill success of Xerses with the general good fortune of Darius， conveniently forgetting the disaster the latter had met with on the plains of Marathon．The exact correspondence
 repeated，will be noticed by the student of antistrophic laws．See inf．651－2． 656－7．690－1．696－7．
 §vaф $\rho \delta \nu \omega \mathrm{s}$ ．＇Managed imprudently．＇ Compare eй $ф \rho \omega \nu, \quad$＇prudent，＇in 768. Blomfield denies this sense to the word， which he renders aegre，calamitose．I think the later Schol．rightly explains $\kappa к \kappa о ф р б \nu \omega s$.

<br>$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \alpha$.  $\nu \hat{\alpha} \epsilon \varsigma ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ä้үауov, $\pi о \pi o ̂ ̂$, $\nu \hat{\alpha} \epsilon \subseteq ฺ \delta^{\prime}$ ä $\pi \omega \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu, \tau о \tau о \hat{\imath}$,  $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \delta ' ~ ' I a o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \chi \epsilon ́ \rho a s ; ~$<br>  $\delta v \sigma \chi i ́ \mu о v s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa є \lambda \epsilon u ́ \theta$ ovs. 569 тоі̀ $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \rho \alpha \pi \rho \omega \tau о ́ \mu о \iota \rho о \iota, \phi \in \hat{\imath}$, $\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.

560. $\pi \epsilon$ Soùs $\delta \in ́ . \quad$ I have retained $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, which I formerly edited for $\tau \in$ or $\tau \in \gamma$ वे $\rho$ of the MSS., because the sense seems clearly to indicate an antithesis, expressed by $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\delta \hat{\xi}$, between the former ( $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ ) expedition of Darius, and the present one of Xerses; and this appears now to be Dindorf's view. For the above reason it seems unsafe to omit alde in the next verse, with the recent editors, though the reading retained in the text is avowedly


 plausible; but еєккєขоунє́va seems of itself more likely to be right (cf. Theb. 319), and it is possible that kvavótties was pronounced kwan-rather than kyanjust as pueri is a spondee in Lucretius, iv. 1023. It is possible also (if mere possibilities be worth mentioning) that al8 is the termination of some lost word, and that the verses originally stood thus,

 of $\dot{\delta} \mu \dot{\delta} \pi \tau \in \rho 0 t$ is very uncertain. Like $\dot{\omega} \kappa \dot{\jmath} \pi \tau \in \rho o \iota$ in Suppl. 714, it may refer to the equal oars ( $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \eta \rho \epsilon^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \in \tau \mu \dot{\alpha}, \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \grave{\alpha}$
 speaks of $\nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon s$ Eizaz. Or if said of the sails, it may signify 'uniform,' i. e. all of eastern character, as contrasted with Greek, though collected from various tributary nations. Hermann's idea, that the poet meant $\pi \in$ Sous kal $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma$ ious $\delta \mu 0^{\prime} \omega s$, is too refined and subtle for the straightforward style of Aeschylus. If we take $\delta \mu \dot{\sigma} \pi \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ to mean 'kindred,' as in Cho. 168, i. e. the native or national as opposed to the enemy's fleet, we shall have the following as the sense of the whole passage: 'but now their own ships
which conveyed them have destroyed them, partly by fatal collision against each other, and partly by the prowess of the Athenians.' See supra 417-20.-On $\pi \epsilon$ Soùs the later Schol. well remarks that we must
 This seems better than the notion of land forces conveyed in transport ships.
561. $\delta$ ià $\delta^{\prime}$. Hermann corrects $\delta$ od $\gamma^{\prime}$, adding "naves dicuntur Persarum, quarum ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \beta$ o $\lambda a l$ fuerint propter Iones $\pi a \nu \omega \lambda \in \theta \rho o s . "$ Rather, I think, $\mu \stackrel{ \pm}{\epsilon} \nu$ is to be supplied with ${ }_{\epsilon}^{2} \mu \beta$ ßo $\lambda$ aîs, by a usage not uncommon with Aeschylus, e. g. Suppl. 15. By 'Ionians' we must not understand those of Asia Minor, but the Athenians, as in 180,
 should be remarked that סià was pronounced here and in 640,989 , as a monosyllable, i. e. §a. See on Eum. 764. Cho. 774. Theb. 343.
 mixed construction see 190.
562. $\pi \rho \omega \tau \delta \mu$ о $\rho 0$. So one Paris MS. for the vulg. $\pi \rho \omega \tau \delta \mu 0 \rho o s$, two others giving $\pi \rho \omega \tau \delta \mu о \rho \phi о$. Blomfield and Dindorf, to suit the antistrophe, in which the common reading is redundant by a syllable, rather clumsily insert $\delta$ ) after $\pi \rho \omega \tau \delta \mu o \rho o \ell$, from Heath. Hermann, who has restored the antistrophic verse by an admirable conjecture, well observes that the exclamations accurately correspond throughout, and therefore that $\phi \in \hat{v}$ is wrongly omitted by Brunck and others in 578 . Those killed at first in the naval engagement are contrasted with those who subsequently died by starvation in the retreat. Translate: 'And those who perished at first, left unburied of necessity, are besprent on the shores of Salamis. Lament for them and be stung with grief, and raise a deep

# $\lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \alpha \nu, ~ \epsilon ́ \eta े, ~$ ảкт⿳亠口冋s ả $\mu \phi \grave{i}$ Kvұрєías，òà， 

 oủpávi＇á $\chi \eta$ ，ỏà，
$\tau \epsilon і \nu \epsilon$ ठè $\delta v \sigma \beta \alpha \dot{u} \kappa \tau о \nu$ ßоâтıv тá入auvà av̉סáv．
 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$. $\sigma \kappa u ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau a l ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \alpha u ́ \delta \partial \omega \nu, ~ \epsilon ̄ ̀, ~$

 ठацнóvi＇ă $\chi \eta$ ，ỏá， ঠуро́мєขои $\gamma$ є́роутєя， $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \lambda$ v́ov $\quad \iota \nu$ ă $\lambda$ yos． 585

cry of woe to the very heaven，and strain your mournful voices in loud and cheerless tones of sorrow．＇

572．Kuxpeías．Schol．$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ इaxauîvos．




 $\lambda \nu \mu a เ \nu \delta \mu \in \nu \sigma \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma \nu$.
 the MSS．after änai $\delta \in s$ in 581．Hermann long ago transferred it to this place；and it certainly satisfies at once metre and sense，though it is not easy to account for such a mistake of the copyists．For the sense compare 277．From pailu a rare form of the third person plural is formed after the analogy of кéкрадтаı（ $\xi \nu \mu ф о р a l)$ Hippol．1255．We have $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \notin \theta$ ivtal inf． 911，$\delta \in ́ \delta \mu a \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ Théocr．xv．131．$\pi \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu \tau a \_$ in Ag．365 is rather uncertain in sense；
 curs IL．v． 531.
 $\delta^{\prime}$ ò $\mu \phi \grave{d} y$ oùpavíav．Blomfield inclines to the sense＇heaven－sent，＇like $\delta a \iota \mu \delta \nu i$＇$a \chi \eta$ inf． 582.

578．סlva．So Hermann for $\dot{a} \lambda l$ l $\delta \in t y a ̀ ~$ or $\delta \in \iota \nu$ g．．See sup．570．This is one of those happy emendations which at once commend themselves by a self－evident propriety．When $\delta i \nu a$ had wrongly been written $\delta \in \iota \bar{\chi}$ ，it was not unnatural for a transcriber to supply a substantive，guided by a false reading $\pi \rho \omega \tau \dot{\mu} \mu 0 \rho o \mathrm{in} 570$ ．But
$\delta \epsilon \nu \nu \grave{\chi} \dot{\alpha} \lambda s$ is an expression which，strange in itself，is scarcely justified by the con－ text．On the other hand，$\delta i v q$ is perfectly appropriate．The bodies were both lacer－ ated by the current dashing them against the rocks，and gnawed by the fishes．
 This remarkable expression，which Her－ mann thinks intentionally oriental，＇the voiceless children of the pure，＇is like Hesiod＇s descriptive nomenclature，à $\nu \delta$－ $\sigma \tau \epsilon o s$ for a cuttle－fish，фєptoonos for a snail ；and so $\delta a \sigma u ́ \pi o u s$ for a hare，$\lambda \iota \mu \nu u-$ хap̀̀s for a frog，Battr．12．Compare $\dot{\eta}$ à $\nu \theta \in \mu o u \rho \gamma \delta s$ inf．614，for $\mu \in ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ．The epithet＇voiceless＇applied to fish was a favourite one with the poets．So Horace has＇mutis piscibus，＇Sophocles è $\lambda \lambda 0$ ís ${ }^{i} \chi^{\theta} \dot{v} \sigma \iota y$ Ajac．1295．Aristot．Hist．An．

入érouat фàeìv．
584．үє́poytes．In apposition with токє́єs．＂Bereaved parents，elders be－ wailing their heaven－sent woes，now hear the worst．＇Cf．Ag． 416.
586．Өív．So Dind．for $\delta \bar{\eta} \nu$ ，though in his latest edition he retains the vulgate， with Hermann．But $\delta \dot{\eta} \nu$ is diu，a word scarcely used in tragedy，and not very intelligible except on the supposition that the poet meant＇having long been subject to the Persian yoke，they are now no longer so．＇Whereas 0 inv，＇doubtless＇（Prom． 949）has an appropriate irony．By $\gamma \hat{a} \nu$ ＇A $\sigma$ lay the Greek colonies of Asia Minorand




 $\gamma a ̀ \rho \delta \iota o ́ \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon \nu$ i $\sigma \chi u ́ s$.

 $\lambda \alpha o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̉ \lambda \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \beta a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \nu$,
 ai $\mu \alpha \chi \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a \delta^{\circ}$ aैpovpa
 $\nu \hat{a} \sigma o s{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$.





their frequent struggles for independence are clearly meant. The sentiment sounds rather ambiguously in the mouth of a Persian. It is not like the language of a sincere monarchist, but rather that of one who sympathises with liberals. We have before seen that the poet writes as if forgetful that he ought not to put Athenian sentiments in the mouths of Persians. One can hardly doubt that the extravagance of Oriental king-worship is here depicted in a popular light, as viewed by the Greeks. He speaks of it as a tyranny by which men are tongue-tied and coerced. No one will suppose that any Persian could unfeignedly regret the dissolution of such an iron rule. There is a clever irony in the utterance of such slavish lamentations.
590. '̇s yầ $\pi \rho \rho \pi i \neq \nu 0 \nu \tau \epsilon s$. This act was detested by the Greeks. See on Ag. 892.
593. $\gamma \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a$ दे $\nu$ фидakais. The Athenian mappnota, which generally meant the right to abuse those in power with impunity, is here pointedly alluded to.
 power has been removed.' The same use of $\dot{\omega} s$ seems to occur Ag. 327.—à $\lambda \kappa \bar{\alpha} s$,

Schol. recent. т ${ }^{2} s \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda u \kappa \hat{\eta} s ~ \delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega s$.
597. ápoupay. So Porson for apoupa, one MS. having dapoupaı (without accent). With the feminine $\pi \epsilon \rho i \kappa \lambda \dot{v} \sigma \tau \alpha$ compare סuбolota Eum. 758, таขаркє́тך Cho. til,
 $\pi \rho \dot{a} \gamma \mu a t a$, ' all that belonged to the Persians.' Compare 999, ойк ช̈̀ $\omega \omega \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega s$ $\tau$ à $\Pi \varepsilon \rho \sigma \hat{a} \nu ;$ Blomf. and Dind. write $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{a} \nu$ against the MSS.
600. какผิข $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. The general sense is this: 'Every one who is well versed in misfortune is aware that when evils come suddenly upon a man, he is full of fear and anxiety about the future ; while on the other band, when he is in prosperity, be is too ready to believe that adversity can never reach him. Now I, who once imagined the Persians to be invincible, am full of the gravest apprehensions now that they have experienced a reverse.'

 by no means self-evident whether oủpieiv is here intransitive, like eijpoeiv, (Schol. oúpoo $\rho o \mu e i v$, ) or whether aủcòv is to be supplied, as raтoupı $\sigma a s$ is active Prom. 986. See also on Cho. 309. Photius has
є́ $\mu o i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ ф o ́ ß o u ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~$ ..... 605$\chi^{\lambda \iota \delta \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma} \pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \theta \in \nu$ є̇к $\delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$610$\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \epsilon \mu \circ v \rho \gamma o \hat{v} \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha, \pi \alpha \mu \phi a \not ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda c$,615$\xi \alpha \nu \theta \hat{\eta} s$ є̉ $\lambda \alpha i ́ a s ~ к \alpha \rho \pi o ̀ s ~ \epsilon v ̉ \omega ́ \delta \eta s ~ \pi \alpha ́ \rho a, ~$620
oùpícal à àтокатабт $\hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ eis oưptov. It may however be fairly argued that the poet would have written $\tau 0 \chi \eta \nu$ if he had used the verb in this sense. For the metaphor compare Iph. Taur. 1317, $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \hat{\nu} \mu a$


605. ${ }^{2} \mu \mathrm{ol}{ }^{2} \gamma \mathrm{a} \rho$, (' I am led to make these remarks), for,' \&c.
606. $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ à $\nu \tau \alpha \hat{\alpha} \alpha \theta \in \omega \bar{\nu}$, ' 'adversa deorum,' Hermann; or, as I formerly rendered it, ' a diis missa adversa.' And so one of the

 are other interpretations of the verse, which seem less suited to the context.




 Elsewhere $\pi$ atwivos is clearly used in this sense, as Suppl. 1051. Ag. 495 and 821 ;
 in use (cf. Theb. 257. frag. 156), we cannot reasonably doubt that the poet meant ' a sound not like that of a paean.' Originally $\pi a / \omega \nu$ may have meant 'the timebeater' of a song (compare d̀ $\left.\nu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \pi \alpha, \sigma \tau o s\right)$; then the song itself, as distinguished from others in which this was not done; then,
from the connexion between music and healing by è $\pi \nleftarrow \delta a l$ (see on Prom. 487) it signified ' a healer' or 'the god of healing,' as Ag. 1219. In Cho. 335 the MSS. give $\pi a i \omega v$, which is perhaps wrongly altered
 identical, further appears from the fact that the god of healing is called by either name indiscriminately, as Ag. 99. 144. 1219. Eur. Ion 125.
610. $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ Ё $\sigma \tau \in i \lambda a$. Her former ap. pearance in state was at $1 \leq 2$. The highly poetical passage which follows, and in which, though coloured with eastern imagery, the queen appears to describe Grecian rather than Persian rites, is imitated by Eurip. Iph, Taur. 165 seqq.
618. Aadतoúans Blov. Though Blov may be taken as an accusative of duration, it is probable that $\theta d \lambda \lambda \epsilon a \nu$ has the same active serise as in Theocr. xxv. 16, $\mu_{\mathrm{E} \lambda_{l}-}$

 The expression for an evergreen tree is a very pretty one. To write $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \text { ovy }\end{aligned}$ with Dindorf or $\chi \in \rho \circ \hat{2} \nu$ with Blomfield, instead of $\beta_{i o v}{ }^{\prime}$, is not to enter into the feelings of a poet; nor is there the slightest ground for any change. One of the later Scho-
 and omits any mention of Bloy. But




 $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s \theta^{\circ} \stackrel{\nu}{\mu} \mu \nu o \iota s ~ a i \tau \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$
$\phi \theta_{\iota} \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi \sigma \mu \pi \sigma \grave{v} s$







$\beta a ́ \rho \beta a \rho a$ $\sigma a \phi \eta \nu \eta$ ŋ̀
 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \lambda a \nu \nu^{\prime}$ ä $^{2} \eta$ ठıaßoâcal;
$\chi \in p \sigma l$ was probably only added as a supplement to $\pi$ ápa. Euripides again alludes to this passage, Iph. Taur. 633 seqq., where he uses the same epithet in $\xi \alpha v \theta \hat{\omega}$ è $\lambda \alpha l \varphi$, of the greenish-yellow berry and its oil.
622. סaifova $\Delta$ apeiov. See on 222. For the custom of singing a paean with a libation (Xen. Anab. vi. 1 init.), even a funeral one, see Cho. 143, compared with Ag. 236-7. The hymn which follows 630 seqq. is extremely corrupt and difficalt. It is sung by the chorus responding to the queen's command. The powers below are entreated to give the imprisoned soul leave to return to earth, and Darius himself is implored in terms of abject reverence and humility to appear as the sole remedy of present troubles.
624. $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \omega . ~ C o m p a r e ~ \chi o a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o-~$ $\pi о \mu \pi \delta_{s}$ Cho. 21. From v. 680 compared with 682, it seems as if Atossa here proceeds to the thymele in the orchestra.
629. nard yaias. So Dind., Herm., with the Med. for the vulg. кato raiav. 'And we with hymns will entreat the conductors of the dead below the earth to favour us,' i. e. to send up the soul of Darius.
 knows any further remedy (i. e. besides our prayers to the gods) for our woes, he alone of mortals can tell us the end of them,' i. e. how to be rid of them. With this use of $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in \nu$, referring to previous efforts not directly mentioned, compare

640. After much consideration of this perplexing passage, I have adopted Hermann's correction for $\delta เ a \beta o \alpha \dot{\sigma} \omega$, though not because I believe with him that it cannot be the deliberative conjunctive of the aorist, ' Must I shout through the earth our woes?' i. e. if he does not yet hear me. Compare Cho. 885, $\mu \eta \tau^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{e}^{\prime}$

 1, $\epsilon \mathfrak{Z} \pi \omega \tau t \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \in \mathfrak{\epsilon} \omega \theta \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu$; But the sentiment is so extremely tame, not to say undignified, that it is difficult to acquiesce in it. Yet there is no other way of interpreting the verse, if we concede that the future is $\beta$ of $\sigma 0 \mu \alpha l$, not $\beta \circ \neq \sigma \sigma$, though I confess to some doubts if we are justified in applying these Attic rules with such strictness to the early Greek of Aeschylus. The sense would be tolerably good, if we might understand the passage thus :-

$\nu \in ́ \rho \theta \epsilon \nu$ âpa к $\lambda \hat{v} \epsilon \iota \mu о v ;$<br> Saí ${ }^{\prime} \nu \alpha a \operatorname{\mu } \gamma a v \chi \hat{\eta}$<br><br>$\pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \delta^{\delta}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \omega \omega$, oîo ov̋ $\pi \omega$<br>  $\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.<br>$\phi i ̀ \lambda \alpha$ خà $\kappa \in \in \kappa \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \nu$ ท̈̉ $\theta$.<br> 'Aїठ $\omega \nu \epsilon \dot{\text { uns }}$<br> <br>$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.<br>$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu о ф \theta_{0}^{\prime} \rho о \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ä $\tau \alpha \iota s$,<br>655

- Does he hear me uttering my varied and mournful appeals?' (A pause: 'he does not.) I will declare in louder voice our utter misery. Does he hear me now ?' According to Hermann's view, $\delta$ oaßoâp ought to refer to the party below who makes the reply, ímaкov́є!. ("Non chori est $\bar{\delta} \iota a \beta o a ̄ y, ~ s e d ~ e o r u m ~ q u i ~ a u d i u n t . ") ~(~) ~$ 'Does he hear me appealing to him to tell us about our woes? But I think it refers to the efforts of the chorus to make Darius hear. I have the less scruple in accepting $\delta$ iaßoâ $\sigma a l$, because one MS. with Rob. omits siaßodo $\sigma$, which in others is


645. ibvi' aivé $\sigma a \tau^{\prime}$. Schol. aivé $\sigma a \tau \epsilon$

646. oîo où̃ $\omega$, к.т.入. It was both a Spartan and a Persian custom, according to Herod. vi. 58, to say of their departed


647. áphif. The MSS. as usual give à $\nu \grave{\eta} p$, which Hermann retains; and the a may have been long, after the epic use (compare à $\nu$ é $\rho \omega \nu$, Suppl. 4\%0). But the correction is easy, nor need we insist that ox $\chi$ oos should have been of ox $\chi$ os. The MSS. give $\bar{f}$ фidos oै $\chi$ Oos, where $\bar{n}$ is evidently interpolated. Hermann remarks here, 'Tumulus in scena conspicitur, editus locus, fortasse aliqua columna ornatus, ante quam deinde apparet umbra Darii. Non est enim veri simile eam ex sacelio prodire, sed per àvanit $\epsilon \mu a$ ex tumulo summo emergere.' (Cf. 660.) The ghost
of Darius doubtless appeared on the stage (Schol. Med. on 677, itтокрiveтаı $\delta \Delta a-$ peios); but one can hardly doubt that the thymele represeuted the tomb, as Darius

 it follow from $\nabla$. 660 that he really appeared in the exact spot where they expected him to arise. As a dramatiz persona his place could only have been with the other actors, tbat is, on the doyeiov. In the Choephoroe, v. 4, the tomb of Agamemnon would seem to have been on the stage, as Orestes speaks of it as close to him, $\tau \dot{u} \mu \beta o u \epsilon^{2} \pi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \chi \theta \omega \tau \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon$. And indeed it must have been so, unless we conceive Electra to have walked into the orchestra with the libations at v. 142. But the lock she exhibits at 160 seems to have been picked up out of sight of the chorus, and therefore not on the thymele.
648. Both this and the antistrophic verse are unfortunately corrupt. I have retained the MSS. reading, for Hermann's emendation is rather ingenious than pro-
 hostibus terribilem. If oîov be retained, we must understand emitte qualem regem for emilte regem, qualis fuit! i. e. oîov is attracted to ăעakтa, instead of olos $\bar{\eta} \nu$. The Schol. however has $\tau \delta \nu$
 $\nu$ vedv, and a Paris MS. also gives olov. It is likely that $\Delta a \rho \epsilon \hat{i} p$ was a marginal gloss to explain äдактa.

#  $\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \eta \sigma \tau \omega \rho \delta^{\prime}$ 





660




656. $\theta \in \rho \mu \eta \sigma \tau \omega \rho$, 'divine councillor,'
 See sup. 556.-oṽrє is followed by $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ as

 lessly reads où $\delta \grave{\text { č }} \gamma$ à̀ $\kappa$ к. $\tau . \lambda$.
658. є $\bar{\delta} \delta \iota \not \subset \kappa \in \epsilon$. So I have ventured to correct the vulgate $\in \mathcal{U}$ Е̇тоঠбккє, guided not only by the natural run of the metre (which is logaoedic) but by the evident requirement of the context. Like $\pi \delta \lambda t \nu$
 to govern the people.' The original reading of the Med. was $\dot{\text { undo}} \mathrm{\delta} \delta \kappa \kappa \epsilon$, which I think may be traced to a corruption of
 that two MSS. have the gloss $\delta$ ówкel. The Schol. explains $\dot{\cup} \pi \dot{d} \tau \delta \partial \nu \in \alpha u \tau o \tilde{u} \pi \delta \delta a$ ìvióx $\epsilon$, apparently from an absurd notion that the word was formed from $\operatorname{vin}^{\pi} \pi \delta \delta \delta a$

 licence to defend of an Ionicism ( $\pi$ for $\phi$ ) and an omitted augment, though the latter need not give inuch concern. Her-
 perfect is by no means well suited to the context.
659. $\beta a \lambda \hbar \nu$. This is said to be a Phoenician word for Baat tells us Euphorion attributed it to the dialect of Thurii in Magna Graecia. The verse is twice quoted by Eustathius, who preserves the true reading, most of the MSS. having $\beta a \lambda \lambda \eta$ n.
 to have been the regular position for ghosts in a tragedy. Cf. Hecub. 94, $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta^{\circ}$
 'AXI八éws.
 See Orest. 1370. Blomf. conjectures e

Bapi $\delta \in s$, and Hesych. explains $\beta \eta p i \delta \epsilon s$ by $\dot{v \pi} 0 \delta \eta \mu a \tau a$. The confusion of $\mu$ and $\beta$ is very frequent.
662. tıápas. Hermann adopts the reading of the Med. atinpas, as a less common form. What is meant by фd́入apov is not very clear : perhaps the small peak or point projecting from the top of the royal cap, as seen in the Assyrian

 erect by the king only, Xen. Anab. ii. 5, 23.
663. ла́тєр йкакє. Cf. 654. $\triangle а р є \grave{\alpha} \nu$ is a very questionable form; yet Hermann, after Lobeck, is disposed to admit it, remarking that the Schol. Med. on 653 recognises both $\Delta a p \in \hat{i o s}$ and $\Delta a \rho \in \dot{a} \nu$, and he considers the latter as viтокорเбтькду or complimentary. Blomfield, from the well-known passage in Ran. 1028, éxápq

 бuरкрои́бas $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \pi \in \nu$ lavô̂, reads $\Delta \alpha \rho \in \hat{i}$ lavoi, and this I formerly believed to be the true restoration of the passage. But there is so much difficulty in reconciling the verses of Aristophanes with any part of the play as we now have it, that I am inclined to fear the words he alluded to must have perished in the alterations which appear, on grounds already stated, to have been made at a somewhat later time. It is possible that we should read $\Delta a p \in \hat{i}$ ', ial ol, for lal, according to Hesychius, was $\beta \alpha \alpha^{\rho} \beta \alpha \rho o \nu \quad \theta \rho \not \supset \nu \eta \mu a$. See Soph. frag. 54.
665. $\delta \in \sigma \pi \AA$ itav. Schol. recent. тov̂ Эf́pgov. And there seems no reason why the genitive should not depend on a $\alpha \eta$. Hermann takes $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \sigma \pi \alpha \quad \delta \in \sigma \pi \delta \dot{\tau} \sigma v$, like $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ in 677, какц̀ какติע Oed. Col. 1238, as an oriental formula, o qui

#  $\nu \epsilon o \lambda \alpha i ́ a ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho{ }^{\eta} \delta \eta \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \hat{a} \sigma^{3}$ ö $\lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon$.  aiaî, aiâ̂. <br>  <br> $\tau i ́ \tau \hat{\alpha} \delta \epsilon, \delta v \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu \delta v \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \alpha, \dagger \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \alpha ̀$ <br>  <br> $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \underset{q}{\alpha} \gamma \underset{\sim}{\hat{a}} \tau \hat{a} \delta^{\circ}$ <br> ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \in ́ \in \phi \theta \iota \nu \tau \alpha \iota \tau \rho i ́ \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \mu о \iota$ $\nu \hat{\alpha} \epsilon S{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{2 \prime} \nu \alpha \in S$ ä ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \alpha \in S$; 

## $\triangle A P E I O T E I \triangle \Omega A O N$.

 Пє́ $\sigma \alpha \iota \gamma \epsilon р \alpha \iota i$, тíva $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \pi о \nu \epsilon \imath ̂ ~ \pi o ́ \nu o \nu ~ ; ~$

maxime et verissime dominus es. But this use of the genitive singular is essentially different, and remains to be proved by examples.
666. ả $\chi \lambda \lambda^{2} s \pi \epsilon \pi \delta \tau \alpha \tau \alpha ı$. Eum. 356,

 aù $\delta \hat{a} \tau \alpha \iota \pi o \lambda u ́ \sigma \tau o v o s ~ ф a ́ t \iota s . ~$
667. veoגaía. Schol. ì עєф́r $\eta$ s. This lame and halting verse should perhaps be restored to agree with the preceding, which is Ionic a minore, veodala $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ү $\alpha \rho$万ै $\bar{\eta} \eta \kappa \alpha+\grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ रầ ö $\lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon$. The compound кaт $\delta \lambda \lambda \nu \mu t$ (assuming tmesis) is not elsewhere found in good Greek; whence Blomf. and Herm. give кađ̀̀ yàs ô $\lambda \omega \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ from twd MSS. The strophic verse (662) would read thus: Baбinclov סè táápas

671. $\delta \nu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \hat{\nu} ~ \delta \nu \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \alpha$. So in the former ed. I corrected the MSS. readings
 title of 'King of Kings' was affected by the Persian monarehs; see 24. Cho. 353. In the following verse I have followed Blomf. and Herm. in reading $\delta t^{\prime}$ thoua for
 Robortello has $\delta$ duvyotev, Ald. Turn. $\delta$ tá$\nu_{0} \in \nu$, whence I formerly corrected $\delta$ ita-
 'what decision can they come to about your double fault?' But I fear this is hardly defensible. Hermann seems to have taken one step towards the true restoration of the passage by placing the question at the end, which in former editions was
 of Rulers, have the ill-fated triremes been lost to all this land by this double mistake about your affairs resulting from infatuation ?' Double, i. e. inasmuch as it was the error of Darius repeated. Nevertheless, I believe $\pi \in \rho l$ $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ नà is corrupt. Perhaps $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \sigma \sigma a ̣ ̂ ~ \sigma a ̂ ̀ ~ \delta \delta \delta u ́ \mu \alpha c ~ к . \tau . \lambda ., ~ ' t h i s ~$ second needless (or extravagant) folly.' The bacchiac metre also suggests $\tau i \operatorname{cav} \tau \alpha$ for $\tau \mathfrak{l} \tau \underline{q} \delta \delta$. The MSS. give $\tau \mathfrak{l} \tau \hat{d} \delta \epsilon-$ $\tau \hat{a} \sigma a ̂(o n e ~ o n l y ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma \grave{a})-\delta i \delta \nu \mu a \frac{a}{a} \mu d \rho \tau \tau a$ or $\delta$ á ápptı. The Schol. Med. has
 have derived it from á $\mu a$ and aptos, which is much on a par with his theory about

675. ${ }^{\ell} \xi \in \dot{\xi} \phi \theta_{\text {Lv }}$ at. So Blomf., Herm. for $\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \in \dot{\xi} \phi \theta \iota \nu \theta^{\prime}$ ai. Cf. 911. The corruption arose from the rarity of this form of the perfect (see on 574), and the more familiar pluperfect in — $\nu \tau 0$ - - $\nu$ âes ăvacs is
 the sense of $\delta u s$. Hermann with several
 rather tempted to change the order, vấs,

677. $\pi เ \sigma \tau \grave{a} \pi เ \sigma \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ may stand for $\pi เ \sigma$ тб́тато, like $\delta \hat{\imath} \in$ Пєла $\sigma \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ Suppl. 944 ; but see on 529. Schol. recent. $\mathbb{Z} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$

 $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \iota$ кal $\tau \delta \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \delta \pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$. Other Scholia wrongly explain, ' $\mathbf{O}$ faithful sons of faithful fathers.'
$\sigma \tau \in ́ v \epsilon \iota, ~ \kappa \epsilon ́ к о \pi \tau \alpha \iota, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \chi а \rho а ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \nu . ~$










$\sigma \epsilon ́ \beta o \mu a \iota ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \iota \delta \in ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, 690
XO.
$\sigma \in ́ \beta o \mu a \iota ~ \delta ’ ~ \alpha ̉ \nu \tau i ́ a ~ \lambda e ́ \xi a \iota ~$
$\sigma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i ́ \varphi \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \alpha ́ \rho \beta \epsilon \iota$.


679. бтє́עєı к.т. $\lambda$. What is meant by the plain being 'cut up and scratched,' i. e. whether by the violent gestures, impatient stamping, \&c. of those who evoke Darius, or by the noise and turmoil of the army and war chariots, is not clear. The later Scholiasts understand the $\theta \rho \eta \bar{\eta} \nu o \iota$ and ódvpuol, but the Greek words are as inapplicable to the mere sounds of grief as they seem peculiarly appropriate to the tramp of armies. If we suppose Darius to have been roused by the latter, the question $\tau i \nu \alpha$ тó $\lambda / s$ move $\pi \delta \nu o \nu$ may mean simply, 'What expedition is the state engaged in?' 'What work is going on ?' He then proceeds to say that the $\chi$ oni, $\theta \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu o t$, and oikтı $\sigma \mu \mathrm{ol}$ made him fear something was wrong, and that the presence of his queen at the tomb iuduced him to appear. Hermann is so dissatisfied with the Scholiast's explanation that he suspects the passage is in some way corrupt. The view I have taken appears to remove every, difficulty.

 Hermann renders it potitus loco primario.
688. $\tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \chi v \nu \in \delta^{3}$. So the Med. by the first hand, corrected to ráxvva, the reading of the other MSS. With á $\mu \in \mu \pi \tau o s$ रpobvou compare the anxiety of the ghost in

Hamlet to return to his prison-house before the crowing of the cock.
689. $\tau$ 关丘т. . See on Suppl. 301.
691. àvरia $\sigma e ́ \theta e v ~ \lambda e ́ \xi a l . ~ C f . ~ O d . ~ x v . ~$
 presence of the mistress.' Yet inf. 697 it seems opposed to $\chi \alpha \rho i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a u$ (Schol. $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ трдss $\chi$ ápiv $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \nu)$, ' I fear to give a favourable account, and yet I fear to say what is unwelcome.' The Schol. has $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \in \hat{v} \sigma a l^{\circ}$ $\lambda \nu \pi \eta \theta \eta \sigma \eta$ үáp. Did he read ă $\rho \tau \iota \alpha$ фá $\sigma \theta a!?$ This use of $\sigma \in \beta_{0} \mu \alpha \iota$, vereor, with the infinitive, is rare.
 $\pi a \lambda \alpha \Delta \partial \nu$ inf. 699. $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ here has the sense of prae in prae metu. Similarly $\left.{ }^{3} \mu \phi\right\rangle$ is found in $\stackrel{a}{\alpha} \mu \phi l$ т $\alpha \rho \beta \in l$ Cho. 538, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi L$ $\theta v \mu \varphi$, , prae ira, Soph. frag. 147, Eur. Orest. $825 \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi l$ $\phi \beta \beta \varphi$. We have also $\pi \in \rho l \phi \delta \beta \varphi$ Cho. 32.
 $\mu \in \nu o v$. The same word occurs in the MSS. Suppl. 460, but there $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$ is probably the true reading. Hesych.


 Cho. 987) to a missile weapon, the meaning was far-flying, whence it naturally took place as a substantive, 'a far-flyer,' on the principle noticed sup. 580. Applied to a discourse, it meant 'prolix;'





 кака̀







 то́лєı；
in both cases from $\mu \hat{\eta}$ кos．Some copies give $\mu a k \in \sigma \tau \eta ิ \rho a$ ，to which Hermann rather inclines，on the analogy of $\mu a \kappa \kappa \delta \nu \dot{s}$（for $\mu \eta \kappa \in \delta a \nu(s)$ ．

695．$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu{ }^{〔} \mu \mu \eta \nu$ aitô．＇Your awe of me．＇Cf．Prom． 396.

696．$\delta i \epsilon \mu \alpha l$ ．The MSS．have $\delta$ elonal or dioual．The latter is approved by Butt－ mann（Trreg．verbs，p．61，Fishlake）；but the epic poets use $\delta(\omega$ intransitively，＇I am afraid，＇and $\delta i o \mu \alpha \iota$ in the true middle sense， ＇to have a person afraid of you，＇i．e．to make him fly before you and to pursue him． So $\mu \in \tau a \delta \iota \not \partial \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ Suppl．798，е̇тıঠıб $\mu \in \nu a t$ Eum．337；and hence it seems hardly likely that סiouat should have been so differently employed in this place．But $\delta i f \mu a t$ certainly meant＇to fly，＇as＇imiot
 $\delta i \in \sigma \theta a i$ Il．xii．304．Hermann therefore appears right in restoring the latter form． Blomfield edits $\delta$ éo $\mu$ at with Pauw．Were there authority for this word in the sense of＇to fear，＇it would far better suit $\delta$ 伯os， which follows in evident reference to the present verse．

698．$\lambda \in \mathfrak{\xi} \alpha \mathrm{s}$ ．We have here an instruc：－
tive instance of a verse corresponding to another in kind，yet not in the exact measure of the syllables．Hermann，who assumes this to be invariably necessary， corrects $\pi \rho \circ \lambda e ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ．
699．ठtंos $\pi \alpha \lambda a \dot{\partial} \nu \phi \rho \in \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．The former reverence for the speaker while alive． Cf．692．This is addressed to the chorus． In the next verse be turns to his wife：－ －Well then，since the awe of your mind which you used to feel for me prevents you from replying，do．you，aged partner of my bed，＇\＆c．
706．Ìs $\theta$＇$\epsilon \omega$ ．One Paris MS．gives this reading：the Med．©ैs ewo ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ，others
 $\hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a$ ，кal $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ § $\eta \lambda \omega \tau \delta s \in \bar{l}$ gaváv．I have marked off $\Pi$ ย́ $\rho \sigma$ aus $\dot{\omega} s$ $\theta$ Eds with commas； cf．159，654．The epithet evalw was peculiarly applied to the life of gods，as Trach．81，Blotov è̉aí $\omega \nu^{\prime} \grave{\epsilon}_{\chi} \in \epsilon \nu$ ，said of Hercules after his apotheosis．
709．द้̇ ßрахєĩ Хр $\downarrow \nu \%$ ．Cf．688．Her－ mann has $\lambda 6 \gamma \varphi$ with two or three MSS．

711．$\pi \delta \lambda \in \iota$ ；Darius is thinking only of sedition and pestilence at home，not of the loss of the army abroad．
 тós.













 $\sigma \tau \in ́ v \in L$.



 nos $\delta \hat{E}$ dá. According to Herodotus also (see Mr. Blakesley's Excursus on lib. vii. 225) Darius had eleven children in all, of whom five were by Atossa, his Sultana subsequent to his accession to the throne.
714. $\kappa \in \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s . ~ S u p . ~ 12, ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$


 The construction may be compared with

 $\tau \delta \nu \delta^{\circ}$ е $\pi \delta \rho \nu \nu \tau a, ~ \sigma \pi \delta \lambda o \nu$.
 is a precisely equivalent expression. But the Greek use is not by any means common.

 Says Atossa, 'it certainly must have been some god who aided him in his design.' 'Alas,' replies Darius, 'he was surely a god of power, to divert him thus far from
the path of sense.'-('He was so diverted), as one may see by the result in the harm he has effected.'-'How then have they fared, that you are thus lamenting over them?'
724. vavtıkोs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau\rangle s$ к.т.入. So Artemisia says, Herod. viii. 68, $\delta \epsilon \iota \mu a i v \omega$
 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \delta \eta \lambda \eta \sigma \eta \eta \tau \alpha$.
726. $\pi p \dot{\delta}{ }^{s} \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\text { wis. Ita ut propterea, }}$ Hermann. Of course this is not to be confounded with és toooûtov $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$. For $\sigma \tau e ́ v \in t$ it seems probable we should read $\sigma \tau \in \in \in \epsilon$.
727. $\kappa \in \delta \nu \hat{\eta} s$ д̆ $\quad . \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s$. There is no
 $\mu$ évns, 'alas for the loss of so noble an army.' Blomf, reads $\kappa \in \nu \bar{\eta} s$ with several MSS., in reference to $\kappa \in \nu \alpha \nu \delta \rho i ́ a \nu$, but this would be a pointless rejoinder. Cf. 912,

728. où $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ tis $\gamma$ ' $\rho \rho \omega \nu$. 'And that no mere reserve force of old men, but the flower of the land.' Schol. 8 モ̇ $\sigma \tau t, \pi \dot{d} \nu \tau \in s$ ע́óo. To this military $\dot{\eta} \lambda u$ ila the next verse alludes. See on Theb. 11. Ag. 74.














 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon v \theta o \nu \stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \nu v \sigma \epsilon \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\omega}$. $\theta \nu \eta \tau o ̀ s ~ \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \stackrel{\varphi}{\varphi} \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$, ov̉火 $\epsilon \dot{v} \beta o v \lambda i ́ a, \quad 745$
 $\phi \rho \in \nu \omega ิ \nu$

731. mô̂ $\tau \in \lambda \in v \tau \hat{a} \nu$. Compare Suppl, 597. Cho. 519. We do not ask 'where,' much less ' whither does a man end,' but 'what is the end of a man.' The idiom however is familiar to most.
732. Yaìv סvoîv. So Askew for èv סvoiv. There can be little doubt that the Schol. found the same reading, To $\nu$



 Dind. and Herm. read тои̂то коѝк, \&c. Blomfield seems to me more likely to be right, as $o^{\circ i} \tau 0 s$ and $8 \delta \epsilon$ are constantly confused in the oblique cases. Kpateî is used absolutely, as Suppl. 239, фátıs $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta}$ кратєิ̂. Strabo, xvi. p. 760, $\dot{\eta}_{\eta}^{\text {кратойба }}$ $\mu d \lambda / \sigma \tau \alpha \phi \eta_{\mu} \eta$. But I do not remember a similar example to $\lambda$ do yos кратє í тoûto.




741. \% $\sigma \tau t 5$, quippe qui. See on Prom. 38. On the merely metaphorical sense of this passage see sup. 71. The Hellespont is called ipbs on the same principle as the poet adds $\hat{\beta} 6 o \nu \theta \in o \hat{v}$, to enhance the presumption of a mortal in meddling with the elements which do not own his control.-
 ing.'
743. $\mu \in \tau \in \rho \rho \dot{v} \theta \mu i \zeta \epsilon$, ' attempted to change,' i. e. to convert from a watery way to a dry one.- $\pi$ édals. Cho. 567,
 no other chains are meant than those which fastened the bridge of boats. See Herod. vii. 35.
746. $\pi \hat{\omega} s \tau d \delta$ ov̉, к. $\tau . \lambda$. 'Duae locutiones in unum confusae sunt, $\pi \bar{\omega} s \tau \delta^{\prime}$



 Eur. Ion 1088, $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \delta \nu 0 \nu$ ei $\sigma \pi \in \sigma \dot{\omega} \nu$. Blomf, and Dind. wrongly give $\pi$ ofos.
 таv̂та тоîs какоîs ó $\mu \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ à $\nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ a \iota \nu ~ \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma к \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~$
 $\tau$ т́кขоия

750



 $\lambda a ́ \delta a$ ．

$\mu ' ́ \gamma レ \sigma \tau o \nu$ ，dं $\epsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau o \nu$ ，oîo ov̉ $\delta \in ́ t \pi \omega$




 ä入入os $\delta^{\prime}$ éкє ф $\rho$ éves $\gamma$ à $\rho$ aủ̃ov̂ $\theta \nu \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \varphi ं а к о \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \phi o v v . ~$




749．тoîs kakoiss．Dindorf reads $\tau$ ot for rois，which is perhaps right．The force of the article may however be well ex－ pressed，＇with those bad men，＇not，of course，demonstratively，but in reproach and contempt．On the evil counsellors of the youthful Xerxes see Herod．vii．5．6， where however the arguments adduced by them are wholly different．
 Blomfield thinks the allusion is to a fight－ ing，cock，which Pindar calls èvסomadas à $\lambda$ єкттор．See on Eum．828，èvotкiov $\delta^{\circ}$ ơpuitos où $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$ 任 $\chi \eta$ ．The Schol．has oinoupeiv，i．e．he does all his fighting at home，or fights not at all．
755．$\sigma \phi \iota$ ．This may possibly be used
 Theb．1022．supra 200 ；and this is Her－ mann＇s apinion on Oed．Col． 1487 （1490）． Nevertheless，Xerxes and his advisers may equally well be understood．And so Schol． Med，тốs тротрєұан́évous，with the later Scholiasts．
 corrects $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \in \rho \nmid \mu \omega \sigma \in \nu \pi$ ќбos，objecting both to the Ionicism and to $\pi \in \sigma \partial \nu \quad$ referring to éfoou．He explains the various reading $\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \partial \nu$ by supposing $\sigma$ was superscribed． to correct the final $\nu$ ．We need not how－ ever press the construction too closely； the sense clearly is，＇such an event as has never befallen Susa，＇\＆c．The metaphor is perhaps from a thunderbolt，as in 711.

761．Mर̂केos．He appears to mean Astyages，the father of Cyaxares II．，and grandfather of Cyrus the elder；though Hermann thinks Cyazares I．，the father of Astyages，may be meant，and thus
 mean that Astyages was the next king who carried out the designs of his father， and his grandson Cyrus the third，which is the account of Herodotus．Xenophon however makes Cyazares II．intervene between his father Astyages and Cyrus．
$766-7$ ．There is a marked distinction between ésт $\boldsymbol{h} \sigma a \pi 0$ ，＇gained them，＇and
${ }^{\prime} I \omega \nu i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu{ }^{\eta} \lambda \lambda \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ßíaq．









そर $\lambda \alpha \sigma \in \nu$ Bía，＇drove them against their will．＇For the subjection of Asiatic Ionia was by no means palatable to the Athenians，who fined Phrynichus 1000 drachms for his tragedy called Minf́rou
 The poet means to say，that Ionia at least fought for its independence，and did not ignobly succumb．

 Prom．929，and the note there．Iph．
 グ $\sigma \theta o v$ кa $\lambda \omega$ s．This idiom has a close analogy in the Latin qua fuit prudentia． We have $\delta u \sigma \phi \rho \partial \nu \omega s$ in the contrary sense supra 544.
769．Kúpou raîs，Cambyses．
770．Mápঠos．Otherwise called Mépois or $\Sigma \mu \epsilon \rho \delta i s$ ，the brother of a Magian，who succeeded to the throne by assuming the name and person of the real Smerdis who had been murdered by his brother Cam－ byses．See Herod．iii．67．Darius speaks of him as ai $\sigma \chi \dot{v} v \eta \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \underset{\text { én }}{ }$ because he had again subjected the Persian throne to the Median dynasty，to which the Magi be－ longed．

772．＇Apraфpévクs．One of the seven conspirators against Smerdis and the Magi， though not mentioned in the list by Herod． iii．70，unless he is to be identified with Intaphernes．The Schol．tells us that Hellanicus called him $\Delta a \phi e ́ p y \eta s$ ，which closely resembles the latter name．Her－ mann，who transposes 763 after this verse， from the remark of the Schol．on $\phi \rho^{\prime} \nu \in s$

 serves，＂Ceterum ex eo quod Artaphernes prae reliquis conjuratis hic laudatur，（est autem hoc nomen in septem illis apud Ctesiam p．815，non etiam apud Hero－ dotum iii．70，）colligi posse puto，similia， ut ab Herodoto de Otane，ab aliis de

Artapherne tradita fuisse ；isque est ille dolus，qui hanc prudentiae et calliditatis laudem Artapherni conciliavit．＂But as regards the transposition，I cannot per－ suade myself that he is right．For first， if the Schol．had found the verse as Her－ mann places it，he would not have re－ peated the name as if to inform us who was the person meant，when it had oc－ curred in his text；and，secondiy，it is clear enough that he did actually find it just where our MSS．place it，because he supplies the name that was wanting in
 the obscurity of the history of Cyaxares II． Mr．Blakesley on Herod．v． 25 seems to agree with Hermann＇s view ；but he surely errs in making this Artaphernes the son of Darius＇half－brother，also called Arta－ phernes．




774．ëктоs ס̀̀ Md́paфıs．This Maraphis was the brother of Cyrus，according to Hellanicus cited by the Schol．But the verse seems either spurious，or the passage has been patched up by the interpolator to whom I have so often had occasion to allude，by inserting two names from a list which the poet had given of the seven conspirators，in order to suit ${ }^{\text {fintos}}$ with $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau o s$ in 770，and so absurdly placing them in the succession of the Persian kings．Blomfield and Hermann think there is here a lacuna；but it is to be feared that more wrong has been done tothe poet than by the carelessness of a scribe．
 каl è èє $\delta^{\prime}$ ，which is quite defensible ：cf．548．On this passage the Schol．remarks：кака̂s．

 correct $\kappa a \lambda \omega \bar{s}$ ？
$\kappa \dot{\lambda} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma a \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\omega}$.







 $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma о ц \mu \epsilon \nu$ ผ́s ä $\rho \iota \sigma \tau a$ Пєрбıкòs $\lambda \epsilon \omega ́ s ;$







 admission that the Persian cause suffered some harm under Darius at Marathon. See on 552.
778. む̀ $\nu$ éos, $\nu \notin \alpha ~ \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \hat{l}$. The Med. has $\nu$ '́os è̀ $\dot{\omega}$, $\dot{\kappa} \cdot \tau . \lambda$. Hermann has (injudiciously, in my opinion) admitted
 $\nu \epsilon \hat{i}$. Monk transposes $\phi \rho о \nu \in i ̂ \eta \neq a$, and so Dindorf. The valgate is however defended by frag. 295, $\tau \delta$ रà $\beta \rho \phi \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \nu$ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \mu^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \sigma, \phi \rho о \nu \in \hat{\imath}$, which the editors

 какбфрораs $\beta \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\beta} \alpha$.
 combination of two phrases, $\epsilon \mathcal{Z}\langle\sigma \tau \epsilon$ and

 and purport do you bring your words to an end ?' i. c. how do you sum up these remarks for our benefit? Cf. Suppl. 597, ध̈vı

787. $\mu \eta \delta^{\circ} \epsilon i=\frac{\eta}{j}$. This may be regarded as one of the clearest instances of $\epsilon i$ used with the subjunctive. See Suppl. 394. For though some have proposed to read $\eta \nu$, to suit the passage to the ordinary grammatical rules, the alteration is not only purely arbitrary, but in itself the less
probable on account of $\epsilon^{3}$ preceding in the primary condition. The construction is,

 indeed have said $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ єi $\pi \lambda \in \hat{i} \nu \nu$ eil $\eta$, or

 optative because of $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma i \mu \in \nu$ $む \nu$ preceding, and the epic use $\epsilon \hat{l} \hat{j}$ simply because it was more convenient for the metre than the Attic e $/ \eta$, and not to convey any refined difference of meaning between $\epsilon i \mu \grave{\eta}$
 228. For the general sense it may be remarked that no particular expedition is here alluded to. It was enough for the poet to flatter his countrymen by making the Persian King prophetically warn his people of the hopelessness of hereafter attempting to subjugate Greece.
790. ív $\pi \in \rho \pi \delta \lambda \lambda$ ous. So Hermann for the reading of the Med. $\dot{\text { vitep }} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda o v s$. The others have $\boldsymbol{\text { धा } є р к о ́ \mu т о и s . ~ T h e ~ c o n t e x t ~}$ requires the mention of numbers, i. e. of more than the land could furnish food for. Cf. Herod. vii. 49, where Artabanus re-


 less numerous because more select, and more easily provided for on an expedition.



$\Delta A$. $\pi a \hat{\nu} \rho o i ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu, \epsilon_{l}^{\prime} \tau \iota \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota \quad \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$ $\chi \rho \grave{\eta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \phi \dot{a} \tau o \iota \sigma \iota \nu, \epsilon \in \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu v ̂ \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu E ́ v a$








 $\beta \omega \mu o i ̀ ~ \delta ̊ ~ a ̈ ̈ ̈ \sigma \tau о \iota, ~ \delta a \mu \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \theta ’ ~ i \delta \rho u ́ \mu a \tau a ~$

 $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi o v \sigma \iota, ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota, ~ к о v ̉ \delta ́ ́ t ̇ \omega ~ к а к \omega ิ \nu ~$

 that all the predictions of the oracles are evidently coming to pass, and therefore, to judge by the result so far, the entire and speedy fulfilment of them may be looked for.
799. єiँ the case,' (viz. that the oracles are coming to pass,) 'it is with a vain hope of retrieving his fortunes that Xerres is now about to leave select forces in Greece.' Schol.
 marks a lacuna. The 300,000 men left under the command of Mardonius are alluded to. See Herod. viii. 113, where however they are said to have been drafted from the main body in Thessaly, not in Boeotia.
 Sappl. 473. - $\overparen{\epsilon \pi a \mu \mu e ́ v \in!~ \pi a \theta \in i v, ~ P r o m . ~}$
 $\phi \eta \sigma$ í.
806. クֹ่ойעто бuスầ. The Greek doctrine was that a calamitous return always awaited a sacrilegious army. See Ag. 336. The impiety of the Persians in this respect is often mentioned by Herodotus,


 the allusion is principally to the burning of the Athenian acropolis, viii. 53, тd ipo $\nu$





 $\pi a \theta \in \hat{\nu}$ see Ag. 516. Cho. 305.
811. ėктaibevietat. Schütz, followed by Blomf. and Dind., reads ék $\kappa \leqslant \delta \in \zeta \in T e a, ~ ' w e l l s$ forth.' The Schol. Med. explains abyerat, which seems to refer to the vuigate in the sense of 'grows up from infancy.' The confusion of metaphor throws grave doubts on the truth of an emendation which at first sight seems almost self-evident. For kppais is properly the low platform or basement upon which a temple or other structure was erected. Hence when the poet says 'Not yet is the foundation underneath,' he should mean, 'not yet have the evils commeaced;' how then can he with propriety add, 'but they yet well (ar


 ä $\phi \omega \nu a$ $\sigma \eta \mu a \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu$ oै $\mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \beta \rho \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\tau \circ\left\llcorner\alpha \hat{\nu} \theta^{\circ}\right.$ о́ $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \tau \hat{\tau} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \tau i \mu z a$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \theta \eta \nu \omega \hat{\nu} \nu{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s ~ \tau \epsilon, \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \iota \varsigma$







spring) forth?' Even if крптis could signify the bottom of a cistern, it would be incorrect to say ' not yet is the bottom ninderneath,' for 'not yet is it reached.' On the whole, I am not fully convinced that the vulgate is wrong :-' The foundations for the superstructure of woe are hardly yet laid, but it is still only in its
 ${ }^{4}$ they are yet in process of being produced,' i. e. yet forthcoming; a correction of which few will approve. One might

812. аіматобфатһs. Cf. Ag. 1360,
 meaning is simply $\pi$ tédavos ailuaros ànd oфarŋns. Hermann has this sensible remark against those who prefer the various reading of two MSS, aipatoбтaरो̀s, - Multa sibi in hoc genere poetae permittunt, quae ad amussim exacta aliquid vitii habere videntur.'
813. $\Delta \omega \rho 1 \delta$ os $\lambda \sigma \gamma \chi \eta$ s. Blomfield thinks it strange that the poet should have paid so much honour to the Peloponnesians, and suspects that this was said " in gratiam Syracusanorum." But 'the Dorians' may here mean the Peloponnesians generally; see on 183. Still, there is evident reference to the historical fact, that the Spartans bore the chief part in that renowned conflict, the battle of Plataeae.
 taphor from corn: 'Pride after coming into blossom has filled with grain the ear of calamity, whence it reaps a crop of tears.' Cf, Theb. 597, \&̈ $\tau \eta s$ ápovpa $\theta d$ d-

820. $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta$ ' 'A $\theta \eta \nu \omega ิ \nu$ 'Eג With what feelings these glowing words would be heard in the Attic theatre can easily be imagined. This speech of Darius is altogether one of the finest in Aeschylus from its highly poetical language and noble piety. It stands in striking contrast with the feeble passages which seem to have been interpolated in the play.-On the

825. $\sigma \omega ф \rho о \nu \in โ ิ \nu \kappa є \chi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ์ \nu 0 \nu$. The MSS.
 ously but not satisfactorily explained. The later Schol. mentions another read-

 very admissible. But it is perhaps better construed absolutely like $\sigma \omega \phi \rho 0-$ $\nu$ êip eip ${ }^{2} \mu \mu$ évoy Ag. 1598, 'it having been told him by the oracle to be discreet, i. e. warn him to bewane, as you have the authority of the oracles on your side. So éné $\chi \rho \eta \tau 0$, praedictum erat, Hered. yii. 220. These orarles are the same as those alluded to in 797 and 735.


$\lambda a \beta o \hat{\sigma} \sigma^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \alpha \nu \tau i a \zeta \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta i \cdot \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ रà $\rho$










 $\ddot{a}^{\prime} \lambda \gamma \eta, \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha-\delta^{\circ}{ }^{\eta} \delta \bar{\delta} \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho a ̀ ~ \delta \alpha ́ \kappa \nu \epsilon$,


830. $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \lambda а \kappa(\delta ิ є s ~ \sigma \tau \eta \mu о \rho \rho a \gamma o v ̂ \sigma t . ~$
 $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda \omega \nu$ Cho. 29, i. e. $\omega \sigma \tau \in \lambda a \kappa i \delta a s \gamma^{i} \gamma-$ $\nu \in \sigma$ Oau. The Schol. explains the construction quite correctly, $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi) \sigma \omega \omega_{-}$



 threads are torn so as to produce tatters.' It is, of course, possible that $\pi d y \tau \alpha$ is added adverbially to denote the completeness of the action, and that $\dot{\ell} \sigma \theta \eta \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$ depends on $\lambda a n i \delta \in s$. Hermann says, " $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ ita intelligendum est, ac si dix-
 $\mu о \rho p a \gamma \in \hat{L} . "$ " Dind. gives $\pi a y \tau l$ with Canter, a bad alteration.
 be happy though in the midst of troubles, indulging yourselves while you can, since wealth is of no avail to the dead.' The moral of the remark is contained in the last line, that riches and glory are but transient possessions; cf. 816. Hermann thus rightly renders $\kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ í $\mu \hat{\mu} \rho a \nu$, which properly means 'during the day,' 'while the day lasts.' Dindorf reads $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ ס $\delta-$ סלvtes $\dot{\eta} \delta 0 \nu \hat{\eta}$ with Pauw, Aldus and Robortello having $\psi u \chi$ hy. But the vulgate
is perhaps not the less true for being the less common expression; and it is supported by the later Scholia.
838. aù $\delta \dot{t} \nu \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \in \hat{L}$, i. e. oủdè $\nu \partial \phi \epsilon \lambda d s$ $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$. Cf. Prom. 850. With these words the ghost of Darius disappears, descending through the à $\nu a \pi i \epsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$ or trap-door.

841-7. I feel not the least doubt that the speech of Atossa, as we now have it, is spurious. For, first, the sentiment is little short of ludicrous, 'Many griefs crowd upon me, but especially I am hurt at hearing of the ragged clothes of my son; but I will go and bring him new ones.' Secondly, the $\gamma \in$ in 843 is in.
 $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ à $\mu \phi l \sigma \omega{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau ı$ at least questionable, since this is not a classical usage of à $\tau \mu \mu^{\prime}$. Thirdly, $\pi \alpha \delta^{2} \delta^{2} \mu \hat{\varphi}$ in 846 is a violation of a well-known Attic law, and Lobeck's $\pi a i \delta^{\prime}$ ' $\mu \partial \nu \nu$ is as improbable as Hermann's $\pi a i \delta l \pi \epsilon!\rho a \sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \epsilon \theta a$. Fourthly, the tame and feeble words, 'I will try to meet my son,' seem patched up from 830. It is likely from the address in 828 that Aeschylus made Atossa speak in this place; but the genuine $\rho \hat{\eta} \sigma t s$ has certainly been superseded. Something was doubtless said about the words of consolation alluded to in 833.





 850


 $\sigma \mu a \tau a \pi u ́ \rho \gamma \iota \nu a$ [ ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \alpha \alpha^{\circ}$.
 856


*     * $\epsilon \hat{u} \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau a s ~ a ̂ \gamma o \nu ~ o i ̂ k o v s . ~$
 ноîo,
$\left[\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}\right.$.

848. During the absence of Atossa, (who, if she returns again on the stage, is a mute person henceforth,) the chorus gives a sketch of the Persian dominions in Asia Minor, contrasting as before the former prosperity with the recent reverses. Whether the whole of the following stasimon is from the hand of Aeschylus, appears to me doubtful; the enumeration of Asiatic cities seems dull and pedantic ; at least it is strangely unlike his usual style.
 $\dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta{ }^{\prime} s$ 556. Homer uses the form

 emendation of Wellauer and Hermann,
 difficulty (which was not inconsiderable) of the construction. By taking a droфai$\nu \in \sigma \theta a t$ passively, it was necessary to explain the genitive by $a \pi \delta$, or ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha$, or

 middle however is used in Eum. 298,
 Translate, 'In the first place, we used to shew the world that we had armies worthy of our reputation.'
849. уоцібната. So Hermann for v $\delta \mu \mu a \tau$ д́. He further corrects of $\delta \dot{\text { è }}$ for $\eta_{\eta} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{E}$. The meaning seems to be, 'We used to be famous, first for our army, secondly for our civil institutions,' $\nu 0$ -
$\mu i \sigma \mu a \tau a r$ referring to no $\lambda_{l} \sigma \sigma o \nu \delta \mu o v \beta_{l o \tau} \alpha \hat{S}$. They are called $\pi t p \gamma i \nu a$, 'strong,' by the same metaphor as Suppl. 186, к $\rho \in l \sigma \sigma \omega y$ $8 \hat{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{v} \rho \gamma o u \quad \beta \omega \mu \delta$ s. There are several distinct scholia, variously combined and confused in the Med., on this passage. Some grammarians understood the words thus: - In the first place we had a good repute in war, and the customs of nations regulated all our acts:'-кal $\delta \rho \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \mu \nu$ (leg.
 $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \sigma t ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi о р \forall o u \mu \epsilon ́ v a l s$, oủ $\tau \in \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ $\theta \in \omega ̂ \nu$


 $\pi \alpha_{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \chi \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \mathcal{L} \nu \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$, whence in the preceding it seems we should read $\pi \nu \rho \gamma o v \mu$ évass for $\pi о \rho \neq 0 \nu \mu$ évals. Objections have been raised to the plural $\dot{\epsilon \pi e \dot{d}} \dot{v} y o v$, for which Dindorf edits ह̇ $\pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \theta u v \in \nu$ with Bothe. The construction however is epic, as Od. xxiv. 357, Ad́pбet, $\mu$ 斤ो то тaùva $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \phi \rho \in \sigma l \sigma \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \quad \mu \in \lambda \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
850. A dactyl has been lost, perhaps $\pi \nmid \mu a \tau o s$, like àmatخेs как $\hat{\nu}$, Herod. i. 32. v. 19.
851. $\pi$ bpov oủ $\delta ı a \beta$ d́s. Like Croesus, of whom the oracle had said Kpoĩos "A ${ }^{\text {a }} \boldsymbol{\nu}$
 allusion seems not unlikely to have been borrowed by a later writer from Hero-dotus.-o $\mathcal{U}^{\delta}{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \phi^{\prime}$ ' $̇ \sigma \tau i a s ~ \sigma v \theta \epsilon i s$, i. e. not invading another country, as the Persians pretended to claim the Aegean sea for

où $\delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \phi^{\prime}$ écotias $\sigma v \theta \epsilon i s$,  $\Theta \rho \eta \kappa i ́ \omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi a v ́ \lambda \omega \nu$,<br> $\gamma 0 \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.<br>$\tau 0 \hat{v} \delta^{\prime}$ ä $\nu \alpha \kappa \tau о$ ă äiov,<br> каi $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \omega \mu \alpha$ Пóvтоv*  $\tau \hat{a} \delta \epsilon \gamma \hat{q} \pi \rho о \sigma{ }_{\imath} \mu \in \nu a l$,   " $A \nu \delta \rho o s$ á $\gamma \chi \iota \gamma \epsilon i \tau \omega \nu$. 

their own. Hermann considers $\% \sigma \sigma \alpha s$ $\epsilon \hat{i} \lambda \epsilon \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon t s$ not used as an exclamation
 ring to älov in 867, "quotquot expugnavit urbes adjecit imperio."

 He appears to mean Imbros, Thasos, and Samothrace. There are no alluvial islands at the mouth of the Strymon, corresponding to the Echinades at the mouth of the Achelous. The Schol. observes 'A $\chi \in \lambda \varphi \bar{\varphi} o \nu$
 cities of the Strymonian sea, neighbours of Thracian settlements,' must therefore be taken as a poetical phrase for 'the islands off Thrace.'
866. $\lambda^{\prime} \mu \nu \alpha{ }^{\text {en }} \kappa \tau о \theta \in \nu$. The fortified cities on the main land, as it were outside of the Aegean. With túpyov $\pi \in \rho-$

 If ai (not ai) be read, $\epsilon i \sigma l$ must be supplied, as in 872.
870. єux $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mu \in \nu \text { ah }}$ If the reading is right, tivai is understood, as in Suppl. 18, $\boldsymbol{y}^{i}$ vos
 'boasting of their site near the wide

 are other readings aù $\chi \dot{\beta} \mu \in \nu a$, , à̀ $\chi \dot{\omega} \mu \in \nu a \iota$,
 áp $\boldsymbol{\chi} \sigma \mu \in \nu \alpha$, , after Blomfield.

pos (i. e. the Thracian).
 тд̀ ' ${ }^{\text {E }} \lambda \lambda \lambda \sigma \sigma \pi \nu \tau 0 \nu$. Surely this is wrong, though not so much geographically as in respect to the meaning of $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} v a$. I would translate, ' Off the headland of the Aegean sea,' i. e. opposite to (in a soutberly direction) the promontory of the Troad which juts out below the Hellespont and above these islands. See on Suppl. 848. Again, $\pi \rho о \sigma \not \mu_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$, clearly refers to local position, not metaphorically to political attachment, as the Schol. seems to have

877. $\dot{a} \gamma \chi$ dádovs $\mu \epsilon \sigma \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau$ ous. Neither of these epithets are easily explained. The first is applied to Salamis, Ajac. 135, where Linwood interprets it of any island which being of small circuit cannot have any part far distant from the sea. And it is likely that the adjective came to assume the place of a substantive (see on 580), for $\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma o s$. Thus $\mu \in \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau o u s$ will signify 'midway between the shores of Asia Minor and Greece.' The latter word occurs frag. 208, where however the derivation from à $\kappa \tau \eta\rangle$ is obviously inappli-cable--Inápové̃os, the islands of the Icarian sea. Compare Émoнко "́סos 'Aolas Prom. 420, ' the settlement of Ėmonsou, or sojourners in Asia Minor.' The Schol.
 mann thinks points to the reading of ed. Vict. E̊Nos. But he seems rather to have


 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a \gamma \mu \omega ิ \nu$. 881


 $\pi \alpha \mu \mu i к \tau \omega \nu \tau^{’}$ є̇ $\pi \kappa к о и ́ \rho \omega \nu$.
 $\mu о \iota \sigma$ 890

EEPEHZ.
'Iし̀,

$\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \kappa v \rho \eta \eta^{\sigma} \alpha$ s àтєкцартота́тךऽ,




loosely, paraphrased 'the settlement of Icarus' by 'the Icarian sea.' The poet probably followed a tradition that the islands were first colonised by Icarus.



982. катà к入ท̂pov 'Iadyıov. ' In the
 Hermann long ago corrected the vulg.

885. èкро́́тє!. So Hermann for ékpóтvve, which violates the dactylic metre, and had occurred just before in 877. If 'Eג $\lambda \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \omega \nu$ be right, we must join it with
 with several MSS., which he calls 'aperte verum,' and so also Dindorf. But the Med. has 'E $\lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega v$, which perfectly satisfies both sense and metre.
886. àrduatov. With the long da com-
 Prom. 193.
 were prosperous, now on the other hand we have received a fatal blow.' The Med. has $\theta \in \delta \pi \rho \in \pi \tau a$, which the Schol.
explains by the rather equivocal gloss únd
 is a common confusion between $\tau \rho \in \in \pi \in \iota$ and $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, as in Suppl. 296. Ag. 1299. But $\theta \in \delta \tau \rho \in \pi \tau \alpha$ is a deo conversa. Compare Theb. 703, and with oùk á $\mu \phi \iota \beta$ d $\lambda \omega s$ ibid. 857.
892. 'Prodit Xerses, regio ornatu, cum satellitibus, quorum unus vestem, quam in bello gestaverat, et arma tenet. Non enim squallidum et lacerum producere Aeschyleum est. Ideo monuerat Darius Atossam (830), ut filio dignum ornatum ferens obviam iret: quod factum esse extra scenam apparet. Aliter istta de veste Xerxis lacerata inepte dicta essent.' Hermann.
895. $\delta \alpha / \mu \omega \nu$ ṫvé $\beta \eta$. See on 518.
898. '̇ $\sigma t \delta \delta \nu \tau^{\prime}$. The commentators generally take this for $\mathfrak{E} \sigma \delta \delta \nu \tau \alpha$, compar-
 кє́ap тоуঠє $\kappa \lambda \dot{v}$ to Elmsley's note on Heracl. 693. To adopt an unnatural construction rather thẩ admit a licence sanctioned by epic usage and several examples from tragedy argues a needless timidity. We find in
 $\tau \omega \nu$ oỉ $\chi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ Өaváтоv ката̀ $\mu о i ̂ \rho a ~ к \alpha \lambda v ́ \psi \alpha \iota . ~$

XO. ỏтото̂̂, $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}, \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \alpha \hat{s}$ ả $\gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\eta} s$
 кó $\sigma \mu \nu \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, ov̂s $\nu v ิ \nu \delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \kappa \in \iota \rho \in \nu$. 905


 $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̀ ~ \phi \omega ิ \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ a ̈ \nu \theta o s$, $\tau о \xi o \delta \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma^{*} \pi \alpha ́ \nu v \gamma$ д̀ $\rho \dagger \phi u ́ \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$
 aiâ̂, aiầ, $\kappa \epsilon \delta \nu \hat{a} \varsigma ~ a ̉ \lambda \kappa \alpha ̂ s . ~$




 ${ }^{\prime}$ Oסváè̀s, Il. x. 277. In Soph. Trach.




899. є $\ell \theta^{2}$ ชैфє $\lambda \epsilon$. On the omitted augment see Prom. 188.
 тô̂s חе́́ $\rho \sigma a \iota s$ ve $\mu \eta \theta \in i \sigma \eta s$. We should
 587. The sense is nothing more than 'the honour in which the Persian sway was held.'
907. ктацévay. This passive aorist occurs Od. xxii. 401. Compare $\chi$ ú $\mu \in \boldsymbol{v}$ os Eum. 253. On the dative (which may be either acquisitively used, or that of the agent) see Cho. 360 . "Atiov бákторt $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma a \hat{\nu}$ is, 'who has crammed Hades with Persians.' Schol. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \hat{\eta}, \pi \alpha \rho d$. $\tau \dot{1}$

908. diooßátal. This is a former emendation of Hermann for $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \delta \alpha, \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$, . He compares ${ }_{\text {di }} \mathbf{\delta} о ф 0$ ïral, Arist. frar. 198. Robortello has ádoaßácaı. For I and $\Gamma$ confused compare aivevor in the Med. for $\alpha$ ajvéo Suppl. 222. As before in 552 seqq., this must be understood as the
burden of the nation's complaint implied in aid $\}_{\epsilon}$, rather than as the remark of the chorus, as Hermann has pointed out.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta \hat{\eta} s, \pi \in \sigma 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma a$, whence Blomf. suspects that he found фúpots. The word seems extremely doubtful, and I have marked it with an obelus, though Hermann accepts it without a remark. A very ingenious conjecture by Franz is $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu v$ rap $\phi$ ús $\tau$ is $\mu \nu p l a ̀ s$, i. e. $\sigma u \chi \nu a l$ $\mu v p 1 d \dot{\delta} \in s$. Cf. тарфѝs ${ }_{\alpha}^{2} \nu \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda o v \sigma a$ Oplg, Theb. 530. On the

912. In the MSS. and edd. this verse is assigned to Xerzes, and the next to the chorus. Hermann truly observes that the entire speech is the address of the chorus on receiving the king, who after his arrival on the stage first utters the words
 after Wellauer.
 known metaphor from the wrestling school. See on Suppl. 85. Ag. 64, róvatos

 similar variation of the following anapaests in 917, 921, we should be tempted


| XO. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| EE. |  | 920 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| XO. |  | 925 |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\eta ้ \sigma \omega$ тоь каı тávঠvртоע, |  |
|  | $\lambda \alpha \circ \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon ́ \alpha ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \omega \nu ~ \alpha \lambda i ́ \tau v \pi \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \beta \alpha ́ \rho \eta$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 首 $E$. |  | $\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$ |

919. накоце́ ' $^{\prime}$ тоу. Not from $\mu$ е́лоs, but $\mu \in \lambda \in \tau \eta$, mala meditantem. On the Mariandynian mourners see Hesych. in
 367, and Blomfield's Glossary. Cf. Kı $\sigma$ tias
 liast says that the Mariandynian flutes (aìnol) were peculiarly adapted for the music of dirges. They were a people of Asia Minor west of Paphlagonia, who seem to have been famous for the worship of Adonis.
920. $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \omega$. The MSS. give this word twice. Hermann retains both, and reads
 a change sufficiently violent. It would not however be safe to insist on the integrity of either verse. The metre is not anapaestic (though it would be easy either to write or pronounce iak $\chi \grave{a} v$ ), but a spondee followed by a resolved dochmius, as is evident from the antistrophe.
921. The MSS. have кal $\pi \alpha \nu \delta \delta \nu \rho \tau о \nu$. Lachmann and Hermann omit ral, which is only an instance of the fondness of grammarians for making up complete anapaests.
922. $\mu \in \tau$ d́тротоs. Cf.Theb. 702, $\delta \alpha i ́ \mu \omega \nu$

 тротоє $\pi \nu^{\prime}$ е́vaı̀ aủpat $\delta \delta \mu \omega \nu$. Ar. Pac.
 тротоs aüpa. The Schol. Med. explains $\dot{\eta}$ тÚX $\quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \in \in \beta \lambda \eta \tau a l$. But Hermann adopts one of two interpretations given in the later Scholia, $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu, \hat{\eta}$ tapoûa $\alpha$ סuбтuxia, "nam haec calamitas ad me redit, ut scilicet ad auctorem." Why
should not $a \hat{b}$ be used as in 890, implying the converse of former prosperity?
 סuptos with Hermann, or repeat aiv $\delta \alpha, \nu$ from above. In the next verse the MSS. give $\lambda \omega_{0} \pi a \theta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \beta l \zeta \omega \nu$, but the Schol. has $\sigma \epsilon \beta \omega \nu$, which the metre requires. The correction in the text is Elmsley's.
 Translate: 'honouring the woes suffered by the people in shipwreck, (the woes) of the city, the mourner for its own hosts.' I formerly explained $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \omega$ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \nu \rho \tau o \nu$
 $\delta \nu v \omega \nu), \sigma \in \beta l \zeta \omega \nu \bar{\alpha} \alpha^{\prime} \rho \eta \pi \delta \lambda \in \omega s$. But I now reject this as being awkward in itself and not suiting the order of the words. The meaning is certainly obscure; Blomfield puts a stop at $\gamma^{\prime}$ ypas, connecting fóov $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$, as sup. 920.
923. $\kappa \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \xi \omega$ 的. The MSS. have $\kappa \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \xi \omega \delta^{2}$ a $\bar{v}$, but $a \hat{d}$ suits neither metre nor sense.
924. 'I ${ }^{1} \nu \omega \nu$. This rare form, in which the $a$ is short, is preserved in several MSS. instead of the vulg. ${ }^{\text {'I }}$ ' $\nu \omega \nu$. Hesych.


 Soph. frag. 54. Translate: 'for our naval force, giving the victory to the other side, has suffered from the Athenians.' By $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \in p a \lambda \kappa \lambda \mid s$ he means that the Persians who had been sent to kill the Greeks (sup. 449), were themselves slain by the Greeks. Herod. ix. 103, és єîiov évє $\mathfrak{e} a \lambda-$ кє́a $\gamma \iota \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \varphi \eta \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$. Od, xxii. 236, $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \in \rho a \lambda \kappa \in ́ \alpha, ~ \nu i \kappa \eta \nu$. The Scholiasts, who

#  $\nu v \chi i a \nu \pi \lambda \alpha ́ к а к є \rho \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma$ $\delta v \sigma \delta \alpha i \mu о \nu a ́ \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha} \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu$. 

 $\pi o v ̂ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \phi i ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o s ~ o ै \chi \lambda o s ;$ 935 $\pi o \hat{\text { ®é }} \sigma 0 \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \iota$,
 इov́бas，$\Pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \gamma \omega \nu, \Psi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \iota \varsigma, \Delta о \tau \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \varsigma$,
 ＇$A \gamma \beta \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \nu \alpha \pi \rho о \lambda \iota \pi \omega \nu$ ；
EE．
 $\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$ ．
$\Sigma \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \iota \nu \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \iota, \sigma \tau v \phi \in ́ \lambda o v$
$\theta \epsilon i \nu=\nu \tau a s ~ \in ̉ \pi^{3} \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$.
 ＇Apıó $a \rho \delta o ́ s ~ \tau ' ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta o ́ s ~ ; ~$

with the MSS．assign these lines to the
 $\lambda \epsilon \tau о$ т $\bar{\nu} \nu \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a v$. Blomfield well com－ pares Hesiod．Opp．238，пол入а́ки каl
 he sadly mutilates this and the antistro－ phic verse，not perceiving that the metre of 930 is Ionic．
 Med．д̀токеі́раs $\sigma \tau \cup \gamma \nu \grave{\nu} \pi \lambda$ árа катд̀
 т $̀ \nu$ Ea入ajiva．The middle participle means，＇having had it stripped＇（as Mars is said $\theta_{\in \rho}\{\zeta \in ⿺ 辶 ⿱ 亠 乂$, Bpotoùs，Suppl．628）．
 тdं $\lambda$ tiav．Hermann admits the conjecture of Pauw and Heath，$\mu \nu \chi$ lay $\pi \lambda d \kappa c$ ，by which he understands the narrow strait in which the battle was fought，comparing $\mu \nu \chi$ ia Протоитls in 870 ．This appears highly probable，for $\nu u$ रic is an unusual term for＇deadly＇or＇fatal，＇though any thing dismal is often called＇black＇in Aeschylus．
 this verse is assigned to Xerxes，which is contrary to the division of the antistrophe． Hermann takes ér $\pi \in \dot{U} \theta o u$ passively，＇be asked，＇i．e．allow yourself to be questioned， ＇about the whole affair；＇and Dindorf approves this．But Linwood gives a more
natural sense in supposing the chorus ex－ horting its coryphaeus to ask for further information．

936．тapaotá $\tau \alpha$ ．Schol．recent．ouv－ a $\sigma \pi เ \sigma \tau a i$.

938．In the order of the proper names I have followed Hermann in transposing $\Psi$ á $\mu \mu \mathrm{s}$ from the sixth to the fourth place．

940．＇A $\gamma \beta$ átava．Hermann＇s reading тà Bátava seems very probable，the MSS． having Tả $\gamma \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \nu \alpha$ or $\tau \hat{\alpha} \kappa \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \nu \alpha$ ．The name may be recognised in Batdy ${ }^{2}$ oxos inf． 962．There is however some metrical licence allowed in proper names．
944．$\theta$ eivoluas．Like $\pi a f e l \nu$ in 418， this word is used intransitively，or at least without a definite object，＇knocking against each other．＇The passive efeivouto occurs Theb． 949.

945．oiô̂，к．т．$\lambda$ ．Hermann reads olotô̂ Bba，moû aol ¢apyoṽरos，to cor－ respond with the strophe．Perhaps кєitcu has been lost from the end of the verse．
946．＇Aptopap $\delta$ os．The＇A seems to be long；supra，320，it was made short ；and the respective epithets $\mathfrak{l} \sigma \theta \lambda d s$ and $\alpha{ }^{2} \gamma \alpha \theta \delta s$ appear to identify the name．The MSS． however have kảptopapios with some varieties．We might also correct kajpib－ $\mu a p \delta o s \delta^{\prime}$ à $\gamma \alpha \theta \delta \delta$ s．Cf． 263.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mé } \mu \phi \iota s, ~ \Theta a ́ \rho v \beta \iota \varsigma, ~ к a i ̀ ~ M a \sigma i \sigma \tau \rho a s, ~
\end{aligned}
$$

EE．

$$
\text { ì̀ ić } \mu o \iota,
$$

$\sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.




Tòv $\sigma o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi a ́ \nu \tau$＇$\grave{0} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ̀ \nu$
$\mu v \rho i \alpha \quad \mu \nu \rho i ́ a ~ \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \alpha \sigma \tau a ̀ \nu$ ，
Baтavóxov $\pi a \hat{\imath} \delta^{\circ}$＂$A \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$
 Пáp $\theta$ ov $\tau \in \mu \epsilon \in \mathfrak{\gamma} \alpha \nu \tau^{\prime}$ Oißáp ${ }^{i} \nu$

Пе́рбаıs àyavoîs какà тро́кака $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.
EE． ${ }^{\text {in }} \boldsymbol{2} \gamma \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \mu_{o l} \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$
$\stackrel{a}{a} \nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$.




951．е̇тауєр $\delta \mu a \nu$ ．Most MSS．give
 another $\epsilon^{\pi} \pi \alpha \nu є \rho \delta \mu a$, whence Wellauer and Hermanm have restored the true reading． A further change of $\tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \delta \in$ into $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ （words commonly interchanged）would better suit the strophe， 940 ．
954．Blomfield supplied $\tau$ às at the end of the verse to suit the antistrophe，where Hermann ventures to read ímopivets．
956．${ }^{2} \sigma \pi \alpha l \rho o v \sigma!~ \chi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \varphi$ ．This is pro－ perly said of fish just taken out of the sea and landed from a net．Cf．Od．xii．


 means＇by one and the same movement，＇ or convulsive struggle．
959．Пєрбâv．The MSS．have Пєрб̄̄v．

961．$\mu \nu \rho i \alpha a \pi \mu \pi a \sigma \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu . ~ ' C o u n t i n g ~ b y ~$ tens of thousands．＇This is conformable with the account of Herodotus，vii．60，





964．ミ $\eta \sigma$ д́ $\mu$ c．See 324．Some copies give $\Sigma$ zevá $\mu a$ ．L．Dindorf ingeniously proposes $\Sigma{ }^{2} \sigma \alpha \mu \nu \alpha$, from Herod．v． 25.
 あ \＃$\delta \not{ }^{\prime} \omega \bar{\prime}, "$ prout，hei hei，ex illis colligo， quae strenuis Persis ingentia accidisse mala narras？＇＂－трфкака，cf．Suppl． 843.

968．Žvүү．Schol．Med．фiスíav． Schol．recent．ท̄ $\bar{\delta} \nu \nu \grave{\eta} \nu, \chi \alpha{ }^{\alpha} p l \nu$. In Latin， suggeris mihi desiderium．Photius，

 See Theocr．ii．17．Pind．Nem．iv． 56.

970．む̈ $\lambda a \sigma \tau \alpha$ ．Hermann repeats the word，which occurs only once in the MSS． In the next verse Blomf．and Dind．read
 Hermann has $\delta \dot{\eta}$ for $\mu$ or．

| xo. | $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \gamma \epsilon \pi \circ \theta \circ \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$, Мá $\rho \delta \omega \nu$ ả $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\rho} \nu \nu \mu \nu \rho \stackrel{o}{\nu} \tau \alpha \rho \chi о \nu$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | íттıávaктas, |  |
|  | Kıjסaүárav каĭ Avөíplav, |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| EE. |  | $\sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$. |
| $\chi 0$. |  | 985 |
| EE. |  |  |
| x 0 . |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

974. кal $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ そג入ov. So Blomf. for \& $\lambda \lambda$. 'Well, but there is another whom we miss.'-I have retained $\mu v \rho ı$ дитapxov, for which Dindorf gives $\mu \nu$ рıota $\alpha \nu \nu$, Blomf. and Hermann $\mu \nu \rho t \delta^{2} \alpha \rho \chi \chi^{\circ}$, much to the detriment of the spondaic rhythm of these anapaests. See sup. 316. The measure of the word is - - - - , as חúvtos is a spondee in Eurip. Ion 285, $\tau \mu \hat{\alpha} \hat{\sigma} \sigma^{\prime} \delta$ $\Pi u ́ \theta \iota o s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho a \pi a i ́ t \in \Pi \ell \theta \iota \alpha$, and $\lambda o \gamma i \omega \nu$ an iambus, ibid. 602, т $\bar{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ a
 omniáa a spondee, Aen. vi. 33. See on Prom. 698.
 $\tau$ '. The same error exists in the MSS. in Cho. 415. Hermann retains apetóv $\tau^{*}$,

975. єัтафоv, ётафои. 'I am surprised (i. e. at their absence); they are not about your well-screened car, following in attendance behind it.' The aorist participle тaф̀̀ $\nu$ (from $\tau \in \in \eta \pi \alpha$ ) is Homeric. Blomfield gives ËTaфєv, the supposed Aeolic form of Éтád $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, with Valcke-
 $\mu \alpha \xi \alpha$ is meant, which, as we know from Herod. vii. 4I, was used by Xerxes on his

 $\mu เ \nu$ גójos aipéol, èk то̂̂ àppatos ès
 Пєрб́éwy oi \% comfortable $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha \xi \xi_{i}$ is ridiculed by

Aristophanes, Ach. 69, kal $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ Ėтpu-

 $\mu \alpha \lambda \theta \alpha \kappa \omega \hat{s} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \epsilon / \mu \kappa \nu 0 \iota$. In both passages the $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta$ alludes to the nmbrella, which is well shewn in the Assyrian sculptures (Layard's Nineveh, p. 334), as an appendage to the royal chariot, as it is to this day used in India. At $\tilde{e}^{\in} \pi \delta \mu \in \nu 0 i$ there appears to be an aposiopesis, or rather, the king interrupts by the hasty explanation $\beta \in \beta \hat{a} \sigma \epsilon$ خàp, к.т. $\lambda$.
983. à $\gamma \rho$ є́тaı. So Toup for à àpóval or áкрd́тai, from Hesych. ả apétal. $\dot{\eta} \gamma є \mu \delta \nu a, \theta \in \delta \nu$. The Schol. recognises both MSS. readings, but in deriving the
 would seem rather to explain arpétal. Hermann, guided by the metre of 990 , gives àкрю̂̃al. Cf. 444. This is supported by a gloss in the Med., ei $\delta \dot{k}$ àкротац, oi áкрои. But the form is elsewhere unknown. Blomfield gives àp $\chi$ étar, a plausible correction.
987. $\delta$ aluoves $\delta^{\prime}$ é $\theta \in \nu \tau^{2}$. So Hermann for $\delta \alpha i \mu 0 \nu \epsilon s \in \theta \in \tau^{\prime}$, for which he formerly proposed $\delta a l \mu o \nu \in s$ é $\theta \in \sigma \theta^{2}$. The antistrophic verse, about which no doubt can exist, makes the later conjecture far more probable. For the accidental omission of $\nu$, cf. тoud $\delta \in$ for toad $\nu \delta \in$ in Cho. 472.
989. סıaтрє́ттоу. Here, as in 565, 640, and many other places which Hermann has overlooked, סic̀ was pronounced as

${ }^{a} \nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}$.

E． Déal véal dóal dváal．
＇Iaóv $\omega \nu$ vavßarầ


㰝E．$\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{S} \delta^{\circ}$ ovै ；$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \grave{̀} \nu ~ \tau o \sigma o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda \eta \gamma$－ $\mu a$ ．
$\sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon^{\prime}$.


XO．$\quad$ ô $\hat{\text { o }}$ ，ó $\rho \hat{\omega}$ ．


ЕE．$\quad$ च $\quad$ бavpòv $\beta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ；

定 $E$
є́ $\sigma \pi \alpha \nu i ́ \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
X0．＇Iá $\omega \nu$ 入aòs ov̉ фuyaíx $\mu a s$ ．



Xо． $\pi \alpha \pi \alpha \hat{\imath}, \pi a \pi \alpha \hat{1}$.

XO．$\quad \delta i \delta \nu \nu a$ үáp є́бть каì $\tau \rho \iota \pi \lambda a ̂$.
a monosyllable．The meaning is，＇as conspicuous a calamity as Ate has ever witnessed．＇

990．ồat $\delta i$＇$\alpha i \omega ̂ \nu o s ~ \tau v ́ \chi \alpha \iota . ~ ' B y ~ s u ́ c h ~$ mischances as happen only at long in－ tervals，＇The Med．has $\gamma \rho$ ．סaluovos
 may be the true reading．This at once suits the metre better，and also takes up the words of the preceding strophe as Xerxes has clone before at 968,983 ，and does again at 997， 1007.

996．$\delta v \sigma \pi \delta \lambda \in \mu о \nu$ ．Schol．какшөє̀ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \pi 0$－ $\lambda \in ́ \mu \varphi$ наl $\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$.
 of the army as a part of himself，and there－ fore uses the accusative．Cf．кáp $\alpha \pi \in \pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ ． $\mu$ févos Ar．Ach．1218．We may however take the accusative as depending on the

${ }^{\circ} \psi \iota t \nu$ ，Suppl．561，where see the note．
1006．＇Iósw ．So Hermann for iáóvw He remarks that in this play the people are ouly called＂Iaves or＇Iáoves，not ${ }^{*}$ Twyes．As the a in＂Iaves is short（929）， neither＇I $\alpha, \nu \omega \nu$ nor＇I $\omega \nu \omega \nu$ is an equally plausible conjecture．

1007．áray zócios．Thus Wellauer for $a^{2}$ aub $\rho \in t o s$ ，which Hermann retains
 Theb．845，if we may trust a highly proba－ ble emendation．Dindorf also here abides by the vulgate．Wellauer＇s correction is however very slight，and seems in itself likely to be right．
 and more than alas！＇Schol．inepßatives Op $\hat{\nu} \nu o \nu . ~ H e r m a n n ~ h a s ~ h e r e ~ m a d e ~ a ~ b a d ~$



EG． $\gamma \nu \mu \nu o ́ s ~ є i \mu \iota ~ \pi \rho о \pi о \mu \pi \omega ิ \nu$.
xt．
ER． $\phi i ̀ \lambda \omega \nu \stackrel{a}{a} \tau a \iota \sigma \iota \pi \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \dot{a} a \iota \sigma \iota \nu$.
Síaıve，סíaıvє $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu a, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta o ́ \mu o v s \delta^{\circ}$ in $\theta l . \quad \sigma \tau \rho . \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ ．
XO．aiaî，aiaî，dúa，via．
官E．$\quad$ ora $\nu v \nu$ ar $\nu \tau i ́ \delta o v \pi a ́ ~ \mu o ı . ~$
XO．ठóтьу кака̀̀ какө̂̀ какоі̂s， 1020

Xt．${ }^{\text {о́тотототої．}}$
ER．

XO．оî，$\mu a ́ \lambda \alpha$ каì тó $\delta^{\prime}$ ar $\lambda \gamma \omega \hat{\omega}$ ．

XO．Sıaívo pal $\gamma$ оє $\delta \nu$ òs $̈ \nu$ ． 1026
包E．$\quad$ Koa $\nu v \nu$ ar $\nu \tau i \delta o v \pi a ́ ~ \mu o \iota . ~$
XO．$\quad \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota, \delta \epsilon ́ \sigma \pi о \tau \alpha$.
陏 $E$ ．
XOr．

о̉тотототоі̂．
1030
ER．
$\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a \iota \nu a \delta^{\circ} a \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \mu i \xi \in \tau a-$
XO．каі бтоעо́єбба тлаүа́．
岜 $E$ ．каi $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \nu$ ’ ar $\rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ каì 乃óa тò Múбьоข．
$\sigma \tau \rho . \zeta^{\prime}$.

1017．ठ\｛aıve $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ．Schol．ठáкрриe td $\dot{a} \tau \dot{\partial} \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ ．The second סíalve，is pro－ nounced as a dissyllable，on the principle
 the signal for the procession of mourners to move forward，in the same manner as at the conclusion of the Seven against Thebes．The line which follows，and v ． 1026，should perhaps be transposed，as Butler perceived；the eye of the tran－ scriber having been confused by $\beta \delta a$ yup к．т．入．，immediately following in both strophe and antistrophe．

1020．ठ́́б兀у кака̀у к．т．入．＇An evil dispensation of evil upon evil．＇The ac－ cusative depends on $\sigma \tau \in \dot{y} \omega$ implied in the preceding．Cf．Ajac．866，$\pi \delta v o s \pi \delta \nu \psi$ $\pi \delta v o v$ фépet．The School．is quite wrong

 ratels，i．e．in time and harmony．



1031．ave．In the Med．the $\hat{v}$ is written by a later hand over an erasion，and in the next verse $\mu$ ot for cal．Hence Hermann
 $\sigma \tau o \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \pi \lambda a \gamma \alpha$ ，and reads on ${ }^{\sharp} \mu$ ot for oi in the strophe（1024）．The objection to $\mu$ é ${ }^{\prime}$ alva seems to have weight，that the sentence is too incomplete with the change of persons which the nature of the dialogue seems to require．For the use of $\mu \alpha ́ \rho a \gamma \nu a$ he compares Tho．367，ad ${ }^{2} \lambda \dot{\alpha}$
 т at．The Schol．however explains $\mu \epsilon$＇－ $\lambda \alpha \iota a$ by $\pi \in \nu \theta \eta f \eta s$.
1033．каl Bdáa．Hermann has restored this reading from Eustathius on Dionys．


 Schol，of yà $\rho$ Mugol cal oi \＄púyes elia $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau a ~ \theta \rho \eta \nu \eta \tau t \kappa o l . ~ S e e ~ M u ̈ l l e r, ~ D o r . ~$ i．p． 367.

XO.

Хо. ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \pi \rho \iota \gamma \delta{ }^{\circ}{ }^{a} \pi \rho \iota \gamma \delta \alpha, \mu a ́ \lambda a ~ \gamma o \in \delta \nu \alpha ́$.

X0. каì $\tau \alpha ́ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \xi \omega$.
 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \zeta^{\prime}$.

1040


写E. $\quad \delta \iota a i v o u ~ \delta ’ ~ o ̈ \sigma \sigma \epsilon . ~$
X0. $\quad$ т́́ $\gamma \gamma$ оиаі́ тоь.

XO. oiồ, oiô̂. 1046
EE. aiakтòs és סómovs кít.

EE. iшà $\delta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau^{\top}$ ä $\sigma \tau v$.
XO. i$\quad ~ \quad \omega a ̀ ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \tau a, \nu \alpha i, \nu \alpha i ́$.

Xо. ì̀, ì̀ Пє $\rho \sigma i s a i ̀ \alpha ~ \delta v \sigma \beta a \ddot{\kappa т о ́ s . ~}$
$i \eta$, i $\eta$, i $\eta$.

X0. $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \omega$ тoí $\sigma \epsilon \delta v \sigma \theta \rho o ́ o u s ~ \gamma o ́ o l s . ~$
 Cf. Cho. 417.
1047. is $\delta \delta \mu o v s$ кíc. Here the procession is directed to enter the palace, i. e. the central doorway in the proscenium. See sup. 1017.
1048. $\delta v \sigma \beta a \ddot{u} \kappa \tau \delta$ s. So Hermann and Blomfield after Porson for the vulg. $\delta \delta \sigma$ Batos. In three Paris MSS. $\delta\langle\sigma \beta$ вaктоs is found, and in one of them $\delta \dot{v} \sigma$ ßáiктоs as a various reading, with the gloss $\delta v \sigma \theta p \nmid \eta \eta \tau o s$. See sup. on v. 13.
1049. itwd. So the Med. both here and in the next verse, for the vulg. iढ. The concluding verses of the play Hermann has not only corrected, but actually re-written at about twice the present length. It is probable that there was originally one or more strophae and antistrophae, and that the epodus here (as in so many
instances) is only a convenient way of disposing of corrupt verses. Still it is evident that not the slightest confidence can be placed in such extensive alterations, however plausible and ingenious they may be.--To many students the end of this play, like that of the Seven against Thebes, will appear devoid of interest. To the modern reader it can hardly be otherwise; but then the dialogue which we have was in fact secondary to the spectacle which we have not. It was through the eyes rather than the ears that the effect of these scenes was produced on the audience. Nevertheless, exclamations which seem feeble and monotonous to us, may have derived a thrilling significance from the tone and gestures with which they were uttered.
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## THE SEVEN AGAINST THEBES.

The date of this play is fixed by the extract from the didascaliae in

 This was в. c. 472 , or Ol. 7 X .1 , the year after the Persians. Aristophanes (Ran. 1021) calls' it $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \mu a$ 'A $\rho$ '́ $\omega$ s $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau o ̀ v$, and seems to place it chronologically before the Persians, as Dindorf also arranges it. (See introductory note to the Persians.) Euripides, treating of the same subject in the Phoenissae, makes distinct allusions to the play, as in his Electra to the Choephoroe,--in both cases not without something like the acrimony of a rival poet. The Antigone of Sophocles and the Suppliant Women of Euripides take up the subject in immediate continuation, and the Epigoni of our poet probably formed a sequel to the eventful history. Of all the plays of Aeschylus the Seven against Thebes seems to have been the most celebrated, as well as the most popular in the schools of the grammarians; at least, from none are so many passages quoted by ancient writers. For the same reason, the number of existing MSS. of this play, including the Prometheus and the Persians, is much larger than of the other four; nor is there the same ground for referring them all to the Medicean as the archetypus. Considered as a tragic composition, the Seven against Thebes is rather remarkable for its grandiloquent diction than for high poetical merit. It does not, like the Prometheus or the Agamemnon, exhibit that wonderfully deep study of character which has immortalised the name of Aeschylus. The treatment of the subject is rather epic than tragic, and the tone of the poem rousing and chivalrous rather than pathetic; or at least, pathos seems the accident, not the leading characteristic, of the adventure in the mind of the poet. The scene is laid at Thebes, and the chorus consists of Theban maidens, who act as mourners to the suicide brothers, and enlist the sympathy of the reader in the beginning of the play by continually deprecating the miseries of slavery in the event of the city being captured. Eteocles enters solus, and addresses a body of Thebans, (either in the orchestra or as mutes on the stage, who represent the citizens. They perkaps form the secondary chorus according to Müller's theory. There are but two actors to the piece.

# ta tov $\triangle$ Pamatos mpoz, 

ETEOKAHS.
ATIEAOE KATASKOIIOS.
XOPOZ ПAP@ENRN.
IZMHNH.
ANTITONH.
KHPY゙E.

## EПTA EПI ©HBA玉.

## ETEOKAHE.


 ойака $\nu \omega \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi а р а ~ \mu \grave{~} \kappa о \mu \omega ิ \nu \nu ँ \pi \nu \omega$.

 ' $E \tau \epsilon o \kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \eta s$ à $\nu$ єîs $\pi 0 \lambda \grave{s} \kappa \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \tau o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ $\dot{\nu} \mu \nu o i ̂ \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ à $\sigma \tau \omega \hat{\omega}$ фрогцíous $\pi 0 \lambda v \rho \rho o ́ \theta o \iota s$

 speak to the point,' and to be careful
 кaia, but see on Prom. 515.- $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma o s$,
 taphor of ' guiding the helm of the state' is too familiar to require illustration. Homer has pnòs $\gamma \lambda a \phi u \rho \hat{\rho} s$ oinia $\nu \omega \mu \hat{q} s$, Od. xii. 218. Cf. Ag. 775. Prom. 153,
 $\kappa о \mu \omega \bar{\nu} \nu$ is to be closely taken with $\nu \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu_{1}$ for which reason I have omitted the comma usually placed after the latter word, ' managing the helm without closing his eyes.' The $\mu$ गो depends on the indefinite $\quad$ ö $\sigma \tau \iota s$.
4. aitia $\theta \in o \hat{0}$. 'The cause of it is attributed to Providence,' i. e. the gods get all the credit of it. This is said with something of irony if not of bitterness, as the popular doctrine disparaging to the general who is really responsible for the result. Cf. Tac. Ann. xiv. 38, 'Simul in urbem mandabat, nullum praelio finem expectarent nisi succederetur Suetonio, cujus adversa pravitati ipsius, prospera ad fortunam referebat.' Ib. Agric. 27, 'iniquissima haec bellorum conditio est; prospera
omnes sibi vindicant, adversa uni imputantur.' Nepos, Vit. Alcib. viii. 'Si quid secundi evenisset, nullam in ea re suam partem fore; contra ea, siquid adversi accidisset, se unum ejus delicti futurum reum.'
5. $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \delta^{\prime} a \hat{i} \theta^{\prime}, \kappa . \tau_{\star} \lambda$. 'Whereas if, on the other hand, harm should befal us (which heaven forbid!), Eteocles alone would be universally decried,' \&c. The antithesis fis $\pi a \lambda$ ùs may be compared
 тарабхむ̀, Pers. 320.
 $\hat{u} \mu \nu \in \hat{L} \sigma \theta a \iota$ fé $\sigma o \nu$. Both these are sound comments. By the compound a notion of popular murmur and dissatisfaction is conveyed, as Antig. 259, $\lambda \delta \gamma o t \delta^{\prime}{ }^{2} \nu$ à $\lambda$ -

 $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha \kappa \delta \nu$. Trach. 263, $\xi_{\xi \in \nu о \nu ~ \pi \alpha \lambda a i d \nu}$
 $\phi \rho o \mu i o t s$ and $\dot{v} \mu \nu o i \tau o$ there is a clear allusion to the ballad-singers who exercised such influence for good or evil over the minds of the vulgar in Greek cities. Hor. Sat. ii. 1, 46, 'Flebit et insignis tota cantabitur urbe.'



 $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mu o ̀ \nu$ ả̀ $\delta \alpha i ́ \nu о \nu \tau \alpha$ $\sigma \omega^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau о s \pi o \lambda \grave{\nu}$,
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \omega \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ $\beta \omega \mu \circ \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota, \tau \iota \mu a ̀ s \mu \grave{\eta}$ ' $\xi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha i ́ ~ \pi о \tau \epsilon$, $\tau \in ́ \kappa \nu о \iota s \tau \epsilon, ~ \Gamma \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \tau \rho i, \phi \iota \tau \tau \dot{\tau} \eta \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\imath}$.

 '̇Ө $\theta$ é $\psi a \tau ’$ oikı $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a s$ ả $\sigma \pi \iota \delta \eta \phi o ́ \rho o v s$
 Zeus the averter prove what his name imports to the city of the Thebans,' i. e. the averter in reality. The genitive $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{un} \\ & \mathrm{v} \text { rightly }\end{aligned}$

 'named from (averting) lamentations.' But this latter construction is in fact lost


 who has not yet attained the prime of life, and him who is past it but still keeps up a vigorous growth of body (i. e. not yet decrepit, $\left.\dot{\omega} \mu \sigma \gamma^{\prime} \rho \omega v\right)$, and also each one that possesses the military age, as is befitting (i. e. to him in an especial manner),' \&c. Three ages are here described and well defined; the military $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \ldots k$, or Eopa $^{\circ}$, which is peculiarly apt for the defence of the city; those who are too young, and those who are too old to be enlisted. These two last comprehend all those commonly called oi à apeiot or oi à àvaккаiol, who in the event of a siege or the absence abroad of an army are bound to aid in the defence, the infirm and decrepit alone excepted. Hermann raises two difficulties with regard to the reading; first, that $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$ (MSS. $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mu 0 \nu$ or $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \mu \mu \nu)$ is not a substantive, but an

 that $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon s$ sbould have been

 ley's correction $\mathscr{U} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, which is commonly received. He therefore corrects $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta$ -
$\sigma \mu \partial \nu$, on the analogy of ${ }^{\prime} \rho \chi \eta \sigma \mu \partial s, \pi a \tau \eta-$
 $\pi \rho \in \pi \eta$ s. On the former point it appears to me that we have nothing certain to argue upon, since $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \mu o \nu$ may be either adjective or substantive in the passage of the Supplices; for the latter it may be replied that $\dot{\omega} s ~ \sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon ́ s$ ( $\mathrm{E} \sigma \pi t$ ) is perfectly good Greek; that $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ for $\omega s$ follows the same epic usage as os (which occurs below, v. 127, wal

 in 62 ; and finally that $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \in \pi \lambda)$ is 'conspicuous,' Suppl. 107, but $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \eta$ ) is 'fit,' idid. 452.
15. тimás. In close connexion with $\theta \epsilon \omega ิ y$ and $\beta \omega \mu 0 \hat{s} s$, and illustrated by 77 and 167 infira, it is clear that $\tau \mu \mu \mathrm{d}$ here means 'sacrifices.' Cf. Troad. 26, ' $p \not \eta \mu l a$
 $\theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu$, oùdè $\tau \iota \mu a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota \theta \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$.
 $\phi$ ( $\lambda a \nu \delta \rho o \nu$ inf. 893 . She was worshipped at Athens as $\Gamma \hat{\eta}$ rovpotpódos. See Suidas in v. Ar. Thesm. 300, $\epsilon \ddot{\chi} \chi \in \sigma \theta \in \tau \hat{\eta}$ Koupoтро́фф Г $\bar{\eta}$. Homer calls Ithaca т $\rho \eta \chi \in \hat{i}^{\text {i }}$

 It is said that the meaning 'to crawl' is later than tragedy; and it is sufficient to understand $\beta$ aìvovтas.- $\pi \alpha \nu \delta о к б \hat{\sigma} \sigma a$, Schol.



19. oikı $\sigma \tau \eta \mathrm{p} a s$. Hermann edits oik $\eta-$ т $\hat{p}$ as from two or three MSS., a form which occurs Oed. Col. 627. The later










 ঠо $\mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \sigma \circ \hat{v} \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma v ̀ \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \nu \chi i ́ a$,





Schol. explains oik $\eta$ topas. The construction is rather remarkable for étpéчato

21. kal vûv $\mu$ év. He reverts to the sentiment in v. 4. 'Hitherto indeed all has gohe well; but we must be on our guard to repel a nightly attack which is contemplated, and which, if successful, will bring reproaches on the head of your leader for not sufficiently providing against it.' Cf. 36-8.
22. тирүпрочме́ขoıs, 'beleaguered.' Pho-

 фudduravtes. The Sehol. Med. is quite wrong in understanding 'protected by the god.' Inf. 171 he rightly has Écos

 ànov̂वau Cho. 5, i. e. not only hearing but comprehending. The faculty of sight was wanting to Teiresias.- $\nu \omega \mu \hat{a} \nu$ was properly used of augurs, as Oed. R. 300, $\bar{\omega} \pi \dot{\alpha} \mu \tau a$


 these words in such a sense between $\nu \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ and ópuitas is certainly awkward, 'observing hirds without fire,' Hermann says, 'non dissentit Aeschylus ab Sophocle in Antigone v. 1005, atque Euripide in Phoenissis v. 954, sed quod dixit
mupds $\delta$ íxas est praeter signa ex igne capta." Thus Eteacles would quote the double confirmation of the prediction derived from a twofold observation.

2\%. $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \ell$ к.т. $\lambda$. 'Declares that the greatest attack yet made on the part of the Argives is to be discussed to-night, and that they are plotting against the city.' Schol. èv $\nu \nu \kappa \tau i$ (he should rather have
 By the latter word it does not appear that he meant to paraphrase è $\pi<\beta o v \lambda \in \dot{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu$. In Rhes. 20, микт $\eta$ rapia is 'a proclamation by night,' and ibid. 88 we have $\tau$ dेs $\sigma$ des $\pi \rho$ dेs $^{2}$
 paï̃t.. I have retained 'Aरailion with the Med., which however has 'Axatoas in Pers. 490. The former must have been the epic form, for we find 'AXattion Od. iii. 26 L .


 Herod. i. 181, the term might seem to be peculiarly applied to the outer wall;-

 there is a more remarkable expression,
 Romans used larica and lericula in a similar sense. Cf. Tac. Hist. iv. 37, Ann. iv. 49. Caesar, B. G. vii. 72.

бкотоѝs $\delta \mathbf{e ̀} \kappa \alpha ̉ \gamma \grave{\omega}$ каї катоптท̂pas $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau о \hat{u}$光 $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a, ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \pi o ı \theta a ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu a \tau a ̂ \nu ~ o ́ \delta a ̂ . ~ . ~$


## ATTEAOE．

 $\eta^{\eta} \kappa \omega \sigma a \phi \hat{\eta} \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \kappa \mathfrak{i} \theta \in \nu$ ย̇к $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \hat{v} \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$.










 $\sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu \gamma$ à $\rho \theta \nu \mu o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a ~ \phi \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$





 see on Prom．57．The idea is perhaps



38．oй́т $\iota \hat{\eta} \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\omega}$ ．‘There is no fear
 Cho．881．oủ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ à ${ }^{2} \delta \dot{\sigma} \chi \omega \nu \tau \alpha$ Suppl． 736. The construction is rather rare in its more complete form．Plat．Apol．p．28，A，



 When the messenger left the army，the Argive chieftains had already taken the oath and were in the act of suspending their tokens to the car of Adrastus．Hence the difference of tenses．

48．фupá $\sigma \epsilon \iota y$ ．фúpely（Prom．458．Ag．
 properly to make dough，paste，or clay，
by mixing liquid with a dry material．Cf． inf． 930.

49．$\mu \nu \eta \mu$ ía．＇And mementos of them－ selves for their parents at home they were hanging（inf．267）to the chariot of Adras－







51．入é＇ßovtes．The editors place a semicolon after this word；but the sense seems to be，$\delta \alpha \kappa \rho \nu \mu \grave{\nu} \lambda \in\{\beta o \nu \tau \epsilon s$, oik $\tau \iota \zeta \delta-$ $\mu \in \nu 0<\delta^{\circ}$ oй．

54．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \in \pi$ forts．＇These tidings have not been long in reaching you．＇Schol． $\tau \alpha \chi^{\grave{v}} \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ グ $\gamma \gamma \in i \lambda \alpha$ ．But Hermann reads $\pi\{\sigma \pi a s$ with Schütz from one MS．，＇the proof of all this will not be long withbeld．＇ To this reading another scholium in the Med．may probably be referred，$\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ où

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau ’ ~ a ̉ p i ́ \sigma \tau o v s ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho a s ~ e ́ к к р і ́ \tau o v s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s ~$







 $\kappa \alpha ̉ \gamma \omega ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda о \iota \pi a ̀ ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \rho \circ \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi о \nu$



| ET. ${ }^{\hat{L}} Z \epsilon \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \Gamma \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o v ̂ \chi o \iota ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̀, ~$ <br>  <br>  єєкӨациі́бךтє $\delta \eta \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \tau о \nu, ~ ' E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

58. тá $\gamma \in v \sigma a b, ~ ' m a r s h a l, ' ~ S c h o l . ~ \tau \alpha ́ \xi o \nu . ~$ Verbs in -єú $\omega$, a lengthened form of - $\epsilon \omega$, are never properly transitive, though frequently they become so through their derivation from nouns involving some notion of action. Thus we have taүєì, $\mu \in \tau o<k \in i ̂ \nu$, \&cc. with a genitive, because they simply represent таүठ $\nu$ or $\mu$ ќтонко єîvou. But we find крит $\tau \in \dot{v} \epsilon เ \nu$, óp $\theta \in \dot{v} \in ⿺ \nu$, Orest. 405, д́ $\mu \eta p \in \ell \in \iota \nu$ тє́кขa Rhes. 434,
 much more frequently $\pi \alpha i \delta \in \dot{u} \in \iota \nu$, $\beta o u \lambda \in \dot{U}$ ety, \&c., in which the primary notion is 'to be a doer of something,' and which of course take an accusative of the thing made or done. It thence follows that either the neuter or the passive form is indifferently used, as $\pi o ́ \lambda i s ~ \eta ̌ \delta \eta ~ \sigma \alpha \lambda \epsilon u ́ \epsilon t$ Oed. R. 23, but $\chi^{\theta \dot{\omega} \nu} \sigma \in \sigma a ́ \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau a \iota ~ P r o m . ~$ 1102.
59. коעít. Cf. кovías oũ 165. Schol, recent. kóvty é $\gamma \in\{p \in \iota$ ảnd $\tau \tilde{\eta} S$ бтоиסิิs.
60. фpágat. The metaphor seems to show that this word is used strictly in a naval sense, of strengthening a ship's sides or bulwarks against the force of the waves. Cf. Od. v. 256, where we read of Ulysses'


 $\kappa а \tau \alpha \pi \nu \in \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \sigma ф о \delta \rho \hat{\omega} s$. Cf. Prom. 813,

 $\alpha \gamma i \sigma a \nu \pi \lambda a \gamma i a \dot{\imath} \tau \hat{p}$ ò $\theta \delta \nu \eta{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \eta$ каl тठ $\kappa \hat{\mu} \mu \alpha \quad \dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda \delta \nu$ ą $\rho \hat{\eta}$. Il. ii. 148 , $\lambda a \beta \rho \delta s$ Є̇ $\pi \alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma}!\zeta \omega \nu$, sc. Zéфvpos.
 So $\hat{\beta} \in \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha$ and ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \alpha \chi o \nu \kappa \hat{v} \mu \alpha$ өa $\alpha \alpha_{\sigma} \sigma \alpha s$ Pers. 86, said of the Persian host. Here the epithet qualifies the metaphor, as in ${ }_{\alpha} \neq \delta \delta_{1 s}$ Kinupos of the gadfly's sting, Prom. 898.
61. $\mu$ ń $\mu o l \pi \delta \lambda \imath \nu \gamma$. The $\gamma \epsilon$, so far from being superfluous, is part of the formula used in deprecating. See Prom. 649. Hippol. 503, каl $\mu \hat{\eta} \gamma є \pi \rho \delta s \quad \theta \in \omega \bar{\nu}$ - $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \pi \rho о \beta \hat{\eta} s \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta^{2}$. Oed. Col. 1409, $\mu \hat{\eta} \mu^{2}$ д̀т $\tau \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \quad \gamma \epsilon$. Ar. Nub. 84, $\mu \hat{\eta}$

 $-\pi \rho v \mu \nu 6 \theta \in \nu$, perhaps from Il. xii. 148,
 tius, $\pi \rho \dot{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \nu^{*} \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega \theta \in \nu^{*}$ हैк $\dot{\rho} \iota \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$. Hesych.
 fore seem necessary to read $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \mu \nu \theta \in \nu$ with Blomfield and the more recent editors. See inf. 1060.

 аű $\frac{1}{2} \nu$.

#  Є̇ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \gamma \eta ̂ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ K a ́ \delta \mu о v ~ \pi o ́ \lambda 七 \nu ~$  <br>  $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon u ̉ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \alpha ~ \delta a i ́ \mu o \nu a s ~ \tau i ́ \epsilon u . ~$ 

## XOPOE.

$\theta \rho \in \hat{\mu} \mu \alpha \iota$ фоßєрà $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\circ} \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\text {ä }} \chi \eta$. $\mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \tau a \iota ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu \lambda \iota \pi \omega ́ \nu$. <br>aïөєía кóvıs $\mu \epsilon \pi \epsilon i ́ \theta \epsilon \iota$ фaveîo',    ì ì ì, $\theta \epsilon o i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon a i ́ ~ \tau ’, ~ o ̉ \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ к а к o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \lambda є u ́ \sigma a \tau \epsilon . ~$

75. $\sigma \chi \in \theta \in \hat{\imath} \nu$, sc. $\delta \dot{\partial} \tau \epsilon$ тò̀s $\pi о \lambda \in u \hat{o} v s$ $\mu$ भтотє $\sigma \chi \in \theta \in \hat{i v}$. For the use of the aorist see inf. 424. Prom. 685.

 is struck with the gods (as inf. 165) that they shall protect the city, and the city in turn shall keep up the public worship.
76. XOPOE. The former part of the ensuing parode is not antistrophic, but consists almost wholly of dochmiac verses recited in hurried succession by individual members of the chorus, much as the Furies sing the opening ode in Eum. 138 seqq. They may be supposed to enter the orchestra under the excitement of a false report that the enemy is marching against the city.
77. $\mu \in \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \tau a t$, 'is let loose.' The notion is from setting a dog at the prey.
 $\pi$ tiou Dindorf, who has introduced many violent and improbable alterations in this part of the play, needlessly corrects

 $\dot{d} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$. Neither the dust nor the tramp of the horses is supposed by the audience to exist except in imagination.

 following passages may have been in the mind of the poet; il. xi. 151, sind $\delta$ '



 $\delta \in \delta$ र̇єt.
78. I have endeavoured to restore this corrupt and very difficult passage from the vestiges of the MSS. readings, and by the aid of the clear and explicit



 original reading of the Med., which bas undergone some corrections by a later
 $\chi \rho!\mu \pi т \in \tau a, \beta_{1} \alpha \bar{\alpha}$ (or $\beta_{0} \hat{a}$, for there is an erasure after the a, and the above scholium points to $\chi \rho(\mu \pi \tau \in \iota \beta o \alpha, \nu)$. One Paris MS. gives é $\lambda a \delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \alpha s$, Rob. è $\lambda i \delta \in \mu \nu a s$. In the former edition I conjectured $\epsilon \pi l$ l $\delta \in$ (or סıà dé) râs tèpâs. Hermann retains € $\lambda \in \delta \dot{f} \mu a s$, with which he compares the irregular and exceptional é $\lambda$ évaus ét $\lambda a \dot{\nu}$ âpos é $\lambda \in ́ \pi \tau 0 \lambda ı s$ in Ag. 666 . In my own mind not a doubt remains that the word is corrupt, and I believe the scholinum quoted above represents the early and correct reading. Dindorf edits from his

 Buà -пот $\hat{\tau} \tau a t, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. , which is not to emend a passage, but to re-write it.


#### Abstract

    ì̀ $\mu a ́ \kappa \alpha \rho \epsilon s \in \cup ้ \in \delta \rho \circ \iota$ ．     100     


 on the further side of the wall the white－ shielded host is moving in battle array against the city．＇The chorus within the walls picture to themselves what is taking place beyond them．Hence Schol．$v \pi \epsilon \rho-$ ajva．Hermann and others understand ＇is now surmounting the walls．＇But $\dot{e} \pi l \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \lambda \Delta \nu$ is decidedly against this view， as $\bar{\epsilon} \pi\rangle$ means motion＇towards．＇As for $\delta i \omega \kappa \omega \nu$, I have enclosed it within brackets， as it does not fall in with the dochmiac metre．For the distinctive epithet $\lambda$ evir－ a $\sigma \pi / s$ see Antig．114．Phoen． 1099. The shields of the common soldiers in the Argive army seem to have been painted white，without any device（＇parma alba，＇ Virg．Aen．ix．548）．

92．$\pi \delta \tau \in \rho a \cdot \beta \rho \varepsilon ́ \tau \eta$ ．The Schol．takes $\pi \dot{\sigma} \tau \in \rho a$ not as agreeing with Apét $\eta$ ，but
 $\mu \in \nu$ ；And the reply is consistent with this，＇It is high time to cling to the sacred images．＇

94．є $\check{\epsilon} \delta \rho o l$ ．The epithet conveys the notion of firmness and of not relinquishing their post in danger．Inf．309，є $v \in \delta \rho o i$
 cf．Cho． 713 ．
 $\mu$ é $\lambda \eta \eta$ 入uravà $\theta$ eois．This is Seidler＇s cor－ rection for Autd．${ }^{\text {．When，if not now，}}$ shall we engage in petitions of the peplus and the suppliant boughs？＇The alluzion is to the pepius used in supplicating Pallan，

II．vi．90．302．Schol．recent．Ëtos $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2}$


 tai．Rather，they carried a sacred robe or shawl in procession，and invested with it the statue，generally of Pallas，as at the great Panathenaea．The construction is the same as in Xen．Amab．刃．ii．26， $8 \pi \omega$ s
 occupied with these．＇

104．$\ddot{a}^{2} \nu \pi о \tau^{2}$ к．т．入．Schol．舛 $\nu \pi \sigma \tau$
 $\pi \alpha \lambda a l \chi \theta \omega \nu$ refer to the ancient worship of Ares by the Thebans．Schol．$\hat{i} \in \rho \dot{d}$ ס立 $\dot{\eta}$
 inf．127，Aphrodite．are invoked from their connexion with Cadmus through Harmo－ nia．On the feminine form eùфı入ض才c． see Cho．61．Pers． 598.

105．тo入ıáoхol．By adopting from the Med．this Pindaric form（Ol．v．22）and pronouncing $\theta \in o i$ as a monosyllable，we obtain a dochmiac verse，though rather a lame one．The common reading is $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \sigma-$ rov̂रo，but the MSS．present many varia－ tions．

106．$\lambda$ र́xov．It is a theory of Müller＇s that the chorus so calls itself because drawn up in the shape of a military $\lambda$ dó $^{\circ}$ os．





# $\kappa \hat{\nu} \mu a$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \pi \tau o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ 





$\sigma \tau \rho$. á.


кıvúpoutą фóvov $\chi^{\text {aגı } \iota o i ́ . ~}$
 סopurбoîs $\sigma \alpha ́ \gamma a \iota s ~ \pi u ́ \lambda \alpha a ı s ~ e ́ ~ \beta \delta o ́ \mu a ı s ~$
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma i \sigma \tau a \nu \tau \alpha \iota \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \omega$ дахо́vтєs




 $\kappa \tilde{\prime} \delta \epsilon \sigma a i \tau^{\prime}$ ėvaph $\omega$ s.
110. $\delta о \chi \mu о \lambda \delta \phi \omega \nu$. Having the crest not perpendicularly erect, but slanting backwards.
 Ag. 946. From this verse (a dochmius + cretic) Hermann distributes the remainder of the chorus into strophae and antistrophae. He edits $\sigma \dot{\nu} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \hat{\mathscr{a}} Z \in \hat{v}$ $\mathbf{Z} \epsilon \hat{v}$, $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \in \rho \pi a \nu \tau \in \lambda \in s$, observing the correspondence of $\sigma \hat{u} \tau^{\prime}$ "A $\mathrm{A} \eta \mathrm{s}, \phi \in \hat{u} \phi \in \hat{\mathrm{u}}$, in 125. This seems probable; yet to avoid a rather violent alteration I have preferred to commence the strophe with $\nabla$. 113. The temerity of Dindorf goes far beyond Hermann's. He reads $\bar{\partial} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$, $\bar{\omega}$

 $\alpha_{\mu} \mu \nu \omega$, it is clear from this passage that the primary sense of aph $\gamma \in \epsilon \nu$ is 'to ward off,' though it is rarely met with.
113. 'Ap $\begin{gathered}\text { étot } \delta \text { É. } \\ \text { So Hermann with }\end{gathered}$ the Med. and a Paris MS. for 'A $\mathrm{A} \gamma \in \hat{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{o}$ 人 $\gamma$ d $\rho$ (the Med. omitting the accent on 'Apy $\in(0)$ ). Compare 'A A etioas Ag. 122.
115. $\delta \iota d \delta \varepsilon \tau a l(\tau \varepsilon \delta \%$. In this verse I have followed Hermann in the slight correction, demanded by the metre, of $\gamma$ fivvas $i \pi \pi i a s$ for $\gamma \in \nu \dot{b} \omega \nu$ inti $\omega \nu$ or $i \pi \pi \in i(\omega \nu$, and the insertion of $\delta \eta$, which sounds better than Dindorf's $\tau 0 t \gamma \in \nu \hat{v} \nu$ in $\pi \in i \omega \nu$. For
$\tau \epsilon$, which is from Robortello, the rest have $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$.
118. $\delta o \rho v \sigma \sigma o i s$. The metre appears to require the contracted form for $\delta o p v \sigma 6 o s$ or $\delta o p u \sigma \sigma \delta o u s$. Blomfield gives $\delta o p u ́ \sigma \sigma a u s$. Cf. Oed. Col. 1313.
119. тробlatavaat. Should we not read $\pi \rho \rho \sigma i \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau 0$, to suit the antistrophic v. 134? For the actiou was passed, sup. 55. And this would remove the difficulty felt by Hermann and others at taking ésibuais in its literal sense, ' at the seventh gate,' rather than 'at the seven gates.' They were standing at one gate when they drew lots for their respective posts, to which the chorus infers that they have by this time retired. A verse seems to have been lost after this, as Hermann remarks from a comparison of the antistrophe. We might complete the sense and metre by

 The trident is represented as the sceptre or emblem of sovereignty over the sea.
 But the chorus speaks of it here as a destructive weapon, as one of the later Scholiasts suggests.



<br> $\gamma \epsilon \gamma o ́ v a \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．$\lambda \iota \tau a \hat{\imath} \sigma$ í $\sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon о \kappa \lambda$ úтоıs 130  каì $\sigma \grave{v}, \Lambda v ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a} \nu \alpha \xi, ~ \Lambda v ́ к \epsilon \iota о s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu о \hat{v}$ <br> тó乡ov єủтv̇кá乌ov 135 ［＂Aртє $\mu \iota$ фи́ $\lambda \alpha]$ ．<br>今̉ $\pi o ́ \tau \nu \iota^{\prime}$＂$H \rho \alpha$ ．<br> <br> тí $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$ ä $\mu \mu \iota \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota$ ；$\tau i ́ \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ;$ <br>

 There is a sort of play on the double sense $\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \mu \omega \nu($ Suppl．72）and $\kappa \eta \delta \in \sigma \tau \eta s$.
131．äüтoüचal．So Hermann with Seidler for à áúovoal．Cf．Cho．867， $\kappa \omega \phi 0 \hat{i} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \ddot{u} \tau \hat{\omega}$ ．Though the $\geqslant$ is long in
 the initial a cannot be shortened，as the metre here requires．




133．anuá．This is the beautiful emen－ dation of Hermann for àürâs．He sup－ ports it by the gloss of Hesychius $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\pi} \tilde{u} \eta$ ．中win．Had that gloss not existed，one would have felt no doubt that this was the genuine reading．It is strongly con－ firmed by the converse error in 131. Dindorf gives $\sigma \tau \delta \nu \omega \nu$ нааттav́tas，which does not suit the metre．

135．є่̇тuสá̧ou．So L．Dindorf for èviviájov of the Med．，the other copies
 changed see Suppl．295．）Hesych．$\dot{\boldsymbol{v}}$－
 －probably in allusion to this very，pas－ sage．The later Schol．rightly has $\mathrm{\epsilon}^{\mathbf{j}}$－


142．aiti力p $\delta^{\circ}$ ．In the MSS．$\delta^{\prime}$ is placed
before aidोp，on which frequent trans－ position see Suppl．315．Hermann is un－ doubtedly right in restoring the particle which modern editors had too hastily omitted．He translates，adstrepit vero isti curruum stridori tremefactus hastis aether．

146．$\lambda_{i} \mathrm{\theta a}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{E}$ 巨 $\rho \chi \in \tau a \mathrm{~L}$ ．It is not clear，as the later Scholiast felt，whether this is said of the besieged or the besiegers．If the former，which is Hermann＇s opinion， we must supply $\bar{\xi} \xi$ to $\bar{\epsilon} \pi a \lambda \xi \in \omega \nu$ ，and take
 shower down stones．＇（Schol．颢 anfoш
 $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi o \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$.$) If the latter，with Heath$ and Dindorf，é $\rho \chi \in \tau a i$ appears to govern a genitive like many cognate verbs of hitting or aiming at a mark，$\sigma \tau 0 \chi$ d－

 like д $\mu \phi$ 亿ß ments assailed from below with stones．＇
 $a \lambda \xi^{\prime} \omega v$. ．）Tac．Hist．ii．22，＇altiora mu－ rorum saxis incessere．＇Caesar，B．G．ii． 6，＇circumjecta multitudine hominum totis manibus undique lapides in murum jaci coepti sunt，murusque defensoribus nu－ datus est．＇
$\hat{\omega} \phi_{i} \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{*} A \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda o \nu$.
 каì $\Delta$ iá $\theta e \nu$



 $\sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.

$\tau а ิ \sigma \delta є \pi v \rho \gamma о \phi v ́ \lambda а к \epsilon$, $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \quad \delta o \rho i ́ \pi o \nu o \nu \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \delta \omega \theta^{\circ}$




 $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \theta^{\circ}{ }^{i} \epsilon \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \mu i \omega \nu$,



150. кal $\Delta 66 \theta \in \nu$. A word has been lost
 cuum scelere exitum belli dicit, in mente habens odia fratrum.' Hermann. Schol. èmel of modeulous àmortelyontes häapol

151-2. ${ }^{2} \nu \nu d \chi \chi q$. $\sigma \dot{\psi} \tau \epsilon$. This is a former correction of Hermann's for id
 which the strophic verse 144 seems to require. If $\pi \rho \dot{\text { d }}$ be right, it conveys the idea of defending the city ( $т р а \sigma \tau a \tau \eta p i a)$. Schol 'Оүкаla "Aө $\quad$ иâ $\tau \mu a ̂ \tau a t ~ \pi a p a ̀ ~ © \eta-~$
 $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \alpha \nu \nu \omega \theta \in \nu \delta K \alpha \delta \mu o s$. On the quantity of èmıppúov, which is here short, see Prom. 243.


 the Greeks distinctions of race, and therefore of religious worship, were invariably characterised by differences of dialect. To suit the metre of the antistrophe, Hermann formerly proposed the violentalteration £́ $\tau \in \rho o \beta \dot{\beta} \gamma \mu \circ \boldsymbol{y}$. He now alters 166,
aph $\ddagger \alpha \tau \in$ to $\eta \xi \xi \in \tau \epsilon$. We should however remember that Aeschylus wrote the word HETEPOФONOI, which, according to strict analogy, may have been pronounced éte-
 that in the Ionian dialect ol was pronounced ót, from Ar. Pac. 933,

## 



Bold as this suggestion may appear, it is perfectly consistent with true philological principles.
163. $\lambda \nu \tau$ 亿ipto! $\tau^{2}$. Seidler first inserted the $\tau \epsilon$. The Schol. compares II. i. 37, 8 s
 dorf, and Hermann read $\theta^{\prime}$ for $\delta^{\prime}$ in 165 , that $\tau \epsilon-\tau \epsilon$ may take the usual construction. On iepà $\delta$ خ $\mu \mu l a$ see sup. 77.

169 seqq. Eteocles, who had left the stage at v. 77, to give his orders for resisting the expected attack, now returns to expostulate with the chorus, who have been invoking the aid of the gods. Such conduct, he alleges, is calculated to in-
$\hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{’}$ ä $\rho \iota \sigma \tau a$ каі̀ $\pi o ́ \lambda \in \iota ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \prime \rho \iota a$, ..... 170$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma о s \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \pi \nu \rho \gamma \eta \rho о \nu \mu \in ́ \nu \omega$,ßрє́тך $\pi \epsilon \sigma о v ́ \sigma a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o v ́ \chi \omega \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$$\alpha 兀 ゙ \epsilon \iota \nu, \lambda a \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon i \nu, \sigma \omega \phi \rho o ́ v \omega \nu \mu \iota \sigma \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ；175$\kappa a i ̀ ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu \pi 0 \lambda i ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon \delta \iota a \delta p o ́ \mu o v s ~ \phi u \gamma a ̀ s$$\tau \alpha ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta u ́ \rho a \theta \epsilon \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} s a ้ \rho \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ ỏ申є́ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon \cdot$180$\alpha ̉ \nu \eta े \rho, \gamma \nu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \epsilon, \chi \hat{\omega} \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha i \not \chi \mu \iota \nu$,$\psi \hat{\eta} \phi$ оs $\kappa \alpha \tau^{3} \alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ỏ $\lambda \epsilon \theta$ pía $\beta$ оидєúбєтаl，185
spire cowardice in the citizens．Rather they should sing a puean（257）in antici－ pation of the victory．

173．$\sigma \omega \phi \rho б \nu \omega \nu \mu \sigma$ диата．＂Ye objects of dislike to the discreet．＇Cf．Eum．78，
 The Scholiasts explain，＇conduct which is hated by sensible people，＇in apposition to the sentence．
 gives $\tau \varphi$ रuvaıкi $\varphi \phi$ фит $\hat{\varphi}$ ，alicui（cuiquam） mulieri．For the Med．has $\tau \omega$ ，and in several MSS．there is a variant $\phi(\lambda \omega$ or $\phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varphi$ ．The correction is，at least，ex－ tremely ingenious，and his remark is true，that the article is out of place．For
 generally，＇whereas properly a man could only live in a limited circle．Still，фúNe may have been a marginal gloss to $\gamma \in \nu \in!$ ．
176．к $\rho \alpha \tau о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \mu \grave{̀} \nu \gamma$ रáp．＇For when free from constraint（ ${ }^{2} \nu \in \dot{\nu} \in \sigma \tau 0 \hat{i}$ ），her boldness is such that one cannot live with her，and in a time of fear（è какоîs）she is yet a greater evil both in private and in public．＇ Or perhaps，＇a nuisance in private，and a still greater one in public．＇Schol，recent．
 то́лєt．It is better to take кратойбa as an irregular nominative thanoü $\chi \delta \mu i \lambda \eta \tau \delta \nu \quad \theta \rho d-$
 of $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \delta \nu \omega \nu \mu \nu \sigma \not \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ．See inf． 678.


 whatever is included between these．＇The poet uses ảvì $\rho$ and $\gamma v v \grave{\jmath}$ in a sexual sense， not in that of age as opposed to childhood， and he means those who are incapable of propagating their race，viz．the very young and the very old．The expression how． ever，on the part of Eteocles，as the Schol． observes，is rather the hasty ebullition of anger than rhetorically correct．It is simply meant to include every specimen of humanity．For the use of $\delta i \tau<$ applied to



185．Bou入 $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma$ etal．Blomfield takes this passively，comparing $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s$ ö̆ $\sigma \in \tau a$, Orest． 440．To me it appears incredible that any writer should use $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s$ ßou入є́́धтah
 and I therefore take it in the middle sense for $\kappa a \tau a \gamma \nu \omega ் \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu . ~ T h u s ~ \psi \eta ̂ ф o s ~$ will bear the sense of a deliberative or judicial assembly which it has in Iph．

 $\sigma \mu a \tau o s$. Perhaps however either $\beta \alpha \lambda$－ $\lambda \eta \sigma \in \tau \alpha l$（Ar．Vesp．1491）or $\beta \in \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \in \tau \alpha$ is right．The latter is the more probable because $\beta$ and $v$ are continually inter－ changed．

 $\tau a ̉ \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \cdot{ }^{\text {eै }} \nu \delta o \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ov̂ $\sigma a \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \beta \lambda a ́ \beta \eta \nu \tau i \theta \epsilon \epsilon$.



 $i \pi \pi \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \dot{\sim} \pi \nu \omega \nu$ $\pi \eta \delta a \lambda i \omega \nu$ бía $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \iota a$ $\pi v \rho \downarrow \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \tau a ̂ \nu \chi^{\alpha \lambda \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu .}$
 $\pi \rho v ́ \mu \nu \eta \theta \in \nu \quad \eta \tilde{v} \rho \epsilon \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta ̀ \nu \quad \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s$,



 $\delta \grave{\eta} \tau o \tau^{\top} \eta{ }^{\eta} \rho \theta \eta \nu$ фó $\beta \omega$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu а к \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \lambda \iota \tau \alpha ̀ s, ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$
191. हैтоßov. The humming sound of the wheel on the axle. Cf. Prom. 587.
193. a $\quad \gamma \rho \rho^{\prime} \pi \nu \omega \omega \nu$. So Seidler and Blomf. for dutavov, which clearly violates the metre. See the note on Prom. 254.
194. Sía $\sigma \tau \delta \mu i a$. So Hermann and others for $\delta i \alpha \alpha^{\sigma} \sigma \delta \mu \alpha$. The vulgate not only does not well accord with the antistrophe, but leaves nothing to govern the genitive $\pi \eta \delta \alpha \lambda i \omega \nu$. In defending $\delta \stackrel{\alpha}{2}$ $\sigma \tau \delta \mu \alpha$ I formerly, with the Schol., supplied ö $\tau 0 \beta$ ov, but this word is not properly used of the rattling or clanking sound of the bit. The order is, $\delta \tau \epsilon$ oúpı $\gamma \notin{ }^{\prime} s$ тe

 mouth being the rudder by which a horse is guided. Cf. 115 . Eur. Hipp. 1223, $\sigma \tau \delta \mu \iota \alpha \pi v \rho \iota \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$. On the principle noticed on Pers. 872, and Eum. 764, $\sigma \tau \delta \mu 1 \alpha$ is pronounced as a dissyllable, unless we read $\pi \delta \lambda$ tos with Herm. in 203.
196. $\delta$ vaúrins. 'Well, what then? Surely the sailor never yet found safety by leaving the helm and betaking himself to the prow when the ship had foundered on the breakers.' The aorist participle seems to require this translation; of. бтрато仑 кацо́vтоs Ag. 653. The use of
the dative may be compared with $\pi \rho d s$
 Prom. 905. By $\phi \cup \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ és $\pi \rho \notin \rho \alpha y$ the poet seems to imply something more than scampering wildly up and down the deck. The frightened sailor went to say his prayers to the tutelary image which formed the figure head; and thus the comparison exactly holds between him and the women who rush to the temples; hence their apology in 202. Sir Charles Fellows says (Travels in Asia Minor, p. 394), "The [modern] Greek will put out to sea even in a brisk breeze, and work his boat with activity; but should the gale increase to a storm, he will quit the helm and leave the vessel adrift, to repeat his prayers and cries of despair."
200. עıфd́סos. 'Nay, 'twas not before I heard the pelting of the storm that I ran to the statues; then indeed I was impelled by fear to betake myself to prayers.' Hermann reads $\theta \in o i s^{\circ} \pi i ́ \sigma u \nu o s, ~ a ́ \tau \in \nu \iota \phi a ́ \delta o s$, to suit the strophe. Seidler corrected $\theta \in o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ for $\theta \in o i ̂ s$, but this hardly satisfies the metre. The Schol. Med. explains rd
 clearly from Il. xii. 156,278 , where it is used of pelted stones.

#  



ET．


 à $\sigma \tau v \delta \rho о \mu о v \mu e ́ \nu a \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu, ~ к а \grave{~} \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon \nu \mu$ ，
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205．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \mathcal{\gamma} \epsilon \nu$ ．＇To be proof against．＇ Cf．Suppl． 127.

206．ov̀к oūv Tá $\delta^{\prime}$ ÉvTal．This is a justification of their running to the statues． ＇Is it not then from the gods that we must ask this？＇It is remarkable，because very unusual，that a dialogue between two here corresponds to the three verses spoken by Eteocles in the strophe．
207．${ }^{2} \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon t \nu$ ，sc．$\alpha \hat{v} \tau \nmid \nu$ ．The notion was，that as a city could not be taken while the patron gods continued their pro－ tection over it，they first deserted the city， yielding to that $\dot{a} \nu \dot{d} \gamma \kappa \eta$ which even gods could not resist．Virg．Aen．351，＇ex－ cessere omnes adytis arisque relictis Di ， quibus imperium hoc steterat．＇The an－ swer of Eteocles implies that possibly their prayers may be in vain，since the gods may choose to surrender the city to destruction．To which the chorus reply， that they hope such a desertion will never happen in their time．



Hermann thinks it more poetical to un－

 urbem，per quam huc illuc hostes et cives cursitant，persequentes，fugientes，rapi－ entes，servare aliquid cupientes．＇Her－ mann．In the next verse $\dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \dot{\delta} \mu \in \nu=\nu$ is the middle voice，$\varepsilon^{\delta} \pi \tau \delta \mu \in \nu_{0} \nu$ aut $\tau \hat{\eta} s, \pi \nu \rho^{\prime}$ ． Schol．recent．$\mu \eta \delta \grave{k}$ è $\pi\{\dot{\delta} \sigma u \mu \iota \tau \delta \quad \sigma \tau \rho d \tau \tau v \mu a$



214．$\gamma 0 \nu$ भิs $\sigma$ ．，＇a saving offspring．＇The vulg．$\gamma u \nu \grave{\eta}$ must be wrong，because $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ è̀rpaglas $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ is a positive solecism． Hermann＇s happy conjecture was pro－ pounded in Vol．iv．p． 335 of the Opus－ cula．The Schol．Med．absurdly under－ stands $\gamma v v \grave{\eta}$ $\Delta u \grave{s} \sum^{\Sigma} \omega \tau \hat{\eta} p o s$. We should perhaps read eủ cakias．Xen．Anab．iii．

 have often had occasion to notice the con－ fusion of $\tau$ and $\pi$ ，and the insertion of $\rho$ ．

215．Eovi．Hermann places a stop here（Dindorf says＂male＂）with the Schol．recent．，кал⿳亠二口今 єîmas тойтo．Com－ pare Suppl． 289.

218．ò $\rho \theta_{0} \hat{i}$ ．The reading must be con－ sidered doubtful，as the metre of 211 does not accurately correspond．From the similar passage in Eum．531，the meta－ phor appears to be borrowed from a ship in a dense fog．One of the later Scho－

 $\sigma o ̀ \nu \delta^{\circ}$ ẩ $\tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \iota \gamma a ̂ \nu ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu e ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ้ \sigma \omega ~ \delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu . ~$

 $\tau i ́ s ~ \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon \hat{i}$;



 тíцьò édos, iко́ $\mu a \nu$.





liasts, taking $\nu \epsilon \phi \in \epsilon^{\lambda} \alpha \nu$ for the accusative, explains è $\gamma \in\{\rho \in \iota$ kal єis toủvavtion т $\rho \in ́ \pi \pi \in L$. Another has $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma о \beta \in \hat{\imath} \mathrm{kal}$ ảтобıб́кєь. Hermann thinks he detects in this a different reading, and edits $\sigma \alpha 0$. But the Schol. Med., whence the others are chiefly derived, recognises $\delta \rho \theta o \hat{\imath}$, and from the gloss ${ }^{2} \gamma \in i p \in i$ we can only infer that some took $\dot{o} \rho \theta \hat{\imath}$ for $\alpha \nu i \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota$, i. e. to denote the action preliminary to driving an object away. Hermann quotes, as possibly referring to this passage, ${ }^{2} \theta \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu, \not ้ \gamma \in \iota \nu$, from Hesychius.
219. $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho ı a, ~ ' v i c t i m s . ' ~ S u p p l . ~ 444$.
222. $\delta$ tal $\theta \in \hat{\omega} \nu$. "'Tis through the gods that we inhabit a city yet unconquered, and that the wall holds out against the host of enemies. What displeasure can object to this?' (Or, 'what sort of displeasure is that which,' \&c.) I have adopted Hermann's correction $\delta \mathrm{sal}$ for $\delta$ ià, and his insertion of $\tau \in$ for the sake of the metre. Dindorf reads motánov in the antistrophe, with Heath; but the form is purely conjectural.
225. ойто $\phi \theta 0 \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$ aol. "Tis not that I grudge your paying honour to the gods, but lest,' \&c. Schol. Med. oùסels taûta

228. avdurya. The Medicean and a Paris MS. have $\alpha \mu \mu \dot{\mu} \gamma^{2}$, most of the others
á $\mu a$. The uncontracted word, which Hermann had restored by conjecture, has since been found in a MS. of the sixteenth century. The Scholia recognise only 呮 $\alpha$, i. e. $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \quad \phi \delta \beta \omega$. By d̀ $\nu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \gamma{ }^{\prime}$ a the poet means $\sigma \pi o \rho d \delta \eta \nu$. The chorus persist in apologising for their conduct, and assert that it was in consequence of a new and sudden alarm that they betook themselves to the temple. The discussion of this point is rather wearisome, extending as it does to 270 .
230. Hesych. Є́סos $\tau \delta$ б̈ $\gamma а \lambda \mu а$ каl $\delta$
 $\tau \in \pi a \tau \rho \psi^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ह́ $\delta \eta$.
232. $\alpha \rho \pi a \lambda(\zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, i. t. aùtots. 'Do not hurry them away with lamentations.'
233. фóvч $\beta \rho a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. It is not easy to decide whether we should retain $\phi \delta \nu \varphi$, the reading of the best MSS., or adopt $\phi \delta \beta \varphi$ with Blomfield and Dindorf from the Aldine. The same uncertainty occurs Suppl. 492. The vulgate will mean, ' Do not make too much lamentation over the wounded, for Ares delights in blood, and slaughter is sure to occur.' The other reading may be compared with 180 , ' War is only made worse by giving way to fear.' One of the later Scholiasts rightly remarks that roúcep is to be taken separately from $\phi \delta \nu q$.




XO．$\hat{\omega} \xi_{v \nu \tau \epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a, \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o \delta \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \pi v \rho \gamma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha$ ．

X0．$\theta \epsilon o i ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda i ̄ \tau \alpha L, \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon$ סov入єías $\tau v \chi \epsilon i \nu$.


ET．$\hat{\omega} Z \in \hat{v}, \gamma v \nu a u \kappa \omega ̂ \nu$ oîov ${ }^{\omega} \pi \pi a \sigma a s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ v o s . ~$

ET．$\pi a \lambda \iota \nu \sigma \tau o \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a \hat{v} \theta \iota \gamma \gamma a ́ \nu o v \sigma^{\prime}$ ả $\gamma a \lambda \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ；



 is one of the many unusual middle forms employed by Aeschylus．See on Prom． 43．On the use of the participle alone in the genitive absolute see Suppl． 437. Eum．742．Inf． 263.


 inf．241，for ờ $\lambda o t o$ ，кaì $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{p} ~ \tau \alpha ́ d ́ \epsilon ~ a ̀ \nu a-~$ $\sigma \chi 0 \hat{v}$ ．Dindorf seems right in re－ garding it as a brief expression for où

 Others put the question at oủ $\sigma \hat{i} \gamma a$ ，and take $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \quad$ Ė $\rho \in i \hat{i}$ in in an imperative sense． But the feop instances that have been adduced of this apparent use are easily explicable on a different principle．（See New Cratylus，p．483，and on Pers．124．） Photius has où $\mu \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \in \hat{i} \bar{s}^{\prime}$ à $\nu \tau i$ toû $\pi a v \sigma a u$ रé $\gamma \omega \nu$ ．Whether he referred to this passage or not，we must read où

 this for the company of gods（like $\theta \in \omega \hat{\omega}$ тауククupts in 209）．Hermann says， ＂potius communitas civium videtur esse intelligenda．＂If this be right，it would lead us to suggest $\theta \in o l$, toरî̃al，in 242， －Both gods and citizens save us from slavery．＇But the context seems to shew that the appeal is solely to the gods，whose aid the chorus perseveringly invoke．The term itself，borrowed from the public
$\lambda \in \iota \tau o v p \gamma^{\prime} \alpha$, ，may refer to the association of Theban gods commemorated in the opening chorus，Pallas，Hera，Artemis， Apollo Lyceus，Paseidon，Aphrodite，\＆s．


243．nal $\sigma \hat{\varepsilon}$ ．The Med．has $\kappa \grave{\alpha} \mu \hat{\mu}$ ，
 Porson and Blomfield prefer．With $\sigma \frac{k}{k}$ for $\sigma \epsilon \alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \quad$ compare $\mu \epsilon$ for $\dot{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \mu \alpha \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ Suppl．108，$\zeta \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha a$ रoots $\mu \in \tau \mu \hat{\omega}$ ．The sentiment is repeated from 178.
246．$\hat{\dot{\omega}} \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\omega}$＇$\pi \delta \hat{\lambda} \lambda t s$ ．＇Men will prove themselves no better when their city has been captured．${ }^{4}$ This is at once a retort and a prediction．Il．ix．591，кaлє $\bar{\lambda} \in \xi \in \varepsilon$
 ă $\sigma \tau v \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varphi \underline{p} \eta$ ．The omission of $\bar{z} \nu$ with the subjunctive，more epicorum，is rather rare in tragedy．Cf．inf．32\％．Ag． 740. Eum．202．Oed．Col．395，ôs ע́éos $\pi$ ย́ $\sigma \eta$ ．
247．$\pi a \lambda ı \nu \sigma \tau o \mu \epsilon i ̂ s . ~ S c h o l . ~ M e d . ~ \AA ̀ \sigma \phi \eta-~$
 So Eur．Ion 1096，$\pi \alpha \lambda / \mu \phi а \mu о s$ dato $\delta \dot{d}$ ，＇ ill－ omened song．＇This is equivalent to say－
 boding $\dot{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\varphi} \pi \delta \lambda l s$ ．
249．$\epsilon i$ סoins．＇I wish you would grant me a trifling favour＇（i．e．accomplish－ ment of my wish）．Understand $\chi$ apíGoo t $\nu$ ，or something to that effect，suppressed by aposiopesis．The usage occurs in

 Scholiasts totally misumderstood the sense．

ET. $\sigma i ́ \gamma \eta \sigma o \nu, \hat{\omega}$ тádalva, $\mu \eta$ خí入ovs $\phi o ́ \beta \epsilon \iota$.




 ỏ $\lambda_{o} \lambda v \gamma \mu$ ò $\nu$ iєрò $\nu$ єنُ $\mu \in \nu \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \iota \omega ́ \nu \iota \sigma o \nu$, ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \nu o ́ \mu \iota \sigma \mu a ~ \theta v \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \delta o s ~ \beta o \eta ̂ s, ~$ $\theta a ́ \rho \sigma o s ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o ı s, ~ \lambda v ́ o v \sigma a ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ \omega \nu ~ \phi o ́ ß o \nu . ~$



 $\mu \hat{\prime} \lambda о \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ aí $\alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \circ \nu \tau \alpha s$ є́ $\sigma \tau i ́ a s ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega}$,



257. тalóviavy. So the Med. by the first hand, afterwards altered to maldivicov. On the orthography see Pers: 607. It appears that the form in $\omega$ was the older Ionic, that in $\alpha$ the later Attic (Baehr on Herod, v. 1). Photius recognises both:

 $\mu \partial{ }^{\prime}$ or ${ }^{2} \lambda o \lambda v \gamma \eta$ was the female cry of joy, but especially that raised at a sacrifice or supplication. See on Ag. 577.-vo $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \alpha$


259. $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$. So Blomf. and Dind. with one MS. and the Scholium in the
 $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu l \omega \nu \phi \delta \beta o \nu$. The common reading is тод $\epsilon \mu, 0 \nu$, which Hermann retains.
260. $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o u ́$ Xous $\theta \in o i ̂ s . ~ A s ~ i n ~ A g ~ 90 ~$ and Suppl. 996, a distinction is made between the gods of the city in particular,
 those of the district or region generally, who are called $\pi 0 \lambda เ \sigma \sigma o \hat{\chi} \chi \circ \iota \chi$ đ́pas, a term which however included both $\pi \in \delta \quad \delta \quad 0 \quad \delta \mu o t$, the rural gods, and thase of the city or acropolis. Hence in Suppl. 996 we find à atvdivaктas $\mu$ d́караs $\theta \in o ̀ ̀ s ~ \pi о \lambda t o u ́ \chi o ́ o u s ~ \tau \epsilon . ~$
262. $\delta \delta \alpha \pi i \quad \tau$ '. So Hermann from the
conjecture of Geel. The MSS. give os $\delta^{\circ}$ à $\pi^{2}$. L. Dindorf had hit upon a similar correction in v̌סaбl $\tau^{\circ}$. The restoration may be regarded as certain, $\tau 1$ and $\pi$ being often confused, as remarked on Suppl. 756.


 236.
267. $\sigma \tau$ é $\psi \omega$ upd $\nu a \omega ̂ \nu$. The majority
 $\dot{c} \gamma v o i s$ s $\delta \delta \mu o l s$, but in the Med. this verse
 mata. Two or three other MSS. give
 also recognises these words, it seems probable that $\lambda \alpha \dot{d} \phi$ upa $\delta a t \omega \nu$ is but a gloss of $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu \quad \varepsilon \sigma \theta \eta \mu a \tau \alpha$ which has crept into the text. Hermann however retains the less common word $\delta a t w y$ to the rejection of roגe $\mu l \omega \nu$. I formerly, with Dindorf, concluded that the two preceding verses were spurious, on the ground that
 $\theta \in o i s$ is a mere tautology; and Blomfield had condemned the former (264). Yet the verses sound Aeschylean, and may very well be a sort of periphrasis for

 oủ $\gamma$ áp $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \mu \grave{\eta}$ фúyns tò $\mu o ́ \rho \sigma \iota \mu o \nu$.


 $\pi \rho i ̀ \nu a ̉ \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda o v s ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi \nu \circ$ ós $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha \chi v \rho \rho o ́ \theta o v s$

 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \rho o \mu o s ~ \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a ́ s$.
'sacrificing both sheep and bulls.' By Soupin $\eta \kappa \tau a$ Hermann understands the enemies' clothes stuck on spears and hung up in front of the temples. On the practice of thus suspending warlike spoils see Ag. 562. Equit. 849. Phoen. 1481. The custom is not yet wholly extinct in the chivalrous trophies preserved in churches.
269. $\pi о \iota \phi$ र́y $\mu a \sigma t \nu$. The word (from
 163) expresses exclamations which are all sound and fury, blusterings and laboured threats. See Buttmann, Lexil. in v. $\pi$ ot$\pi \nu v \in t v$. Photius: $\pi 0 \hat{r} \cdot \hat{n} \chi$ os (with a lacuna). The root is said to be moíq, our word puiff.
 refers. ${ }^{2} \pi l$ to $\dot{e}^{e} \chi \theta \rho o \hat{s}$ in the next verse; but the simpler way is to construe $\bar{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$

 Hermann observes that Eteocles alludes to his own dire resolve of meeting his brother hand to hand. Blomf, and Dind.
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma d \lambda \eta \nu \tau \alpha \dot{k} t v$. Schol. recent. $\dot{\omega}_{s}$


274. $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi$ ขoùs, 'urgent.' Photius: $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi \nu \dot{d} s^{\prime} \tau \rho \alpha \chi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s\left(\mathrm{read} \tau \alpha \chi \not{ }^{\prime} s\right)$.
 them by the emergency,' i. e. excite them by the imminence of the danger. Cf. et
 à̀d́үкәs.
279. Left to themselves, during the
absence of Eteocles to appoint the chieftains to their respective posts, the chorus relapse into their former fear, and picture to themselves the horrors of a captivity which they believe imminent. The enemy is at hand and at the very gates; may the gods defend the land, and strike a panic in the invading host! It were sad that the Argive should lay the city in ashes and carry off the maidens in captivity; sad that they should be dragged from their homes before marriage. Plunder, burning, waste, and slaughter mix with the shrieks of infants. Death itself is better than these evils.
277. кapoías. A dissyllable as in Suppl. 68, if the reading of the antistrophe be right, which however Hermann alters to ékaф'́vтes è $\chi$ 日poîs. Rather perhaps we should restore $\delta$ ai̛ous for $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \theta$ poîs. See on Prom. 254.
280. $\lambda \in \omega \bar{y}$. This may be the accusative in apposition to $\tau \alpha{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \beta$ os, on the principle explained on Prom. 208, or may be governed by the sense of ك $\omega \pi$ upoṽat tapBos, 'enkindle my fear of the environ-

 Suppl. 525, where see the note.
281. $\lambda \in \chi \alpha i \omega \nu$. So Lachmann for $\lambda \epsilon \chi \notin \epsilon$ t. The Schol. must have found the true reading, for he has $\nu \in \mu о \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$

 Dind., with the Med., a reading peculiarly suited to the context, and probably taken

$\pi \alpha \nu \delta \eta \mu i \quad \pi \alpha \nu о \mu i \lambda i$
285
$\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi o v \sigma \iota \nu . \quad \tau i \not \gamma \in ́ v \omega \mu a \iota ;$

iánтоvбь $\pi$ о久íraıs
$\chi є \rho \mu \alpha \delta^{\delta}$ öкрьо́є $\sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ ．
 $K a \delta \mu \circ \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ р́v́ $\epsilon \sigma \theta$ ．
$\pi o \hat{o} \nu \delta^{\prime}{ }^{2} \mu \epsilon$＇i $\psi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ yaías $\pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \nu$
àvт．á．

тàv $\beta a \theta \dot{\chi} \chi \theta$ Oov aîà
295



T $\eta$ Ө́́os $\tau \epsilon \pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s$ ；
300
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ́ \delta ', ~ \hat{\omega} \pi 0 \lambda \iota o \hat{\chi} \chi \circ \iota$

$\pi v ́ \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ ảv $\delta \rho o \lambda \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \epsilon \rho a \nu$
$\kappa а i ̀ \tau a ̀ \nu ~ \rho i ́ \psi o \pi \lambda o \nu \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \tau a \nu$
 mann prefers the otiose epithet $\pi \dot{d} \nu \tau$ popos， omnino nutriens，sc．penitus nutrix，like тация̆т $\quad$ An Antig．1282．The MSS． authority is however in favour of $\pi d \nu$－ tpoфos，and even the Med．has $\phi$ written above the $\mu$ by the first hand．The Scholia recognise $\pi \dot{d} \nu \tau$ poфos alone，and both Tzetzes and Eustathius quote it from this passage．
284－7．тol $\mu e ̀ \nu$－тol $\delta E$ ．The be－ sieged and the besiegers．

286．$\pi i \not \gamma^{\ell} \nu \omega \mu \mu x ;$ Here，as in $\tau l \pi d \dot{d} \theta$ ， the aorist is used in the ancient epic sense for the future．It is evident that there can＇be no deliberation in either phrase． So Homer，Od．vi．201，oủk モั $\sigma \theta^{i}$ oîtos






 ถ̈̀тєs．

291．púe $\sigma \theta \in$ ．Perhaps púe $\sigma \theta a t$ ．On the quantity of the $u$ see Prom． 203. Supra 153．inf． 820.
202．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \in\{\psi \in \sigma \theta \in$ ．＇Will ye get in ex－
change．＇So ma入l $\mu \pi$ ， will get satisfaction，＇Cho．778．a $\mu \mathrm{f}$ i－
 for that，＇i．e．come over here，Suppl． 228. Schol．Med．àyti tov̂ nồo oikhoete
 sc．$\beta$ édtioy，z $\mu$ eivoy，an epic word．The notion is，that the gods migrating from a captured city（see 207）will not easily find a more genial land to inhabit．
294．Extpoïs．Perhaps סalots．See on 277．inf． 733.

300．T $\eta \theta$ Vios $\tau \in \pi$ taîdes．Schol．recent．




304．ral $\tau d \nu$ ．It seems safer to regard this as an exceptional use of the article， allowed for the sake of the metre，than to alter it to $\nu \delta \sigma o \nu$ with Dindorf，or ärav with Hermann，who complains that＂in－ eptissimum kal خ̀àv ferri non potest．＂ The pherecratean verse admits indifferently of a spondee，trochee，or iambic for the first foot，and the last syllable may be short or long at will ；thus ${ }^{2} \mu \beta a \lambda \dot{j} \nu \tau \in S$
 тais in 288．The article is defended by

${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \lambda o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ äpoь $\sigma \epsilon$ 305<br><br><br>óguyóous $\lambda \iota \tau a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$.<br> ${ }^{a} \gamma \rho a \nu$<br>$\left[\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}\right.$.<br>סov入íav, 廿aфapą̂ $\sigma \pi o \delta \hat{\omega}$<br><br><br><br><br>in $\pi \pi \eta \delta \grave{\partial} \nu \pi \lambda о \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \omega \nu$,<br>$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \rho \rho \eta \gamma \nu v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ фарє́є $\omega \nu$. ßoầ Sè кaì кєvounéva mólıs,<br>$\lambda a i ̈ \delta o s ~ o ̀ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu e ́ v a s$<br>$\mu \iota \xi_{0} \theta$ póov $\beta$ ареías<br>тоı $\tau \cup ́ \chi \alpha s$ s $\pi \rho о \tau \alpha \rho \beta \hat{\omega}$.<br> 

a similar passage in Pars. 637, Bápßapo
 $\beta \alpha \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$. It is however well worthy of remark that the Med. has кarapi4oтлор aGita by the first hand. -a $\tau \eta$ is here the infatuation or groundless panic of tossing away the shield in headlong flight.
 credit from the citizens here.' School.
 Blomfield remarks that the expression is from Homer, Il. iv. 95, $\pi \tilde{a} \sigma t \quad \delta \epsilon ́ \kappa \in \nu$

308. pitopes. Some word has been lost, perhaps ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \theta \in \tau^{2}$, which Dindorf ventures to insert. On є $\in \in \delta \rho o c$ see 94. For the dative $\lambda u \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ c f . ~ 133 . ~$
310. $\pi \rho o$ ödquat. IL. i. 3, $\pi \rho \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ \delta o ~$
 is an epithet applied even to the Egyptian Thebes, Prs. 37. On the meaning of the word see Fum. 989.
313. ar $\bar{\delta} \rho \partial_{s}$ 'Aरaıô. Cf. 28.
317. in in $\delta \delta \delta v$. The best comment on this word, which the Schol. wrongly ex-

 ar $\mu \pi \dot{u} \kappa \omega \nu$, 'forcibly dragged by the hair as
a horse is led by the rein' (or here, perhaps, 'by the forelock'). There is another Scholium in the Med., which is wrongly

 passage seems to have been had in view by Euripides, Phoen. 563,



318. $\phi \alpha \rho \epsilon \in \omega \nu$. A dissyllable, with the $\alpha$ short. So $\nu$ etas in 316 is a monosylcable, as in Eur. 339, Alcest. 486, where see Monk.
319. Boâ $\delta$ è hal revovuéva. So Her-
 better than the alternative of omitting $\delta \mathbf{\delta}$ in 332.
 - While the captives are being carried away with mingled lamentations.' So



 д̀дддицктор.
323. aptivpóois. This is the probable K k


$\beta \epsilon \quad \lambda \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \nu$.


ढ̀ŋे, $\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \hat{\eta} \tau \in \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$.


 $\mu a \iota \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \sigma$ о $\delta^{\prime}$ є̇ $\pi \iota \pi \nu \in \hat{\imath}$
$\lambda a o \delta \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \mu a s \mu \iota a i \nu \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \in \beta \epsilon \iota a \nu{ }^{*} A \rho \eta$ s.
 $\pi v \rho \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \tau \iota, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta ’ a ̉ \nu \eta ̀ \rho ~ * ~ \delta o \rho i ̀ ~ к a i \nu \epsilon \tau a v . ~$ $\beta \lambda a \chi a i ̀{ }^{\circ}$ ai ${ }^{i} \mu \alpha \tau о ́ \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu a \sigma \tau \iota \delta \dot{\delta} \omega \nu$
emendation of Schneider for à $\rho \tau \iota \tau \rho \delta \pi=\iota s$
 common reading, is retained by Dindorf and explained by the Schol. tais $\nu \in \omega \sigma \tau$
 kal ทiß $\beta$ ŋóáals. Hermann prefers the latter, "puellae quibus modo ab hostibus erepta est virginitas." Schol. raîs ăprt
 348. The objection to this seems to lie in the immediate repetition of the same metaphor in $\dot{\omega}^{\mu} \rho \delta \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega \nu$. Translate: ' For 'tis pitiable for those who have just reached maturity, before the marriage rites that cull the early flower of virginity, to pass on a detested journey away from their homes.'
326. $\tau l$ dap; This reading is suggested by both Hermann and Blomfield, but not adopted by the former, who gives with
 к.т. $\lambda .$, " quid enim opus est dicere, mortrum meliore conditione frui?" The common reading is $\tau i ; \tau \delta \nu \phi \theta i_{\mu}^{\prime} \mu \nu o \nu \gamma$ rà $\rho$ $\pi \rho о \lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \omega$, к.т.ג. The Schol. seems to have found $\tau l \gamma \alpha \rho$; which is the usual

 ऽढ̈̀тos. For yàp $\pi \rho 0 \lambda$ é $\gamma \omega$ the MS. Guelph. has $\gamma d \rho$ тot $\pi \rho \rho \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$. The use of $\tau l$ alone does not seem to occur elsewhere.
332. катиथิ $\delta$ f́. See on 319. Ag. 791.

 $\pi о \lambda \in \mu(\omega \nu$ ย̇пто.
333. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi เ \pi \nu \in \hat{i}$, 'fans the flame.' Antig.

 means the acts of sacrilege commonly attending the ransack of a city. Cf. Ag. 329. Pers. 806. So $\theta$ eò̀s $\mu$ raivet Heracl. 264.
336. $\pi p 6$ ot $8^{\circ}$. The MSS. have $\pi$ тot
 must be omitted Hermann long ago observed. By $\delta \rho \kappa \alpha ́ \nu \eta \pi и р \gamma \omega ิ \tau \iota s$ the poet seems to mean a wall or circumvallation erected by the besiegers and furnished with towers like that described Thuc. iii. 23. Photius, סркávך: $\delta$ тєрเモ́ $\chi \omega \nu$ тoî $\chi$ os ойฑ
 ing to the Schol. it signified a hunting net, which amounts to much the same thing, since beasts were inclosed within toils (à $\rho \kappa$ ú $\sigma \tau a \tau a$ ) which they could not leap over, Ag. 1347. Thus the metaphor will be as in Ag. 348, $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$ é $\pi$ l Tpoias
 field seems less accurate in explaining turris expugnatoria.
337. סopl calvetat. A word is wanting, which is not very easily supplied. Hermann gives à $\mu \phi l$ dopí. Robortello $\boldsymbol{\imath} \pi \dot{\delta}$ סopl, which does not satisfy the metre. Either $\delta o p l$ or кalyetal may have superseded some longer word.
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \iota \beta \rho \in \phi \in i ̂ s ~ \beta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu о \nu \tau \alpha l$.
${ }^{\alpha} \rho \pi a \gamma a i ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~$
$\xi \nu \mu \beta o \lambda \epsilon \hat{l} . \phi \in ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ф $\epsilon$ ¢́ $о \nu \tau \iota$,
каì кєขòs кєуò $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$,
ovैтє $\mu \epsilon$ ढio
349
$\pi а \nu \tau o \delta a \pi o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{~} \kappa \alpha \rho \pi o ̀ s ~ \chi a \mu \alpha ́ \delta \iota s ~ \pi \epsilon \sigma \grave{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau: \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\circ} \delta^{\circ}$ ảкрıто́фvртоs
रâs סórots oùtıסavoîs
è $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ potious форєîral.
341. ${ }^{2} p \tau \iota \beta p \in \phi \in i \bar{s}$. The Med. and others have d̀ $\rho \tau \iota \tau \rho \in \phi \in$ ís. The later Scholia recognise both readings. Hermann prefers the latter: "vagitum dicit infantium, quos modo matres occisae mammis admoverant." From the former epithet
 $\mu a \sigma \tau i \delta i \omega \nu$, which otherwise is without any definite substantive. 'The cries of bleeding newly-born infants at the breast resound.'
343. $\delta \iota i \alpha \rho o \mu a ̂ y$. Here $\delta \iota a ̀$ is a monosyllable, as in so many other passages of Aeschylus. See on Pers. 565. Schol.

 $\dot{\mathrm{a}} \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \bar{\eta} s \in \bar{i} \pi \varepsilon$. But $\delta \mathrm{ta} \mathrm{\delta} \delta \rho \mu \bar{\eta}$ is the running hither and thither to look for plunder.
344. $\xi v \mu \beta \circ \lambda \epsilon \hat{\text { in }}$ Hesych. $\xi \nu \mu \beta o \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$ $\xi_{v \nu \tau}{ }^{2} \chi^{d} \nu \in \epsilon$. This rare word is perhaps to be restored in Cho. 452, where the MSS. give $\xi \nu \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$, as several do in the present place. Compare the Homeric à $\nu \tau i \beta 0 \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$, Apoll. Rhod. has àjßo入eìv, iii. 1145.

349. тoîs êk $\tau \omega \bar{\mu} \delta^{3}$. This emendation is founded on Hermann's $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ èk $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\circ}$. The common reading is $\tau \ell \nu^{2} \epsilon^{2} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{2}$, but the Med. has $\tau \cdot{ }^{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{e} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime}$. Not only does this fail to satisfy the metre, but the sentiment is extremely tame, for the best sense that we can extract from it is this, ' What inference can we draw from the above, except that they desire more?'


 The construction is oйтє $\mu \in \hat{i} o \nu$ èncí $\nu \omega \nu$
 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon$. Hermann's emendation is intended to convey the same sense; but rois is simpler in syntax as well as nearer to the MSS. than $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, and moreover toîs èk $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ sounds better than $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ हो $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$. Translate: 'plunderer falls in with plunderer, and the empty-handed calls the empty-handed, wishing to have a partner, and both being desirous to get spoils neither less than nor (only) equal to what they may conjecture from these,' i. e. to get more than they suppose to have been carried off by those whom they meet.
350. таעтодалд̀s картб́s. He describes the reckless waste of corn and provisions attending the sacking of a captured city. Cic. de Div. i. § 69, ' Nam ex horreis direptum effusumque frumentum vias omnesque angiportus constraverat.'$\kappa \nu р \eta \sigma_{\alpha, ~}^{\kappa . \tau . \lambda ., ~ ' m e e t i n g ~ t h e ~ e y e, ~ t r u l y ~ n o ~}$ cheerful one, of the housewives.' Hermann's correction $\gamma^{\prime}$ for $\delta$ ' seems better than $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, which was proposed by Wellauer.
353. $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$, i. 七. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta$. Cf. Ag. 984,

 appears to mean the fruits of the earth which lie despised and neglected so thick upon the ground that the captors as it were wade through them.

## 

$\tau \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu o \nu^{\prime} \dagger \epsilon \dot{v} \nu \alpha ̀ \nu$ aỉ $\mu a ̀ \lambda \omega \tau o \nu$
è $\lambda \pi i ́ s$ є́ $\sigma \tau$
ขv́ктєрод тє́los $\mu$ олєiv，
$\pi \alpha \gamma \kappa \lambda a v i \tau \omega \nu \dot{a} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ モ̇ $\pi i \rho \rho o \theta o \nu$.

## 

 $\sigma \pi o v \delta \hat{\eta}$ ठь $\omega \kappa \kappa \omega \nu \pi о \mu \pi i \mu о v s \chi^{\nu}$ óas $\pi о \delta \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．



358．$\tau \lambda \alpha \mu 0 \nu^{2}$ є ${ }^{3} \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu$ ．This passage，as it now stands，can hardly be correct． The MSS．give $\tau \lambda \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon s$ or $\tau \lambda \eta \mu o v a s$ ， which Hermann formerly altered to $\tau \lambda d-$ $\mu o y^{\prime}$ ．But even this does not fully restore the metre，nor is there any thing to govern the accusative unless with the later Scholiast we make it depend on ev̉ruरoîvzos in the sense of $\kappa \tau \omega \mu$ évou．


 табаи̂тa keivuरoû $\mu \in \nu$ Ion 264，\＆$\delta^{\prime}$
 such cases it is more easy to supply the cognate eủrvर $\ddagger \mu a \tau a$ ，as Xen．Anab．vi．33，
 cùv $\begin{aligned} & \chi \eta \mu a \text { ．A similar idiom to the present }\end{aligned}$
 late：＇and the young maidens are filled with a new grief，because the enemy who has got the mastery is in the enjoyment of their unhappy captive bed．＇

362．עи́ктєроу т́́入os．Schol．Med．тѝ ע $\nu$ víкта $\pi є \rho \iota ф \rho \alpha \sigma \tau ⿺ \kappa \bar{\omega} s$ ．He appears to mean the night of death，like Homer＇s
 i．e．to relieve，＇our woful griefs．＇See sup．326．Hermann objects to this sen－ timent concluding the chorus without any direct connexion with the preceding，and gives an entirely different sense，which however I am unable to accept，with the serious alteration it involves，of aif $\sigma$ for ejvà $\nu$ in 358．He joins $\tau \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$ al $\chi \mu d, \lambda \omega-$ то̀ עÚктєроу тé̉os，＂miserum captivum nöcturnum officium，＇and explains d̀ $\lambda \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$

Ė $\pi$ ippootou with the later Schol．，＂adjuvans atque augens lacrymas．＂His difficulty about the want of connexion may be met by comparing the similar termination of the chorus in Eur．Suppl．86，oavovaa $\tau \omega \bar{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda y^{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega \nu \lambda a \neq o!\mu a \nu$ ．Blomfield＇s read－ ing of the whole passages is deserving



364．The messenger，who had left the stage at v .68 to watch the movements of the enemy，is now seen returning to report progress．At the same moment Eteocles approaches by the entrance on the other side．Hence the one party is seen by half the chorus，the other by the other half，viz．at the sides respectively the furthest from themselves．
366．סıєккш̄，＇plying．＇Cf．Eum．381，



368．eis àptiко入入ov．So Porson for eif＇，which Hermann retains．Schol．Med．

 $\mu \in \nu a$ ．Another Scholium（or possibly， two distinct Scholia），wrongly printed in continuation of the above，has ás $\tau a \hat{0} \tau a$


 ＇Peropportune adest ad audiendum，＇ Blomf．Compare és aùtù̀ caıp̀̀̀ Ajac． 1168．Ar．Av．1688．Cho．571， $8 \pi \omega s$ a $\downarrow$ d́pтікодлa $\sigma u \mu \beta a i p \eta$ тd́ $\delta \epsilon$ ．Every one knows that elot commonly has a future







$\mu \in \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho \iota \nu a i ̂ s ~ \kappa \lambda a \gamma \gamma a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} s \delta \rho \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \beta_{\circ}$ ą．
 баiveє $\mu o ́ \rho o \nu \tau є \kappa \alpha i \grave{\mu a ́ \chi} \mu \nu$ à $\psi v \chi i ́ a$.

 $\chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa \eta} \lambda a \tau о \iota \kappa \lambda a ́ h o v \sigma \iota ~ \kappa \omega ́ \delta \omega \nu \epsilon s$ фóßov．




sense：whether there are any undoubted instances of its present use，I am not aware．Hermann refers to his Opusc．ii． p．326．We might perhaps translate， ＇will soon be here to learn the close and accurate report of the messenger．＇

369．oùк àтартí̧єl，＇does not equalise，＇ i．e．does not allow his steps to follow each other in regular order．The idiom is the same as that illustrated on Suppl． 611．Compare àpтíтous，＇agile，＇Trach． 58．Il．ix．505，ḋ $\pi a \rho \tau l$ ，＇exactly，＇and àváp toos or à $\nu \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \sigma t o s, ~ ' u n c o u t h, ' ~ ' i r r e g u l a r, ' ~$ \＆c．

370．$\lambda$ ќ ${ }^{\prime}<\mu \mu^{\prime}$ д̀ $\nu$ ．Euripides ridicules the unseasonable prolixity of the mes－ senger in describing each warrior，Phoen．

 $\eta \mu e^{\prime} v \omega v$ ．But Aeschylus，as already re－ marked，wrote this play rather in the epic than the tragic tone．

376．$\mu \in \sigma \eta \mu \beta p ı \nu a i ̂ s . ~ S c h o l . ~ M e d, ~ \tau б ́ \tau \epsilon ~$
 the dragon is derived partly from the real habits of snakes basking in the sun，partly from an imaginary conception of a crea－ ture which utters a barking or screaming sound when irritated．See on Prom． 822.



какөìs $\beta a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ ，ibid．501，1244．The form $\theta$ eiveiv is defended by the majority of the
 305 and 420，өєıvouévov Cho．380．Her－ mann edits $\theta \in \nu \in!$ with the Med．Wher－ ever $\theta \in \nu \in \tilde{i v}$ occurs，it appears likely to be an aorist．Why Tydeus assails Amphi－ araus for cowardice，because he will not yet sanction the attack on Thebes，will appear very clearly from 567 seqq．and 605－10．The seer had never been favourable to an unjust expedition，of which Tydeus had been the real author （v．571）．Hence his reluctance；while （v．585）he meets the charge of cowardice by saying $\mu a \chi \omega^{\prime} \mu \in \theta^{\prime}, \& c$ ．，－fight if you will，for my fate is determined，which is not to die by arms．

 $\mu$ ópov；The word is properly used of a dog which deprecates its master＇s anger by blandishments．

380．т $\hat{\varphi}$ ．For $\alpha \dot{u} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ，not for robtø． See on Prom．242．The Med．alone gives $\delta^{2}$ żढे，but with $\gamma p . \tau \hat{\omega}$ by a later hand． It was the custom to carry jingling bells on the shield，perhaps affixed to the rim． Rhes．308，Горү⿳亠丷厂犬－толлоїбь $\mu \grave{\iota} \nu \kappa \dot{\omega}-$




 ö $\sigma \tau \iota s$ ß





 ä $\sigma \tau \rho о \iota \sigma \iota \mu \alpha \rho \mu a i ́ \rho о \nu \sigma a \nu$ ov̉ $\rho a \nu о \hat{v}$ кvрєiv,

$\mu \iota \dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \tau \nu$. The same grammarian observes that the field of the shield was sable
 $\dot{d} \sigma \pi$ ( $\delta a s$ ), with a circle of stars or, a larger one in the centre representing the moon; and that the sun shining full on these spangles flashed terror to the beholder.
386. á $\lambda \hat{v} \omega \nu$. Schol. Med. $\chi$ aip $\rho \omega$. Rather the word refers to $\mu a \rho \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \nu(375)$ and alludes to the folly of Tydens in opposing the advice of Amphiaraus to wait awhile. Cf. Hippol. 1177, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{t}$ тaùт² à $\lambda v^{v} \omega$; Hermann rightly retains $\hat{u} \pi \in \rho-$ $\kappa \delta \mu \pi o เ s$ against Blomfield and Dindorf who needlessly, not to say wrongly, edit $\dot{\text { iteprítors. No scholar need now be }}$
 котоs, and $\dot{\text { мпе́ } \rho к о т о s . ~ T h e ~ f i r s t ~ o c c u r s ~}$ also Pers. 344, ธंлє́ $\rho \kappa о \mu \pi о \iota ~ \tau d \chi \chi \in t$ ( $\nu \hat{\eta} \in s$ ).
 especial reference to the vaunting device on the shield ; cf. дंк $\dot{\mu} \mu \pi a \sigma \tau o s$, v. 533 ;


 $\epsilon \hat{j}$ is clearly the right reading, from $\kappa \dot{\delta} \pi \tau \omega$, after the analogy of $\pi$ apdкопоs, while ibid. 795 we have $\pi$ áyas viтepкб́tovs, 'resentful,' 'avenging toils.' So Herc.
 ป̇тєрко́тшs тд̀v $\sigma \delta \nu ;$ But Cho. 129, oi $\delta{ }^{\prime}$
 $\mu_{\epsilon} \gamma a$, i. e. 'overbearingly.'
387. $\pi a \rho$ ' ${ }^{2} \chi \theta a u s$, sc. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ ' $\sigma \mu \eta \nu o \hat{v}, ~ v . ~ 373 . ~$
388. $\mu$ evet. Not the verb, but the dative of $\mu^{\prime} \mathrm{y}$

 $\mu a i v \in L \nu \operatorname{kat} \dot{\alpha} \chi^{a \lambda \lambda \nu \omega ิ \nu}$ when he chafes and
pants against the bit that restrains him. Some MSS. (not the Med.) bave $\chi$ a入ı $\nu$ बิ $\delta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} s$, and one or two give $\mu \alpha \chi \eta s \delta^{\prime}{ }^{2} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, -in either case in consequence of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \in t$ being taken for a verb. In the next verse $\kappa \lambda v(\omega v$ is the conjecture of Tyrwhitt and Brunck for $\mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$, which seems to be an instance of the frequent error of repeating the concluding word of the line above.


 $\delta \rho \mu a i v \in \ell$, 'is restless,' 'frets,' see Ag.
 $\pi \in \sigma \omega \dot{\nu}$.






395. ขט́кта таúvๆข. The attraction of the antecedent to the relative is not common, though the converse is one of the most familiar idioms. Cf. Trach. 283,





397. Eyvola $\tau u v$, 'with a certain meaning.' The sense is, 'The picture of the nightly firmament may perhaps be prophetically significant to the bearer, and portend the night of death.' The above is the reading of Blomfield. Dindorf gives $\hat{\eta}^{\prime} \nu v o l a$. Hermann retains the reading of the Med. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ voitu. The other

 $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ тоь фє́ $\rho о \nu \tau \iota \sigma \hat{\eta} \mu{ }^{3}$ vi $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \kappa о \mu \pi о \nu \tau о ́ \delta \epsilon$
 $\kappa a u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \kappa \alpha \theta^{\circ}$ avizov̂ $\tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \delta^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \beta \rho \iota \nu \mu a \nu \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l$.
 тóv $\delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \tau \tau \tau \alpha ́ \xi \omega \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu \pi v \lambda \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$,
 $\tau \tau \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha, \kappa a \grave{~} \sigma \tau v \gamma \circ \hat{v} \nu \theta^{\prime}$ vimépфpovas $\lambda o ́ y o u s{ }^{\circ}$







 would be better perhaps, as suggested by J. Wordsworth, in the Phil. Mus. p. 220, to write $\dot{a}$ voía. So Sophocles, frag. 517,
 Compare a duola Trach. 349. à aola Androm. 521. $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \rho \rho o i a k u \theta 0 \hat{u}$ Soph. frag. 716. ¿ mapayola nal ả̀vaiठeía Aristoph. frag. 29. There are however two objections to the vulgate; first, it introduces rather awkwardly a new subject to $\gamma$ févoico in place of $\nu \dot{\nu}$, secondly, it leaves $\tau \omega \nu$ to be referred to Tydeus where there is not the slightest ambiguity as to the person meant.
 $\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha i, \mu d \nu \tau เ \nu \in!\xi \in l$, v. 397 . 'Shall make this insolence prophesy against himself.'
404. ai $\sigma \chi \chi^{d} \nu \eta s$, 'honour.' Dr. Donaldson (New Cratylus, p. 406) has remarked on the connexion in the Greek mind between ai $\delta \dot{\omega} s$ and eì ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ veia. See also Arnold on Thucyd. ii. 42. Eur. Suppl.
 $\phi \epsilon \rho \in$. For the phrase $\tau$ thầ $\theta \rho \delta \nu$ vov or $\beta \omega \mu \partial \nu \quad \Delta_{i k \eta s, ~ \& c ., ~ s e e ~ E u m . ~ 511 . ~ A g . ~}^{\text {. }}$ 375. Herodotus, . 67 , mentions $M \in \lambda \alpha{ }^{2} \nu$.


 the addition of $\tau \sigma \nu \delta \epsilon$ the poet evidently indicates the actual presence of the champion on the stage. Hence it seems likely that Eteocles is accompanied by his staff
during the whole of this scene.
406. $\alpha i \sigma \chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \delta s, s c . \dot{\alpha} \in \rho \gamma \dot{\partial} s \alpha i \sigma \chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$. The meaning is, he is wont to act bravely, but, like all truly brave men, to act at the same time honourably.
408. àveitaı. See Suppl. 262. The later Schol. explains d. $\nu \in \dot{\varphi} \phi \cup \sigma \epsilon \nu$, and the sense may be transitive, ' has his stock sprung from the Sparti.'一 $\kappa \alpha^{\prime} \rho \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ $\chi$ ćpros, ' a thorough native.' Schol. Med.

 $\omega \nu \dot{u} \mu \varphi$ кג́p $\tau \alpha$ inf. 655. The legend was, that only five of the heroes who sprung from the dragon's teeth (之imaptol) survived the conflict which arose amongst them.
410. $\Delta^{i} \kappa \eta$ д $\quad \mu \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$. Schol. Med. тঠ
 ті̀v $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \nu$. Hermann approves this; but $\delta \mu a i \mu \omega \nu$ is the nominative, like $\delta \mu a i \mu \omega \nu$

 The epithet is applied because he was himself aid $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\rho \gamma \dot{\rho} s_{\text {, }}$ v. 406, and the cause he undertook was a just one. It is not likely that the middle $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau$ é $\lambda \lambda \in \tau \alpha$, is a mere synonym of $\sigma \tau \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \epsilon$. The sense seems to be, 'Justice has him sent out to the war,' i. e. he goes as the champion of Justice, and on her mission. See the note on $\pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \mu \in ́ \nu \alpha$, Pers̀. 136. eip $\rho \in l \nu \mu \eta \tau \rho l$, not from, but for his native land, on the principle of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \in \epsilon \nu, \tau \mu \omega \rho \in \hat{L}$ тthe.

#   $\phi i ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ 415  






 $\kappa \alpha i ̂ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda ু o \nu \tau o ́ s ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu, ~ o v ̉ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \Delta i o ̀ s ~$



 $\phi \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \delta \in ̀ ~ \lambda a \mu \pi \alpha ̀ s ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu e ́ \nu \eta$.




 who perish in behalf of their countrymen.' Hermann thinks there is a confusion between two constructions, $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \omega$ vị̣èp



 $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ d $\lambda \lambda 0$ S Suppl. 216, and the note. Tydeus, so far from being himself a giant, was, according to Homer, II. v. 801,
 expression ${ }^{\circ} \delta \mathrm{E}$ does not, of course, imply actual presence, but as it were mentally points at one man to distinguish him from another.
424. द̇ктоঠ̀̀ $\nu \sigma \chi \in \theta \in i ้ \nu$. 'That not even the opposition of Zeus, darting in lightnings upon the ground, shall keep him away.' It is best to take elplv $\Delta \omega d s$ for
 of the aorist (the MSS, as usual give $\left.\sigma \chi \chi^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \omega \nu\right)$ see Prom. 685. Hermann edits ${ }^{2} \mu \pi \pi \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ with several MSS. and the Schol.

Med., "Neque se Jovis iram impedimenti loco habiturum." He thinks the other and usual explanation "multo durior." It is a matter of opinion. The Scholiast, it should be observed, understood $\sigma \chi \in \theta \in \hat{i u}$ for $\gamma \in \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
 said $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \xi v \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$, but from the difficulty of finding such a person he changes the construction to an interrogation. $-\mu \bar{\eta}$ тpteras is an example of a rare use of $\mu \eta$ with a participle. The not trembling is regarded as a condition of withstanding the boastful foe, i. e. no one who does tremble will venture to meet him. Isocrat.


 $\nu 0 \mu i \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu ;$ I formerly suspected we should read $\gamma v \hat{\omega} \theta_{c}$ for $\pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \varepsilon$, comparing 647 with 465 , from the latter of which $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \varepsilon$ may have been wrongly written in the present verse.
432. каl т甲̂ठє кє́p $\delta \in!$. Schol. Med.










 фєре́ $\gamma \gamma v o \nu$ фрои́р $\mu \mu$, , тробтатпрías


 $\kappa \epsilon \rho a v \nu o v ̂ ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \mu \iota \nu ~ \beta \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi ा \iota \sigma \chi \epsilon ́ \theta o \iota, ~$




 रaf wrong. Hermann says," spectat ad praegressam Eteoclis orationem. Hoc (huic) lucro, quad hic jactator est, accedit aliud, quod ipśa illa jactatione Jovis ixam provooabit :" which is nearly the explanation of Schütz. Blomfield takes кai $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \bar{\sigma}$ separately, 'In the case of Capaneus as well as that of Tydeus we have gain upon gain,' i. e. each hears a symbol that will tell apainst himself as an omen, besides the discomfiture which is likely to result from pride. The only objection is the ambignity of the construction.
 words are the surest evidence by which they are convicted of pride; i. e. if they are proud at heart, their language is sure to show it.
435. ঠра̃д тарєбкєvaбнévos. ‘Having made up his mind to carry his words into effect,' i. e. resolved that they shall not be mere boasts.
436. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \gamma \nu \mu \nu \dot{d} \zeta \omega \nu$. 'Exercising his
mouth in a groundless exultation,' i. e. in a false confidence of victory.- $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu \dot{\alpha}$,

 к.т. $\lambda .$, supra 426.
442. бто́ларүós è $\sigma \tau t$, sc. Capaneus. Cf, 438.
444. фєрє́ $\gamma \gamma$ vev фрои́рŋиа. Schol. Med,
 plural evuolats see. Suppl. 483. Artemis, as the same Scholiast remarks, was the patroness of Thebes, and Polyphontes was her priest. Hence she has the epithet трогтат $\quad$ ía, 'tutelary,' as Pboebus is

 invoked together with Artemis in the opening chorus.
 Hermann inserts $\mu^{3}$ after ${ }^{\ell} \delta \omega \lambda i \omega \nu$, but the pronoun is readily understood, especially after ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \delta \nu . \quad$ For ${ }^{\delta} \delta \omega \lambda i \omega \nu$ see Cho. 62.
453. 'Eт ${ }^{\text {² }}$ de $\lambda \varphi$. This hero, in place of whom Adrastus is enumerated among the seven chiefs, Phoen. 1134, is mentioned by


 $\delta \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{,}, \theta \in \lambda o v ́ \sigma a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a u s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu a l$.



 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \chi \epsilon \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \dot{\prime} \rho \gamma o \nu$, ék $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma a \iota ~ \theta e ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.

 $\kappa a \grave{~ \tau} \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \phi \tau i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \quad \phi \epsilon \rho \in ́ \gamma \gamma v o \nu$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ à $\pi \epsilon i ́ p \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ סoúh $\epsilon \circ \nu$ 乌̆vyóv.



Euripides, Suppl. 872, with a eulogy for his modesty and contempt for riches.
455. N $\eta$ ï $\sigma \tau a ı \sigma l$. This reading has been restored by Dindorf and Hermann from the Med., in which a is partially erased by a later hand. See Phoen. 1104. It is not perhaps a matter of certainty that this is, after all, the true orthography. It is reasonable to suppose that the Nôital rúnat were so called after the Egyptian Neith, or Pallas, as (sup. 152) the Oncaean gates were from the Phoenician goddess. Pausanias too derives this latter form, on the information of the Thebans themselves,-which however was in all probability of no particular value,-from the string in Amphion's lyre called $\nu \eta \tau \eta$, or the highest, lib. ix. 8, 3.
456. $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \grave{a} \mu \pi$. ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \beta$., 'snorting in their head-gear.' There seems no good reason why we should explain the word $\chi$ datuoîs with the Schol. Med. See the note on á $\mu \pi \nu \xi$, Suppl. 425, and compare ${ }^{2} \mu \pi \nu \kappa$ -
 $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \epsilon \in \alpha$, , 'ready to fall at the gates,' i. e. to die in the attack. This seems more correct than the usual interpretation, ' eager to fall upon (or against) the gates,' contrary to the sense both of $\theta \in \in \lambda \omega$ and of $\pi \rho o s$ with the dative.
458. фцuol. This was a sort of mouthpiece or nozzle, so contrived that it sounded with the horse's breath. It was either a funnel-shaped appendage to the
bit, or a short pipe inserted in each
 we may infer that the invention was eastern. Cf. Frag. 343 (ed. Herm.), ôs

 thius and Hesychius explain them as in-


462. $\sigma$ Teí $\chi \in$. The idiom is the same as $\sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\chi} \chi^{2}$ à $\nu \eta p o ́ \tau o u s$ रúas Prom. 727, where see the note. The picture represented a man on the top of a scaling ladder, which ladder reached up, or led the way, $\pi \rho \delta s$
 Ares himself shall not hurl him from the tower he thinks he has already won. Similarly King Aprias vauntingly declares (Herod. ii. 169), $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \neq \nu \theta \in \delta \nu \mu \nu \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{v} \nu a$
 oūtos, i. e. as well as Capaneus, v. 423.
468. т $\epsilon \in \pi \kappa \mu \pi \tau a$. The MSS. add ou before $\kappa \delta \mu \pi \sigma \nu$, and some give $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau^{2}$ or $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \in \tau^{\prime}$. I formerly inclosed ov̀ in brackets; Hermann has ejected it, after Erfurdt on Ajax, p. 514. He also removes the stop usually placed at the end of the preceding verse. Translate, 'and indeed there is already sent one who bears his vaunting (not on his tongue but) in action.'
 $\delta p a_{i}, \mu o \nu$. There is a similar instance of the intrusion of ov arising from a mis. conception of the sense, inf. 1041.
 ôs oữ $\frac{\mu \alpha ́ \rho \gamma \omega \nu ~}{i \pi} \pi \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu$ ф $\rho v a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 $\alpha \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \theta a \nu \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \circ \phi \epsilon i \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \quad \chi$ Өov̀,
 є̇ $\lambda \omega ̀ \nu \lambda \alpha \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \circ \iota s \delta \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ коб $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \iota \iota \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ́ s . ~$

 "Oүкаs 'A $\theta$ ávas, छ̀̀v $\beta$ о̂̂ $\pi \alpha \rho i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha, ~$
 ä $\lambda \omega$ ठє̀ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i ́ \delta o s ~ \kappa v ́ к \lambda о \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega, ~$

 ö $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \tau o ́ \delta \delta^{\prime} \notin \gamma \sigma \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta \iota$,
472. $\tau \rho \propto ф \in \hat{i} \alpha, \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$. In $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \tilde{\nu} \nu$ there is an allusion to the ${ }^{\text {Ep}}$ pavo or sub-scription-clubs, whose members were called $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau a l$ (Dem. Mid. p. 547). It is as if he had said, " he will either pay up the cost-money of his education by his blood, or" \&c. Similarly Pericles says (Thucyd. ii. 43), кal $\delta \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa a l \pi \epsilon i p a$ тov


 Isocrat. Archidam. p. 138, init. таракале́-
 $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{p}$ тarpíit. Lysias, Epitaph. p. 197, 70,
 Ion 852, à àoঠoùs трофєía.
473. $\delta \delta^{\prime} \not \approx \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \kappa a i \quad \pi б \lambda t \sigma \mu a$. Schol.



475. к $\delta \mu \pi \alpha \zeta^{\prime} \quad \epsilon \pi^{\prime} \sharp \lambda \lambda \omega$. Schol. Med.

476. eìvuxiav. I have ventured thus to correct the vulgate eivuxeiv, which plainly violates the metre. There seems very little probability in Hermann's $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon$

480. Zє̀̀s $\nu \in \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \rho$. Schol. Med. $\delta \pi \alpha ̂ \nu$ ठ̇avé $\mu \omega \nu$.
482. "Oүкаs 'AOávas. The gates were called 'Oүкaiat from being near the statue or temple of Ogga or Onca, the Phoenician representative of Pallas. Cf. 496. sup. 152.
483. ${ }^{\text {' }} \pi \pi \pi \sigma \mu$ ह́боутоs. On the metrical licence see Cho. 1038.
484. á $\lambda \omega$. The disk or orb of the shield; our word halo. This is perhaps the primary meaning, the secondary one of 'threshing floor' being derived from the circular form of the area which is still commonly used in Italy and Spain for that purpose. The Schol. Med. appears to understand not the shield itself, but the circle described as the bearer whirled it, round and round with his extended arm. But this arose from a misconception of


 was no cheap or ordinary draughtsman;
 Ar. Av. 805.

Tvф $\omega \imath^{\prime}{ }^{i} \in \nu \tau \alpha \pi \nu p \pi \nu o ́ o \nu ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha$,


лробךঠа́фьбтац коь入оүа́бтороs ки́клоv.

$\beta \alpha \kappa \chi_{a}^{a} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \kappa \eta ̀ \nu, ~ \Theta v i a ̀ s ~ \omega ิ s, ~ \phi o ́ ß o \nu ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \nu . ~$














489. alód $\lambda \eta \nu \pi v p \partial s \kappa$ кd $\sigma t \nu$. 'The fickering brother of fire.' On the true sense of aib̀ios see Suppl. 322. Compare кóvis тทํoû кd́бts Ag. 477.
490. кÚтos к.т. $\lambda$. 'The bulging convexity of the hollow shield has a ground affixed to it by being surrounded with wreathes of serpents.' He uses $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \delta \alpha-$ $\phi i \zeta \epsilon / \nu$ to express the attachment of the snaky border by nails or pivots, as inf. 537. Probably the dative $\pi$ तeктávals depends on $\pi \epsilon \rho\left(f_{\rho \rho o \mu o \nu ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~}^{\pi \rho o \sigma-}\right.$ ทód́фıarat. The Schol. recent. explains



 $\mu$ е́vous.
492. auj $\delta \delta s, i$. e. the bearer of the shield, not the figure pictured on it.-Baкхâ

495. $\phi \dot{\beta} \beta$ os $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ 豕 $\delta \eta$. 'For rout is already being boastfully predicted at the gate.'
496. $\pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \mu e ́ v . ~ O u r ~ f i r s t ~ a n d ~ p r i n . ~-~$
cipal security will be in the protection of a goddess who will not tolerate pride; our secondary trust, in the valour of Hy -
 urbi proxima sit, тробтaтทpla sup. 445.

 a rare sense of nardे, applied to persons. It properly signifies, 'to stand opposite to, or over against him in the fight.' Cf.
 - $\theta$ é ${ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$, 'willing to ascertain his fate at the call of Fortune' (when Chance requires him). Cf. $\theta \in \lambda o t \sigma \alpha s \pi \rho \partial s=\pi 6 \lambda \pi u s$ $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \in ́ v a \iota$ sup. 457. Suppl. 374, д̊ $\overline{\text { ärai }}$

503. 'E $\rho \mu \hat{\eta} s$. The god of luck in drawing lots. Cf. Ar. Pac. 365 and Schol. ibid.
504. éx $\chi \rho d s$ sáp. ' For not only is our champion hostile to the hero with whom be will engage, but they will bring into the conflict gods who are at war with each other, Zeus and Typho, painted upon their shields.'

|  |
| :---: |



єi Zєús $\gamma \epsilon T \nu ф \hat{\omega}$ картєрш́тєроs $\mu$ á $\chi n$,





 $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi v \lambda a ̂ \nu ~ \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda a ̀ \nu$ lá $\psi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. 520
 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha \chi \theta \in ́ \nu \tau a ~ B o \rho p a i ́ a \iota s ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a \iota s$,
508. $\sigma \tau a \delta a \hat{\imath} 0 s$. See on Pers. 242. The sense probably is, 'in the act of brandishing a torch after the fashion of a spear.' But the word also conveys an omen of victory (inf. 514) in the idea of 'standing firmily,' 'not disposed to fly.' See on $\mu \alpha ́ \kappa a p \in s ~ \in U ̈ \in \delta p o t ~ s u p . ~ 94 . ~$
509. койта тis. 'And surely no one has ever yet seen Zeus inferior in fight.'
 уькюцеуаs Suppl. 982. Compare with this passage Eur. Heracl. 349—53,
$\delta_{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho$,
т $\boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\chi}$ єiv.

Dindorf incloses in brackets, as spurious, the whole passage from 510 to 515. Hermann agrees with him that the four concluding verses of the speech are interpolated, and even condemns this. I cannot discover a shadow of a ground for the suspicion.
510. todáde $\mu$ éytol. 'Such however (i. e. such then) is the favour of the deities, Pallas and Zeus, on our side, Typho on that of the other.' He uses - $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \varphi \tau 0 \quad$ to resume the argument after the parenthetical verse койтн к.т.入., and continues thus by the exegetical $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, ,'That is, we are on the side of the conquerors
(Zeus), they on that of the defeated (Typho); and it is to be expected that the rival heroes will come off in the fight like the gods they bear ( $\pi \rho \alpha^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \tau \nu \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ ), since Zeus is stronger than Typho in the contest, and the same Zeus, depicted on the shield, will prove a protector to Hyperbius, in accordance with the device he bears.' It is hardly necessary here to defend the construction $\epsilon i \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \nu 0 \tau^{\prime}$ t. $\nu$ (which is illustrated on Ag. 903), because el $\gamma \epsilon$ stands for $e^{2} \pi \varepsilon$ l rather than for $\epsilon$. - In one or two MSS. vv. 512, 513, are transposed. In the Med. 512 is wrongly written after 514, but letters are prefixed to each verse, shewing the right order.

 $\sigma$ б $\mu a \pi t$. For Zeus Soter was painted on the shield. There is a similar play on $\nu \mathrm{v} \xi$, sup. 397.
518. סaifovos. So Brunck from the

 Saluova, The MSS. agree in סaluogty. Some ancient corrector wished to adapt the construction to $\ddot{\partial} \phi \iota \lambda o \nu$ or $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \partial \dot{\nu}$, misled by Bporoîs $\tau \in \kappa$ кil $\theta \in o i ̃ \sigma \nu$.
522. Boppaiats. So Porson for Boppéats
 against,' 'opposite to ;' cf. 500. Frag.
 à $\theta \lambda$ lou $\Lambda i ́ \chi \alpha$.
 oै $\mu \nu v \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime} \alpha \mathfrak{\imath} \chi \mu \eta े \nu, \hat{\eta} \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \quad \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ $\sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \theta \grave{\omega s}$ ỏ $\mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \theta^{\prime}$ v́тє́ $\rho \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$,
$\hat{\eta} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ aै $\sigma \tau v K \alpha \delta \mu \epsilon i \omega \nu$ ßíá
 $\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i ́ \pi \rho \omega \rho о \nu, \alpha ̉ \nu \delta \rho o ́ \pi \alpha \iota s$ ảvท́ $\rho$.




 тò $\gamma$ à $\rho$ тó $\lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ oै $\nu \epsilon \iota \delta o s$ ẻv $\chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa \eta \lambda a ́ \tau \varphi ~}$ $\sigma \alpha ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota, ~ \kappa v \kappa \lambda \omega \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau о s \pi \rho о \beta \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau$,


 $\dot{\omega} s \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \tau^{3} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ ả $\nu \delta \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \delta^{\circ}$ íá $\pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \beta \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$.
 $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \hat{s} \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ́ \theta o v \delta^{\circ}$ oủ ката兀б $\chi v \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi o ́ \rho o \nu$,
 mann's improved punctuation, the comma being usually placed after é $\chi \in L$ and aür $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$ being understood after $\sigma \in \in \in \epsilon \nu$. ' He swears by his spear, which he has the confidence to hold in more honour than the god, and to value more than his own dear eyes, that' \&c. Hermann has probability in his favour in restoring Bia $\delta o p d s$ for $\beta$ la $\Delta i d s$ in 527, from Rob. and three MSS. For this was the very point of swearing by his spear, viz. that by the might of that spear he would take the city. Cf. sup. 47. Whereas Bía $\Delta i \partial s$, 'in spite of Zeus,' is repeating a sentiment already attributed to two Argive heroes, Capaneus and Eteoclus, 423, 464.


533. aкб $\mu \pi \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma s$, ' without a vaunting device.' See on 386.
$536-7$. The figure of the Sphinx was of metal, embossed or hammered out (éккроибтоу), burnished on the outer side ( $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \partial \nu$ ), and tacked or rivetted to the shield.
538. vi申' avivरी, i. e. as a bird carries its prey in its talons. Phoen. 808, a


 that was likely to provoke the Thebans to discharge their weapons at Parthenopaeus more especially; and the device is described as a daring challenge on his part. Hermann takes $\dot{\omega}$ s $\pi \lambda \in \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \alpha$ together, and understands à $\nu \delta \overline{\rho l} \tau \bar{\phi} \delta \varepsilon$ not of Parthenopaeus but of the Theban; and so also Schütz with the later Scholiasts, who mistook $\beta$ É $\lambda \eta$ for the claws of the Sphinx, The other explanation seems to me far simpler and more appropriate. It is strange that Hermann should deny that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{s}}$ can stand here for $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon$. See Suppl. 970.979.
 do a small business in fighting;' to fight by wholesale. Compare à $\pi \dot{\partial}$ бтрatelas $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon і \sigma \tau a ~ \grave{\jmath} \mu \pi о \lambda \eta \kappa \delta \partial \tau a$, Eum. 601.
541. katau$\chi \chi \nu \epsilon \hat{\text { ins }}$ So Hermann with the Med., but by a conjecture made before he was aware of its true reading. His


#### Abstract

  $\pi u ́ \rho \gamma o u s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon i ̂ ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ \sigma \delta^{\circ}$ à $\mu \grave{\eta}$ краívoı $\theta \epsilon$ о́s. ET. єỉ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ тú $\chi o \iota \in \nu ~ \AA ̀ \nu ~ \phi \rho o \nu o v ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu . ~$     " $A \kappa \tau \omega \rho$, à $\delta \in \lambda \phi$ òs $\tau о \hat{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho o s ~ \lambda \in \lambda \in \gamma \mu \in ́ v o v$.      555 $\pi \nu \kappa \nu о \hat{v} \kappa \rho о \tau \eta \sigma \mu \circ \hat{v} \tau \nu \gamma \chi \chi^{\alpha} \nu 0 v \sigma^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \frac{̀}{\pi} \pi \tau o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.


reason is that the two infinitives ought to have been connected by oúde rather than by $\delta$ où. On the metrical licence in Парөєvoтаios see sup. 483.
543. èॄєरlywy нa入d̀s tpoфd́s. 'Repaying to Argos her care in bringing him to comely manhood.' He refers to кad$\lambda i \pi \rho \varphi \rho \circ \nu$ in 528. Eur. Suppl. 888,

## 

 रóvos,ПарӨєуoтaîos, єỉ̉os êkoхต́тatos,
 $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \in \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \tau \alpha l$ кат ${ }^{3}$ "Ap
Phoen. 1153, ठ $\delta$ ' 'Apкג̀s, oủk 'Apreios, 'Ata入ádrys $\gamma$ bvos. According to the Schol. Med. àкov́vıov фóvov ópáбas ó
 account in the text it would seem that he came when a mere boy.
545. el yàp rúzocev. 'Would that they may obtain from the gods what they meditate against us! Truly they would then perish utterly and miserably with all those unhallowed vaunts.' aù Toîs
 Prom. 229.
 no true Argive, but a $\mu$ étokos, as just above described. There is something of contempt in the use of the article. To an Atheniaṇ mind the suspicion of $\xi \in p l a$ was no light reproach.-ұколтоs, ' without boast-
ful words.' From v. 551 it is clear that he is not now speaking of the device on his

 The usual antithesis between ${ }^{\text {Ep }} \rho \mathbf{\gamma a}$ and $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota, \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, is implied.
550. $\tau o \bar{v} \pi \alpha ́ p o s ~ \lambda \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o v$, i. e. Hyperbius.
552. à $\lambda \delta a(\nu \in \tau \nu$ кака́. See 180-1. - Actor will not allow the boastful tongue (527) of Parthenopaeus, unattended by deeds, to increase the mischief by finding its way into the city, nor will he suffer him who bears the hateful Sphinx on his shield to enter the gates, but remaining outside it (the Sphinx) shall have reason to complain of him who is endeavouring to carry it in, when it meets with repeated battering under the walls of the city.' The meaning of Eteocles is, that though Parthenopaeus is a boaster (524) rather than a man of deeds, still his proud words may cause a panic if he once enters the city; and his device of the Sphinx will serve better for a target without the walls, than to bring shame and terror to the people within.
555. ${ }^{\kappa} \xi \omega \theta \in \delta^{\prime}$. The MSS. have $\mathfrak{E} \xi \omega \theta \in \nu$ or ${ }_{\xi}{ }_{\xi} \omega \theta \in \nu$ ס'. I think Hermann's emendation is more probable than Porson's ' $\xi \omega \theta \in \nu$. In $\pi \nu \kappa \nu о \hat{v} \kappa \rho о т \eta \sigma \mu о \hat{v}$ there is an evident reference to 539 , $\omega s \pi \lambda \epsilon \bar{i} \sigma \tau^{\prime}<\epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$


#  


 $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ ála $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \gamma$ óp $\omega \nu$ к $\lambda$ úєє





 т̀̀ $\alpha$ à $\nu \rho о ф о ́ \nu \tau \eta \nu, \tau \grave{o} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau а р а ́ к т о р а, ~$

 570

557. \&̀ $\nu \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \dot{U} \sigma a \mu \mu^{\prime}$, i. e. \& ${ }^{2} \lambda \eta \theta \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha, \mu{ }^{\prime}$ av. So I formerly corrected the vulgate ${ }^{\ddagger} \nu$ or $\delta \quad \not{ }^{\prime} y$. Hermann has made the same emendation; but he connects $\theta \in \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\theta \in \lambda b \nu \tau \omega y$ with the preceding verses. Cf.

 $\mu \in \theta \alpha$.

558, $\lambda$ bros. The Schol. Med. refers this to the boasting words of the enemy; the later Scholiast to the account given by the messenger of Parthenopaeus, which seems more correct.
560. $\kappa \lambda \hat{v} \in \varepsilon$. So I before edited for $\kappa \lambda \lambda^{\prime} w y$. The confusion is very frequent,
 conversely $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ for $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu$ ibid. 174.

 Hermann, a reading probable in itself, but attended with this objection, that it is not easy to account for the corruption, since no one would have deliberately altered $\kappa \lambda \nu o u ́ \sigma a$ into $\kappa \lambda \dot{u} \omega \nu$ to agree with $\pi \lambda \dot{\kappa} \kappa \alpha \mu \mathrm{s}$. For the construction, which is
 stands on end to hear'), it is hardly neces-


567. Tuó́ws bíav. Between Tydeus and Amphiaraus words had already been exchanged, sup. 377. The prophet is here represented as engaged in the Argive expedition against his better judgment, and as inveighing against Tydeus as the cause of all the evil. For Tydeus had
married the daughter of Adrastus and persuaded him to make war against Thebes. He calls him murderer, for he had fled to Argos to be absolved from the slaughter of Alcathous and Lycopeus; the inciter of the citizens to war ; the ill-adviser of mischief to Argos and Adrastus; the person who calls into action the dormant curse of Oedipus that his sons should share the kingdom with the sword; and, lastly, the priest or minister of death (iepeis "Atas, Ag. 715). He even assails with reproaches the leader of the expedition, Polynices, and asks him how he can dare to invade and ravage his own country (581). In all this his justice and disinterestedness are manifest. It is not for himself that he cares, for he knows that he will die there, and that to die will be gain (583); but he cannot approve of brother matched against brother, and of a Theban bringing a foreign army against his own country. He abstains from vaunting devices on his shield (587), and yet he is more truly to be dreaded than all the rest, because the god-fearing are themselves to be feared, as the poet finely concludes, 592.
571. Rou入єurhplou. Not the substan. tive, but for $\beta$ ou入evtiк $\delta \nu$. There is some probability in Hermann's suggestion, that these four verses (568-71), which are even more applicable to Polynices than to Tydeus, should come after 573 , so as to depend on $\kappa a \lambda \epsilon i$, which at present only means ' he calls Polynices by name.'
 є’ $\xi v \pi \tau \iota a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ ̈ $\mu \mu a, ~ \Pi о \lambda v \nu \epsilon i ́ c o v s ~ \beta i ́ a \nu, ~$

 ${ }^{5} H$ тоîov є̈руод каì $\theta \in о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota ~ т р о \sigma ф и \lambda е ̀ s, ~$




572. This verse is corruptly read in the
 $\phi \in \delta \nu$. Dobree conceived that in $\pi \rho \delta s$ the old reading marpos was concealed, and that $d \delta \in \lambda \phi \delta_{F}$ had been transposed and changed into the epic $\dot{x} \delta=\lambda \phi \in \delta \nu$ from an attempt to patch up the verse. The Schol, vainly endeavours to explain $\pi \rho \neq \sigma_{0}$

 which seems no improvement on Dobree's emendation. In the next verse bupta for gropa is due to Schütz. The words are often confused, as in Cho. 230, where the
 Besides that ésurvidéety byour has no intelligible sease in itself, the repetition of тoйvoua in the very next verse is quite conclusive against it. The use of $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \mathrm{y} v$ $\tau \kappa \alpha\} \epsilon L$ is rare: Lucian once or twice employs it to express a proud mien, as




 pos. Translate, "And then in twrn, uplifting his eye in reference to the fate of his father (Oedipus), and twice reproxchfully dividing the name at the conclusion of his address, he calls your brother Polynices, and speaks as follows."
 means, that he erded his words by $\bar{\sigma}$ Modbveikes toduveices, So Schol. Med. (except that he took $\delta i s$ for $\delta i ́ \chi \alpha$ ), eis $\delta$ to



 " non videtar dubitari posse quin corruptum sit $\delta \hat{i}_{5} \tau^{2} \epsilon_{y} \tau \in \lambda \in v \tau \eta \eta, "$ and be reads
 probably from its use in this passage in
the strict sense of 'dividing;' but with the secondary notion of 'reproaching,' came to be a favourite word with the tragic writers in the latter sense, e. g. Trach. 791. Herc. 218; and in that of mentioning or celebrating, Aeschylus himself so used it, frag. 184 (Herm., 281 Dind.) and Soph. Oed. Tyr. 205.
576. nal $\theta$ enita. This is said, of course, in irony: 'Truly such a deed is acceptable even to the gods (1. e, not only to your fellow-citizens), and honourable for posterity to hear and speak of,' \&ec. By so understanding kal $\theta \in b l \sigma t$, we avoid the difficulty of supposing tical - te ann be used indifferently for $\tau \in-\kappa \circ d$ in connecting two terms. See on Suppl. 742. The few apparent exceptions (see Linwodd on Eum. 75) seem capable of the same acceptation.
 siderable obscurity in this verse. Hermann says, "M $\eta \tau \rho \delta s \pi \eta \gamma \eta \eta \nu$ dicit maternum fontem, ex quo quis natus est. Itaque ree eo redit, ut dicat, quemadmodian matris caedes injusta est, ita injusta est expugnatio patriae. Recte se habet ris סícๆ, quae justitia matrem extinguet, i. e. quis juste matrem suam occidst? Recte etiam $\mu \eta \pi$ tós $\tau \in$ et $\pi a r \rho / s t \in$ dicta sunt, opposita et per illa duo $\tau \in$ comparationem indicantia." The ordinary interpretation is, 'what plea of justice (or what subsequett vengeance) shall stop yotur mother's tears ?' By $\mu \eta \tau \rho \delta s$ some, with the Schol. Med., understand his country, others his mother Jocasta, Herد mann's view is, I think, liable to several objections; and one is, that whereas $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \beta \in \nu \nu y \nu \alpha c$ is used both of tears and of the waters of the sea, Ag. 861.931, it is strangely employed of patting out a lifegiving source, where the latter expression is $m$ mere periphrasis for a person. It

# $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ s \tau \epsilon \gamma \alpha i a ~ \sigma \eta ̂ s ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ \sigma \pi o v \delta \eta ̂ s ~ \delta o \rho i ̀$ 





 $\pi \alpha ́ \gamma \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa о \nu, \eta v ̈ \delta \alpha . \quad \sigma \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ ои̉к $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \hat{\eta} \nu \kappa$ ки́к $\lambda \omega^{\cdot}$
 $\beta \alpha \theta \in i ̂ a \nu$ ä入ока $\delta$ ià фрєンòs картои́иєขоs，


appears simpler to explain $\pi \eta \gamma خ ो \mu \eta \tau \rho \delta s$ of Jocasta＇s tears，in allusion to her suicide when the fate of her sons was decided，Phoen．1434－57．So vóтtot mayal of tears，Prom．410．Polynices carried on his shield the device of Justice conducting him back to his city（643）， and Amphiaraus seems to mean that such a consideration will not console a mother for the loss of a son．
 $\gamma$ dे $\rho \dot{\eta} \gamma \bar{\eta}$ 乡úpuãos кeívois $\pi$ ह́ $\lambda \in \mathrm{t}$ ．
583．$\pi \leftarrow a \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ ．＂Non videtur de corpore putrefacto intelligendum esse，sed signi－ ficare superbam reddam similiter atque in Ag．267．1647．＂I had before remarked ＂$\pi$ ralyeav hic non solum de terrae ferti－ litate，sed de praemio atque honore sepulti in eo vatis dicitur．＂It was destined that Amphiaraus should be swallowed up within the Theban soil（Pind．Nem，ix． 25. Eur．Suppl．500．925．Soph．frag． 781），and an oracle established over his relics．

585．$\mu a \chi \omega \mu \in \theta$＇．＇Fight if you will；I have only an honourable fate to look forward to．＇This is said in reference to Tydeus＇taunt of cowardice，sup． 378. But ${ }_{a}$ тimov does not，as Blomfield thinks， refer to his achievements in battle just before death，but to his celebrity after it．




588．Slikaos．The MSS．of Aeschylus agree in äpıgtos．Plutarch（Vit．Aristid． §3）quotes this passage with the reading 8lealos，and distinctly states that the eyes of all the spectators were turned towards Aristides the Just．But in two other
passages（de audiend．Poet．p．32，e，and Apophth．Reg．et Imp．p．186，в）the same writer quotes the reading apioros． A considerable number of ancient gram－ marians，enumerated by Hermann and others，have dicatos．Plato refers to the passage twice（p．361， B ，and 362，A） in one of which he has où $\delta$ oкeiv $\quad 幺 \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$

 not aware whether the following passage has been added to the evidence in this nicely balanced critical question，Herc． Fur．183，
бокеĩ．

Hermann，who retains aptrios，imagines that the tragic actor，wishing to compli－ ment Aristides，altered the genuine word apıotos into $\delta$ ficalos．One point is in－ disputable，that both readings have co－ existed from very ancient times，and it is impossible to pronounce with certainty which is the true one．I have given Siracos with Blomfield，on the ground that Amphiaraus，who had deen taunting Polynices（580）about his symbol and vain pretence of justice，would naturally be described as presenting a contrast in this respect．

589．карточ́ $\mu \in \nu 0$ ．＇Reaping a crop from a deep（i．e．fertile）furrow through （i．e．ploughed through）his mind，from which（furrow）none but honest counsels are produced．＇Dindorf prefers à $\phi^{\prime}$ 氕s from Arist．Lys．407，and Plutarch in the， three passages referred to above．






 $\nu a v ́ \tau a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \theta \in \rho \mu о i ̂ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \pi a \nu o v p \gamma i ́ a ~ \tau \iota \nu i ̀ ~$




594. Hermann gives $\delta u \sigma \sigma \in \beta \in \sigma \tau$ átous with Rob. and several MSS. If the comparative be preferred, $\delta$ ¢ксаıo $\not \approx \nu \delta \rho \alpha$ is used generally : in the other case it refers to Amphiaraus.-Bpotois is the dative of reference, not agreeing with $\delta v \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta \in \sigma$ тépois. Compare Ag. 215.
 truly Aeschylean both in diction and sentiment, has been condemned as spurious by most critics, including Hermann, from Porson downwards. The Schol. Med. comments upon it, and it is really difficult to see why it should be rejected. The meaning is, 'The field of infatuation has death produced from it as its fruit.' As
 and $\kappa \alpha p \pi l \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a$, may be defended on precisely the same analogy. The first is 'to form or produce fruit,' as Pers. 817,

 the middle, карто仑̄ $\theta a \iota$ and $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \alpha \rho \pi о \hat{v} \sigma \theta \alpha$, (Eur. Ion 815) mean properly, 'to have fruit produced for one's own use.' But kap$\pi i \xi_{\epsilon \in \nu}$ is 'to cause (a tree or a field) to produce fruit.' Eur. Hel. 1327, Bpoтoïбt
 $\lambda a \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \theta \in i \rho \in \epsilon \gamma \in \nu \in d \nu(\mathrm{sc} . \Delta \eta \mu \dot{\jmath} \tau \eta \rho)$. Bacch.

 Hence it seems clear that a field may be said éккарл $\{\zeta \in \sigma \theta a l$ in the sense given above, the middle voice referring to the field itself rather than to the owner, as in картоиิбӨац.




Compare for the sentiment Antiphon,






 Müller (diss. ad Eum. p. 80), 'no doubt, in Aeschylus's view was the station then occupied by Aristides in juxta-position with the grasping and unconscientious party of Themistocles, whose projects obviously extended to the subjugation of the rest of Greece.' Translate: 'Or else, associated with churlish and godless citizens, being himself honest, he is laid low, struck by the indiscriminating scourge of the god, having justly fallen into the same snare with them.' The metaphor is perhaps from the taming of wild animals caught in a trap. For the sentiment compare Hor. Od. iii. 2. 29,' saepe Diespiter neglectus incesto addidit integrum.' Eur. Suppl. 223,
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ойтє $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$




603. $\epsilon^{\prime} \delta \delta i k c o s$. There is equal authority for é $\kappa \delta \delta i \kappa \omega s$, but Hermann argues against the latter at some length, and shews that though the poet might have said ảvaझics, he could not say ékôthes without directly impeaching the justice of the general law which he contends to prevail among man, namely, that the in-
 oûtos $\delta^{\circ}$ ò $\mu$ ávtıs，viò̀ Oik ićous 入é $\gamma$ cs，



 $\Delta$ iòs $\theta$ é $\lambda о \nu \tau о s ~ \sigma v \gamma к а \theta e \lambda к v \sigma \theta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau а ц . ~$







 $\pi о \delta \hat{\omega} \kappa \in \mathrm{~S}$ оै $\mu \mu \alpha, \chi \in i \rho a \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\beta \rho a \delta u ́ v \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$
nocent suffer with the guilty．He trans－ lates edziikws＇ nt consentaneum est．＇The poet rather means，that it serves him right ；that it is his own faalt，for having to do with bad men．Blomfield gives tevoicecos，but needlessly adds，＂nihil dubito quin vera lectio．sit éк $\Delta^{\prime}$ кnns．＇
609．As in Prom．833，$\mu$ ккрà ${ }^{2}$ is here an adverb，and $\dot{\eta} \mu$ makpà $\nu$ пoidts is Hades， as opposed to the short expedition from Argos to Thebes．Translate，＇who are going on a long march by which they shall arrive at the far－off city．＇The words $\tau \in(\nu \varphi \in \nu \pi о \mu \pi \grave{\nu} \nu$ seem to refer to the long train of a procession，and perhaps the idea is borrowed，as inf．852，from the annual $\theta$ ewpia to Delphi，＇a mission to the in－ fernal god＇being used for＇an unlucky expedition．＇In $\sigma u \gamma k a \theta \in \lambda \kappa \nu \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a, ~ t h e r e$ is an allusion to the fate of the prophet in beimg swallowed up alive，sup． 583 ；not that Eteocles can be supposed to have exactly understood those ambiguons words， but he uses a term which is consistent with the actual event．For $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ the Med．gives．rdidus，which Blomfield adopts，
 so the Schol．Med．，т $\grave{y}$ y evautiay $\tau \hat{\eta}$ Eis ＂Apyos．Dindorf imagines the verse to be an interpolation ；and this is a theory of which he is as fond as Hermanne is of fiading lacunae．

612．ávuaos．So all the good copies， and perhaps rightly，though the construc－ tion is singularly careless．He intended
 the same confusion with סoreìp occurs Pers．190．Schol．Med．ás $\mu$ ávrts to



614．rapтds．See Eum． 684.
 either says nothing at all，or says what is to the point，＇i．e．the truth．Cf．sup．1． Cho．573．If $\phi$ ф $\lambda$ ei be referred to Amphi－ araus，we must understand oifev of the declaration in 583.
616．ömus．Though he may not make the attack on the gates，still，\＆ zc ．
618．фúet，So Wellauer，Dindorf，and Hermann for the vulg．ф＇िpet．The Med． with Rob．and two or three MSS．give $\phi \quad$ 泷，and one copy has $\phi \gamma \gamma \eta$ ，but with the variant $\phi$＇िfet．Hermamm well com－

 You入ov）supra 530．Cf，also Supplt 755，

 б $\quad$ м $\alpha$, ＇a switt－footed eye，＇i．e．one that runs along the ranks and anticipates attack．But perbaps the compound orly signifies akict，bike oibфpou zietpa Stuppl． 775.
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620. $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \frac{\bar{z}}{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta o s . \quad$ 'To snatch the naked spear from along side of the shield.' It appears from Theocr. xxii. 184, $\sigma \in$ f(w)
 that the short spear or javelin was held, as indeed was natural, underneath the shield till the moment for action. Hence $\gamma{ }^{2} \mu \nu \omega \theta$ èp means 'sudderily exposed to view,' and does not prove that 'ryos is





622, 3. $\lambda$ itás. There is another reading $\lambda$ dorous, which very probably arose from an objection to $\delta$ italous for $\delta$ incalas
 tello prints the passage thus, $\kappa$ diboures Geol бikaious रóvous époùs, eठ teneîte modav sû $\tau u \chi$ єiv. 1 formerly adapted this (but with $\dot{\omega} s$ before $\pi \delta \lambda(v)$, on the ground that it suited the strophe better than the reading of all the MSS. Blomfield also

 bortello's edition as an authority is now better anderstood. Very urusuad as the metrical variation is, there seems no sufficient reasont to condemn it in this place, where strophe and antistrophe are separated by a considerable interval. On the
 Or we may take it thus: teגEiTce aủràs,

624. [ $\gamma \hat{a} s$ ]. If the readfing given in 560 be correct, it follows that $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} s$ is here
an interpolation. The $\epsilon$ is is written in the Med. by a later hand. Several copies give $\gamma^{a} s$ rppós. Hermann reads és $\gamma$ âs, stapposing ${ }^{\prime}$ 's to have been lost or absorbed by the preceding termination in - $\epsilon$ s. The Schol. Med. seems rather to favour my


 plain the compound èкт pétoעтes.
630. olas. The Med. has otas $\gamma$ ' with Rob. and two other MSS., the reason of which is evident, for the $\alpha s$ is an alteration by a later hand, the original reading having been oia. Hence oia $\gamma^{\prime}$ and ola $\gamma^{3}$ dccur in later copies. The $\gamma \in$ therefore was a mere metrical insertion.
 duly proclaimed King to the whole Theban territory,' perhaps by the voice of the herald from the top of the wall. $-2 \times \omega$ -
 -On the double form lan $\chi$ गे and $\bar{i} \times \chi \eta$ see Cho. 1038.
634. àvס $p \eta \lambda a \tau \tilde{\omega} y$. $\mathbf{I}$ cannot doubt that this is the true reading instead of the vulg.

 terminations - $\omega \nu,-\epsilon \hat{z} y,-\eta \eta$, , are very often interchanged. Thus $\tau \dot{\omega} s$ will sighify ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$

 is, no doubt, possible to interpret $\dot{\alpha} \tau t-$

 'one who ignominiously banishes;' but

 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi a \tau \rho \omega ́ a s ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ є̉ $\pi о \pi \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a s \lambda_{\iota \tau} \omega \nu$
 Єै $\chi є \iota$ ठє̀ каı $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \sigma \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \mu \epsilon \mu \eta \chi^{\alpha \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu .}$

 पíк $\delta^{\prime}$ aै $\rho$ ’ єîvaí ф $\eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ，ès tà $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau a$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota, K a \tau \alpha ́ \xi \omega \delta^{\circ}$ ä $\nu \delta \rho a \tau o ́ v \delta \epsilon$ ，каì $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$





ET．同 $\theta \epsilon о \mu a \nu \epsilon ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau v ́ \gamma o s$,
 $\omega ̈ \mu o \iota, \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ảpaì $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \phi o ́ \rho o \iota$. $\alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ovैт $\kappa \lambda \alpha i \epsilon \iota \nu$ ov้ $\tau^{\prime}$ ỏ $\delta \dot{p} \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$ ， $\mu \eta ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \theta \hat{\eta}$ ठvбфорผ́тєроs үóos．
the participle commends itself by a pe－ culiar propriety which it is not easy to gainsay．Translate：＇Or in like manner banishing you alive，as the cause of his disgrace，（he impiously prays）that he may requite you with exile in this very same way．＇

638．$\pi d \gamma X v$ ，in every respect；in all the details of his impious petition．

640．$\delta \iota \pi \lambda о \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \eta \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha_{0} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \mu \in \mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \nu$ ． A device composed of two figures attached to the shield by rivets．See 536．For є $\because \theta \in \tau o \nu$ the Med．and most MSS．have єйкขкло⿱．Cf．Ag． 430.

641，2．тєu $\chi \eta \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ iठ $\delta \in \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．＇A warrior in appearance，sc．dressed as a $\delta \pi \lambda(\tau \eta s$ ． For $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma o u \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$ Prof．Conington and Butler before him conjecture $\eta \sigma \kappa \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ ．This is probable；but the vulgate may mean ＇preceding him with modest look and stately tread．＇
 on the shields of the seven Argive chief－ tains，as above described．

649．$\nu \alpha \cup \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ тб́入ı．Cf．sup． 3.

Hermann reads vavк $\lambda$ hpet，＇do you，I say， decide；be the pilot of the state，adding ＂hac sola ratione vis est in repetitione．＂ I had noticed the objection to the vulgate in these words：＂repetita verbs oiv $\delta$＇ aủchs $\gamma \nu \omega \hat{\theta} t$ aut poetae negligentiam aut interpolationem aliquam sapere videntur．＂ In fact，the metre of $\mathbf{v} .647$ is extremely unlike the style of Aeschylus（see on Prom．362）．It is probable that the verse is spurious，and that we should read $\omega s$ $\mu \hat{\eta} \pi o \tau^{2}-\mu \epsilon \mu \psi \eta$ ，（＇which I tell you），that you may never call me a careless mes－ senger，＇－for all the copies agree in $\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \psi \eta$ ．

650．Аे $\theta \in o \mu a \nu$ és tє．Aeschylus scarcely ever begins a senarius with a dactyl，unless in a proper name．See on Cho．208．Pro－ bably therefore it was here pronounced өєuиàés．

653．$\pi \rho$ é $\pi \in!$ ．It was not right to indulge in grief，lest one lamentation should beget another，according to the Aeschylean doc－ trine，Ag． 729 seqq．Cho．636． 792.

 $\epsilon i ้ ~ \nu \iota \nu ~ к a \tau \alpha ́ \xi \in \iota ~ \chi \rho v \sigma o ́ \tau \epsilon v \kappa \tau \alpha ~ \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \mu \tau а$
 єi $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$ Diòs $\pi \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi a \rho \theta \in ́ v o s ~ \Delta i ́ \kappa \eta ~ \pi a \rho \eta ̂ \nu ~$





 oî $\mu a i ̂ \nu l \nu a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \tau a r \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \in ́ \lambda a s$.





 $\kappa \nu \eta \mu i ̂ \delta a s, \alpha i \chi \mu \eta \hat{s} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho о \beta \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \tau \alpha$.


 $\pi \tau 0 \lambda i s \Delta^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$.



 has tanian $\mu^{\prime}$, which he thinks was the original reading of the Med.
658. $\phi \lambda$ боут $a, \phi \lambda v a . \rho o u ̂ v \tau a, ~ v a i n l y ~ b o a s t-~$ ing or bragging on his shield with delusion of mind.
659. $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}-\tau \delta \delta^{\circ}$ 别. Compare Suppl. 337-8.
 more likely to be correct. For $\mu \eta \tau \rho \delta \theta \in \nu$ бко́тoу see Eum. 635. Cf. Hor. Od. iv. 3, 2, 'quem tu, Melpomene, semel Nascentem placido lumine videris,' from Hes. Theog. 82, 8̀тıva Típiñovat $\Delta i b s$ кô̂pal $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{d} \lambda o t o, \gamma \epsilon \tau \nu\left\langle\epsilon \epsilon \nu \delta \nu \tau^{2} \quad \in \sigma i \delta \omega \sigma \iota\right.$. This passage gives some probability to the reading of the Schol. Med. mporeite, adopted by Blomf. and Dind. But on the other hand the use of $\grave{\xi} \xi \bullet 0 \hat{\sigma} \theta a s$ in the middle, in a very similar passage, Eum.

345, goes far to prove mpdбelme right,-
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \xi \mid \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau 0$. Here therefore we may supply $\lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \eta s$ with katทt $\epsilon \sigma \sigma a \tau 0$. The sense is, 'As neither at bis birth, nor in his growth, nor in manhood, has Justice deigned to admit him to converse with herself, i. e. acknowledged him for her own, so she is even less likely to stand by him in ravaging his native land.'
665. où $\delta$ e - $\mu \nmid \nu$. Some MSS. have oйтє. But cf. Cho. 181, à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ởס' $\mu \nmid \nu$

 $\mu \grave{\eta} \boldsymbol{\prime}$, and Androm. 256.-какоvх!a, Schol. Med. как $\omega \sigma \epsilon t$. Plat. Resp. x. § 12, \# $\pi \delta \lambda \in t s \quad \pi \rho о \delta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ \# $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \delta \pi \epsilon \delta \alpha$, каl єis
 какоухías натаітьo.
673. aix $\mu \hat{7} s$ каl $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \omega \nu$. The Med. has $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \bar{\omega}$, with many of the other MSS. Robortello edits aì $\chi \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ каl $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \omega ิ \nu \quad \pi \rho o-$ $\beta \lambda \hbar \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, a reading found in several copies and recognised by the later Scholiast, $\tau \grave{\alpha}$
 Hermann adopts this reading, though he admits that he cannot adduce any instance









XO. $\tau i ́ \mu \epsilon ́ \mu о \nu a \varsigma, ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu о \nu ; ~ \mu \eta ́ \tau \iota ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \theta v \mu \sigma \pi \lambda \eta-\quad \sigma \tau \rho . ~ \delta ' . ~$






of $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \mathrm{a}$ used alone for arrows. With the accent of $\pi \in \tau \rho \omega \bar{y}$ changed (as I formerly edited it, Dindorf still retaining $\pi \in \tau \rho \bar{\omega} \nu)$, the sense of the vulgate is simple and natural, ' bring me quickly my greaves, to protect me from the spear and the throwing of stones.'
 who has that worst of names,' Polynices from $\pi$ oג̀े veíkos. The meaning therefore is, $\mu \grave{\eta}$ фидoveiket. Hermann understands it actively, for $\lambda$ érovit, in allusion to the blood-thirsty wish in 630 seqq. The middle voice occurs Eum. 358. Cho. 144, but I cannot think this interpretation more probable in the present instance.


679. oủk ฮૈdれı rîpas. In point of con-
 The idea was, that the pollution of an ordinary murder gradually faded away by time, like the colour of the blood that had



 xdyns. 'If a man must come to harm (i. $e_{\text {. fail }}$ in battle), let him do so with honour; for that is the only gain (we say, 'the only consolation') in the grave." The reply of Eteocles amounts to this,
that however sad in its consequences fratricide may be, he has justice and honour on his side, which Polynices has not, should be prevail. The following verse appears to me spurious. I am not aware of any instance of the crasis $\kappa d \sigma \chi \rho \bar{\omega} \mu$, and the ŭv in Eündecov, it is well known, is properly short, as in Cho. 340. Besides, the sentiment is feeble to the last degree, -a mere truism, -that there is no glory in what is both unfortunate and discreditable.
686. тठ тра̂ү $\mu$. Schol. recent. тל̀
 conviction that the event is predestined and inevitable, Eteocles abandons himself to a kind of peevish despair. In 700 he reproaches the gods with desiring the destruction of the family, and will not hear of trying to avert the curse by propitiatory sacrifices. Disobedience to the oracle of Phoebus (742) has incurred the anger of that deity. He hates the house of Laius, and there is no way of saving it. Let it float with the breeze and the current down the infernal river of Lamentation.



 From this Sclalism it may be inferred (1) that $\dot{\omega} \mu \mathrm{\delta} \alpha \kappa \stackrel{\eta}{s}$ was not the oxiginal

# $\nu \epsilon \iota \pi \iota \kappa \rho o ́ \kappa \alpha \rho \pi о \nu$ ả $\nu \delta \rho о к \tau \alpha \sigma i ́ a \nu \tau \in \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \downarrow$ aǐ $\mu a \tau o s ~ o v ่ ~ \theta є \mu \iota \sigma \tau o v . ~$ 

ET. фídov $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ é $\chi \theta \rho a ́ \mu o \iota \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon l ' a ̉ \rho a ̀ ~$
入є́ $\gamma о v \sigma a$ кє́ $\rho \delta о s ~ \pi \rho o ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ v i \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v ~ \mu o ́ \rho o v . ~$




ET. $\theta \epsilon o ̂ ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \eta ौ \delta \eta ~ \pi \omega s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$, $\chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota s \delta^{\prime} a ̉ \phi^{\prime} \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ỏ $\lambda о \mu \varepsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \theta a \nu \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \tau a l \cdot$

reading, especially as it does not suit the strophe. (2) That $\sigma \in$ was not then in the text. (3) That $\pi$ tкро́карт.оs, not $\pi$ ккро́ксарmou, was found.. For the first, Porson proposed кal $\mu$ '́ $\mu$ ovas in 683, Robortello having $\tau \hat{i}$ кal $\mu \epsilon$ 'िoyas. The article ( $\tilde{\eta}$ $\left.{ }^{2} \lambda \alpha \gamma o s\right)$ and the requirements of the metre suggest that the verse began with $\delta$. The vulgate, if correct, seems to mean ' biting to the quick,' unless the phrase be taken in a wider sense for the longing for raw flesh,' i. e. blood.
692. Té $\lambda \in L^{2}$ à $\rho \alpha^{\prime}$. Remarkable as is this exceptional use of the $\breve{a}$ in té $\lambda \in t a$. I believe it to be the true reading. Dindorf also has retained it, while Hermann follows Blomfield in adopting $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} y$ from Turnebus. The sense would thus be, 'my father's curse sits heavily on my tearless eyes (persuading me) to accomplish (the murder),'-the harshness of which will be readily admitted. The Med. has $\tau \in \lambda \in \hat{\imath}$ àpà, with several others, but dàd has been altered to $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t} \rho a \text {. Nor do the MSS. indicate }\end{aligned}$ any other reading. It is a notable circumstance that in Suppl. 719, the Med. reads $\tau \in \lambda \in i a$ for $\tau \in \lambda \in l a$. We have the very same epithet v. 763, $\tau$ é $\lambda \in \varepsilon a \iota$ àpal, and sup. 652, $\tau \in \lambda \in \sigma \phi$ роoı $\dot{\alpha} p a l$. In proper names this licence is indubitable, as
 $\rho \in \iota a \chi \in l \rho$ Rhes. 762. The best conjecture that has been proposed is $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha a \nu^{3}$ àpà, by J . Wordsworth in the Cambridge Phil. Museum, i. p. 222.
 broods upon his eyes that they cannot shed tears at a brother's fate. Schol.

Med. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \nu \mu \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \in \sigma i \nu, \dot{a} \nu a \lambda \gamma \dot{\lambda} \tau o t s . ~ R a t h e r, ~$

 gesting to me that, though I die after it, I shall have a gain in being previously avenged.' Cf. Androm. 392, $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ à $\rho \chi \eta \nu$

 $\nu$ ขu $\sigma \in ́ \beta \in \iota$ кát $\omega$.
 continues to dissuade Eteocles from a bloody and impious deed about to be undertaken for no better reason than that he will be called a poitroon if he does not. - $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$ iov є sup. 359, and so Schol. Med. єن̀rvðhras, $\in \bar{\delta} \pi \rho d \xi \alpha s$. The sense is, 'since you have rightly and religiously ordered your life heretofore,' i. e. have shewn by your deeds that you are not kactós.-After $\mu \in \lambda a v a t \gamma / s$ the MSS, add $\delta^{\prime}$, which the editors, after Pauw, omit on account of the metre.
697. $\delta \delta \mu o v s$. So Brunck for $\delta \delta \mu \omega \nu$ or §óuov. If, with Scholefield, we connect
 $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma$. Possibly we should read $\delta \delta \mu o \nu \delta^{\prime}$, like $\pi \delta \nu \tau 0 \nu \delta \bar{\epsilon}$ Suppl. 33. The meaning is, that the effects of a father's curse may be averted by offerings to the gods.
699. $\pi а р \eta \mu \in \lambda \eta \mu \in \theta a$, 'we have been abandoned.' Cf. Eum. 290.
700. $\chi$ dpts к.т. $\lambda$. Schol. Med. т $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\nu}$
 povaty. 'The only offering regarded by them is that of our death.' There is an allusion to the $\theta$ vala just mentioned.

$\mu \delta \rho о \nu \tau є$ ral $\mu d \chi \chi \eta \nu$ à $\psi v \chi$ icq. Schol. Med.




 $\pi \alpha \rho \in ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \in \kappa \alpha l \geqslant \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu$. The meaning, I think, is this: ' Relent now, when death is close at hand, otherwise it will be too late. Hereafter, when your passion shall have calmed, you will be glad that you followed my advice,' Schol. recent. Zows

 $\nu \in \mathbb{i} v$. Literally, 'since fortune may perchance hereafter come with a milder breeze, shifting with a late change of your disposition ; but at present it is excited.' With $\zeta_{\epsilon \hat{i}}$ supply $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a$, and compare Od. xii. 237, Herod. vii. 188, $\tau \hat{\eta} s \operatorname{\theta a\lambda }^{2} \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta s$ SEのd́ajs. For the metaphor cf. Pers.
 a $\hat{\delta}$ for $\dot{\alpha} \nu$, , the MSS. having $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau p o \pi \alpha i \alpha$, which Hermann retains, though he admits that it ought rather to signify 'eversio' than 'mutatio.' The common reading is $z^{2} \nu$ тротаía, from Ald. Turn. Elsewhere, as Ag. 212, Cho. 762, Aeschylus uses тролаia, with aüpa understood, in the same sense for $\mu \in \tau a \lambda \lambda a \gamma j$. I think a $\hat{b}$ is sufficiently defended by the very similar passage, Pers. :123, $\delta a\left(\mu \omega \nu\right.$ रà $\rho \delta \delta^{\prime}$



704. $\theta \in \lambda \in \mu \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \varphi$. This is Prof. Conington's excellent correction of $\theta a \lambda \in \rho \omega \tau^{\prime} \rho \varphi \varphi$, which is contrary to the sense. See on Suppl. 1007, where for $\theta \in \lambda \varepsilon \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$ the Paris

MS. gives $\theta a \lambda \in \rho \delta \nu$. The Med. here has $\theta a \lambda \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega t$, but with $a \lambda$ in an erasure, so that there is hardly a doubt about the original reading baving been changed.
 Med. and many others. See Pers. 856. The common reading is $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \nu$. But, as he observes, the neuter plural may stand for 'A $\rho a$ i. The verb is here active, either $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ or $\delta$ al $\mu o \nu a$ being understood as the object. Schol. recent. दُधáu $\eta \psi \in \nu$ aủ $\tau \delta \nu$.
708. ó $\psi$ ets. "De hoc somnio dictum fuisse necesse est in praegressa tragoedia Oedipo." Hermann.

 ฉ̆ $\nu v \sigma \iota s$ kal $\pi \rho a \hat{\xi} \iota s$. Many MSS. here give the gloss ăдעvis or ăvuals. See on Prom. 221.
711. $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \beta \delta \delta \mu \alpha u s \pi u ́ \lambda a t s . ~ T h e ~ d a t i v e ~ i s ~$
 was probably preferred to avoid ambiguity with $\tau$ d́ $\sigma \delta \epsilon$.
713. עікпу какңу. Compare Ag. 915,
 the meaning seems to be $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \iota \kappa \bar{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ d \dot{\nu} \tau l$ тоиิ дикầ. In Eum. 863 we have סпoia
 verses are rather difficult. It seems probable that yikn какो meant 'a victory which consists in a defeat,'一an expression applied to those who do well in allowing themselves to be beaten or convinced. So Ajac. J3i33, тaî́al, kpaтeîs tou $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\phi і \lambda \omega \nu \nu \iota \kappa \dot{\mu} \mu \nu 0 s$. Hermann denies that any sense can be extracted from the text
 $\kappa а к д \nu \tau \tau \mu \bar{q} \theta \epsilon \delta \delta$, 'sometimes fortune gives the victory to the wrong side,' i. e. it may


happen that Polynices will prevail. And the answer is certainly appropriate, 'a warrior must not even think of being defeated.'



716. ėxфv́rot, So Hermann with the great majority of copies. The Med. has ésфtyous by the first hand, but with or written over it as a later correction. The third person I now decidedly prefer. 'What! would you kill your own brother? - If heaven wills, he shall not escape harm.' Thus the obstinate character of Eteocles is well kept up to the last. He cares neither for his own life nor for that of his brother. Like a reckless man, he challenges the curse, and the curse is fulfilled.
717 seqq. While Eteocles departs on his fatal resolve to meet his brother hand to hand in mortal combat, the chorus sings a stasimon full of foreboding lest the curse of Oedipus should now be on the point of its accomplishment. They are about to share their patrimony, but the division will be effected by the sword (726), and they shall only obtain earth enough for a grave out of all those wide domains. Should they fall, the crime will not admit of expiation (731-6). Alas for the disobedience of Laius, which has caused all the woe (737-54). The city is on the point of falling as well as the kings (755-62). The too great prosperity of Oedipus brought on calamity in his own person, for he blinded himself and cursed his own sons (766-87). And
now the' Fury is about to accomplish that curse (788).
721. $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha$. The Schol. Med. con-

 ${ }^{2}$ Epıvis is a personification of the curse of Oedipus. But $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi \rho є \kappa \alpha \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$, for $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \eta$, which the later Scholiast prefers, is very unusual. The middle syllable in є $\boldsymbol{v} \kappa \tau a l a v$ is perhaps shortened, as in ${ }^{\text {I }} \boldsymbol{1} \kappa$ тaíou Suppl. 379. Hermann suspects $\dot{\omega} \kappa \delta \pi o u y ~ t o ~ b e ~ t h e ~ t r u e ~ r e a d i n g . ~ I ~ s e e ~$ nothing to object to in the vulgate. The metre is Ionic a minore as in Prom. 405.
722. $\beta \lambda \alpha \psi i \phi \rho o v a ́ s \tau^{\prime}$. The MSS. have $\beta \lambda a \psi i ́ \varphi \rho o v o s$ Oi $\delta i \pi \delta \delta \alpha$. Blomfield, Herm., and Dind. transpose Oiìıróoa $\beta \lambda a \psi i ́-$ фpoyos. The difficulty is to understand why the transcribers should ever have changed it. The reading I before gave seems at least as probable. Inf. 854.
 where $\tau^{\prime}$ has dropped out of the MSS.
 curse, fatal to the sons of Oedipus, that is urging them on,' i. e. they cannot help themselves; they are infatuated by reason of the ban that is upon them. Schol.
 Strife is similarly personified in Il. xi. 3, $10,74$.
725. Xd́dußos. This rare form occurs
 бù $\beta i ́ a ~ \sigma i \delta a p o \nu . ~ E u r . ~ f r a g . ~ C r e t . ~ i i . ~ 7, ~$ $\mathrm{X} \alpha \lambda \dot{\cup} \beta \varphi \pi \in \lambda \epsilon \in \kappa \in!$. The mistaken idea that the Chalybes were Scythians, whereas they bordered on Colchis and Armenia, is repeated from Prom. 734. The sword is to distribute the lots for the division of
 $\pi \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ s, ~ \ddot{\omega} \mu o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ бídapos,

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \in \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\prime} \omega \nu$ ả $\mu \circ i ́ \rho o v s . \quad 730$
 à̉тоסä̈кто $\theta \alpha \dot{\nu} \omega \sigma \iota$, каì $\dagger \chi$ $\chi^{\theta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ía кóvıs $\pi i \not \eta$ $\mu \in \lambda a \mu \pi \alpha \gamma \grave{s} \alpha_{\text {aî } \mu \alpha \text { фоívov, }}$ тís ầ каӨар $\mu$ ò̀s $\pi o ́ \rho o \iota ;$ 735

 $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \gamma \in \nu \hat{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \quad$ à $\nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$. $\pi \alpha \rho \beta a \sigma i ́ a \nu$ ต்кúтоьขоข. 740 aî̀va $\delta^{\prime}$ és $\tau$ pítov $\mu$ ével. ' $A \pi$ ól $\lambda \omega \nu$ оs є ่̇ $\tau \epsilon$ ムá̈os
 $\mu \epsilon \sigma о \mu \phi a ́ \lambda o u s ~ \Pi и$ Өıкоîs
 тó̀ıv, 745
the empire, noıทтttês $\pi \dot{d} \nu v$, says the Scholiast. Hence $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \partial s$, while it bears the usual meaning of something to one's own cost, as Prom. 758, Pers. 475 , is used in allusion to its primary sense of piercing. Compare infra 932-7.

 while a $\mu 0$ ipous is added as if he had writ-


 sense of кат $\chi$ Хє $\downarrow$ see Suppl. 25. Ag. 441,
 ment is repeated inf. 814. Ar. Eccl. 592,



 èvaveì $\mu$ dyov. Shakespear, Henry IV. part i. v. 4, ' But now two paces of the vilest earth, Is room enough.'
733. $\chi^{\theta 0 p l a}$. This word is corrupt, as the antistrophe shews. The true reading has probably been supplanted by a gloss:
 and $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i \alpha_{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\eta}$. "Scripsi cal rata кל́vis $\pi i p$. Hunc ipsum enim versum respexisse videtur Hesychius, quum scriberet pata ${ }^{\kappa} \delta \nu t s, \dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}$. Sic enim eum scripsisse puto pro eo, quod nunc parum apte legitur,

737. $\sigma v \mu \mu \gamma$ eis. Associated with, not independent of, the former disobedience of Laius. One of the most favourite doctrines of Aeschylus was the adberence of a curse or the consequences of a crime to one family for many generations.
740. ӫкúnotvov. There is nothing inconsistent, as Hermann observes, in adding aī̀va és tpitov $\mu$ ével. It is one thing to remain till the third generation, another thing to fall with switt and heavy vengeance on several devoted heads successively, viz. Laius, Oedipus, and his sons.
743. $\tau \rho l_{s}$ єitotyvos. The $\tau$ pls implies the weight and importance of the injunction rather than, as the Schol. thinks, the forbearance of the god in giving three separate warnings.
татрокто́vог Oiઠıтóסav，on $\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho$ òs $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu$ à $\nu$750
 ṕígav aípaтóєб $\sigma a \nu$ є̈т $\lambda \alpha$ ．тарávola $\sigma v \nu a ̂ y \epsilon$ $\nu \nu \mu \phi \dot{o} v s{ }^{\phi} \rho \in \nu \omega \bar{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma$ ．
 тò $\mu \grave{e} \nu \pi i \tau \nu o \nu,{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda_{0} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \epsilon i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$ т $\quad і ́ \chi a \lambda о \nu$ ，on＇каì $\pi є \rho i ̀ ~ \pi \rho u ́ \mu$－




$\mu \eta ̀ \pi o ́ \lambda t s{ }^{2} \delta a \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$ ．
$\tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota a l ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \pi a \lambda a \iota \phi a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \rho a ̂ \nu$
$\sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.
 the subject of the narrative，＇when Laius， I say，＇\＆c．Cf．Ag．196．Hermann un－
 $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ，which is the view taken of the con－ struction by one of the later Scholiasts．－ én $\phi$ i $\lambda \omega \boldsymbol{y}$ ．＇By those dear to him，＇i．e． ＇by the persuasion of his wife．＇

750．\％qтє．Perhaps ös $\gamma \epsilon$ ，quipped qui．
 See on Prom．959．Chi．69．－$\dagger \uparrow \lambda \eta$
 1008．－स्tpoupà，sc．т $\hat{\eta} s \mu \eta \tau \rho o ́ s$ ．By a similar metaphor Sophocles，Antiq．569，


753．тapávota．＇It was a fatal blind－ ness that brought together the infatuated pair．＇

756．Tो $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ mitT $\quad$ vv．The metaphor expresses the succession of evils which fall not only on the royal family，but chafe and murmur even round the stern
 ＇with triple crest，＇he expresses the more common word трєкvula．From the various senses of $\chi \eta \lambda \eta$（ $\chi \eta \lambda \sigma \omega, \chi \eta \lambda b s$ ） it may be inferred that it was also used of the curvature of a wave at the moment of breaking on the shore．Hesychius，$\chi \eta \lambda$ al－

enclosing or embracing within a circum－ scribed space．Hence the hoof of a horse，＇＇the claw of a crab，＇＇a break－ water or mole in the sea，＇Thucyd．i． 63. By a slight change of construction àelpet is put for $\dot{d} \in t \rho \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \nu . ~ C f$ ．inf．811．So Eur．Bach．1131，䂆 $\delta \dot{k} \pi \bar{\alpha} \sigma^{2} \delta \mu o \hat{v} \beta a \eta, \delta$



759．ad $\lambda \kappa c \alpha$ ．Hermann gives ab $\lambda \kappa \kappa$ à $\nu$ with one of the most recent MSS．，and corrects $\dot{\epsilon}_{\bar{L}} \nu$＂A pEt in the next verse，＂ad breve tempos munimentum tendit in bella uris．＂If the vulgate is right，we may translate，＇between us and the tide of war a protection extends but a little way， a wall in thickness．＇－$\delta i^{2} \quad \bar{\partial} \lambda i \gamma o v, i . e$. $\delta เ a \sigma \tau \eta \mu a \tau o s$. The image in the poet＇s mind was that of a castle wall washed by the billows without．

761．ฮั̀v $\beta a \sigma \tau \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma t$ ．Lest，if both the brothers should fall，the state should fall also．

763．$\pi a \lambda a \iota ф \alpha \tau \omega \nu$（so the Med．）du $\rho \hat{a} \nu$ ． The correction of Enger and Hermann for the vulg．manalфaroi apai．＇The re－ conciliation of the curse long ago uttered is now brought to its accomplishment，＇ i．e．not the reconciliation of friendship， but the hostile meeting which Oedipus

Bapeíal катад入aүaì，<br>$\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\circ}$ ỏ入oà $\pi \epsilon \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \nu^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha L$.<br>$\pi \rho o ́ \pi \rho \nu \mu \nu \alpha \delta^{\circ}$ éкßо入à $\nu \cdot \phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$ ả $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \phi \eta \sigma \tau a ̂ \nu$<br><br> $\theta$ өоі̀ каі छvvย́бтьo 770<br>  $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \dot{\xi}{ }^{\prime} \alpha \nu \delta \rho o \nu$  <br>$\sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon$ ．<br><br>$\gamma^{\alpha} \mu \omega \nu, \epsilon \in \jmath^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota \delta v \sigma \phi \circ \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ $\mu а \iota \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v \alpha$ крабía  $\pi a \tau \rho \circ \phi o ́ \nu \omega \chi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$<br> $\tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu o \iota \sigma \iota \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ảpàs<br>${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \dot{\epsilon}$.

imprecated upon them．Hermann，com－ paring полє́ $\mu о \nu ~ к а т а \lambda \lambda a \gamma \eta ̄ s ~ A r . ~ A v . ~ 1588, ~$ appears to understand＇the cessation of the old curse，＇i．e．in as much as it has now run its course，and is come to its ac－ complishment．

765．$\pi \in \lambda \delta \mu \in \nu^{3}$ ．So Herm．，Dind．after the original reading of the Med．Other readings are $\tau \in \lambda \delta \mu \in \nu^{2}$ and $\tau \in \lambda \lambda \delta \mu \in \nu^{2}$ ． The metaphor is continued from a storm and（in what follows）from a ship in dis． tress．＇The mischief does not pass away， but abides in the family．＇

766．Én $\kappa 0 \lambda \grave{\alpha} \nu \quad \phi \epsilon \rho_{\rho \in t . ~ T h e ~ s e n s e ~ i s, ~}^{\text {，}}$ －Too great prosperity always experiences a reverse，as a ship too heavily freighted must be lightened of its goods in a storm．＇ The application to the case of Oedipus is then made．Compare with this passage Ag． 980 seqq．－ $\bar{d} \lambda \phi \eta \sigma \tau \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ ，the Homeric epithet for traders or merchants．

771．$\pi \delta \bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ ．Hermann and Dindorf
 of the metre．By moגúßotos we may probably understand $\pi о \lambda v \kappa \tau \eta \mu \omega \nu$ ，out of
several explanations given by the Scho－ liasts．For those who fed many herds were the wealthy classes．
 for àvapтágavסpav．An excellent and certain emendation，but that the termi－ nation in os seems more likely to have been employed．The Sphinx is of course meant．
 $\kappa р \in \sigma \sigma \sigma o t \in ́ \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ ，which cannot be defended． The Greeks often speak of children，\＆c． being＇dearer than the very eyes，＇cf．sup． 525，but the converse seems absurd，to say nothing of the strangeness of the compound．Hermann renders，privavit se oculis qui liberis occursuri erant，i．e． ＇visuri eos，＇referring to Oed．R． 1268 seqq．

783．द̇фท̄кєע．Compare Eum．478，

 396．Od．xvii．130，＇to let loose at a person，＇as a hunter does a dog at the prey．－ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ котоs $\tau \rho \circ \phi a \bar{s}$ ，＇in anger at the

# aiaî, $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \circ \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma o v s$ dं $\rho \dot{\alpha} \varsigma$, $\kappa \alpha i ́ \sigma \phi \in \sigma \iota \delta \alpha \rho о \nu о ́ \mu \varphi$ <br> Sıà $\chi \epsilon \rho i ́ \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda а \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ $\kappa \tau \eta \not \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \cdot \nu \hat{v} \nu$ ঠ̀̀ $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \omega$  



$\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\circ}{ } \beta \rho i ́ \mu \omega \nu$ ко $\mu \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \cdot$

 $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ סè $\pi u ́ \rho \gamma o s, \kappa \alpha i \quad \pi v ́ \lambda a s ~ \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \gamma \gamma v ́ o \iota s$


тàs $\delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\beta \delta$ ó $\mu a s$ ó $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu$ òs $є \beta \delta о \mu a \gamma \epsilon ́ \tau \eta s$
maintenance they afforded him.' The common reading is тéкעous $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ ápalas é $\phi$ -

 ह̇ँтьќtous трoфâs, which he understands, with Schütz, 'curses resulting from anger at having brought up sons the offspring of an incestuous union.' Schütz translates, indigne ferens se tales filios educasse. On the other hand, the Schol. on Oed. Col. 1375 asserts that Aeschylus here followed an old tradition that the curse originated in the anger of Oedipus at bad food supplied by his sons when he was confined by them. As in the former edition, I have preferred a sense which seems much simpler, and has high authority, to the other, which is adopted by Dindorf also.
788. кauభímous, 'nimble,' i. e. not stiff in the joints of the leg. Hermann well observes that кá $\mu \pi \tau \in L \nu$ रovv does not always or of necessity mean ' to rest' (as Prom. 32), and he proves this from Xen. de re Equest. i. 6, $\tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma \in \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \delta \nu a \tau a$
 Swiftness is the natural and most appropriate epithet of a pursuing demon. So тavítous 'Epıvìs Ajac. 837. The Scholiasts wrongly explain, 'the Fury who ties up the feet of her victims.'
789. $\tau \in \theta \rho a \mu \mu \in ́ v a r$. The Schol. Med.
 árààs teӨ $\alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v \alpha a$. On the latter hint Hermann edits $\tau \in \theta \rho \nu \mu \mu \in ́ \nu a$, delicatae.

There is much difficulty in the verse as it stands ; but $\tau \in \theta \rho \nu \mu \mu \in ́ \nu a \iota$, even supposing the gloss of the Scholiast to point to this reading, leaves $\mu \eta \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ unintelligible, unless we suppose him to have meant 'children spoilt by their mothers.' It is more probable however that $\alpha \pi a \lambda \omega s$ was a gratuitous and unwarrantable addition of his own. If the vulgate be right, we must understand $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ $\theta \rho \varepsilon ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, with a notion of reproach for their unmanly


791. $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$, ' have come to naught.' See on Suppl. 85. Hippol. 41, à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oйт $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \tau \delta \nu \delta^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \alpha \chi \rho \bar{\eta} \pi \in \sigma \in i ̂ v$.
794. $\phi \in \rho \in \gamma \gamma$ úots. 'We guarded the gates with champions who have redeemed their pledge,' i. e. have averted the capture of the city, as they engaged to do.
 Apollo, єßסoцarধє́vךข, seems a little changed to suit the sense of 'leader of the seventh.' Plutarch, Symposiac. viii.



甬 $\mu \alpha \rho$ ' т $\Lambda \eta \tau \omega$. And so the Schol., ${ }^{\circ} \nu \quad \in \beta \delta \delta \mu \eta$ $\gamma \in \nu \nu \eta \theta \in i s$, who seems to have read $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta \delta \delta-$ $\mu \alpha \gamma \epsilon \ell \eta s$. The idea is, that Apollo himself succeeded to the post left vacant by the death of Eteocles, which he had himself brought to pass.

##  











801. ${ }^{2} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon$. So Herm., Dind. for ă $v \delta \rho \in s$, a usual error in MSS. Before this verse all the copies give $\pi \delta \lambda \iota s \sigma^{\sigma} \sigma \omega \sigma$ $\tau \alpha l, \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \epsilon s \delta^{\circ} \delta \mu \sigma \sigma \pi о \rho o u$ (with the variants $\beta a \sigma t \lambda \epsilon \omega s, \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \in i \bar{s}$, and $\gamma \rho$. $\delta \mu \sigma \sigma \pi \delta-$ $\rho o(\nu)$, which is clearly made up from 816, or the converse. Hermann contends that the whole of the ensuing dialogue has been disarranged. He objects, and with good reason, to the chorus asking tives; ri $\delta^{\prime}$ є ${ }^{i} \pi a s$, and still more to their saying
 declaration that the men were dead. It might, perhaps, be replied, that the chorus still hope to hear that by $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \in s$ some other than the royal brothers are meant, and that (on the assumption that the verse $\pi \delta \lambda t s \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \omega \sigma \tau a t$ is really spurious) no clear intimation as to whom the calamity has befallen has yet been communicated. But, even granting this, the messenger could not be supposed to remove their doubts and anxieties by such a verse as 805; nor again could the next verse be given in reply, because éceitl has nothing definite to refer to, and $\beta a \rho \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \alpha \phi \rho \alpha \sigma o y$ is worse than absurd when 'the murder is out.' For these reasons, perhaps few will withhold their assent to the following disposition of the whole passage by Hermann, whose confidence in its almost self-evident truth induces him to say, " Non dubito, qui sensum habet tragicorum lectione bene subactum, re diligenter considerata facile ad meam sententiam perductum iri."
 $\pi a p o n ;$
 poiv-
 $\lambda$ дбou.
 pous-


 $\phi \rho a ́ \sigma o v$.




$\mathrm{A} \mathrm{\Gamma}$. aùvל̀s $\delta^{\circ}$ àva тo兀av̂тa $\chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon เ \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.

Thus the argument proceeds correctly, and is conducted through all the natural steps. The messenger is interrupted in

 $\lambda \eta \lambda \omega \nu$. The last words excite an observation of horror and surprise, 'What! have they come to that!' 'Too true,' is the reply, "the men are dead by a mutual fratricide.' 'If so,' says the chorus, incredulous of the fact, 'they fell by hands too closely connected.' 'Well but,' the messenger replies, 'there is no mistake about their destruction.' The origin of the error was the accidental omission of 817 from its proper place, and the subsequent insertion of it in a wrong one, together with the verse to which it evidently belonged, but which ought to have been separated by a considerable in: terval.




 татрòs кат＇єv̉ $\chi a ̀ s ~ \delta v \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \mu о v s ~ ф о р о u ́ \mu є \nu o l . ~$


X0．$\quad \grave{\omega} \mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda \epsilon Z \in \hat{\imath}$ кaì $\pi \circ \lambda \iota o \hat{\chi} \chi o \iota$ סaípovєs，où $\delta \dot{\eta}$ Kád $\mu$ ov $\pi u ̛ \rho \gamma o v s$ $\tau o v ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ，
$\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \omega, \kappa \grave{\alpha} \pi о \lambda о \lambda \dot{v} \xi \omega$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \iota * \pi v^{*} \chi$ ， $\vec{\eta}$ тov̀s $\mu \sigma \gamma \epsilon \rho \frac{v}{s}$ каì $\delta v \sigma \delta \alpha i \mu o v a s$


810．$\delta a k p \notin \sigma \theta a$ ．Several copies have סakpúraбөal，which Hermann prefers． The Med．and others have $\delta$ anc $\dot{v} \sigma \in \sigma \theta a t$ ， but in the Med．$\sigma$ is an insertion，＂ab ipsa，ut videtur，prima manu．＂Herm． On the accusative after $\chi$ aipety and סakpúє $\sigma$ Өas see Monk ad Hipp．1335．On the finite verb following the participle with $\mu \hat{\jmath} \nu$ and $\delta \hat{\delta}$ ，see sup． 756.
814．$\chi$ oovos．This is usually explained，
 $\tau a \phi \hat{p}$ ．And so the later Schol．别 $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$
 majnクoiav．But，though the Attic writers
 $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \lambda(\theta \omega \nu, \& c .$, it would not be easy to find an example of the above construc－ tion．Brunck＇s correction，$\chi \theta \partial \nu \alpha$ ，is so easy，that it has been perhaps rightly adopted by Hermann and Blomfield． Compare 729 sup．Otherwise，it seems best to construe．晾 $\nu \pi a \mu \pi \eta \sigma$ aia，or $\mu=i \hat{p} a \nu$ implied in it．
815．фороن́ $\mu \in y o l$. ＂Videri potest hac intelligendum de exsequiis：sed scri－ bendum potius est $\phi$ povoovipenol，ut boc insolentius active dictum sit．＂Hermann． There is no idea of any actual motion． The metaphor is from sailing with a fair wind，$\pi \lambda \in \hat{i} \frac{1}{} \kappa a \tau^{2}$ oūpov．The meaning is， ＇borne along the course of their father＇s curse．＇Similarly inf．849，ү ${ }^{\delta} \omega \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \tau^{\circ}$ ô̂pov．Eur．Troad．103．$\pi \lambda \in \hat{i}$ n natдे rop－ $\theta \mu \grave{\nu}, \pi \lambda \in \hat{i}$ к $\kappa \alpha \nless \grave{d}$ रaíhova．Dindorf in－
closes in brackets the four verses 814－ 17，and there cannot be a doubt that the two last do not stand in the text where the poet wrote them；for nothing could justify so vain and futile a repetition of an announcement already fully made．

818．Here commences the Kommos，or Lament for the dead；or rather，perhaps， the ode introductory to it，but partaking closely of the same character．For the true Kommos may be said to extend from 868 to 950 ．The approach of the sisters， seen at some distance，is announced by the anapaests $855-867$ ，and it is only at v． 951 that they appear on the stage．

820．$\beta \cup \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．The short syllable ${ }^{*}$ is suspicious where there is no full stop． The Med had $\tau 0 \forall \sigma \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \dot{v} \in \dot{\sigma} \theta a$, ，but with $\alpha a$ altered to $\epsilon$ by the first hand．Din－ dorf marks a lacuna of half a verse． One might imagine the reading to have



822．Tbua．This word is supplied by Scholefield and Dindorf from conjecture．
 MSS．have $\sigma \omega \pi \eta \rho$ ía．Hermann＇s cor－ rection is ingenious and probable，$\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho 6$

 －an absurd remark，if he found $\dot{a} \sigma \iota \nu \in \hat{b}$ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p^{\prime} \boldsymbol{q}_{n}$ Hermann supposes the original
 $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \cdot$ тoù $o ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ è $\pi t \theta \in \tau o \nu$.
oî $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ ò $\rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ s $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ Ė $\pi \omega \nu \nu \mu i a \nu$ каі̀ то入vvєєкєîs ढ̈ $\lambda o \nu \tau^{\prime}$ ả $\sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ סıavoíą． §̂ $\mu \epsilon \in \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha$ каì $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \alpha$
үє́veos Oióítov т＇ảpà， како́v $\mu \epsilon к а р \delta i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \iota \pi є р \iota \pi i т \nu \epsilon \iota ~ к \rho v ́ o s$.
 $\theta v i a ̀ s, ~ a i \mu a \tau o \sigma \tau a \gamma \epsilon i ̂ s ~$

 835
 $\stackrel{\text { à }}{ }$ ． $\pi a \tau \rho o ́ \theta \in \nu$ є̀̇ктаía фáтьs＇
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \mu \nu a \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \grave{\imath} \pi \tau o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.


825．of $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{3}$ ．As only one of the brothers，Polynices，could truly be said to have perished ${ }^{\circ} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \kappa \alpha \tau^{3}$ é $\pi \omega \nu \nu$ iav， Hermann thinks part of a verse lost with an allusion to the name of Eteocles；and
 $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \partial \nu$ as probable supplements．But a certain licence must always be allowed to a poet．He was in a manner compelled to mention both the leaders；but the very notion of sirife involves the idea of more than one，so that in fact two perished in conformity with the name of the elder brother．The remark of the Schol．Med． is however of some weight：${ }^{\circ} \rho \theta \omega \bar{\omega}$ o $o \bar{\nu} \nu$



829．$\gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \cos$ Oifition te，There is much obscurity as to whether a double curse is meant，－one previously inherent in the family，the other subsequent to it，－and if so，on what eccasion the former was uttered．Hermann quotes the Schol．on
 mazal סò̀s，who states that Pelops cursed Laius for having carried off a son of his called Chrysippus．Here then，as in 707 and 783，Aeschylus seems to have followed legends of which a very scanty notice has
descended to our times．
830．какdv．Hermann，who remarks that како $\hat{v}$ seems to have been an ancient reading，from a gloss in one of the later
 does not notice that the Schol．Med．must have found the same reading，$\pi \in \rho!\pi i \pi \tau \in \downarrow$ $\phi \delta \beta$ к кккои́．He might have added， that the order of the words $\mu \in$ and $\tau \iota$ ，not $\tau \iota$ and $\mu \mathrm{F}$ ，is greatly in favour of the genitive．

832．Outks．Cf．Suppl．557．Rob．has ©is Ouas，and in 840 a few MSS．give kal $\theta$ 白 $\sigma \phi a \tau^{\prime}$ ．Blomf．，Dind．，and Herm．omit the particles，which Well．and Scholefield retain．

836． | $\xi$ |
| :---: |
| $\epsilon$ |
| $\epsilon$ |
| $\rho$ | $\mathfrak{\xi} \epsilon \nu$ ．We must supply

 Cf．Suppl．95， $7 \mu \in \nu o s ~ \partial \nu ~ ф р о \nu \eta \mu a ́ ~ \pi \omega s ~$
 ณั $\gamma \nu \omega ิ \nu$.

838．Bov 1 al $8^{\prime}$ ánıбтol．Schol．Med．
 $\delta \iota \hbar \rho \kappa \in \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，＂have lasted to the present generation．＇

844－54．Hermann，by introducing some considerable alterations，has reduced the epodus into strophe and antistrophe． His verses however do not correspond

#  

with the usual accuracy of Aeschylus; besides which (as observed on Suppl. 80) the strophe is not properly continued into the antistrophe, but should always end with a period, or a colon at least.-At this point the bodies of the slain are seen approaching the stage. Schol. $\delta \rho \underset{Z}{q}$ o
 к.т.д., i. e. what was told us in words is now visible to our sight.
845. $\delta i \delta v \mu^{2}{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \gamma a \nu \delta \rho \in \alpha$. So Hermann
 has very slight MSS. authority. He renders it 'gemina fortiter patrata mala.' The arrangement of this and the two following verses into iambics is due to the same critic.
 $\delta i \mu o t p a ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon i a$, corrected by Hermana. I had before suggested t'́ $\lambda \in \alpha$. That a compound of $\mu \delta \rho o s$ rather than of $\mu o i \rho a$ is required, is self-evident. Cf. Suppl. 1055.
849. $\bar{\sigma}$ фína. Hermañn corrects $\phi 1 \lambda i a 1$, to make this verse suit 854.
 the middle voice, in the same sense as


 Scholiasts, I understand $\theta \in \omega$ pi $\delta a$ of Charon's bark, which is called $\alpha \sigma$ oro
 contrasted with the sacred white-sailed mission-ship which was sent ( $\left.\frac{!}{\sigma} \tau \tau \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau 0\right)$ yearly to Delos. Herodotus, vi. 87, calls
 aity cannot refer to the present lamentation, but must signify " remigationem qua perpetuo mortui in Orcum transferantur."

And he understands $\theta$ ewpls not of the ship, but of the sacred road to Delphi (Eum.

 On this view he is bound to say that " magna audacia $\mu \in \lambda \alpha$ र́ $\kappa \rho о к о \nu$ poeta dixit." His version of the passage is this: "Iargo cum luctu in capite vestro imitamini remigationers manuum, quae perpetuo per Acherontem navalem nigram viam, non calcatam Apollini, non lustratam soli, ad omnes recipiens ignotum litus transit." I see no reason to reject the version I formerly gave ; 'but with the gale of lamentations ply about your heads (cf. Cho. 420 ) the quick oar-stroke of your hands in funeral procession ( $\pi \delta \mu \pi / \mu a i\rangle)$, which ever through Acheron makes to pass that unsent dark-sailed mission-ship, that is not trodden for Apollo, that knows not the sun, into the all-receiving and unseen landing-place.' Thus aley is said of the customary lament for the dead.

 $\theta$ eapi $\delta \alpha$. Robortello with one MS. has $\measuredangle \quad \measuredangle \sigma \tau o \lambda o \nu$, which is recognised also by the
 $\mu e ́ v \eta \nu$. Hermann and Dindorf give qà $\nu$
 mas Magister in v. $\theta \in \omega \rho b s$. But $\neq \sigma \tau 0 \lambda o \nu$ has a far more apt and poetical sense than עáúcto入ov, and when $\tau$ d̀ $\nu$ ä $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda o \nu$ had been written $\nu \dot{d} \sigma \tau o \lambda o \nu$ by the adherence of the $\nu$, a various reading or marginal correction $\nu a v i \sigma \tau o \lambda o \nu$ would easily arise.
857. ov̀к גं $\mu \phi \iota \beta \delta \lambda \omega s$. 'Non haec magis quam illa, sed utraque pari affectu:' Compare oùк à $\mu \phi \iota \hat{\text { éntcos sup. } 805 .}$




iax $\epsilon \bar{\nu}$, 'Atioa $\tau^{\prime}$


$\sigma \tau \rho o ́ \phi o \nu$ ढ̇ढ $\theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau a l$,

$\mu \grave{\eta}$ éк $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ o ̉ p \theta \omega \bar{\omega} \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \gamma a i v \in \iota \nu$.
HM. $A$.

$$
i \grave{\omega}, i \grave{\omega},
$$

$\sigma \tau \rho$. $a^{\prime}$.
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ к а к \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho v ́ \mu о \nu \epsilon \varsigma$,
870
 $\eta ข ँ \rho о \nu \tau о$ סó $\mu \omega \nu$ émì $\lambda u ́ \mu a$.
HM. A.

$$
i \grave{\omega}, \stackrel{\imath}{i} \omega
$$

à $\nu \tau . a^{a}$.
$\delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є́ $\rho є ч и і т о г \chi о \iota, ~$
876
каì тıкрàs $\mu$ ovapxias




 sororum,' Hermann. I formerly explained it, ' antequam planctum ordiantur oi $\theta p \eta \nu \eta \tau \hat{\eta} p \in s$. . Either way, the sense is,
 cession reaches the stage. By $\phi \eta \mu \eta$ the ominous sound of woe seems to be meant.
863. è $\chi \theta \rho \partial ̀ \nu \pi a \iota a ̂ v a$. The epithet is used because the paean was properly a song of joy. Cf. Cho. 144, taıâva toû ©ayóvtos $\mathfrak{e ́ \xi a u \delta} \omega \mu$ évas. See Monk ad Alcest. 436. .Similarly тalàv 'Epıvíwע Ag. 628.
864. $\delta \pi$ тбаиt к.т. $\lambda .$, i. e. of all who are maidens. On the $\sigma \pi \rho \delta \phi o s$ see Suppl. 451.

 $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon s$. The construction is not common where the adjective has a passive sense.
 $\pi a \tau \rho \notin \mathfrak{o u s}$ д $\delta \mu o u s$. This seems an easier correction than to change ${ }^{3} \delta \eta$ to $\tau i \delta \eta$ in 879, with Lachmann, Hermann, and Dindorf. Besides, there is little point in the question, 'Why have you made up the quarrel with the sword?' The sense is rather, ' You are reconciled at last, but by the medium of the sword.'- $\mu$ éncol is a dissyllable, as inf. 939. Pers. 729. For
 with the Med., which has $\gamma \rho$. aix $\mu \hat{̣}$ by a later hand in the margin, with two or three of the inferior MSS. But the Schol. Med. recognises the reading in

880. ка́ $\rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \theta \hat{\eta}$. The ellipse of катєن́ $\gamma \mu a \tau a$ is very harsh. Schol. Med.


$\tau \epsilon \tau v \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \circ \iota \delta \hat{\eta} \theta^{\prime}, \delta \mu \sigma \sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \rho \omega-$ $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$
＊
aiâ̂ $\delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ v ı o l$,
885
aiâ̂ $\delta^{\prime} a ̉ \nu \tau \iota \phi o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ Өavát $\omega \nu$ ．ảpaí．
HM．B．Sıaעтаíà $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$ סó $\mu о \iota \sigma \iota$ каi $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s$,
 890 ảpaị́ $\tau^{3}$ є́к татрòs ＊乡̀̀̀ Sıхо́фроцı то́т $\mu$ ．
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota ~ \pi u ́ \rho \gamma o \iota, ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ v \in \iota ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \nu ~ \phi i ́ \lambda a \nu \delta \rho o \nu$, $\mu \in \nu \in \hat{\imath}$ $\kappa \tau \epsilon ́ a \nu \alpha ́ \tau^{3}$ є̇ $\pi \iota \gamma o ́ \nu o \iota s$, $\delta_{\iota}{ }^{\imath}$ er $\nu$ alpo $\mu$ ópo七s，




883．$\delta \hat{\eta} \theta^{3}$ ．This particle is used in re－ peating words，generally by another per－ son，but sometimes by the same speaker， as Soph．El． 1163 （quoted by Did．），

 give this verse and $885-6$ to alternate hemichoria，with the later Schol．，but the antistrophic verses seem to form but one speech．－$\tau \epsilon \tau \nu \mu \mu \notin \nu=$ in construction refers back to $\delta i \hbar \lambda \lambda \lambda \alpha \chi^{\theta \epsilon}$ ，＇stricken through the left sides（i．e．the hearts），aye，though hearts sprung from the same womb．＇

887．Stayтaiav $\lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon t s$ ．The MSS．add т $\lambda a \gamma \dot{2} \nu$ ，which Elmsley perceived was a gloss，the adjective being often used with this ellipse，as $\delta \in \nu \tau \epsilon \in \rho o \nu \quad \pi \in \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu o s$ Ag． 1316．In the next verse $̇$ è $\nu \dot{\text { en }} \pi \omega$ com－ manly follows $\pi \in \pi \lambda a \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu 0 u s$ ，but was likewise omitted by Elmsley．The error arose from attributing the verse to a new speaker．Hermann thinks $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu a \sigma \iota$ a cor－ ruption of $\delta \omega \dot{\mu} \alpha \sigma t$ ，and reads $\delta$ ia $\nu \tau a i \alpha \mu$
 $\pi \in!\nu$ ．

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda a \lambda \eta \tau \varphi$ ．Hence I formerly conjectured that the deficiency in this or the next
verse should be supplied by some verb
 maros）．But this does not balance the metre with the usual accuracy of Ales－ chylus，and therefore I have preferred to supply $\xi \stackrel{\nu}{\nu}$ with Hermann in 892.

 $\chi р \eta \mu a \tau a \delta_{i}{ }^{2}$ \＆$\dot{a} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda о \nu \tau \sigma$ ．There is an in－ direct allusion to the Epigoni，or descend－ ants of the seven chieftains who ten years later conducted an expedition against Thebes．

895．עєîкos $\begin{array}{r}\text { Er } \\ \beta\end{array}$ ．Hermann omits к al， which the MSS．have before oavditou，and
 which the quarrel was carried even to death by the ill－fated brothers．＇（Com－
 т̀̀ Malay；This seems，indeed，the only plausible way of reconciling the strophic verse，where Dindorf inserts eck， contrary to the sense，since the curse did not proceed from mutual murder，but，on the contrary，the murder from the curse． ＇The curse of a mutual murder＇is such a murder resulting from an imprecation．



ঠıа入入актйpı $\delta^{\prime}$ оủк
à $\mu \in \mu$ фía фí入oıs，


 $\tau \alpha ́ \phi \omega \nu \pi a \tau \rho \omega ́ \omega \nu \lambda \alpha \chi a i ́$.






|  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ，$\tau . \gamma^{\prime}$ ． |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 915 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

HM．B．$\delta v \sigma \delta a i ́ \mu o \nu a ́ s ~ \sigma \phi ’$ á $\tau \epsilon \kappa о \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \operatorname{\pi \rho }$ ò $\pi a \sigma \hat{a} \nu$

 oi $\delta^{\prime}$
 $\chi$ Х $\rho \sigma i ̀ \nu$ о́ $\mu о \sigma \pi о ́ \rho о \iota \sigma \iota \nu . ~$
HM．А．о́ $\mu$ о́ $\pi о р о \iota ~ \delta \eta ̄ \tau \alpha ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi а \nu \omega ́ \lambda є \theta р о \iota, ~$ $\sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$. $\dagger$ סıaтo $\mu \mathrm{iis}$ ov̉ фídaıs，

900．oùı à $\mu є \mu \phi$ la．Schol．Med．$\mu \not \epsilon_{\mu}$


 $\chi$ apls，＇a matter of exultation，＇i．e to the friends who would otherwise have con－ gratulated them on a victory．Dindorf spoils both metre and meaning by ou $\delta$


904．tá $\chi^{2} \cdot \chi_{\nu} \nu$ tis è̇rol．Hermann translates，without the interrogation， ＂mox dici poterit qualis．＂The sense seems as good the other way：＇By the sword＇s stroke there awaits them－what？ A share in the tomb of their ancestors．＇

906．Es abiroús．ė $\pi^{\prime}$ aùroùs Med．by a later hand，with several other MSS． $\boldsymbol{e m}^{2}$ aùroîs Herm．，ess oûs Dindorf after Elms－
ley．＇My lamentation conducts to them the grief of the palace，＇as if the public mourner as it were communicated to the dead the silent sorrow of those at home．

915．тo入入d $\mu$ èv－тє．See on Suppl． 404.
 for $\delta v \sigma \delta a i \mu \omega \nu \sigma \phi \iota \nu$ ，which suits the sense， but does not suit the strophe．The gram－ marians would very naturally write the nominative，supposing that it was the mother who was unhappy before all child－ bearing women，whereas the poet seems to have meant，＇she who brought them forth unhappy before those of all others，＇ \＆c．，a well－known use，for which see Cho． 168.

927．סıaтouaîs seems corrupt，though

## 

$\nu \epsilon i ́ \kappa \epsilon \circ s$ ह̇̀ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \tau \hat{q}$.


930

$\pi \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ s ~ \lambda \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \nu \epsilon \iota \kappa \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ó $\pi$ а́vт兀os
$\xi \in i v o s$ є̇к $\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma v \theta \epsilon i \varsigma$
$\theta \eta \kappa \tau \grave{̀}$ s $\sigma$ í ${ }^{\circ} a \rho o{ }^{\circ}$
935
тькрòs $\delta \grave{\text { c̀ }}$ үрך $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ како̀s
סaт $\eta \tau \dot{\alpha}$ s " $A \rho \eta \mathrm{~s}$, $\dot{\alpha} \rho \grave{\alpha} \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho \hat{c}^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \iota \theta \epsilon i \stackrel{\iota}{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \theta \hat{\eta}$.


9413
vinò $\delta e ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \iota ~ \gamma a ̂ s ~$




it is possible that with Bothe we should read $\delta$ oo $\delta \tau \omega \nu$ in 940 . Hermann ingeniously reads $\delta \iota a \rho \tau \alpha \mu a i ̂ s$, comparing $\delta \iota a \rho-$

 from 924, and translate, 'Yes, brothers indeed and utterly lost (they perished) by no friendly parting, in their frantic quarrel, at the conclusion of the strife.'
930. Ђoà фауорúтч. So Blomf. for §'wà

 Stheir blood is now indeed mixed in a common stream,' i. e. by flowing on the earth. Clear as this is, the Schol. Med. failed to understand it.
932. пıкро́s. See sup. 725-7.- $\pi \delta \nu-$
 from the Chalybes.
938. татрч́ay. So Burney for $\pi$ тatpás.
 is a play on the double sense 'they have their share of the patrimony,' and 'they have their fate;' $\lambda a \chi$ ó $\nu \tau \in s$ applying equally to both meanings.
940. à $\chi$ Є́ $\omega \nu$. So Hermann. Blomf. conjectures $\quad\langle\lambda \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$. The MSS. give $\dot{\dot{a}} \mathrm{x} \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$, by a constant error. The genitive seems best to depend on $\mu$ é $\lambda \in o c$.
941. $\sigma \omega \mu a \pi t$. 'Under their bodies they shall have a bottomless wealth of earth,' i. e. they shall have land in abundance, but such as they cannot use. It does not seem advisable to read $\hat{\text { und }} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta e}$ $\dot{\chi} \omega{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau \iota$ with Blomfield and Hermann. The idea is like that in Ag. 844, where by a converse figure the poet speaks only of the $\chi \lambda a i \mathrm{\nu} a$ or covering of soil above the body, to the exclusion of that underneath
 supra 729. The vanity of their ambition for broad acres of land is thus forcibly expressed. Neither of the above critics have quoted, as they might well have done, one of the two explanations in the Schol. Med., which is rather strikingly in
 aủroîs кéкрvสтal, à $\nu \tau l$ тov̂, èv àqaveía.
943. ĖTavelfayces. ' O men who have made their own family blossom with many woes.' Cf. Cho. 143, úpàs $\delta$ è кшкитoîs

 $\pi \dot{\delta} \nu o t a i ́ \gamma \in \delta \delta \dot{\alpha}$
 The true reading has been restored by Hermann and Dindorf.

＇Apaì тòv ơ̧̌̀v עó $\mu о \nu, \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o v$<br>945 $\pi a \nu \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \varphi$ фvүậ $\gamma \in ́ v o u s$. ＂̈бтакє $\delta^{\prime \prime}$＂Aта今<br> é $\theta$ єivovто，каi<br>रvoî̀ кратท́баs є̈̀ $\eta \xi \xi \in \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ ．<br>950

ANTITONH．IミMHNH．




AN．$\quad \mu \in \lambda \epsilon$ ótovos．
II．$\quad \mu \in \lambda \epsilon о \pi a \theta \eta$ ท́s．
AN．üт yóos．

AN．тро́кєєбац．
II．катакта́s．



II．$\quad \sigma u ̀ ~ \delta ' ~ \alpha u ̂ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \nu \alpha ́, ~ \theta \lambda \iota \epsilon . ~$
AN．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o v ~ \epsilon ̈ \phi \theta l \sigma o . ~$
İ．каї фí入ov єैкта⿱㇒日．

945．＇Apal．Cf．Eum．395，where the Furies say of themselves，＇Apal $\delta$＇द̀v oüкous

 Schol．Med．$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \pi l$ रह̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \in \lambda \epsilon u \tau \hat{\eta}$ av̉ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu a i$
 late：＇Over their fate now the Furies have shrieked their shrill death－strain，the whole race having been put to flight with utter rout；＇i．e．the Furies（who are identified with the curse of Oedipus） exult in the annihilation of the family．

950．${ }^{\star} \lambda \eta \xi \in \delta \quad \delta a / \mu \omega \nu$ ．The curse is satis－ fied；the ill fortune of the family has come to an end．

951．Enter Antigone and Ismene，the one following and addressing the corpse of Polynices，the other that of Eteocles． Whatever the one says，the other re－
iterates in similar words．Slight as is the sketch of the two sisters which Aeschylus has drawn，it manifestly contains the germs of the characters so fully and finely developed by Sophocles in the Antigone．
953－6．Hermann marks strophe and antistrophe to the alternate exclamation of the sisters．．That they metrically cor－ respond is sufficiently clear，the two first alone forming an iambic．To Hermann
 ёктауєs，ঠд́криа，трокєібєтаи．
 tured to edit for mo＾uסdккрuт $\begin{gathered}\text { or } \pi a v \delta a k-~\end{gathered}$
 $\mu a \tau a s ~ \sigma \omega т \eta p l o u$ ．The best copies how－ ever repeat $i d$ ，whence Dindorf gives i $\omega$
 $\sigma \dot{v}$ ．
$A N . \quad \delta_{\iota \pi \lambda \hat{a} \lambda} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu$. II. $\quad \delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{a} \delta^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$. AN. † ả $\chi \epsilon{ }^{\epsilon} \omega \nu \tau o i ́ \omega \nu \tau \alpha ́ \delta^{\circ} \epsilon \gamma \gamma v i \theta \epsilon \nu$.
 AN. ỏ̉oà $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.

XO. $\quad$ ì, Moîpa ßapuסótєєра $\mu о \gamma \epsilon \rho a ̀$,


AN. $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \eta, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \eta, \delta v \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ a \tau a ~ \pi \eta ́ \mu a \tau a ~$

AN. où $\delta^{\circ}$ ǐ $\epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ ஸs катє́ктаעєข.

$A N . \dagger \dot{a} \pi \omega \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$.
968. ${ }^{2} \chi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$, к.т. $\lambda$. This verse is corrupt. The Schol. Med. explains roîs
 Schol. recent.). Some copies give $\gamma$ buv for áx't $\omega \nu$. Hermann, who connects the
 into one sentence, reads $\alpha \chi \in \alpha$ סolà $\tau \alpha \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\circ}$ ${ }^{E} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta} \nu$, to which an objection at once presents itself, that $\delta$ oca is a mere tautology after $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\alpha}$. The next verse is
 $\delta^{2} \dot{a} \delta_{\in \lambda \phi \in \hat{\omega} \nu}$, paria fratrum mala. The Med. has $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda a s \delta^{\prime}$ all $\delta^{\prime}$ к. $\tau . \lambda$. with most of the MSS. From the Schol. Med. it may be inferred that these two verses

 and that either $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a s$ or ${ }^{2} \gamma \gamma b \theta \in \nu$ is an interpolation. I have thought it best to retain the vulgate, as the antistrophic verses are very uncertain. The crasis in $\delta_{\delta} \delta \lambda \lambda \phi \dot{a} \delta \in \lambda \phi \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ derives some little countenance from Prom. 854. In the corresponding v. 985, ठ̌̌ưך $\rho \bar{a}$ may be defended

$970-1$. These verses occur in the MSS. after v. 995. Hermann has transposed them, and I think rightly. "Quum eadem illa verba bis in hoc carmine inveniantur, fieri non potuit, ut aliter quam locis lege antistrophica sibi respondentibus collocarentur. Itaque aut neutro eorum locorum, in quibus nunc sunt, justam sedem habent, aut alterutro certe loco
cedant necesse est." In fact, as two verses are wanting in this place, and the very verses which in the antistrophe precede the closing é $\phi$ u $\mu \iota \circ \nu$, ì Moìpa, к.т. $\lambda$. , there is scarcely room for doubt. It is very probable that they were omitted bere by some grammarian who thought them superfluous after 966-7.
974. Oibitiov бкıd. Schol. Med. ${ }^{\delta}$
 $\dot{u} d \dot{d} \rho \chi \in t \nu$. This is evidently wrong. Hermann understands the ghost of Oedipus which appeared to Eteocles sup. 707. In the MSS. this ephymnium is assigned to Antigone or Ismene. Hermann gives the first three lines to the former, the other to the latter, on the ground that the speech of Antigone could not both end the strophe and begin the antistrophe. Blomfield and Dind. follow Schütz in giving the whole to the chorus.
 One MS. gives $\hat{\epsilon} \delta \in \ell \xi \in \tau \epsilon$. The Schol. Med.


 tigone addresses the two brothers inf. 1003-4.
978. où $\delta^{7}$ 'Ine日'. 'Nor did Polynices after all return, since Eteocles killed him

980. दे $\pi \dot{\omega} \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$. Corrupt, and not easily corrected. Hermann gives $\quad \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$



İ．тá̀al $\pi \alpha ́ \theta o s$.


AN．ỏ入oà $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ．

XO．

${ }^{i} \omega$, Moîpa

ßариסóтєıра $\mu о \gamma є р а ̀$, тótvcá $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$ Oídítov бкıà，



AN．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \grave{\iota} \kappa \alpha \tau \eta ิ \lambda \theta \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ є́s $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.


15．ì，¿̀̀ кака́．
AN．$\delta \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$
IZ．каì $\chi$ Oоví．
AN．$\quad \pi \rho \grave{~} \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu \delta^{\circ}$ çuoí．

$A N$ ．i $\omega$ ，$\delta v \sigma \pi о ́ \tau \mu \omega \nu$ ．
dorf，屯̈入є ¿ข $\downarrow \sigma \phi \downarrow \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．We might also conjecture，
 $\tau \delta \nu \delta \in$ ，as Oed．Col．1700，длобтє $\gamma \in \kappa$ ка． тд̀ ѐv хєрої̀ натєі̃хоу．
983．тd́入au $\pi \dot{d}$ Oos．So Herm．，Dind． with one MS．，which has тúnav kal $\pi$ d́ $\theta$ os． The others give $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda a v a \operatorname{\pi \alpha } \dot{\alpha} \theta \nu \nu, \pi \alpha \theta \partial \nu$ ，or $\pi \alpha^{\alpha} \theta \eta$ ．

985．סluypa，к．т．入．Neither this nor the preceding verse can be relied on．It is usually rendered＇soaked through with triple calamity．＇Hermann gives $\delta$ luypa $\pi \eta \mu a \tau \alpha, \pi a \lambda \mu d \tau \omega \nu$ ，but $\tau \rho!\pi a \lambda \tau o s$ seems an Aeschylean word，whether we suppose the whole force to beconveyed by $\tau \rho i s$ ，or regard it as a metaphor from a thrice－ brandished dart，so as to mean＇vehe－ ment．＇

992 seqq．To distinguish them from the preceding antistrophe these lines are urranged，convenionlly rather than ac－
curately，under the term epodus．In fact， as before 952－60，they evidently agreed in couplets．But there are some corrup． tions which cannot be emended without a too wide departure from the MSS．Her－ mann has attempted the task with great ingenuity，on the supposition that a line has dropped out after 1002 and again after 1003．－av̀ roivvy ol̃ota．Schol．

 reads бù $\tau o l$ $\nu \iota \nu$ oil $\sigma \theta a$ ，by a conjecture afterwards confirmed by $\gamma \rho$ ．$\nu / \nu$ in one of the Paris MSS．

1001．ì ${ }^{2}, \delta v \sigma \pi \delta\langle\mu \omega \nu$ ．So Hermann with many MSS．There is a great variety of readings，$\delta \nu \sigma \tau \delta \nu \omega \nu, \delta v \sigma \tau d \nu \omega \nu$ ，$\delta \nu \sigma \tau\}-$ $\nu \omega \nu$ ，and all add either как $\omega \nu$ or $\pi \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ ． Without doubt Hermann is right in making avag＇Eтedклє，se reply of Ismene．He goes on to read $\sigma \dot{u} \delta^{\circ}$


AN．ì $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi о \lambda v \sigma \tau o \nu \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau о$ ．
IZ．＊＊＊


1005
AN．ì̀，öтоv＇$\sigma \tau \grave{\imath} \tau \iota \mu \iota \omega \tau \alpha \tau о \nu$.
IE．ì̀，ì̀，$\pi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ $\pi a \tau \rho \grave{i} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \in \nu \nu o \nu$.
КНРТ官．




name Polynices was contained in a lost verse．If we may conjecture that verse to have been $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \kappa \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ ，the sense is complete．＇But thou wert the beginner＇ －＇Yes，of much strife．＇

1004．色 $y$ äza．Hermann omits èv with several MSS．，and it rather clashes with the regular construction．Cf．Cho．

 Perhaps we may render it，＇possessed by evil influence in a time of calamity，＇i．e． the invasion of the city．

1006． $8 \pi \frac{1}{}$＇$\sigma \tau$ ！．I have inserted $\left.\dot{e} \sigma \tau\right\}$ ， with Dindorf，which the metre seems to require．

1007．$\pi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \pi$ тatpl $\pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \rho \in \nu 0 \nu, \quad$＇calamity arising from my father＇s marriage．＇Schol．
 context seems to determine the sense； but the expression should，grammatically speaking，rather mean，＇alas for the evil （i．e．cause of evil，Jocasta）that was wedded to my father．＇
 difficult to believe that this strange and unusual expression came from the pen of the poet．The words nal $\delta \delta \xi a \nu \tau \alpha$ are added quite in the style of the glosses of later Scholiasts．The critics however do not seem to suspect the vulgate，which can only mean＇what seems good to，and has been formally resolved by，the rulers of the land，＇i．e．Creon．The Schol．Med．
 $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho \bar{\eta}$ ，and the later Schol．т $\dot{\alpha}$ д́ $\rho \in ́ \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau a$ тô̂s $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̂ ̂ s ~ k a l ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho о e ́ \chi o u \sigma t ~ \tau \hat{\omega v}$
 тоîs $\pi \rho \dot{́} \tau 0 เ s \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．Blomfield conjectures


1010．e＇$\pi$＇єv̀yola $\chi$ Oovos，＇with the good will of the land．＇The same use of
 $\mu о \lambda \pi a i ̂ s$.

1012．$\epsilon^{1} \rho \gamma \omega v$ ．This word is doubtful． The Med．and many other MSS．give $\sigma \tau v \gamma \omega \nu$ ，whence Hermann after Dobree （Advss．ii．p．19）edits $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ．We

 supra 205，where $\sigma \tau$＇$\gamma \epsilon t$ is＇to be proof against；＇but it does not appear that a
 keep away the enemy．＇The Med．has
 given in ed．Rob．and some MSS．－ Advatov $\epsilon^{\prime}\left(\lambda \epsilon \tau^{3}\right.$ ，＇he got his death．＇So
 Ag．341．Cf，Eum． 829.
 $\lambda$ кítel $\dot{\eta}$ únéf．Hermann says，＂jun－ gendum est cum $8 \sigma \omega o s$, quod idem est ac si dixisset $\approx \psi a v \sigma \tau o s$, vel simile quid．＂ Why should not the genitive depend on $\mu 0 \mu \phi \hat{\eta} s{ }_{\alpha}^{\circ} \tau \tau \rho$ ？i．e．＇without having wished to ravage the temples，like his brother．＇
 $\chi \rho \dot{v}$























The order of the words is alleged in favour of iep $\bar{\omega} \nu$ 実os. But this is an argument which it does not seem safe to press too

 verbial phrase, illustrated by Blomfield in his glossary from Soph. El. 1488, where dogs and vultures are called taфeis. The idea naturally suggested itself in countries where those creatures are the regular consumers of exposed carrion.
1028. Té $\lambda \in t$, i. e. Toîs èv té $\lambda \in t$. Schol. Med. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu a \tau t$ and $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \in t .-\tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma \in$ Blomf, with one MS.

 from the Schol. recent. eis $\kappa i \nu \delta \nu \nu o \nu{ }^{[ } \mu \beta \beta a \lambda \hat{\omega}$



 103. Aristoph. frag. 545, фpále tolvuv,
 which last shews clearly the metaphor.
 I claim and acknowledge as indeed my brother, though others have abandoned him. - ãto
 838, 869. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \in \hat{\nu}=\vec{a} \pi \in t \theta \in \hat{\nu} \nu$ Eur. Suppl. 389. Heracl. 968. Herod. iii. 15. vi. 108.
.1034. $\delta$ etvóv. Cf. Prom. 39, to $\bar{\xi} u \gamma-$

 so fond of this sort of antithesis that the exact meaning is not in every instance
 $\hat{\eta}^{\pi} \pi \alpha \rho$ $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \mathrm{d} \nu$. Cho. 264. The Scholiasts seem to have read rawê with the Med.


 $\tau \alpha ́ \phi o \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ av̉ $\bar{\varphi}$ каì катабкафàs є̇ $\gamma \omega$, $\gamma \nu \nu \eta ं \pi \epsilon \rho$ ovै $\sigma a, \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \mu a \iota$
 $\kappa a v ̉ \tau \grave{\eta} \kappa a \lambda \nu ́ \psi \omega \cdot \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \omega$ ठóg $\eta \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.


AN. aủ $\delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \mu \eta े \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma a ̀ ~ \kappa \eta \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu o i ́$.




 AN. $\pi a \theta \grave{\omega} \nu ~ к а к \omega ิ s ~ к а к о і ̈ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \grave{a} \nu \tau \eta \mu \epsilon i ́ ß \epsilon \tau о . ~$

The idea seems to be, that if Polynices had beep alive, he would have been unwilling that his sister should incur danger in his behalf by disobeying the state.
1040. aùt $\hat{\text {. }}$. aù $\tau \grave{\eta}$ Herm. Dind. Blomf. with Pierson. This is probable; but then the repetition in кaiv $\bar{\eta}$ кa $\lambda \lambda \psi \omega$ is rather unsatisfactory, and $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon$ may very well agree with $\kappa \delta \quad \lambda \pi \varphi$.
1043. $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \varphi \delta \delta \dot{\xi} \eta \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \nu$. 'And let no one suppose it will be otherwise.' Cf. 1039. Schol. recent. ėvavtíws.
 Some take adpoel for the dative; but the imperative seems rather eis more tra. gicorum, and so Hermann has edited.
1048. т $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \chi y^{\prime}$. Schol. Med. $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$

 $\kappa \delta \mu \pi \alpha \zeta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}{ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \omega$ sup. 475. Müller (Diss. ad Eum. p. 80) finds here a political allusion " to the history of those times, when the Athenian populace, full of pride and insolence on the score of their achievements against the Persians, clamorously demanded new privileges and liberties, a partial concession of which even Aristides considered to be rendered expedient by the spirit of the age."
 ov, which seems, as sup. 468 , to have arisen from a misapprehension of the
sense, which is, 'Yes, I will; for he is no longer honoured by the gods.' Literally, 'the gods have done honouring his affairs.' The reply is, 'It was not so till he forfeited their favour by endangering his country.' Compare Hippol. 1456,

 $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$. Frag. Aesch. 263, from Hesych.


 ò $\delta v a \tau \epsilon \tau \ell \mu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$, by a conjecture far from probable. Dindorf prints the vulgate with an interrogation. It is not a little remarkable that the Schol. Med. does not recognise oủ, in $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \in \rho!\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \mu \hat{\eta} s$

1052. $\pi \alpha \theta \omega \nu$ как $\omega$ s. 'He had suffered a wrong, and was but requiting it with wrong.' - But this attempt of his was directed against all the citizens, instead of Eteocles alone.'-'Contention is the last goddess to finish a dispute; I tell you, I will bury him ; use no more words.''Well, have your own way ; I can only forbid it.' Blomfield was the first to suspect $v .1054$ to be an interpolation on the ground that it violated the uniformity of the $\sigma \pi \iota \chi o \mu \nu \theta i \alpha$. Hermann, with much greater probability, attributes it to the herald as an answer to a lost verse of

#  AN. ${ }^{* E \rho \iota s} \pi \epsilon \rho a i \nu \epsilon \iota \mu \hat{v} \theta o \nu \nu \dot{v} \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \nu$  


XO.
$\phi \in \hat{\imath}, \phi \in \hat{v}$,
§̂ $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda a v \chi o \iota ~ к a i ̀ ~ \phi \theta \in \rho \sigma \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \in i ̂ s$


$\tau i ́ \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \omega ; ~ \tau i ́ \delta e ̀ ~ \delta \rho \hat{\omega} ; ~ \tau i ́ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu a l$;

$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ढ̇ $\pi \grave{\imath} \tau \dot{v} \mu \beta o \nu$;


$\sigma v ́ \gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu$


$\epsilon i \sigma \iota . \quad \tau i ́ s a ̀ a \nu ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \pi i ́ t o u \tau o ;$
НМ. A. $\delta \rho a ́ \tau \omega \tau \epsilon \pi о$ о́lıs каї $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \delta \rho a ́ \tau \omega ~$
1070
то̀̀s клаі́ovтаs По入vขєікๆ,
 аїठє $\pi \rho о ́ \pi о \mu \pi о$.


Antigone, which he supposes may have been ol $\gamma \in \xi \nu \nu \eta \delta i n \eta \sigma a \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\beta} \beta i ́ \sigma a \nu \tau i ́ v \nu$.
1060. $\pi \rho \nu \mu \nu \delta \theta \in \nu$. So Dind. with all the MSS. $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \mu \nu o \theta \in \nu$ Blomf. Herm, with Vossius. See on 71 sup.
1064. à̉ $\lambda \lambda d$ ¢oßov̂pal. 'And yet. on the other hand I am afraid, and am averse from incurring a fear of the citizens' (facere, unde mihi cives timendi sint, Herm.). On this dilemma between duty and fear the chorus divide, one side, with Antigone, courageously accompanying the corpse of Polynices, the other, with Ismene and a procession of the citizens, following the bier of Eteocles.
 would obey the city in this order?' i. e. who will dare to go with Antigone? The majority of the MSS. give tis aty oiv to
 к.т.. ., and only four or five have ravita. The ofv might very well have been thrust
in to fill up the anapaestic verse (see on Pers. 547), but then we should have expected not $\tau d$, but tâ̂тa. Hermana edits Tis oỉy tu $\tau \mathrm{d} \pi$ It outo; The reading in the text is Porson's.
1070. $\delta \rho \dot{d} \tau \omega \tau \epsilon$. The $\tau \epsilon$ was inserted by Canter. Hermann and Dindorf prefer ti with Elmsley. It has been remarked on Suppl. 756, that $\tau$ a and $\pi$ are sometimes confused, and this might account for the omission of the former before the latter. But the Schol. Med. does not seem to have found any accusative, for he supplies of $\beta$ ót $\lambda \in \tau a, ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon i \tau \omega . ~ C f . ~$ $\delta \rho a ̄ \sigma \alpha l t \in \mu \eta{ }^{2} \delta \rho a ̄ \sigma a l ~ t \in$ Suppl. 374. The sense is, ' whether or not the city imposes a penalty, we will go,' \&c.
1074. кal $\gamma$ àp $\gamma \in \nu \in \underset{q}{c}$. Hermann, who would have this system to correspond accurately with the following, supposes $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $K a \delta \mu \in \mathfrak{L} \omega \nu$ or $\tau \hat{\eta} K a \delta \mu o \gamma \in \nu \in \hat{\imath}$ to have been lost.-đ $\lambda \lambda \omega \frac{\partial}{a} \lambda \lambda о \tau \epsilon \kappa$ к.т. $\lambda$., a clear and

##  <br> 

 каì тò Síkaıov छ̀vvetalขєî.
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha ́ \kappa \alpha \rho a s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \Delta i o ̀ s ~ i \sigma \chi \grave{v} \nu$
 1080 $\mu \eta े{ }^{\prime} \nu a \tau \rho a \pi \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda o \delta \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ кú $\mu a \tau \iota \phi \omega \tau \omega \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda \nu \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$.
forcible allusion to the fickleness of an 139, where the Athenians are spoken of Athenian mob, possibly in reference to as $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \alpha, \mu \in \tau \alpha \quad \gamma \in \theta \in o \nu s$, à $\nu \omega \sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$. their treatment of Aristides.
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## AGAMEMNON.

The Orestea,--the only extant specimen of a tragic trilogy,-was acted Ol. 80. 2, as recorded in the Greek argument, and only three years before the death of its author at Gela in Sicily. It relates, in a continuous and connected narrative, and without regard to what modern critics have called the unities of time and place, the triumphant return of Agamemnon from Troy, his treacherous murder by his faithless queen, the just and heaven-directed vengeance of his son Orestes, returning from exile to claim the throne and to slay the guilty usurpers, Clytemnestra and Aegisthus; the subsequent remorse and madness of the avenger, his expiation and judicial acquittal by the aid of Apollo and Pallas. By far the most profound and difficult of the existing plays of Aeschylus, these three,-in each of which a third actor appears,-combine an elaborateness and complexity of plot, an artistic development of the characters, and (in the Eumenides) a variety of religious and political allusions, all which, to be rightly comprehended, demand from the student a most careful and repeated study of each, not regarded as a separate composition, but strictly as a part of a whole.

The scene of the Agamemnon is laid at Argos, and the chorus consists of twelve Argive Elders, who form the senate and vicegerent council of state in the absence of the King, much as the $\Pi \iota \sigma \tau o i$ who compose the chorus in the Persians. The first act of the play is taken up with the narrative of the capture of Troy and the calamitous return of the army, and the arrival of the victorious King; the second includes his death, and that of his paramour, the captive Cassandra; the third describes the conflict between the chorus, still faithful to their lord, and the avowed and defying usurpers of the royal house. Though only the secondary character, the chief interest centers in Clytemnestra. Subtle, proud, daring, resolute, and an accomplished hypocrite, she disguises a long-cherished hatred of her lord, resulting from the sacrifice of their daughter at Aulis, under the guise of a love-sick affection. The murder being perpetrated, she throws off the mask, and not only avows, but glories in the deed as an act of just retribution. With all this she is not the abandoned and shameless adulteress, but the deeply-injured wife and mother;
not the merely vindictive and ferocious homicide, but the sophist who can justify and the moralist who can reason upon her conduct.
"The main idea of the trilogy," Müller remarks (Dissert. p. 210), "consists in the shewing how a curse, rooted in the human race and generating one misdeed out of another, in a case where only the family'destiny and no guilt of his own weighs upon the curse-possessed person, is averted by the superior control of the saving God." We have already traced the same idea in the family curse of the house of Laius in the Seven against Thebes.

The MSS. of the Orestea are unfortunately very few. The Medicean contains it, but in a mutilated state, from the loss of many leaves, which makes a gap in this play from v. 301 to 1034, and again from 1129 to the end, including the argument and part of the prologue of the Choephoroe.

MS. Guelph., a copy from the Med. of the xyth century, and containing thè same lacunae.

A Florence MS. of saec. xv., also copied from the Medicean, and with the same lacunae.
A fragment of the Agamemnon (as far as v. 339) in a Venetian MS. said to be of saec. xiii. It is thought to have been copied from the Medicean while yet entire, since it goes considerably beyond the first lacuna now existing in the Med. This MS. comprises some of the other plays, but neither the Choephoroe nor the Eumenides.

Another Venice MS. of saec. xiii. containing, amongst other plays, the Agamemnon and Eumenides, but both mutilated. This MS. is thought to have contained the Agamemnon entire, but many leaves have been torn out of it, viz. from V. 45 to 1064.

A Florence MS. of saec. xiv., which contains the Agamemnon entire, together with the Eumenides (mutilated) and other plays.

A Naples MS. written by the grammarian Triclinius, about the end of the xivth century, also containing the Agamemnon entire, with the same plays as the last, but of little authority from the numerous conjectural alterations he has introduced.

The last three MSS. are considered by some not to have been derived from the Medicean.

The Medicean is the sole authority for the corrupt and difficult play of the Choephoroe (the MS. Guelph. being a mere transcript from it). For the Eumenides, besides those enumerated above, a Paris MS. exists, written by the hand of Janus Lascar, and copied either from the Medicean, or the archetypus MS., whence the latter was derived; and a paper MS. of saec. xvi., comprising the latter half of the same play.
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#### Abstract

$\Theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a i \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta ̀ \nu \pi o ́ \nu \omega \nu$      [ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s$, ö $\tau \alpha \nu \phi \theta i \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, ả $\nu \tau 0 \lambda a ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$.


1. $\theta$ eoùs $\mu$ '̀ $\nu$ airê. 'I am asking of the gods a riddance from these toilsome duties throughout the length of my year's watch.' The Watchman who speaks the Prologue is understood to be a servant of the family, appointed to the task by Clytemnestra, but in heart suspicious of her designs, and devoted to the interests of his lord. He is seen on the house-top, i. e. the roof of the palace of the Atridae represented in the proscenium, from which he descends to call Clytemnestra at v. 39. As regards the construction, if we retain the MSS. reading $\mu$ १̂ros, for which Blomf. and Dind. adopt Stanley's correction $\mu \hat{\eta} \chi o s$, it seems best to take it, with Klausen, for the accusative of the duration of time, and to explain фpoupò é $\tau$ cia ' $a$ watch which has already lastéd a year,' from the words of Homer, whom Aeschylus has evidently had in view, Od.
 the spy appointed by Aegisthus to keep a look-out for the return of Agamemnon. By the plural $\pi \delta \nu \omega \nu$ not only the duty of watching is implied, but the inconveniences attending it ( $\mathrm{\nabla} .12-15$ ), and the sorrowful thoughts on the state of the royal household (18, 19).

which by night, with head on hand,' i. e. in a reclining posture, but not actually sleeping. He first specifies the place where, and then the manner how he
 $\mu \dot{\omega} \mu \in \nu 0 s$, which, taken literally, would imply an absurdity, the sleeping on his post,

 $\theta_{\in \nu} \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \beta \rho \in ́ \tau a s$. So a gloss in MS.
 hand Hesychius and the author of the Lexicon in Bekker's Anecdota, i. p. 337, assert that Aeschylus used $\ddot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \gamma \leftarrow \alpha \theta \in \nu$ for à éc $\kappa \theta \in \nu$, and Franz has admitted the latter reading into the text. It does not appear that $a^{2} \nu \in \kappa \alpha \theta \in \nu$, from àvà and $\varepsilon$ enc̀s (Cho. 419), can legitimately be contracted into $\not \partial y r c a \theta y$, and we have sufficient grounds for the other interpretation in II.




2. This verse has with reason been suspected as spurious, since à $\sigma \tau$ épas is equally awkward after \% $\% \tau \rho \omega \nu$ (4) whether regarded as a synonym or with an intended difference. It was probably added by some one who thought $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o u s$.

$\kappa \alpha \grave{~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \phi u \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega ~ \lambda \alpha \mu \pi a ́ \delta o s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v ́ \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu, ~}$ av̉yウ̀ $\nu \pi v \rho o ̀ s, \phi є ́ \rho о v \sigma a \nu$ ẻk T Toías фátıv      $\tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \beta \in \beta a i ́ \omega s$ $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi a \rho \alpha \sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ v̈ $\pi \nu \omega{ }_{c}$ ．  

$\delta v \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \tau a s$（sun and moon）too bold a phrase for the heavenly bodies；the King and Queen of the sky．It is an important evidence，in weighing the question of authenticity，that the poet does not ap－ pear to have admitted a dactyl in the first foot of a senarius except in the case of a proper name．See on Cho．208．How－ ever，the later editors retain the verse， and Hermann renders $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau 0 \lambda d{ }^{2} s \tau \tau \omega$ et aliorum ortus．See on Prom．212， and compare ibid．462－6．

8．кal $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ；Klausen understands，＇as I have long watched the nightly stars，so I am now watching for the beacon，＇ making кal in $v .5$ to be answered by ral in the present verse．A simpler way is to suppose that кal recalls the more direct duty amentioned in v．2，－＇and accordingly I am now here watching，＇\＆c．
 confident in its hopes is the manly soul of a woman．＇This is Klausen＇s interpreta－ tion，кратє $\hat{e} \hat{e}^{\lambda} \pi i\langle\zeta o \nu$, in sperando superius est，as кратєì тре́ $\chi$ oעтa к．т．入．is used． The objection is，that the poet would have been more likely to write $\bar{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \gamma$ र̀ $\rho$
 other hand，there really seems no autho－ rity for kpareivi in the sense of＇to com． mand，＇for the verse quoted from Hec．
 $\mu \dot{\eta}$ र $\rho \in \dot{\omega} v$, is evidently susceptible of a simpler meaning，＇lords ought not to claim an authority which is unlawful．＇ We have rparov̀ra used absolutely in Theb．176，to express the independence resulting from the absence of restraint； and perhaps we may say that the general idea of superiority here implies that of command and authority．The object of her hopes is purposely left indefinite．It
was something more than the capture of Troy，－the success of the daring plans which she had laid for the deception and destruction of her husband．Some such inference must be drawn from the addition of $\dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \delta \beta o u \lambda o v$, with which compare $\dot{\alpha}, \nu-$ $\delta \rho \delta ф \rho \omega \nu$ रvvクे，Soph．frag． 680.
 the personal annoyances of his nightly duty，－comfortless and sleepless nights， and gloomy forebodings about the family． There is no regular apodosis to $\epsilon \frac{\partial}{} \tau^{\prime} \dot{d} \nu$ ， because $8 \tau a \nu \delta$ è is inserted in $v .16$ ，and thus the mind of the speaker was drawn away from the introductory proposition． Translate：＇and as often as I take up my nightly post which admits of no rest and is watered by the dews of heaven，a post which is not visited by dreams，for fear is ever at hand in place of sleep，so that I cannot close my eyelids soundly in repose， －and when 1 have a mind to sing or whistle by way of providing a musical remedy against sleep，－then I fall to tears，＇ \＆c．Klausen and Peile find a peculiar force in ${ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu \quad$ placed at the end of the first clause，as if it were directly suggestive of $\epsilon \mu 0 l$ to be supplied with $\pi a p a \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon$ ？ This appears to me a gratuitous supposi－ tion；nor is it easy to approve Hermann＇s

14．$\phi$ bosos．The fear of punishment if he is caught sleeping at his post．

16．$\mu \nu \nu \dot{v} p \in \sigma \theta a i . \quad$ Aelian，Var．Hist．ix． 11，says of the painter Parrhasius，nai $\hat{j} \delta \mathrm{E}$


 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \ell \frac{1}{0} \pi \nu 0 v$ ，the two distinct senses of the compound exerting their force just as if they had not been combined in one word．





 фа́оs $\pi \iota ф а и ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \nu ~ к а i ~ \chi о р \hat{\nu \nu ~ к а \tau а ́ \sigma \tau а \sigma \iota \nu ~}$
 iov̂, ỉov.


ỏ $\lambda o \lambda v \gamma \mu o ̀ \nu \epsilon \dot{\psi} \phi \eta \mu \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha ́ \delta \iota$ є่ $\pi \circ \rho \theta \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ \epsilon і ̈ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ ' I \lambda i ́ o v ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma$
 30
 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ є $\mathfrak{u} \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \theta \eta ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$,

19. סLamovov $\mu$ '́yov, 'managed.' The $\delta i a$ is to be regarded as a monosyllable in pronunciation.
22. $\bar{\varpi} \chi^{a i \hat{\rho}} \epsilon$. He suddenly sees the gleam of the beacon light, and starts to his feet from the reclining posture men-
 perly used for $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho t \nu \partial \nu$, as $\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha a \cup \kappa \tau \in \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \alpha$ clearly mean 'nightly doings' in Ar. Thesm. 204. Properly, it means 'a day's
 ' mourning not merely for a single year,' Alcest. 346. In póos there is also an allusion to the metaphorical sense, the light of joy and safety; as inf. 505, 芴кt

25. lov̂, lov̂. "Hurrah, hurrah!' The words are pronounced in a loud and protracted tone. Then, conscious as it were of having given an unseemly expression to a sudden impulse, he adds, ${ }^{\text {E }}$ By this shrill ery I am announcing to the queen that she should rise quickly from her couch and set up a loud shout of joyous acclamation
 фа́vшs. Compare торळ̄s $\gamma \in \gamma \omega \nu \in \hat{\imath}$, İon 696. Hermann and Dindorf prefer वquavむ, the reading of all the MSS. but Med. and Guelph. This would imply his intention of descending from the roof to enter the house. On $\partial \lambda o \lambda u \gamma \mu \partial{ }_{s}$ see inf. 577.
29. єไ $\boldsymbol{2} \epsilon \rho$, ' if really,' 'if indeed,'- $\pi \rho \epsilon \in$ $\pi \epsilon i$ may bear an active sense, as Buttmann suggests (Lexil. p. 351), and as it certainly has inf. 1299 ; but it is enough to understand with the Schol. Med. סianpenôs $\sigma \eta \mu a l \nu \epsilon$. Still, one might have looked

31. $\phi$ рoíplov $\chi$ орé́ $\sigma o \mu a t$. 'Will dance a prelude to it,' i. e. to the $\chi o \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \delta$ $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s$ which he anticipates in 23 . Without doubt he goes through some steps of a dance on the house-top, in conformity with his words. Both the actions and the language of the man are evidently borrowed from low life; and to this we must refer the vulgar proverbs $\tau$ pls ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi}$

 reckon, I shall assume, to have turned up well.'- $\tau \rho i s=\bar{\xi} \xi$, i. e. each of the three dice falling with the sice uppermost, which was the best throw,-the Senio and Venus of the Romans. Cf. Soph. frag. 689,
 $\sigma о ф \partial \nu \quad \kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu,-w h i c h$ illustrates the trechnical use of $\tau i \theta \in \sigma \theta \alpha i$, said of marking down or counting the numbers thrown.



 quatre.'




 $\mu a \theta o v ิ \sigma \iota \nu$ av̉ $\delta \hat{\omega}, \kappa \circ v \geqslant \mu a \theta o v ̂ \sigma \iota \lambda \eta^{\eta} \theta o \mu a \iota$.

XOPOZ.<br> $\mu \in ́ \gamma \alpha \mathrm{a}$ à $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\text { ídıos }}$    

34. $\gamma$ évoito $\delta$ ' ổv. 'But may it come to pass acrordingly -,' Peile. This is not the exact meaning of the particles $\delta^{3}$ oity, as will appear from 217. 246. Rather we may translate, 'however,' i. e. not to say any more on the subject of $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\delta \in \sigma \pi o \tau \omega ิ y$, I shall content myself with expressing a hope that I may feel the friendly hand of my lord within mine on his return.
35. Boûs én $\pi$ l $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta \eta$ 白 $\beta \eta \kappa \in \nu$. This proverb was used of those on whom compulsory and unwilling silence was imposed. Nothing is here said about a bribe of money. He only means, that he is not at liberty to express his real apprehensions about the conduct of the house. Hermann, who remarks with truth " multa proverbia tam fortuitam habent originem, eam ut, nisi casu servata est memoria, nemo possit eruere," supposes the notion to be borrowed from an ox treading on its own litter, or perhaps on the foot of a. man, so that it cannot be withdrawn. See New Cratylus, § 468. Theognis, v. 815, Bô̂s èml $\mu 0 t \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta$
 $\lambda \epsilon!\nu$.
36. écúy. This belongs, and in a slightly different sense, to both aù $\hat{\omega}$ and $\lambda \phi \theta o \mu a t$. 'To those who are acquainted with the secret affairs of the family, I willingly speak out, while to those who are not, I purposely lose my memory on the subject.' Compare Herod. iii. 75, $\delta$


 $\mu a t$.
37. The Watchman having retired into the palace through the central doorway in the proscenium, the chorus enter the orchestra by .the parodos, and during their slow and measured tread to the thymele in the centre, sing the following system of anapaests, which constitutes the parode properly so called. Compare the opening anapaests of the Suppliants and the Persians. The burden of their strain, which is conceived in a gloomy and boding spirit, is the long absence of the army at Troy on its mission of vengeance. They are anzious to learn what news Clytemnestra has received, that their minds may be relieved from their present suspense between hope and fear.
38. $\tau \not \mu \hat{\eta} s$. This is not so much the genitive of quality as directly depending
 give the notion of duality to a singular substantive, and the phrase is thus nearly
 $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$. We may translate, 'a powerful pair of throned and sceptered kings holding their office from Zeus, namely, the sons of Atreus.' So ai àpxal, tà $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \eta$, are used of the persons rather than the mere office they hold; and we have
 inf. 110:

- ท̂pal $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \hat{\tau} \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu$,


 $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi о \delta \iota \nu 0 \hat{v} \nu \tau a \iota$,
 $\delta \epsilon \mu \nu \iota \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \eta$
 ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi a \tau o s \delta^{\prime} a ̈ t \omega \nu \quad \ddot{\eta} \tau \iota s^{\prime} A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$

Пà $\eta$ Ə̉ Zє̀̀s oícvó $\theta \rho o o \nu$


47. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau t \omega ิ \tau \iota \nu$ á $\rho \omega \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu$. The accusative in apposition to the sentence rather than to $\sigma \tau 6 \lambda o y$. See on Prom. 575.
 war! and call for vengeance for the rape of Helen, as vultures fly screaming round their eyrie when their young have been taken away. The comparison is also drawn between the Zeus Xenius who (61) directs the expedition against Paris, and the Pan or Apollo as it may be ( $\tau t s$ ) who hearkens to the cry of the birds.- Enmatiois ädyeat. 'With solitary grief

 166. So also ék $\pi$ átou Il. xx . 137. The poet seems merely to describe the haunts of vultures in the wild and solitary places, far away from man. Plutarch, Quaest.




 $\chi^{\theta 0 \nu}$ ds Prom. 865, vivTdrov $\nu \in \dot{\omega} s$ Suppl. 697. ப̈татоs $\chi$ ẃpas Zè̀s inf. 492.

53-4. $\delta \in \mu \nu เ о \tau \eta \rho \eta ~ \pi \delta \nu о \nu ~ \grave{\rho} \rho \alpha \lambda i \chi \propto \nu$. "Laborem quem parentes pullis incubando sustinuerunt." Hermann. It seems however equally probable that the poet meant 'their unfledged goung, the objects
of their care,' as Hesychius explains it with some of the modern commentators. In this sense compare Herc. Fur. 1039,
 тє́кцขд.
55. 方 $\tau$ IS 'A $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. The same in point of sense as if he had said ẗтatós $\tau t 5$ àt $t \omega \nu$,
 such of the gods as were most likely to undertake the defence of the birds, but still leaves the matter indefinite.- $\mu \epsilon \tau 01-$ $\kappa \omega \nu$, i. e. of the vultures themselves (the parent birds), who are viewed in reference to the Athenian sojourners and their patrons ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau d \dot{d} \alpha \iota$ ), through whom alone redress could be obtained at law. Thus the vultures are $\mu$ évoнкo to the gods, as residents in the same aerial region. Hermann objects to $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$, observing that, if it were said of the birds, either $\mu \epsilon \tau 01 \pi \omega \nu$ or $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \in \tau o i k \omega \nu$ would have been sufficient. He therefore reads $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau о i ́-$ $\kappa \omega \nu$, understanding $\frac{i}{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{l}$ with $\vec{d} t \omega \nu$, and taking $\mu \in \tau o i k \omega \nu$ for Helen and $\pi a \rho a \beta \hat{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ of the Trojans. But the words which follow, oṽ $\tau \omega$ §̀t \&c., seem clearly to shew that hitherto the description has been confined to the vultures.
62. $\pi 0 \lambda$ dáyooos. Schol. Med. $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ пo入-




 [оข้тє $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho v ́ \omega \nu] \mathfrak{\alpha} \pi \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ í $\epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\mu i \mu \nu о \mu \in \nu$, $i \sigma \chi \grave{\nu} \nu$

ŏ $\tau \epsilon \gamma$ à $\nu \epsilon a \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu v \epsilon \lambda o ̀ s ~ ब \tau \epsilon ́ p \nu \omega \geqslant$
64. $\begin{gathered}\text { óvaras. See on Pers. 914. Suppl: }\end{gathered}$ 85. Arnaldus proposed $\gamma 6$ batos hovíats ${ }^{2} \nu \in \rho \in \in \delta=\mu \in \nu=v$, which seems an improve-

 the onset,' i. e. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \dot{d} \chi \eta$ ). Cf. $\epsilon^{2} \nu$ ßıóvou $\pi \rho о \tau \in \lambda \in$ lous inf. 699 . Properly, тротé $\overline{\text { eta }}$
 Hesych., for marriage itself was called

 matters are where they now are; and they will be accomplished according to destiny.' So the Greeks usually say тe入єutầ ${ }^{\prime} s t i$, 'to ead in or at a thing or place.' The preceding words, Tpwol $\theta^{\prime} \delta \mu 0 i \omega s$, which, from their position after the paroemiac verse, are evidently em-phatic,-"'aye, and to the Trojans too,' i. e. to them in an especial manner, show that the mind of the speaker is now fixed on the guilty party alone; and hence there is the less difficulty in supplying 'A入є ${ }^{\prime} d \boldsymbol{d} \delta \rho o s$ from 61 as the subject

70. ойтє $\delta a k \rho \frac{1}{\omega} \omega \nu$. I formerly inclosed these words as probably spurious; and Hermann has arrived at the same conclusion. There are two interpretations, out of many that have been proposed on this difficult passage, which appear more plausible than the rest. The first is that of Klausen, whom I follow; ' neither by secret grief nor by offered libations will he appease the stubborn anger of Zeus on account of the non-performance of his rites,' i. e. for the neglect of the laws of
hospitality in carrying off Helen (inf. 392). Hesych. antupov á̉úrov. Eur. Hipp. 147, àuípos à $\theta \dot{y} \tau \omega \nu \pi \in \lambda d y \omega \nu$. The other is that adopted by Dindorf and Hermann, who refer $\dot{a} \pi \dot{u} \rho \omega \nu \quad$ í $\in \hat{\omega} \nu$ to the irregular and impious sacrifice of Iphigenia, and $\pi a \rho a-$ $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \xi \in l$ to Agamemnon. But, as before remarked, the Trojans rather than the Greeks seem to be indicated by the context.

 See Eum. 246. The passive form in - $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}$ is rare, but not hastily to be condemned, as Hermann admits, while with Klausen and Peile he prefers $\dot{\alpha} \tau i \tau q$. The readings of the MSS. in -at of course may be made to serve both sides of the question. But, if Aeschylus had intended the dative of ätitos, he would surely have preferred àtitq. Hence I have followed Blomf., Diud., and Franz in giving ảrltal. Translate: " but we unhonoured with our aged bodies, having been left out of the then expedition, are staying at home, supporting a child-like strength on staffs,' i. e. walking feebly by the aid of a stick, for if old at the time of the expedition, ten years before, they were now decrepit, $\dot{v} \epsilon \rho$ $\gamma$ һр $\varphi$.
76. 8 tє ráp. The poet proceeds to enlarge on the idea just expressed in $i \sigma \sigma \pi a, \delta \alpha$, by an allusion to the wellknown enigma of Oedipus. The sense is, ' for both the vigour of boyhood holding sway within the breast is on a par with old age, and Ares is not at his post (i. e.

 ǒ $\theta^{\top}$ vi $\pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \eta \rho \omega \varsigma$ ，фu入入áסos $\eta \geqslant \nabla \eta$ катакарфоре́ขŋя，трі́тоסая $\mu$ èv óסò̀s $\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \epsilon \iota, \pi a \iota \delta o ̀ s \delta^{\circ}$ ov̉ $\delta \grave{\nu} \nu$ ảpéi $\omega \nu$
 $\sigma \grave{~} \delta \grave{\text { è，}}, T v v \delta a ́ \rho \epsilon \omega$

 тivos à $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a s$
$\pi \epsilon v \theta o ̂ ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \alpha$ Өvooкıขєîs； $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ठ $\grave{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$,
it is not the military $\hat{\eta} \lambda c \kappa(a)$ ，and the very old man，the green leaf being now sere and withered，walks with three feet（i．e． by the aid of a staff），and in no respect stronger than a child，wanders like a day dream．＇The phrase $\tau \rho$ ítovs $\beta$ potos is as early as Hesiod，Opp． 533.
77．ảváarav．Hermann，followed by Dindorf and Peile，reads $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{q} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ，the vulgate being，as he thinks，＇non aptum infirmae medullae verbum．＇There is no force in the objection，since the vis viva， be it little or great，may be said to occupy the citadel of the body．
 common reading $\tau 6 \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \in \rho \gamma^{\prime} \hat{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ ，or $\tau 6 \theta^{\prime}$
 corruption titirepynpows（so the Med．） or $\tau \delta \theta$ tre $\gamma$ रhpews．The Farnesian or Naples MS．alone gives $\tau \delta \theta^{3} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \eta \rho \omega \nu$ ． The preservation of the termination in －$\omega s$ ，not to say the masculine $\mathfrak{a} \rho \in \dot{f} \omega \nu$ in 81，is in favour of Franz＇s emendation， nor is the hiatus with the preceding verse a valid objection；see Eum．301－4－5． Klausen gives 8 ze－$\tau \delta \theta^{\prime}$ ，quando－ tum；but ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \delta \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta v s$ is thas made to bear the forced sense of senilis，which does not suit its correlative i $\sigma \delta \pi \alpha$ ois in 75.

82．adaivel．That is，he has no energy nor consistency of mind or body；his actions and ideas are as vague and ill－ defined as a dream in a mid day siesta．

83．$\sigma \grave{\nu} \delta$ ò к．т．入．＂Egressam interea dum locutus erat Coryphaeus ex regiis aedibus Clytaemnestram compellat，quae in scena sacris faciendis occupatur．＂ Hermann．
87．$\pi \varepsilon \cup \notin 0$ î．So Dind．and Blomf．for
$\pi \in t \theta 0 \hat{\text { r }}$ ，the Florence MS．having $\pi v \theta 0 \hat{\imath}$ ． See Theb．364．－Өvorкıveis is a word of uncertain etymology and meaning．Per－ haps it was coined by the poet to express
 into action，as it were，the sacritices the queen had previously arranged in anticipa－ tion of the event．

88．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta \bar{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．＇For of all the gods who preside over the city，whether celestial or infernal，both those of the elements and those of the agora，the altars are blazing with gifts．＇There is no difficulty at all about the dyopaion $\theta$ eof．They are those who guard the affairs of men in their political relations，and who are elsewhere called ả $\gamma \omega \nu i o u \theta \in \theta l$ ，or ả à $\gamma o p a ̄ s$ $\dot{e} \pi i \sigma \kappa o \pi o t ~ T h e b .261$ ．But there is some obscurity about the $\theta$ col oùpávol as distinct from－ ticular is meant，and generally the ele－ ments，the earth，air，water，\＆c．See inf． 491．Suppl．23．209．By the term Üтatos nothing more specific is intended than a difference from the $\chi \theta$ dy cou（Hermes， Pluto，the Furies，\＆c．）．The $\theta \in o l \pi \in \delta t 0-$ $\nu \delta \mu_{0}$ in Theb． 261 are supposed to be the same as those here called oupdiytor． ＂That v． 90 contains a correct subdi－ vision of all the tutelary deities，supernal and infernal，may be seen from Pers． 628

 appears that the goddess Tellus was at once $\chi^{\theta o v i}$ a and oipapia，and Hermes $\chi$ obvios and aryopaios；as，on the other hand，the Sun was at once $v \pi \pi a \pi o s$ and oủpáylos，and Zeus and Apollo úmato and à ${ }^{2}$ opaiolo．＂Peile．

# $\dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu, \chi \theta 0 \nu i ́ \omega \nu$, 

$$
\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau^{\prime} \text { ov̉ } \rho \alpha \nu i \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau^{3} a ̉ \gamma o \rho a i ́ \omega \nu
$$


 ference to the custom of sacrificing evary$\gamma^{\prime}$ ㄱia or xapiat tipia, Hermann quotes





93. aploXel. Used intransitively, like I' $\sigma \chi \in$ Cho. 1041, фaivovoa inf. 101, id ${ }^{\prime} \pi \tau \in t$ Suppl. 541. Whether torches and fires were actually exhibited in the theatre, as Müller supposes, must be a matter of mere conjecture.
95. à $\delta \delta \lambda a \iota \sigma t$ тар $\eta \gamma o \rho$ lats. 'With a persuasion that knows no guile,' i. e. unlike that sort of persuasion which popular orators too well knew how to adopt,-if Klausen and Peile are right in explaining the passage. The expression is probably to be classed with ${ }_{2} p \delta i s$ áa $\pi \rho \rho o s$ Prom. 898,
 the same time, the poet may have had in mind the simple notion of genuine and unadulterated oil, implied also in the mention of its being brought forth from the innermost stores of the palace ( $\mu v \chi 6 \theta \in \nu$
 $\lambda \in(\psi)$. Compare Musaeus, v. 39, тодлdкк

98. aiveī, ' to speak of,' i. e. ठuvatdy for you to tell, and $\theta \epsilon \mu$ s for us to talk
about. After $\lambda \in \mathfrak{k} \xi a \sigma a$ the chorus adds
 ceded. Compare Cho. 548. A similar but more common use, is $\in \hat{l} \tau a \delta \hat{\varepsilon}$ or $\kappa \hat{\tilde{c}} \tau \dot{a}$ after a participle. So Acharn. 24 $a^{2} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ àmpíay
 Cf. Lysistr. 560. Ar. 674. Equit. 392. Soph. frag. 563.
101. фaiyob $\sigma^{\circ}$. See on 93. This is the reading of the Florence and Naples MSS., and is admitted by Hermann and Peile. Blomf. and Dind. give aaivoua' with Butler. Klausen reads фaiveiv. Franz ês. àvaфalyels, from Ahrens. The Med. has palveis, which may have arisen from a marginal note, $\phi a v \in \hat{\imath} \sigma$. Compare


103.- $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \theta \nu \mu о \beta \delta \rho о \nu$ к.т.ג. The reading in the text is that of Turnebus, though it has no direct MSS. authority. The
 The Florence MS. however approaches
 $\lambda u ́ \pi \eta s \quad \phi \rho \in ́ \nu \alpha$, and the Schol. Med. has

 $\delta \iota a \varphi \theta \in i \rho o v \sigma a$. We may compare $\lambda u ́ \pi \eta$
 коi $\gamma \in \rho 6 \nu \tau \omega y$, Suppl. 652, as far as the tautology in $\theta \nu \mu \delta s$ and $\phi \rho \dot{\nu} \nu$ is concerned; and for the accusative, $\chi$ òs $\pi \rho о \pi о \mu \pi d s$ Cho. 21. Translate, 'at another time

#  $\sigma \tau \rho$.  $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta \grave{\omega} \mu_{0} \lambda \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ 

soothing hope arising from the sacrifices repels insatiate care, the grief that preys on my mind.'

104 seqq. The ode which follows, as far as v. 155, may be regarded as a sort of $\pi p o o l \mu z o v$ or introduction to the first regular stasimon; in other words, as intermediate between the parode and the ode sung in the usual antistrophic order by the chorus in their places at the thymele. It is characterised by a predominance of epic or hexameter verses. Similarly the anapaests of the parode in the Persians are followed by a strophe and antistrophe and a mesode. Hermann, remarking that Clytemnestra does not reply to the appeal just made by the chorus, until its urgent repetition at $\nabla$. 252, during which interval the Queen is supposed to have been superintending the sacrifices in the city, imagines vv. 104-154 to have been sung thus:-The chorus being ranged in three rows as follows, $\Gamma$, one, or perhaps several, of each row ( $\sigma$ roîरos) recites jointly the strophe, antistrophe, and epode, while the concluding verse, repeated at the end of each, àthevov aửnevov к. $\tau . \lambda$., was said by a whole row at a time; in the third and last instance, perhaps by the whole of the three rows together. He rejects a different theory of Müller's, as "ab ipso conficta, nec sane perite."
104. кúptos к.т.入. 'I am able to tell, declaring the full purport of the omens which gave encouragement to the expedition of the heroes, how' scc. Each word in this difficult sentence calls for a brief comment. The chorus, who had just before (72 seqq.) spoken of their unfitness for active service, now declare that they are at all events $\kappa$ úptot,-possessed in themselves of the power and faculty,- to describe the setting out of the expedition to Troy and the incidents on the journey. By ofoov redodos al̃oov we may either understand 'victory porteuded by favourable omens on the road ' (cf. $\boldsymbol{a}^{\pi}$ ' ${ }^{\circ} \rho \nu l \theta \omega \nu \delta \delta i \omega \nu, 152$ ) or, as Klausen takes it, 'res, quae profecturos fiducia instruxit.' Hermann takes nearly the latter view; and it is extremely difficult to decide between the two. Hesychius has foios oiwhds atotos. But altoos is properly used of divine favour
shewn by omens. See Xen. Anab. vi. 5,

 alotoss édpass. The word écтє $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\omega} \nu$ must be considered as altogether uncertain. Peile understands it actively, and makes
 gers.' Many prefer è $\nu \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$, since the
 i. e. oi èv $\tau \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$. Hermann and Klausen defend the vulgate by writing $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$, the former explaining 'the order for the expedition emanating from the men in authority' (ă $\nu \delta \rho \in s \tau^{\prime}(\lambda \in a l$ ), the latter,'the success of the heroes (portended) from the powers above,' i. e. the gods.
 Suppl. 518. Being dissatisfied with either, I have ventured to regard it as the participle of èkre入єiv. Compare Pers.

 appears not inconsistent with the style of Aeschylus to say 'accomplishing' for 'describing the accomplishment,' 'shewing the result of,' viz. that dangers yet unfulfilled are to be looked for from omens which, in the main, were favourable to the Atridae.
 my time of life, not having outgrown its strength, divinely inspires me with the persuasion of song.' The MSS. give $\pi \in \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \mu o \lambda \pi \grave{a} \nu$ and $\dot{a} \lambda \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu$. Hermann and Klausen nearly agree in their view of the passage, which however is very different from the version here offered. Both regard $\xi^{\prime} \dot{\mu} \mu \phi \nu \tau o s$ aid $\nu$ as in apposition with $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta \dot{\omega}$, and as said of the unexpired time of the war,-'for still confidence inspires song, namely, the time co-extensive with the war,' i. e. the long time it has lasted. So Hermann, who admits the obvious correction $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \kappa \hat{q}$. Klausen says, "tota sententia haec est: dii me ad canendum suscitaverunt, justique temporis adventu fiduciam suppeditant;" and he construes

 нatos moдiv, Theb. 12, to imply that the old age of the chorus has yet strength and energy enough to sing of the fight, if not to engage in it.

 छ̇ú $\mu \phi \rho o v a ~ \tau a ́ \gamma a v$, ..... 110$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \xi ̀ ̀ \nu \nu$ סорі̀ каі̀ $\chi є \rho \grave{~} \pi \rho a ́ \kappa \tau о \rho \iota$ảp $\gamma \hat{a}{ }^{\text {s. }}$,120
$\kappa \in \delta \nu o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{~} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota s$ ì $\begin{aligned} & \nu \nu \\ & \delta v ́ o ~ \lambda \eta ́ \mu a \sigma \iota ~ \delta \iota \sigma \sigma o \partial ̀ s ~\end{aligned}$ ..... $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau$.'Aт $\overline{\text { eîióas } \mu a \chi i ́ \mu o v s, ~ e ́ \delta a ́ \eta ~ \lambda a \gamma o \delta a i ́ t a s ~}$
109. ${ }^{\text {n }}$ Bas. The MSS. of Aeschylus give ${ }_{\eta} \beta_{\alpha \nu}$, but the best MSS, of Aristophanes, who quotes this verse and 104, 111, in Ran. 1276, 1285, 1289, have \# $\beta$ as. Hermann reads $\tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \nu$ for the vulg. $\tau \alpha \gamma d \nu$ (in which the $a$ is short), while Blomf.
 inf. 123. See on $\delta \iota \theta \rho \delta \nu o v \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s$, sup. 44.
111. каl $\chi \in \rho$ т тракторі. So Aristoph. 1. l. But the MSS. of Aeschylus give $\sigma \grave{\nu}$ סорl ঠíкаs тра́кторь. Hermann, remarking that the reading of Aristophanes does not satisfy the antistrophic verse, edits $\xi \dot{\nu} \nu$ סopl тра́кторı тоเvâs. We might however in 127 read $\delta \eta \mu \iota \frac{1}{2} \eta \theta$ є́a.
114. oi $\omega \nu \omega ิ \nu$ Bacl $\lambda \in u ́ s$. 'The king of birds, the black one and that white behind, appearing to the kings of the navy near the palace on the spear-throwing (i. e. right) hand, in conspicuous positions, preying on a hare pregnant with young, stopped from the remainder of (i, e. from finishing) its course.' With the form
 т $\mu \hat{\eta} s$ for $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \in t$, Il. ix. 605. xviii. 475. See Pind. Ol. xiii. 99. On the two species of eagles, one of which was called rúvapyos and $\nu \in$ Apoфóvos, the other $\mu \in \lambda a \nu d e t o s$ and $\lambda a \gamma \omega \phi o \nu_{0}$, see Aristot. Hist. An. ix. 32. By the 'white-tailed' eagle the more timid disposition of Me nelaus is meant. Etymol. M. p. 695, 50,



 For this reason the two brothers are described below as $\lambda \nmid \mu a \sigma \iota \quad \delta \iota \sigma \sigma o l$. See Il. xvii. 588. Plat. Symp. p. 174, c.
 agrees with Klausen in interpreting ei $\delta \rho a$ in the usual technical sense of the region or direction of the sky, lucky or the contrary, in which birds of omen were seen. It is clear however that they were on-a perch, or rocky eminence. By the epithet the poet means that they were seen by the whole army. The position of the e $\delta \rho \alpha$ is

119. $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta$ '́ $\nu \tau \alpha$. The construction is as if the poet had said $\lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma \iota \nu a \quad \gamma \in \nu \nu h \mu a \tau \alpha$, or rather $\lambda a \gamma \dot{\omega} \kappa \alpha l$ тà тéкva aủтท̂s. Or (as Peile suggests) $\lambda a \gamma \omega \nu$ is of the class of epicene words which express both sexes under one gender. On the sense of $\beta \lambda \alpha$ ктєєv, 'to impede in the course,' see New Cratylus, § 454. The $\lambda$ oifotos סoduos (says Hermann) portended the capture of Troy just when it thought itself safe after the feigned retirement of the Grecian fleet.
 on seeing the two Atridae differing in disposition, became aware of the connexion between the eagles and the conducting authorities, 'i. e. knew that the one was portended by the other.- $\tau \epsilon \rho \alpha{ }_{S}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$, ' interpreting the portent.' Hermann writes
 Etymol. Mag. p. 737. 11.

#   <br>  <br> $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a$ סè $\pi \dot{v} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$    Tpoias <br>   

125. à̉ $\boldsymbol{\text { anceit }}$ This may be technically called a "praesens propheticum," for
 Elmosley conjectured aipei, and I and $\bar{\Gamma}$ aré often confused. Still $\dot{a} \gamma \rho \in \hat{i}$ is a perfectly appropriate word.
 the public flocks and herds before the walls the fortune of war shall violently ravage.' There seems no good reason for translating $\kappa \tau=\bar{y} \nu \eta$ 'property,' from the sole gloss of Hesychius, кт $\eta \nu \eta$, хр $\eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. Much less ought $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \theta$ to be rendered 'previously,' i. e. before the capture; since the plunder of a city's wealth ( $\pi \dot{\prime} \rho$ -
 such an event. Cf. itpóvo $u$ ß Botà Suppl. 672.-- $\mu$ oîpa, 'partitio,' 'distributio,' 'sortitio.' Klausen. The delay in taking the city is expressed by the consumption of all the cattle before it for the daily supply of the besieging army. See Thucyd. i. 11.
 as $\dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu 0 \pi \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \hat{\varepsilon} \epsilon \sigma \mu \partial \nu$ Suppl. 29.
 any envy from the gods throw a gloom over the great curb of Troy while on service, struck by a premature calamity.' In this version of $\pi \rho \sigma \tau u \pi t^{\prime} \nu$ and $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \omega \theta^{\prime} \nu$ I entirely accept Hermann's explaiation, "prius percussum, i. e. ante belli clades immolatione Iphigeniae afflictum;" mad " $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta \alpha$, , quod significat in castris
 morantes Aulide intelliguntur." To Her-
 due,-a correction rendered certain both
 by $\gamma d \rho$. The MSS. generally agree in olov, not oîo , and so the Schol. $\mu$ dyov $\mu \dot{\eta}$. On $\mu \eta$ with the subjunctive (cavendum $n e$ ) see on Suppl. 351. Inf. 332.

eagles, the hounds (see on Prom. 821) of her father Zeus, The eagles and the Atridae are here viewed as identical, the one being portended by the other; and the anger of Artemis against the birds for killing the hare is indicative of her anger against Agamemnon for a similar offence, which Aesehylus does not expressly mention, but Sophocles (El. 566) describes as the slaughter of a doe in hunting. That offence was to be atoned for by the sacrifice of Iphigenia, the equivalent consisting in Artemis demanding nothing less than the sacred blood of a daughter for an animal sacred to herself. The sacrifice, as Klausen remarks, was an absolute condition of the success of the expedition; but Agamemnon was wrong and donbly guilty in prosecuting a military enterprise at at expense which was certain to entail a curse upon him (inf. 145). There is, no doubt, a difficulty in clearly making out how Calchas infers the anger of the goddess against the Atridae from the destruction of a hare by the eagles, unless the Atridae had already committed some crime, of which that destruction was the symbol. Mr. Conington says, "the hare in the language of symbolism meant Troy;" and so it does; but it had a double signification, relating to the past, as well as to the future, as is clear from the warning of Calchas, lest some evil should befal the army before the capture of Troy, because of tive anger of Artemis (130-2). The fact is, the plot of the play turns so much on the sacrifice of Iphigenia, that it was essential for the poet's purpose to represent Agamemnon as under the necessity of propitiating the incurred wrath of the goddess before he could effect the object of his expedition. The surrender of his diughter was an

#   <br>  $\tau o ́ \sigma \sigma \circ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ єv้ $\phi \rho \omega \nu$ á $\kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{\alpha}$  $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau^{\top}$ ả $\gamma \rho о \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ фıло á $^{\sigma} \tau о \iota s$ <br>     

d.váккฑ (211) to which he must yield, or give up all. But Clytemnestra (138891) did not regard it as such, but as a cold-blooded act of cruelty; and hence her deep resentment and ultimate vengeance.
138. т $\delta \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu \pi \in \rho \in \tilde{\phi} \phi \rho \omega \nu$. 'Though so kindly disposed to the young of all the beasts of forest and field, (and therefore so desirous of satisfaction, still the goddess wishes that the good portended ( $\delta \in \xi$ दे $)$ should be accomplished, as well as the evil ( $\kappa a \tau d \mu \circ \mu \phi \alpha)$. - $\lambda \in \pi \tau o i ̂ s$ is the almost certain correction of Wellauer and Blomf.
 It is surprising that Hermann should prefer the latter, which he renders with

 gives ठ$\nu \tau \tau \omega$ for $\lambda \epsilon \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$, which has been recovered from the Etymol. Mag. p. 377, 37. The truth perhaps is, that $\lambda \epsilon$ added in the margin of the archetypus was wrongly referred to $\lambda \in \pi \tau o i ̂ s ~ o r ~ a ̀$ áéntots, and hence the corrupt à $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \tau o t s$. The metre suggests that we should read $\mu a \lambda \epsilon-$ р $̂ y ~ \tau є ~ \lambda \epsilon \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu .-\delta \rho o ́ \sigma o เ \sigma t$, 'the tender young,' as Homer uses ' $\rho \sigma \alpha$, , Od. ix. 222.
141. tєрт ${ }^{\circ}$.. This is probably spurious, and inserted either from a gloss to сй $\phi \rho \omega \nu$, or from that most frequent source of corruption, the desire on the part of metrists to complete catalectic anapaestic or iambic verses. But there seem to be other interpolations in the passage, which should perhaps be read thus :-


 Porson first remarked that $\sigma \tau \rho o u \theta \omega \bar{\omega}$,
which is so little applicable to the omen of the eagles, was probably added from the story of the serpent and the sparrows, II. ii. 311.
142. aitei, namely, from Zeus, who sent the portent of the eagles, (Cho. 251,) and is the Consummator, Tédelos, inf. 946.- $\xi \mu \mu \beta_{0} \lambda a$ тov $\tau \omega \nu$, the events symbolised by these birds.
144. 'Intov Maiauza, the god of healing, elsewhere, and in much the same sense, called àmoтротаиos. Whether from int, the exclamation, ldoual or l $\eta \mu$, cannot with certainty be determined. The verse seems metrically faulty; Klausen makes it iamb. dipod. + trochaic tripod,, but it seems to have been in some way corrupted. The sense is as follows: 'I invoke the saving aid of Apollo to divert his sister from the desire of realising the evil part of the omen (тà катá $\mu о \mu ф а$ ), by causing a long detention of the ships at Aulis, and so bringing about for herself a second and more terrible sacrifice, namely, that of a daughter, the cause of an estrangement that shews no reverence on the part of a wife for a husband; for if such sacrifice is accomplished, a fearful retributive anger is in store for the author of it, some day to rise against him.'
 sense, since it was the interest of Artemis that the atoning sacrifice of Iphigenia should be offered to her. We have $\sigma \pi \epsilon v$ $\delta \delta \mu \varepsilon \nu a l$ Eum. 340. See on Prom. 43.avala étépa, i. t. subsequent to and directly resulting from the former, the slaughter of the hare, or rather, that of the breeding doe by the hand of Agamemnon.
 үà $\rho$ фо $\beta \in \rho a ̀ ~ \pi a \lambda i ́ v o \rho т о s ~$ оікоуó $\mu$ оs סо入ía $\mu \nu \alpha ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ \mu \eta ̂ \nu \iota s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu o ́ т о \iota \nu o s . "-~$

 тoîs $\delta^{\circ}$ ó $\mu$ ó $\phi \omega \nu 0 \nu$

 $\tau \hat{\omega}$ фídov кєк入ך $\mu$ ヒ́v $\varphi$ ， то仑̂тó $\nu \iota \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu \in ́ \pi \omega$ ．
 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma$,

 qєиькди．See sup．107．It is difficult to make out the exact notion the poet in－ tended to convey by this word．Klausen understands，$\sigma \dot{\partial} \mu \varphi и \tau о \nu$ тoís $\nu \in i \in \in \sigma \iota$ ，а sacrifice which at once creates quarrels， and the memory of which is itself kept alive and increased by those very quarrels． And it may perhaps be best rendered＇$a$ natural，or inseparable，producer of jea－ lousies＇（Suppl．292）．Peile renders it， ＇a family worker of quarrels．＇Others regard it as an hypallage for $\tau$ ércova $\sigma \nu \mu$－
 relations＇or＇of domestic hate．＇Lastly， $\sigma \delta \mu \varphi u \tau o \nu$ is interpreted to mean＇inherent in the family，＇implanted and as it were growing up together with each successive generation．

149．manivoptos．There has remained in the family an ancient vengeance，de－ manding retribution for slain children， ever since Atreus offered to Thyestes a banquet on the flesh of his own infants （inf．1571）．This same family curse is only dormant，and will arise again，under similar circumstances，to punish Aga－ memnon．－oikov $\mu$ os，guarding or keeping the house like a watchful Fury．And סo入ia，because the murder of Agamemnon was to be executed $\delta \delta \lambda \omega$ ，i．e．by the stratagem of the bathing vessel，inf．1497， 1517.

153．тоîs $\delta^{\circ} \delta \mu \delta \phi \omega \nu о \nu$, i．е．тоîs каноîs， contrasted with and implied from $\mu \in \gamma d \lambda$ ots àraoois．This passage is in fact a com－ ment on 143.

155．The chorus here commences the first stasimon．－Zeis，i．e．since we have reason to fear that evils await Agamemnon on his return，we appeal to that supreme arbiter and defender who，by whatever title he ought to be invoked，may safely be called The Conqueror in the present crisis，to deliver him from all impending dangers．In the same spirit，as Klausen remarks，Calchas had invoked Apoilo （144）；but from the undefined nature of the evil apprehended，as well as from the more extended power of Zeus，the chorus rather turn to the latter，not with the aldivov or song of woe，but with laudatory
 i．$e$ ．if he will not be offended at the omission of a more definite title，as $\Sigma \omega \tau h p$ ．

 eidéval， $\mathbf{Z} \in \hat{v}$ ．Eur．Frag．Melanipp．1，


158．oủк ё $\chi$ Х $\omega$ ，к．т．$\lambda$ ．＇I am not able to assimilate（i．e．to refer，adapt）the circumstances of the case to any particular god except Zeus；on a careful considera－ tion of the whole matter，if I am really （i．e．not in mere imagination or delusive confidence）to remove from me the ground－ less load of care，＇－the vague and ill－ defined anxiety I feel for Agamemnon． The plain sense is，If I am to unburden my mind at all，it can only be done by a general appeal to the supreme Zeus；for I know of neither any other god nor any more special title that is applicable to my case．

 formerly was great, abounding in boldness to contend with any one, will now have nothing to say, since he is no longer in existence; and he who succeeded him has met with his conqueror and is gone.' He alludes to Uranus and Cronus, who are called $\delta$ iббol túpavvol, Prom. 978. Since then no one now remains who is superior to Zeus, a man will be altogether right in celebrating him as Niкnфठoos.
 in the MSS., and was added by Schütz. The reading however is very doubtfol, for the metre does not correspond with the usual exactness, and the expression is not a familiar one for 'has no authority.' Hermann indeed quotes something like it from Ar. Equit. 334, vûv $\delta$ eîgov $\dot{\text { cis }}$ où $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 metrical deviation derives some support from Theb. 358. Müller conjectures
 neque non dicetur fuisse,-which is scarcely better than Ahren's oú $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \xi \in \tau a i$ (cf. Alcest. 322). None of the commentators seem to have objected to $\% \sigma \tau / s$ said of a definite person in 162.
 tion is the same as in Ar. Acharn. ult.
 м̀ $\boldsymbol{\sigma \kappa \delta \nu . ~}$
170. $\delta \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma a \nu \tau a$. 'The same Zeus who leads (rather than forces) men to be wise; who has appointed that sufferings should contain in themselves, as a peculiar property, a moral and an instruction.' 'I have given, though with some hesitation.
$\tau \delta \nu \pi \dot{d} \theta \eta$ for $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi d \theta \in l$, after Schütz, because the article is not wanted with $\pi d \theta_{\varepsilon \varepsilon}$, and $\tau \delta u$ is well and appropriately repeated with $\theta^{\prime}$ ย́va. Otherwise one participle may depend on the other in the gerundial sense, for which cf. Prom, 966 -7. The allusion is, though indirectly, to Agamemnon. The chorus expresses a conviction that he will be taught wisdom and discretion by the same severe discipline which ordinarily falls to, the lot of mortals, suffering. There was a proverb $\mu а ө$ диатє павŋцата. Inf. 241. Eum. 495,
 i. 207.
 $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \oint \in i \quad \tau \delta \quad \sigma \omega \phi р о \nu \in i \nu$, каl $\pi a \beta^{3}$ акоутаs $\bar{j} \lambda \theta \in \tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \in \hat{\nu}$, Hermann translates: instillat et in somno cordì moderationem admonitor malorum labor, et venit illa $a d$ invitas. And $\sigma$ Tád $\xi \perp \nu$ (without any reference to the sudores, or nightly fears, for which the commentators compare Juvenal xiii. 219-22) is very appropriately used of the slow and imperceptible process of inspiring moral views and sentiments. Compare $\delta \in \neq \mu a \tau o \sigma \tau a y \epsilon{ }^{\prime}$ áx $\chi a s$,
 трч्́́pas крабías, Cho. 383. By $\mu \nu \eta \sigma t-$ $\pi \nmid \mu \omega \nu \pi \dot{\delta} \nu 0$ s the sad feeling is meant, which arises from a past experience of the truth of the doetrine just enuaciated, that $\pi \alpha 0$ huara bring $\mu a \theta$ huara. Translate, 'distress arising from the memory of past sufferings.' , This memory so haunts the mind that even sleep brings no respite from it,

##  




 $\pi \nu$ oai $\delta^{\circ} \dot{\text { äcò }} \sum \tau \rho v^{\prime} \mu o \nu o s ~ \mu o \lambda o u ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.
 ßротй» ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \iota$, $\nu \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a \grave{\imath} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{i} \overline{\text {, }}$


 without doubt it is the favour of the gods? -i . e this discipline of mankind by suf-fering-' who sit on their thrones above with power' to compel if they please. All this illustrates the idea of the poet in
 to lead men to virtue, not to drive them to it, by which all free will, and consequently all merit of obedience, would be lost. I have followed Klausen, Hermann, and Wellauer, rather than Peile and Blomfield, who read Blasos from ed. Turn. and understand 'the reverence of the gods is compulsory,'-a sentiment exactly the re. verse of the noble and profound doctrine involved in the other interpretation. Mr. Conington observes with truth that $\beta$ alaks means 'violently' rather than 'power* fully;' and on the strength of this he prefers $\beta$ íacos, and translates, 'strange as it may seem ( $\pi 0 v$ ), the free gift of the gods is forced on men.' But we may fairly reply, that the poet merely meant Buglas áp $\rho d \nu \tau \omega \nu$, 'ruling by the law of constraint,' and not allowing mortals to follow their own headstrong will with impunity.
177. The narrative is continued from v. 152, the intermediate verses being a reflection of the chorus.
 artem obterere extispicum' (Cic. de Div. i. § 29), ' not daring to question the authority of any seer,' but with the piety of superstition rather' 'submitting his soul to
the circumstances which befel him,' i. e. to resiga his daughter for the sacrifice demanded. Compare $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \pi \alpha a \quad$ какдे inf. 338.- $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \nu \epsilon \in \nu \nu$ is, 'not blowing an adverse gale to,' \&c. Cf. 212.
181. кєvary€i, Exhausting or emptying the stores of wine, as Klausen seems rightly to understand it.
 over against Chalcis.' See on Suppl, 258.- $\pi \rho \bar{\lambda} \uparrow \rho \rho \delta \alpha \chi \theta o t s$, so Franz and Dindorf after H. L. Ahrens for $\pi a \lambda \iota \rho p \delta \theta o t s$. The metre requires some change, and pax $\theta$ eiv is used of the roaring of waves, Od. จ. 402. Hermann prefers to give Blata in the strophe. On the ebb and flow (caused by winds and currents rather than by any real tidal motion) of the Euripus, he quotes Livy, xxviii. 6,
 east winds, @op̣ィぇa à $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ inf. 1391.
 кal кข $\mu, \tau!\eta u$. This wind would obviously prevent the fleet from getting out of the strait by the northerly entrance in the direction of Troy.- $\delta \dot{v} \sigma o p \mu o t ~ \beta p o \tau \bar{\omega} \nu\rangle{ }^{2} \lambda a t$, which cause mariners to lose their course and drift into harbourless seas.


 bling the time of their stay.'- $\tau \rho[\beta \notin \kappa a \tau$ Égatvov, "began to wear out by wasting,'




```
                    \epsiloṅ\pi\epsilonì \delta\grave{\epsilon} \kappaаі \pi\iotaк\rhoоv
                \chi\epsiloni\mua\tauos'ब̈\lambda\lambdao \mu\hat{\eta}\chia\rho
                \betaр\iota0í\tau\epsilonро\nu \pi\rhoó\muо\iota\sigma\iota\nu
```




```
            \delta\alpháк\rhov \mu\grave{ ката\sigma\chiєiv.- }195
```



```
                "B\alpha\rho\epsilonía \muèv к\etâ\rho \tauò \mu\età \pii0\epsiloń\sigma0av.
                    \betaap\epsilonía \delta', \epsiloni
 Eum. 404. It is implied that some remedies had been recommended, tried, and found to fail, before this last and terrible resource was advocated.
 ward the name of Artemis,'-'alleging that Artemis must be appeased.' See 133. 937.
 of impatience. Compare Plutarch, De


 be taken either as resuming the thread of the narrative from v. 180, -' then, I say, the elder king,' \&c., or it may more directly mark the apodosis to \(\boldsymbol{e}^{2} \pi \mathrm{l}\) in 191, on which use see Cho. 613. Or perhaps we may say, with Dr. Peile, that it serves both these purposes at once. He quotes



203. \(\beta \omega \mu \circ \hat{\imath} \pi \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda a s\). The metre of the strophe seems to require \(\pi \dot{e} \lambda a s \beta \omega \mu \nu \hat{v}\).
 am I to be deserted by my fleet, losing my allies ?' Others translate, 'How am

I to desert the fleet, failing in my alliance?' Hermann condemns the latter, which makes \(\lambda ı \pi \delta v a u s\) active, because, he urges, the real fear of Agamemnon was lest his men should leave him, and he should fail in his designs, if he did not consent to the sacrifice. And what follows shows that he is right: 'for it is consistent with religion that they should vehemently long for a sacrifice to appease the winds, even the blood of a virgin.' Agamemnon could not justly be said to desert or abandon the fleet because he refused to comply with a demand to which he had never pledged himself. On the other hand, they would have left him if their religious fanaticism had not been indulged. For the passive sense of \(\lambda ı \pi\) óvaus compare入ıто́чuхos, 'one who faints,' or is destitute of vitality. The deliberative conjunctive is rightly used, because, though it expresses what is to happen, it implies that it will happen as the direct result of a certain course of action, and hence it falls within the ordinary grammatical law.
 appetite excessively desiring.' The commentators compare Prom. 965, тלे ппкрิя

\title{

 ä \(\nu \alpha \gamma \nu o \nu, \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\prime} \epsilon \rho o \nu, \tau o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu\) тò \(\pi a \nu \tau o ́ \tau o \lambda \mu о \nu\) фроขєî̀ \(\mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ \gamma \nu \omega\) ，—
 \\ 215 та́入aıขa таракота̀ \(\pi \rho \omega т о \pi \eta ́ \mu \omega \nu\),
 \(\gamma \stackrel{\nu \nu \alpha \iota к о \pi о і ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ \grave{a} \rho \omega \gamma \grave{\alpha} \nu, ~}{\text { ，}}\) каì \(\pi \rho о т е ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a, ~ \nu \alpha \omega ̄ \nu\).
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 є̈ \(\theta \in \nu \tau о ~ ф \iota \lambda о ́ \mu а \chi о \iota ~ \beta \rho а \beta \hat{\eta}\) s．

}
 32 ，and compare \(\pi \in \rho\llcorner\theta \dot{\mu} \mu \omega\) ，ib． 36. Hermann reads aju \(\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}\) from a var．lect． in MSS．Farn．Guelph．，and translates， ＂vates dicit fas esse avide expetere ventos pacans sacrificium virgineumque sangui－ nem．＇－\(\epsilon \bar{j}\) र̀̀ \(\rho\) єlク，＇utinam bene vertat，＇ as in the more common \(\epsilon \mathfrak{l}\) خà \(\rho\) к．т．\(\lambda\) ．
 had put on the collar of necessity（i．e． when he found there was no help for it）， blowing a changed gale of heart，impious， unblest，unholy，from which he conceived a new resolve to entertain all－daring sen－ timents－＇тротaíay，i．e．aûpay，as Theb．703，\(\lambda\) диатоs тротаía хро⿱íq．Cho．
 \(\pi о \tau \epsilon\) ；See inf．on 1206．－\(\delta \delta \theta \epsilon \nu\) ，for \({ }_{\delta} \theta \in \nu\) ， as Pers． 101 ；and so the Schol．Med． explains．Most editors however place a full stop at \(\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega\) ，and take \(\tau \delta \theta \epsilon \nu\) to mean＇from that time．＇To my mind the apodosis to \(\epsilon \pi \in l\) is at \(v .217\).

215．Apotoîs．Blomf．，Dind．，and Herm． read Bpozoùs with Schütz．But Klausen defends the vulgate as the dative of re－ lation．In fact the poet might have expressed the same meaning more clearly
 ì \(\theta \rho a \sigma u v o v \sigma \alpha\) aủzoús．Similarly Thucyd．
 бato，i．e．aìvoús．－\(\pi \rho \omega \tau o \pi h \mu \omega \nu\) ，the original cause of all subsequent evils；cf． \(\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau a \rho \chi\) оs ä́ \(_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{inf}\) ． 1163 ．The sentiment is parenthetical，－＇for in men a miserable infatuation，suggesting shameful designs， the first source of woe，emboldens them．＇
 well form the apodosis to \(\bar{e} \pi \in l\) in 211，as sup．196．But＇the particles \(\delta^{\prime}\) ofy have the peculiar sense noticed on 34，and the idea in the mind of the poet seems to have been this：＇however，not to dwell on the causes of the change，he did have the hardihood to become，＇\＆c．，i．e．terrible as was the resolve and great the struggle． In fact，\(\delta\) oiv may be said to cut short all introductory matter in order to come to the point at once．

218．da \(\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} d \nu\) ．The accusative in ap－ position to the sentence，as above，v．47，
 where see the note．－тротé \(\epsilon \epsilon \alpha\) \(\nu \alpha \omega \bar{\nu}\) ， ＇sacrifices on behalf of the fleet．＇See sup． 65.
 to the endearing name of Father．－\(\pi a \rho^{2}\) oủס̇̀v Є̈ \(\theta \in \nu \tau 0\), ＇reckoned as naught，＇made light of．So Xen．Anab．vi．6，11，＇ैyou




223．àd ofols．＇The ministers．＇Hesych．
 Dr．Donaldson（New Crat．§ 286）thinks the word properly means＇a fellow bough of a tree．＇－\(\mu \epsilon \tau^{2} \in \dot{u} \chi \grave{a} \nu \quad \lambda \alpha \beta \in \hat{i} \nu\)（ \(\alpha u ̀ \tau \eta \eta \nu\) ） dá \(巨 \rho \delta \eta \nu\) ，＇after the dedicatory prayer to seize and hold her high above the altar．＇ It is not very easy to decide whether \(\pi \alpha \nu \tau \ell \quad \theta \mu \hat{\varphi}\) should be taken with \(\lambda \alpha \beta \in i \nu\) or with троעшт \(\hat{\eta}\) ， i ．e．＇to seize her sum－ moning all their courage，＇or＇fainting in all her soul．＇In the former case，which
 \(\pi \epsilon \in \pi \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \pi a \nu \tau i \not \partial v \mu \hat{\omega}\)
\(\pi \rho o \nu \omega \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \alpha \beta \in i ̂ \nu\) äé \(\rho \delta i \eta \nu, \sigma \tau o ́ \mu a \tau o ́ s\)
 ф \begin{tabular}{c} 
óryov àpaîov oïкoıs \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \rho, \epsilon^{\prime}\).








İ incline to prefer， \(\bar{\pi} \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\nu} \omega \pi \bar{\eta}\) will mean ＇with her head leaning over the altar．＇ \(I_{t}\) was the custom to kill the victim held aloft in this position，that the life－blood might sprinkle the altar．See Od．iii． 453．Eur．El．813，\(\kappa \ddot{a} \sigma \phi a \xi_{\xi}^{\prime} \dot{e}^{2} \pi^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mu \mu \nu\)


 \(\pi\) áppitiou．So also Liucret．i．85，who has generally been thought to bave had the present passage in view，＇sublata virum manibus tremebundaquie ad aram deducta est．＇
227．фu入akdiv．＇And that a guard over her fair mouth should stop the ut－ terance of a curse against the family，＇i．e． any ill－omened expression which might excite the \(\phi\) 日byos of the gods．See Mr． Blakesley on Herdd．iv．69．Therè is not the least difficulty in making фu入àkà．v the subject of \(\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon \bar{\nu}\) ，with Klauseri． Dr．Péile reğards it rather as the cognate accusative expressing the nature and man－ ner of the action；but none of the pas－ sages he quotes are to the purpose．We might，thirdly，explain the idiom like \(\nu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}\) oov aivò \(\gamma^{\text {tivos }}\) ，Suppi． \(526-8\) ，so
 field reads фu入arâ，a simple and protable emendation，but not at ali a necessary one．
230．ккрокоiv Baффds \(\chi\)＇sova．＇Letting her saffron－dyed veil fall to the ground，＇ so as to éxpose her countenance and enable her to direct appealing looks towards her executioners．Dr．Péilé colmpałtes II．v．


 poet left the details of the slaughter to the imagination of the hearer，and theri－ fore that those are quite mistakefi who understand кро́кov Ba．фàs of the blood，
 \(\sigma \tau a \gamma \omega \mathrm{\omega} \nu \mathrm{inf}\) ． 1090 ．Whether however the veil（flammeolum of the Romans）or the garment called кроксштঠे is meant，may be
 1491．By taking it in the former sense， with Hermann，we more clearly perceive à motive for the action．The colour seems to have been a mark of royalty，as kpook－

 a painted portrait．It is not credible that in the time of Aeschylus paintini had，like statuary，attained sufficient ex́： cellence to be quoted as a standard of ideal beauty，surpassing even the human reality．Hence this passage is not to bè

 Allusion to the art of painting occurs againt， inf．774． 1300.
 had often sung，＇－this giving a reason why she appealed to them for pity，viz．because they had often heard her innocent and virgin voice singing at the libations in the banqueting－halls of her father．As it was a frequent custom，－if not in the heroic ages，at least in the time of Aeschylus， － to introduce dancing girls and flute－ players of light character at the conclu－

\title{
 аī̀va фílcos е̇тiца． \\  \\ à \(\nu \tau . \epsilon^{\epsilon}\).
 \\ Díка סѐ̀ тoîs \(\mu\) è̀ \(\pi \alpha \theta_{0} \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu\)



}
sion of the banquet，the poet takes care to add à àabpwtos and \(\hat{a} \gamma \psi \dot{a}\), ，＇unmarried＇ and＇chaste，＇to Iphigenia．Compare

 raîs \(\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a i s\), ẻk \(\mu\) évov кат \(\dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta\) ．－The MSS．give á \(\gamma y\) à，which Schütz altered to \(\mathrm{c} \gamma \mathrm{\gamma} \mathrm{q}\) ，Peile and Klausen retaining the vulgate．

237．є㒸 \(\pi \tau \mu \mu \nu \tau\)＇．So Elmsley and
 a．life which duly paid the third libation to Zeus \(\sum \omega \tau h \rho\) in acknowledgment of his protection；and thence＇a prosperous life．＇See on Suppl．26，and compare Plat．Symp．p．176，init．Aesch．frag．

 тріто⿱ ：кралйpos．Plutarch，Symposiac． จ．Quaest．v．太 2，où




 Libations were offered at the end of the banquet（1）to Zeus and Hera as \(\tau \in ́ \lambda\) stot， or gods of marriage ；（2）to the heroes ； （3）to Zens Ex \(\omega \tau \eta \rho\) exclusively；and the practice corresponded in principle with our custom of＇saying grace，＇viz．as a pious recognition of the blessings conferred by the gods．

240．ойк анраитои，i．e．the evils he predicted would arise from this sacrifice （150）are sure to be fulfilled．Though the chorus did not witness，and for other rea－ sons decline to describe the deed of blood， they are perfectly aware that it was ac－ complished，and therefore sooner or later they look for the dreaded results．Klau－ sen seems wide of the mark in taking \(\tau \dot{\alpha}, ~(\mu \theta \in \nu\) of the adulterous intercourse of Clytemnestra with Aegisthus，which they can only guess at because they have seen no direct proofs．

241．\(\Delta i\) iкa \(\delta \epsilon_{\text {．}}\) • But justice on these indeed（who have been the guilty authors of the sacrifice，i．t．Agamemnon）causes knowledge to fall by sad experience．＇See sup．170．That is，Justice will find out the guilty in due time，and make them aware of their sin when they have suf－ fered for it．However，the chorus adds， since what must be must，there is nothing gained by predicting ills at a time like the
 as in Eum． 848.
 Elmsley and Blomfield．The MSS．gene－

 is added by another hand in the Med．， and omitted in the Naples or Farnese MS． The passage is corrupt，and the reading cannot be determined with certainty． Hermana，followed by Klausen and Peile，
 putting a stop after \(\tau \delta \mu^{\prime} \lambda \lambda, \lambda \nu\) in the pre－ ceding verse．They explain，＇as for hearing beforehand of its approach，we say farewell to it at once，＇i．，．e．we will not be at the trouble to anticipate evils． The meaning of the reading given above seems to my mind more satisfactory； ＇farewell to the future even before it has come，since there cannot be an escape from it，＇i．e．by foreseeing or foreboding it．This doctrine of fatalism is essentially


 into \(\kappa \lambda \dot{\lambda} \dot{q}, s\) is quite easily accounted for， \(\kappa\) and \(\eta, \sigma\) and \(o\) ，being often inter－ changed．Again，ov is frequently omitted by transcribers when it forms a crasis （e．g．Suppl．291），and the article is as frequently interpolated．


 all one whether we digmiss it from our


KATTAIMNHETPA.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { "E } \omega \text { s } \gamma \text { ย́voוто } \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ E v ̉ \phi \rho o ́ \nu \eta s ~ \pi a ́ \rho a . ~ \tag{255}
\end{align*}
\]
thoughts or brood over it in dismal anticipation, for come it will without fail. Compare 1374, \(\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime}\) аìvєì єїтє \(\mu \in \psi \in \gamma \in \iota \nu\)

 ing simply is, ' we shall know clearly with the morning sun what news is in store for us.' There can be little doubt that Wellauer and Hermann are right, the one in giving \(\xi<{ }^{\prime} \nu o p \theta \rho o \nu\) for \(\sigma v \nu o p \theta \delta \nu\), the other aủzaîs for aùzaîs or àütaîs.' Some retain
 in 240. Blomf. and Franz prefer the reading of MSS. Flor. Farn. \(\bar{\xi} \neq \boldsymbol{y} \alpha \rho \theta \rho o \nu\).
246. \(\delta\) ' oziv. 'However, not to dwell longer on mere conjectures,' Sc. See sup. on 217. We might correctly enough translate, 'So let us say, in reference to what is next to come, May all be well!'
 give \(\epsilon \ddot{\jmath} \pi \rho a \xi 彑 s\), which Hermann thinks defensible; but it seems better to write \(\epsilon_{\dot{v}}\) separately, with Klausen. So inf. 483,

 the nearest and dearest interest in it,' -who stand in the place of its closest relations in the absence of the King, who is the true shepherd and father of his

 Hermann denies that \(\tau \delta \delta \epsilon\) is said of the chorus, but does not tell us how he understood the passage. Dr. Donaldson (New Crat. § 284) thinks т \(\delta \delta^{\circ}\) वै \(\gamma \chi \iota \sigma \tau о{ }^{\circ}\) means 'here at hand to offer aid.''Anias ralas, the Argive territory: see
on Suppl. 256.-At this point the Queen makes her appearance from the central door in the proscenium, and the chorus takes the opportunity, after doing obeisance, to repeat (252) the question which had remained unanswered at 97-103.
 seat has been deserted.' See inf. 502. 835. The King and the Queen in heroic times had separate chairs or seats; hence the term ' male throne' (or 'throne of the male') applied to the former.
252. єॉтє \(\kappa \in \delta \nu \partial े \nu ~ \epsilon ไ \tau \epsilon ~ \mu भ\). 'Whether from actually having heard some good tidings, or whether, without any such previous assurance, you are sacrificing merely on the hope of it.' The more correct and logical enunciation would have been, \(\epsilon\) І̆тє \(\kappa є \delta \nu \delta \nu \quad \tau_{t} \pi \epsilon \pi \nu \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \eta \eta\)

 had actually arrived, there was no place for \({ }^{e} \lambda \pi l s\), but only for thanksgiving. The sense therefore amounts to this; 'tell me whether you are sacrificing to. thank the gods for favours received, or only begging them to confirm your hopes.' The point of the Queen's reply is to dwell on this supposed \(\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i s\), for she says, 'You shall learn what will delight you beyond the mere hope of bearing.' At the same time she accepts the omen in the word ev̉a \(\gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda o t \sigma t \nu\),- ' By all means may the morning usher in good news (receiving it, as it were, from the night out of which it springs, i. e. from the beacon which appeared last night), but ' \&c.

\section*{
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- 260. रु่ topês \(\lambda \epsilon\) '́ \(\omega\). This implies, as Dr. Peile observes, a little impatience at the chorus' incredulity. As if she had said, 'Were my former words (258) obscurely expressed ?'
262. кат \(\eta \gamma o \rho \epsilon\). . Properly, 'gives evidence against you,' ' convicts you of being my friend.' (Or perhaps, ' of being glad.' See on Cho. 761.)
263. тí \(\gamma\) र̀े \(\tau\) т̀ \(\pi t \sigma \tau \delta \nu\) к.т. \(\lambda\). ('I rejoice to hear it, but I still fear it may not be true;) for what is your proof of this on which one may rely?' Hermann, after Schütz, and wilh Dindorf and Franz, reads
 But this seems no improvement, for the Queen's reply is appropriate to the punctuation in the text, which is that of Klausen and Peile; 'I have a proof,-of course I have,-that is, unless the god has deceived me ' (cf. \(\theta \in \hat{i} 0 \nu \psi(\theta a s, 462)\). Moreover, the article is quite out of place if тो \(\pi t \sigma \tau \delta \nu\), \&cc. forms a distinct question.
266. ov \(\delta \delta \delta \alpha y\) \& \(y \lambda d \beta o i \mu\). This is said with some contempt. 'I would not accept the fancies of the mind in sleep.'
267. \(\dot{d} \lambda \lambda\) ' \(\hat{\mathfrak{\gamma}}\). 'Well then, has some intimation not derived from omens inflated you with vain hope?'-'You underrate my intelligence as if it were that of a young child.'- \({ }^{2} \pi \pi \tau \in \rho o s\), an obscure word, about the sense of which
interpreters greatly differ, seems to mean
 So \(\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \partial{ }^{\nu}\) means 'an omen' in Oed. Col.

 Ion 377, т \(\rho о \beta \omega \mu\) lous \(\sigma \phi а \gamma^{2 i \sigma t} \mu \eta \lambda \omega \nu\) \#
 x. 11,' Tuque, o cara mihi, felicibus edita pennis,' i. e. 'fausto omine nata.' Hermann understands it literally, ' unfledged,' 'premature.' Hesychius, quoting the
 or sudden,' which shews that both interpretations were current in his time. At all events, the well-known Homeric ex-
 and \({ }_{\epsilon}^{\pi} \pi \in \alpha \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \delta \in \nu \tau \alpha\), seem to have no direct connexion with the present passage.
269. tolov \(\chi\) рóyou \(\delta\) '́. 'And pray at what time has the city been captured?' (Our idiom is, 'was it captured.') Even here a slight tone of incredulity is perceptible, as also in the next question; but the firm, distinct, and concise replies of the Queen allow of no further doubt. It is ever as she has said; it only remains to explain how the news have reached her.
271. \(\tau \delta \delta \epsilon \tau\) ráxos, 'with such speed.' The accusative depends on the cognate sense, as if he had said \(\tau a \chi\) र́vetv \(\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi o s\).
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273. ar \(\gamma\) doov. This has been restored from Suidas and other grammarians, who quote the verse, for the vulg. \({ }^{3} \gamma \gamma \in \bar{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda o v}\). See on Pers. 14. So also mavov (275) for фavòv, from Athenaéus.
276. "Aaqov altos Zqub́s. Cf. Soph.
 ' The summit of Athos sacred to Zetis.' There was'a tradition that Mount Athos, which rises 6778 feet above the'sea, overshadowed the bäck of a bronze ox in Lemnos; which must be understobd to mean, that the sun, setting behind that mountain, cast upon the statue the shadow of the peak. Hence Soph. frag.
 Plutarch, De facie in Orbe Lunde, § xxii, who quates the verse rather differently,

 ' \(\delta(\omega \nu\), or nearly ninety miles. At this distance the beacon-light could not have been seen, much less the shadow of the mountain; but the true distance is considerably less, perhaps not above fifty or sixty miles.

 Hermann, remarking that \(\tau \epsilon\) and not \(\delta \dot{\xi}\) is used with this word, regards it as a continuation of the construction with \(\epsilon \xi\) -
 flammae altissime eminens. In my opinion, the finite verb was suppressed,-in fact, forgotten, 二in' the length of the sentence, so that \(\pi a p a \gamma \gamma \in l \lambda a \sigma \alpha\) resolves
itself into a case of nominativus pendens, the narrative being resumed with the apodosis \(\delta \delta^{\circ}\) oŭ \(\tau \iota \mu\) é \(\lambda \lambda \omega \nu\) (281). See on Pers. 417. Eum. 750. Translate: 'but the strength of the forwarded torch, bounding aloft so as joyously to cross the back of the sea, the pine-wood, I say, having transmitted its golden light like a sun to the heights of Macistus,-he then, not delaying nor heedlessly overcome by sleep, passed on his share of the messenger-
 well be taken with \(\nu \omega \tau\{\sigma t i=\) modyov. Hermann admits Schütz's correction refk \(\eta\) s,
 lubitu İuxuriaretur'flamma.
281. \(\delta \delta \varepsilon^{\prime}\). Either Macistus himself,an unknown mountain in Euboea,-or \(\sigma \kappa о \pi \partial s\) implied in oкотaîs. Either is better than to read \(\sigma \kappa о \pi \hat{\varphi}\) with Hermann.
 'r'aptévat' is to let a 'thing or person go by you; hence either to pass it on, transmit, \&c., or to neglect.
284. Méनбatiou. Schol. Med. Mé \(\sigma^{\prime}\) -



286. ypalas 'è \(\rho\) eikns \(\theta \omega \mu \delta \nu\). 'A heap of dry old heath.' The erica arborea is evidently meant, which grows into a tree as large as our white-thorn, and when old has a decayed and withered appearance in its lower boughis. It is common on mountains on the Mediterranean coasts.









 флојòs \(\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu \pi \omega ́ \gamma \omega \nu a\), каi इ' \(а р \omega \nu \iota к о \hat{v}\)



292. T \(\mathrm{\omega} \nu\) eip \(\eta \mu\) év.v. 'Than those hitherto described.' For the distance between Cithaeron and Aegiplanctus (a mountain of Megaris) was muich less than that of the other beacon posts, iso that the blaze would appear much greater.
293. גi \(\mu \nu \eta \nu\) Г \(о \rho \gamma \bar{\omega} \pi \iota \nu\). A small bay of the Sinus Corinthiacus, lying nearly in a line between Cithaeron and Aegiplanctus.
295. \(\mu \grave{\eta}\) र \(\rho o \nu i\langle\in \sigma \theta a t\). So Franz from the conjecture of Martin, and on the whole I think it is a better one than either \(\mu \boldsymbol{m}\) \(\chi a \pi!\zeta_{\in \sigma \theta a t,}\) ' not to be wanting,' adopted from Heath by Herm., Blomf., Dind., or \(\mu \eta \chi a \rho!\zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a t\), 'to devise,' which Scholefield and Peile admit from the suggestion of Wellauer. The MSS. agree in \(\mu \grave{\eta}\) \(\chi a p i \zeta_{\epsilon} \in \theta a, \quad\) (except that the Farn. MS. gives oj for \(\mu\) 亿 ). Klausen and Stanley (independently, it would seem) give \(\mu \hat{\eta} \chi \alpha \rho\) \(\ell \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a L\). Others propose \(\mu o t\) for \(\mu \eta\). The reading is so uncertain, that I have marked it with an obelus. None of the above corrections give so simple a meaning as that in the text, 'it urged on the succession of the fire not to linger in its course.' Precisely similar is the verse applied to the active messenger, Theb. 54 , каl т \(\hat{\omega} v \delta \varepsilon\)
 \(\theta \in \sigma \mu\) ds is perhaps used in reference to the regulations of the \(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \dot{i} \bar{\eta} \phi \circ \rho i \alpha\), again alluded to in 303 , and the idea of the chain of beacon lights may have been borrowed by the poet from the incidents of the Persian war, in which we are told by


 distant headland which commands a view of the Saronic gulf.' The MSS. by a very frequent error (see on Prom. 2), give ró \(\tau o \pi \tau \rho o y\), which Canter corrected, and the emendation is rendered all but certain by the scholium natóquov. Strabo frequently has кáтoттos, छ̈толтоs, катол\(\tau \in \tilde{v} \in c \theta a t\), in this sense, e. g. lib. iini. p. 159,



 Eur. Hipp. 30, кató廿uov \(\gamma \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \in ~ \nu a d \nu\)

 The construction is, \(\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon\) हкє \(\ell \nu \eta \nu\) (sc. \(\phi \lambda \delta \gamma a\) or \(\lambda a \mu \pi d \delta \delta a)\) vi \(\pi \in \rho \beta d \lambda \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu\) нal \(\pi \rho \omega \bar{\nu} a\), where 'even the promontory' impies that the flame was so bright that it could be seen beyond the point furthest removed in that direction from Aegiplanctus. Some take this for Sunium, but Hermann says " litus trans Saronicum sinum conspicuum."
299. eil \(\tau^{\prime}\). So Hermann for elt \(r^{\prime}\), for which Stanley conjectured és \(\tau\) '. The sense is, "then it alighted' (i. e. stopped at the last station, beyond which no other beacon had to be kindled) 'when it had reached the height of Arachnaeus,' a mountain of Argolis. Pausan. ii. 25, 9, катळे

 to 'Apaxyaîou.







入óyous \(\delta^{\prime}\) äкой \(\sigma a \iota ~ \tau o v ́ \sigma \delta є ~ \kappa a ̉ \pi о ө a v \mu a ́ \sigma a \iota ~\)





303．Е́тоццоı，i．e．\(\overline{\vec{y}} \sigma \alpha \nu\) ，or perhaps ei \(\sigma l\) ，if we understand that the Queen has such means of communication ready at her disposal，if any further news is to be conveyed．The phraseology seems bor－ rowed from the Lampadephoria，to which \(\nu \delta \mu o \iota, \delta \iota \alpha \delta o \chi \alpha l\) ，and \(\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) were pro－ bably peculiar terms．But the metaphor is qualified，after the usual manner of Aeschylus，by adding עикаَ \(\delta^{\prime} \delta \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau о s ~ к а l ~\) тe入evtaîos，＇but the last is as much the victor in the race as the first，＇since there was a mere succession of beacons without any contest．There is so much obscurity on the real nature of the Athenian torch－ race，that the true explanation of this passage is rather doubtful，especially as the poet uses kal \(\tau \in \lambda \in u \tau \alpha i \hat{o}\) ，not \(\chi^{\hat{\omega}}\) тєлеutakos．Hence we might translate， with Peile，＇the first that started in the race is victor，having ran last also．＇That is，he succeeded in carrying his torch lighted to the end．Only，this seems to lose sight of the \(\delta \iota \alpha \delta o \chi \grave{\eta}\) ，or successive handing of the torch．The game is rather minutely described by Pausanias，i．30，2，








 \(\pi \in \tau \alpha l\) 市 \(\nu\) lk \(\eta\) ．From a consideration of this passage，I formerly suggested this explanation：：And the first in is the con－
queror，though he took up the race the last；＇i．e．the first who reached the goal was the beacon on the Arachnaean hill， though it was the last lighted．I leave the reader to choose which of the above interpretations he prefers，being unable to arrive at a conclusion altogether satisfac－ tory．The first is Klausen＇s，which may be defended by v．315．Another，though I cannot approve it，may be found in a recent Oxford publication，＇Terminalia，＇ p． 56.

306．те́кцар тоьиิтоข．This is in reply to the question at \(v .263\).

308．aủ \(\theta t s\), ＇hereafter，＇i．e．at 344.

 more than \(ө а ч \mu \alpha ́ \sigma a t,-\) to satisfy my curiosity，＇Lat．animum explere mirando．
 give \(\dot{\omega}\) s \(\lambda\) é \(\gamma o l s\) ，which Peile and Klausen explain，after Wellauer，＇as pray tell me again．＇Dindorf and Hermann give oùs \(\lambda e ́ \gamma \in t s\) after Bothe．But \(\omega\) s \(\lambda \in ́ \gamma \in t s\) gives

 Toùs，－＂I wish to＂hear the account again at length，how you give it．＇

312．Bоэे 反̋цистоข．A cy＇y distinct in its character，and not confused even amidst the prevailing noise，viz．the cry of wailing on the part of the conquered，and of shouting and contending for food and lodging on that of the conquerors．These two parties are distinguished by oi \(\mu \grave{e} \nu \gamma\) da \(\rho\) （317），and тoùs \(\delta^{\mathfrak{j}}\) aû̃є（321）．

313．è \(\gamma \chi\) ćas．So Canter for èn \(\chi\) éas， which might indeed stand，but it seems
 \(\kappa а i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ к \rho а \tau \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu\) díxa









 \(\nu a i o v \sigma \iota \nu, \tilde{\eta} \delta \eta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \alpha \iota \theta \rho i ́ \omega \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu\)
more probably a transcriber's error. The comparison is thus: 'As, if you pour oil and vinegar into one vessel, they will keep apart and not combine, so you may hear distinct cries from conquered and conquerors.' The two terms are combined by \(\tau \in-\kappa a l\) (cf. Theb. \(580-1\) ), and therefore a full stop is wrongly placed at \(\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu \in ́ \pi \sigma o l s\).
314. oủ фincs. So all the MSS. Hermann, Dindorf, and Blomfield, adopt Stanley's correction oủ \(\phi\{\lambda \omega\). But if we consider that \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \in \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu\) is not to speak of a person, but to speak-to him, we shall feel that the addition of \(\phi i \lambda \omega s\) or \(\dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \omega \bar{s}\) is perfectly natural, if not absolutely necessary, in a case like the present. The alteration was proposed on the idea that
 bined; whereas the meaning is, 'you would address them in no friendly terms as standing aloof from each other, \({ }^{\text {, }}\) - you would, as it were, reproach them for their unsociable behaviour.
317. oi \(\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\), the captives in general; though it is clear that the women are especially meant in reference to the \(\alpha \nu \delta \rho \in s\)
 'aged parents.' Cf. 'фитá入лоз тaт̀̀p, Soph. frag. 957. фитадцїоs \(\lambda\) е́ктроьs Rhes. 920. The poet evidently means that the only survivors are women and children, the men having fallen in the storming of the city; and even these lament from a neck no longer free, i. e. encircled with a rope or chain, the symbol of captivity.
321. \(\nu\) vктí \(\lambda \lambda a \gamma \kappa \tau o s \pi \delta\) vos \(\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \iota s\). The hungry toil of keeping watch during the night after the fight. The 'restlessness' and 'hunger' bave their correlatives in
 The Queen pictures to herself what is actually taking place in Troy at that very time, i. e. early morning.- \(\tau\) á \(\sigma \sigma \epsilon \ell\), к.т. \(\lambda\). , ' sets down to breakfast on what the city contains, (but) according to no ticket (or token) in the distribution.' The meaning of \(\tau \in \kappa \mu\) fotov is determined not only by the context ( \(\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon t\) properly implying regular order and arrangement), but, by тú \(\chi \eta s \pi d \dot{d} \lambda o \nu\) in the next verse. Compare with the present passage Thucyd. iii. 30,




326. \(\check{\eta} \delta \eta\) к. \(\tau . \lambda\). 'Now at length delivered from frosts and dews under the open sky, since (or when) the poor wearied men will be able to repose the whole night without having to keep guard.' It is clear from v. 12, where the annoyance of the nightly dew is mentioned under similar circumstances, that á \(\phi \dot{u}\) \(\lambda a \kappa \tau o \nu\) and \(\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \nu v{ }^{\prime} \kappa \tau \alpha\) are said in reference to the night-watches ( \(\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\mu} \mu \circ \iota \rho \circ \nu\) \(\nu v \kappa \tau \grave{s}{ }^{2} \phi \rho o u \rho \dot{d} \nu\), Rhes. 5), and hence it seems to follow that \(\eta_{\delta \eta} \eta-\dot{\omega} s\) must be taken strictly in connexion. Compare

 סuбdaíuoves, which almost every editor has altered, there seems no reason why it

should not refer to the sufferings of the victors during the siege．Klausen follows Schütz in understanding סuaסoluoves of men who have no property to guard，and therefore no anxiety about nightly plun－ derers．This explanation would be satis－ factory，if we might read valovtes for
 would be required．Schütz suggested \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) \(\delta^{\prime}\) v̇ \(\pi \alpha \Delta \theta \rho l \omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha ́ \gamma \omega \nu, \kappa, \tau . \lambda\).

329．\(\epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \in \beta_{0} \hat{v} \sigma\) ．There is no sufficient ground for writing \(\epsilon \bar{\delta} \sigma^{\prime}\) Bovat，since we have \(\grave{a} \lambda ı \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a 1 ~ \theta\) eò̀s Od．iv．378，and v．
 \(\dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \nu \nu \in \dot{J} \sigma \epsilon \beta o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s\) ibid．973，and Eur． Troad． 85 hardly admits of the alteration，

 Betv．The notion of being recaptured，as a punishment for sacrilege committed， naturally suggested itself to the poet from

 edited，is given by Hermann．Peile and Klausen retain the MSS．reading oű \(\not \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu}\) \(\gamma^{\prime}\) ，which I believe here to be indefen－ sible－一a \(p \theta a \lambda a \hat{i} \in \nu\) is the correction of Au － ratus for \(\alpha \hat{i} \theta\) 的voutv．
 wish to escape）let them beware that no desire should fall on the army，before they leave Troy，of plundering what they ought not，overcome by love of gain．＇The fears of Clytemnestra arise from a belief com－ monly held by the Greeks，that to sack the temples of a captured city was sure to result in a calamitous return home． See on Pers．805．Eur．Bacch．1336，

 Athena says＇（Troad．69．75）oùk oî \(\sigma \theta^{2}\)

 crime had already been committed in the slaughter of the inhabitants（ \(\tau \delta \pi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \pi \bar{\omega} \nu\) b̀ \(\lambda \omega \lambda \sigma \tau \omega \nu, 337\) ），but the gods may per－ haps overlook that，so long as they are reverently treated by the victors．On the subjunctive \(\bar{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \tau \eta\) see on Suppl． 351．Hermann and Klausen give roөtîl for mop \(\theta \epsilon i v\) ，on the authority（according to the former）of MS．Flor．
 Compare Suppl．401，\(\delta \in \hat{\imath}\) tol Batelas
 \({ }_{\text {дै }} \mu \mu\) ．The meaning is，They have yet to secure a safe return home；yet to pass the turning point of the diaulos or double race－course，and retrace their steps along the other limb or parallel line of it，from Troy to Argos．

336．日eô̂s \(\delta^{2}\) t̀ к．т．入．＇But if the army should return guilty of sins against the gods（i．e．sacrilege），the calamity due to them from those who have perished may not be suffered to sleep，even if no sudden and startling calamity＇（we should say，＇no visible judgment＇）＇should befal them．＇There can be no doubt that Her－ mann and Klausen rightly explain \({ }^{2} \mu\)－ \(\pi \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \kappa \eta \tau o s\) actively，＇erring against the gods．＇The \(\bar{\alpha} \nu\) is used to introduce the optative \(\gamma\)＇ivocto，with which it is after－ wards repeated．But the conjecture of
 compares with èvajdptทtos，and might have compared with ezvavìs，is in a high degree probable．一 \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \pi a \iota a\) как \(\alpha\) refers to the \(\tau \grave{\partial} \dot{a} \nu \theta a \lambda \omega \nu a t, v .331\) ，and the sense clearly is，that even if no such sudden reverse should befal them at Troy，still they may suffer for it by shipwreck on their homeward voyage．
\({ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \rho \eta \gamma\) оòs \(\tau \grave{2} \pi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) ỏ \(\lambda \omega \lambda o ́ \tau \omega \nu\)
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 \(\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu\) ко́ \(\sigma \mu \nu\) ктє́́тєьра,

 \(\mu \hat{\eta}^{\prime}\) oîv \(\nu \epsilon \alpha \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \nu^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \alpha\)
 ropov. Compare Eur. El. 41, Evठovt' à \(\nu\)

 only a woman, I have such advice and such sage precepts to offer.' To this line
 (342).-ndoets Herm., Franz. with one MS. The common reading is nरúots. So \(\lambda \in \neq \epsilon\) ts and \(\lambda\) é \(\quad\) oors were confused sup. 310. But \(\kappa \lambda\) bous might be defended by \(\lambda \hat{\xi} \xi \in \epsilon \nu\) in 535 , rather than as a wish.
 may wiew it without any counterbalancing evil, i. e. with entire satisfaction.
341. єỉd \(\mu \eta \nu\), 'I have got.' Cf.


 The meaning is, Since I have been blessed in so many and such great advantages, may no envy of the gods bring evil upon me. The sentiment is the same as sup. 130, inf. 920. Hermann reads tinu \(\delta^{2}\) ةैע \(\eta \sigma \omega\), in this sense: 'For I prefer this enjoyment (i. e. of unmixed good) before much prosperity.' Klausen understands it thus; 'For many are the advantages of which I wish for the enjoyment.'
 dently, as \(\epsilon \check{\check{\prime} \phi \rho \omega \nu}\) and \(\delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \phi \rho \omega \nu\) are used, Pers. 654. 768, and so a gloss in MS. Farn. \(\phi \rho o v / \mu \omega s\). But in respect of \(v .310\) it more probably means 'obligingly,' i. e.
in reply to my request.
343. àroúvas, ' now that I have heard from you.' Cf. 263, 306.—䛧 \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon!\pi \epsilon i \nu\), sup. 308.
 adequate return for all our trouble.' The notion in \(\tau\langle\mu \bar{\eta}\) is not 'honour,' but 'recompense.' - Exit Clytemnestra. The chorus sings a stasimon, the point of which is, that in the capture of Troy the long. delayed Justice of Zeus is vindicated, and the deserved punishment has fallen on the proudly prosperous Priam and the impious and god-despising Paris. The loss of a beantiful wife has roused a husband to vengeance; but that vengeance has cost the army much suffering and death. Perhaps his turn may yet come; for too great prosperity is regarded by the gods with jealousy, especially when the death of many has to be accounted for. However, they conclude, the rumour may yet prove false: women are wont to act on impulse and without due assurance that they are in the right.
349. \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \alpha \nu \partial{ }^{2}\). Not a covering net, i. e. one spread over the city, but one which cannot be broken through, as the wall is said \(\sigma \tau \varepsilon \gamma \epsilon!\nu\), Theb. 205. - \(v \pi \epsilon \rho-\) тєлє́ \(\alpha\), , cf. inf. 1347, sup. 277, whence it is clear that the notion is that of leap. ing over a fence too strong to be burst asunder, not of breaking through a roof.
 Día Tol 写évoo \(\mu\) érà aỉoov̂ \(\mu a \iota\) \(\tau \grave{̀} \nu \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \pi \rho a ́ \xi \alpha \nu \tau^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\epsilon} \pi^{3}{ }^{\prime} A \lambda \epsilon \xi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \omega\) \(\tau \epsilon i v o \nu \tau a \pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \tau o ́ \xi o \nu\), ö \(\pi \omega \mathrm{s}\) à \(\nu\)

 \(\Delta\) lòs \(\pi \lambda a \gamma\) à \(\nu\) é \(\chi o v \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v\).

 \(\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \beta \rho o \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ a ̀ \xi \xi \iota o v ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu\), öcoıs à \(\theta\) íкт \(\omega \nu\) रápıs

 \(\dot{\alpha} \tau 0 \lambda \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \omega{ }^{*}\)＂\(A \rho \eta\)
 \(\phi \lambda \epsilon o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu\) ข́тє́ \(\rho \phi \in \nu\)
 \(\mu a \nu \tau o \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \tau \epsilon \kappa\) кảnаркєī̀

355．\({ }^{\circ} \pi \omega \omega s t y\) ，＇so as that the weapon might not light in vain，either falling short of the mark or going above the stars（too high）．＇On \(\pi \rho \dot{\text { ¢ }}\) кайо̂̀ see Prom． 515. So Tac．Hist．iii．23，＂falso ictu tela

 \(\epsilon\left\langle\sigma \alpha s\right.\) ．On \({ }_{0} \pi \omega s\) at \(\nu\) with the optative see Appendix C．to the Supplices（ed．2）．
 from Zeus Xenius that they have received their blow，so to say it；this at least one may trace in the history of their fall． They have fared as he had appointed．＇ \(\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \tau \nu\), i．e．\(\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon\) ，is added because \(\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta \nu\) \({ }^{*} \chi \in \iota \nu\) was a familiar phrase borrowed from single－handed combatants，like habet （Virg．Aen．xii．296），of the Roman gladiators．－Eँ \(\pi \rho \alpha \xi \alpha \nu\) is the correction of

 1418，and see on v． 1261.

360．айк モ̌̆ \(\phi\) тis．The general sense is，＇Some pretend that the gods do not deign to be concerned about wicked men ； but the opinion is false，for the posterity of the proud and too prosperous are sure to suffer．＇This is said in allusion to Priam and Paris，ard is according to the
favourite doctrine of Aeschylus，that a curse lies dormant in families for genera－ tions．The opinion here denied is the same that was so energetically maintained by the Epicureans of a later age．Cic． de Div．i．ad fin．，＇Ennius deos non curare opinatur quid agat humanum genus．＇ Ibid．ii．§ 104，a verse of that poet is quoted，＇Sed eos non curare opinor quid agat humanum genus．＇－－ \(\begin{gathered}\xi \\ \text { เoù } \\ \sigma 0 a l \\ \text { is the }\end{gathered}\) middle voice，as in Eum．403，фovès
 Theb． 664.
 rather，тò \(\mu\) é入ct» \(\theta\) eò̀s Bpotûv．The perfect passive of \(\phi\) aiva，as II．ii．122，
 aro \(\lambda \mu \eta \tau \omega s\) are the corrections of Her－ mann and Bamberger for É \(\gamma \gamma \delta\) bous and àro \(\lambda \mu \eta \tau \omega y\) ．＇It is made known to the posterity of those who presumptuously cherish a spirit of rebellion against the gods more than is permitted，when their houses teem with excessive wealth beyond what is best for them．＇
 If we regard the context alone，we shall be strongly tempted to explain these words of that moderate wealth which，

\author{
\(\epsilon v ̉ \pi \rho a \pi i \hat{\delta} \omega \nu \lambda a \chi o ́ \nu \tau a \cdot\) \\  \\  \\  375 \(\beta ı a ̂ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta ' ~ a ́ ~ \tau a ́ \lambda \alpha ı \nu \alpha ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \grave{\omega}\), \\ \(\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \tau . \alpha^{\alpha}\). \(\pi \rho о \beta\) оu入ótaıs ä \(\phi \in \rho т о\) ätas.

 \\  \(\tau \rho i ́ \beta \omega \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha a i\) т \(\tau о \sigma \beta\) одаîs \(\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \gamma \eta \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota\)

}
while it brings no harm ( \(\pi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha\) ) to the possessor, at the same time satisfies a well-ordered and contented mind. Again, the imperative \(\ddagger \sigma \tau \omega\) implies something within the control of man, not a wish that the gods only can grant. 'Let your wealth be harmless,' \&c. The difficulty is to find a definite subject to \(\begin{gathered}\text { ¿ } \\ \sigma\end{gathered} \tau \omega\), which some make \(\tau \delta \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu a\), others \(\tau \delta \tau \hat{\eta} s\) \(\tau \dot{\chi n} \bar{s}\). There is difficulty too in the construction of \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \kappa \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu\), to which \(\lambda \alpha \chi \dot{6} \nu \tau \alpha\) seems the subject rather than the object. We have à \(\rho \kappa \hat{\omega}\) for à \(\rho \kappa \in \hat{\imath} \mu о \iota\), Prom. 639,
 (Pers. 476), but à \(\pi а \rho \kappa \in \hat{i} \tau t s ~ \pi \lambda о \dot{́} \tau \varphi\).

 protection in wealth against destruction, to a man who has insolently spurned the great altar of righteousness.' So \(\beta \omega \mu\) д \(\nu\)


376 seqq. 'Such a person' (continues the poet, having Paris especially in view, though he does not name him till \(\nabla .390\) ), is urged on by a wretched and fatal impulse ( \(\pi \in t \theta \dot{\omega}\) ), resulting from, and as it were the daughter of, a judicial blindness or infatuation ( \({ }^{2} \tau \eta\) ), which impulse in an irresistible manner ( (a \(\phi \in \rho \tau o s)\) suggests to him ways and means of attaining his ends ( \(\pi \rho 0\) Boun \(\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon\) ). There is no help for it: his innate baseness is made to appear as surely as inferior metal is detected by use; for he is like a boy frivolously pursuing a bird, while he cares not for the misery he inflicts on his native city.'. The chief difficulty here lies in \(\pi \rho \circ\) oßou入ósous, which seems fairly capable only of two mean-ings,-'the fore-counselling child of in-
fatuation,' or 'devising beforehand cala-

 216). In the latter sense, the doctrine will be, that the consequences of crime descend to generations yet unborn; in the former, which I have preferred, at \(\tau \eta\) is said \(\tau\{\kappa \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu\), and to have a child \(\pi \epsilon t \theta \dot{\omega}\),

379. тầ \(\mu a ́ \tau \alpha a \iota \nu . ~ S o ~ K l a u s e n ~ a n d ~\) Dind. with Wellauer. Hermann and Peile retain тадرá \(\tau \alpha, \frac{\nu}{}\) with the MSS.
380. rivos. Our equivalent word is ' mischief,' the epithet \(\sigma i \nu i s\) or \(\sigma i \nu \tau \eta s\) being properly applied to destructive animals. Here we may understand the mischievous propensities of such persons as the poet describes.- \(\pi \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon\), perhaps actively, as sup. 30. inf. 1299, but \(\phi \hat{\omega} s\) may equally well be a nominative in apposition, 'shines as a balefully-gleaming light.'
383. \(\mu \in \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \gamma \grave{\eta} s(\bar{\alpha})\), 'black-grained,' applied to congealed blood in Theb. 734. Bronze, when composed only of copper and tin, has a green rust (aerugo), and becomes bright by friction, whereas if mixed with zinc it turns quite black externally, and is liable to become dim and oxydised after being polished. To this Sophocles (frag. 742) clearly alludes in a verse preserved by Plutarch, An seni gerenda sit Respublica, § viii., \(\lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota\) रà \(\rho\)
 \(\chi a \lambda \kappa \delta \delta\). The man himself is said to turn black when put to the test ( \(\delta\) teat \(\omega \theta \in 1\) ), by that confusion between the image and the thing compared which has been noticed on Suppl. 221.
\(\delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha i ̂ \varsigma \pi о \tau \alpha \nu \grave{\nu} \nu\) on \(\rho \nu \iota \nu\),

385．סı́́kє \(\pi\) tits öpviv．There was a proverb \(\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \in \tau \delta \mu \in \nu a\)（or \(\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \sigma \tau a \nu \grave{\alpha})\) ai \(\epsilon \kappa \kappa \iota \nu\) ，on which see Blomfield＇s Glossary． It was applied to those who wasted their time and means in pursuing vanities or impossibilities．Here，as Hermann ob－ serves，it is not so much applied to the pursuit of Helen by Paris（since he sue－ ceeded in carrying her off），as to his vain expectation of getting the victory in the end．On \(\pi \rho \delta \sigma \tau \rho \mu \mu \mu\) see Prom． 337.

388．\(\tau \delta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau \rho \circ ф о \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon\) ．Hermann takes this actively，＇him who brings on such sufferings \((\pi \rho \delta \sigma \tau \rho \mu \mu \mu)\) to the state．＇ Others explain，＂him who engages in such things．＇Both \(\sigma \tau \rho \bar{\epsilon} \phi \in \sigma \theta a t\) and ėmı \(\sigma \tau \rho \dot{f} \phi \in \sigma \theta a i\) take a genitive in the sense of \(\bar{\epsilon} \pi \stackrel{\mu}{\mathrm{E}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a l\) ，and in fact the adjective is really transitive in whichever of the above ways it is taken．For \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \in\) Blomf． gives \(\tau\) our ，which seems very probable， the two forms being constantly confused． See on Pert．671．Prom．542．

395．кरb⿱亠䒑ous te wal \(\lambda\) ．So Franz after H．L．Ahrens，for \(\kappa \lambda\) do pus \(\lambda\) o \(\gamma \chi\) i－ \(\mu\) us \(\tau \in\) val \(\nu\) ．\(\delta\) ．Otherwise the anti－ strophic verse requires alteration．Helen， on leaving her home，bequeathed to her fellow－citizens nothing but the turmoil of war，and brought to her new abode only destruction in place of a dowry．

Compare ar \(\nu \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \omega \rho\) ，inf． 430.
399．\(\delta \delta \mu \omega \nu\) т \(\rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha L\) ．The question， whether the seers of the house of the Atridae，or those of Priam，are meant，is rendered more perplexing by the uncer－ taints of the reading in 402，3．Haupt understands Cassandra and Helenus，the inspired children of Priam．On the other hand，\(\delta \delta \mu \omega \nu\) ob ip \(\delta \mu a \nu \tau i s\) ，Choc．30，is certainly said of the Atridae；and I now rather incline to believe，with Pile，that the words which follow are supposed to be spoken at Argos．Cf．416．They are rather speculations on Menelaus＇state of mind on discovering the faithlessness of his wife，than prophecies of what he would do．
 sion left on the couch by the now absent wife．So \(\sigma \tau i\) Bot is used of foot－prints， Tho．197，202．Compare Ovid，Her．x． 53，＇Et ta，qua possum，pro te vestigia tango，Strataque quad membris intepuere this．＇Propert．ii．29，35，＇Apparent non ala tor vestigia press．＇The epithet is best explained thus，\(\sigma \tau\langle\beta \circ \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho l \nu \tau \delta \nu\)

402．\(\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \sigma \tau i \quad \sigma \hat{\gamma} \gamma^{2}\) ．The MSS．give

 To discuss the many corrections and in－ terpretations that have been proposed

 \(\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \alpha\) סós \(\epsilon \iota\) סó \(\mu \omega \nu\) ả \(\nu a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu\).405 \(\epsilon \grave{\jmath} \mu о ́ \rho \phi \omega \nu\) ठє̀ кодобб \(\hat{\nu} \nu\)




 \(\pi a \rho a \lambda \lambda a ́ \xi a \sigma a\) סıà \(\chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\nu} \nu\) \(\beta \epsilon \in \beta a \kappa \epsilon \nu\) oै \(\psi \iota s\) ơ \(\mu \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu\)

would occupy a very considerable space. I shall therefore give what appears to me the most plausible sense: " He is present (i. e. at the bed) in silence, dishonoured, yet without reproaching her, perceiving with deepest pain that she is gone.' His grief is too great to find utterance in words. Others refer \(\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \sigma \tau\), to the vision of Helen. The metre seems imperatively to require
 preceding superlative rather than the nominative case. The commentators quote Hesychius, àфє́ \(\mu \in \nu о \nu, \kappa а т а \lambda є i ́ \psi a \nu \tau a\)
 \(\mu^{\prime} \operatorname{vov}^{\prime}\) is more probably the true reading.
404. \(\pi \delta \theta \omega \delta^{\prime}\) к.т. \(\lambda\). 'And through regret of her who is now beyond the sea, her form (phantom or image) will seem to him to be mistress of the house.' That is, he will continue to conjure up the loved image of one whom he too well knows is far away.
406. є \(\dot{u} \mu \delta \rho \phi \omega \nu \kappa о \lambda о \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu\). 'And the grace of the comely statues becomes odious to her husband: for in the want of (living) eyes all the charm of a woman is
 I cannot see why both Hermann and Klausen should deny that the statues here meant are those of Helen,-at least included among others. For there is little point in the remark, if the mere decorations of the palace are meant; and the next line clearly implies that no mere semblance, no portrait without the reality, will suffice to keep alive love. For, as remarked by Dr. Donaldson (New Cratylus, §478), the Greeks regarded love as a sort of aंторроŋ or efflux from the eyes.

Love here is not regarded merely as a sentiment, but as a passion which proceeds from and is fed by a living source, and which languishes and becomes extinct when that source is withdrawn.-We read, with a kind of wonder, these fine delineations of the inmost sensibilities of the human heart, and ask ourselves if we are sure we understand the poet aright, and do not unconsciously attribute to obscure words a depth of meaning beyond their real import. His object seems to have been to describe the uxorious, not to say sensual, character of Menelaus, which incited him at all hazards to undertake a long and calamitous war.
 \(\delta \rho \alpha \bar{\nu}\), pro quo \(\delta \rho \hat{a}\) dicendum erat, statim ex \(\delta о \kappa \omega ิ \nu\) aptum sit, ne idem verbum repeteretur, omissum est \(\delta \rho \underset{\text { an. Plena }}{ }\) enim oratio esset \(\epsilon \overline{\tilde{j} \tau^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \iota \varsigma \delta о \kappa \omega ิ \nu\) \(\delta \rho \hat{a} \nu \quad \delta \rho \hat{a} . "\) Hermann; who rightly adds that \(\mu d \tau \alpha \nu\) is to be construed with \(\beta\) é\(\beta a \kappa \in \nu\).-ov \(\mu \in \theta \dot{v} \sigma \tau \in \rho o \nu\) is simply 'as soon as it has appeared.' Literally, 'not behind in the course,' as Pers. 209.
415. \(\pi r \in \rho o i ̂ s . ~ ' O n ~ w i n g s ~ a t t e n d i n g ~\) the ways of sleep,'-a poetical way of saying, 'it slips away as a winged dream.' We do not gain much by Hermann's alteration, \(\pi \tau \in \rho \circ \hat{v} \sigma \sigma^{2} \dot{o} \pi \alpha \tilde{\delta} 0 \hat{v} \sigma^{2}\). The wings of a dream attend the ways of sleep because as soon as the sleep is broken the dream is ended; the two things go together. So Lucian propounds the Pythagorean doctrine in the treatise called




\title{

 тò \(\pi \hat{a} \nu \delta^{\prime}\) ả \(\pi^{\prime}\) al̉as \({ }^{\text {＇} E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s ~ \xi ́ v v o p \mu e ́ v o u s ~}\) \(\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha\) т \(\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \delta\) оо

} 420




 каì \(\tau \alpha \lambda a \nu \tau o v ̂ \chi o s ~ \in ̇ \nu ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta ~ \delta o \rho o ̀ s, ~\)
 фí入oıбь \(\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \beta \alpha \rho \grave{~}\)
 єن̉ق ย́tov．
 \(\dot{a} \nu \in \varphi \gamma \delta \sigma t\) тô̂s \(\dot{\text { ö }} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu 0\) îs \(\mu \in \lambda i \chi p\) p̀s oû̃os

416．＇\(\epsilon^{\prime}\)＇\(\epsilon \sigma \tau i a s\). ＇Such are our regrets at home，instigating us to the recovery of Helen；but there are other griefs which the friends of the absent Argive army have to endure，in the deaths of so many brave men．＇He reverts to the consequences of Paris＇folly as falling on the citizens， sup． 386.

418．à \(\pi\)＇aưas＇E入入ádos．I have adopted this easy transposition to suit what appears the most probable reading of the strophic verse．The MSS．give
 who have gone off in company to the war；＇the dative of reference，as it is called ：see sup．215．Theb． 592.

419．tл \(\eta \sigma \iota \kappa d \rho \delta \iota o s . ~ P r o p e r l y, ~ ' ~ o f ~ p a-~\) tient or enduring heart，＇\(\tau a \lambda a l \phi \rho \omega \nu\), \(\tau a \lambda a \sigma i \phi \rho \omega \nu\) ．As an epithet of \(\pi \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \nu \in \epsilon t a\) ， it seems to mean either＇endured in the heart，＇or＇causing endurance（suffering） to the heart．＇From a gloss tin＂kapolav т \(\not \kappa \kappa \frac{\sigma}{} \boldsymbol{a}\) in MS．Farn．，Auratus conjec－ tured \(\tau \eta \xi t \kappa d \rho \delta i o s . \quad\) So Cic．Tusc．iv．§36， ＇tabificae mentis perturbationes．＇Unfor－ tunately，no reliance can be placed on readings found only in the Farnese MS．

422．tis．This word was inserted by Porsori．—oIt \(\epsilon \nu\) ，＇remembers．＇

425．TEヒ́रŋ кal \(\sigma \pi o \delta \delta s, \quad\) urns and ashes．＇Schütz thinks it means＇arms
and ashes，＇тeíz \(\eta\) in Aeschylus having always this latter sense，while \(\lambda \epsilon \in \beta \eta s\)（inf． 430．Cho．673）is the urn for the re－ ception of ashes．We might even quote Theb． 49 for the custom of sending home reminiscences to absent friends； and it is not unlikely that the arms of a deceased warrior were occasionally brought back to the survivors．Sophocles however uses \(\tau \in \hat{e} \chi o s\) for a cinerary urn，El． 1120.

426－30．＇For the god of war who ex－ changes bodies for gold，and who holds the scales of life and death in the contest， sends from Troy to the friends at home a mournful and sadly－lamented dust，freight－ ing the urns with well－stored ashes instead of the living men．＇\(-\chi \rho v \sigma a \mu o i \beta \delta s\) ，because in the heroic ages both corpses and cap－ tives were ransomed for gold，as the body of Hector was redeemed by Priam from Achilles，Il．xxiv． 478 seqq．－\(\psi \hat{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu a\), pro－ perly＇a scrap，＇or＇morsel，＇i．e．such small fragmentary pieces as calcined bones are found to exhibit．The epithet \(\beta a p \dot{1}\) ， ＇sore＇or＇grievous，＇is suspicious，because \(\psi \eta \gamma \mu a\) is usually said of gold dust which is really heavy，and therefore we should rather have looked for a qualifying adjec－ tive like кov̀фov．Hence \(\beta \rho a \chi \dot{v}\) ，the con－ jecture of Schütz，is very likely to be right，and is admitted by Dindorf．

430．eỉ日écou．Hermann and others adopt \(\epsilon \dot{3} \theta \in ́ \tau o u s\) from Stanley．To my mind the epithet is far more appropriate
бтє́vovaı \(\delta^{\circ}\) є仑̂ \(\lambda \in ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s\) ä้ \(\nu\)－


入oтрías saai \(\gamma v \nu a u \kappa o ́ s . ~\)
435


тробікои＇\(A \tau \rho \epsilon\) íßals．
oi \(\delta^{\prime}\) aủ \(\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \in \hat{i} \chi\) os
\(\theta\) ท̂́каs＇İıáסos \(\gamma\) âs



\(\mu \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \iota \delta^{\prime}\) ảкоиิбаí \(\tau i ́ \mu о v\)
\(\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \mu \nu a\) ขvктпрєфє́s．
 \(\chi \rho o ́ v \omega\)

\(\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \tau v \chi \in \hat{\imath} \tau \rho \iota \beta \hat{c} \beta\) ióov
to the carefully－packed dust than to the urns containing it．Compare Il．vii．333，

 encoutos
 \(\gamma\) aiav．

Propert．iii．12，14，＇Neve aliquid de te flendum referatur in urna：Sic redeunt， illis qui cecidere locis．＇

435．סtal．So Herm．for \(\delta\) sá．
436．Baü广El．See on Pers．13．Her． mann rightly gives \(\tau \dot{d} \delta \dot{e}\) for \(\tau d \delta \epsilon\) ，because the secret murmurs of dissatisfaction are contrasted with \(\sigma\) тéyoual and \(\epsilon \bar{\delta} \lambda\) érovies， implying open expression of feeling．
438．тposticos，＇the principals in the suit＇against Priam；as sup．41，àvtiotnos． But perhaps the notion of סik \(\eta\) in both words is lost sight of，and the force of \(\pi \rho \rho\) and \(\dot{a} \nu \tau l\) alone to be regarded．
441．єジцорфои，＇in their（natural） beauty，＇i．e．unburnt，and therefore con－ trasted with the ghastly forms on the pyre．－ёхоутas，тò̀s катєұoитаs тàs Ohiras．See on Suppl．25．At the same time，Hermann observes，the notion is
involved of their possessing the land， though in death．Cf．Theb． 729.
 forms the part（pays the debt）of an im－ precation solemnly ratifed by the people．＇ The custom，of execrating the public ene－ mies of the Athenians in their assemblies is well known．Demosth．p．270，20，ov̉ \(\chi\)




 The meaning is，that the just indignation of the people calls forth the anger of the gods against the Atridae as much as a formal curse would have done．

445．\(\mu \notin \nu \in t\) àrov̂бal．So Eum．647，
 ＇My anxięty is in expectation to hear of some event which yet is covered in night．＇ 450．тa入ıvтuХє仑．So Hermann，with Scaliger and others，for \(\pi a \lambda \iota \nu \tau \nu \chi \hat{\eta}\) ． Klausen retains the latter，remarking， ＇accusativus hic spectat accusativum \(\tau v\)－ Xnpov：＇It is enough to say that the one word is used in reference to the other，－a reverse of fortune to prosperous fortune．


 \(\beta a \rho v^{*} \beta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha l\) वà \(\rho\) oै \(\sigma \sigma o l s\) \(\Delta\) too \(\theta \in \nu\) кєраvvós.
\(\kappa \rho i v \omega \delta^{\prime}\) ar \({ }^{\prime} \phi \theta o \nu o \nu\) ö \(\lambda \beta o \nu\).






 \(\phi \lambda о\) òs \(\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon\) ढ́ \(\lambda \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu\)



Much in the same way \(\dot{\alpha} \hat{\iota} \sigma \tau o s\) refers to
 441.
451. є́y átorots. 'Among those who have been brought to nothing.' Hermann remarks, 'Ambigue dicit ad \({ }^{2} \sigma \tau o u s, ~ q u a n-\) quam ipse de mortuis cogitans.' The general sentiment amounts to this, that so long as a man is innocent, he has nothing to fear from the envy or imprecatons of the people; but the commission of crime makes him, as it were, a fit subject and recipient for the wrath of the Furies, and when once he has been brought low and reduced by them to nothingness from his proud estate, none of the gods will raise him again. Cf. Fum. 535,

453. \(\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \delta\) th w. The MSS. have \(\dot{v} \pi \in \rho-\) кótws. See on The. 386. Excessive praise was thought to be one of those dangerous glories which moved the anger of the gods. Hence èvaiolums aiveì inf. 890.
454. boots. Hermann understands this in reference to \({ }^{2} \mu \alpha u \rho \partial \nu\) and \(\dot{\alpha}\) to tots, -"Lightning is hurled against their eyes so as to blind them.' Thus \(\beta \lambda \in ́ \pi \in \iota \nu\) is constantly used for \(\leqslant \eta \nu\). For the dative cf. Phoen. 138i, \(\lambda \delta \gamma \chi \eta \nu\) є́v́́ \(\mu \alpha \quad \sigma \tau \delta \mu \alpha \tau \iota\). But, comparing inf. 920 , \(\mu \eta \tau \iota s\) mp \(\delta \sigma \omega \theta \in \nu\) бццатоs \(\beta\) ádol \(\phi \theta \delta\) pos, we are justified in
regarding ob \(\sigma\) oo ls as the dative of the instrument, like \(\beta a \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \in \tau \alpha, \tau \delta \xi \psi\) oíaros. The notion of an evil eye is transferred to the gods, who regard with jealousy human prosperity. Of course, there is an allusion to Agamemnon's recent victory.
456. крl \(\nu \omega\), i. e. \(\pi р о к \rho l \nu \omega . ~ C f . ~ S u p p l . ~\)

457. \(\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon-\mu \hat{\eta} \tau^{3}\) o \({ }^{\top} \nu\). It is as bad to be a captor as a captive; the extreme of prosperity is as much to be deprecated as the extreme of misery.
462. etc. So Franz with H. L. Ahrens. The common reading is \(\bar{\eta} \tau 0\), but the MS. Flor. has \(\epsilon i\) written above \(\%\). Hence Hermann reads el \(\tau \iota\), 'unless indeed it be —. Dindorf gives \(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{t}\), omitting \(\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}\). But uris oil \(\delta \in \nu \in i\) - \(\epsilon \bar{l} \tau \in \mu \hat{\eta}\), is good Greek and good sense, though Hermann condemons it. 'Who knows whether truly, or whether it be not a deception from the gods ?' (Cf. 264.) So Eur. 446, où ס' \(^{\prime}\)
 252. The chorus, as if reproaching themselves for having been persuaded out of their former doubts (259-271), now assume a tone of great caution.

463-6. \(\hat{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \nu \delta s-\kappa \alpha \mu \in \imath ̂ \nu . ~ F r o m ~\) the very nature of the sentence \(\delta \sigma \tau \in\) is easily supplied, just as in Prom. 1052 \(\lambda\) lav is for \(\lambda\) la \(\alpha\) a \(\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s\), involved in the context.
 \(\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ ф a \nu e ́ v \tau o s ~ \chi \alpha ́ \rho c \nu ~ \xi ̌ v \nu a ı \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha i . ~\)
 \(\tau \alpha \chi \dot{\pi} \pi о \rho o s^{\text {a }} \lambda \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \chi \dot{\chi} \mu \circ \rho о \nu\)

 \(\phi \rho v \kappa \tau \omega \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho a \lambda \lambda a \gamma a ̀ s\),



 \(\pi \eta \lambda o \hat{v}\) 乡̛́vovoos, \(\delta\) ouía кóvıs, \(\tau a ́ \delta \epsilon\),





467. ruvainds ai \(\chi \mu \underset{\text { á. ' 'The disposition }}{ }\) of a woman.' See on Prom. 412. New Cratylus, § 174. Hermann translates imperium, Klausen potentia; while Peile is content with exactly the converse, but equally far-fetched sense, ' It is quite in character with a woman's spear,' i. e. with woman's weăkness. The meaning of the whole passage is this: ' It is consistent with a woman's temperament to acquiesce in what is pleasing to her in preference to what is certain. Too credulous; the boundary of the female mind is encroached upon by rapid inroads; but a report spread by a woman perishes' by a quick extinction.' The true meaning of \begin{tabular}{l} 
ent \(i-\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} ע́éneto. was, I believe, first pointed out by Dr. Donaldson (Netb Crat. p. 296), the word being, as he has proved by several examples, technically used of trespassing on'a neighbour's land.
468. \(\pi \rho \delta\) тồ фapévios. This is comamonly, but wrongly, taken for \(\pi \rho \grave{o}\) toû фavضีpai. The senise is quite evident from two passages which shew it was a kind of proverbial expression, Pind. Pyth. iv. 140, \(\kappa \in ́ \rho \delta o s ~ a i v \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho \grave{\partial}\) ठíkas, and Plat. Resp.
 àdıка́a.



472 seqq. In the MSS. and ordinary editions these verses are assigned to Cly temnestra. Hermann and Frariz follow Wellauer in giving them to the leader of the chorus, to whom they evidently belorg. Clytemnestra has not been present on the stage while the preceding remarks about female credulity were made, and therefore she cannot be supposed to reply to them. Besides, she has no misgivings,-she of

 allied to its kindred mud,' like \(\lambda<\gamma\) vivy
 The meaning is, that the dust being roused shows the approach of some one who vivâ voce, and not (as before) by a mere beacon-signal, about which a mistake might possibly exist, will either confirm or deny the truth of the report.-катע \(\bar{\varphi}\) rivpds, i. e. now that it is day; for the flame was only fit for the night.
481. גé \(\gamma \omega \nu\). Dindorf, by placing only a comma at \(\pi u p \partial s\), and thus making \({ }^{2} \kappa\) -
 to this participle, which otherwise is completely \({ }^{\text {' }}\) otiose. On the aposiopesis, reesulting from a dislike to utter' ill-omined words, see inf. 631. Cho. 186.

\section*{
}

\section*{KHPY色。}



 \(\theta a \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon \epsilon \in \xi \epsilon \iota \nu\) ф८лтáтov тáфov \(\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s\).




 495 ä \(\nu \alpha \xi^{*} A \pi o \lambda \lambda o \nu . \quad \tau o v ́ s ~ \tau ' ~ a ̉ \gamma \omega \nu i ́ o v s ~ \theta \epsilon o u ̀ s ~\)


484．8atıs．＂Dicit haec chorus de Clytaemnestra cogitans．＂Hermann．

486．Talthybius appears on the stage， announcing the arrival of the king（ \(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \kappa \in ⿺\) ， v．514），and calling on the gods and heroes to receive propitiously the victorious army， and on the citizens to greet their king as having executed just vengeance．

488．زayє \(\sigma \omega \bar{\nu}\) ．An anchor，the ancient and still common symbol of hope，was said farñvar when one arm or fiuke was torn off．So Plutarch，De Mul．Virtut．





 \(\mu \in \theta a\) ．

493．\(\mu \eta \kappa \epsilon\)＇\(\tau^{\prime}\) ．The \(\mu \eta\) is used because the imperative sense is continued from \(\chi\) aîp \(\epsilon\) ，like \(\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \imath \theta \epsilon l s\) inf．879，\(\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \rho \omega \bar{v}\) Suppl．792．Those editors who try to establish a difference of meaning between oùルย́т’ and \(\mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau^{3}\) lánтт \(\omega \nu\) ，forget that the former would here be a solecism．

494．กू \(\tilde{\sigma} \theta^{\prime}\) ，i．e．\(\eta^{\prime} \in \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha\) ．This is the ex－ cellent emendation of Hermann for \(\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \in s\) or \(\bar{j} \lambda \theta^{\prime}\) of the MSS．，which is an instance of a gloss having superseded the genuine word．Blomfield had admitted \(\bar{\eta} \sigma \theta^{\prime \prime}\) from Askew＇s margin ；but \({ }^{j} \sigma \theta a\) from \(\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{l}\) had
this great，and indeed fatal objection，that it did not account for the reading．\(\hat{j} \lambda \theta \in s\) ． We have，to pass over other instances，
 tile，＇probably another form of àvdptios， ＇unsuited，＇＇unequal，＇＇uneven；＇－but the etymology is much disputed．

490．maıavios．This reading（for nal
 Dobree and H．L．Ahrens，is so evidently right，that it is but lost labour to defend the vulgate．For（1）matuvios is con－ trasted with àvápoios，the contrast being
 can hardly be right when a a \(\gamma\) wilous follows in the very next verse ；（3）\(\pi \alpha\) ádulos suits \(\sigma \omega \tau \grave{\eta}\) ，and is the usual epilhet of Apollo when invoked to heal or counteract evils， as sup．144．And（4） 1 and \(\Gamma\) are very often interchanged in MSS．
 who have had any share in obtaining the victory for the Greeks．Müller，whom Peile follows，understands＇gods of as－ semblies，＇a meaning which seems to have nothing whatever to do with the present passage．See Suppl．185．There can be no doubt that either statues or altars of the gods here alluded to are in sight of the herald．Müller fancies that the thymele may have been constructed to represent a коіро \(\propto \mu \mu\)（Suppl．218）．

 \(\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ \nu\) ס́́X \(\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu ~ \delta о \rho o ́ s . ~\)
ì̀ \(\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a \theta \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu\), фíגaı \(\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \iota\), \(\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu о i ́ \tau \epsilon \theta \hat{\alpha} \kappa о \iota, \delta \alpha i ́ \mu о \nu \epsilon ́ s \tau^{3}\) à \(\nu \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda \iota \circ \iota \cdot\) \(\epsilon і ̈ \pi o v \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota, \phi a \iota \delta \rho o i \sigma \iota \iota \tau o \iota \sigma i \delta^{\prime}\) oै \(\mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota\)


каì тоî \(\delta \delta^{\text { }}\) ä \(\pi \alpha \sigma \iota\) коь \(\nu ̀ \nu\) ' \(A \gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu\) ä \(\nu \alpha \xi\). \(\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \in \mathcal{U} \nu \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon, \kappa \alpha \grave{ } \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) oủ \(\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota\), Tроía катабка́ \(\psi а \nu \tau а\) тои̂ ठıкәфо́роv Дiòs \(\mu \alpha \kappa \in ́ \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}, \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon i ́ \rho \gamma a \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \nu\).



498. фі̀оу ни́рика. See Suppl. 217. 897.
499. \#pas. "Sui id Aeschylus aevi more, non antiquo illo Homeri fecit, ut heroes praeco invocet." Hermann. "Designantur omnes Argivorum reges Danai, Persei, Pelopis posteri." Klausen; who well compares Suppl. 215, \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \in \mathcal{j} \tau^{\prime}\)
 the elements are involed together with the \(\chi 0 \delta y i o t\), who appear to include the heroes, on the first entrance of the Danaides into Argolis, Suppl. 25. The principle involved in this propitiation is closely connected with the doctrine in 332-8. Harm may at any time betide a conquering army. Even when they have set foot on their native soil, it is not too late for retribution to overtake them, as in fact it does overtake Agamemnon.
502. \(\sigma \in \mu \nu 01\) өâкo. The seats of the king and queen in front of the palace, according to the custom of heroic times. Thus Nestor had a polished seat in front of his door, Od. iii. \(406-9\), and thus the fatier and the mother of Nausicaa had separate chairs of dignity, Od. vi. 305 -8. - \(\delta a l \mu o \nu \in s \dot{d} \nu \tau 斤 \lambda t o l\), the statues of gods placed so as to face the east. This was a common and very remarkable custom. It probably originated in the desire to light up the face of Apollo Lyceus (see Suppl. 668) with the beams of the early sua, and thus to obtain that symbolical
connexion between the god of light and the god of cheerfulness and joy, which is here so clearly alluded to in фouסpoî́t


 ėкфаívet \(\theta \in \delta s\). That the custom originated thus appears from the fact that both Phoebus and Artemis (sun and moon) were called xpoatarinpiot (Theb. 444. Soph. El. 637). Now, as the stage of the Greek theatre faced the north (Müller, Diss. ad Eum. p. 20) it is evident that statues of the gods placed on the proscenium might easily be so arranged as to catch the morning beams. There is frequent mention of the statue of Zeus being similarly placed. Pausan. lib. v. 23, l,
 भु \(\lambda 10 \nu\). Ibid. 24, 1, \(\Delta l a \pi \rho \delta s\) àvato入às ì \(\lambda\) íov. See also ibid. 22, 4. Cic. Orat. in Catil. iii. § 4, 'simulacrum Jovis contra atque antea fuerat ad orientem convertere.' Id. de Div. i. § 20 , 'Sancta Jovis species claros spectaret ad ortus.'
 More usual would have been \(\epsilon\) t \(\pi a \tau \epsilon\). Cf. Ar. Equit. 347, el \(\pi\) ou \(\delta\) iki \(\delta 10 \nu\) єitad

 256. There is a play on the literal and the metaphorical sense in all these passages.

\section*{}








 turned a fortunate man, and one the most deserving of living mortals to be honoured; for neither Paris nor the city associated with him (in the crime and the penalty of it) can now say that the suffering has been less than the deed.' Klausen observes, that the heroic notion of honour was inseparable from the idea of complete and summary vengeance, as the very words \(\tau \iota \mu \eta\) and \(\not \approx \xi \cos\), properly implying compensation and equivalence, seem to show. On the proverb \(\delta \rho \dot{d} \sigma a \nu \tau t ~ \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i v\), that- stern old law of a martial age, see Cho. 305. Pers. 810. Oed. Col. 267. inf. 1505. 1541. To have paid less than the uttermost farthing for a wrong inflicted was an impunity not to be tolerated.
515. ovire入解. The exact meaning is uncertain. We have \(\xi\) uvté \(\lambda \in i a\) of an associate company of gods, Theb. 240. Probably the idea is, that the city, as equally involved in the guilt (by not returning Helen and her stolen wealth), was also involved in the payment for the injury.
 cast in an action for both plunder (rape) and theft.' Cf. Ar. Plut. 372, à \(\lambda \lambda^{3}\) où

 The former word is to be understood of Helen, the latter of her wealth (inf. 716);
518. puciov. 'The booty,' 'the prize.' See the note on Suppl. 406. The word always involves the notion of something which sooner or later, by fair means or foul, must be surrendered, or paid for to its full value.
519. aùtó \(\theta_{0}\) ova, 'land and all' (cum ipsa terra). Lucian frequently uses ấтavסpos in a similar sense. So also aùtóтокоs, sup. 135. As av̉т \(\delta \chi \theta \omega \nu\),had a distinct and peculiar sense, 'indigenous,'
a different form of the word was purposely chosen by the poet, as Hermann remarks in reply to Blomfield, who reads avit \(\left\langle\chi \theta o \nu^{2}\right.\) \(8 \nu\), followed by Klausen.
 a double penalty for their sins,' in that they have been compelled to give up Helen, and have ,had their city razed to the ground. Hermann, who reads täuap\(\tau l a\), for \(\tau \dot{\alpha}(\tau \dot{\omega}\) ? \() \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i a\), the dual of the feminine form, understands the 'double sin' of the \(\alpha \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \grave{\eta}\) and \(\kappa \lambda о \pi \grave{\eta}\) combined. The poet seems to mean that the \(\delta \rho \hat{a} \mu a\) was single, the \(\pi d^{d} \theta^{\prime}\) os double. It is very probable that \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau t o \nu\) meant 'the price of a fault,' just as тd oircoupta is 'the reward of keeping house,' Trach. 542. And so the Schol. explains, \(\tau \delta \nu \mu u \sigma \delta \nu\) т \(\hat{s} \mathrm{~s}\) á \(\mu \mathrm{apt}\) las.
521-33. 'I bid you joy, herald of the Grecian army.'-'My joy is such that I am content to die.'-'Do you mean that you longed for your country?''So that I now weep for delight.'-'A pleasing disease truly this which you had upon you.'-'I don't understand.'- 'Because you were smitten with a desire which was reciprocated by us.'-'Did then this land long for the army which mutually longed for it ?'-'So great was our longing, that we often groaned in sadness of heart.'- 'Whence this uneasy feeling, so odious to a victorious army ?' --I have long ago schooled myself to conceal my feelings.'-‘ Indeed! Had you then any to be afraid of in the absence of your lord?'-'As you just now said of yourself, I could willingly die.' In this dialogue the herald first receives a hint that ail has not been well at home. But he cannot or will not understand it, and proceeds, as if unconscious of the real import of the words he has heard, to describe the miseries the army has es-














caped,' and the remembrance of which has alone called forth the strong expression of joy at his return (522).
521. \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) à \(\pi \delta \sigma^{\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \hat{v} \text {. A short, or }}\) rather a mixed phrase for \(\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \bar{\xi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) тoù

522. тєӨvã \(\alpha a\). As \(\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \dot{\omega} s\) and \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\omega} s\) are found beside the ordinary forms in - \(\eta \kappa \omega ̀ s\), so \(\tau \in \theta \nu \eta\) ย́val for \(\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa \in ́ \nu c ı\) appears to bave been contracted into \(\tau \in \theta \nu \bar{\nu} \nu a L\).
527. \(\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu\) évol. So Herm., Dind. after Schütz for \(\pi \in \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu\) éves. For the verse really refers to 525 , while the transcribers took it as an answer to \(\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta \eta\);
 darkly broods over thoughts which it dares not express. The cause of this anziety, according to Klausen, was a fear of the expedition going on wrongly. But what follows seems to shew that the conduct of Clytemnestra and Aegisthus is rather alluded to.
530. \(\sigma \tau u ่ \gamma\) os \(\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \varphi \hat{\varphi}\). Hermann reads \(\sigma \tau\) ช̛os \(\phi \rho \in \nu \omega ิ \nu\), 'confidenter,' as he himself says ; but confidence in such alterations is not so easily felt by others. I have followed Blomfield and Peile in placing a comma after \(\ell \pi \neq \hat{\eta} y\). A question still remains whether the \(\sigma \tau\) úros was that felt by the army now they have returned, or the oppressive influence of an evil omen at home during their absence. In the above version, I have taken it in the former sense. Some explain, 'this boding dread about the absent army.'
532. ет ерєьs \(\tau \iota \nu\) d́s; The Aeschylean
doctrine of ס角os as connected with \(\sigma \in \in \beta a s\), or the reverence due to majesty, is here clearly set forth. 'Why,' asks the herald, 'was there any occasion for silence? Surely in the absence of the constituted authority there was none you were bound so absolutely to obey, as to resign even the liberty of speech.' The answer is rather ambiguous. It may mean, as Klausen gives it, 'I was so coerced that I would gladly have died,' in which case \(\dot{\omega} s \tau \delta \sigma \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\eta}\) refers only to the words of 522, the motive being wholly different; or it may mean, ' I was so coerced that I would now willingly die through joy at the release.' And I think this latter is right; for the chorus evades the question é \(\tau \rho \in t s \tau^{\prime} \nu\) das, not wishing openly to speak of Aegisthus; and the following words of
 he at least understood it so. For he imagined that the cause of joy was the success of the expedition, not, as was really the case, the deliverance from Aegisthus and Clytemnestra.
535. \(\epsilon \overline{\hat{E}} \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \xi \in \epsilon \in \nu\). Those who alter \(\epsilon \bar{\delta}\) to \(t \nu\), on the assumption that the optative mood in a potential sense absolutely requires the particle, materially impair the force of the passage; and those who retain \(\epsilon \hat{X}\) do not always rightly interpret it. Translate: 'but as for these matters, during the lapse of a long time, some things one may rightly assert to have fallen out well, and others again unsatisfactorily.', Examples of ta similarly
 \({ }_{a}^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \nu \tau^{3} \dot{a} \pi \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu\) rò \(\nu \delta i{ }^{3}\) aî̀vos \(\chi \rho o ́ v o \nu ;\) \(\mu\) ó \(\chi\) Oovs \(\gamma \grave{a} \rho\) єi \(\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \iota \mu \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta v \sigma a v \lambda i ́ a s, ~\)
 \(\sigma \tau \in ́ \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma\), ov̉ \(\lambda a \chi\) о́vтєs ทै \(\mu a \tau о \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ; ~ ; ~\)







 коízaıs ảкú \(\mu \omega \nu \nu \eta \nu \epsilon ́ \mu о \iota s ~ \epsilon v ̋ \delta o \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \sigma \grave{\nu} \nu-\)
omitted are inf. 1133, 1347.- \(\epsilon \dot{\mathrm{v} \pi \epsilon \tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \mathrm{\omega}\), perhaps a metaphor from dice, like \(\epsilon \hat{y}\)
 643. See Monk on Hippol. 715.\(\overline{\epsilon \pi} โ \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \phi \alpha\), ef. кат \(\dot{\alpha} о \mu ф а\) sup. 143.

538-40. 'For were I to speak of the toils, and the comfortless bivouacks, the infrequent landings with hard lying on the ground,-in a word, what was there that we had not to lament, what that we did not receive as our daily portion?' The apodosis is broken off by the question \(\boldsymbol{T} \delta^{\circ}\) ov, which interrupted the train of thought; and the participles are used without regard to any regular construction. The simple idea in the-writer's


 tous the hardships of the military \(\sigma \tau \iota \beta\) á \(\delta\) es and \(\dot{\chi} \alpha \mu e v y a t ~ a r e ~ d e s c r i b e d . ~ T h u c y d . ~ i v . ~\)


541. т̀̀ \(\delta^{3} a \tilde{u} \tau \in \chi \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \omega_{\varphi}\). The permanent encampments on the mainland are contrasted with the occasional landings on the shore. 'Again, as to our life on the land, even still more rigour attached to it.' - \(\sigma \tau\) 'doos, literally, 'shivering' or 'shuddering,' which seems here to be meant, as appears from what follows: 'for, since our quarters were close by the walls of the enemy (i. c. outside of the city; cf. 325-6) the meadow-damps drizzled on us from the sky and (rose) from the earth,
the lasting damage of our clothes, making our hair as shaggy as wild beasts.' The history of our own times furnishes a painful commentary on this fine passage. We are told that in Bulgaria (in nearly the same latitude and longitude as Troy), "the dews fall like fine and searching rain," and we know the disastrous effects of drenched clothes and inadequate nightly shelter.
 \(\lambda \in \mu \omega \nu i a l\), whence Hermann thinks the true reading was \(\lambda \in \epsilon \mu \omega \nu i a s\). The sense of the passage remains precisely the same; the Eעpठpo and it is from ignorance of the real origin of dew rather than from a desire to distinguish different things that the poet adds \(\epsilon \xi\) où \(\rho a \nu o \hat{v}\) ral \(\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \pi \\ \delta \\ \gamma \hat{n} s . ~ T h e ~ m a s-~\end{gathered}\) culine participle \(\tau i \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s(545)\) seems best explained on the view of most commentators, that he was thinking of \({ }_{\partial \mu} \mu \mathrm{B} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi\) oùpavoû rather than \(\delta \rho \sigma \sigma o \iota \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}\). The mention of the 'rough hair' reminds us of the care the Greeks have always taken in combing their locks ever since, perhaps long before, Homer called them


548. \(\epsilon \tilde{\partial} \tau \epsilon(\delta \pi \delta \tau \epsilon) \in \delta \delta \delta o t . \quad\) 'Whenever the sea slept tranquilly reclining in its mid-day couch unstirred by a wind.' Here again we have a picture drawn with perfect truth to nature. The wind lulls at noontide, and freshens in the morning and evening. The sudden tran-

\title{
тí \(\tau \alpha v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon i ้ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} ; ~ \pi \alpha \rho о i ́ \chi є \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi o ́ \nu о\) ．
}
\(\pi \alpha \rho о i ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta к о ́ \sigma \iota \nu\) тò \(\mu \hat{\eta} \pi о \tau^{3} \alpha \tilde{\theta} \theta \iota s \mu \eta \delta^{3}\) à \(\nu \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu\).
 тò \(\zeta \omega \nu \tau \alpha \delta^{\circ}\) ả \(\lambda \gamma \epsilon i ้ \nu \chi \rho \eta ̀ \tau u ́ \chi \eta s ~ \pi a \lambda \iota \gamma \kappa o ́ \tau о v ;\) \(\kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \xi \nu \mu \phi о р \alpha i ̂ s ~ к а \tau а \xi \iota \hat{\omega}\) ．





\(\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ \lambda \alpha ́ \phi v \rho \alpha ~ \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ к \alpha \theta^{~ '} E \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \delta \alpha\)
 тоเаи̂та \(\chi \rho \eta ̀ ~ \kappa \lambda v ́ o \nu \tau \alpha s ~ є \nu ̉ \lambda о \gamma \epsilon i ้ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~\)
sitions from extreme beat to extreme cold， such as are felt in the neighbourhood of the Hellespont，are among the most trying influences upon the human frame．

550．\(\tau i \tau a \tilde{u} \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \in i \hat{\nu}\rangle \in \in \hat{i} ; \quad\) Again（see 538），the apodosis to \(\varepsilon i \lambda\) 白 \(\gamma o l \tau t s\) is want－ ing．＇But why should we mourn for these things？Our trials are past；and they are passed，to those who have perished，so that they will never hereafter care even to rise from their graves to life again．＇The apposition to toîs \(\mu\) èv \(\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota\) is in \(\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu\) रो̀ \(\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \lambda o \iota \pi o i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu\), and he means to say，that the dead are so completely insensate and indifferent to past toils that they have not even the wish to live again，while the living have gained a glorious victory which more than counterbalances their sufferings．－\(\tau \delta \mu\) 分－

 accurately，＇properly，＇by counters．＇So

 \(\lambda\) dóvé \(\theta\) ér \(\theta a \mathrm{a}\) ．Having mentioned the of \(\tau \in Ө \nu \eta \kappa \delta \dot{\tau} \epsilon \mathrm{~s}\) ，in connection with \(\tau \mathfrak{l} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau a\) \(\pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in i \bar{i} \delta \epsilon \bar{i}\) ，the herald interposes a few words to shew that even the losses which have been incurred ought not to damp the joy with which the good news should be received by the living ；and then he con－ tinues the former sentence by \(\dot{\eta} \mu i \bar{\nu} \nu \dot{\delta}\) \(\kappa\) к．\(\lambda\) ．

555．kal то入入ג̀ \(\chi\) alpelv．＇I think we ought（not only not to grieve，but）even to rejoice greatly at the events which have
happened．＇Schol．ėnl \(\tau\) ais єỉmotplaus xaipeıy．A word of ambiguous sense， \(\sigma \nu \mu ф о \rho a\) ，is purposely used，because the whole circumstances of the war，good and bad，are taken into account in forming a general＇estimate．Hermann and others understand＇bidding good by to misfor－ tune．＇And certainly \(\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \chi \alpha i \rho \in L \nu\) and \(\chi\) वîpe \(\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\) are more commonly used in the sense of＇farewell．＇

559．тот \(\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}\) ots．As in the preceding \(\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \alpha_{\alpha} \in L\) there is contained a reference， by contrast，to the darkness of death，so here＇speeding homewards＇is opposed
 in the grave．Thus the poet dwells on and amplifies тoîs \(\lambda\) дoıroîcıv，v． 556.

560．\(\delta\) 亿́тотє，＇at last．＇Cf．Hel．855，
 Tautd́ \(\lambda \epsilon \frac{10 y .}{}\) But in Troad．506．Eur． Suppl．1131，it means＇formerly．＇－\(\theta \in a\) is тoîs кu \(\theta^{\prime}\)＇E \(\lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta a\) ，＇the Grecian gods，＇ who have given a triumph over＇\(\beta\) áp \(\beta\) apor． For the custom alluded to，see Theb． \(26 \%\) ． Rhes．180，\(\theta \in o i ̂ \sigma t \nu ~ a u \tau \grave{a}(\lambda \alpha ́ \phi u p a) \pi a \sigma-\)
 eival，to take their place among other ancient spoils，and to be themselves re－ garded as ancient some future day．Her－ mann compares mi \(\sigma \tau \iota \nu\) à \(\rho \chi a i \alpha \nu\), Oed．Col． 1628．－－adyos，properly＇brightness，＇any－ thing which causes joy or delight；an ornament，and so nearly a synonym with ǎa \(\lambda \mu\) ．See Suppl． 946.

563．єù̀ \(0 \gamma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu\) ．The city deserves praise for having sent out a just and suc－








 \(\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma a\) T \(T\) oía \(\nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \pi \in \pi о \rho \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a c\) סокєîs；



cessful expedition；the generals，for having conducted it；Zeus，for having given the victory．－\(\chi d \rho i s, \Delta u s\) ，the grace
 \(\xi_{\xi} \in\) Suppl．95．Theb． 836.
 by your arguments，＇that joy and gratitude are more appropriate than grief for the past．－\(\epsilon \mathcal{U} \mu a \theta \in \hat{i}\), ＇to learn well，＇i．e． good news，a sort of play on the proverb ＇never too late to learn．＇Cf．frag．278，

 ÉXeaӨa，Theb． 95.
 time（i．e．that she inquires the particulars for her own satisfaction）that she should put me in possession of the facts．＇So Hermann understands the passage，while Klausen makes \(\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha\) the subject of
 \(\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau^{\prime} \mathcal{Z}^{\prime} \mu 0 \hat{v} \pi \lambda o u \tau i\lceil\epsilon \tau \epsilon\) ．The Schol．on this passage rightly gives \(\mu\) erabitébou \(\mu\) ou \(\tau \hat{\eta} s \chi \alpha{ }^{\alpha} p \alpha \alpha_{s}\) ．The other interpretation，to which I formerly adhered，＇that she should at the same time reward me for the good news，＇is not appropriate to the dignity of the chorus，however well it would have suited the character of the berald．For it was the custom to re－ munerate the first bearer of good news．

570．\(\pi d \lambda a\), ，in obedience to the sug－ gestion of the watchman，v． 28 ．

573．каí \(\tau\) is \(\mu^{2}\) E \(\downarrow\) 织T \(\omega \nu\) ．The allusion seems to be to \(\nabla .467\) seqq．，though Cly． temnestra，being absent at the time from
the stage，did not actually hear the re－ proach．But the indefinite ris gives a meaning of probability rather than cer－ tainty，＇Doubtless some said reproach－＂ fully，＇\＆c．
 would fain have proved me to be in error．＇ The same use of \(\phi \alpha i v e \sigma \theta a c\) ，＇to be made out to be，＇occurs Ajac．1020，סoṽлos \(\lambda \delta \gamma_{0} \sigma \sigma L \nu\) à̀ \(\tau^{3}\) è̀ evevépov фavels．Ibid． 1241，єi таעтахои̂ фаעоú \(\mu \in \theta^{\prime}\) е́к Tєひ́крои какоі．

577． \(8 \mu \omega \dot{s} \delta\)＇é \(9 v a v\). ＇But still I went on sacrificing，＇i．\(\in\) ．in confidence that \(I\) was right，and regardless of the sneers atr my credulity．Hermann takes \(\begin{gathered}\text { Gvov for }\end{gathered}\) the third person plural；＇nevertheless， while they blamed me，they sacrificed and raised joyful clamours．＇The other gives as good，if not a better sense．－रuvaucelar \(\nu \delta \mu \omega\) ，＇in a feminine strain．＂The \({ }^{3} \lambda o-\) \(\lambda v \gamma \dot{\eta}\) or \(\dot{0} \lambda o \lambda v \gamma \mu \delta^{\prime} ' s\) was of itself \(\Omega\) r \(\gamma v-\) vaikeíos vo \(\mu\) os，inasmuch as it was the shout raised at a sacrifice peculiarly by the women．Clytemnestra had com－ menced it（570），and others，even males， had taken it up at her bidding．Cf． Theb．257，where the \(\dot{\partial}^{2} \lambda o \lambda v \gamma \mu \delta s\) is called \(\theta v \sigma \tau a s\) ßoウ̆．Hom．Od．iii．450，
 aitoln тара́коเтts．Il．vi．301，at \(\delta^{2}\)








 ä้актоs aùтov̂ \(\pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \pi \epsilon v ́ \sigma o \mu a \iota ~ \lambda o ́ y o \nu . ~\)


 àmò \(\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~ a ̈ ้ \nu \delta \rho a ~ \sigma \kappa ́ \sigma a \nu \tau o s ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}\),


 oìa \(\alpha \pi \epsilon \rho\) oîv \(\overline{\text { En }} \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon, \delta \omega \mu a \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu\) кúva




 the fragrant (cf. 793) incense-fed flame, probably by"pouring wine upon it. The custom alluded to, of raising a shout at that particular point of the ceremony, though probable in itself, does not appear to be known from other sources.

581 seqq. 'And for the present what need is there of your (i. e. of the herald) relating to me the matter at length? I shall hear the whole account from my lord himself. But, in order that I may shew all zeal in receiving in the best manner possible my venerated husband on his return, - carry back this message to him, To come as soon as he can, since he is adored by the city. (And say to him), May you find your wife in the house, faithful as you left.her,' \&c. In this passage some very different explanations are commonly propounded. Many
 583, while others, with Hermann, understand ötcos \(\sigma \pi \in \psi \sigma \omega\), referring to Porson on Hec. 398; and all place a fuller stop at \(\delta \in \hat{\xi}\) gaceat. There is, of course, a latent
 Aat, in the mind of one who contemplates murder. As for eLipou in 589, there can hardly be a doubt that it is the very wish which the herald is instructed to con-
vey, transferred to the third person from the nature of the narrative; since elipous would have appeared to refer to the herald himself, Dr. Peile and Hermann take it for the optative of the oblique or indirect narrative, supplying \(\dot{\omega} s\) or 8 b \(\tau\). But this use only occurs where the primary verb treats of past time, and we cannot in this instance, unless by a very awkward ellipse, complete the terms of the message thus, 'and tell him I said that he would find.' Aeschylus probably had in view the very words of Agamemnon in Od. xiii. 42, à \(\mu \dot{\nu} \mu \nu \alpha\), \(\delta^{\prime}\) оtко
 truth to nature the poet makes this wish to be dictated by Clytemnestra, conscious as she is that she has not been faithful to her lord. She avoids, as in itself suspicious, the direct assertion, 'he will find,' \&c.
 bigue dicit Clytaemnestra, de Agamemnonè cogitans." Hermann. If so, \(\mathfrak{e} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \lambda \neq \eta\) Eкєive must tacitly refer to Aegisthus. Such may have been the meaning of the poet; but one may be allowed to doubt it. 'An enemy to his enemies' is a very natural phrase to express a community of sentiment.

595. \(\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu\) \# \(\chi a \lambda \kappa o \hat{v}\) ßaфáś. 'Hermann, with Wellauer and Schütz, explains, ' any more than I know how to imbrue a sword in blood,' adding, that as she had just professed herself virtuous, though conscious of being unfaithful, so she here disclaims any knowledge of the murder she all the while intends to perpetrate.
 Prom. 882. Cho. 999. Ajac. 95, and calls the idea of Blomfield and others, that a secret art of staining brass (enamelling on copper ?") is alluded to, " miraculosum." On the other band, Klausen and Peile express their astonishment how any one can prefer the former interpretation to the latter. There are sufficient difficulties in both. Some have thought that there is a reference to the art of colouring masks; and there is a curious passage in Plutarch, De Pythiae Orac.




 as well as Trach. 683, रankn̂s ö otws
 to be an established fact that a method was known to the artists of antiquity of imparting certain indelible hues to bronze or copper.
596. тоt \(\sigma \sigma \delta \delta^{\prime} \delta \kappa \delta \mu \pi \sigma s\). 'Such is my boast, and every word of it true; a boast which no well-born lady need be ashamed to utter.' Hermann is the first who has perceived that these two lines belong to Clytemnestra. They are generally assigned to the herald, but with little point or propriety, not to say that the article is then worse than needless before \(\kappa \delta \mu \pi o s\). Clytemnestra, conscious of her own hypocrisy, and distrusting the impression her
words have made on the chorus, sums up her speech by thus reiterating her fidelity and apologising for the over-eager profession of it. And with this she leaves the stage.
599. тороî \(\sigma \nu\) é \(\rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma t \nu\). Divested of the ambiguity arising from the irony of the speaker, these verses mean, 'So much for her fine speech, which must be unintelligible to you (the herald) without clear interpreters to expound it;' a speech, that is, in which there is a depth of insincerity you little comprehend. All would have been simple 'enough, if for \(\mu a \nu \theta d \dot{d} o \nu \tau t\) ép \(p \mu \eta \nu \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma u\) he had said où




601. vóatıд́s te. So Hermann for \(-\gamma \epsilon\), as I had before given. "Non si quidem veniet dicit chorus, sed veniat ne."


 \(\pi \lambda \eta \nu \nu\) émov̂ kє1paitó vıע. Dr. Peile, in a long but not very clear note, endeavours to show that this use of the optative without \(\hbar \nu\) results from the "indefiuite generality" of the proposition. Perhaps we should rather say, that the optative expresses a purely mental conception, apart from any condition, the thing or person being at the outset so absolutely nega-
 for even a conditional performance of the act contemplated with respect to it. The meaning is, 'It is impossible that I should tell good news which is false, for friends to enjoy for a length of time.'




 av̉rós \(\tau \epsilon\) каì \(\tau \grave{o} \pi \lambda o i ̂ o \nu . ~ o v ̉ ~ \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega\) ．







\(\pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi o \nu \tau o s ~ ' H \lambda i ́ o v ~ \chi \theta o \nu o ̀ s ~ \phi v ́ \sigma \iota \nu . ~\)
XO．\(\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \chi \in \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\omega}\)

KH．єv̉ \(\emptyset \eta \mu \circ \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho\) ои̉ \(\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ к \alpha к \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \cdot \omega\) \(\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta \mu \iota \alpha i ⿱ 亠 䒑 \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \chi \omega \rho i s ~ \hat{\eta} \tau \mu \nu \grave{\eta} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu\). ö \(\tau \alpha \nu \delta^{\circ} \dot{a} \pi \epsilon v \kappa \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \prime \mu a \tau^{\prime}\) ä \(\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o s \pi o ́ \lambda \in \iota\)



\footnotetext{
605．\(\pi \omega ิ s ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda\) ．Though \(\kappa \in \delta \nu \dot{\alpha}\) т \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}\) ，＇good news which is true，＇may very well be taken for \(\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \eta \hat{\eta} \kappa \kappa \delta \nu \alpha\), and so stand in contrast with \(\tau \dot{\alpha} \psi \in \cup \delta \bar{\eta}\) к \(\alpha \lambda d\) ，it is equally easy with Hermann， Wellauer，and Peile to construe \(\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{3}\)
 （rather \(\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \nu\) from 603）．＇How then， in telling good tidings，can you succeed in telling us at the same time what is true？ For when separated these things are not eásily disguised，＇i．е．т \(\boldsymbol{\text { d }} \lambda \in ́ \gamma \in \iota \nu\) кє \(\delta \nu \alpha\) ， when not accompanied by \(\tau \delta\) रé \(\gamma \in เ \nu\) \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}\) ，is soon found out to be baseless．

607．a \(\mu\) to \(\quad\) So Peile and Hermann for àmp．
 about him as alive or as dead that a re－ port was mentioned by the other sailors？＂ On фátis or \(\lambda\) d́ \(\gamma o s \tau i \nu \delta s^{\prime}\)＇about a person，＇ see Suppl．478．Dr．Peile prefers to un－ derstand some such word as \(e_{i} \pi \dot{u} \theta o v\) with àvrov̂＇לầzos，＂did you learn this from himself alive，or＇\＆c．But the reply seems against this，oư \(\delta \in l s\) oĩ \(\delta \in \nu\) eitc \(\zeta \hat{\eta}\) eľє \(\tau \in \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa \in \nu\) ，as Mr．Conington properly objects．
}

618． \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a l\) ，\(\tau \epsilon\) ．e．tell me all about it from beginning to end．

620．\(\chi \omega \rho i s \dot{\eta} \tau \mu \mu\rangle \theta \in \hat{\omega} \nu\) ．＇The honours paid to the gods in thanksgiving and in deprecating evil are quite distinct．＇Her－ mann，who calls this construction（for \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) \(\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu\) ）a solecism，gives a very far－fetched interpretation，＂praemium sine diis est， i．e．praemium accipit malorum in re laeta nuntius tale cui non favent dii．＂But the context seems decisive of the above sense． See on 869 inf．The Greeks greatly disliked the mixing up good news with bad；cf．Pers．229．Phoen．1215，оủк


 кака；See inf．on 631.

623．тб人еє \(\mu\) 立 double calamity of war is here described， in its relation both to the state and to private families；and hence it is called \(\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \xi\) ，\(\delta i \hat{\lambda} 0 \gamma \chi o s\) ă \(\tau \eta\) ，and фоьขia \(\xi u \nu w p l s\) ．Thus，in a poetical way，the idea of duality is conveyed．－T \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\omega}\)
 Cf．Suppl．364． 679.

\title{

}


 \(\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu\) ठè \(\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu\) єv̉á \(\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \frac{}{}\)











627. \(\mu\) év \(\tau 01\), profecto, Klausen. 'When, I say, a messenger comes loaded with calamities like these, it is fitting and in place to recite this paean of the Furies,'
 \(\gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\sigma} \sigma a\) of 619 . T \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \nu \delta \varepsilon\) is a correlative of
 тoıồtos кal maıà̀ \(\pi \rho\) ह́̃єєl. Such a messenger may fitly sing such a strain; but it is not for me to do it, who have come to announce a glorious victory. Cf. Theb.
 \(\sigma \in \sigma a \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \nu \nu(\sigma \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega)\) is Schütz's correction of 一 \(\mu\) év \(\omega \nu\).
629. \(\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i \omega \nu\) л \(\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu\), simply a periphrasis for \(\sigma \omega \pi \eta p l a s\). Otherwise \(\sigma \omega-\) \(\tau \eta \rho i \omega \nu\) must bear a passive sense, as it appears to do in Oed. Col. 487, \(\delta \in ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a u\)
 struction is interrupted. He was going to say, ' But for one who has come, like myself, bearing tidiugs of victory, to mix good with bad news, is a painful predicament.'
632. 'Axarois - \(\theta \in \omega ิ \%\). So Dobree, Adv. ii. p. 24, with Hermann and Franz, for 'Axaiồ - \(\theta\) eots. Compare a similar interchange of terminations in Suppl. 225. 363. Translate, 'the storm cansed by the anger of the gods against the Greeks,'
sc. as a punishment for their sacrilege.
 Reg. iv. 412, 'Water with Fire In ruin reconciled.' Ovid. Fast. iv. 787, 'Sunt. duo discordes, Ignis et unda, dei.'- \(\boldsymbol{\tau}\) à \(\pi i \sigma \tau^{\top}\) édet \(\xi \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \eta\), proved or exhibited their unwonted alliance in destroying, \&c. So Xen. Anab. ii. 2, 10, \(\underset{\epsilon \pi \epsilon l}{ } \tau \grave{\grave{c}} \pi \tau \sigma \pi \grave{\alpha}\)





 de Div. i. § xxviii., 'quam to equo advectus ad quandam magni fluminis ripam provectus subito atque delapsus in flumen nusquam apparuisses.' - \(\sigma \tau \rho 6 \beta \varphi\) к.т. \(\lambda .\), ' through the unsteady guidance of the incompetent helmsman. Compare Cho.
 \(\sigma \tau \rho 0 \beta o v ́ \mu \in \theta^{3} . \quad\) Ibid. 1041, inf. 1187. A verse, probably of Aeschylus, is quoted by Plutarch, De Sera Num. Vindicta, § 10 ,
 'rushes in a random course through the sea.' Klausen and Peile strangely explain \(\pi a \mu \eta \dot{\nu} \nu\) (see on Suppl. 747) of the wind; aud more strangely still, Hermann, in accepting this far-fetched interpretation, reads почнévos какобтр 6 Bov in apposition to \(\tau u \phi \hat{\omega}\). It would be better to take

\(\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \grave{\imath} \delta^{3}\) à \(\nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o ̀ \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v ~ \phi \alpha ́ o s, ~\)










 \(\sigma \tau р a \tau o \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \mu o ́ \nu \tau o s ̧ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega ̂ s ~ \sigma \pi т o \delta o v \mu e ́ v o v . ~\)


тоцлोу какдs of some unseen malignant power; and so as contrasted with the divine or supernatural handling of the helm which saved some favoured portion of the fleet.
 1441, 'Tum mare velivolum florebat navibu' pandis.' Iph. Taur. 300, \(\omega \sigma \theta^{7}\)
 metaphor is from a field spotted over with flowers.-The correction of Schütz, adopted by Hermann and Dindorf, yauтнкîs \(\tau^{\prime}\) èpeımiols, is probable. The usual explanations of the genitive are not satisfactory, viz. that from \(\nu\) enpois we may supply \(\theta \rho a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu a \sigma t \nu\), or from \(\grave{\alpha} \nu \theta 0 \hat{v} \nu\) some participle like \(\pi \lambda \eta \rho o u ́ \mu \in \nu o \nu\).
 'Us however and our ship, uninjured in its hull' (see 638), 'surely some one either secretly withdrew from danger or begged off from destruction,--some god, indeed, for it could not have been a man, putting a hand to the helm.' Hermann, who adopts the very questionable aarist
 "Wellaueri interpretatio, deus aliquis aut dolo aut precibus effecit ut servaremur, paene ridicula est. Quem enim precetur deus majorem deo?" But we reply, that \(\theta\) efs tis is rather an afterthought, or correction of the poet, who had just spoken only of human agency. Indeed, this is perfectly clear from the
emphatic addition of oùk \({ }^{2} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi\) os. For
 beyond the power of man.
647. T \(\quad \chi \eta\) \(\eta \omega \tau h p\). This goddess, like Fortuna among the Romans, was invoked as the saviour of mariners by an especial attribute. See Dr. Donaldson on Pindar, Ol. viii. 20. Thus she is said द̇ \(\ddagger \in \in ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha l\), to perch on the ship like a bird, in allusion probably to that common electric light (now called St. Elmo's fire) which also gave rise to the fable of the Dioscuri appearing in a storm. In Horace, Od. i. 35, 6, Fortuna is spoken of as Domina aequoris. And so Propertins, in that fine elegy i. 17, 7, ' Nullane placatae veniet Fortuna procellae? Haecine parva meum funus arena teget?'
 when at her moorings to feel the force of the breakers, nor to be stranded upon the iron-bound shore,' i. e. by dragging the anchor. \(-\kappa p a \tau \alpha i \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu=\kappa p a \tau \alpha i \lambda \alpha a \nu\), from

652. E'Bovкө入ō̃ \(\mu \epsilon \nu\). 'We brooded over.' Similarly Eum. 78, kal \(\mu\) गे \(\pi \rho \delta\) \(\kappa \alpha \mu \nu \in \tau \delta \delta \delta \epsilon\) вочкодоф \(\mu \in \nu 0 s \pi \delta \nu о \nu\). The context clearly dictates this sense: but many translate 'we beguiled,' which seems to have been a much later use of the word.- \(\sigma \tau\) ратой канбутоs, 'of the army destroyed,' \(i_{\text {, e }}\) e that portion of it which had been lost. So \(\nu \in \dot{\omega} s\) каuovions



\title{

 \({ }^{`} E \lambda \in ́ \nu a \nu\); \(̇ \pi \epsilon \epsilon ̀ ̀ ̀ ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \pi o ́ v \tau \omega s\) \\  є́к \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \beta \rho о \tau i \mu \omega \nu\)
}
655. \(\tau l \mu \nmid \nu\); i. e. \(\tau l \mu \eta \nu \nu \not \partial \lambda \lambda o, "\) Why not?' So Linwood on Eum. 197. Cf. Suppl. 976. The common reading, 7 t \(\mu\) '; can only be explained by supplying \(\lambda \epsilon\) ' \(\gamma \omega \sigma!y\), a construction of extreme rarity.
657. үе́voito \(\delta\) ', к.т.入. 'However, may it all turn out for the best (as no doubt it will), for Menelaus at all events expect (i. e. you may expect) to return first and most surely (though even about him there is a painful uncertainty); but if any beam of the sun (cf. 616) does know of him alive and well,-there is good hope that he will come home again.' The difficulty of this passage-of which some very inaccurate translations have been given-consists mainly in the disconnected way in which alternate hopes and fears are expressed. The true force of the particles \(\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}\) o \(\bar{\delta} V\) is explained inf. 1009. Here they are rightly used, because in 607 the herald had called Me nelaus ăфадтos, and he now means to say that the only hope of his safety depends on the will of Zevs \(\sum \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \rho\), who may not care yet to annihilate a royal house.-The herald now leaves the stage to carry to Agamemnon the commands of the Queen ; and with his exit, as Klausen remarks, ends the first act.
665. The subject of the ensuing Stasimon is the flight of Helen, the vain pur-
suit, her arrival at Troy, and the thoughtless delight of the people, little aware of the vengeance in store for them through the crime of Paris.-Simile of a lion's whelp, at first tame and winning, eventually destructive to the family which reared it. So was Helen at first welcomed with delight, but soon proved a source of woe.-Great prosperity often gives birth to a brood of evils, but then it is only through the fault of the guilty possessor. One crime begets another, but Justice and honest poverty go hand in band. She throws a light even over obscure fortune, and respects not the influence of unhallowed riches.
666. \(\mu\) 'n tis. 'Can it have been one whom we see not, happily directing his tongue by a foreknowledge of destiny?' On \(\mu\) خो so used see Pers. 346. He means, 'Was it some god who named her prospectively from the part she was to take in causing a destructive war ?' For the plural \(\pi \rho 0 \nu 0\) Iats see Suppl. 483.
 ing war by her marriage, and a subject of dispute between two nations.'
671. é ét \(\dot{1}\) aus. So Blomf., Dind., Franz, Herm. for é \(\lambda\) évas. The alteration seems required by analogy, if the word is to mean, as it must, ' destroyer of ships.'


\author{
\(\pi \rho о к \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \stackrel{̈}{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon\)
 \(\pi о \lambda v ́ a \nu \delta \rho o i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ ф \epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma \pi \iota \iota \epsilon \varsigma ~ к v \nu a \gamma o i\) \\ 675 \(\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \chi \nu \nu о\) о \(\pi \lambda a ́ \tau \alpha \nu\) aैф \(\phi \nu \tau о \nu\) \\  \(\delta i \bar{\epsilon} \rho \iota \nu\) ai \(\mu \alpha \tau о ́ \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu\). 680 \\ 
 каi 乡vขєбттíov \(\Delta\) lòs \(\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha\) тò \(\nu \cup \mu \phi o ́ \tau \iota \mu о \nu\) \\  \\  \\ \(\gamma \alpha \mu \beta \rho \circ i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \in \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \iota \nu\).
}

In support of Saumaise's conjecture, \({ }_{\alpha} \beta \rho o \pi \eta \nu \omega \nu\), admitted by Blomf., Dind., Franz, and strongly approved by Hermann, the confusion between mouvais,
 be quoted. Yet the vulgate may be defended by Bapútiuos, Suppl. 24, \(\mu к \gamma เ \sigma \tau \delta-\) \(\tau \pi \mu s\), ib. 689, бєцрот兀цоs Cho. 349.\(\pi \rho о к а \lambda \forall \mu \mu \tau \alpha\) are the bed-curtains, or perhaps those drawn over the door of the


675. кuvayol. Supply \({ }^{\prime} \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \alpha \nu\) from above. 'And many shield-bearing hunters sailed on the track of (the fugitives) who had already brought their bark unsighted by the pursuers ( \({ }^{\circ} \phi \alpha \nu \tau o \nu\) ) to the leafy shores of the Simois.' There seems no reason to read \(\pi \lambda a \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu\) and \(\kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s\) if we understand the sense simply thus, that the pursuers sailed in vain, since the bark had reached Troy before they got even a sight of it. I have given the emendation proposed by me in the second edition of this play, áкрєтофй \(\lambda \lambda \frac{\text { ass, for }}{}\)
 meric word, and as exactly suiting the metre of the antistrophe, this correction seems probable. Compare àкрıто́фиртos, Theb. 353. Were it not for the metrical difficulty, \(\dot{\alpha} \in \xi=\emptyset \phi\langle\lambda \lambda o u s\) is capable of defence.
680. \(\delta i \stackrel{1}{\mathrm{e}} \rho \iota \nu\). "Jungendum cum \(\kappa v \nu a-\) fol." Klausen. The poet seems to call Helen herself \(\underset{\mu}{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{s}\), a cause or subject of strife. They sailed on account of one who was destined to create a quarrel. Compare \(\pi a \iota \delta ิ o \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \rho\) ё́pts, Theb. 723.
681. к \(\hat{\eta} \delta o s\), in the double sense of 'alliance' and ' care,' though the former meaning is in fact but an extension of the latter. Compare the play on \(\kappa \eta \delta \leqslant \sigma \alpha\), , Theb. 126. - \(\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma\) l \(\phi \rho \omega \nu \mu \hat{\eta} \nu \iota s, \mathrm{a}\) (divine) wrath which carries into effect the vengeance it meditates.
685. \(\pi \rho a \sigma \sigma о \mu \notin \nu a\). 'Exacting,' i. e. intending to exact, 'in after time the penalty of violated hospitality from those who were loudly celebrating the nuptial strain, the hymeneal song which then fell to the lot of the relations (i. e. sons of Priam), that they should sing it.' In this passage \(\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) as usual (cf. 785), governs two accusatives, of the thing and the person. There is great obscurity in the words ér \(\kappa\) átcas \(\tau\) lovias, which appear, from what immediately follows, to refer to the \(\gamma \alpha \mu\) Bpol, or relations of the bridegroom. The commentators variously explain \(\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \mathrm{s}\) ' unseasonably,' 'ineffably,' ' excessively.' But it seems more plausible to understand 'with loud voice,' after Homer's s'кфaбөat énos. Thus too we may take tiovtas in the sense which it invariably bears in Aeschylus, 'doing honour to,' i. e. sharing openly, and as abettors in the crime, in the festivities which Paris instituted on his return with his bride to Troy. Hermann thinks thoytas must here mean 'atoning for,' and so indeed I formerly took it,--'punishing those who now have to pay for the song which they then improperly sang.' There is however an almost insuperable objection in attaching to \(\tau i \epsilon i y\) a sense only found with \(\tau i v \epsilon c \nu\).

\author{
\(\mu \epsilon \tau а \mu a \nu \theta a ́ \nu o v \sigma a \delta^{\prime}{ }^{v} \mu \nu \nu \nu\) Прıápov \(\pi\) ódıs \(\gamma є \rho a \iota a ̀\) \\  \(\sigma a ~ \Pi a ́ \rho ı \nu ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a i v o ́ \lambda \epsilon к \tau \rho o \nu\), \\  \(\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon o \nu a i ̂ \mu ’\) à \(\nu a \tau \lambda a \hat{a} \sigma a\). \\ 695 \(\ddot{\epsilon} \theta \rho \in \psi \in \nu\) ס̀̀ \(\lambda \in ́ \sigma \nu \tau \alpha \quad \sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}\).
 \(\tau \grave{\omega} \mathrm{a}\) ả \(\eta \grave{\eta} \rho\) ф \(\lambda_{0}{ }^{\prime} \mu a \sigma \tau o v\),



 עєотоо́фоv тє́кขov סíкад, 703
 \(\chi\) रovı \(\theta \theta \epsilon i s \delta^{\circ} \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \delta \epsilon \epsilon \xi \epsilon \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}\).
}
694. 方 \(\pi 0 \lambda \Delta \theta \rho \eta \nu 0 \nu . ~ H e r e ~ \hat{7}\) repeats with marked emphasis mon \(\langle\theta\) \(\rho \eta \nu 0 \nu\) from above. The MSS. give \(\pi a \mu \pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \eta\), for which Hermann admits the conjecture of Seidler, \(\pi \alpha \mu \pi o \rho \theta \hat{\eta}\). Blomfield gives \(\pi \alpha \mu\) \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) 并 к. \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \lambda\). But \(\bar{\eta}\) is certainly appropriate, and there is nothing in \(\pi \alpha^{\prime} \mu-\) \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \in\) to which a reasonable objection can be raised. Translate, 'having all the time before (i. e. during the protracted siege) had to endure a life of much lamentation indeed on account of the wretched slaughter of its citizens.' I have inserted \(\bar{\alpha} \nu\) after \(a^{i} \hat{\omega} \nu^{\prime}\), on account of the metre, from the hint of H. L. Ahrens, whose conjecture aiaî \(\begin{gathered}\tilde{\omega} \\ y \\ \text { is adopted by Franz. }\end{gathered}\)
698. тढ́s. So I have ventured to emend the vulg. outws, with which it seems impossible to accommodate the antistrophic verse. Hermann gives \(\bar{\omega} \delta^{\prime}\), but \(\tau \bar{\omega} s\) is an Aeschylaan word (Theb. 634. Suppl. 66. 654) and well suited to introduce the simile, 'Just so has some one brought up a destructive lion in his house, not fed on milk, though still fond of the teat, in the early part of its life tame, a favourite with the children, and the delight of the old men.' For \(\sigma / \nu i \nu\) see sup. 380.-тротє\(\lambda \in\) lows, sup. 65. Dr. Donaldson (New Cratylus, § 279) understands yepapoîs here as in Suppl. 652, for 'gifts'' and

however the antithesis between \(\pi\) aî̃es and \(\gamma є \rho\) роитєs seems intended. It is true that \({ }^{\pi} \pi i \chi \alpha\) al \(\rho \in i \nu\) usually is 'to exult over ;' but the verbal may here very well mean 'exulted in,' since the bad sense of the verb is only an accidental one. The custom of keeping tame lions was well known to both Greeks and Romans. Aristotle speaks of their playful and affectionate nature, Hist. An. ix. 44,


 ктıкós. Plutarch, De cohibenda Ira,


 Martial, ii. 75, has a beautiful epigram on a tame lion turning fierce and killing two boys of the family.
702. \({ }^{\kappa} \sigma \chi\). From \({ }^{E} \chi \in \perp\) in the intransitive sense (Theb. 99), 'to be,' 'to be about a thing' (versari), \&e. There is no need either to read É \(\sigma \kappa^{\prime}\) (Pers. 658),
 " habebat id quod justum est infanti."
 of appetite.' The correction of Auratus has very much to commend it, фaiठpumdy
 slight change \(\begin{aligned} & \text { El } \sigma \chi \in \text { would mean 'he has }\end{aligned}\) often held it in his arms,' \&cc.

－\(\quad \rho \iota \nu \tau \rho о ф \hat{a} s \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon i \not \beta \omega \nu\)


 \(\mu\) е́үа бívos то入vктóvoд．

є́к \(\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \delta^{\circ}\) íepev́s \(\tau \iota s\) ä \(\tau a s\) סópous \(\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \theta \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \theta \eta\) ．

－706．EGos．＇Here it is evident that \(\begin{gathered}\text { € } \theta o s\end{gathered}\) is not＇a custom，＇but a synonym of \(\bar{f} \theta o\)＇s． And perhaps it is the only instance of the former word used in the latter sense． Prof．Conington has made an excellent conjecture，that in 697 we should read \(\lambda\) éoytos luiv，and here \(\hat{\gamma}\) oos for Ges．－
 （ \(\tau \rho 0 \phi \in \tilde{\sigma} \sigma t y\) Farn．）is due to Pearson． ＇For by way of returning thanks for its maintenance，by a surfeit on slain sheep it provides a feast unbidden，＇i．e．not like an ordinary caterer or house－steward． Compare áд \(\eta\) тоs \(\delta \alpha, \tau \alpha \lambda\) ès Prom．1045，

 asked for nor remunerated，＇like the per－ formance of a bard or minstrel．－廿бaıनเ is the correction proposed by Professors Conington and Malden for the MS．read－ ing kitalatv．Hermann，who formerly gave \(\quad\) रaiat（see on 129），now edits ajataty，a word which he conceives to be formed from \({ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \delta \omega\) ，in the sense of＇\(a\) con－ secration，＇or＇sacrifice．＇Klausen has à \(\gamma\) aīøı（ （Pers．427），from Bekker＇s Lexi－

 would be ältogether appropriate，but there is a doubt whether the \(\dot{\alpha}\) can be short． （See Donaldson on Pind．Pyth．ii．81．）



715．iepeis aras．In respect of the duty of a priest in superintending sacri－ fices．－\({ }^{2} k \theta \in \theta \hat{v}\) ，i．e．the folly of the man who thus reared a pest in his own house－ hold must have been sent as a judgment from some god，－\(\pi \rho o \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \in \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \phi \theta\) is Heath＇s correction for \(\pi \rho о \sigma \in \tau \rho d \phi \eta\) ．

es̉éc \(\omega\) s，tapautica．Eur．frag．incert．47，
 And so Hermann rightly takes it，while Klausen and Peile would make it equiva－ lent to mapà raû \(\alpha\), ，in like manner．＇ Translate；＇Now at first I should say that there came to the city of Troy a spirit of unruffled calm＇（i．e．the Trojans felt no anxiety about the arrival of Helen）； ＇she was a quiet ornament of wealth＇ （and no Fury in their estimation），＇a darter of soft glances，a soul－wounding flower of love；but（soon）swerving from her course＇（i．e．disappointing the ex－ pectations of the people）＇she brought to pass a sorry end of her marriage，having sped as an evil settler and an evil associate to the sons of Priam，sent by Zeus the god of hospitality，a Fury bring－ ing sorrow to brides．＇There can be little doubt，if we well consider the con－ text，that \(\phi p o f \dot{\eta} \eta \mu a\) ya入ávas（though equally with the ensuing personal attributes of Helen the subject to \(\left.\hat{e}^{2} \lambda \theta \hat{e} \dot{i v}\right)\) ，in fact refers to the calm and secure satisfaction of the Trojans on first viewing the fascinating and richly－bedizened bride．That spirit came over the city，while she came to it． How Helen herself can be called＇a spirit of windless calm，＇is more than the com－ mentators have attempted to shew．－The insertion of \(\bar{\delta} \mathrm{E}\) after ă \(\kappa \kappa \alpha \bar{\sigma} \kappa a z o y\) is due to Porson．Hermann prefers \(\tau \in\) ，but the \(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu\) is answered by the \(\delta \frac{1}{e}\) in 721，and belongs not to \(\phi \rho \dot{\text { d }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu a\) ，but to the whole clause．－\(\pi \lambda o f i o v\), in reference to the stolen wealth of Menelatis，often mentioned by Homer，Il．iii．70；vii． 363,390 ；xiii． 626 ；xxii．114．Cf．Orest：1662．Herod． ii．114．Plutarch，Conjug．Praecept．



720

 \(\pi \circ \mu \pi \hat{a} \Delta i o ̀ s ~ \xi \in v i ́ o v\)

721．тарак入iva \(\sigma^{3}\) ．The metaphor is from the race－course．Cf．\({ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \xi \omega \mathrm{\omega} \delta \rho \delta \mu o v\)

 used intransitively Oed．R． 1192.
 meaning is，that she brought shame and reproach，not to say suffering，on other wives．Virgil calls her＇Trojae et patrisè communis Erinnys．＇Cic．de Div．i．50， ＇Lacedaemonia mulier，Furiarum una，ad－ veniet．＇

727－55．The general doctrine here inculcated is，that not mere prosperity，as is commonly thought，produces evil con－ sequences，but only when combined with impiety and insolence．Sentiments not dissimilar were before propounded with regard to the fate of Priam（ \(\mathbf{3 6 5 - 7 0 ) \text { ；}}\) and again in allusion to the Atridae，as in the present passage，453－6．
 ＇having grown up to full size＇（ \(\tau \in \in \lambda \in t 05\) ）， as the idea is borrowed from a parent； but secondarily，＇when amassed in excess．＇
 тєкขойб日a，＇produces offspring．＇Theb．


 Tov̂ tekvoû̀．
734．Tל̀ \(\delta v \sigma \sigma \in \beta\) ès \(\gamma\) d́ \(\rho\) ．So Pauw for \(\tau \grave{\gamma}\) خà \(\rho \quad \delta u \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta \in ́ s\) ．Dr．Peile well observes that，irrespective of the metre，the sense is much improved by the additional em－ phasis thus gained；＇for it is the impious act that，＇\＆c．The progeny of impiety is EBpis（Eum．506），and accordingly it is this \(\dot{\Delta} \beta \rho t s\) which in turn generates a young vispis of a still worse kind，that namely which disports itself in the misfortunes of
 Suppl．96－7），and again ṽßpts begets Kopos and Opdaos，＇Petulance and Au－ dacity．＇

740．\(\tau \delta \tau^{\prime}\)＇\(\tau \delta \theta\)＇．＇Sooner or later，when the appointed time shall have arrived．＇ For \({ }^{7} \tau \epsilon\)（MSS． \(\left.8 \tau \alpha, v\right)\) we are indebted to Klausen．The construction is epic，and is the same as \(\epsilon i\) or \(\delta s\) with a subjunctive．
 \(\delta a \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}\) ．For the reading of the next verse，of which the most incredible inter－ pretations have been given，I am respon－ sible．The MSS．give \(\nu\) eafà фdous kótov．


\title{
\(\nu \epsilon ́ a \delta^{\prime}\) є̈фvбє̀ Kópov,
 ảví \(\rho o \nu\) © \(\alpha^{\alpha} \sigma o s, \mu \in \lambda \alpha i ́ v a ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta \rho \circ \iota \sigma \iota \nu\) " \(A \tau \alpha\), єiठо \(\mu \epsilon ́ \nu a\) токєิิбı
 \(\tau o ̀ \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha i ́ \sigma \iota \mu о \nu \tau i \epsilon \iota[\beta i o \nu\). \(\tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \rho v \sigma o ́ \pi a \sigma \tau \alpha \delta^{3}\) є้ \(\delta \epsilon \theta \lambda \alpha \sigma \grave{\nu} \pi i \nu \omega \chi_{\epsilon} \chi \in \hat{\omega} \nu\) \(\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \tau \rho о ́ \pi о \iota s\) оै \(\mu \mu a \sigma \iota \nu\) \\ \(\lambda \iota \pi о \hat{\sigma} \sigma^{\circ}\) ǒбьa \(\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \mu о \lambda \epsilon\), \\  \(\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \delta^{\prime}\) є่ \(\pi \grave{\iota} \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \mu \alpha \nu \omega \mu \hat{\alpha}\). 755

}
744. \(\delta\) aluovd \(\tau^{3}\) \%uaxov. So I before edited for \(\delta a l \mu o \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \in \tau \delta \nu \quad\) thaxov, on ac-
 dark children of woe, like to their parents.' Cf. 735. This is Dr. Donaldson's more than probable restoration of \(\mu \in \lambda\) alvas äras єỉ̊ouévav. See New Cratylus, p. 518 (ed. 2). He well compares Antig. 529,
 and might have added \(\pi\) aî̀f, \(\delta v_{0} \delta^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \tau a\), Oed. Col. 532.
747. סेбкdimvols. It is surprising that Klausen, who denies that this epithet can be used of the smoky houses of the poor, should have overlooked the evident con-
 gold-bespangled abodes' of the wealthy but guilt-stained owner. His version is, 'in aedibus, quae in fumum et cinerem sunt conversae;' which is not less wide of the mark, than his observation, that Aeschylus was not the man to represent Justice as an attribute of the poor rather than of the rich. Aeschylus does not say this, but only that Justice prefers even the cottage of the poor man who is moderate and content ( \(\left.{ }^{\rho} \nu a l \sigma \mu \mu s\right)\) to the most gorgeous palace where guilt dwells.
749. [ \(\beta\) 'iov]. I have inclosed this word in brackets, as not falling in with the corresponding verse of the strophe.
750. é \(\bar{\delta} \epsilon \theta \lambda a\). So Hermann, after Auratus, for é \(\sigma \theta \lambda a ́\). Franz gives é \(\delta \rho a \nu a .-\)
 303.
752. трабє́ \(\mu \boldsymbol{\lambda \epsilon . ~ T h e ~ M S S . ~ g i v e ~} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma-\) \({ }_{6} \beta_{a}\) тov. Hermann, who formerly conjectured \(\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon\) ' \(\beta a \lambda \varepsilon\), which is admitted by Franz and Dindorf, has now edited \(\pi \rho o \sigma-\)
\(\epsilon\) єолл , of which he conceives \(\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \in \alpha\) to have been a gloss. He supposes tov to have crept in from a marginal addition to \(\pi \lambda\) ои́тov.
754. où \(\sigma \in\) 'ßavaa, ' not respecting,' or rather, ' not approving,' as is clearly the force of où \(\sigma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \beta \omega\), inf. 1590.— \(\pi \alpha \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \mu o \nu\) ailvu, ' wrongly stamped with praise,' ' base and counterfeit in its reputation,' i. e. undeservedly esteemed, or praised, as all riches are praised, for their influence, however much they may be abused by the possessor. Schol. ad Hippol. 1115 (ed.

 Plutarch, De Adul, et Amico, § xxiv. \(\delta \delta_{\xi}^{\ell}\)
 \(\nu \delta \mu \tau \sigma \mu \alpha \pi р \alpha \sigma \eta \mu о \nu\).
755. \(\pi a ̂ \nu ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi l\) t \(\frac{1}{\rho} \mu \mu a \quad \nu \omega \mu \hat{q} . \quad\) Compare sup. 241-2. With a like ambiguity, or desire to speak generally, the chorus say this with a certain apprehension about the fate of Agamemnon. If Justice directs everything to its end or destiny, it brings crime to punishment, pride to a humiliation, virtue to a reward. Cf. Suppl. 397,
 Hence \(\nu \in \mu \in ́ \tau \omega \rho\) is an attribute of avenging Zeus, Theb. 480. Hermann, who objects that \(\pi \tilde{a} \nu\) should have been \(\pi \alpha \tilde{\alpha} \nu \tau a\),
 exitum, i. e. bonum et malum, ut quisque sit meritus." This amounts to much the same thing. He might have compared \(\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \nu \omega \mu \bar{a}\) in Eum. 310.

756 seqq. The chorus, having just before dwelt on the dangers to which great prosperity is exposed, when not free from the taint of crime, now proceeds to welcome

\section*{} \(\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{i} \pi \boldsymbol{i} \omega ; \pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \beta i \zeta \omega\)
 каиюò̀ \(\chi\) ápıгоs ;



Agamemnon in very cautious and measured terms. It would be easy, they say, for them to profess an insincere joy, like false flatterers; but they will freely confess they never approved the expedition. Now however the labour is rewarded, provided all has been well accomplished. Agamemnon at this point is seen approaching in his chariot, followed by Cassandra and (probably) a train of attendants.
760. кає \(\rho \delta \nu\) хגрєтоs. "The mark (or mean) of compliment.' On the metaphor see sup. 356. But in \(\dot{v} \pi \operatorname{cord}^{2} \mu \psi a s\) it changes from shooting to turning short of the terminal pillar in the stadinom.
761. Toे סокєì elvau. 'Now many men, when they have acted wrongly, prefer mere 'appearance to reality' ( \(\tau 0 \hat{v}\) civvai). That is, they prefer insincere praise to honest blame, when they are conscious of haxing deserved the latter. If we take mpotioval of the flatterers, not of the flattered, סiкฑv mapaßdivies becomes a weak truism.
764. \(\delta \tilde{\eta} \gamma \mu a\) 入úm \(\eta\) s. Cf. Juven. iii. 101, -flet, si laerymas conspexit amici, Nec



766. кal surxaipouqu. 'And (in like manner) men rejoice with others, assuming the same appearance of joy by doing
violence to their grave countenances; but whoever knows well the character of his people, it is impossible that the eyes of a man should escape his notice, which, seemingly from sincere kindness, flatter with a weak friendship.' With \(\pi \rho a\) ®ato \(\gamma \nu \mu \mu \omega\)
 the Homeric \(\pi \quad \mu \bar{\eta} \nu \nu\) \(\lambda a \omega \nu\) for ' \(a\) ruler.' As this passage expresses the common practice of mankind, but with particular reference to Agamemnon and the praise expected by him from the chorus, it is clear that the simple sense is, 'but Agamemnon is too good a judge to be easily deceived by insincere fattery; and therefore, as I cannot praise from my heart, I had rather not praise at all.' There is a little confusion in \(\tau\) дे \(\delta\) окоîvтa к.т.ג., which ought properly to have been thus expressed, тà \(\sigma a \hat{L}\)
 there is an allusion to the phrase фtilav
 336. Properly, wine was called \(\dot{\text { údapks, }}\) which had an undue admixture of water. Blomfield quotes \(\phi i \lambda i ́ a \nu\) víapp \(\hat{\eta}\) from Aristot. Polit. ii. 8. The word was opposed
 Symposiac. lib. v. Quaest. iv. § 2, єifids

 икратоу.


 \(\theta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma о \varsigma ~ ย ̇ \kappa ~ \theta v \sigma \iota \omega \nu\)
 \(\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime}\) ои̉к \(\dot{a} \pi^{3}\) äккраs \(\phi \rho \in \nu o ̀ s ~ o u ̉ \delta ’ ~ a ̉ \phi i ́ \lambda \omega s ~\) \(\epsilon \nu ้ \phi \rho \omega \nu \pi o ́ \nu 0 s \in \mathcal{~} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \iota \nu\) ．

тóv тє \(\delta \iota \kappa \alpha i ́ \omega s ~ к а і ~ \tau о ̀ \nu ~ а ́ к а i ́ p \omega s ~\) \(\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu\) оікочроиิ \(\tau \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu\) ．
\(A T A M E M N \Omega N\) ．
 ठíкך тробєєтєî̀，то⿱̀s \({ }^{\epsilon} \mu \circ \grave{\imath} \mu \epsilon \tau a \iota \tau i ́ o u s\)
 bably right in omitting \(\gamma\) dap \(\rho\) after oủr，as a metrical interpolation to patch up a com－ plete anapaest．See on Pers．547．The common reading is où \(\gamma \alpha \hat{\alpha} \rho \sigma^{2}\) èmıce \(\dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega\) ， after Musgrave，\(\sigma \epsilon\) not being found in
 properly，＇very unskilfully painted，＇i．e． depicted in an unfavourable light．

779．èt \(\begin{gathered}\text { 日vatêv．This is Franz＇s emen－}\end{gathered}\)
 จ．101．Most editors read àkov́atov after Canter，understanding that kind of forced or reluctant valour which soldiers are sup－ posed to feel when engaged in a cause which they have little at heart．Hermann， who justly objeets to ко䒑i \(\zeta \omega \nu\) in the sense of \(\phi\) épor，＇bringing to，and forcing upon them an involuntary courage，＇reads \(\theta\) dapros ekoútov with the Farnese MS．，＂vehens （ad Trojam）spontaneam audaciam mori volentibus viris，＂and adds，＂id acerbe dictum de mortifera expeditione．＂It is however evident，that neither écoboto nor àkevotoy affords a satisfactory sense，and that \(\theta\) yfowoval cannot properly stand
 for \(\theta\) avaupévois．But ér \(\forall v \sigma t \omega \hat{\nu}\) ，while it involves no greater change than \(\Theta\) for 0 ， appropriately refers to the attempt of Agamemnon to give a religious sanction to the expeitition by alleging that the gods favoured it．We may thus explain the Whole passage quite literally，＇You were －regarded in no favourable light then when you started on the expedition，and you seemed to be directing the helm of your
heart not rightly，when you brought to dying men assurance from sacrifices，＇i．e． that the victory would yet be yours，that they were dying in a just cause，\＆c．It is true，we are not elsewhere told that Agamemnon did this；but Aeschylus seems to have had other legends to follow besides what we now possess．See on 799.
 now（or rather，＇and accordingly now＇） not from the mere surface of the mind nor with unfriendly feelings（I say），the work done is welcome to those who have ac－ complished it well．＇This，of course，is intentionally guarded and equivocal，espe－ cially as it leaves \(\begin{aligned} & \hat{y} \\ & \tau \\ & \tau\end{aligned} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon\) ́́ \(\sigma \sigma \sigma t \nu\) quite con－ ditional，＇\(i f\) they have really accomplished it well＇（which may or may not be the case as far as their own welfare is con－ cerned）．It is not easy to understand what Hermann means by his version， ＂acceptus per eos qui perfecerunt．＂On «npas \(\phi \rho \in \nu \partial s\) see Pers． 139.
 chorus contrast their own conscious fidelity with the unfaithfulness of the partisans of Aegisthus．

783．Agamemnon，in a laboured speech， in which he ostentatiously affects a pious gratitude and a sense of the invidiousness of his position as victor，addresses the chorus as the representatives of the Argive people，and with pointed reference to the remarks just before made in his hearing about the hollowness of interested flattery．
784．\(\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau\) lovs．Here for airiovs，as Hermann remarks，quoting Trach．1234，







 \(\sigma \pi\) oठòs \(\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota\) тiovas \(\pi \lambda o u ́ \tau o v \pi \nu o a ́ s\). тои́т \(\omega \nu\) өєoî̃ı \(\chi \rho \eta ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda u ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu ~\)

 סıкаiav, for סiкns, as Iph. Taur. 559, ©s

786. oùk à \(\pi \delta \boldsymbol{\gamma} \lambda \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \sigma \eta \eta\). "Non obiter ac negligenter." Hermann, who thinks that ¿«mo \(\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta s^{\prime}\), 'off-hand speaking,' is opposed to the reading of written documents. The sense evidently is, ' not taking a hasty or careless survey of the merits of the case, but awarding a solemn and deliberate condemnation.' On
 Theb. 280. In \(\tau \in \hat{\chi} \chi o s\) and \(\kappa \dot{\prime} \tau \in I\) the judicial urns of acquittal and condemnation are primarily meant, but at the same time there is probably an allusion to the .opposite sides of a pair of scales. Compare Suppl. 599. 959.
790. \(\chi \in \hat{i}\) रos. This emendation of Casaubon, though it has found little favour with more recent editors, has always appeared to me undoubtedly the true reading. The MSS. give \(\chi\) eipos, from which no intelligible sense can be extracted. Hermann has edited xpeios, indiga. (Suppl. 198.) I have shewn at some length in the second edition of this play, that \(\chi\) cinos was an internal rim, generally in goblets, but also used, as a measure of filling, in jars, caskets, and perhaps other recipients of dry or wet goods. Hence, and hence only, the well-known line in Ar. Equit. 814, is to be understood, \%s
 Ent \(\chi \in \stackrel{\lambda}{n}\), 'who made our city brim-full, having found it full only up to the rim. \({ }^{\text {? }}\) If, as some scholars have ingeniously suggested, the present passage contains an allusion to Pandora's box, we have the very word used in a similar passage, Hes. Opp. 96, -

``` \(\delta \delta \mu о t \sigma t\)
```



Here therefore the sense is, 'In the opposite urn hope came up to the rim, but did not quite fill it.' Which means, 'The Trojans had nothing left them but a remoant of forlorn hope to counterbalance the decree of vengeance which had gone forth from the gods.'
791. катучิ. See Theb. 332.-ขûv ёrt, ' even up to this very moment,' though so many days after the capture.-єÜ̈quos, Suppl. 694.
792. $\operatorname{\theta } u \eta \lambda a l$. This is Hermann's almost irresistible emendation for $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \in \lambda \lambda a \mu$. He compares, for the use of a rare word,
 Avض入ท̄s "Apeos. The mention of sacrifice is all but necessary, in order to give the full and due sense to what follows. Com-

 We have a similar figure in iepeis đaras sup. 715, whereas 'storms of calamity' involves an idea alien from the whole tenour of the passage. In ऽढ़̄t and $\sigma u v \theta \nu$ honovoa there is a merely verbal antithesis. The fires which have devastated the city yet live, though subdued and smothered; and the ashes dying out as the sacrifice is consumed, send forth fumes from the costly property destroyed by them. The poet had doubtless remarked, that after any great conflagration the ruins continue to smoke long after the flames have been extinguished, and that the smell is then by much the strongest.
795. і̇тєрно́точs, 'vindictive,' 'resentful.' See on Theb 386. For èmpajá-

 ï $\pi \pi \circ v \nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma o ̀ s, ~ a ̉ \sigma \pi \iota \delta o \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \phi o s ~ \lambda \epsilon \omega ̀ s$,








$\mu \in \sigma \theta a$ I formerly conjectured and edited ${ }^{2} \phi \rho a \xi d \mu \in \sigma \theta a$ ，a word which occurs Theb． 795 ，and the use of which in the same
 $\phi \rho d \xi \in \epsilon \in \nu$ ，seems to render the reading here nearly certain．Hermann has made the same correction．－$\epsilon^{\ell} \nu \in \kappa \alpha$ for oíveка is given for the reason alleged on Suppl． 184.
 MS．Herm．and Dind．follow Blomf．in reading à $\sigma \pi i \delta \eta \phi \dot{\partial} \rho o s$. Cf．Theb．19．Klau－ sen，with Franz and Peile，retain $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \star \delta \eta$－ $a \pi \rho \dot{\phi} \phi a s$, from MS．Flor．Probably $\mathfrak{a} \sigma \pi \iota-$ $\delta o \sigma \tau \rho \delta \phi o s$ is right（we have $\sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \phi \epsilon \iota$ $\dot{a} \sigma \pi \hat{i} a \operatorname{Ajac} .575)$ ，and the $\eta$ arose from a confusion with a variant $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi t \delta \eta \phi \phi \rho o s$, which is the more familiar form．－$\% \pi \pi \pi$ peorods，the soldiers from the wooden horse．See Od．viii．515．Eur．Troad．11．

799．$\pi \grave{\delta} \delta \eta \mu^{2}$＇̀̀poú $\sigma a s .{ }^{\prime}$ Having sprung with a bound，＇i．e．advanced to capture the city，＇at the end of Autumn．＇The time，Klausen observes，is mentioned which would best account for the storm before described，since between the setting and the rising of the Pleiads it was not the sailing season；and Hesiod（Opp．617） speaks of the tempests which usually suc－ ceed the former event．Aeschylus here seems to have followed the account of some poet now unknown to us．

800．$\dot{\omega} \mu \eta \sigma \tau \eta$ خेs $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ ．The simile is changed to a lion attacking a herd，－pro－

 symbol of the Atridae，as Dr．Donaldson well remarks，Varron．p． 33 （ed．2）．Cf． 44 and 1230．Pausan．ii．16，§ 4，speak－




801．aquatos tvpa⿱亠䒑iкov̂．＂Designatur caedes Priami，Politis，Deiphobi，Asty－ anactis，aliorumque Priami filiorum sep－ tem，quos cum Paride et Helena post Hectoris mortem superstites memorat Homerus，Il：xxiv．249．＂Klausen．

802．фроíuov t tббе．This prelude or preliminary address．He had said $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$
 sentiments，your feelings，respecting in－ sincerity，supra 761－70．There is some difficulty in $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \mu a t \kappa \lambda v(\omega \nu$ ，which is best met by regarding the words as parenthe－ tical ；－＇As for your sentiments（for I remember hearing them），I both say the same，and you have in me an advocate of your opinions．＇He means，that the long address（ $\left.\xi_{\xi} \xi \tau \tau \epsilon \nu a\right)$ to the gods has not drivèn out of his mind the words he had just heard．But，if $\mu \epsilon \in \mu \eta \mu a{ }^{\prime} \kappa \lambda v^{\prime} \omega \nu$ be taken，as it usually is，to signify，＇but as for your sentiments，I remember hearing them，and I say the same，＇too much prominence is given to the fact of remem－ bering what in truth he could not possibly have forgotten．

806．$\phi i \lambda o \nu \tau \delta \nu$ є $\dot{\lambda} \tau v \chi o v ̂ \nu \tau c$. It is pos－ sible，with Klausen and Conington，to

 $\sigma \tau \delta \lambda o \nu$, Suppl．27；but certainly there is nothing in the order of the words to demand this，and it by no means improves the sense．See on 605 ．Ordinarily，there is no other difference between $\delta$ є $\dot{\boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \chi \bar{\omega} \nu$
 ＇the fortunate friend＇and＇the friend who is fortunate．＇The latter conveys a rather









 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \lambda a \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ l ı \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon o u ̀ s, ~$ коıขov̀s ả $\gamma \omega ิ \nu a s$ $\theta$ év $\tau \epsilon \varsigma$, èv $\pi a \nu \eta \gamma v ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$





more distinctive enunciation of the quality or attribute.- $\phi \theta \delta \partial \omega \omega$, for $\phi \theta \dot{v} \nu o v$, is given by Hermann from MS. Flor.
807. $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \phi \rho \omega \nu$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \rho$ íds. 'For the venom of malevolence besetting the heart causes a two-fold annoyance to him who has got the malady ( i . e. of an envious disposition); he is both weighed down by his own misfortunes, and groans at seeing the prosperity of others without.' Tac. Hist. i. 8, ' Quaedam civitates etiam finibus ademptis pari dolore commoda aliena ac suas injurias metiebantur.'
 These words are to be closely joined, and
 exegetical of eidís. With Klausen, Conington, and Peile, it is clearly best to interpret thus: 'I can declare, from my own knowledge, that men who seemed to be very well disposed to me were but the mirror (the unreal semblance) of friendship, the shadow of a shade.' In former editions, after Schütz, Dindorf, and others, I had adopted a punctuation which detracts somewhat from the force of the
 ontpov. But though 'to know the mirror of friendship,' i. $e$. to see men's inmost minds as reflected in a mirror, is not
in itself so absurd as Hermann wishes to show, still there is such apt connexion between a phantom and a mirrored image that it seems difficult to disconnect the two as a description of unreality. Hermann however construes ${ }^{2} \xi \in \pi i \sigma \tau a \mu a b$ ( ${ }^{2} v$ тas) кd́ro $\pi \tau \rho o \nu$, к.т. $\lambda$. He remarks that t $\delta \delta \omega \lambda$ an and $\sigma x$ à are often used almost as synonyms, quoting, after others, Ajac. 126. Phil. 946. Frag. Tyr. xv. (587, 6, Dind ). Add Plutarch, de Fraterno amore,

 from Proclus, that the reluctance of Ulysses to join this expedition, and his pretended madness on that account, were related in the poem called the Cypria.

 Hermann translates: "De re publica, et si quid in sacris neglectum sit, convocato populo consulemus." As regards the public affairs, he goes on to say that what is good must be secured, what is bad and diseased must be lopped away or seared by the hand of a skilful surgeon.
 son for $\pi \nmid \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau \rho \in \psi \dot{a}$ vórov. The vulgate is retained and defended by both Klausen and Hermann, who take $\pi$ huatos
















$\nu$ boov for ' the disorder of (i. e. caused by) evil in the state,' viz. that political $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu \pi$ or unsoundness which Agamemnon had just avowed his intention to remove. But tpéqua for ḋmotpéqua is harsh and unusual, and no one can justly take exception to $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu a \nu \delta \sigma o v$, , the harm, or mischief, of disease,'-indeed the very expression is quoted from Soph. Phil. 765. We have frequently had occasion to remark on the confusion between $\tau$ and $\pi$ in MSS., so that we have here an excellent emendation at the expense of the smallest possible change, and that a legitimate one according to the laws of palaeography.
825. $\delta$ e६tú $\sigma o \mu a l$. The dative only shows that this word contains a notion of nendering to the gods the greetings, congratulations, and thanksgivings due upon the event of the victory. The herald in like manner addressed the gods as a first duty, sup. 492 seqq.
828 seqq. The Queen now appears on the stage with der handmaids (881) to meet the King. The whole of her speech is elaborated by the poet with admirable skill in the delineation of character. Conscions to herself of the utter insincerity of all the high-flown professions she is about to make, she first addresses herself to the chorus in a strain partly apolo.
getic, but principally descriptive of the feelings she pretends to have entertained during the absence of her lord. Having exhausted her assurances of unchanged love, anxiety, attempted suicide through despair, and of eyes run dry of tears, and finally, of joy at his return, she at length turns to Agamemnon, and in the language of flattery which is suspicious from its very excess of compliment, she lures him into the palace where she has already prepared the axe and the bathing vessel for his destruction. The formal and prolix narrative of her own griefs and anxieties, at a time when a truly loving wife would have poured out her soul in the arms of her husband, shews that selfishness is her temperament as much as hypocrisy is her art.
831. тठ $\tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \beta$ Bos, 'their bashfulness wears off from mankind,'-people lose their timidity as they grow older. The allusion is to the domestic seclusion of Greek ladies:

836. $\kappa \lambda \eta \delta \delta \nu$ cas. So Auratus for $\eta$ रु $\delta o \nu a ́ s$. Cf. $\kappa \lambda \eta \delta \delta \nu \omega \nu \pi a \lambda \iota \gamma \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \omega \nu$, v. 847.
837. ทँкєш. Supply from the context ф $\hat{f}_{\rho о \nu т а ~ к а к о ́ \nu . ~}^{\text {к }}$
 he kept receiving as many wounds as was currently reported at home, he is (i. e.
 фáтıs, те́т $\rho \omega \tau \alpha \iota$ סıктv́ov $\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.

 $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{a}{ }{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega$ خà $\rho$ oủ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$,

 $\tau о \iota \omega \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \lambda \eta \delta o ́ v \omega \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \gamma \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$


 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \quad \sigma \dot{\omega} \nu$ кúpıos $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$,

he must be by this time) pierced, one may say, with more holes than a net.' Yet, many as were the reports of his wounds, they were less numerous than those of his death, $\epsilon \frac{l}{j} \boldsymbol{j} \nu \tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa \dot{\omega} s-$
 See on 1316.- $\lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \nu$, cf. 358 . For $\tau \epsilon$ ' $\tau \rho \omega \tau a l$, Franz gives $\tau \in \in \tau \rho \eta \tau a_{l}$ from the useless correction of H. L. Ahrens.


842. $\bar{i} \pi \lambda j \theta 00 \mathrm{y}$. So the recent editors after Porson for $\epsilon \pi \lambda \hat{\xi} \theta \nu v o \nu$, though the latter is perhaps capable of defence. See on Suppl. 598. The metaphor is apparently continued from $\dot{\omega} \chi \in \tau \in \dot{v} \in \tau \sigma$, the idea being borrowed from water led by pipes or channels into a tank till it is full. Translate, ' as accounts kept pouring in.'
844. т $\eta \nu \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ où $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$. Because, if reference had been made to the earth under the body (Theb. 941, ن́ $\pi \dot{d} \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \omega$ '
 figure employed would have been incorrect, since $\chi \lambda \alpha \hat{\nu} \nu a$ is always used of a caverlet or blanket thrown above. Cf.


 The phrase $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ énıध́ $\sigma \sigma a \sigma \theta a l$, 'to put on earth,' for 'to be buried,' was clearly in the mind of the poet. The plain English of the whole passage is this:-" if he had died as often as was reported, he must have had three lives like a second triple Geryon, and been buried as many times, dying once for each shape.' Pausan. v. 19,

$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \in \chi \delta \mu \in \nu 0 l$.
845. $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu$. Perhaps $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon i v$. See on Suppl. 174.
848. $\alpha \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$. From the beam above, to which the noose was tied.- $\pi \rho \delta s$ siav,
 278. She appears to mean, that nothing but main force would make her desist from the attempt. Others understand ' violently grasped by the rope.'
850. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \bar{\partial} \overline{\text {. }}$.' In consequence of all these anxieties,' viz. that she might have at least one additional source of care removed from her, since the news of Agamemnon's death might have brought danger to the young heir. For $\epsilon^{2} \kappa \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \delta \varepsilon$ see inf. 1194. 1581. Eur. Ion
 $\delta \rho a ̄ \nu$.
851. кúplos, 'in quo proprie insunt, qui propria in sese habet,' Klausen. Translate, 'the holder, or retainer, of our mutual pledges.' But no English word is capable of conveying the exact idea. Orestes was as it were the holder of pledges between the husband and wife, in the sense of a security for their continued affection, inasmuch as he represented those pledges in his own person. By resigning or giving up such pledge, she laid herself open to the charge of broken vows ; and hence the explanation offered for his absence. Hermann, who distinguishes between $\pi / \sigma \tau \in v \mu \alpha$, 'a thing entrusted,' and $\pi$ ! $\sigma \tau \omega \mu a$, 'a pledge of faith,' adopts the latter form, which occurs Eum. 213 in the sense of conjugal vows.





 ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \circ \circ \gamma \epsilon \mu$ èv $\delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \lambda a \nu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ दे $\pi i \sigma \sigma \nu \tau o \iota$ 860






853．סopúsevos．Plutarch，Quaest．






 тробаторєубиєуоs．－ミтро́фьоя，Cho． 666.

854．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \pi \eta \mu a \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ．This is va－ riously rendered＇controversial troubles，＇ i．e．the evils of a disputed succession； ＇doubtful，＇or such as may be equally called likely or unlikely to happen；and （which I prefer）＇troubles of a twofold nature，＇namely，the two chances enume－ rated，as if in direct explanation，imme－ diately after．
857．Bou入iǹ катаррi $\psi \in \varepsilon \in \nu$ ．＇Should overthrow the Senate．＇According to the view of Aeschylus，$\beta$ ov $\lambda \lambda$ is an aristocra－ tic assembly，closely connected in its functions with the kingly authority，and opposed to the popular meetings，$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \mathrm{s}$ ． Hence，if after the death，or reputed death，of the King，the people should overthrow his confidential council，this would be to inflict an additional blow upon the royalist party in the state，or， in terms borrowed from the wrestling school，＇to kick one that is down all the more．＇Thus $\pi \in \sigma$ 立 $\nu$ and $\kappa \epsilon i \mu \varepsilon \nu o s$ are often used of one dead，－who cannot rise to renew the contest．Cf． $\mathfrak{v} \pi \tau$ la $\sigma \mu a \kappa \in \iota-$ $\mu$ évov тatpds inf．1256．And it is clear that to insult the memory of a dead King， or to wrong his heir on whom devolves
his legitimate authority，is $\lambda \alpha \kappa \tau i \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \delta \nu$ $\pi \in \sigma \delta \nu \tau a$ ．Compare Ar．Equit．166， ßou入ウ̀ $\pi a \tau \eta ̂ \sigma a$. Tacit．Hist．i．40， ＇proculcato senatu．＇By $\delta \eta \mu \delta \theta \rho o u s$ à $\boldsymbol{v a \rho}$－ $\chi^{i \alpha}$ he means the popular cries of＇down with the government．＇－$\sigma$ bरुovov，＇in－ born，＇＇natural．＇Cf．$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in \bar{s}$, ， 805.
859．$\sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \psi i s$ ，＇plea，＇＇excuse for the absence of Orestes，＇who had really been sent away that her amour with Aegisthus might not have an unwelcome witness． Klausen，not seeing that the words ou $\delta \delta \lambda o \nu \quad \phi \in ́ \rho \in ь$ are highly characteristic of a person who feels she is telling a falsehood， thinks that $\sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \psi t s$ is the pretext alleged by Strophius for withdrawing Orestes．

 Theb． 580.

863．тàs à $\mu \phi i ́ 1 \sigma o u k$ к．r．$\lambda$ ．＇Lamenting that the beacon－lights which had been ap－ pointed concerning you（ （єัou $\mu \circ$ ，sup．303）， were continually neglected，＇i．e．never lighted up to announce your expected victory．She would have said $\tau \eta \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$
 mention of $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \alpha s$ є $\nu$ ӱ $\mu \mu \alpha \sigma t \nu$ induced a change of expression，$\kappa \lambda a l o u \sigma a \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \mu \in \lambda 斤$－ tous．
 slight hum of，the buzzing mosquito．＇ She means that her sleep was so restless that the least sounds disturbed it．All great poets are acute observers of even the most trifling incidents，and know how to use them in developing the naturalness of their characters．

#  















867. тov̂ द̧vuetũovios xpóvov. A condensed expression for !more numerous than could have happened in the space of time coincident with sleep.' The remark just made is equally applicable to the present verse. It is a well-known peculiarity of dreams, that the clearly-defined events of a week or a month may be conjured up by the mind and pass in array before it in the space of even a few minutes.
 at length free from grief, after having suffered so much.
869. T $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \theta \mu \omega ิ \nu$ кiva. 'The watchdog of the sheep-fold.' The Greeks generally use the article with both or neither of two substanfives thus closely colkering. They might also say $\tau \dot{d} \nu \sigma \tau a \theta-$ $\dot{\mu} \hat{\omega} \nu$ rúva, but even the poets rarely admit $\tau \delta \nu \kappa \dot{j} \dot{\nu} \alpha \sigma \tau a \theta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. We have however ท̀ тí $\mu \nmid \eta \in \omega \hat{\nu}, \sup .620$.
871. $\sigma \tau \hat{v} \lambda o \nu ~ \pi o \delta \hbar p \eta$. A pillar based on the ground; the main pillar of a roof, -any upright prop being called $\sigma \tau$ ùdos. Cf. Iph. Taur. 57, $\sigma \tau$ v̂خoc $\gamma$ àp ơкшע Eial $\pi$ aîbes $\quad$ áp $\sigma \in \nu \in s$. Propert. iv. 11, 69, ' Et serie fulcite genus.'
872. кal $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$. Almost every editor has found a difficulty in ral, and various transpositions, corrections, and interpretations have been suggested. They might

$\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \hat{\omega}$. It is not easy to see why the inspiration of a poet, in penning a noble passage, should be held liable to such trifling criticism. I have not the least doubt that the passage is geauine, and that kai might have been added or omitted with any of the terms of comparison.
875. 'т $\epsilon \rho \pi \nu \partial \nu \delta \bar{\prime}$, 'for 'tis pleasant -',


 sup. 825. The fact is, the words used are insufficient to express with grammatical precision the whole idea in the mind of the writer. Thus, he probably meant
 $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi \theta \in \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \xi a \sigma \theta a l$. The same remark is applicable to the construction of 412 supra.
877. $\phi \theta$ dyos $\delta$ ' à. $\begin{gathered}\text { é } \sigma \tau \omega . ~ ' A n d ~ l e t ~ n o ~\end{gathered}$ invidiousness attend them.' - 'May the use of these congratulatory expressions not draw down the envy of the gods! (I may indeed be pardoned for using them), for many are the past evils I have had to endure.' At the words $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ к. $\tau . \lambda$. Klausen acutely suggests that the Queen kneels in abject supplication, in reference to which act the reproof in v. 893 is directed. Doubtless the words were spoken in hypocritical accents of winning endearment.
879. $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \iota \theta \in$ ís, See on $\boldsymbol{\nabla} .493$.
$\delta \mu \omega a i, ~ \tau i ́ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \theta^{*}$, aîs $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau a \lambda \tau a \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s$ $\pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \nu ~ \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \theta o v ~ \sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu \nu$ v́val $\pi \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$;















 $\chi \omega \rho \grave{s} \pi о \delta о \psi \eta^{\prime} \sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \grave{\tau} \omega \nu \pi о \kappa \kappa \grave{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$
884. ${ }^{2} \in \lambda \pi \tau o \nu$. This belongs to $\delta \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$, since $\hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a t$ takes a dative of the person. By 'unlooked for home' Clytem-
 mentioned v. 1060, into which Justice, i. e. retributive Justice, is about to conduct him. In the same subtle and ambiguous sense the concluding couplet must be understood. By tà $\nsim \lambda \lambda a$ she means the murder which is predestined, and justly so ( $\delta \kappa \kappa \alpha i \omega s$ eifuaphéva) to be accomplished by her agency. At the same time

 ther details in honour of his return, over and above the present and immediate honours of the purple carpet, which, as Klausen observes, is borrowed from the custom of the Persian Kings.
889. $\mu$ ккра́v. This may be the adverb, rather than agreeing with $\hat{\beta} \hat{\eta} \sigma \nu$ implied. See Theb. 609, inf. 1267. There is something of pleasantry, not to say ironical bantering, in comparing the length of the address with the time of his absence. -ė̀aual $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ aiveîy к.т.ג., 'to praise me
according to my just deserts, some other person than a wife should be the speaker.' 891. кal Tẳ $\lambda \lambda$ a. See on Suppl. 240.
 $\phi \omega \tau\rangle s$ there is again an allusion to Persian manners, of which the poet often shows his abhorrence. Cf. Orest. 1507,
 тробтітvшу. Pers. 154. 590. Dem. Mid. p. 549. Aelian speaks of the practice as $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\epsilon}^{2} \nu$ тô̂s ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ai $\sigma \chi \chi \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \nu$ $\phi \in \rho \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$, Var. Hist. i. § xxi.
 Theb. 99.
898. кат${ }^{\text {ă }} \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \rho \alpha$. Cf. sup. 342.

899-902. Several reasons are given for his refusal, which, from his easy compliance eventually with her request in 917 , is evidently ceremonial rather than sincere ;-(1) his fame is sufficiently great without such foot-carpets. (2) To be right-minded in prosperity is the greatest gift of heaven. (3) No one is truly prosperous till he has closed his career without a reverse.













$A \Gamma$. oṽ тo九 yvvaıкós є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ i $\mu \epsilon$ íp $\epsilon \iota \nu \mu a ́ \chi \eta$ s.
903. $\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu$ ? ${ }^{2} v$. Hermann, Din. dorf, and Franz, read $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o \mu \mu \nu$, and it is not unlikely that the éroे which follows induced some grammarian to alter the plural into the singular. Klausen's version, approved by Peile, is rather arbitrary, 'si in omnibus ita me habere potero.' When we regard the context rather than the mere words, we shall hesitate to give any other meaming than this, 'And if in all things I shall act as discreetly as in this, I have no fear for the result,'-that is, no doubt that I shall end happily, since I shall not excite the $\phi \theta 6 \nu 0$ s of the gods by my pride. Two passages may here be quoted which appear to show that $\epsilon i \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma o c \mu^{\prime}$ \# $\nu$ is essentially the same as $\epsilon_{i}^{3} \pi \rho a \dot{c}{ }^{2} \omega$. Dem. Mid.
 $\pi$ fooiṽ' $\frac{a}{\nu} \nu$. Isocrat. Archidam. p. 120,




 noun is clearly emphatic, or he would
 sured that $I$ shall not alter my decision for the worse,' i. e. my $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ is as resolved as your $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$. Compare Eur. Hel. 920, тो $\mu \mathrm{è} \mathrm{\nu}$ ठโкatoy toû tãpds $\delta ц a \phi \theta \in \rho \in \hat{s}$ s. Med. 1055, $\chi \in \hat{\imath} \rho a \delta^{\prime}$ oủ $\delta \iota \alpha$. $\phi \theta \ell \rho \bar{\omega}$. Hippol. 388-90 (where some erroneously render $\delta, \alpha \phi \theta \in \in \rho \in i ̃ \nu$ to forget $)$.
906. $\eta \check{\zeta} \xi \omega$ - ${ }^{\circ} \nu . \quad$ You might have vowed to the gods in a time of fear to act
thus.' That is, your determination perhaps arises from some former vow when you were in danger,-a bitter remark, which indirectly lays both cowardice and superstition to his charge. It is not well to construe ta $\nu$ with $\underset{\rho}{\mathrm{f}} \delta \bar{\varepsilon} \epsilon \nu$, or to put an interrogation at the end, with Klausen and Dindorf, 'Did you vow to the gods that you would act thus,' i. e. with such selfdenial? For this involves a condition which must be thus supplied, is outros
 катабтаins,-a construction not at all common in the oblique or indirect past narrative. On the peculiar use of $\eta \tilde{\xi} \xi$ あ $\nu$ see inf. 1223.
907. $\tau 6 \delta \in \tau$ тé̉os. This decision; this final determination.
 ' How do you suppose Priam would have acted, if he had been victor?' Where
 as in the next verse $\beta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha, ~ \not a \nu$ stands for

 would have done this, fearless of divine displeasure, surely you need not fear the cavillings of men.' 'Nevertheless,' replies the King, 'popular opinion has great weight.' Vox populi vox dei.
912. $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ 'á $\phi \theta \delta \nu \eta \tau \delta_{s} \gamma^{\prime}$. 'Well, but he who is not subjected to envy is not a subject for envy, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ - is not to be reckoned a prosperous and happy man, § $\eta \lambda \omega \tau \delta$ s.
913. $\mu a_{\chi} \chi \eta s$, sc. ${ }_{\epsilon} \rho i \delta o s$, but with a sort of play on the sense that war is the work

K4. тoîs $\delta^{\prime}$ ò $\lambda \beta$ ioıs $\gamma \epsilon$ каì тò $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota . ~$




 $\mu \eta$ ' $\tau \iota s \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \theta \in \nu$ ö $\mu \mu a \tau o s \beta a ́ \lambda o \iota ~ \phi \theta o ́ v o s . ~$
 $\phi \theta \epsilon i ́ \rho o \nu \tau a \pi \lambda o v ̂ \tau o \nu$ á $\rho \gamma v \rho \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \tau o v s \theta^{\prime}$ vídás.


of men. Taking up the same notion in $\nu_{\kappa} \hat{a} \sigma \theta a i$, she replies, "True, but even defeat (sometimes) becomes the fortunate,' -a defeat, that is, in argument, as sup.
 Or perhaps, ' the fortunate can best afford to lose a victory:'
915. ŋु кal $\sigma$ ú. 'What! do you show your regard for that sort of victory,' viz. which consists in defeat? In other words, apply your own rule to yourself, and see if you are inclined to follow it. Hermann and Franz give $\bar{\eta}$ ov̀ каl $\sigma \grave{v}$, к. $\tau . \lambda$. ; but see on Theb. 713.
916. $\pi \alpha \beta \in s \gamma \in$. The $\gamma \in$ is so unusually placed, and indeed, looks so much like a metrical insertion, that mapels would be no extravagant conjecture. Cf. $\tau \eta \nu \nu$ ע'кпข тapєls тои́тழ, Herod. vi. 103. עใкךข maptévat $\tau \iota \nu$, Troad. 651. Still, as $\gamma \in$ is now and then misplaced in the sentence (see inf. 943), it may be questioned if the poet did not mean крátos $\gamma \in \mu$ и́vivol $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \in s$ $\epsilon \mu o l$. Even thus a rather harsh ellipse remains to be supplied: 'Comply (call it $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu$ or $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{a} \sigma \theta \alpha l$ as you will); but at all events allow me with a good grace to have the upper hand in this matter.'
917. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \in \hat{i} \delta о \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma 01$. 'Well, if you will have it so, let some one quickly loose the buskins that serve my feet to tread in,'literally, 'the slavish shoe of my foot,' for ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \sigma \iota s$ here seems to represent the Aristophanic word $\epsilon \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha}$, and $\pi \rho \delta \delta o u \lambda o s$ the more usual form $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau$ íoovios. With the optative $\lambda$ úo, where $\lambda v \in ́ \tau \omega$ was rather to be looked for, Peile compares Cho.
 $\tau \alpha \chi o s$.
919. kal toîбסe. So Hermann with MS. Flor. The common reading is $\sigma$ v $\nu$

тoí $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \epsilon$, which is not very easily explained.
 some word like $v \phi \alpha \sigma \mu a \sigma t \nu$ being under-stood.-The objection of Agamemnon, as before remarked, was never very strong or very sincere. He allows his scruples to be removed one by one by Clytemnestra, and ends by a wretched compromise between piety and pride, in consenting to walk, in barbaric splendour, upon purple carpets, but without his shoes, lest a reckless waste and contempt of rich possessions should offend the gods. All this is very finely introduced, for the reader is prepared for the sudden downfall of is man whose vanity so easily gets the better of his convictions.
 The same notion prevailed in the old superstition of the evil eye. Photius:



921. бт $\rho \omega \mu a \tau 0 \phi \theta 0 \rho \in \hat{\nu}$. So Auratus for $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau о \phi \theta \circ \rho \in \hat{\nu}$, and this appears the best correction that has been proposed. Klausen and Peile retain the vulgate; but it is clear from the next verse that the reluctance of Agamemon is not to the effeminacy of the act, but to its wastefulness. Hermann and Blomf. give $\delta \omega \mu a \tau 0=$ $\phi \theta \circ \rho \in \imath \imath \nu$ after Schütz; Dindorf and Franz єiцaroфӨopeiv. Between these two last and that given in the text it is a mere question of palaeography, the sense being precisely the same.
922. $\phi \theta \epsilon$ fo $\rho \tau \alpha$. Hermann gives $\sigma \tau \epsilon i-$ ßovтa, but it is unreasonable to object to a repetition of $\phi \theta \in i \rho \in a \nu$, already involved in the compound, when the whole verse is simply an epexegesis of $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu a \tau \circ \phi \theta о \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu$.









ойкоוs $\delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \grave{v} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \circ i ̄ s, a^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \xi$,

$\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \pi \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \delta^{\circ}$ єí $\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \stackrel{a}{a} \nu \eta \vec{v} \xi \alpha \mu \eta \nu$,

$\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \kappa o ́ \mu \tau \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \mu \eta \chi^{\alpha \nu}{ }^{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$.

933. таүкаlyıттos. Literally, 'ever renewable;' thence, 'ever fresh.' The shores of Laconia, Pausanias attests (lib. iii. cap. 21), produced the sea-purple (Murex trunculus) little inferior to the Tyrian; and Horace mentions Laconicas purpuras, Od. ii. 18, 6. But Ovid depreciates it in comparison with the Tyrian, Remed. Am. 707, 'Confer Amycleis medicatum vellus ahenis Murice cum Tyrio, turpius illud erit.'
934. olkous. So Porson, Dind., Blomf., for oilkos. 'It belongs to the house to have (enough, $\mu$ épos $\tau i$ ) of these' purple carpets. Hermann, retaining oîkos, translates, "est domus quae horum affatim habeat." Peile, 'there is a houseful of these things for us to keep.' But who will venture to assert that oincos єínátw is good Greek in such a sense? Nor is Klausen's theory, that imáp $\chi_{\text {et }}$ is here active (suppeditat ut habeamus), altogether a safe one, though he might have quoted in his favour Theocr. xxii. penult,

 195, Herod. v. 63), 'had it been declared to the house in oracles,' or by the order of the god, 'when I was planning some reward (to be paid to the gods) for the recovery of this man's life,' i. e. for getting my husband back again alive. The dative $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \dot{\rho} \eta$ depends as well as
 give $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \propto \mu e ́ p \eta s$, which Klausen connects with $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \varepsilon$, " quum tua haec vita pretium pro salute sua (diis) solvendum sibi paravisset." It would be far easier to supply ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \circ \hat{\nu}$, as inf. 1249. Others read $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \omega \mu \dot{\sim} \nu \eta$, referring to $\eta \dot{\jmath} \xi \alpha \mu \eta \nu$, with Stanley. There is nothing difficult in $\psi u \chi \hat{\eta} s \tau_{\hat{\eta}} \delta \delta$, which is the same as $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \tau o v \delta \delta$. At the words, we may suppose that she laid her hand on her lord, who is still standing by, as she directly addresses him in 941 .
939 seqq. 'For as, while the stock lasts, green foliage reaches to the house and extends over it a shelter against the heat of the dog-star; so when you have come back to the hearth of your home, you shew to us that warmth has arrived in winter; and again when Zeus is making wine from the green grape, even at that time there is a coolness in the house when the lord and master of it is occupying his home.' For the enunciation of the comparison by cal (more commonly каi - kal), see Cho. 247-51. The simile, Dr. Peile observes, is probably borrowed from the vine, olive, or fig-tree, which formed (as in many parts of Europe it now forms) the shelter and the appendage of each man's home. The appearance of the first green leaves would naturally be looked for with anxiety, lest
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 карঠías тєрабко́тои тотаิтаи,
the stem or stock should be failing from age or other cause. Hence, I think, a new light is thrown on $\pi \hat{a} s$ aủavéls $\pi v \theta$ $\mu \eta \nu$, Cho. 252, and Suppl. 97, $\nu \in \alpha \zeta_{\xi \epsilon}$ $\pi \cup \theta \mu$ クे $\nu$ — $\tau \in \theta a \lambda \omega 5$.
942. $\mu 0 \lambda \delta \nu$. The MSS. give $\mu 0 \lambda \grave{\omega} \nu$, which is at least superfluous after oov $\mu_{0} \lambda \delta \nu_{\tau}$ os. Hermann and others admit $\mu_{0} \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\nu}$ after Blomfield. Compare $\sigma \eta \mu a l$ $\nu \in є$ нолду sup. 284.
943. Zeús $\gamma^{\prime}$. The MSS. give Z 6 és $\tau^{\prime}$ $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$. Hermann and Dind. omit the particle altogether. Dr. Peile alone ventures to defend $\tau \in$ as an "archaism,"-a doctrine by which, in truth, almost any irregularity of language might be justified. More likely we should construe $\partial$ д̃ay $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\gamma \in$, comparing, for the position of $\gamma \in$ in the sentence, inf. 1321, Prom. 387, Acharn. 1104.-The phrase 'making (producing) wine from the green grape,' i. e. bringing the juice to maturity against the vintage, is a remnant of the most ancient-way of reekoning seasons by the operations of the agriculturist. Cf. Hesiod.



 Cho. 652.
946. Zê̂ Té̇ete. 'The accomplisher.' See Theb. 150. At Tegea in Arcadia this god was worshipped under the form of a Hermes or Apollo Aguieus (inf. 1048).



 bia. But the title $Z \in \dot{̀} s$ Tédetos, the god of marriage (Eum. 205), was per-
haps a distinct attribute.
 nificant verse Clytemnestra leaves the stage with her lord, returning however shortly afterwards to introduce Cassandra. Meanwhile the chorus, more plainly than before, speak of that gloomy foreboding which has long oppressed, but now overwhelms, their minds. 'Even though all appears to have gone well, though the King has actually returned, and the term of danger seems to have been passed, still my fears are not quieted. A vision flits constantly before my heart, and an unbidden strain rings in my ears. Great fortune is too often suddenly shipwrecked; sometimes indeed short of utter destruction; but the shedding of blood admits of no remedy; the dead cannot be restored to life. The awful presentiment remains on my mind, incapable of clear solution or expression:'
949. $\delta € \bar{c} \gamma \mu \alpha$. The MS. Farn. has $\delta \in \bar{i} \mu \alpha$, which Blomf., Herm, ${ }^{2}$, and Dind. prefer. Peile and Klausen defend $\delta \in i ̂ \gamma \mu a$, as a phantom, image, or portent, presented to the mind and conjured up by an excited brain. It is notimprobable that the word has some reference to the Mysteries, $\tau \grave{\alpha}$
 and $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau a \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \frac{1}{}$, terms rather applicable to the fixed and permanent position of a statue, the notion of a fitting spectre ( $\pi 0 \tau \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha l$ ) is qualified, that is, something more real and abiding than a mere fantasy is represented.-kapilas may be the genitive of place, as Cho. 389, $\phi \rho \in y$ ds olov $\hat{\xi}_{\mu \pi} \mu_{\text {ras }} \pi \sigma \tau \hat{a} \tau \alpha L$, but is more simply de-


  $\delta \nu \sigma \kappa \rho i ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ò $\nu \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$, <br><br>$\pi \rho \nu \mu \nu \eta \sigma_{i}^{i} \omega \nu$ छ̀v $\nu \epsilon \mu \beta$ o入aîs  $\beta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu, \epsilon \hat{\nu} \theta^{3} \dot{v} \pi^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} I \lambda \iota \circ \nu$  $\pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \theta_{0} \mu a \iota \delta^{\circ} \dot{a} \pi^{\prime}$ ò $\mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ à $\nu \tau . \alpha_{1} . \quad 960$    $\hat{\theta} v \mu \grave{o} \mathrm{~s}$, ov̉ $\tau \grave{2} \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ 光 $\chi \omega \nu$<br>द̀ $\lambda \pi i ́ \delta o s ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o \nu ~ \theta \rho a ́ \sigma o s . ~$ 965 $\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu a \delta^{\circ}$ oüт $\mu a \tau a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$ ， $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ v \delta i ́ k o ı s ~ ф \rho \epsilon \sigma i ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \phi o ́ \rho o ı s ~$


 Klausen has edited．＇And why does not the assuring confidence to reject it（the $\delta \in i \gamma \mu a)$ ，like an obscure dream，occupy the seat of my heart ？＇The MS．Flor． gives àmontúvas，which Peile takes for a nominativus pendens，and so Hermana． But most of the recent editors adopt à $\pi о \pi \tau ย \sigma \alpha \nu$ after Casaubon．The reading given above is the easiest construction；

 it is not denied that the poet may have

955．Ènt．So MS．Farn．The common reading is $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma$ ．The sense，nearly as I formerly explained it，but more exactly as Hermann had given it，（though in his late edition he has admitted one or two need－ less alterations，）appears to be this：－ ＇But the crisis of the time has long past since the fastening of the cables of the ships together on the sands，when the naval host had reached Troy．＇Hermann alone of the commentators has perceived that by $\chi p \delta \nu 0$ s $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \beta \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ we must under－ stand，＇The period in which Calchas＇pre－ dictions ought to have come true，if ever，is now gone by．＇Both $\pi \rho v \mu \nu \eta \sigma$ lav and àkáras are common geniṭives after
$\xi \nu \nu є \mu \beta 0 \lambda a i s$, which is Schneider＇s correc－ tion for $\xi v \nu \in \mu \beta \delta \lambda \lambda o s$ ．Cf，Pers．398，
 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \mu \eta \nu$ ．The form $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta$ is a $a \dot{\pi} \pi \alpha \xi \lambda \in \gamma \delta=$ $\mu \in \nu \circ \nu$ ，the usual word being 九кaтоs．－ $\psi$ a $\mu$ ías，for $\bar{\epsilon} \pi l \psi^{\prime} \mu \mu \varphi$ ．So Hom．Hymn．



966．$\sigma \pi \lambda{ }^{\prime} \gamma \chi \chi a$ ．The larger organs of the body（viscera），the heart，liver，lungs， \＆cc．，are always implied by this word， while the entrails（lactes）are（\％yтєpa，as
 From not sufficiently observing this，our forefathers introduced a phrase as coarse as it is physically absurd，＇bowels of com－ passion．＇Here néap $^{\prime}$ is in apposition with $\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu a$ in its physical sense，but at the same time both $\boldsymbol{k \in \alpha} \boldsymbol{\in} \rho$ and $\phi p \in \sigma l \nu$ retain their moral signification of feelings or in－ telligence．Translate：＇And my inward parts are not vainly moved，my heart whirling in eddies against the midriff through fears well－founded and tending to a sure accomplishment．＇Of course， $\epsilon \nu \delta i n o u s$ and $\tau \in \lambda \in \sigma \phi \delta \rho o u s$ are mere epithets to $\phi \rho \epsilon \sigma l \nu$ ，but it is necessary to deviate a little from grammatical exactness in order to convey a clear meaning．Compare


§ívaıs кขк久оú $\mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ к є ́ a \rho . ~$ $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi о \mu a \iota \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \hat{a}_{S}$ * $\tau о ̀ \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ <br>є́s $\tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{~} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma$ фó $\rho o \nu$. $\mu a ́ \lambda \alpha$ үє́ $\tau$ о८ $\tau$ ò $\dagger \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha s$ ví $\gamma \epsilon \ell ้ \alpha s$ ảко́ $є є \tau о \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a, \nu o ́ \sigma о s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ * ~ a ̉ \epsilon i ~$ $\gamma \epsilon i ́ \tau \omega \nu$ о́ $\mu$ о́тоь $\chi$ оऽ $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \rho \in i ́ \delta \epsilon \iota$, каì $\pi o ́ \tau \mu о s ~ \epsilon \dot{~} \theta v \pi о \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ <br>* * ${ }^{*} \phi \alpha \nu \tau о \nu \epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \mu \alpha$.<br>$\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\kappa \tau \eta \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$ ӧкขоs $\beta a \lambda \omega \nu$

969. To $\pi$ âv. Hermann has supplied these words, the MS. Farn. having tot, which he conceives to be a remnant of the nearly obliterated genuine reading. And тò $\pi \bar{\alpha} \nu$ is not only a frequent Aeschylean use (as 964,1138 ) in the adverbial sense (omnino), but it suits, and may almost be said alone to suit, both context and metre. The MS. Flor. has $\epsilon \ddot{Z} \chi o \mu a \iota \delta^{\circ} \xi \xi \xi \bar{\epsilon} \mu a \hat{s}$ ${ }^{2} \lambda \pi \pi \delta o s$. But $\dot{d} \pi^{2} \dot{e} \lambda \pi \pi \delta o s$ suits the metre better, and bears the same sense of 'contrary to expectation' in Soph. El. 1127. Trach. 666.—दोs $\tau \delta \mu \bar{\eta} \tau \in \lambda \in \sigma \phi \phi \rho o \nu$ refers to the same word in v. 967,-‘My fears are too likely to be realised, but I hope they will not.'
970. $\mu \epsilon \mathrm{y}^{\prime}$ dacs íyetias. I have thus corrected the vulgate $\tau$ ûs $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{a} \bar{s}$ ưviciocs. The metre of the antistrophic verse, which is Paeonic, like Eum. 335-8, demands some change here; and $\mu \in \gamma^{\alpha} \lambda \alpha s$ appears to me
 proposed by Mr. Conington, or тon'́os $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, which Hermann edits. Again, ûyeta seems as legitimate a form as $\dot{b} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ eia, which is often used by later Greek writers. Hermann gives sixitas, and Klausen thinks that the penult of tyifela may be made short. In fact, it is a question of pronunciation rather than of orthography.akbpeøтov, 'insatiable;' cf. inf. 1302, тঠ̀
 тoĩu. But the ràp which follows depends (as is so often the case) on some suppressed sentiment. (Men never think they have prosperity enough, (regardless of the danger they incur;) for disease (calamity) is ever at hand like a neighbour leaning against a party wall,' and ready
to throw it down and overwhelm them. For the simile compare Antiphanes (Phil.

 field has supplied $\grave{d} \epsilon 6$. It might the more easily have dropped out from the MSS.,

 rally thought to have been lost here. H. L. Ahrens thus fills up the supposed
 $\pi \rho \delta s]$ áф $\alpha y \tau o \nu$ épua. Hermann, who com-
 тaías, thinks the error lies rather in an interpolation of the antistrophe.-ă $\phi \alpha \nu \tau a \nu$ ${ }^{\text {E }}$ ¢ $\mu \mathrm{a}$, ' a a sunken reef.' Cf. Eum. 533,

971. каl то $\mu$ è к.т.ג. Having illustrated the sudden reverses of fortune by the striking of a ship against a rock while in her direct course, and therefore suspecting no danger, he goes on in the same strain to say that even a shipwreck of a man's prosperity is remediable, provided blood is not shed; for that admits of no hope of restoration. 'The ship,' he argues, ' may be got off the rock, by throwing overboard a part of the merchandise to save the rest; just so an abundant harvest puts a stop to a famine ; but not so can blood once shed be recalled.' In other words, If calamity must befal the house of the Atridae, may it be by a blow which is not fatally ruinous, and not by the death of the king.
 garded as a nominatious' pendens as regards oùr $\delta \delta u \delta \delta \mu o s$, though it forms the


difficulty might easily be met，as a Cam－ bridge scholar formerly suggested to me，
 ovio env $\pi \rho \sigma \pi \alpha a s ~ \delta \delta \mu o s, \kappa . \tau: \lambda$ ．But the fact is，as Klausen has seen，the poet
 ＇The fear of the owner，casting away a part in lieu of（all）his merchandise by a well－calculated throw，does not sink his entire fortune，nor engulph the hull．＇On the idiom，which we more fully express； ＇does not allow his fortune to sink，＇\＆c．， see Suppl．611．He uses $\delta \delta$ fos for vâ̂s， not as a synonym，but from some con－ fusion between the symbol and the thing symbolised．The same simile of lighten－ ing an overloaded ship occurs Theb．765，



 —érevelây，＇supplying corn for the whole year．＇

987．To $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ ह̇ $\pi l$ रुविע．The $\delta \hat{k}$ here answers to $\kappa \alpha l$ т $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa$ ．$\tau . \lambda$ ，in 978．＇A shipwreck and a famine may be averted； but blood，＇\＆c．－$\pi \in \sigma \delta \nu$ al $\pi \alpha \frac{\xi}{\xi}$ is Pauw＇s cor－ rection for $\pi \epsilon \sigma \delta \nu \theta^{\prime} \alpha \pi \alpha \xi$ ，and this seems a better way of completing the metre （Paeonic）than to read $\alpha, \pi \alpha \xi \pi \in \sigma \delta \nu$ with Klausen and others after Porson．－$\pi p o-$
 the figure is a strange one，and it is not easy to see what image was in the poet＇s mind，it seems necessary to take the two words together．Klausen construes，â$\mu a$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \delta s \quad \pi \epsilon \sigma \partial \nu \quad \pi \rho o \pi \alpha ́ \alpha \rho o t \theta \epsilon$ ，＂si quis vitam antea devovet ut merces；＂but there would thus be no point in the comparison， for the actual merchandise thrown over－ board was lost，in order that＇what re－
mained might be saved；whereas life－ blood admits of no such compromise．

989．É $\pi \alpha e^{i} \delta \omega \nu, ~ ' b y ~ i n c a n t a t i o n s . ' ~ S o ~$
 $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ тãŋो $\rho$ of $\mu \delta \delta_{s}$ ，and for the doctrine that there is a remedy for every thing but bloodshedding，see Suppl．437－45．Cho． 42．As én $\% \delta$ al were amongst the arts of the primitive physicians（see on Prom． 487），and applied＇，among other things，to the staunching of a wound（Od．xix．457，
 meaning evidently is，that all emqঠial are in vain when life has once fled．

990．oủ $\delta$ è $\tau \dot{\delta} \nu \dot{\partial} \rho \not \partial o \delta \alpha \hat{\eta}$ ．＇Nor（had it been lawful and possible to do this）would Zeus have stopped him who knew the right way to restore men from the dead， by way of caution．＇That is，Zeus would not have blasted Aesculapius，who brought back Hippolytus to life，for a caution and a lesson to mortals．The legend is men－ tioned in many places；Pind．Pyth．iii． 98. Apollodor．iii．10，3．Alcest．123．Ovid， Met．ii．644．Frast．vi．760．Propert．ii． $1,61, \& c$ ．The reading of the passage is， however，extremely doubtful，and it is not improbable（since nothing is wanting to the construction or sense of the strophic verse）that it has been largely interpolated．

 Bela $\gamma$ e（Farn．）．Hermann，who now
 gloss originally written on v． 978 ，and wrongly transposed to this place，has given the corresponding lines in the stroplie without a lacuna，and here edited
 ayd́yety érravaev．Great as is the change of the text，I am very much disposed to
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \theta_{i \mu}{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ ả $\nu \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$

 $\mu о i ̂ \rho a \mu \circ \hat{\imath} \rho a \nu \nu$ ẻ火 $\theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$
 $\pi \rho о \phi \theta$ á $\sigma a \sigma \alpha$ кар $\delta i ́ a$


$\theta v \mu a \lambda \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \kappa$ каi
 $\zeta \omega \pi v \rho o v \mu e ́ v a s ~ \phi \rho \in \nu o ́ s$.


believe he is right; for it must be admitted, that the strophic verses have every appearance of being perfect. The same idea had occurred to Mr. Dyer in his 'Tentamina' (quoted by Prof. Conington), but he would read interrogatively où $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \delta \nu$ dं $\rho \theta 0 \delta \alpha \hat{\eta}$ - ${ }^{\epsilon} \pi \alpha v \sigma \in \nu ;$ 'Was there not one who - ?' This, however, is not good Greek. As for aū $\tau^{\prime}$, it may very easily have arisen from the common confusion between $\hat{a} \nu$ and $a \hat{v}$ (Tbeb. 702-3). The words è $\pi^{\prime}$ є є $\lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \in \dot{a}$, whether genuine or not, are remarkably illustrated by the expression in Ovid (Fast. vi. 759), 'Jupiter exemplum veritus direxit in illum Fulmina, qui nimiae moverat artis opem.' If not geninine, it is far more likely that they were added as a gloss, to explain the reason why Zeus acted thus, than that they were accidentally transferred, according to Hermann's theory:
 fixed and unalterable destiny did not hinder fate from bringing further assistance from the gods, my heart outstripping my tongue would pour out these feelings; but as it is, it frets impatiently in the dark, grieved in the inmost soul, and not expecting ever to unravel any thing to the purpose, my mind being all in a flame' (i. e. with the fire of prophecy, inf. 1143. 1227). There is considerable difficulty in ascertaining the exact sense of this passage. Perhaps we may simplify it by stating the naked proposition, $\epsilon i \delta \frac{1}{\ell} \mu \hat{i} p \dot{\alpha}$
 $\tau \dot{d} \delta \epsilon$. 'If fate had offered any help from
the oracles' (which, as Peile well remarks, were remárkably silent about Agamemnon on his return), ' my heart would have told it all.' But there was a superior destiny which even gods obey, $\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \mu \neq \eta \eta$, Prom. 526 , and which did not allow this aid to be afforded in the present perplexity.
997. $\gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu . \quad$ Hermann gives $\pi \rho o-$
 -a needless and injurious alteration of Schütz's. For when thoughts come faster than words, the mind is unable, from press of matter, to find adequate expression by the tongue, which is the case with the chorus. But when words come faster than thoughts, it is evident that nothing but vain sounds are uttered.

1602-13. Clytemnestra returns to bring in Cassandra, who has been left on the stage in a separate chariot. Mindful of the advice of the king, $\tau \neq \nu \quad \xi \in \eta \eta \nu \rho \in v_{-}$
 a cold and forced civility, but immediately breaks out with all the fury of an injured wife towards a rival, when her commands to enter the house are disobeyed. Cas. sandra does not reply. She will not go into the palace because she knows the fate awaiting her.
1003. $\dot{a} \mu \eta \nu / \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$. Hermann, Klausen, and Peile construe with rouvwlod eivat, 'to be a sharer in the lustral water with the rest of the family without ill-feeling on our part.' There is however an implied taunt in $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{\nu} \mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \delta\langle\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau a$. $\theta \in i \sigma a \nu$. She is not admitted as a friend or a guest, but as a captive and a slave.

#       $\dot{a} \rho \chi \alpha \iota \pi \lambda о v ́ \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda \eta \chi^{\chi} \chi^{\rho} \rho \iota{ }^{\circ}$  ஸ่ $\mu o i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon$ סov́خoıs $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \theta \mu \eta \nu$. ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota s, \pi \alpha \rho{ }^{3}{ }^{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oî́á $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu о \mu i \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha$ ． 

The sacrifice to Z 埌 $\mathrm{K} \tau h \sigma t o s$ is prepared in the house，and Cassandra must be pre－ sent at it，with every member of the household，as a formal initiation into the family．Slaves，in fact，were a part of the general property，and as such came under the protection of Zeis K K hootos，who may be regarded as the family $\Sigma \omega \tau \pi\rangle \rho$ in relation to the possessions rather than to the members of a household．It was by his favour that lost property was regained （Suppl．438），and his statue is said to have been set up in the store－rooms in the interior of houses．The $\chi^{\prime} \rho v i \psi$（Eum． 626）was the consecrated water which was dispensed to all，for washing of hands and sprinkling the body，before the solemn rite commenced．Even those who might not be present at the sacrifice itself，as being unworthy（Schol．on Ar．Pac．968）， seem to have been allowed to partake of the $\chi$ éf $\nu \check{\psi}$ ，to be excluded from which was regarded as the lowest point of religious degradation．

1007．каl $\pi$ aîठa．＇Even Hercules was sold as a slave to the Lydian Omphale．＇

 Flor．has the remarkable reading（as Her－ mann thinks，from a gloss），mpafévia
 corruption of סou入las $\mu$ d́ns Blov or סou－


1009．$\epsilon \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\prime}$ oîy．See on 659．There is a peculiar force in these particles，which seems to be generally overlooked．Sup－ plying an ellipse（＇the lot of slavery is indeed always hard＇），we may accurately render the rest，＂but if the necessity of this lot should befal any one，there is much advantage in having masters of an－ cient family property．＇The following
passages fully establish this interpretation， which must be expressed by an emphasis； Cho．562．Androm．163．Pac． 736. Equit．423．Oed．R．851．Demosth． p．1140，6．Vesp．92．Soph．El． 577. Rhes．572．It may readily be supposed that Athenian pride dwelt with pleasure on the contrast between recently acquired wealth and ancient possessions．Hence
 1393．$\pi a \lambda a t 6 \pi \lambda$ оutov Thuc．viii．28．$\nu \in \delta-$ плоvтos Vesp．1309．Lysias，p．156，51，







 eilvát，－a shrewd remark，which every observer has verified in modern society．

1012．$\pi a \rho \alpha ̀ \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \eta \nu$ ．Identical with our phrase，＇beyond measure．＇

1013．Єँ $\chi$ еıs к．т．$\lambda$ ．＂Tenes，quod ex－ pectari a nobis potest．＂Hermann．Others translate，＇You have from us what is cus－ tomary．＇But we should thus have ex－ pected ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \in ⿺ 𠃊 ⺊$ ，as Auratus proposed；and even then the sentiment would little suit the context，for＇customary treatment＇ forms no intelligible antithesis to $\dot{\omega}^{\mu} \dot{\partial} \tau \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ， in speaking of slaves．Clytemnestra evi－ dently means，that Cassandra now knows the position she is to occupy in the family， viz．as a slave and not as a guest．We must supply some infinitive like 反o日ŋ刀va， as in Cho．114，kal tav̂ta $\mu$ мù̃
 ＇are intended，＇ef．Cho．93，кошду үàp
 mind，＇＇we entertain．＇



 ảy $\omega \hat{\tau} \alpha$ ф $\omega \nu \eta ̀ \nu ~ \beta a ́ \rho \beta a \rho о \nu ~ к є к т \eta \mu є ́ \nu \eta, ~$



 $\tau \rho i ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon \in \sigma \tau i ́ a s ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma о \mu \phi a ́ \lambda o v, ~$

 $\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{3} \epsilon i \prime \tau i \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon, \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \chi \chi^{\circ} \grave{\eta} \nu \tau i \theta \epsilon i$.
1014. бol $\tau 0$. "'Tis to you that the lady has just spoken in plain terms; and now that you are within the toils of fate
 certainly obey, if you were for obeying; though I dare say, you will disobey.' We might doubtless translate, imperatively, 'obey if you intend to obey,' but that, if the $\alpha \nu$ is to be supplied with àret日oins, it can only be supplied from $\pi \varepsilon$ ( 0 oto $\% \nu$ in the conditional sense. See however on 535. Cf. Oed. R. 936, ⿹\zh26 $\delta 010$


 $\chi$ aipout' $\alpha \nu$, ci $\chi$ aipout', 'you may rejoice, if you are for rejoicing.' The to $y$ in $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \tau \delta s \delta^{\prime} \vec{\alpha} \nu$ is used to introduce the hypothetical proposition, and is repeated with the verb, as sup. 336-8. Hermann, who seems to think the first av indicates an independent hypothesis, reads écròs $\delta^{\text { }}$ à $\nu$ oī $\sigma a$, and appears to understand the whole passage thus:' Were you outside of the toils, you might perhaps obey, if you were inclined; but now that you are within them, you will perhaps disobey.'
 ing within her comprehension.' A short
 $\pi a v \sigma \sigma_{\text {, }}$ or $i \in i \bar{\sigma} a$. She alludes to the remark of the chorus above, $\lambda \in$ 'rovo a $\sigma a \phi \hat{\eta}$ dóroy. The Greeks made a distinction between $\kappa \lambda \dot{u} \epsilon i \nu$ and $\alpha^{\alpha} \kappa \sigma \dot{v} \epsilon!\nu$ (Prom. 456, Cho. 5), and consequently between mere words, and words which entered the mind
of the hearer. Hence Cho. 372, тoи̂тo
 times indeed $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$ seems to be the same as èvids, that is, to involve no idea of motion. See inf. 1314. Eur. Med. 316,

1022. Avpaíq $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{3}$. The MSS. have Gupaiav $\tau \eta \nu \delta \epsilon$, which Klausen construes with $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha$, ' near this woman at the door.' Hermann and Dindorf give $\theta$ vpalav $\tau \hat{p} \delta^{\prime}$, -but tvoaía seems almost necessary for the syntax, though it introduces a little
 mapà $\tau \hat{p} \delta \epsilon$. For the accusative could only be used if it stood after ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\mathrm{E}} \mu \mathrm{o}$, the full con-
 $\tau \rho i \beta \varepsilon \epsilon \nu$ Uupalay. See 1588.

 seems wrong in understanding Zeis 'Epkeios. As for the genitive, Klausen with Jelf, Gr. Gr. § 522, 1, regards it as that of place; see on 948. Soph. El. 900,
 This however leaves the article awkwardly misplaced; nor is it better to join rà $\hat{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau$ las $\mu \hat{\eta} \lambda \alpha$. Hermann says, " Respondent sibi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{̀} \nu$ et' $\sigma \grave{v} \delta \delta^{\prime}$. Nam $\tau \grave{a}$ non articulus est, sed pronomen, illa," (i. e. тдे $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ yàp, 'for on the one hand.') But it seems the best with Peile and Conington to explain 'for as regards the family altar' (or, 'the things belonging to the family


 be sacrificed for the fire.'
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## $K A E E A N \triangle P A$.

| о̇тототой, то́тоь, $\delta$ à. | $\sigma \tau \rho . \alpha^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 1040 |



1028. фрáŚ карßávழ $\chi \in \rho 1 . \quad$ Shortly
 (ảóvขatos 入є́ $\gamma \in L \nu$ ). Clytemnestra suits the action to the words, indicating how she is to reply; though, if we criticise the passage closely, there is a little inconsistency in adding verbal instructions which were at least useless because unintelligible. Perhaps we may say, the words were really meant for the chorus and the audience rather than for Cassandra.
 the wild look, sudden'starts, and frenzied demeanour of the captive.
1034. ${ }^{\prime} \xi a \phi \rho /\{\varepsilon \sigma \theta a L$. 'Before she foams away her spirit in blood.' This is significantly said of her intended murder. The metaphor is from training a young colt by a hard and cutting bit.

103\%. кaivıoov Gurov. 'Handsel the yoke,' i. e. put on and try it for the first time. Cf. Cho. 483 . Troad. 889, il $\delta^{3}$ éativ; єỉXàs $\omega s$ érailviaas $\theta \in \omega ̂ \nu$.
1039. Clytemnestra having flung herself into the house in a fit of peevish impatience, Cassandra is left alone on the stage with the chorus till v. 1301. Of this splendid scene the author of the Greek
argument truly observes that it has êr-
 'the most thrilling perhaps that ever emanated from tragic art.' Even to our minds, though we lose much by not fully realising Greek feelings, and more by the absence of an exciting spectacle, the prophetic throes of Cassandra are appalling and terrific, and her dying words painfully pathetic. The whole tale of the crimes and woes of the house of Atreus pass in review before her eyes like living realities, and she even discerns the axe, and the bathing vessel, and the very hand by which Agamemnon is doomed soon to fall. There is something grand, too, in her despairing efforts to make herself clearly understood, as if she laboured in vain to descend from the obscure enigmas of prophecy to the language of ordinary life.
1042. $\theta \rho \eta \nu \eta$ тоиิ тขХєi้. Phoebus was the god of joy and brightness, and therefore not to be invoked or even mentioned in a time of woe. See Müller, Dor. i. p. 324. Eur. Ion, 245. 639. For òтотоroî and $\dot{\partial} \tau \sigma \tau \dot{G} \zeta \in i \nu$ are words of lamentation. Cf. Cho. 319, оттотúऽєжаи $\delta^{2} \delta$ $\theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$.
$K A$. о̀тототои̂, $\pi о ́ \pi о \iota, ~ \delta a ̂ . ~$
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\alpha} \nu \tau . a^{2}$.

 1045

$K A$.
' $A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu,{ }^{3} A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$
ả $\gamma v ı \hat{a} \tau^{\prime}, \mathfrak{\alpha} \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu o ́ s \cdot$
ảm $\omega$ í $\epsilon \sigma a s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon v i \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu . ~$
 1050

KA.
${ }^{\prime} A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu,{ }^{\prime} A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$. ả $\gamma v \iota \hat{\alpha} \tau^{\prime}, ~ a ̉ \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ć $\mu o ́ s .:$

 1055

$K A$.
ả ả,
$\mu \iota \sigma o ́ \theta \epsilon o \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oủ $\nu$ • $\pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu i ́ \sigma \tau o \rho a ~$ av̉тофо́va кака̀ каi ả $\rho \tau \alpha ́ \nu \alpha{ }^{-}$

 Cf. 1246-7. Eur. Frag. Phaethont. 15. 11,

 ỏ $\rho \theta \omega \hat{s} \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \bar{\imath}$,

Similarly we have the coined word é $\lambda \in \frac{1}{y} y a s$ or é éévaus sup. 671. Hermann gives the nominative for $\not \approx \pi o \lambda \lambda o v$ or $\not \approx \pi о \lambda \lambda o \nu$ of the MSS., so that the two verses more nearly coincide.- On the supposed meaning of the name ' ${ }^{\prime} \pi \sigma^{\prime} \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ and the title of àputis or àzvidutŋs, 'god of ways,' consult Müller, Dor. i. pp. 317. 321. 323. Bekk. Anecd. p. 332, 5, 'A $\gamma u 1$ ès

 suppose that Cassandra sees and addresses such an object standing before the door of the palace. Klausen thinks the derivation of 'A $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ here given by Aeschylus the true one, just as $\Lambda$ úretos meant 'the destroyer' (Theb. 132). Cassandra refers
 'completely,' 'entirely,' properly 'without stint,' as Eum. 826, 日vpaîos è $\sigma \tau \omega$

viz. now by death, formerly by allowing her to be slighted and despised as a prophetess. The same complaint is repeated inf. 1240-47.
1051. $\mu$ '́vel $\pi a \rho \partial \nu$, 'is even yet present.' The Med. has $\pi a \rho^{2}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu$, the MS. Flor. $\pi a \rho \grave{̀} \nu$, Fara. $\pi a \rho \delta \partial$, which Hermana retains. Others, with Schütz, read סou入ía
 $\gamma^{\prime}$ oì $\delta a \sigma \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{n} \pi \alpha \rho \delta \nu$.
1058. $\mu \tau \sigma \delta \theta \in o \nu \mu \overline{\mathrm{c}} \nu \circ$ oì $\nu$. 'Nay rather, to a house detested of the gods; many family murders and deaths by the noose are able to attest it' ( $\sigma u p l \sigma \tau o \rho \alpha \dot{Z} \tilde{\sigma} \tau i$ ). -какд каі áprávat was formerly edited by me for rakà картáya. On the hiatus see Pers. 39. 52. Cho. 365. Eum. 992. Klausen adopts Dindorf's correction какд̀
 $\mu \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime}$ in 1070.
 ter-house.' So Dobree for à $\alpha \delta \rho \dot{\partial} s \sigma \phi \alpha$, riov, a correction, one would have thought, carrying conviction of its truth to every mind. Nevertheless, Dindorf is almost the only one of modern editors who has preferred it to $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \delta s \sigma \phi a \gamma \epsilon \hat{L} \nu$, the emendation of Porson. None, I believe, have quoted Bekker's Anecd. i. p. 28, à $\nu \mathbf{\delta} \rho 0-$

$K A$.
$\stackrel{\pi}{\hat{a}} \hat{\bar{a}}$,
${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$.
1065





1070




 1075
$K A$. ì̀, $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota \nu a, \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂, ~$ à $\nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}$.
 $\sigma k o v \sigma t$. The word $\sigma \phi a \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} 0 \nu$, which Hermann strangely makes an adjective,-‘a house causing the slaughter of a man and sprinkling his blood on the floor' ( $\pi$ '́ $\delta o t$ ) is properly the vessel which receives the blood of the victim,-a sense by no means appropriate in the present passage. In
 סats тégova' épdr, it appears to mean ' a victim.' There does not seem much weight in the objection of Klausen, that there can be no allusion as yet to the murder of Agamemnon, because the chorus afterwards ( $1074-5$ ) distinguishes between the past and the future as the known and the unknown, and so under Éneiva $\delta^{\prime}$ Ě $\gamma \nu \omega \nu$ ought to include the meaning of avopos $\sigma \phi a \gamma \epsilon i o \nu$. Accordingly, he explains it of the murder of Atreus by Thyestes, though such an event is no where expressly recorded.一 $\pi$ é $\delta o u$ for $\pi$ é $\delta o \nu$ is the almost necessary alteration introduced by Pearson, since faythptoy can hardly be taken, as Klausen and others suggest, in a passive sense. Dindorf reads $\pi \in \delta о \rho \rho a \nu \tau$ й $i o n$, an improbable compound, which it is rather surprising that Hermann should approve, even though it is stated to have been the original reading of the Med. It is much better to take pauthpou as agreeing with $\sigma \tau \in \mathfrak{\gamma} \eta \nu$, v. 1054 , the masculine
form being defended by тúx $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau$ 亿ipios Suppl. 517, $\mu \eta \chi$ аעो $\lambda \nu \tau$ hplos, Eum. 616, $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \dot{\eta} \delta \rho a \sigma \tau \nmid p \iota o s$ Theb. 1044. For the

 and explain $\sigma \tau \in \hat{\epsilon} \eta \nu$ alpatı paivovady тo

1065. т $\alpha \delta \in \beta \rho \in ́ \phi \eta$. 'Here are infants (the ghosts or visionary forms of infants) bewailing their own slaughter, and their roasted flesh eaten by their father,' Thyestes. On the rare middle use of rialeatal see on Prom. 43. Hermann explains it as a synonym of סакриррөєì, for which see Cho. 448. It is hardly worth while to remark, that the emotion of the speaker disdains the grammatical accuracy which would make Bpé $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$.

 ing of the Med. by the first hand, seems at least as good as any of the changes which editors have introduced. 'Certainly we had heard of your prophetic fame, but we are not (now) on the look out for pro-phets,'-a race of which no favourable opinion is expressed below, 1101-4.
1072. $\grave{\lambda} \lambda \kappa \grave{\alpha}$, ' help,'-meaning (directly or indirectly) Orestes. The metre of this verse is bacclime, as Prom. 115. Theb. 101.

#  <br> 入ovт  $\chi є \rho o ̀ s$ öpé $\gamma \mu a \tau a$. 

1080




 1085



1078. фaıōpuvara. 'After washing him in the bath,' -the aorist implying that the deed was done after the ablution, as is more distinctly described Eum. 603.
1079. тá os, 'quickly.' Cf. 271.ópé $\gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$ is Hermann's correction of
 the metre and the scholium $\delta$ ia $\delta$ ex $\chi о \nu \tau a$,
 Al yo
 The meaning however merely is that Clytemnestra stretches out hand after hand. Nor need we, with Klausen, confine the action to the preparation of the bath, on the ground that the actual murder is not described till v. 1097. For by the words $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \cos ^{2} \delta \delta \delta^{\prime}$ el $\sigma \tau \alpha$, , the sense is pretty clearly determined, 'already the hand is uplifted once and again to strike,' though Cassandra avoids, as yet, the mentron of the blow, for she wishes them to understand her hints, without being more explicit. In fact, Klausen does not seem to have noticed the past participle $\phi$ atofol$\nu \alpha \sigma \alpha$.
1081. We might doubtless join ${ }_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi} \xi$
 Tics is 'in consequence of incredulity,' v. 259. But the sense seems rather, 'after enigmas,' viz. the obscure hints in 1058-67. For the whole course of Cassandra's revelations proceeds on the principle of dark hints at first, and then gradually clearer declarations. Not that the chorus understands the present $\theta \in \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma_{-}$ para any more than the former aivi $\gamma$ $\mu a \tau a$. Both are alike perplexing and unintelligible. But the two things are
sufficiently distinct in their nature to be thus contrasted. They mean to say, ' Now, instead of being enlightened after all your enigmas, I am only perplexed by equally obscure prophecies.'
1085. $\eta=$ guvaitla. As she is the agent, and the garment the instrument of death, the two are regarded as associates in the deed.
1086. $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma t s \delta^{\prime}$. 'Now let the compang of Furies, not yet tired of persecuting the family, raise a shriek over a sarifice to be performed by stoning.' What that sacrifice is, -whether of Clytemnestra herself, who inf. 1594 is declared worthy of a death by stoning, or, as Klausen maintains, the destruction of the whole of the accursed house of Atreus by a general rising of the people, is far from clear, and, without doubt, Lassandra does not choose to make it so. We must remember that $\lambda \in \operatorname{li}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ mos pros pertly means 'capable of being stoned;' and therefore 'fit for it,' though, like $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\cos \sigma t \mu o s ~} \beta$ ágic sup. 10, it is generally used improperly in such phrases as $\lambda$ téatr
 manner of the death. In either case, Cassandra means to say, that a deed is done which ought to rouse the sleeping wrath of the Furies that haunt the house, and she invokes them to exult in the vengeance which must soon follow. -The genitive depends on raja in composition.


 945. Choc. 928.

#   <br> $\sigma \tau а у \grave{\omega} \nu, a ̈ \tau \epsilon \dagger \kappa а \iota \rho i ́ a ~ \pi \tau \omega ́ \sigma \tau \mu$ оs క̇vvavút $\beta$ ßiov ס́vivtos aủyaîs.  

$K A$.

$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\alpha} \nu \tau . \dot{\epsilon}^{.}$


1093





àmò $\delta$ è $\theta \epsilon \sigma \phi a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тís ả $\gamma a \theta$ à фátıs ßротоîs $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$; как $\omega \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ठцаi $\pi o \lambda v \epsilon \pi \epsilon i ̄ s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu a \iota ~ \theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \iota \omega \delta \grave{\nu}$ фóßov фє́povбıv $\mu \alpha \theta \epsilon i \nu$.

1104

 blood-drop, leaving a pallid hue, has fled to my heart, which same drop, when ebbing from a fatal wound, sinks together with the rays of setting life.' Admitting with Klausen and Peile, G. Dindorf's correction kaupla for kal $\delta o \rho l a, \delta \omega p i a$, or Sipla, we may fairly extract from the above literal version the more simple sense, 'I grow pale and faint with fear by the blood running to my heart, like one who dies when the blood ebbs through a wound.' A distinction is drawn between mere fainting, and the pallor and collapse of death.-raıpla, see inf. 1315.-दुvyavícel, used in a neuter sense, or with Éavт $\grave{y}$ understood, presents no difficulty. Cf. sup. 93. 101. Hermann compares Soph. Phil. 720, єن̇ठal $\mu \omega \nu$ àvv́vєi кal

 certainly close at hand.
1096. $\mu \in \lambda a \gamma \kappa \in ́ \rho \varphi$. So Hermann and Klausen rightly read, and explain it, in continuation of the simile, of the hom, the instrument of death. The periphrasis may be compared with i $\chi \theta v \beta$ ónos $\mu \eta \chi$ avi for ' a trident,' Theb. 122. The meaning is, therefore, 'Beware lest the cow should
gore the bull.' The Med. gives the dative, the other MSS. $\mu \in \lambda \alpha \gamma \kappa \epsilon$ f $\rho \omega \nu$ or $\mu \in \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \rho \omega \nu$ with the Schol. Med. But this leaves $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta \mu a \pi \iota$ to stand alone, which it cannot do without taking the forced sense of ' a crafty contrivance.'
 added by Schütz.
1102. $\sigma \tau \notin \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau a L$. Hermann reads $\tau \in \lambda \lambda \in \tau a r$. One would have thought that $\sigma \tau$ éd $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ was sufficiently appropriate to oracles and warnings sent from the gods.
1103. $\theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \leftarrow \varphi \delta \delta \nu . \phi \delta \beta o \nu$, 'oracular fear,' or fear from oracles. Hermann calls this "ineptum," and reads $\theta \in \sigma \pi \leftarrow \stackrel{\omega}{5} \sigma l$, which leaves $\phi \delta \beta$ ov without an epithet.- $\delta i a d$ нarêv, 'through evils,' viz. by gloomy and threatening portents, arousing men's superstitious dread. Cf. Trach. 1131,
 seems added in the usual expletive way for $\omega_{\sigma \tau \epsilon} \mu u \theta \in i v$, 'bring nothing but fear to learn,' viz. from their obscure language. Hermann understands 'intelligentiam timoris afferunt,' i. e. 'faciunt ut quis, quid significaverit timor, ipso eventu malorum intelligat.' Schol. Med.


 $\pi \circ \hat{\imath} \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \delta \in \hat{v} \rho o \tau \grave{\rho} \nu \tau a ́ \lambda a \iota \nu a \nu \eta{ }^{\eta} \gamma a \gamma \epsilon s$

 $\sigma \tau \rho . \dot{\eta}$. фi $\delta^{3}$ avitâs $\theta \rho o \in i ̂ s$ 1110

ảкó $\rho \in \tau о s$ ßoâs, фєर̂ тa入aívaus фрєбì



$\stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \tau . \zeta^{\prime}$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i ß a \lambda o \nu ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ o i ~ \pi \tau \epsilon \rho о ф o ́ \rho o \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \mu a s ~$
1116



$\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \tau . \dot{\eta}$.
 give $\theta \rho 0 \hat{}$ - ė $\pi \varepsilon \gamma \chi^{\text {éa } \alpha \sigma \alpha \text {, which cannot be }}$ reconciled with the antistrophe. Both Hermann and Franz have hit upon the same emendation, which is evidently true. Cassandra addresses the chorus, and says that in speaking of Agamemnon's sufferings they at the same time mention hers, which are mixed up with them, for that they will die together. Such expressions on the part of the chorus as $\tau a x \in i a \delta^{\prime}$
 terpretation, for they are by this time convinced that some calamity awaits Agamemnon (1100).
 In either case Agamemnon, not Apollo, seems to be meant. The interrogation is wrongly placed after this verb, the sense being, 'To what place have you brought me for no other purpose than to die with
 it not so ?' Cf. inf. 1210.
1113. "I $\tau v y$ "I $\tau v \nu$. " Imitatio est vocis lusciniae, et pro adverbio construitur cum бтéyouaa, i. e. Ityn Ityn clamitando gemens aflluentem malis vitam." Hermann.
1116. тєр! $\beta \alpha \lambda o v$. The Med. gives $\pi \in \rho \in \beta \dot{d} \lambda о \nu \tau \sigma$. The other MSS. have $\pi \in \rho t-$ Ba, bovtes. The middle voice of this verb $^{\text {a }}$ having a distinct meaning, ' to clothe oneself,' ' to put on,' and besides, not suiting the strophic metre, it seems best, with Franz and Blomfield, to adopt the active
form. Klausen and Hermann interpret (as we have elsewhere seen the middle voice to mean, e. g. Theb. 597, Pers. 140), 'the gods have had her clothed in a winged body;' but this, for the reason just given, could scarcely be approved, even if it satisfied the metre as well as $\pi \in \rho!$ Baлov. In fact, the variants -ovio and - $\delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ only shew that a termination was added to the original -ov, after the strophic é éc $\gamma^{\text {éas }}$ had been wrongly
 the form $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \beta-$ for $\pi \in \rho \ell \beta$-, it seems unsafe to admit a comic usage into the Greek of Aeschylus, though in Eum. 604 the Med. and the Schol. agree in $\pi \in \rho \in \sigma \pi \hbar$ $\nu \omega \sigma \in \nu$.
1117. $\kappa \lambda \alpha u \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$ át $\tau \in p$. This seems at first sight to contradict v. 1112, $\tau a \lambda a l v a i s$ $\phi \rho \in \sigma l \nu \sigma \tau \in ́ v o v \sigma a$. There are several ways of explaining the apparent discrepancy. Cassandra may mean, that the nightingale's griefs are no griefs compared to hers. Or that the wailing of the bird is unconscious,-the mere unreasoning repetition of a note of woe. Or lastly, as Hermann thinks, к入aú $\mu a \tau a$ may be understood "non de cantu lusciniae, sed de malis quae lugenda sunt."
1119. $\theta \in o \phi b \rho o u s \tau^{2}$. The $\tau \in$ would perhaps be better omitted. See on Suppl. 283. Thus $\pi \delta \theta \in \nu$ Ė $\pi เ \sigma \sigma$ ט́tous would be construed together, 'coming upon you from what source?'

## mazaiovs סv́as,

$\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\circ}$ èmiфоßa $\delta v \sigma \phi a ́ \pi \omega ~ к \lambda a \gamma \gamma \hat{a}$

 какорри́норая;
$K A$.
¿̀̀ $\gamma \alpha ́ \mu o t, \gamma \alpha ́ \mu o \iota$
$\sigma \tau \rho . \theta^{\prime}$.
Пápıסos, ỏ̀éधpıo фí $\lambda \omega \nu$.
1120


${ }_{\eta} \nu \nu \tau о ́ \mu \alpha \nu \quad \tau \rho о ф \alpha i \varsigma^{-}$



 $\pi \epsilon ́ \epsilon \lambda \eta \gamma \mu a \iota \delta^{\circ}$ viтaì $\delta \eta^{\eta} \gamma \mu a \tau \iota$ фоıví $\varphi$,
 $\theta a v ̃ \mu a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \mu o ̀ ~ к \lambda v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$.
$\dot{a} \nu \tau . \theta^{\prime}$.
$K A$. ī $\pi$ тóvou, $\pi$ óvot

1120. Mataious, 'groundless,' without any visible cause or motive. Compare Cho. 280, $\mu$ úqutos éк vuкт $\hat{\nu} \nu$ фéßos.
 up with shrill cries and intonations as if you were singing the kind of music called the orthian strain (Pers. 391. Herod. i. 24).-тd̀ è $\pi l(\phi \circ \beta a$, 'these fearful sounds.' See on Pers. 749. Dr. Peile, who is apt to be toe verbose, and so to lose sight of poetry while he aims at extreme grammatical accuracy, meedlessly translates ' everything that is terrible.'
1123. \%pous. The notion of a road or course naturally implies a boundary or inclosing limit, especially to the mind of the Greek, to whom the expression ${ }^{\ell} \xi \omega$ $\delta \rho \delta \mu o v$ фé $\rho \in \sigma \theta a t$ was so familiar. Com-

 Ol. viii. 92.
 Bpotồ Franz. \&̂ $\kappa \lambda \lambda \dot{\sim} \omega \nu \mu d \theta o l$ Dind, кal
 of this sort, where every critic has his own peculiar nostrum, it is best to give the
rulgate with the mark of a doubtful reading. Not that the verse is necessarily wrong. See on 535.-The chorus, hitherto slow in comprehending Cassandra's meaning, is now startled by the plainness of her language. But she had as dis-
 $\mu i \mu \nu \in \iota \sigma \chi \tau \mu \mu \delta s$. 1118, and they had not believed her. The reference to the fatal marriage of Paris, as the cause of her approaching end (1126), seems to open their eyes more clearly.


 sen, who vegards únai-as adverbially added, 'infra,' ' sub pectore,' might have quoted
 $\lambda o \beta \delta \nu$. The sense however is, ' I have received a blow through (or from) a bloody bite,'-a bite to the quick, a sting to the heart. Cf. крд́тоs карбь́бопктоу inf. 1447. - $\theta a \dot{u} \mu a \gamma^{\prime}$ is the reading of the MS. Farn. for $\theta p a \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{ar}$ '. The latter seems an instance of a very common error. See on Prom. 2, sup. 297.
ì $\pi \rho o ́ \pi v \rho \gamma o \iota$. $\theta v \sigma i ́ a \iota ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s, ~$




 $\kappa а i ́ \tau i s ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ к а к о ф р о \nu \omega ิ \nu ~ \tau i \theta \eta-$
 the walls,' viz. that they might hold out,
 'afforded;' cf. Pind. Nem. vi. 63.- $\boldsymbol{\text { c }}$ $\mu \bar{\eta}, \sup .15 .552$. - $\$ \sigma \pi \in \rho$ oiv, ' as in fact,' -ef. inf. 1400. Cho. 88. 874. Ajac. 991.
1143. $\beta a \lambda \hat{\omega}$. Harsh as is the ellipse of feacutìv, it is in great measure defended by the intransitive use of póntelv, léval, uínted, \&c. See on Suppl. 541. Monk on Alcest. 922. For the compound $\theta \varepsilon \rho$ $\mu \delta{ }^{2} 0 \mathrm{os}, \mathrm{cf}$. $\phi$ aitopopous inf. 1200, and for the sense, sup. 1001. Cassandra appears to mean, that her own fall will soon follow upon that of the city,-hence $\bar{\epsilon} y$ $\pi \epsilon \delta \delta \varphi$

 for ${ }^{\bar{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\phi} \eta \mu i \sigma \omega$, not only because the $\bar{\epsilon} \pi l$ is singularly appropriate to the idea of a second declaration, over and above the former, which is described by the simple é $\dot{\eta} \eta \mu i \sigma \omega$ in the strophic verse,-but because it appears a better metrical correction than $\pi \rho o t \in ́ \rho o \iota \sigma t$, which editors have adopted from Pauw. The error probably arose from wrongly dividing the words

 $\epsilon \emptyset \eta \mu i \zeta_{\epsilon \tau 0}$ in Herod. iii. 124.- $\epsilon \pi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a$, 'consistent with.'
1145. какофроуติv. So Schätz for каil какофроуєî̀. -For üтєр $\theta_{\in \nu}$ Bapùs I am responsible. The MSS. give $\psi \pi \in p \beta a p \nmid s$, which cannot be reconciled with the
strophic $\mathbf{v}$. 1134. The idea is that of a demon leaping down from above, of which figure Aeschylus is fond, as remarked on Pers. 518. Klausen adds Oed. R. 1300. Antig. 1347. The chorus again (cf. 1009) begin to doubt of her sanity, and to question whether her words are anything more than the ravings of a distempered imagination. At this incredulity Cassandra is hurt, and proceeds to declare that she will reveal family secrets without enigmas (1154), which will convince them she is not the vagabond impostor she has generally been considered (1166).
1149. каì $\mu \bar{\eta} \nu$, 'well then,' sc. єi $\tau$ '́f $\mu a$
 behind a veil. Thus the plain and naked truth is $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa a l$ к $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi o \nu \tau \alpha$, Cho. 829. Iph. Taur. 372, $\lambda \in \pi \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\circ} \mu \mu a \delta_{\iota}$ к̀ к $\lambda \lambda \mu$ $\mu \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ ËXovaa, said of the young bride. In the following lines the metaphor is entirely changed, and borrowed from a clear and brisk wind, which, while it sweeps away the clouds from the sky, at the same time makes the waves heave and roll like dark mountain-masses against the bright horizon. Thus both $\lambda a \mu \pi j \rho \partial s$ and $\pi \rho \partial s$ aujòs are ingeniously applied to the increasing clearness of the hitherto dark and obscure prophecies. - $\kappa \lambda \dot{\sigma} \zeta \epsilon L \nu$, for $\kappa \lambda \dot{\kappa} \omega \nu$, is the correction of Auratus.тои̂̃e $\pi$ 亿иatos $\mu \epsilon \hat{t} \zeta_{0}$, the murder of Agamemnon, greater than the griefs of myself and my native city.

#   <br> ค́ $\nu \eta \lambda a \tau o v ́ \sigma \eta ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu e ́ v \omega \nu$.          <br> $\vec{\eta} \psi \in v \delta o ́ \mu a \nu \tau i s ~ \epsilon i ́ \mu \iota ~ \theta v \rho o \kappa o ́ \pi o s ~ \phi \lambda є ́ \delta ́ \omega \nu ~ ; ~$  

1155. $\mu \alpha \rho \tau u \rho \in i ̂ \tau \epsilon \sigma v \nu \delta \rho \delta \mu \omega s$. 'Bear witness, while you run along with me in the chase, that I am scenting the footsteps of evils long ago perpetrated in the family.' For the metaphor compare sup. 1062,


1157 seqq. The real cause of all the woe, past, present, and to come, is the curse inherent in the house of Atreus. This idea is very finely expressed by the simile of a $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu o s$, or drinking party, glutted with blood, not drunk with wine, remaining in the house, instead of turning out for the usual midnight revel or serenade, and singing as a chaunt the accursed Thyestean banquet, instead of the merry notes of a $\sigma \kappa \delta{ }^{\prime} \lambda i o y$ or drinking song. Thus every word has a peculiar significance, as was first pointed out by Dr. Donaldson (Theatre of the Greeks, p. 51, ed. 5). This chorus is $\xi \dot{\xi} \mu \phi \theta 0 \gamma \gamma o s$, but not $\epsilon \tilde{u}-$ $\phi \omega y o s$, singing in concert and harmony, but not in a pleasing strain.
1163. it $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \rho \in \epsilon$, 'in turn,' as the members of a tragic chorus were wont to repeat alternate sentences, e. g. Eum. 138 seqq.
 original family crime, was the slaughter of Thyestes' children by his brother Atreus as a punishment for adultery with his wife. Hence the bed of Atreus is called hostile or inexorable to him who unlawfully ascended it. Perhaps however matetiv is here used in the common sense 'to despise the sanctity of a thing,' and therefore to violate it. What the Furies loath is not
the adultery itself, but the vengeance taken for it. Hence $\delta u \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\operatorname{cis}}$ agrees with $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu \mathrm{d}$, not with 'Epıvés.
1165. кчрڤ̄ тi. So Franz, after H. L. Ahrens, for $\tau \eta \rho \bar{\omega} \tau t$. Most editors adopt $\theta_{\eta \rho \omega} \tau t$ from Canter; but $\tau$ and $\theta$ are very rarely confused, while $\eta$ and $v$ are constantly so. Besides, $\kappa v \rho \bar{\omega}$, as a synonym of $\tau v \gamma \chi^{\alpha} \nu \omega$, seems the better word of the two.
1166. $\phi \lambda \in ́ \delta \omega \nu$. 'Or am I (as people say) a false prophet, a vain babbler begging from door to door ?' Such were the fortune-tellers and oracle-mongers, $\chi \rho \eta \sigma$ $\mu 0 \lambda \delta$ रoı, whose character is so well known from Aristophanes, and who used to go about levying contributions on the credulous and the wealthy. Klausen well,refers to Plato de Rep. ii. 364, c, à $\gamma \dot{v} p$ тa.

 Hermann for $\tau$ ́ $\mu^{\prime}$ eitíéval. One cannot doubt of the truth of the emendation, for thus alone $\lambda 6 \gamma \varphi$ acquires a consistent meaning. 'Bear witness to me when I am gone, by swearing before-hand that I know not merely by hearsay the ancient crimes of this house.' That is, swear to me now, when I am present to hear the testimony, that I have a supernatural knowledge, in order that, when the predicted events have come to pass, you may remember it was no vain trifler who told you. "Verbum ék $\kappa \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \eta \sigma o \nu$, quod proprie de teştimonio absentis dicitur, sic accipiendum est ut sit de absente, quum

 $\pi a \iota \omega ́ \nu \iota o \nu ~ \gamma$ ย́voıтo ; $\theta a \nu \mu a ́ \zeta \omega ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \sigma o v, ~$ $\pi o ́ v \tau o v ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu ~ \tau \rho a \phi \epsilon і ̈ \sigma a \nu ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda o ́ \theta \rho o v \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~$ $\kappa v \rho \epsilon i ̀ ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma o v \sigma a \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\circ} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \in i \quad \pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \epsilon \iota$.
KA. $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \tau \varsigma \mu^{\prime \prime} A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$.








mortua ero, testare." Hermann. Cf

 testified, in the absence of the principal agents, to the murder of Agamemnon in the bath.'
1169. $\pi \hat{\eta} \gamma \mu a$. So Auratus for $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$.
 bprous. The sense is, 'And what good could an oath do, however solemnly ratified?' That is, If evils are to happen, and you are what you profess to be, a true prophetess, how could my taking an oath prevent or remedy them? Some would
 MS. Farn. But the adjective seems to agree, by a kind of attraction, with the word in apposition. Hermann translates ral $\pi$ कิs t̀v atque utinam, but kal seems foreign to this idiom, nor is the sense better than, if so good as, the simple and literal way.
1170. $\operatorname{\theta av\mu áS\omega ~\sigma ov.~'But~I~am~amazed~}$ at you, that, brought up beyond the sea, you should rightly describe a strange city, just as if you had been all the while there.' Cassandra is most anxious to obtain some credit for her veracity. The chorus, though they will not swear to her not being an impostor, concede to her the credit of knowing past events, which have happened at a distance, with a marvellous and supernatural accuracy. The same proof of omniscience is given by Prometheus, Prom. 843 seqq.
1173 seqq. 'It was Apollo who ap-
pointed me to the office of a prophetess.' -' Through a god, smitten with love for you ?' -' Hitherto I have felt shame in confessing it.'-' Every one feels greater delicacy when in prosperity.'--' Well then, he was a lover, and a devoted one.''Had you children in wedlock?'-'I broke my plighted faith to Loxias.' 'After he had inspired you?'-'Yes.' 'How did you escape his vengeance?' -- He caused me to be disbelieved every where, after I had committed that error.' -"We at all events do not disbelieve you.' In this dialogue the MSS. assign to Cassandra the two continuous verses
 chorus $\mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ral —, $\dot{a} \beta \rho \dot{\nu} \nu \in \tau a s ~ —, ~ a n d ~$ Klausen so edits the passage. Common sense shews that Hermann has rightly distributed the verses as in the text; and he has been followed by all the other editors.
1177. ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda^{2}$ 咅 $\nu \pi a \lambda a L \sigma \tau$ 分s. I believe the above version gives the meaning of this verse correctly.' See the commentators on Theocr. vi. $125, \epsilon \hat{i} s \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi l \tau \bar{a} \sigma \delta \epsilon$,
 the use of $\pi \nu \in \hat{\nu}$, , peculiar to love, see Suppl. 17.
1178. $\nu 6 \mu \omega$. By regular and legitimate marriage, i. e. not by stealth, nor merely as a $\pi a \lambda \lambda a \mu$, but as a wife. For in the
 sisted the real office of the latter as distinct from the former. Cf. Herc. Fur.
 $\nu \not\langle\mu o s, \xi ้ v \eta ิ \psi a \nu ;$



KA．iov ìv，ڤे ڤ̀ какá．
 $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \beta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}, \tau \alpha \rho \dot{\rho} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ фроцці́o兀＊＊＊．
 $\nu$ е́ovs，òvєípшv тробфєрєis $\mu о \rho \phi \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ； $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ Өavóv $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{s} \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \grave{i} \pi \rho \grave{s} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ фì $\lambda \omega \nu$, 1190







 oủk oî $\delta \epsilon \nu$ oîa $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha \alpha \mu \sigma \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta \mathrm{\xi} \kappa v \nu$ òs



1182．Évatos．So Canter for àaктos． The penalty of her deceit（whether refusal or faithlessness）was the loss of credit as a seer，and the exposure to ridicule conse－ quent upon it．See inf．1242．Virg．Aen． ii．246，＇Tunc etiam fatis aperit Cas－ sandra futuris Ora，dei jussu non unquam credita Teucris．＇Ib．iii．187，＇aut quem tum vates Cassandra moveret？＇

1187．$\sigma \tau$ ．poßei．See on 640．After $\phi \rho o i \mu i o s=$ the MSS．add the corrupt word
 Suppl 488．Theb．389．Cho．760．As the true reading cannot be ascertained，it is better to mark a lacuna than to supply a conjectural word．
 are out of their natural order，$\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \in \rho \in l$ тaîठes，＇as it were children，＇＇forms like children．＇So Theb．755，как $\omega \hat{\nu} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Xi} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\theta d \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \kappa \hat{u} \mu{ }^{3}{ }^{2} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ ．That this is the true construction of the verse，I long ago pointed out，and Hermann takes the same view．Others attempt to explain ©omepel өavovtes or $\dot{\omega} s \pi \in \rho \in l \pi \rho \partial s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ ．It
is a grand idea，though a horrible one，to conceive the murdered infants passing in a spectral procession with their own bowels in their bands．See sup． 1065.

1194．Éк $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ ．＇In consequence of this，＇i．e．to avenge their death．See 850，and inf．1570，1581．The 入éav G$\downarrow$ a入kts，Aegisthus，is here opposed to the $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu \in \dot{\gamma} \gamma \in \nu$ गेs，Agamemnon，inf． 1230. See on 800.

1196．ol̆ $\mu o \iota$ ．Perhaps oī $\mu \alpha$, ironically， ＇forsooth．＇

1197．фє́pєьン $\gamma$ d́p．‘I say $\delta \in \sigma \pi \delta r \eta s$ ， for，\＆c．
 See Pers． 329.

1199．$\mu \sigma \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \eta s$ ．So $I$ have given for $\mu \iota \sigma \eta \tau \eta \mathrm{\eta}$, according to the distinction laid down by Meineke，Frag．Com．Graec．i．－ ii．，p．202，between $\mu l \sigma \eta \tau o s$ lewd，and $\mu \iota \sigma \eta \tau \delta s$ hated or hateful．Compare $\mu t-$ oŋvía，lust，Ar．Plut．989．Av． 1620.

1201．тєv́getal．From тur才dעw，as
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \mathfrak{c} \alpha \sigma \alpha$ she means the dissembled address


#### Abstract

  $\tau \cup ́ \chi o \iota \mu^{\prime}$ ä $\nu ; \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i \sigma \beta \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \nu, \vec{\eta}$ ミ' $\kappa u ́ \lambda \lambda a \nu \tau \iota \nu \grave{\alpha}$     ठокєî ठ̀̀ $\chi$ аí $\epsilon \iota \nu \nu о \sigma \tau i \mu \varphi ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a . ~ . ~$ $\kappa а i ̀ \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mu \circ \iota o \nu \epsilon i ้ \tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon i \theta \omega \cdot \tau i ́ \gamma \alpha ́ \rho ;$ 1210  


to the King, sup. 829-886; which is indeed clear by the coincidence of éncti-
 $\tau \in \ell \xi \in \tau a, t(\alpha \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$, i. e. she will attain or execute what she implied and had at heart in that speech, the murder of her husband. Of course, the real subject is not $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha$, but $\kappa \dot{v} \omega \nu$, as if she had said,
 Tu $\chi \eta$, 'with an evil success,' opposed to the usual formula in commencing any project, ${ }^{\prime} \pi^{3} \dot{a} \gamma a \theta \hat{p} ~ T u \chi \chi$. Hermann translates, sorte qua non debebat. It is not improbable that the poet wrote какŋ̆ $\tau \in ́ \chi \nu \eta$.
1202. ө̄̄גus. Cf. Iph. Taur. 621,

1204. à $\mu \phi i \sigma \beta a t \nu a \nu$. A species of snake, really harmless, but regarded, like the $\mu$ úposva (Cho. 981), with horror by the Greeks. These snakes are known as double-walkers, from their faculty of moving either backwards or forwards.Zuúd入ay, the Homeric Scylla (Od. xii. 85-100), which doubtless took its origin from the huge and ungainly cuttle-fish seen by early navigators in the straits of Messina, where they are said to be quite large enough to entangle and drown a man (Johnson's Conchology, p. 15).
 sen thinks this descriptive of Scylla, as the canse or mother of death. But this detracts much from the force of the expression as an epithet of Clytemnestra. Translate, "the raging mother of Death, and breathing an implacable curse against her own friends (relatives).' Properly, $\pi \nu \in \hat{i} \nu$ àpd̀ $\nu$, is 'to blow a curse,' i. e. the gale of a curse. This is a constant
figure with Aeschylus, and wherever used it is rather to be understood of wind than merely of spirit or disposition. So $\pi \nu \varepsilon$ ì
 $\phi \delta \beta o v$, Eum. 804, Cho. 30, \&c., and
 talav (aüpav), sup. 212. Klausen is not justified in saying " non potest ảpà̀ pen-
 Most of the commentators needlessly adopt "A $A \eta$ from the obvious conjecture of Butler and others. But áatonסov equally well applies to àpà, implying that it cannot be appeased or averted by any libations, sup. 69.
 she raised a shout of joy!' viz. at 570
 preted, 'as if at the moment of gaining a victory.' But I am not sure that the sense is not rather, ' on the plea of 'a victory just gained,' i. e. through pretended joy at the capture of Troy. This is confirmed by the following verse.ठокеî रaípєı, cf. sup. 770.
1210. ${ }^{\mu} \mu$ otov. 'It is all one whether you believe me or not; the future will come in either case alike.' That is, I care not whether I am still regarded 'as a $\psi$ evod $\delta-$ $\mu a \nu \tau t s$, for time will prove that I speak the truth. This it is which rankles in her mind continually, and embitters all her predictions. See on 1182 . Inf. 1374,
 $-\tau \mathfrak{\ell}$ वap; ' how should it be otherwise ?' Cf. 1108.
1211. $\sigma \dot{v} \mu^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon} \nu$. So Canter for $\sigma \dot{\nu} \mu \not{ }^{h} \nu$. On the $\gamma \in$ after $\alpha \quad \gamma \alpha$, , which is needlessly, nitt to say wrongly, omitted by Hermann and others, see Suppl. 698.











1215. ả $\lambda \eta \theta$ ŵs. Not to be taken with $\kappa \lambda$ doyta, but referring to some participle understood, like $\epsilon i p \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a$. For $\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \eta \eta$ are opposed to $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ é $\bar{\xi} \eta \kappa \alpha \sigma \mu \hat{v} \nu a$, -reality to mere semblance. So Lucian, speaking of the sculptured marriage of Paris, p. 836,
 रá $\mu o \nu$. Similarly in Cho. 426, тд $\pi \hat{a} \nu$

 $\mu o v$, see on Cho. 1011.

1217-26. 'I tell you, you shall see the death of Agamemnon.'-‘Hush !'-_' This is no paean, that you should ask for good words.' - 'Perbaps not; if his death shall be close at hand; but we pray it may not happen yet.' - While you are praying, others are effecting it.'-' What man can be so wicked ?'-'You must have greatly misunderstood my predictions.' - ' For I do not comprehend how his death is to be compassed.'-'And yet I speak Greek but too well.'-' 'The Pythian oracles are in Greek, but still they are not clear.'
1219. חacov. There is a play on the double sense of a paean and the god of heating. . For in singing a paean, only grood and well-omened words were allowed; hence $\pi \alpha \iota a ̂ \nu^{\prime}$ е $\pi \epsilon \nu ф \eta ̆ \mu \eta \sigma \in \nu$, Frag. 281, 3. But $\pi$ aich or $\pi \alpha i a ̀$, as the god
 hand, when his aid is available in any urgent circumstances. On the form of the word see Pers. 607.
 for $\pi \omega$ s on what appear the clear requirements of the passage. For $\in i=\pi \alpha \tilde{\rho} \sigma \tau a$, for which Hermann and Dindorf give eोтєр Évтau with Schütz, implies that the $\mu \delta \rho o s$ spoken of by Cassandra might perhaps be close at hand, as indeed it really
was. But the chorus, thinking it only means the natural death, not the murder, adds, 'but may it not happen yet.' To which the next verse affords a consistent reply, ' While you are praying that it may not happen yet, others are taking care that it should happen now.'
1222. azos. Herm., Dind., Blomf. give ${ }^{\circ}$ yos with Auratus, but the change seems needless, for axos often means 'a cause of grief,' and indirectly 'a crime,' as inf. 1456. 1557.
1223. t̀ $\pi а р є \sigma \kappa \delta \pi є \iota s . ~ ' Y o u ~ m u s t ~$ have looked quite aside of,' viz. not straightly and rightly at, 'my prophecy,' so as entirely to miss the point of it, if you thought it was a man (and not a woman) who was to do the deed. Hermann and Klausen (independently, it would seem, for the edition of the latter scholar is no where noticed in the commentary of the former) give $a \bar{\delta}$ for $\bar{t} \nu$, ' you have a second time missed my meaning,' i. u. in not seeing first that it was Agamemnon who was to be murdered, secondly, that Clytemnestra was to be the murderess. But both render the imperfect by aberrasti, which is evidently inaccurate. Franz also edits az. Peile adopts Canter's correction ápà , which he renders 'Truly you were paying little attention indeed to the curse of my oracles.' But the rulgate is fully capable of defence. The genitive depends on the sense of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau d \nu \varepsilon \epsilon \nu$, to see wrongly' being the same as 'to miss seeing.' Compare тapaкоиєє $\nu$, тарорâ $\nu$, $\pi a p a \iota \sigma \theta d \nu \in \sigma \theta a t$. And for the use of $\ddagger \nu$, where the condition is regarded as ful:





Xо. каї $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi v \theta$ о́к $\rho \alpha \nu \tau \alpha, \delta v \sigma \mu a \theta \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \varsigma$.
KA. $\pi a \pi \alpha \hat{\imath}$ oîò $\tau \grave{̀} \pi \hat{\imath} \rho \cdot$ è $\pi \epsilon \in \rho \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \mu о \iota$.





 द̇ $\mu \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ à $\gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ à $\nu \tau \iota \tau i \sigma a \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ фóvov.

$\kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma \kappa \eta ̂ \pi \tau \rho a$ каі̀ $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon i ̂ a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \delta \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi \eta$;
$\sigma \grave{\varepsilon} \mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \mu o i ́ p a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu \hat{S} \delta \delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$.



 man have been for whom Ulysses sailed in person?' Oed. R. 523, $亠 \lambda \lambda \lambda^{3} \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$

1227. Hermann gives $\tau \delta \delta^{\circ}$ olov $\pi \hat{v} \rho$, for the vulgate, which Klausen rightly calls 'mirus versus,' but wrongly denies to be a senarius at all. For there is no doubt that the first syllable of oios might be short, as it frequently is in toloùtos. See on Suppl. 888. The hiatus after $\pi \alpha \pi \alpha$ is an objection, but one which is in great measure answered by a double instance in the very next verse, ot ह่ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$, é $\gamma \omega$. Din-
 $\tau 6 \delta \mathrm{E}$, which is altering what every one allowed to be sound, and retaining what many believed to be corrupt.
1232. Èv多 $\sigma \in!\nu$. So Hermann with the Farnese MS. The common reading is Evefoces, which is good in itself, but leaves an abruptness in the next verse which is doubtfully remedied by adding cal with Dindorf, kà $\pi \in \dot{U} \chi \in \tau a t$. Klausen defends this very abruptness on the plea of impassioned language, and thinks that by
 frangitur orationis vis." But Hermann well observes, that the verse ${ }_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{A}} \mu \hat{\eta} s$ à $\gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ к.т.ג. is in fact an explanation of $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{u}$ $\mu \sigma \theta \delta \nu$. For these words are in themselves ambiguous, and might mean either
' pay for me' (to another), or ' retaliation on me' (personally). Translate, 'And like one mixing a potion, she declares that she will add to the cup of wrath ' (that already in store for her husband for the death of Iphigenia) 'a requital for me also, while she whets the sword against her lord to repay him with murder for bringing me here.' Dr. Peile retains the vulgate, but translates rather fancifully, 'she is exulting in the thought that the return she makes for bringing me here is -death!' On the construction of à $\nu \tau$ lrifareal, which in fact governs three
 ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} s$ à $\gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s$, see Elmsley on Med. 256, and on Heracl. 852. The genitive however may depend on the mere notion of price or equivalence, and would stand even without $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i$ expressed.
1235. दُ $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ калаүÉ $\lambda \omega \tau^{3}$. Which have caused me to be despised and ridiculed as an impostor, inf. 1242.
1237. $\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \mu^{\prime} \nu$. She here dashes her staff to the ground, or perhaps, as Hermann supposes, some shred or article of her dress.
 rection, formerly proposed by me, is not given with the confidence of certainty, but as a not improbable restoration of a very dificult passage. It is deeply to be regretted that a single word in a speech so magnificent should be called in question;






but the MSS. are here clearly corrupt, ${ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$
 Klausen, Peile, and Blomfield adopt the conjecture of Jacobs, ǐ ${ }^{\prime}$ ' és $\phi \theta 6 \rho o \nu \pi \in \sigma \delta \nu \tau a$ $\gamma^{2} \cdot{ }_{\delta} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{2} \mu \epsilon i \psi o \mu \alpha$, , i. e. 'thus I will requite you with destruction for causing my woes.' But the $\gamma \in$, after all that can be said for it, appears intolerable; moreover, the same objection may be brought against it as against Hermann's far more elegant emendation, E' $^{2}{ }^{\omega} \delta^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu^{2}{ }^{2}$ É $\psi a \mu a l$ (admitted by Dind.), namely, that it does not account for the corruption ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma_{0} 0 \dot{\omega} \delta^{\circ}$. Now ${ }^{*} \gamma^{\prime} \mathcal{W}^{\circ} \delta^{\prime}$, which is addressed to an imaginary executioner, 'come here and take me off; I will follow,' would naturally be altered to ${ }_{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \stackrel{\tilde{\omega}}{ } \tilde{\delta}^{\prime}$, and thence to $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$, through the error of a transcriber who had his eye on the plural $\ddot{q}_{\tau \epsilon}$. Just so

 142.-Some details of this fine scene, as the commentators have remarked, have been copied by Euripides, Troad. 256 and 451.
 mon reading, is retained by. Klausen, Franz, and Dindorf; 'enrich some other bane instead of me.' Hermann, Peile, and Conington give ${ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \eta^{2}$, the conjecture
 Aesch. frag. 239, 'enrich another with calamity.' But, precisely on the same principle that a person is said to be a $\mu l \sigma \eta \mu a$ (Theb. 173. Eum. 73), 'an object of dislike,' Cassandra may here, in bitterness of heart, call herself an ${ }^{2} \tau \eta$, one who has been regarded as a cause of woe and evil by all who have had to do with her, (see v. 1102,)-this, in fact, being the very burden of her complaint throughout. Had the poet intended the meaning conveyed by Stanley's correction, he would probably have written đ̌̌aıs, as Schütz suggested. But he seems rather to have had in view material wealth. With $\pi \lambda a v-$

 $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ and the $\kappa \tilde{\delta} \sigma \mu 0$ immediately below
seems to shew that Cassandra was conspicuously attired. There is a reading given in Askew's margin, そ $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \tau \tau \nu^{\prime}$, $\mathfrak{Z} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu . \dot{a} \nu \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \nu \hat{v}$, which, with all deference to Blomfield, Peilé, and Conington, I still think appropriate, emphatic, and highly probable.
1240. Ė $\kappa \delta t \omega v$. The verb is omitted, exactly as above, v. 1065, because the prophetess fancies the actual presence of the god before her, in the act of stripping her of her attire;-'See, here is Apollo himself stripping me, \&c., and that too after he has coldly looked on while I have been undeservedly ( $\mu \dot{d} \tau \eta \nu$, Pers. 290) made a laughing-stock, even in this sacred dress, with my friends, by my enemies
 robopots she implies that what ought to have secured respect only added to the ridicule. The fondness of the poet for antithetical words (see 792) induced him
 the former referring to her friends and countrymen, the Trojans, the latter to her
 means, ' by one just as much as the other,' by all alike, without distinction or difference. Cf. Suppl. 599, ${ }^{6} \delta 0 \xi \in \in{ }^{3}$ 'Apyel-
 can hardly be regarded as identical with $\mu \in \tau \bar{a}$ фiliors (inter amicos, Klausen), the genitive signifying rather 'along with,' 'in common with,' viz. so that her friends, and family, and countrymen shared in the taunts and insults heaped upon the person of the prophetess. Peile, Franz, and Conington follow Hermann in reading
 $\theta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ for 'by friends, by foes,' and so also Wellauer, except that be construes $\dot{v} \pi \delta$
 who were unmistakeably enemies.' But, with Dindorf, I think the reading of the MSS. decidedly preferable.
1244. Here also there is some ambiguity as to the order of the words. Hermann understands $\tau$ dí $\alpha, \nu \alpha$ as said of her-







 $\mu \eta \tau \rho о к т о ́ \nu о \nu$ фі́тица, тоьขáтшр татро́s.





 Undoubtedy, tá $\lambda \alpha, \nu \alpha$ sounds weak as a mere nickname which she had to bear.

 fall into the error of making a daughter of Priam a half-starved vagrant even before her city was captured. It was not what she was, but what she was called, that these words were intended to express.
1246. èkт ${ }^{2} \dot{\xi} a s$. 'After having made me a prophetess.' The same god that inspired me has perfidiously led me into ruin. Some translate, 'having unmade me ;' others, 'having undone me,' or 'having revenged himself upon me;' but èkrpad $\sigma \epsilon \in \nu$ in Aeschylus invariably means 'to effect,' as Suppl. 95. Theb. 836. sup. 565.
1248. $\beta \omega \mu \hat{v} \pi a r \rho \psi{ }^{\prime} 0 v$, the altar in her father's house, at which it would have been better to be slain than to be butchered in a foreign land.- - ini $\xi \eta$ yov, ' a chop-ping-block,' Ar. Acharn. 317. The MSS. give $\dot{d} \nu \tau \epsilon \pi\{\xi \eta \eta \nu \nu$, corrected by Auratus.In the next verse kontions is the genitive absolute, by a common Aeschylean usage; see on Suppl. 437. Others have pro-
 $\mu a \tau t$, probably a technical word, which Klausen explains " mactatio que fit ante aram, ante focum." Yet in the passages he quotes, after Wellauer, Hec. 41. Iph. Taur. 458, it appears to mean 'a victim,' while Troad. 624, aiaî, $\tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \partial \nu, ~ \sigma \omega ̂ \nu ~ a ̀ \nu o-~$
 sense of 'slaughter.' In Alcest. 845,
 said of Death, the meaning seems to be 'the blood of the victims' (see Od. xi. passim)-- $\theta \in \rho \mu \hat{\oplus}$ alludes to the warm lifeblood, rather than to a reckless or revengeful blow.
1255. This verse is read in the MSS. after 1261. The restoration to its proper place was long ago made by Hermann. On the terms borrowed from the palaestra, ivrtiagua and $\kappa \in i \mu \in \nu o s$, see Suppl. 85. Eum. 560. 'The gods have sworn a great oath,' says Cassandra, who speaks with authority as an interpreter of the divine mind, "that the death of the father shall bring back the son from exile to avenge him.' On this oath, Klausen well observes, rests the positive obligation of Orestes in the Choephoroe to slay his mother at all hazards. Apollo has ordered it; and Apollo himself is but the $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \dot{\tau} \eta s$ $\Delta \iota \delta s$, Eum. 19.
1257. ко́тоькоs. Having a house to enter, while her countrymen are driven from their homes to die. The word is rare, but follows the ordinary meaning of катоккєì, 'to be a settler,' 'to take up one's abode in a place,' without reference to the notion of change implied in $\mu \in \tau-$ oккєiv. Hermann, Franz, and Dindorf give $\mu$ éтoıkos, a very improbable alteration, and one which seems to have arisen solely from a misapprehension of the sense. ' Why, asks Cassandra, 'should I live on here merely to lament, when my city has been destroyed, and the people who formerly occupied it have come off thus by
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ o v ~ ' I \lambda i ́ o v ~ \pi o ́ \lambda c \nu ~$
 oṽт $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ả $\pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ẻv $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \rho i \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ；

 à $\pi о \rho \rho v e ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ，oै $\mu \mu \alpha ~ \sigma \nu \mu \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \tau$ тó $\delta \epsilon$ ．





the judgment of the gods？Why should my lot be better than theirs？I will go， and dare to die．＇That of $\epsilon \bar{\chi} \chi o \nu \pi \delta \lambda u y$ refers to the conquered，not to the con－ querors，as sup．311，must be inferred from the addition of $\epsilon \nu \theta \in \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa \rho i \sigma \in \epsilon$ ，with which compare v．786，Sikas ouk à àd
 natum Ilium of Horace，Od．iii．3，23．）

1258．Tठ $\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o \nu . ~ I t ~ i s ~ d o u b t f u l ~ w h e-~$ ther this stands for $\mu \dot{\xi} y$ ，answered by $\delta \frac{1}{e}$ in of $\delta$ elxov $\pi \delta\langle\lambda \downarrow$ ，equivalent to $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu$

 ＇I saw from the first，＇i．e．as a prophetess． Perhaps the aorist $\pi \rho \alpha \xi a \sigma \alpha \nu$ is rather against the latter，though not conclusively so，since an action may be contemplated as already accomplished by a prescient mind．The reasons why she ought no longer to survive resolve themselves into two；（1）she has witnessed the fall of the city；（2）the inhabitants have been con－ demned and deserted by the gods，and are suffering death，captivity，or banishment． －For à $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \in \epsilon \nu$ in the intransitive sense compare Ar．Pac．568，艻 кал⿳亠二口今



 Е゙трєтє．

1261．$\pi \rho \alpha{ }_{2} \xi^{2} \omega$ ．This has reference to

 É $\pi \rho a \xi a \nu$ ．But $\pi \rho d \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega$ in its general sense is＇to fare，＇（as we say a person is doing
well or badly when he is prosperous or the reverse，）though，from the nature of the case，some adverb is almost invariably added to specify the kind and manner of
 бонаı к．т．ג．，but in defence of the vulgate we have two unequivocal examples in this
 inf．1647，$\pi \rho \bar{a} \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ ，$\pi เ a / \nu o v$, i．e．＇go on faring as you now fare，＇viz．prosperously， as the context shows．

1262．т $\alpha$＇́ $\delta \delta^{\circ}$ Ė $\gamma \omega$ ．So Canter for $\tau$ d̀s $\lambda$ д́ $\gamma \omega$ ．

1264．à $\sigma \phi$ d́ $\delta a \sigma \tau 05$ ．Photius $\sigma \phi a \delta a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \cdot$
 $\theta \nu \eta \sigma i \mu \omega \nu . \quad$ See inf．1359．Ajac．833，द̧ $\nu$


1268．$\theta \in \eta \lambda a ́ \tau o v . ~ A . ~ f a v o u r a b l e ~ o m e n, ~$ or the contrary，was derived from the manner in which the victim approached the altar．Tac．Hist．iii．56，＇accessit dirum omen，profugus altaribus taurus， disjecto sacrificii apparatu，longe，nec ubi feriri hostias mos est，confossus．＇
1270．хpóvò $\pi \lambda$ éc．＇Any longer．＇ This seems，on the whole，the simplest and best correction of $\chi \rho \delta \nu \varphi \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ，which probably arose from the common error of assimilating terminations．It has been adopted from Hermann＇s conjecture，in preference to $\chi \rho \delta \nu \varphi{ }^{\pi} \lambda^{\prime} \omega \nu$（Well．，Franz，
 Pauw）．Blomfield＇s reading，from Schütz， $\chi \rho \phi \nu 0 \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，if we interpret＇beyond，or more than，that of time＇（a temporary escape），has this advantage，that it makes $\chi p \dot{\nu}{ }^{\circ} \nu$ the prominent word，and so better





КА．ì̀，$\pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$, бо仑 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i ́ \omega \nu \tau \in ́ \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ ．

KA．$\phi \in \hat{v}, \phi \in \hat{v}$ ．




XO．ov̉ Zúpıò ả $\gamma \lambda a ́ \iota \sigma \mu a \quad \delta \omega ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.

 1285 ìे，द́v́vol．
suits the following verse，＇Yes，but he who comes last is first in point of time，＇ where there is a play on v゙atatos，and $\pi \rho \omega ̈ \tau o s$ implied in the verb．＂Est lusus aliquis in hac sententia ：quum alioquin is， qui ultimus adest，minimo colatur honore， in．temporis ratione hoc prorsus contrarie se habet．＂Klausen．For the construc－ tion the editors have compared Cho．620，
 is however a difference between the two passages，the present representing toî
 $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta l \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ É $\sigma \tau l$ ．The allusion seems to be to the Athenian custom of condemned persons drawing lots to decide who should die first；see Schol．on Ar．Pac．365，and compare Orest．789，$\tau \hat{\varphi} \chi \rho \delta \nu \varphi \delta \bar{\epsilon} \kappa \in \rho-$ $\delta a v \in i s$. Soph．El．1485，$\tau \mathfrak{l}$ үàp Bpotầ



1274－5．Prof．Conington has the credit of standing alone among recent editors in successfully defending the vulgate order of these verses．Even Klausen has trans－ posed them（with，of course，a change of the persons）after Heath．But the argu－ ment really runs thus：Cho．＇Well，you are at least a patient sufferer of resolute heart．＇Cas．＂These are not words that people hear when in prosperity．＇Cho． ＇Yet surely it is some gratification to
die reputably＇（i．e．as you are dying， with credit for your courage）．Cas．${ }^{6} \mathrm{My}$ poor father and his children died є̇к $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \hat{\omega} s$ ， as men say，but still I pity them．＇The verse ovidels àкoúc is an answer to the poor consolation of the chorus，＇You praise my courage in meeting death；but this could only be said of one who is about to die，and therefore not $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a i \mu \omega \nu . '$ It is entirely out of place to make Cassandra
 Bрот甲ิ．She holds no such magnanimous sentiments，but is throughout singularly afraid of death．We look for pathos，not chivalry，in the delineation of her cha－
 properly＇patient in consequence of an enduring spirit．＇So ḋпठ $\psi v \chi \eta ̂ s ~ к а к \eta ̂ s ~$ inf． 1621.

1277．\＄6ßos．Cassandra must be sup－ posed to have started or visibly shuddered， to call forth this question．

1281．kal $\pi \omega ิ{ }^{\circ}$ ；＇Indeed！There is no smell here but of sacrifice at the family altar，＇i．e．the $\kappa \nu i=\sigma \sigma a$ arising from the sheep which had been slaughtered at the altar of Zeìs Keñolos，sup．1005， 1024. The conception of the poet is extremely fine，that even the physical senses of the dying prophetess are supernaturally sharp－ ened to the presentiment of blood．






KA．

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v ̌ \sigma \tau a \tau o \nu ~ 申 \hat{\omega ิ s, ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ c ̇ \mu u i ̂ s ~ \tau \mu \mu a o ́ \rho o ı s ~}$

1287．$\delta$ varol $\omega$ ．Formed after the ana－
 this verb governs an accusative like most verbs expressing displeasure or grief．＇＇Tis not for nothing that I recoil through fear from the house，as a bird from a bush，＇－suspicious，that is，of a lurking snake，or birdlime．Others have quoted Shakespear，iii．Hen．VI．，act v．，sc．6， －The bird that hath been limed in a bush， With trembling wings misdoubteth every

 （ $\delta v \sigma \chi \in \rho a l \nu \in \iota$ Herm．），iñovoê．The word occurs Rhes． 724 and 805，$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu$ סט́б0tS o $\dot{v} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \hat{i}$ ovs $\delta \dot{\rho} \hat{a} \sigma a i ~ \tau \alpha \hat{d} \delta$ ，where it bears the sense of $\dot{v} \pi \sigma \pi \tau \epsilon \in \in \nu$. －そanas is Her－ mamn＇s necessary correction for ${ }^{3} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{2}$ d́s． For $\tau \delta 8 \varepsilon$ means this very fact，that her fears were not vaim．＇Bear witness of this for me，when a woman in place of me a woman shall have died，and a man （Aegisthus）in place of an unhappily wedded man（Agamemnon）shall have fallen．＇That is，Do not attribute my present terror to mere cowardice，when all the scenes of blood have been witnessed which I have foretold，and which make this palace a huinan slaughter－house．－
 the nominative，as some have supposed．
 on you to attest this to me now，as one about to die．＇Accordingly，the chorus acknowledge＇her prescience in the words $\theta \in \sigma \phi$ द́rov $\mu$ bpov．Just before，she had desired to be well－spoken of after death， $\theta a v o v \sigma \eta$ ．Now she wishes for a testimony （as sup．1168）in her favour while she is

 $\rho \in \sigma \theta a l$ ．The proper meaning must have been＇to get another to stand to you in the relation of $\xi$＇vos，or host，＇and thence
to appeal to him as a witness in your favour．For in the heroic ages，the re－ lation of a host to a guest，and vice versá， was more than a mere matter of friend－ ship，－it involved religious and legal ob： ligations of the highest kind，which were especially binding when claimed as a last request．
 for the tame and unmeaning $\hat{\beta} \sigma \sigma \nu \geqslant$ ， өf $\hat{\eta} \nu o u . ~ ' O n c e ~ m o r e ' ~(s a y s ~ C a s s a n d r a, ~$ who had already prepared to go，$\partial \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \in\{\mu$ ， 1284），＇I wish to utter dying words， though not as my own dirge，＇i．e．not uselessly to bewail my fate，bui for the purpose of invoking with my last breath a solemn imprecation on the heads of my murderers．Compare Suppl．108，乌ヘ̄əa $\gamma b o i s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \mu \bar{\omega}$ ．She is careful to specify où $\theta \rho \hat{p} \eta \nu \nu$ ，because the moralising in 1298 seqq．might have seerned to partake of this character．

1295．tô̂s èmồs tumadooss．＇And I pray to the sun，as I gaze on his last light， for my avengers（Orestes）to pay my hated murderers for the death of a slave，an in－ significant victim，at the same time，＇i．e． when they are exacting vengeance for the death of Agamemnon．Though this in－ terpretation is not free from serious diffi－ culties，it is perhaps on the whole more probable than the construction I formerly
 Opoîs фоעей̃т，тivetv $\delta \mu 0 \hat{v}$（ $\delta / \kappa ं \eta \nu$ ）тоîs
 pealing to this his last light，upon the heads of my hated murderers，that they may together（cf．Cho．548，880）pay satisfaction to my avengers．＇Nevertheless， an objection presents itself，which Klausen has noticed，but scarcely removed：the murderer is properly said $\tau \boldsymbol{\ell v \epsilon c \nu}$ סíknv to the avenger，not the avenger to the mur－ derer．The ellipse of $\delta \kappa \kappa \eta v$ or $\mu u \sigma \theta b y$ on

#      <br>  <br>  <br> $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \beta \rho о \tau$ ố $\sigma \iota \nu \cdot$ §актидофєікт $\omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ 

any view, is rather harsh, but perbaps the general notion of paying or requiticg (cf. 795) seemed to the poet sufficiently to convey the idea; and it is very probable that $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ was purposely avoided for the reason just given, viz. not to pervert an established legal phrase. In this case it will be best to take $\delta o b \lambda \eta s$ tavobons as the genitive of price -- ẹ̀ $\mu$ apoûs, 'a matter of indifference,' as Suppl. 333, i. ©. a death supposed to be of no consequence, as only that of a slave.
 reading of this much disputed passage is due to Professor Conington. The MSS. give $\sigma \kappa l a ́$ тts duчтрé $\psi \in t \in \nu$, which has been variously altered. Both Hermann and Boissonade perceiped that to this passage belongs the gloss of Photius, $\pi \rho$ équa $\tau$ -
 $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ confused sẹe on Suppl. 295. But none of the commentators had perceived that the meaning is, 'if prosperous, one may liken them to a sketch; but if unfortunate, a wet sponge by its application obliterates the painting.' The metaphor is from the outhines of a picture, before it is filled in with colours, the technical terms far which were anàे, $\sigma \kappa \hbar a \sigma \mu \alpha, \sigma_{k i \alpha-}$ रpaфeir (Lat. adumbrare). The sense then is, that prosperity is as fickle and easily changed-as the outline or cartoon of a picture, while adversity may be wiped out by one stroke, i. e. by death. Compare Eur. Hel. 262, ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }_{\xi}{ }_{\xi} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \phi \phi \in i \sigma^{\prime} \dot{\omega}_{s}$ $\chi_{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu a$. Frag. Pelei iy., $\tau \partial \nu \quad \gamma \lambda \beta o \nu$

 (Phil. Mus. Cant. i. p. 573), $\lambda \nu$ тирд̀и







words seem to shew that the idea is rather that of smearing over than wiping out the colours already laid on.
 from prosperity to adversity, which is Agamemnon's lot, more than the sudden extinction of misery by death, which is her own case. For she had before (1153) described the one as $\pi 0 \lambda \bar{v} \mu \in i \zeta \sigma \nu \quad \pi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ than the other. Ast remarks, on Plat. Resp. ii. § 11, "Saepenumero ồtos id quod primo loco dictum est, igitur nomen remotius respicit; éreivos vero ad id quod propius est refertur." There is a very similar sentiment in Troad. 634-7,

Cassandra now enters the palace, and is no more seen. And here ends the second part or act of the play.

1302 seqq. Men are never satiated with prosperity, and never shut their doors against it, saying, with a prudent conviction of its danger, Be off! I have had enough! Thus Agamemnon has attained the perilous height of honours as a vieter ; but if he should fall, to atone for former deaths by his own, who can say that he was born out of the reach of calamity?? -The above reflection (which is a repetition of that in 972 seqq.) prepares the reader for the scene which immediately follows. The preceding act has seen the king in his glory; this witnesses in his downfall the fulfilment of Cassandra's prophecy and the forebodings of the ghorus.
1303. $\delta \alpha \kappa т \nu \lambda o \delta \varepsilon \ell \kappa \tau \omega \nu$. The accent (MSS. - $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ) was altered by Schütz. 'No one forbidding (or perhaps, being weary of) it keeps it away from his house which is pointed at with the finger of envy, saying No longer came in here.' Blomf.

## oữıs à $\pi \epsilon \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon \grave{\prime} \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \dot{\rho} \omega \nu$ ，


and Dind．read $\delta$ aкти入 $\delta \delta \in \iota \kappa \tau o y$ ，in a bad sense，＇No one keeps away too great prosperity as a thing to be pointed at with the finger of scorn．＇But this gives an inferior sense，and the word is used in
 for＇attractive，＇＇commanding attention＇ （unless indeed it refers to the fingering of musicians）．Wealth or prosperity is here personified（as in the common allegory of Plutus）．Compare Pind．Pyth．v．init．
 olnov．Frag．Erechth．xx．13，т̀̀ үàp

 attributed to Homer，aủ $\alpha a \dot{l}$ àvaк $\lambda i \nu \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$
 bree appositely quotes Martial，i．26，5， －Ante fores stantem dubitas admittere famam？＇

1311．é $\pi$ ткраโעet．So Hermann for érıкрауєî．The MS．Farn．has tyay $\boldsymbol{e} \pi \iota \kappa \rho a \nu \in i ́$, a clumsy metrical attempt to complete a catalectic verse．Translate， ＇And if for those who are already dead＇ （viz．Iphigenia and the children of Thy－ estes）＇he himself by dying accomplishes the retribution of yet another death，＇\＆c．－ $\varepsilon_{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \quad \theta a \nu d \tau \omega \nu$ moivàs，the recompense or requital of（consisting in）another violent death，sc．his own．Cf．$\delta \in \sigma \pi о \tau \omega ิ \nu$ Өavá－ тоเテเข，Cho． 47.
 ing this，can declare that，being a mortal， he has been born with a lot exempt from harm ？＇The MSS．give Tis $\alpha \nu$ evt Bротй：Those who take є学aito in the sense of＇would pray，＇necessarily read tis $\dot{\alpha} \nu$ оv̀к к．т．$\lambda$ ．with Canter．Hermann，

Dindorf，and Ahrens give $\pi i_{s} \pi \sigma^{\prime}$ ta $\nu$ ev̈galto；The reading in the text，which is that adopted by Franz after Bothe， appears to me by much the best．＇If Agamemnon falls when he seems most prosperous，there is no such thing as secure bappiness in life．＇We have the aorist of $\epsilon \tilde{J} \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \downarrow$ in its primary sense of declaring or averring，in Od．xiv．463，
 Hermann and Klausen agree in rendering à $\sigma \nu \grave{\jmath} s \delta^{\prime \prime} \mu \omega \nu$ innoxius（securus）genius， which is right，if we understand it as given above，rather than as Peile takes it， ${ }^{6}$ an inoffensive（i．e．humble）lot．＇Cf．
 ＇the unharmed fortune of the city．＂

1314．$\check{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$ ．See on 1019．The use of E $\sigma \omega$ ，where no idea of motion inwards is implied，is perhaps sufficiently proved； and yet even，where it appears a mere synonym of év $\begin{gathered}\text { eno } \\ \text { or } \\ \epsilon \nu \tau \delta s, ~ i t ~ i s ~ n o t ~ d i f f i-~\end{gathered}$ cult to see that motion is in some way involved in the act．Thus $\mu \in ́ v \in a \nu$ elfo $\delta \delta \mu \omega \nu$ ，Theb．221，is really for ei $\lambda \theta \in i v$ és ס́fuous кal $\mu \in ́ v \in a \nu$ aủtoû．So Trach．866，
 valent to $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \in i$ गु $\chi o \nu$ elow．In the pre－ sent case，＇a blow within the body＇is a blow inflicted by steel thrust into it． Compare Ion 767，סıavtaîos Ëテvitev ỏ̀íva $\mu \in \pi \nu \in \nu \mu \delta \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ є $\sigma \omega$ ；Eur．El．1222，
 $\mu \in \theta \in i$ s．This indeed is more fully ex－ pressed in Il．xxi．116，＇A $\chi$ I $\lambda$ è̀s－тú $\psi \in$
 $\delta \hat{u}$ छ̌́申оs $\nsim \mu ф \eta к \in s$.

1315－42．On the merely technical and perhaps not very profitable question



 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \hat{\omega} \mu a$ $\delta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \rho$ ’ ä $\sigma \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ к \eta \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ßoŋ̀v. 1320






тv $\rho a \nu \nu i ́ \delta o s ~ \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ́ a ~ \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota . ~$

whether a chorus of twelve or fifteen members recite in succession the following verses, the student must be referred to the arguments of Müller and Klausen who (as I think) rightly maintain the former, and Hermann, who insists on the latter. In the one case, the three trochaic lines at the beginning must be regarded as spoken by the Coryphaens, and the twelve iambic couplets which follow by the choreutae in succession, the Coryphaeus himself probably reciting the two last (1341-2). According to Hermann's view, the first choreutes speaks 1315 , the next 1317, and the third 1318, so that in all fifteen deliver their sentiments. See the matter fully discussed in "Dissertations" on the Eumenides," p. 12-15 (ed. 2).
1316. $\delta \in \cup \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \nu$, sc. $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta \nu \nu$, which it is unnecessary to supply from the preceding. verse. The idiom is well known by which a substantive of cognate sense, implied in the verb itself, agrees with the adjective expressed. So $\delta \in \dot{d} \xi \omega$ ßapeiats ( $\zeta \in \dot{U} \gamma \lambda \lambda a t s$ ) inf. 1618. $\pi \alpha i ̂ \sigma o \nu ~ \delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu(\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu)$ Soph.
 804, \&c.
1318. 며 $\nu \pi \omega$. This emendation, for the vulg. áv $\pi \omega s$, had occurred to me before the publication of Hermann's edition, where it first appeared. See on Theb. 557. The omission of $\hat{j}$ is justified

 $\tau \grave{\eta}\rangle \bar{\xi} \mu \mu \alpha \chi\{a \nu . \quad$ Compare Antiphon, p. 133, 32 , and Buttmann on the Midias, p. 529, в. The chorus are here invited to give their opinions separately on the best course to be pursued,--' Let us im-
part to each other whatever safe counsels may chance to occur to us.' The plot of the play required the murder of the king to be perpetrated; and hence the poet represents the elders to hesitate so long that all chance of bringing aid in time is lost. Bamberger has pointed out the fact, that of the twelve couplets the second seems answered by the third, the fourth by the fifth, and so on, the first and last standing alone. It should further be remarked, that the more ardent and hasty suggestions come first, and are overruled by the more cautious considerations of the later speakers.
1320. Bo力口. Here for $\beta$ on $\theta \epsilon \epsilon a y$, 'the cry to the rescue.' Cf. Suppl. 710.
 charge them with the deed before they have parted with the newly-stained sword.' For this use of è̀ $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ compare Antig.
 and Hermann assume the ${ }^{n}$ to be long, and explain 'newly-drawn sword.' It matters little to the sense, so long as $\xi\{\phi \in t$ be taken for the weapon in the hand of the murderer. The more full construction would have been é $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \chi \in L \nu \tau \delta \nu$

 $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau \in S \quad \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \alpha$ is a singular instance of braehylogy, for $\pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \pi \rho d \gamma \mu a \tau a$ (or
 The remark is directed against Aegisthus, who has long been suspected by the chorus.
1327. xpovi\{ouev rdp. ('And no wonder if they attain their end,) for we are delaying, while they, trampling on the


тои̂ $\delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \tau o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \epsilon \tau \iota ~ к a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \beta o u \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ . ~$
1330




 $\pi \epsilon \pi a \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \mu o i ̂ \rho \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau v \rho a \nu \nu i ́ \delta o s$.





ground (spurning) the character for hesitation, are not slumbering in action.' The MSS. give $\mu \in \lambda \lambda o u \quad \sigma \eta s$ or $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \in \lambda \lambda o u ́ \sigma \eta s$, but in Flor. and Ven. the last syllable is superscribed. Hermann has recovered the true reading from the grammarian Trypho, who quotes the verse, but gives
 meaning must be that the murderers disdain to have it said of them that they delayed, i. e. as the chorus are now doing. - $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \delta o t$ for $\pi \in \dot{\delta} \delta o v$ is also due to Hermann, who has restored the same adverb in Cho. 631. It is simply the old form of

1329. ouks oixa. 'I know not what counsel having hit upon I should declare it,' or, as Dr. Peile renders it on Cho. 12, ' I know not what counsel to offer at a venture,' that is, in our idiom, 'I know not what plan to devise as my suggestion in the general deliberation. 'Tis the part of the doer to have well considered about (the thing to be done).' On this latter verse, which is very obscure, Hermann says, " Si sana est librorum scriptura, haud dubie vera est Scholefieldịi interpretatio, qui aliquid facturus est, eum etiam deliberare decet de re gerenda." Peile also approves of this, and seems to be right in giving a past sense (unusual as it doubtless is) to the aorist infinitive (deliberasse, not deliberare). Thus the meaning is, 'I cannot give any'advice as to action ( $\tau i \delta \bar{\delta} \hat{\mu} \nu, 1324$ ), because I have not yet made up my mind upon it.' Her-
mann himself, unable to accept the very remarkable ellipse of $\tau o \hat{v} \delta \rho \omega \mu$ évov, reads $\pi \epsilon$ pa. But the poet should in that case have given $\beta o v \lambda \in U \in \in \nu$ for $\beta o v \lambda \in \hat{v} \sigma a L$.
 т. Thus there is an implied antithesis between $\neq \rho \gamma o \nu$ and $\lambda$ d́ros.
1333. Blov teivontes. So Canter for nrelyoures. The sense seems to be, 'And shall we, by way of prolonging our life (i. e. from a fear to diè), yield in this way to the defilers of the palace (Cho. 977) assuming the chief authority ?' But Boy тévoutes $\tilde{\omega} \delta \mathrm{s}$ may mean, 'living all our life as we have lived of late,' viz., under the thraldom of Aegisthus. The answer however, кavөapeєป кратєi, seems in favour of the former.
 absolute. The remark amounts to advice to enter the palace, which is that ultimately oarried by a majority (1341) and acted upon.
1339. av $o \hat{v} \sigma \theta a t$. So Hermann, with Franz and Dindorf, after E. A. Ahrens, for $\mu v \theta_{0} \hat{\sigma} \theta a \mathrm{~h}$ a "voy nihili." "We ought,' says the eleventh choreutes, 'to be indignant about these things (the supposed designs of Aegisthus, 1334) with a clear knowledge on the subject.'
1341. $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{v} u o \mu \alpha \alpha_{0}$ ' I am iñ a majority.' See on Suppl. 598. The Coryphaeus speaks last, and in a manner sums up the votes, which are 'to know clearly Atrides being how ' (to know how he is, by entering the palace). Hermann renders $\pi \alpha \nu$ -

## 



 ठокоиิбıข єîval, $\pi \eta \mu о \nu \eta ̀ \nu$ ảpкv́ $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau о \nu$






$\tau \delta \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{v} \nu 0 \mu \alpha l$, 'undique conveniunt mihi argumenta.' There can be no doubt that $\pi a \nu \tau \delta \theta \in \nu$ means 'the votes from all sides having been taken.' Perhaps a short pause intervened while 'yes' or 'no' was asked from each choreutes.
1343. "Tractîs tabulatis conspicitur Clytaemnestra in conclavi stans ad corpus Agamemnonis." Hermann. Klausen (Praef, ad Choeph. p. xi.) is of opinion that the eccyclema was not employed in this play, but that the doorway of the palace on the proscenium was sufficiently wide to admit of thie interior action being seen, or at least partially so, by the spectators; and that the speech of Clytemnestra was delivered from her position a little within the portal. Translate, ' Having spoken many words before merely to suit my purpose, I shall not now be ashamed to assert the very contrary.' That is, Since what I said on a former occasion was falsely alleged, to gain my end by alluring my victim, I will not hesitate now to avow the truth, that I have long entertained enmity against him, and not the love I professed.
 one, by (openly) preparing hostile measures against enemies pretending to be friends, 'erect a fence of destruction for him as a hunting net to a height too great to be leaped over?' When a man passes for a friend, though really your enemy, it is only by the same arts of dissimulation and insincerity that you can circumvent him. Being conscious that he is disliked, he would at once take alarm at, and be on his guard against any hostile demonstration.
1346. àpкனббтatov. Hermann and

Dindorf adopt, with Blomfield, Elmsley's correction, $\pi \eta \mu \sigma \nu \eta \hat{s} \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \delta \sigma \sigma \pi a \tau^{\circ}$ à $\nu$, on the ground that the verb requires (see however on v. 535) the particle, and that $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \dot{v} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ is always found in the plural.
 Wum. 115, è̀ $\mu$ évols àpкиatd́toıs Soph.
 $\pi \lambda \boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \epsilon \in 1 \nu$ Orest. 1420 . The word appears to be properly an adjective, from đркиs and $\sigma \tau a \tau d s$ (11. vi. 506 ), but is commonly used for a hunting-ground, or space enclosed by a stake-net, of sufficient height to prevent animals from overtopping it. Compare Pers. 100. Supra 350. 796. The construction of $\phi \rho d \sigma \sigma \in l \nu$ U' $\psi \neq s$ may be compared with the familiar $\delta \delta \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \in t \nu$ тıעдे $\sigma \dot{\text { ó }}$
 ' Not irrespective of a former victory,' i. e. of the time when Agamemnon carried his point in slaying Iphigenia. Thus yikn $\pi a \lambda a c \alpha$ is distinguished from the recent victory over Troy. The commentators generally adopt, but I think needlessly, Heath's conjecture $\nu$ eikns, a word of rather dubious authority. Dr. Peile attaches an
入aıās, the 'fighting-out of an old feud.' In fact ázù̀ much better suits vhens,a (new) contest resulting out of a former victory.- $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \chi \rho \delta \nu \varphi \psi \in \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$, 'but with the course of time,' i. e. though long thought of, it has not been executed till late. Clytemnestra had long stored up the
 Calchas had predicted would fall on the devoted head of Agamemnon.
 527.
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \chi i \zeta \omega, \pi \lambda о \hat{\tau} \tau \nu$ єiцдатоs какóv.







 үávє $\sigma \pi о \rho \eta \tau o ̀ s ~ \kappa a ́ \lambda \nu к о s ~ e ̣ v ~ \lambda o \chi є v ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu . ~$


1353. Žrєєpoy. Made into a cul de sac.
 It is called $\hat{d}_{\mu} \phi_{i}^{\prime} \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho o \nu$ again in Cho.
 $\sigma \tau \tau \chi \zeta \omega$ is a technical word, explained


 à่าติ้ $\delta$ 亿кcvua. It is one of the many terms the poet has borrowed from the vocabulary of hunters; cf. à $\gamma p \in \hat{i}$ sup. 125, $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota ß a \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ Cho. 567, \&c. Another form of the word is $\delta t \epsilon \sigma \tau o \tau \chi$ § $\varsigma \tau 0$, Prom. 238.
1355. סuoiv. Sc. at v. 1314 and 1316. -oi $\mu \omega \gamma \mu$ átos is Elmsley's correction for oì $\mu \omega \hat{\gamma} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$. So $\chi \in p o i ̀ \nu$ and $\chi \in \rho \sigma i \nu$ are
 puots, inf. 1526.
1356. aủrov̂, 'on the spot,' but (like illico implying also 'at once.'- $\mu \in \Theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \in \nu$, 'he relaxed,' as a paralysed limb is called $\pi a \rho \in$ е́ $\mu$ ย́vos, Alcest. 204.
1356. тєтт ' when dead,' which would have been an act of simple brutality, but the third blow was intended to despatch him because he
 $\tau \rho i \tau \eta \nu \quad$ इwT $\bar{\eta} \rho \mathrm{c}$ there is an allusion to the
 The number three was mystical, and in dealing a third blow she as it were ceremoniously consigned him to the care and keeping of the god of the dead, i. e. to perdition. For $\delta \rho \mu a l y e t v$ see Theb. 389. Hermann very needlessly gives ópuraivet, from Hesych. ópuydue: ${ }^{2} \rho \in \dot{\gamma} \gamma \in \tau a i$. The
proper sense of $\delta \rho \mu a i \nu \varepsilon a \nu$ is to aim after one thing being at the same time held back by another. It is like our words 'to fret,' 'to fidget.' Dr. Peile weakly renders it, 'he is left to the workings of his own spirit;' indeed, this conveys a wrong idea. For $\theta \nu \mu \partial \nu \nu \rho \mu a l v \in$ here means, that he has his soul as it were in suspense between life and death. Compare the account of his death in Od. xi.

 $\kappa \nu \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \pi \stackrel{\nu}{ } \nu \sigma \phi \ell \sigma a \tau^{\prime}$. Aeschylus seems to have improved on this by bringing in the idea of the finishing blow.
1362. סtoo $\delta \delta \tau \neq$. Porson's happy emendation for $\delta \iota \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\nu} \tau \psi .-\sigma \pi о \rho \eta \tau \grave{\delta} s$, like the Latin novalis, an adjective used in place of a substantive, $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ or ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \rho \delta \delta_{s}$ being understood. By кdдикоs лохєن $\mu a \tau a$ she means the bursting (bringing forth) of the sheath in which the green ear is inclosed: 'cum coma lactenti spicea fruge tumet,' Propert.

 $\lambda \eta$ tov $\dot{d} \lambda \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa o \nu \tau o s$. In the same sense
 Herod. iii. 100, speaking of the Indians;


 $\tau \in$ ral $\sigma \iota \tau \in ́=\nu \tau a ı$. Theophrast. Hist. Plant. lib. viii. ii., ou $\pi \rho \delta \dot{\tau} \in \rho o \nu$ pavepds

 ઈidे $\tau \delta \nu$ ठैүкоע.








 ${ }^{\text {ö }} \mu$ оьo


x 0 . тí какòv, $ิ$ रưval,
1366. $\pi \rho \in \pi \not \partial \nu \tau \omega$. So Stanley for $\pi \rho \in=$ $\pi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$, which Peile, Klausen, and Dindorf retain ; but this (see on Cho. 352) is a very questionable construction, the Greek idiom requiring $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho \in \pi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$, 'had it been in the number of becoming things,' \&ce. The terminations - $\omega$ s and - $\omega v$ are not unfrequently confused; and the following verse seems clearly to shew that the poet meant $\in \hat{l} \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \rho \in \pi \dot{\gamma} \nu \tau \omega s$, $\bar{\eta} \nu$効 kal סitalios. Hermann gives el $\delta^{\prime} \bar{\eta} \nu$ $\pi \rho \in \tilde{x}^{\pi} o \nu ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\delta}$ ', which is not perhaps improbable. Translate, 'had it been possible with propriety (consistently with religion) to pour a libation over the corpse, that would justly have been done, nay, more than justly.' The dative , $\boldsymbol{\in \kappa к р \hat { ̣ }}$ depends on $\epsilon \in \pi l$ in the sense of $\tau \hat{\jmath} \delta \bar{\epsilon} \lambda a \mu$ $\pi d \delta t$ émopetḑ́euv, sup. 29, ' in joy or gratitude for it." For $\bar{\eta} \nu \omega \sigma \tau \in$ (二 $\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \bar{\eta} D$ ) com-




 many evils in the house has this man filled with curses, and now drains it himself on his return.' It was the custom (see Plutarch quoted on $v .237$ ) to make a libation after the mixing each bowl at the end of a banquet. Agamemnon, having both mixed and drained (figuratively) the bowl of family evils, ought also to have made the usual libation ; but having died first, Clytemnestra speaks of pouring it, vicariously as it were, for him when dead.- apalwv does not go with kac $\omega \nu$, but stands for

1371. \#̈ris. See on Prom. 38.
1372. ¿̀ фра́б $\mu$ оуоs (sup. 281. Pers. 419), without sense, intelligence, or mental energy. Hesych. ả $\phi \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu^{\text {a }} \sigma^{\prime} \nu \in \tau o s$, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta$ h̀ $-\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ does not appear to me to be the imperative, as Peile thinks: but it is impossible certainly to decide.
1373. трд̀s єiठठ́тas. It may be doubted if Hermann is right in translating ut sitis scientes. But there can be no doubt at all that Peile is wrong in construing
 undaunted in the face of your knowing it.' When she says, 'I tell you who know it well,' she speaks not to inform, but to brave indignation,-not as assuming their ignorance, but as daring them to do the worst.
1375. $8 \mu$ мosov. See sup. 244. Eur.
 е் $\lambda \omega \nu \chi \in \rho$.
1376. Peile and Klausen place the stop
 tovos. Granting that $\nu \in \kappa \rho \partial{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\chi} \in \rho d s$ might be defended, for $\phi o \nu \epsilon v \theta \in l s$ $\mathfrak{y} \pi \delta \quad \chi \in p o s$, we need not object to taking ép $\quad$ ov in direct apposition with verpos. Compare Thuc.
 є่ $\phi i \in \sigma \theta \alpha t$.

1378 seqq. "What balefal drug have you taken, either solid or liquid' (фápнакоу $\beta \rho \omega \sigma \iota \mu о \nu$ औ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \partial \nu$, Prom. 488), 'that you have thus as it were prepared yourself to be sacrificed, and have set at nought the execrations of the people?' rotdy is to be construed equally with $\kappa \alpha \kappa \delta \nu$,

## 


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \delta \iota \epsilon \epsilon ; ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \varsigma$, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\sigma}^{\pi} \pi о \lambda \iota \varsigma \delta^{\circ}$ еै $\sigma \epsilon \iota$, $\mu \hat{i} \sigma o s{ }^{\circ} \beta \rho \iota \mu о \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o i ̂ s$.

 ôs ở $\pi \rho \circ \tau \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \grave{\imath} \beta o \tau o v ̂ \mu o ́ \rho o \nu$,


the sea being mentioned not as a source of poison, but as descriptive of the sort, liquid opposed to solid. - è $\pi$ étou buas, 'placed on yourself this incense,' sc. the iucense of the people's wrath on her devoted head. ov́os seems in fact identical with the Latin thus. Cf. Antiphanes (Camb. Phil. Mus. i. p. 584), $\lambda_{\imath} \beta \alpha \nu \omega \tau ो{ }^{2}$
 $\lambda \iota \beta a \nu \omega \tau \delta \nu .-$ à $\pi \in ́ \tau a \mu \epsilon s$, so. $\tau \delta \nu \not \partial \nu \delta \rho a$, as we have évóotioas Theb. 974. Hermann
 praefracte, comparing ${ }^{\circ} \pi \delta \tau о \mu о \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a \mathrm{Al}$ cest. 992. Other editors place the question at àpds. We might perhaps defend
 'to make a man an outcast,' Cho. 900. But we have àmé $\rho \rho!\pi \tau \alpha$, in Eum. 206, which means 'is disregarded,' 'is cast away as a thing of no account.'
$\because 1383$. а́m $\boldsymbol{\delta \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda t s$. So Hermann for Rimedis, on account of the metre. The



1385 seqq. You are eager enough to condemn me to banishment and popular execration, though no one raised a voice against him for needlessly, cruelly, foully slaying his own daughter! Threaten me, when you have got me in your power. Should the contrary be the will of heaven,

I will teach you, old as you are, to be discreet.
1387. oủธ̇èv tát'. So Blomf., Dind., Franz, after Vossius, for ou $\delta \dot{\delta} \nu \tau 0 \delta 0^{\circ}$. The antithesis with $\nu \bar{u} \nu \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$, added to the ambiguity of où $\delta \grave{E} \nu \tau 6 \delta \epsilon$, which can hardly signify nihil tale, renders the correction highly probable. Hermann translates non hoc, referring hoc to the following sentence. None of the commentators have
 Androm. 88, $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\text { È } \nu ~ \tau \delta \delta \epsilon ~ \lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma o u ~ M e d . ~}$ 153 , where $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ stands for $\mu \eta \delta a \mu \omega \hat{s}$, as
 —passages which justify Hermann's view.
 as Alcest. 7, каi $\mu \in \quad \eta \eta \tau \in \dot{\in} \epsilon \nu \pi a \tau \eta \eta_{\rho} \theta \nu \eta \tau \bar{\varphi}$
 on Prom. 575.
1394. 入é $\gamma \omega$ ठ́́ $\sigma о$. 'But I tell you to threaten me thus, with the understanding that I am prepared on the same terms to submit to your rule if you should have conquered me by force.' Literally, 'as being prepared for you to rule me,' ws

 тд кра́тos. This implies open defiance, and a determination to resist to the last: - Conquer before you presume to use threats.'

тоцаи̂т＇$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \dot{\omega} \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s>1395$



XO．
$\mu \in \gamma \alpha \lambda о ́ \mu \eta \tau \iota \varsigma \in \Pi$ ，
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau$ ．

1400

 а̉тієтоע• ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \chi \rho \eta े$
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \mu \in ́ \nu a \nu$ фíग$\omega \nu$ $\tau \dot{\imath} \mu \mu \alpha \tau \dot{\jmath} \mu \mu a \tau \iota \tau \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha \iota$.





 ovitos $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i s ~ o v ̉ ~ \sigma \mu c \kappa \rho a ̀ ~ \theta \rho a ́ \sigma o v s . ~$

1400．тєрí申ро⿱亠䒑，＇proud，＇Suppl． 737. － the first to remove the full stop usually placed at ểanes．The sense is，＇You have proudly boasted，as indeed your mind is maddened by a sense of your condition as a murderess，（or perhaps，＇is bent upon a murderer＇s lot，＇）that a blood－ spot yet unavenged is conspicuous on your brow．＇The allusion is to v．1361，$\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \in t$

 $\pi \in\{a \nu$ tiєcov，the superscribed $\nu$ of the infmitive having been misplaced．Her－ mann and Dindorf read ${ }^{2} \mu \pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \in t \nu$ ，Franz，
 and Peile retain à $\tau_{i \in \tau o v}$ ，which occurs in the sense of＇unhonoured＇Eum． 363. 834．In either case we must here under－ stand＇unavenged．＇
1405．ти́ицстє．So Canter for ти́ $\mu \mu a$ ． Cf．Cho．304，à $\nu \tau l$ 立 $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ фovías фоvíà $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \tau(\nu \in \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \omega$ ．
1406．The chorus having just replied to her former defiance，by saying that she shall yet suffer for it，Clytemnestra now adds a solemn asseveration that so long as

Aegisthus lives and remains her friend she will have nothing to fear．－$\theta$ ém $\boldsymbol{\prime} \delta \rho \kappa_{i} \omega \nu$,
 354，but giving the notion of a divine sanction to the oath on the part of the powers invoked，－тє $\lambda \in \epsilon \frac{1}{} \Delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ ，the ac－ complished or satisfied vengeance for Iphigenia．

1409．$\phi$ b́ßov．＇I have no expectation of fear（for it）to tread in the palace．＇ Hermann reads $\phi 6 \beta$ ，${ }^{\text {，while Franz and }}$ Dindorf retain $\hat{e}^{2} \mu \pi a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ with the MSS． ＇my expectation does not dwell with fear，＇has nothing to be continually anxious about．But $\phi \delta \beta$ ov $\mu$ é $\lambda a \theta \rho o \nu$ ， ＇the hall of Fear，＇is a phrase almost too figurative even for Aeschylus，though it might perhaps be compared with the personification of Wealth，v．1305，$\mu \eta$－
 reads $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \theta p^{3} \partial \stackrel{\partial}{\nu}$ ，comparing Antig．235，
 is，perhaps，hardly necessary，since $\dot{\epsilon}^{\mu} \mu \pi \alpha$－ $\tau \in \overline{i v}$ does not depend directly on ė $\lambda \pi i$ s， in which case the aorist or the future is the more usual construction．Herodotus


# кєїтаи, $\gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \grave{s} \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \in ~ \lambda \nu \mu \alpha \nu \tau \eta ́ \rho \iota o s$,    $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta ̀ ~ \xi u ́ v \epsilon v \nu o s, \nu a v \tau i ́ \lambda o \iota s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$      

1413. кeîtal. 'There he lies,-one who has wronged me his own wife, and been the darling of the Chryseises at Troy.' I have placed a comma at keiral, to obviate a difficulty which Hermann thinks can only be met by supposing the omission of a verse following, namely, that $\lambda v \mu a \nu \tau$ fpoos is used where we should have expected $\lambda \nu \mu \alpha \nu \tau \tau \rho$. We have in-
 Cho. 753, where however the addition of $\nless \nu \delta \rho \alpha$ makes all the difference, for a Greek
 тhplov. If, in this place, we understand
 seems to lose much of its force. For $\lambda \nu \mu a \nu \tau \eta$ nios is not the subject, but merely its epithet or attribute.
1414. vavtíגoıs $\delta$ é. So I formerly conjectured, and now perceive that Dr. Peile had proposed the same correction, as Hermann has also done. The common reading is $\nu$ aut $i \lambda \omega \nu$, and in the next verse ióvoт $\rho \iota \beta$ 方s,-which latter corruption ac. counts for the change of the dative into the genitive. The force of $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ should be noticed, as also the irong in $\pi / \sigma \tau \eta$ हैu єvvos,-'faithful, forsooth to him, but at the same time as familiar with the sailors as their own benches.' (The somewhat coarse expyession, nautis aeque cum transtris trita, Herm., hardly admits of a closer English version.) As for ícto$\tau \rho \iota \rho \lambda \mathrm{s}$, it is not easily defended, and has been given up even by Klausen. ' Mastfrequenter of naval benches' can hardly be tortured into Dr. Peile's, 'Well known, too, about the mast, and on the seamen's benches.' Scholefield well compares Juven. vi. 101-2, ' haec inter nautas et prandet et errat Per puppim, et duros gaudet tractare rudentes.'
 they have not fared undeservedly.' So
 Thucyd. viii. 95. See Monk ou Alcest. 621. Cf. sup. 345, $\chi$ а́pıs ойк йтиао $\pi \delta \nu \omega \nu$.
1415. кt́кyov סiknv. The well-known superstition of the ancients, about the sweet and plaintive death-notes of the swan, arose from a confusion of the common swan with the cyenus musicus, a very large bird with yellowish head, and wings said to measure, when extended, eight feet across. It is migratory, and flies towards the north. "In the long Arctic night their song is heard, as they pass in flocks: it is like the notes of a violin." (Mrs. Somerville's Physical Geography.) Aelian, Var. Hist. i. xiv., seems to have had a glimpse of the truth, סocBatyouat óè kal тé入ayos, ral métoעтa!
 $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \delta \dot{\nu}$. See also Aristot. Hist. An. ix.




1416. $\phi \Delta \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \omega \rho \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta{ }^{\circ}$. The MSS. give тovi ${ }^{\circ}$, but Hermann has restored the dative from the scholium ék $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \quad \phi \quad \lambda-$ ó̀ $\mu \in \nu=\nu \tau \hat{\varphi}{ }^{\top} \mathrm{A} \gamma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \nu 0 \nu t$, rightly observing that the word is not a substantive from фi $\lambda \in \hat{i} \nu$, but an adjective compounded of $\phi i \lambda o s$ and $\hat{\eta} \tau \circ \rho$, like $\mu \varepsilon \gamma a \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$. By adopting $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon$, we gain an antithesis between it and é $\mu, 0$, ,-'dear to him in death, while to me she has brought a new relish to the enjoyment of my union (with Aegisthus).' It is perbaps, on the whole, best to construe $\pi \pi \rho o \psi \omega \omega \nu \eta \mu a \quad \chi^{\lambda} \delta \delta \bar{\eta} s \tau \hat{\eta} s$
 $\chi \lambda i \delta \eta \mathrm{~s}$, which is rendered by Prof. Co-
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma v \nu a u \kappa o ̀ s ~ \delta ' ~ a ̀ ~ \tilde{\epsilon} \epsilon ́ \phi \theta \iota \sigma \in \nu$ ßíov. l̀̀ ì̀ mapávovs 'E入éva,
nington 'A nuptial dainty dish of new delight.' Blomfield, who reads $\chi^{\lambda} i \delta \bar{\eta}$, illustrates the proverbial meaning of $\pi a \rho-$ oұls or тароч $\omega \nu \eta \mu a$, said of a paramour secretly kept by a married woman, from


 Eccl. 226) is to get some extra fare besides the appointed meal. Hermann
 quod ei contigerat Agamemnonem interficere." But this loses sight of the evident connexion between the words as suggested by the passage of Aristophanes. Klausen, Wellauer, and Peile, take єv̉vîs for Cassandra's death, comparing koítav inf. 1496, as if the poet had meant 'a death-relish of my luxurious pleasure;' which, for the same reason, cannot be maintained.
1417. The long ode which follows, and which, Müller observes, partakes of a Commatic character (with many resem. blances to the long Commos in Cho. 300 seqq.), has been variously arranged by metrists into strophes and antistrophes, and (for the anapaests) systems ( $\sigma \nu \sigma \pi \eta-$ $\mu a \pi a$ ) and corresponding or counter-systems ( $\dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \sigma v \sigma \tau \eta \mu a \tau \alpha)$. All these methods presuppose considerable lacunae in two or three places where nothing seems wanting to the sense. As Blomfield, Peile, Klausen, and Hermann differ more or less widely in their disposition of these complex and interlacing metrical schemes, the present editor may be pardoned for adopting a notation in which simplicity has been aimed at as far as appeared consistent with probability. As regards the subjectmatter of the ode, Klausen - perhaps rather fancifully-divides it into three parts, (viz. 1423-1456, -1507, - 1554,) each of which he considers as having a distinct argument, subordinate however to
the general idea, that the deed of Clytemnestra is the crowning point of the family troubles. The drift of the whole may be summed up in a few words. The chorus asserts that Helen is to be blamed for having revived the family curse which led to all this woe; that some evil demon has possessed the house of the Tantalidae; that Zeus has allowed it the power to use Clytemnestra as an agent; that other deaths are yet in store before the curse has run out. Clytemnestra's replies are apologetic; she admits that a demon is the real cause, and pleads that she has only been the helpless minister of his wrath; that Agamemnon, after all, deserved his death for slaying Iphigenia; that as she has killed him, so she will bury him without a tear from any but his dead daughter, who will meet him in Hades; that she trusts her deed may prove the final work of blood in the family, and that the evil genius will henceforth leave it and her in peace.

1423-30. 'Would that some easy death would come quickly upon us, bringing the sleep of eternity, now that the dear guardian of the state is dead, who suffered much through one woman, and lost his life by the hand of another.'一 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$, for which Hermann reads ' $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\eta} \mu \bar{\imath} \nu$, is explained by Conington and Peile as if for $\phi$ épováa $\mu \in ́ v \in L \nu$ èv $\eta_{\eta} \mu i \nu$. But I think ' in us' may be more simply understood 'in our case,' the easy death wished for being contrasted with the painful death of Agamemnon.
1430. it ict rapápous. The MSS. give $i \omega$ ì $\pi a p a \nu \delta \mu o u s$, corrected by Hermann and Blomfield. If this strophe (or system) really corresponds with 1515 seqq., it follows that several lines have been lost after $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ fay. But it is not a little remarkable that the sense shews no indication of many, or indeed any, verses

# $\mu i ́ a ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ s, ~ \tau \grave{s} \varsigma \pi a ́ v v ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ s$  $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \epsilon ̀$ t $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \alpha \nu$ 

|  | $\left[\sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}.\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 1435 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

 $\sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$. тoî $\delta \delta \epsilon \beta a \rho v \nu \theta \epsilon i{ }^{\circ}$.

 1440




à $\nu \tau . \alpha^{\alpha}$.
1445

omitted, so that one is led to question whether anapaests do not sometimes stand alone, though inserted in regularly antistrophic odes. See inf. 1499.
1434. The text here is so corrupt, that it seems quite a vain attempt to explain or restore it. If the corresponding antistrophe is at $\mathbf{1 5 2 5}$, it is possible that the poet wrote thus:-
' Now you (Helen) have caused to blossom a bloody murder accomplished, indelible; for there already (i. e. before, sup. 150) existed in the house a heavy woe in store for a husband.' Hermann thinks épi $\delta \mu \mu \tau \sigma s$ is for $\in \rho i \delta \mu \eta \tau o s$, 'domitrix viri calamitas.' The idea was, that the conduct of Helen has stirred up the curse of the Fury which, but for her, might have lain dormant. For the origin of all the calamity to the house of Atreus is throughout referred to deeds done before her misconduct. Nevertheless, she had an equal share with Clytemnestra in bringing about the death of Agamemnon.
 These words are a reply to 1423 and 1430.
1443. àzúvтatov. The exact sense we can hardly hope to ascertain, for it appears to refer to some lost words of the chorus following 1433. Klausen's explanation seems the most probable, 'incomparable,' because the point of Clytemnestra's remark is to deny that Helen was worse than others, or the sole cause of calamity. She does not even accept the excuse which it offers for her own crime, but attributes it all, in a spirit of mized pride and blind fatalism, to the demon which possesses the family.
1444. $\delta \iota \phi$ vorot. So Hermann for $\delta t-$ $\phi v \in i \sigma t$. The Aeolic form $\phi v i \omega$ is quoted from the Etymol. M. p. 254, 14.- ${ }^{2} \mu$ $\pi i \tau \nu \in t s$, see on $1146, \delta a i \mu \omega \nu \nu \pi \in \rho \theta \in \nu$ Bapùs ${ }^{2} \mu \pi i \tau v \omega \nu$.
1447. карбьб́ঠпктор. So Abresch for карбia $\delta \eta к \tau \delta \nu$. The chorus merely means that the rovanoкратia, or usurped female authority over them, is intolerable to bear. The legitimate power of Agamemnon and Menelaus has been allowed to fall into the hands of their wives, who themselves ex-




1450 ${ }^{\dot{a} \nu \tau .} \delta^{\prime}$.
 тò̀ $\tau \rho \iota \pi \alpha ́ \chi \nu ⿺ 辶$

 $\nu \epsilon i \rho a, ~ \tau \rho \in ́ \phi \in \tau a l \cdot \pi \rho i ̀ ~ к а \tau а \lambda \hat{\eta} \xi a \iota$


$\sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon^{\prime}$.
Saípova каì $\beta a \rho u ́ \mu \eta \nu \iota \nu ~ a i \nu \epsilon i ̂ s, ~$ $\phi \in \hat{v}, \phi \in \hat{v}$, какò̀ aîvov ảtทрâs $\tau \cup ́ \chi a s$ ảkорє́ттov． 1460
$i \omega$ ，ì̀，$\delta$ laì $4 i o ̀ s$
тараітíov，таעєрүє́та．
ercise a крव́тоs i $\sigma \delta \psi v \chi o v$ ，a like－minded（i．e． equally imperious）authority；but，as they are influenced by the demon of the house， he is said to hold sway in and through the women．

1448．ठ́кау ко́paкos．A crow perched on a body seems to have been regarded with the same horror，as something of evil import，as a bird fouling the roof of a house or snatching entrails from the altar， Suppl．636，732．The chorus fancies the demon is actually there in the form of a crow or raven uttering its dismal strain （ $\kappa \kappa \nu \delta \mu \omega s$ ）．But Hermann，Dind．，Blomf．， and Franz，read $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \in \hat{\imath} \sigma^{*}$ ，referring it to Clytemnestra who stands over the body and glories in the deed（1350，1365）．The loss of m word at the end of the verse adds considerably to the uncertainty．－$\% \mu \nu o \nu$ ， the song or paean of victory．

1452．тpırd́quıov．Dr，Peile has sug． gested a plausible meaning of this much disputed word，＇well－gorged，＇or＇over－ grown，＇as if from feeding on human blood． He aptly compares Eum．254，295，and sup．1160．He defends the form of the word by the close analogy of $\tau \rho \iota \pi \dot{n} \chi^{\text {vos }}$ from $\pi \hat{\eta} \chi u s$ ．Hermann and Franz give
入alov，neither of which appears to have any high probability．

1455．velpa．So Klausen after $\mathrm{Ca}-$ saubon for $\nu \in i$ ipei，which Hermann retains as the dative of an old word $\nu$ ê̂cos，＂quod intimum locum significaverit．＂But $\nu \in i p \in \iota$ and $\nu \in i \rho \eta$ were written in the same way
in the time of Aeschylus，so we need not have recourse to this supposition．The old comparative of $\nu$ éos was $\nu$ ćapos，the superlative $\nu$ d́atos．From véapos a length－ ened form $\nu$ eíalpos arose，also $\nu$ eíapos con－ tracted into $\nu \in i p o s$, whence $\nu \in i p a$ here and $\nu$ elalpa in Homer took the place of a sub－ stantive，yaotinp being understood．In Soph．Oed．Col．475，there is a suspected word which perhaps may be explained on these considerations，oibs $\nu \in a p \hat{a} s{ }^{2} \nu \in \pi \delta \kappa \varphi$ $\mu a \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \beta b \nu$ ．Either $\nu \in a i \rho a s$ or $\nu \in \alpha ́ \rho \rho a s$ would be defensible，the latter on the
 late：＂For it is from him that the desire of blood－lapping is nourished in their hearts；hence that before the old woe has well ceased，there is new gore．＇

1458．Confirmed in their opinion，by Clytemnestra＇s eager assent，that an evil genius really possesses the house，the chorus now adds，that it is by the per－ mission of Zeus，who，as the Consum－ mator（946），is the real author of every event．－The words olkots $\tau 02 \pi \delta \in$ are cor－ rupt．Hermann gives 予 $\mu \in ́ \gamma a$ $\delta \omega \mu a \sigma t$
 alfova，к．т．$\lambda$ ．But סaluova seems abso－ lutely essential to the context，＂Truly the genius you speak of in the family is one of power and heavy wrath，＇if he has the fatal influence you describe．Perhaps we
 which latter is given as a gloss in MS． Farn．－With aiveîs aivov סaluova compare

 $\tau \in \lambda \in i ̂ \tau \alpha l ; ~ \tau i ́ \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta^{\circ}$1465ì $\grave{\omega}$

$$
\sigma \tau \rho . \Sigma^{\prime} .
$$

$\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}, \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}, \pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \quad \sigma \epsilon \delta a \kappa \rho v ́ \sigma \omega$;
1470
 ..... $\sigma \tau \rho . \zeta^{\prime}$.
є̇к $\chi є \rho$ о̀s $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \tau o ́ \mu \varphi ~ \beta \epsilon \lambda є ́ \mu \nu \varphi . ~$
$\mu \eta{ }^{\circ} \delta^{\circ} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$1475$\tau \circ v ิ \delta^{\circ}$ ó $\pi a \lambda a i o ̀ s ~ \delta \rho \iota \mu ̀ ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda a ́ \sigma \tau \omega \rho ~$1480
1463. $\tau \mathfrak{l}$ रáp; Cf. Suppl. 802, $\pi i \delta$

1471. koírav. Wellauer rightly supplies $\kappa \in \hat{i} \sigma \alpha_{l}$ from the preceding sentence. The addition of $\dot{d} \nu \in \lambda \epsilon \delta \theta \in \rho o \nu$ makes $\delta o v \lambda i \psi$ for $\delta o \lambda i \varphi$ in the next verse, and $\delta o u$ incov in 1501, a tempting alteration. For not only does the metre seem to favour it, but also the complaint of Orestes in Cho. 470,
 Dobree indeed conjectured $\delta o u ́ \lambda i o v$, which Hermann is pleased to call "prorsus absurdum." The question depends mainly on the genuineness of 1499-1500, on which see the note.
1474. 'You insist,' retorts Clytem-
 'that this deed is mine. I tell you, it was not $I$ who did it, but the genius of the family in my form and shape, who paid the debt that was due by offering, up a full-grown victim for young ones' (the slain infants of Thyestes). - $\mu \eta$ 立 $\pi i \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$, if genuine, can only mean 'do not reckon,' 'do not assume.' The difficulty is, that this use, as far as is known, is confined to


 version, noli amplius recordari, noli amplius cogitare, is purely arbitrary. Franz
 simply 'to add to what has been said.' There appears to be much probability in
 longer be said.' I formerly suggested $\mu$ خ
 'do not bring to my charge that,' \&c., as in Herod. viii. 61, таиิтa $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau o s ~ Ө є \mu$ -
 द̇ $\pi \in \phi \in ́ \rho \in \tau 0$.
1477. фадтa§ $\left\langle\mu \epsilon \nu_{0}\right.$, 'likening himself to,'-as Homer uses eidibuevos and eiod$\mu \in \nu 0 s$. Usually, $\phi a \mu \tau d \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a L$ is simply 'to appear;' but it properly means 'to present oneself so as to be recognised by resemblance,' whence it easily passes into the meaning in the text.
 And so Mr. Conington had before rightly explained it. Klausen's hunc ultus est cannot be maintained, though Dr. Peile follows him; for the poet should bave said àтeriбатo, whereas he rather means $\dot{\pi} \pi \delta \delta \omega \epsilon \nu$.

XO. <br>$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \epsilon^{\prime}$<br>$\tau 0 \hat{\delta} \epsilon \epsilon$ фóvov, $\tau$ ís ò $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \eta$ ク́ $\sigma \omega$;<br> $\beta \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha 兀 \delta^{\circ}$ о́мобто́роьs  $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a s$ " $A \rho \eta$ s, ӧтои ঠі́ка $\pi \rho \circ \beta a i \nu \omega \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\chi} \nu a$  1490 ${ }^{\imath} \omega$, ${ }^{\omega} \omega$, $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \varsigma^{\prime}$.<br>$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}, \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}, \pi \hat{\omega} s ~ \sigma \epsilon \delta \alpha \kappa \rho v ́ \sigma \omega ;$ <br>  1495<br><br> <br>K 1. 

$\tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \circ \nu \nu \epsilon a \rho o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \theta \dot{v} \sigma a s$.
1482. 'No one will bear you guiltless, though perhaps the genius or demon you speak of may have assisted you. By the successive murder of relations Ares is gradually driving the family up to a point, on attaining which he will allow satisfaction to be taken for the devoured children of Thyestes.'
1485. $\pi \hat{\omega}$; $\pi \hat{\omega}$; "Hesychius. $\pi \hat{\omega}$, $\pi 0 \hat{u}$, $\forall \theta \varepsilon \nu, \delta \pi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$. $\Delta \omega \rho \iota \epsilon i ̂ s$. Significat qua ratione. Rectius Etym. M. p. 773, 18, $\pi \delta \theta \in \nu$ interpretatur, male ille tamen ex eo factum censens." Hermann. The form appears to exist in the compound $\pi \dot{\mu} \mu a \lambda \alpha$, ' by no means.' - $\pi a \tau \rho \delta \theta \in \nu$, resulting from the crime of Atreus, father of Agamemnon.
 The editors generally retain the vulgate; but it appears utterly hopeless to extort any plansible meaning out of it. On the other hand, nothing can be simpler or more natural than ठ/кŋу $\pi a \rho \in ́ \chi \in \epsilon \nu$ ноvро$\beta \delta \rho \varphi \pi d \chi \nu a$ (even though the periphrasis in the last words is rather a bold one), 'to afford satisfaction for the congealed blood ( $\phi \dot{\partial} y o s \pi \varepsilon ́ \pi \eta \gamma \in \nu$, Cho. 59) of the devoured children of Thyestes.' Cf. naiסo-

B6pot $\mu \delta \chi \chi_{0}$ Cho. 1057. Ares is here spoken of as the author of domestic broils; and the sense simply is, that he will not be satisfied till vengeance is complete, which, the chorus implies, may perhaps yet demand the death of Clytemnestra.
1499. Klausen, in defending the two verses which Franz, Dind,, and Peile have inclosed in brackets, and which have been omitted by Hermann, confirms an opinion I have elsewhere expressed, that anapaestic systems are not invariably followed by an exactly equal number of verses, as in ordinary antistrophes. Still, the two verses are suspicious, because (not to dwell on the hiatus after $\gamma \in \pm \in \in \theta a \iota$, for which see sup. 78) oürc has nothing to answer to it, and $\delta \circ \lambda i a \nu \not \approx \tau \eta \nu$, especially with $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$, can only refer to $\delta 0 \lambda i \varphi, \mu \sigma \rho \varphi$ above, the sense being 'he died by craft as he slew Iphigenia by craft,' or stealthy abduction, i. e. for the feigned marriage with Achilles, as Euripides represents it. "Clytemnestra," Dr. Peile observes, " now no longer attempting to exculpate herself as having been merely an instrument in the hands of a higher power, again takes refuge in the great Heathen principle of
1500
ov̉סย̀ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ov̂тos $\delta o \lambda i ́ a \nu ~ a ̆ \tau \eta \nu$
$\xi \iota \phi о \delta \eta \lambda \eta \eta_{\imath}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \hat{\omega} \text { ф } о \nu \tau i \delta^{\delta} о s ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \theta \epsilon i s \\
& \epsilon \grave{\pi} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \mu о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \mu \nu \alpha \nu \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

Retaliation, and, more successful in this, is emboldened once more to avow, and triumph in, what she has done." If the two verses are genuine, we can hardly
 with Blomfield; ' Not so, for it was not the death of a slave, but of his own child, that he caused to the house.'

 סpáбas. Elmsley on Med. 807 proposed to omit 'I $1 \phi$ ' $\gamma \dot{\prime} \nu \in l a y$ as a gloss, while Porson read $\tau \eta \eta \nu \pi 0 \lambda \cup \kappa \lambda a i \tau \eta \nu$ to get rid of the $\tau \epsilon$, which, after all, may be defended by such passages as $\sup .10,208$, as giving a merely exegetical sense (nempe). But there is a more serious corruption in àváca, which at once renders the sense weak and the metre intolerable. Various corrections have been proposed, all of which admit Hermann's đ̌sta. Franz gives, after H. L. Abrens, тो̀ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}$ ल $\lambda a v \tau o \nu \pi a i \delta^{\prime}{ }^{3} \mathrm{I} \phi \iota \gamma \delta \nu \eta \nu$. Hermann, $\tau \hat{\eta}_{5}$

 while Dindorf edits $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi о \lambda \cup \kappa \lambda \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu$ 'I $1 \phi$ $\gamma \in \nu \in!a \nu$. The long $\bar{\alpha}$ is defended by what I believe to be a spurious verse, Theb.
 épeis. Besides, in 1532 we have 'Iфn$\gamma^{\prime}$ velă short; so that it seems safer and better to give the reading in the text, involving as it does a very trifing change.

 worthy punishment for deeds deserving it.' My own suspicion is, that à $\nu d \underline{c} \iota a$ has crept in from a gloss on the original word, $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\eta}$ or $\check{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \dot{\delta} \kappa$, perhaps from a desire to improve the verse by introducing an antithesis, as in Suppl. 492.
1505. $\mu \eta \delta \delta \dot{\prime} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda a v \chi \in i \neq \omega$. 'Having suffered his deserts, let him not boast in Hades.' Compare sup. 516, ęॄeúxєтaı $\tau \delta$

 ' what he set the example of.'
1508. $\sigma \tau \epsilon p \eta \theta \epsilon i s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ p \rho \mu \nu a y . ~ H e r m a n n ~$

 $\tau u y{ }^{2} \tau$ is the common idiom, though, as it naturally implies previous possession, we may be tempted to join à $\mu \eta \chi$ av $\hat{\omega} \mu_{\hat{k}}$ рццдav, like т $\frac{\dot{f} \rho \mu a}{} \alpha \mu \eta \chi a \nu \hat{\omega}$ sup. 1148, à àopєì ut Ar. Eccl. 664.
1512. 廿ekds סè $\lambda$ h́rel. It no longer rains in mere drops, but with a full stream of blood. Cf. Oed. Col. 125 I , à $\sigma$ тakt $\lambda \in i\left(\beta \omega \nu\right.$ סók ${ }^{\prime}$ vov. Apoll. Rhod. iii. 805,
 tears).
1513. $\theta \eta \gamma d \nu \in$. So Hermann for $\theta$ 多 $\gamma \epsilon$. Auratus had previously corrected 8 iknv for $\delta i \kappa \eta$ or $\delta i k c$. ' Fate is whetting (the sword of) Justice upon another whetstone, for a new business of harm,' i. e. is bringing back Orestes to execute vengeance on the murderers.
 $i \grave{\omega} \gamma \hat{a}, \gamma \hat{a}, \epsilon \ddot{\epsilon} \theta \in \mu^{\prime} \overline{\hat{\delta}} \overline{\hat{\sigma}} \hat{\xi} \xi \omega$,
$\dot{\alpha}^{2} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime} . \quad 1515$

 $\tau i ́ s$ ò $\theta a ́ \psi \omega \nu \nu \nu \nu, \tau i ́ s$ ò $\theta \rho \eta \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega \nu$;

1520

$\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \omega \kappa \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota, \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \chi \alpha \rho \iota \nu$

$\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ àठíк $\omega \mathrm{s}$ е̇тıкра̂даl;

$\xi \grave{\nu}$ סaкрv́ous $\mathfrak{a} a \pi \tau \tau \nu$
${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ a q ~ \phi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega ิ \nu^{\prime} \pi о \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota ;$
ov̉ $\sigma \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \mu \alpha ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$
$\sigma \tau \rho$. í.
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$\pi о ́ \rho \theta \mu \in v \mu^{\prime} a^{\alpha} \chi \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu$,
1535


$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \theta^{\prime}$.

K 1.
xо.

 rightly remarks would have meant 'me in place of Agamemnon.'
1522. $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \tau^{3}$. So Hermann for 4uxhy. Translate, 'Will you have the boldness to do this,-after having killed your own husband to bewail him, and unrighteously to perform a thankless favour to his shade, as a requital for your daring
 pition Cho. 38, is said of the heartless and useless lamentations and propitiatory offerings intended as a recompense or atonement (avil) for her wicked deed. Compare Cho. 506-9.
1525. Ėтıt $\hat{\mu} \mu$ Biov aivov. So Stanley for érutúußuos alvos. The nominative is defended by Hermann, Klausen, and Peile, on the ground that $\dot{d} \dot{d} \pi \tau \omega \nu$ may be
used intransitively (aiming or pointing at him), as in Suppl. 541. Granting this, we must still urge, that $\pi \sigma \nu \eta \sigma \in \iota$ can only be said of the speaker of the funeral oration.- $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \in\{\propto \chi \phi \in \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, sup. 761 seqq.
1528. 'Never you mind about the manner of his funeral; we who killed him will


 $\mu \omega \kappa \tau o \nu$ ă $\nu \delta \rho a$ Өáqua.
1531. After this verse the editors assume a lacuna; but see on 1499.
1536. $\chi \in \hat{\epsilon} \rho \in$. So Porson for $\chi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \rho a$, which, perhaps, might be allowed to stand, but that the poet would seem to have borrowed an Homeric phrase, Od. xi. 211,

 sense appears to be, 'This is a case in



 $\tau i s$ à̀ $\nu$ रovà̀ ă $\rho \alpha \hat{o} o \nu$ éк $\kappa$ ádoı סó $\mu \omega \nu$;


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu o ́ v \text { 'є́ } \gamma \omega \text { ف̀ } \delta^{\circ} \text { oûv } \\
& { }^{\dot{\epsilon}} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\lambda} \omega, \delta a i \mu o \nu \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \Pi \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \iota \delta a ̂ \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

which the law of retaliation, $\pi a \theta \in \hat{\nu} \nu \tau$ € $¢ \xi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$, holds good,'-a law which says 'reproach for reproach, reprisal for robbery, death for death.' See sup. on 513.
 $\gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \mathfrak{i} \sigma \theta \omega$. In фє́pєı фє́роута, sc. $\delta \phi \in \rho \delta \mu \in \nu 0 s$ (cf. Theb. 344), the doctrine of the fórtov (Suppl. 406) is obscurely hinted at, and hence the poet premises
 hard to interpret.' The application is of course intended for Clytemnestra, who has deserved death by her crimes.
1540. ह̀v $\chi \rho \delta \boldsymbol{\nu} \varphi$. A short expression for - While time remains and Zeus is lord of all.' Hermann and Dindorf give $\theta \rho \delta \nu \varphi$ after Schïtz. These words are said to be occasionally confused in MSS.; but the correction, though an easy one, certainly weakens the sentiment, albeit, as remarked on Suppl. 94, the idea of majesty and authority is sometimes conveyed by a word expressive of sitting.
1541. $\theta \in ́ \sigma \mu+\frac{1}{}$ ráp. 'For it is an established law.' Dr. Peile quotes Hesych.
 but he prefers, with most editors, to join

1542. ápaiov. So Hermann for pâov, a correction justly adopted by all the recent editors. The sense is, "No one can now eject from the family a brood of curses,' i. e. the calamities in store for it from the imprecation of Thyestes, inf. 1579. See on 729, and Cho. 636, 792, for the notion of one evil begetting another.
1543. $\pi \rho \delta s$ ä́qq. This is Blomfield's happy emendation for $\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha$ a. On T and $\Psi$ confused see Suppl. 856. Hermann's reading, трабб $\psi \in!$, gives a very
far-fetched meaning. With Dindorf and Peile, I think ára is right, 'the family has been glued to (implicated in) misfortune,' so that the rovi apaīos, or consequences of the $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \alpha \rho \chi 0 s$ ä $\tau \eta$, is inseparable from it,-- though \& $\quad \eta$ may here mean, as usual, 'a blind and infatuated course of action.'
 Franz, after Canter for é $\nu \in \in \beta$. ‘You have rightly entered into this topic of the divine law of retribution.' The remark was directed at herself; but she pretends not to see this, and understands it generally of the house, or perhaps more generally still of any criminal to whom it may apply. There is no difficulty in interpreting $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \partial s$ of a divine declaration, especially as the earliest use of oracles was to guide men in a just course of action ( $\theta \epsilon \mu \tau \sigma \epsilon \dot{u} \in \iota \nu$, cf. Eum. 2).
 be that as it may. See sup. on 217.
1548. \& סt $\lambda 0 เ \pi \delta \nu$. 'But for what remains,' i. e. as the other side or condition of the compact; that on the part of Clytemnestra being simply a passive en. durance of all the past woes. See below, 1637. This would appear, at first sight, by no means an equitable bargain, and it has this further difficulty, that Clytemnestra thereby places herself in the situation of one who has been wronged rather than one who has done a wrong. The idea, in fact, which still engrosses her mind, is the loss of her daughter, and so far from regarding the murder of her husband as a crime, she views it simply as a just retaliation (sup. 1407). The proposed compact then amounts to this,

# ${ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \delta_{o ́ \mu} \mu \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \grave{\alpha} \nu$  $\kappa \tau \epsilon \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s$ <br>  $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda$ офо́vovs $\mu \alpha \nu i a s ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho \omega \dot{\alpha} \phi \in \lambda o v ́ \sigma \eta$. 

 AITIइ®O天. $\phi \alpha i ́ \eta \nu \stackrel{a}{a} \nu \eta ้ \delta \eta \nu v ิ \nu \beta \rho o \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \tau \mu o ́ \rho o v s$


 Хєро̀s татр@́as єُктívovта $\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu a ́ s$. 1560
 $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \Theta v e ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \mu o ̀ \nu, ~ \omega ̊ s ~ \tau o \rho \omega ̂ s ~ \phi \rho a ́ \sigma a l, ~$

that she should let bygones be bygones, since they cannot be altered, and that the demon should cease to incite the family of the Atridae to the commission of domestic murders.
1552. $\mu 01$. The MSS. give $\mu 01 \delta^{\circ}$, where $\delta \stackrel{\grave{k}}{ }$ must be regarded as an insertion on account of the hiatus. For the same reason Canter proposed кà $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda о ф 6 \nu o v s$. In the second edition of this play I admitted Hermann's probable conjecture q $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \delta^{\prime}$, but I have now recalled the vulgate, believing the hiatus capable of defence. See on v. 79. Clytemnestra lays the flattering unction to her soul, that she has after all done good service if she has brought to a close the family curse.
1555. The character of Aegisthus, who now first appears on the stage, is marked by a cowardly selfishness and a spiteful resentment against the dead Agamemnon, very different from the heroic avowal, on the plea of Justice, made by Clytemnestra. He owed a grudge to Agamemnon because his father Atreus had banished Thyestes, and on his return home as a Suppliant had brutally served up to him a banquet on the flesh of his own children. This was an ancestral wrong; and in fulfilment of his father's curse, but not less from the personal consideration, because he had
himself been banished when an infant together with Thyestes, he had planned and executed the murder. He dwells on the word סikn (1582, -5, -9), but it is the $\delta i \kappa \eta$ of pure revenge, not the plausible $\delta 1_{\mathrm{k} \eta} \mathrm{m}_{\text {, or }}$ or eternal law of retribution, of Clytemnestra. When boldly bearded by the chorus, he has recourse to threats, and is with difficulty pacified by the more collected and shocked, if not now repentant Clytemnestra, 1632 seqq.
1557. ä $\chi \eta$. See on 1222. Hermann gives á $\gamma \eta$ after Auratus. But $\gamma \eta \eta^{2}{ }^{\circ} \chi \chi \eta$ are rightly said of human troubles in the most general sense. To the mind of a philosopher-poet, crime and woe would appear intimately associated. He speaks in reference to the infidel sentiment before


 deeds devised and executed by Atreus with his own hand, inf. 1571.
1562. $\dot{\omega} s$ is not merely expletive, it seems to mean, 'to tell in detail the nature and circumstances of Atreus' crime.' Klausen refers it to the exact specification of relationship between the parties just mentioned.
1563. aùvồ t' $\dot{\alpha} \delta \bar{\delta} \lambda \phi \phi \dot{\nu} \nu$. 'Thyestes, who was my father and at the same time
 каì $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \pi \alpha \iota o s ~ є ́ \sigma \tau i ́ a s ~ \mu о \lambda \grave{\omega \nu} \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ $\tau \lambda \eta^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ Єvє́ $\sigma \tau \eta s \mu \circ \hat{\imath} \rho \alpha \nu \eta v ้ \rho \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta}$, $\tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \theta a \nu \grave{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho \hat{\varphi} o \nu$ aí $\alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \alpha a \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \nu$

 $\tau \omega_{\dot{j}}{ }^{\rho} \mu \hat{\omega}, \kappa \rho \epsilon о v \rho \gamma o ̀ \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \varsigma$ ä $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ठок $\omega \nu, \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon$ баїта $\pi \alpha \iota \delta є i \omega \nu$ крє $\omega \nu$.


his (Atreus') brother.' Elmsley on Med. 940, whom Franz and Dindorf follow,
 the MSS. reading at some length, but not on the right principle, in supposing there is any emphasis meant on ' $m y$ father.' Elmsley's rule is an arbitrary one, and does not require a page of notes to disprove its application to particular instances.
 questioned (disputed) in the matter of sovereignty' by Thyestes. Cf. 854. The real subject of quarrel was the adultery of Thyestes with the wife of Atreus (sup. 1164); but it was clearly not the object of Aegisthus to speak of the crimes done by his father, in endeavouring to establish his right to revenge. Why then was Thyestes banished on this plea? He appears to have been suspected of using his influence with the wife to secure the throne, much in the same way as Aegisthus himself has acted by Clytemnestra. Hence Atreus as ruler (1561) drove him out, and on his return avenged the deeper wrong by the horrible banquet so often alluded to in the play.
1568. aùtoû. 'There on the spot,' viz. at his own hearth and home, which would have been a piteous fate for one who had escaped all the chances and dangers of exile. Compare 439, 1356. So Homer has


 in apposition with סaita, 'by way of a hospitable entertainment.' Thyestes obtained safety so far that his life was spared; but he met with a reception worse than death itself. Thus $\tau 0 \hat{0} \delta \in \pi a \tau \eta p$ must be


to which Peile and Conington incline, as rightly expressing (see on Suppl. 350) the relation of Thyestes on his return from exile and $\begin{gathered}\text { ort } \\ \text { Los, } \\ \text {, it appears neither neces- }\end{gathered}$ sary nor metrically satisfactory. With the verse as it now stands compare Cho. 1.
 -a day on which meat was distributed after a solemn sacrifice. Compare Bov-
 cisely as the Romans said agere ferias, agere festum diem, \&c.
1571. $\pi \alpha \delta \delta \in \epsilon \omega$. . This form is properly used on the analogy of $\beta 6 \in \epsilon a, \mu \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon L a$,犭оі́рєєа, \&c. Sup. 1213, ©uє́бтои סаїта $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \in \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \kappa \rho \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$.
1573. еॅкрит $\tau^{2}$. So Blomf. and Hermann for $\hat{\varepsilon} \theta \rho u \pi \tau^{2}$. The emendation derives great weight from the almost identical narrative of Herodotus about the banquet served up to Harpagus on the





 $\kappa \in \kappa \ltimes \lambda \nu \mu \mu \epsilon \in \nu \alpha$. And Hermann quotes from Hyginus (fab. 88) the very same account of the Thyestean feast, qui quum vesceretur, Atreus imperavit brachia et ora puerorum afferri. Those who construe ह́ $\theta \rho \nu \pi \tau^{\prime}$ 'a $\nu \omega \theta \in \nu$ ' broke (or, was breaking) from the parts above,' fail to give any intelligible explanation how the hands and feet could be so detached, or in what position the said parts must be supposed to lie. Klausen reads känuévous, and understands that Atreus minced or chopped up the fingers (in order to obliterate their form) laid separately on the top of the dish, so that the guest first served






could not avoid taking them. But this is liable to the objection, that the very parts are here eaten which in the similar narrative of Herodotus are represented as laid aside to be afterwards produced. Hermann now gives кäך $\mu$ 'voss, which he appears to construe with $\alpha \sigma \eta \mu a$. Retaining $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \in \nu=s$, I translate as follows: -The parts of the feet, and the fingers at the extremities of the hands, he covered over, sitting apart at the top of the table; and he (Thyestes) having at once (aủrika, without hesitation or suspicion) taken in ignorance portions of the flesh which could not be distinguished, eats a food which has now proved ruinous to the race, as you behold.' By $\not \subset \nu \omega \theta \in \nu$ we may understand that the action done proceeded from the head of the table; although there is abundant authority (e.g. Thuc. ii. 52) for regarding it here as simply a synonym of ă $\nu \omega$. Compare र́токdть ката-
 of guests, Plat. Symp. p. 175, c, and p. 222, ad fin. Suidas explains ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \grave{\delta} \rho a \kappa \grave{\alpha} s$ by $\chi$ apls, and so a gloss in MS. Farn.,
 notice the antithesis between $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi o$ $\delta \grave{\eta} \eta$ and $\not \partial \sigma \eta \mu \alpha \delta^{j}$ aì $\tau \omega \nu,-$ the articulated extremities which would have at once revealed the contents of the dish, and the fleshy parts which bore no such distinguishing mark. Thyestes is said to have eaten the heart ( $\sigma \pi \lambda d \gamma \chi \nu \alpha$, sup. 1192. Cic. Tusc. Disp. iv. § 77). As for the change of subject from $\epsilon \kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau \epsilon$, to ${ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon$, it could not have caused any ambiguity to those who were conversant with the story. Hermann and Dindorf needlessly give

1576. émıүvoùs. Subsequently discovering the deed, viz;, on the hands and feet being shewn to him.
1577. ${ }^{2} \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon$. So Canter for à $y^{*}$ $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \ell$ к.т. $\lambda$.- ${ }^{\ell} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ for ${ }^{\ell} \rho \omega \bar{\nu} \nu$ was first given by Auratus. 'He shrieked, and fell back (recoiled) vomiting from the slaughter,' i. e. slain flesh. We may notice the somewhat vague way in which
$\sigma \phi a \gamma \eta$ is used by the poets. It signifies not ouly the infliction of the wound, but (1360, Pers. 812) the blood spurting from it, the slain victim ( $=\sigma \phi a \gamma \epsilon i o \nu$ ), and (Prom. 882) the throat itself, as the part usually incised.
1579. àpdiv. So Hermann after Abresch; and the correction had occurred to me independently. There is difficulty in explaining the $\ddot{\alpha}^{\circ} \pi \alpha \xi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ adverb $\xi \nu \nu-$ סticws. Those who retain à $\rho \underset{c}{\hat{c}}$ make the dative depend on the $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ in composition, but fail to elicit a satisfactory sense. Hermann seems right in his view, communiter, communi justitia, referring it to $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ т ח. रévos. We may therefore translate, ' Making the act of overturning the table a curse of comprehensive retribution, that so the whole race of Pleisthenes might perish.' The imprecation was founded on an act which, though involuntary, was a symbolical one; for both oìkos and

 $\mu \grave{\eta}$ à $\mu a \tau \rho a \pi \eta \hat{\eta} \nu a$. The commentators have remarked that the Pelopidae (1578), Tantalidaé (1445), and Pleisthenidae (1546), are synonyms by which the poet describes the family of the Atridae; but who Plei. sthenes was, is not recorded. According to some authorities, quoted by Hermann and Butler, he was a son of Atreus, and the real father of Agamemnon and Menelaus, but, dying young, left them to be brought up by Atreus. If this account be received, тobtov тaтخे in v. 1561, can only mean 'his reputed father.' And hence perhaps Ovid (Remed. Amor. 778) has 'Pleisthenio toro' for 'the bed of Agamemon.' Of course, on this supposition, the curse of Thyestes would fall only on the immediate descendants of his brother Atreus; whereas, if Pleisthenes be supposed to intervene between Pelops and Atreus, it will have .. wider application, and include Thyestes himself as well as Aegisthus. The former seems quite sufficient for the argument.
ov゙т $\omega \varsigma$ ỏ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ тò $\Pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu o v s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu o s . ~$
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 of this that,' \&c. See on 850.
1584. $\xi$ uve $\xi \in \lambda a$ aúvel. 'Banished me with my poor father, being his thirteenth child, yet an infant in swathing-clothes.' There is much uncertainty whether the subject to the verb is Atreus, continued from 1569 seqq., and so whether this is the same banishment as that before mentioned in $\nabla .1564$; or whether Agamemnon is meant, the author of a subsequent banishment, as Klausen supposes. But he assumes, without any evidence, and on rather slight presumptive grounds, that Thyestes had killed Atreus, returned to Argos, and had Aegisthus among other children after the affair of the banquet. Dr. Peile takes the former view, and thinks that the special mention of toûฏ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \partial s$ in 1586 of itself implies a transition from Atreus to Agamemnon. This is however met by Hermann's remark, that this verse is a continuation of $\tau \delta \nu \delta \delta^{2}$ $i \delta \in i v \pi x \dot{x} p a$ in 1581 . There is more point in the same learned editor's (Peile's) observation, that the poet was not likely to have made the discrepancy in age in Agamemnon and Aegisthus so great as Klausen's supposition represents it. Unquestionably, if we regard only the natural tenour of the passage, we shall take the words of Aegisthus thus: 'The curse of Thyestes was the cause of Agamemnon's fall, and I was justly the contriver of it, for he banished me when young, and I have returned when full grown to execute
vengeance upon him.' This would still allow the prime cause of retaliation to be the crime of Atreus, 1560. But, all things considered, I think the real meaning is, that Agamemnon has justly paid for the crimes of his father Atreus by the hand of Aegisthus, who owed a double debt to Atreus, his own banishment beside the wrong done to his father Thyestes.
1586. $\begin{gathered}\text { upaîos, 'abroad,' sc. even when }\end{gathered}$ exiled I successfully plotted his death.
 $\epsilon \sigma \theta^{\circ} 8 \mu \omega s$.
1589. i $8 \delta \nu \tau \tau a$. The construction is, $\tau \delta$
 $\vec{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau \nu \dot{e} \mu o l$. But he could not have said
 è $\mu$ ò nat $\theta a \nu \in i ̂ v .-к a \tau \theta a \nu \in i ̀ \nu$, sc. by the hand of the people, 1594.
1590. द̀ какоїти. 'I approve not insolence in misfortunes,' i. e. in a crisis like the present. Cf. 739, veá $\wp o v \sigma \alpha \nu$ é $\nu$ какоîs Bpotêv Ußplv. Ajac. 1151, ถेs èv


 The $\because \beta p i s$ of Aegisthus consisted in his attempting to justify the murder of Agamemnon, which is meant by दो кoкois. It was a time for penitence and mourning, not for exultation and vindictiveness.-ou $\sigma^{\epsilon} \beta \omega$, cf. 753.
1591. Ékóv. Deliberately, intentionally (1587). Not 'gladly;' as Dr. Peile translates it.







 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ к e ́ \nu \tau \rho a ~ \mu \grave{~ \lambda \alpha ́ к \tau \iota \zeta \epsilon, ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \alpha i \sigma \alpha s ~ \mu о \gamma \hat{n} s . ~}$

 middle rank in the three orders of rowers,
 upper or principal bench is lost sight of in the comparison, which merely implies that the chorus are inferiors, while others above them have the real management of the ship (cf. Theb. 2). The same metaphor is used by Euripides, Phoen. 74,


 tures that the poet is not speaking of a trireme, but of a bireme, in which the Opavīac. were altogether wanting.
1598. єip $p \mu$ ¢่́ עov. 'It having been told him to be discreet.' See on $\sigma \omega \phi p o v \in i ̂ v$ кєхр $\neq \mu^{\prime} v=\nu$, Pers. 825. So Thucyd. v.
 $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\xi} \nu \mu \mu \dot{\chi} \chi \omega \nu \psi \eta \phi \dot{\prime} \sigma \eta \tau \alpha \iota .-\gamma \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ $\psi_{\nu} \nu$, cf. sup. 567 .
1599. $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \partial \bar{\delta} \delta \epsilon \kappa$. $\tau . \lambda . \quad$ 'Butimprisonment and the pangs of hunger are firstrate trainers of the mind for teaching even old age,' which under ordinary circumstances is slow to be instructed.-iar $\rho \circ \mu \alpha^{\prime} \nu$ rets, a word which has no English equivalent, is said of Apis, Suppl. 259, and was derived from the double office of Apollo as healer ( $\pi$ aid $\Delta$, intos) and prophet (sup. 1173). Apollo himself is laтро́даутis каl тєрабкбтоs, Eum. 62. Between bards and medical practitioners there was anciently the same connection as between incantations and cures by physic (sup. 989).
1602. Taíaas, 'having struck (your foot) against it.' On the proverb see Prom. 331. Pind. Pyth. ii. 174. The MSS. reading is $\pi$ hoas, which seems to be a vox nihili, though defended by Scholefield, Klausen, and Peile, as an aorist from $\pi d / \sigma \chi \alpha$, or rather its obsolete present
$\pi \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$. The Scholiast on Pindar, Pyth. ii. ad fin., rightly quotes the verse with maíars. Blomfield and others give $\pi$ raloas, 'having stumbled;' but this interferes with the metaphor, which is altogether different from $\pi \tau a l \sigma a s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \pi \rho \partial s$ $\kappa к \kappa \hat{\varphi}, ~ P r o m . ~ 947 .-\mu o \gamma \hat{\eta} s, ~ ' b e ~ p a i n e d, ' ~$ ' suffer for it,' Prom. 283.
1603. тovิ $\delta^{\circ} \eta{ }^{\eta}$ коутоs. Either with Hermann and Dindorf, we must so read, after Stanley, for coùs $\eta_{k}$ ovtas, or we must suppose a verse to have been lost which governed the accusative. But not only is the singular more appropriate than the plural, but Hermann has shewn the probability that the whole of this dialogue from 1590 was antithetical, viz, that the five verses of Aegisthus (1606-10) in. tervene between three of the chorus immediately before and after, while the eight of Aegisthus ( 1595 seqq.) answer to the same number (assuming the loss of one) at 1614, and the succeeding six of the chorus at 1621, to those at 1590 (one being again supposed to have dropped out). Though these assumed lacunae throw some doubt on the whole scheme, we may fairly suppose that the three verses here answer to the three at 1611, \&c., and therefore there is little probability in rò̀s $\boldsymbol{H}_{\text {Koveas, }}$ which Klausen labours to construe with ai $\sigma \chi^{i v o u \sigma a, ~ a n d ~}$ Peile and Scholefield to explain as an anacoluthon, as if he had said $\sigma \hat{v} \tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a$
 ai $\dot{\sigma} \chi^{\mathcal{L} \nu \in \epsilon \nu} \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \nu \grave{\eta} \nu$ evidently goes together. So ai $\sigma \chi v y \tau \eta$ p is 'an adulterer,' Cho. 977.
 Cho. 883.-oiкoupos is, 'while keeping house for him at home,'-clearly from oinpos ' a warder,' not from of os ' a boundary,' as Klausen derives it. Sup. 1196,

oikovpòs є $v \nu \eta ̀ \nu$ ả $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ a i \sigma \chi \chi ́ v o v \sigma^{3}{ }^{\text {ä }} \mu a$

AI. каì $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \tau \ddot{a} \pi \eta ~ к \lambda a \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \gamma \in \nu \eta$.








 є̇к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סє̀ $\tau о \hat{\nu} \delta \epsilon є \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$


 though always suspicious, had not before openly charged the queen or Aegisthus with adultery, unless indeed we so understand $\delta \delta \beta \omega \nu$ катаь $\sigma \chi \nu \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \sigma \iota$ sup. 1334.
1609. vŋniois. So Herm., Dind., Peile, and Conington after Jacob for juntoss, which Klausen thinks ironically applied to $\dot{\text { indú }} \boldsymbol{\mu \mu \alpha \sigma เ \nu . ~ C o m p a r e ~ h o w - ~}$ ever $\mu a \tau a i \neq \nu$ ú $\lambda a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ inf. 1650.$\breve{a} \xi \epsilon \epsilon$ appears to be the middle voice,-' You forsooth, after irritating people by your senseless barkings, think to lead them to your own purposes.' Dr. Peile, with Butler, supposes the antithesis to be this, -'he led captive by his songs, you shall be led captive ( $\delta \in \sigma \mu \partial s$, v. 1599) in consequence of your insolence.' The poet however merely speaks of the $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a$ Evavtia, or two opposite kinds of eloquence, viz. that which soothed and that which irritated. The object of both was the same, but the latter was a mistaken way to effect it.
1611. $\dot{\omega} s \delta \bar{\eta} \sigma \dot{v}$. 'As if forsooth I should ever have you for a ruler over Argives! You who, when you had plotted a murder, had not even the courage to exceute it yourself!' Compare Herc.



 наир̣̂ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$.
1612. ouv̉. The MSS. give ouvk. See on Pers. 431. More usually the clause containing oujoc follows that,with oùn,

 Suppl. 63.
1615. दं $\bar{\gamma} \dot{\omega}$ - ${ }^{2} \chi \theta \rho \partial{ }^{2}$ 方. See on 1345, where a similar argument is alleged by Clytemnestra. Aegisthus, as having entertained an bereditary hatred in consequence of the misdeeds of Atreus to both himself and his father, would have put Agamemnon immediately on his guard, had he attempted hostility.
1616. xp $\quad$ цáт $\omega \boldsymbol{y}$. A boast, or rather, a confession, characteristic of a tyrant and a coward, and vainly intended to frighten into obedience the chorus, against whom the next threat is especially directed. "Ante omnia enim locatis satellitibus opus erat tyranno, neque adhuc habuerat Aegisthus, quo his stipendium solveret." Klausen.
1618. Bapelats. Supply $\zeta$ Ér $\gamma \lambda$ ats (Prom. 471) from $\langle\epsilon v ́ \xi \omega$. See sup. 1316. For ov̄т $\mu$ गे Porson, Dind., Blomf., Conington, read oữ! $\mu \circ \iota$ after Pauw. Hermann calls this "pessima conjectura," and certainly it seems a very needless one. Dr. Peile, retaining the vulgate, has recourse to an abstruse theory about an accompanying

#  <br>  

XO．$\tau i ́ \delta \grave{\eta} \tau o ̀ \nu$ ä $\left.\nu \delta \rho \alpha \tau o ́ \nu \delta \delta^{\circ} \alpha \pi o ̀ ~ \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} S \kappa \alpha \kappa \eta\right) s$ oủk $\alpha \cup ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \eta ̉ \nu a ́ \rho \iota \zeta \epsilon \varsigma, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \gamma v \nu \eta$ ， $\chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ \mu i ́ a \sigma \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ є่ $\gamma \chi \omega \rho i \omega \nu$ ， є̈ктєเข＇；＇Oрє́ $\sigma \tau \eta s$ 人̀ $\rho \alpha ́ \operatorname{\pi ov} \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \iota$ фáos， ő $\pi \omega s \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \hat{u} \rho \circ \pi \rho \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau v ́ \chi \eta$



 AI．ả $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha ̉ \gamma \grave{\omega} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ́ \kappa \omega \pi$ оs ov̉к ả $\nu \alpha i ́ \nu o \mu \alpha \iota ~ \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon i \nu . ~ 1630$ XO．$\delta \in \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \theta a \nu \epsilon i \nu \nu \epsilon \cdot \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau v ́ \chi \eta \nu \delta \delta^{\circ}$ aipov́ $\mu \epsilon \theta a$ ．
mental negation．The simple truth is，
 ats（ $\omega s$ ）$\sigma \epsilon \iota \rho a \phi \delta \rho o \nu$ ，the poet prefixed the negative to the word which strictly re－ quired it，－＇I will yoke him in a heavy collar by no means as a trace－horse，＇ which，as not being under the yoke，had no collar at all．Similarly we have sime
 тард $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu .-\kappa \rho \iota \theta \omega \nu \tau \alpha, \quad$＇barley－fed．＇ Hermann says，＂$k$ pıtià $\nu$ dicuntur equi qui morbo hordeationis affecti sunt，$\kappa \rho \iota \theta \hat{a} \nu$ autem qui bene pasti ferociunt．＂

1619．бкб́тч．So Auratus for ко́тч． ＇Unwelcome hunger dwelling with dark－
 1599）is opposed，Klausen remarks，to the friskiness of a high－fed colt．

1621．$\tau$＇$\delta$＇＇；＇Why then，admitting that $\tau \delta \delta=\lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha$, was the part of a woman， －why，I repeat，in a craverı spirit did you not yourself essay to kill him，but leave it to an accomplice with you in the crime，a woman，to slay him？＇Most editors，not even Klausen excepted，alter
 the antithesis of $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$, and though Aegis－ thus was not actually present at the murder，he unquestionably aided and abetted it．In truth，since the attempted defence of Aegisthus， 1555 seqq．，the chorus has regarded him as equally guilty； cf． 1591, 1612．It is evident that the chorus neither cares for nor fears Aegis－ thus．Their whole reliance is on the
return of Orestes from exile，for their allegiance is unshaken towards the house of the Atridae．The mention of Orestes in the relation of an avenger forms a connecting link between this and the next play，just as the sight of the Eumenides， Cho．1037，connects it with the last play of the trilogy．Cassandra had foretold his return，v． 1251.

1626．ă $\mu$ фоì тоĩ $\delta \epsilon$ ．＂Loquitur cory－ phaeus aversus ab Aegistho，conversus autem ad alterum hemichorium．Alioqui non toì $\delta \epsilon$ ，sed $\dot{v} \mu i \nu$ diceret．＂Hermann．

1627．ठоке̂̂s；sup．16．－кой $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon เ \nu$ ，i．е． кal ou $\mu \delta{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{o v}$ ，is Hermann＇s correction of $\kappa a_{l}^{l} \lambda \in ́ \quad \gamma \epsilon เ \nu$ ．On каl and ко̀ confused see Suppl．291．Those who translate ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \delta \in \iota \nu$ кall $\lambda$ ќ $\gamma \epsilon$＇，＇to act as well as to say，＇may


 －$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\chi} \alpha$ ，a formula of threatening， see on Cho． 297.

1528．eia $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ．This verse is usually given to the chorus，who are supposed to call themselves $\lambda o \chi i$ ita for the reason given on Theb．106．But Hermann and Franz are probably right in assigning it to Aegisthus，whose satellites，called入oxital also in Cho．757，are so stationed as to be in sight and within call．Thus the reply of the chorus，eida $\delta \lambda \%$ \＆c．，ima－ plies that they have no reluctance to bring matters to the decision of the sword．

1631．Se才opévors．＇We accept your



 $\mu$ ย́vovs， 1635
 $\alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$

words，when you say you are ready to die；and we take our chance in the con－ flict．＇．The use of $\delta \dot{f} \chi \in \sigma \theta a t$ in taking up and acting on any ominous expression which has been dropped，like the Latin accipere，is well known．Cf．Herod．ix．
 Soph．El．668，$\epsilon^{\delta} \delta \epsilon \xi a ́ \mu \eta \nu \tau \delta \quad \oint \eta \theta \in \nu \nu$ ，scil．
 ${ }^{\star} \mu \phi \omega$ ．ПЕ．$\delta \in \chi \delta \mu \in \theta \alpha$ ．－$\alpha i \rho o{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \theta \alpha$ is the conjecture of Auratus for $\underset{\epsilon}{e} \rho o \cup \mu \in \theta a$ ，rightly adopted by Dind．，Franz．，Herm．from Suppl．374，тv́ $\chi \eta \nu$ é $\lambda \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ ．In the same way alpe 0 at has been corrupted to épeíote Suppl．927．Dr．Peile prefers，with Blomfield，Schütz＇s correction $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \in \theta$ ． And Photius has $\varepsilon^{2} \rho \omega \mu \in \theta a \cdot$ € $\rho \omega \tau \eta \sigma \omega \mu \in \nu$ ， while ${ }^{〔} \xi เ \sigma \tau \sigma \rho \eta ิ \sigma \alpha \iota \mu 0 \imath \rho \alpha \nu$ ，Theb． 501 ，is a parallel idiom，so that the choice of read－ ings is not easy．But Dr．Peile is wrong in saying that aipou $\mu \in \theta \alpha$＂contains only an unmeaning repetition of what has just preceded．＂

1632．Clytemnestra now comes forward between the two parties who are about to fight，and endeavours to calm them by alleging that enough blood has been shed already．Aegisthus（1640）is with diff－ culty induced to put up with the insult he has received，and the chorus are equally reluctant to desist from their taunts and defiance．Finally（1650），Clytemnestra appears to lead Aegisthus with gentle force from the stage．
 have reaped even these evils，so many in number，is an unhappy harvest．＇Her－ mann construes $\partial \lambda \lambda$ d кal тá $\delta є$ тол入á $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu, \frac{\dot{\ell}}{\xi} \alpha \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda .$, sed haec quoque satis multa sunt，ut inde tristem messem metamus．＇We have already done enough for reaping a bitter harvest，＇i．e．in the vengeance which will be paid for Aga－ memnon．The order of the words is，on this view，rather intricate．Klausen takes
 1266．－$\theta$ épos for $\delta$ є́pws is Schütz＇s cor－ rection．

1635．$\sigma \tau \in i ̂ \chi \in$ кal $\sigma$ v́．So Hermann

 lotted habitations of both parties are im． plied．By adopting Blomfield＇s correc－ tion，$\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \in \tau^{2} \quad \ddot{\eta} \delta \eta \delta^{2}$ ，we lose this signifi－ cation as applied to distinct and respec－ tive positions．

1636．а่ $\rho \kappa \in i ̂ \nu . ~ T h e ~ r e a d i n g ~ h e r e ~ i s ~$ extremely doubtful．The above is the emendation of Hermann for $\epsilon_{\rho} \rho \xi^{\prime}$ antes каıрд̀ or $\epsilon_{\rho} \rho \xi a \nu \tau \alpha$ кацрóv．Many correc－ tions have been proposed，among the best of which is Heath＇s $\sigma \tau \in \rho \xi \neq \nu \tau \alpha s$ aiveiv， though this seems to require $\chi \rho$ ो rather than $\chi \rho \eta \overline{\nu . ~ B u t ~ t h e ~ u s u a l ~ a n t i t h e s i s ~}$
 favours the reading in the text．－Go home before you have suffered for what you shall have done．These things ouglt to have sufficed，as we have done them； i．e．we ought to have been contented with the deed we have done already，without preparing to commit new murders．

1637．$\delta \in \chi o i \mu \in \theta^{\top}$ k $\nu$ ．So Hermann and Franz（as I had proposed to read in the first edition）for ${ }^{E} \chi o l \mu \in \theta^{\prime} \not \partial \nu$ ．The sense appears to be，＇Should there have been enough of these troubles（i．e．if it is the will of the gods that they should hence－ forth cease，cf．1554）we will take it，＇ viz．according to the terms of the bargain
 \％$\nu \theta^{\circ}$ ．－For $\chi 0 \lambda \hat{p}$ MSS．Farn．Ven．give $\chi \eta \lambda \hat{p}$, ＇the claw，＇or＇hoof．＇This read－ ing is not rashly to be rejected，for the notion of a demon as it were pouncing on its prey from above is a common one． See above on 1146,1444 ．Besides，the word for＇wrath＇is $\chi^{\delta \lambda}$ os rather than хо入夕．




 vißpíal．










1639．€l tis àķtô̂．See 339，totav̂тá

 that these men should thus gather the flowers of their vain tongue against／me！＇ The Greek metaphors from flowers are almost endless，and often of the harshest kind；take，as a few instances in Aeschy－
 $\lambda \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau \alpha$ тои́т $\omega \nu \quad \lambda \omega \tau\{\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ，ib． 940.
 $\pi \delta \nu 0 t s$ द̇ $\pi a \nu \theta i \hat{\zeta} \epsilon เ \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \dot{d} \nu$, Theb． 944.



1641．סaluovos．So Casaub．for $\delta$ il－
 yos．The phrase is like our＇tempting fortune，＇for＇daring a risk．＇

1642．$\theta$＇ípifat．These words are wanting in the MSS．，and are given from the conjecture of Blomfield，which seems the most likely of the many that have been proposed．

1646．oif ${ }^{2}$ è $\gamma 6$ ．He speaks from per－ sonal experience，as having been an exile， sup． 1583.

1647．$\pi p \hat{a} \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ ．＇Go on faring，＇－it is implied，$\omega s$ viv̀ $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon t s$ ，and therefore it was not necessary to add кa入âs．See on 1261.
 828.

1650．$\mu a \tau \alpha \mathfrak{i} \omega \nu$ vi $\lambda a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ．Aegisthus had called them עク่тเa ن́入áर $\mu \mu a \tau \alpha$, v．1609， and the repetition of the insulting ex－ pression shews that Clytemnestra has no wish to gain over the chorus，or treat them otherwise than contumeliously as rebel slaves．The reason why the play ends，not，as usual，with some remark or reflection of the chorus，but with the speech of an actor，is this，according to Hermann，－that the chorus having been engaged in an angry dialogue，and in a certain sense taking upon itself the pro－ vince of an actor，could not properly conclude；whereas Clytemnestra，having satisfied her vengeance，and so being free from violent emotion，was the fit person to appease the excitement of the contend－ ing parties．

## ХOHФOPOI.

## CHOEPHOROE.

The second play of the Orestea takes its name from the chorus of Trojan captives, who, as handmaids in the palace, act also as libationbearers for Electra to the tomb of her father. While she is engaged in this duty at the desire of her mother, who has been alarmed by a dream sent by Agamemnon, that dream is being fulfilled by the presence of Orestes, who by the command of the oracle has just returned from exile in Phocis to his native land, to bring his offering,-a longcherished lock of his hair,-to the manes of his father. After the recognition of the brother and sister, the aid of Agamemnon, as a hero or daemon-king having power in Hades, is solemnly invoked over his tomb, and a plan for vengeance on Aegisthus and Clytemnestra is arranged between them with the concurrence of the chorus, and successfully executed. After the perpetration of the deed, Orestes is seized with sudden horror and remorse. He feels his mind is giving way, discerns the awful Furies with their snaky locks, and prepares to fly to Delphi for the promised expiation from his patrongod Apollo.

Orestes acts throughout in obedience to the will of the gods and in reliance on the aid of his father. His piety and faith do not allow him to disregard the peremptory commands of Apollo; but he is not actuated by a vindictiveness which would voluntarily seek the murder of a parent. He is fully conscious of the enormity and responsibility of the crime, but on the other hand, not forgetful of his own rights as heir to the throne, nor of the denunciation of Apollo if he should shrink from the task.

The part taken by Electra is that of a daughter thoroughly devoted to her father, hopefully mindful of her long-lost brother, and irreconcileably inimical to her mother. By recounting her own wrongs and the indignities done to her father, she endeavours to rouse Orestes to action. While she exhibits the sternness of implacable hatred against her mother, she still abstains from reproaching her, and everywhere shews that she is influenced by a high sense of family honour and duty rather than by malice.

Clytemnestra is pourtrayed consistently with her character in the Agamemnon. She is far from being either heartless or abandoned by all sense of virtue; but she lives in perpetual fear of Orestes' return, and is therefore rejoiced, though she has sufficient sense of propriety to conceal her feelings, at the false report of his death. Nor does her courage for an instant forsake her when confronted with her murderer.

The same subject has been treated by both Sophocles and Euripides in their tragedies entitled Electra.
The theatrical arrangements for the representation of the Choephoroe are essentially the same as in the Agamemnon, except that the tomb of Agamemnon now occupies the centre of the stage. On one side Orestes is seen advancing, and shortly afterwards the chorus enter on the other, singing the parode, v. 20-75. Klausen supposes them to issue from the central doorway of the palace; but he also assumes that the tomb of Agamemnon was represented by the thymele.

The Medicean MS., as before stated, is the sole source and authority known to exist for the text of the present play, which in parts is exceedingly corrupt, and in other respects may be regarded as the most difficult of extant Greek tragedies. In the early editions and the MS. Guelf., all derived from the Medicean after its mutilation, the Choephoroe is continued from v. 1124 of the Agamemnon (in Guelf. from 1129) to the verse beginning $\tau i ́ \chi \rho \eta \hat{\eta} \alpha \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma \omega$; Nor was the lacuna suspected, till the edition of Robortello in 1552.

TA TOT $\triangle$ PAMATO乏 ПPOミ $\Omega \Pi A$.
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## ХOHФOPOI.

## OPEETHE.






1. The first part of the prologue, now lost, seems to have existed in the ancient Medicean copy till the thirteenth century, when the Venice MSS. of the Agamemnon (see introductory note, p. 301) were transcribed from it. Three fragments have fortunately been preserved, viz. the opening verses, quoted by Aristoph. Ran. 1125 seqq., the greater part of two, by the Schol. on Pind. Pyth. iv. 146, and two others by the Schol. on Eur. Alcest. 784, first published by $G$. Dindorf from a Vatican MS. How much more has perished it is of course impossible to say; but the prologues of the Agamemnon and the Eumenides are considerably longer, if these should be thought to furnish any grounds of analogy.
 recting (or superintending) the powers of your father' Zeus. "Orestes prays at his father's tomb that Hermes may have such a share in the power of his father, the Soter Zeus, as to become a Soter to him in his undertaking." (Müller, Dissert. p. 191.) The ambiguity of these words gives rise to the discussion in the Ranae, where (1142) Euripides understands $\pi a \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \alpha$ к $\kappa \alpha \dot{\sigma} \eta \eta$ of 'the victory of Clytemnestra over Orestes' father,' but Aeschylus (or Dionysus as his interpreter) ex-
 $\gamma^{\prime} \rho a s$, referring however the $\gamma^{\prime} \rho a s$ not, as he ought, to $\sigma \omega \tau \hbar f$ and $\xi<\mu \mu a \chi o s$ (inf. 17), but to $\chi$ ®dytos. The plural крd $\tau \eta$, which elsewhere (see Suppl. 431) means 'commands,' here signifies 'attributes' or ' prerogatives,' тıual, which Hermes is said to manage, control, or direct, and so to apply them, by a delegated authority from Zeus. It is singular that Hermann should accept, in preference, Euripides'
 which the Schol. on Aristoph. says that Aristarchus the grammarian also regarded



 of the appeal to Hermes, not only as $\sigma \omega \tau \grave{\eta}$, but as $\pi о \mu \pi \alpha \hat{i o s}$ and $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho v \xi$. 'As an exile just returned, I ask your protection; as a son that has something to communicate to a dead parent, I require you to coavey to him my behests.'
2. It is not certain, as Hermann acutely remarks, that this verse really followed next after the first three. For Euripides in the Ranae is only looking for faults; and any intervening verses which presented nothing to carp at would hardly have been brought forward by Aristo-
$\kappa \lambda v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota \quad * \quad * \quad * \quad *$

 ov่ $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\iota} \mu \omega \xi \alpha$ бòv, $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho, \mu o ́ \rho o \nu$,






phanes, with whom fairness was no consideration. From the Aeschylean expressions used in Euripides' comment on the passage (Ran. 1141-4), Hermann suggests the following as a probable restoration of the text:

 $\pi \delta \delta a$,

 $\tau \dot{u} \mu \beta$ вои $\delta^{\prime} \kappa_{\text {. }} \tau . \lambda$.
3. $\pi \lambda$ oraquou. Perhaps $\phi e ́ \rho \omega \delta \bar{\delta}$ is wanting to complete the original verse. As we cannot tell what may have dropped out before it, it is impossible to decide whether Orestes means really to offer (or that he has offered) a lock of hair to the river-god, as a propitiatory gift to an elemental power on his first entering the Argive land (cf. Suppl. 23 seqq.), or whether, as Achilles in Il. xxiii. 142, cuts off for the dead Patroclus the hair he had kept in reserve for the Spercheius, so here Orestes intends to divert his riverlock from its proper destination, and thus to lay a double gift on his father's tomb. Again, it is doubtful whether $\theta \rho \in \pi \tau h p t o y$ is an adjective in a passive gense (see on Ag. 629, 1060), or a substantive implying 'the price of nourishment' (трофєia, Theb. 472), as inf. 41, $\lambda u \tau \rho a \nu$ is 'a ransom,' i. e. 'the price of releasing,' or (as I. incline to believe)
 hair' in such a sense as to retain a signification closely allied to that of the sub-
 àmodious. The origin of the mourninglock was, without doubt, the eastern custom of shaving the head in grief. The Greeks, who set a value beyond other
nations on fine hair, adopted the practice of merely cutting off a portion as a symbol, apology, or acknowledgment of the obligation. There is more obscurity
 Klausen asserts, but does not attempt to prove, that the cutting off of such a lock implied an entire surrender of the votary to the god whom he had chosen as his patron, on the principle that a tuft of hair cut from the head of a victim was a ceremonial dedication or consecration of it. Hence, he thinks, the $\pi \lambda$ orauos $\pi \in \nu \theta \eta \tau$ hpios symbolised the same entire dedication of a son to a parent. The river-lock, however, would seem rather to have been offered by youths on coming: of age as ȧ̃apxal or first-fruits to the elementary power (water) that had fed them or produced food for them from infancy. Thus both Earth and Rivers were called roupotpóoot. And hair was offered, not only as a thing of value, but as being the only part of the living body that could be isolated, as a material offering, without mutilation, danger, or inconvenience.
4. oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \pi \rho \omega{ }^{2} \nu$. The $\gamma$ dे $\rho$ appears to shew that this fragment is closely connected with the last. 'I bring this lock to the tomb (which is all I can now do), for I was not present at my father's funeral.'
5. пробєнкírw; 'Should I refer it by conjecture?' Cf. Ag. 158, oủr " ex


6. ėretкd́ $\sigma a s ~ \tau u ́ \chi \omega$; 'Shall I be right in guessing ?' 'Must I hazard a conjecture that'-Peile; who distinguishes between $\tau u ́ \chi \omega$ and $\tau u \dot{u} \chi o \mu^{\prime} \dot{\Delta} \nu$ with a participle, the latter meaning, 'can I hit upon if I try;' but it may be doubted if the distinction is not a fanciful one,

Хоàs фєрои́ $\sigma \alpha s$ עєртє́poıs $\mu \epsilon \iota \lambda \iota ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ；
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \alpha ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \eta ̀ \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta ̀ \nu, \pi \epsilon \in \nu \in \epsilon \iota \nu \gamma \rho \hat{\omega}$ $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi o v \sigma \alpha \nu . \quad \hat{\omega} \cdot Z \epsilon \hat{v}$ ，ठós $\mu \epsilon \tau i ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu o ́ p o \nu$




## XOPOZ．

|  | $\sigma \tau \rho .{ }_{\text {á }}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \rho \eta$ tis фоı <br>  | 21 |
|  |  |
| $\lambda \iota \nu$ офӨópoı $\delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \phi \alpha \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ | 25 |
|  |  |

 ings to appease the dead．＇Blomf．，Dind．， and Herm．give $\mu \in i \lambda i \gamma \mu a t a$ ，a probable correction，since transcribers often fell into the error of assimilating terminations， and we have $\nu \eta \phi \dot{d} \lambda t a, \mu \in i \lambda l_{\gamma \mu a \tau a}$ in Eum．
 Pers．612，both immediately in juxta－ position with xad́s．The dative is de－ fended by Well．，Peile，and Franz．Cf．



 катокібєє．
 in sad mourning＇（for so in our idiom we use mourning for habiliments of mourn－ ing）．So $\pi \rho \dot{e ́ t r o v \sigma \alpha ~ s u p . ~} 10$.

16． $\bar{Z} \mathrm{Z} \in \hat{\imath}, \delta \delta \delta_{s}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．The sight of his sister in grief，as Klausen well ob－ serves，rouses Orestes to a desire for vengeance，

19．тробтрот斤．Supplicatio，－here，as the contest，shews，used for those con－ ducting it，i．e．a band of suppliants．
20 seqq．The chorus in the parode declare the reasons why they have been sent to accompany the libations to the tomb，and how they do so with a real sorrow for their own fortunes and those of the family．Clytemnestra has seen a dream，which has alarmed her and in－
duced her to appease by offerings the shade of Agamemnon．Their respect for their lord，their dislike of the queen，their expectation of vengeance，are expressed， though with a certain degree of reserve． Their own unhappy lot in being under the thraldom of an unjust tyranny，and so forced to dissemble their feelings，is bewailed（ 66 seqq．）．

21．Хò̀s $\pi \rho о \pi о \mu \pi \AA$ s．Dind．gives $\chi$ оâ $\nu$ with Casaubon．The accusative is de－ fended by äпора $\pi \delta \rho \iota \mu о$ ，Prom． 924 ； т $\pi \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \mu \hat{\eta} \chi a \rho$ ov̂plas Zєv̀s，Suppl． 588.
 $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \omega$.

22．фoutiols à $\mu v \gamma \mu o i ̂ s . ~ T h e ~ M e d . ~ h a s ~$ фоциє $\sigma \sigma \mu \nu \gamma \mu 0$ is，which differs only in C for 0 ．There is another reading in Ald． Rob．Guelf．，фoivi $\sigma \sigma a \gamma \omega \mu \mu i$ is，whence Hermann，followed by Franz，gives $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \pi \in t$ $\pi a p \hat{\eta} \sigma t$ фоivtos $\delta: \omega \gamma \mu \mathrm{d}$ ，the antistrophic verse consisting of pure iambics．Prof． Conington remarks that if the metre re－ quires the change，it would be easier to

 the face，according to ancient Eastern usage，as Eur．Suppl．826，ฉैvuदt катך入o－ $n \ell \sigma \mu \in \theta a^{\text {s }}$

24．$\delta i i^{i}$ aîpos．Not merely as a mour－ ner for the accasion，but as never ceasing to grieve．

26．入akí $\delta \epsilon s$ є̌ $\varnothing \lambda a \delta o \nu$ ．＇Have burst

# $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \nu \circ \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \mu \circ i ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda \omega \nu$ ả $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o \iota s$ $\xi \cup \mu \phi о \rho \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$. торòs $\delta \in ̀ ~ ф о i ̂ т o s ~ o ́ ~ \rho \theta o ́ \theta \rho ı \xi, ~$ <br> à $\nu \tau$. á. $^{\text {. }}$ 30  <br> $\pi \nu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$, ả $\omega \rho o ́ \nu v \kappa \tau о \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta o ́ a \mu \alpha$ <br> $\mu \nu \chi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ єै $\lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \nless o ́ \beta \varphi$, <br> $\gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \in \mathfrak{i} \circ \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ė้ $\delta \omega ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu \beta a \rho v ̀ s ~ \pi i ́ \tau \nu \omega \nu$ • <br> $\kappa \rho \iota \tau \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta{ }^{\prime}$ ỏ $\nu \in \iota \rho a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ <br>  

asunder in rendings (or tatters) destructive of the threads of the texture.' Schol.

 Hermann, by removing the comma after ah $\lambda \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma L \nu$, adopts this construction, but
 See on Pers. 830. Others take $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \mu 0$ l in apposition with $\lambda a \kappa i \delta \in s$, as just before

 $\kappa \in \in \rho \rho$.- $\sigma \tau о \lambda \mu o l \pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \omega \nu$, the folds of the tragic dress (Suppl. 451), called $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \in \pi$ तos колтіа. Pers. 1039. Cf, бтол $\mu$ оl лаіфоия Suppl. 695. $-\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}^{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\omega}$, if taken to agree with $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \omega \nu$, leaves the dative $\xi \nu \mu \emptyset о \rho a i s$ dependent on the sense of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi l$, ' in consequence of,' cf. inf. 47, 74. Klausen supplies $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, and it is certain
 while the garment itself is rather 'rent' than 'beaten or struck.' Perhaps, without referring this to the idiom illustrated on Suppl. 437, we may say that the poet identifies or confuses the thing with the person, of which it forms as it were a

 849. Or did he mean rather $\sigma \tau \in \rho \rho \nu \omega \nu$ from $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \in \rho \nu 0$ ?
29. торòs $\delta \notin$ фоî̃os. The conjecture of Hermann and Bamberger, adopted by
 mon reading, suggested by Heath, is
 repetition of $\pi \epsilon \rho l \phi \phi \beta \varphi$ in 32 is an objection not satisfactorily met by translating ' a fright uttered (caused the utterance of) a shriek through fear.' Now фoìtos is an Aeschylean word (Theb. 658), which here seems to retain a signification closely connected with фoir $\hat{\nu} \nu$, 'a stalking nightly visitant' in the form of a dream, inf. 526, 538. Thus topds will mean 'clear,' 'of unequivocal import,' and the whole pas-
sage (which contains the reason of the chorus' mission, v. 20) may be rendered thus: 'For a plain spectral vision, making the hair to stand on end, declaring the destiny of the family by dreams, breathing resentment in sleep, uttered from the interior of the house a cry through terror in the dead of night, falling heavily on the women's apartments.' Clytemnestra, whose dream of a serpent is described below ( 526 seqq.), is there said $\bar{\epsilon} \xi$ Ü $\pi \nu$ vo кєкраүєчаи є̇ттопиє́ขך.一ко́тоу $\pi \nu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$, cf. ѐ $\gamma \kappa о \tau \in i ̀ \nu, ~ v . ~ 37 . ~ S o ~ \pi \nu e ́ \omega ~ \mu e ́ v o s ~-E u m . ~$
 —Bapìs $\pi i \tau \nu \omega \nu$, see on Ag. 1146, $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$
 prae timore, Pers. 692. In $\mu \nu \chi{ }^{6 \theta \in \nu}$ ёлаке there seems an allusion to the prophetic adytum (inf. 775) which accounts for the reading $\Phi \circ \bar{\beta} \beta$ os for $\phi$ oĩ os. The Schol, seems to have read $\phi \delta \beta o s$, from his comment on this verse (32),

 teudplevos. Klausen objects that the dream itself could not be said $\lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, and gives ê $\lambda a \chi \in$ with Aldus and MS. Guelf. But ỏvєєр $\mu a \nu \tau i s ~ j u s t i f i e s ~ \lambda a \kappa є \hat{\nu}$, since the dream thereby assumes the character of a person; whence also ßapus $\pi i \not \tau \nu \omega \nu$.
34. крıтat, the interpreters, $-\delta \delta \mu \omega \nu$ $\pi \rho о ф \hat{\eta} \tau a \ell$, Ag. 399. The $\delta \in \in$ was inserted by Schütz. - $\theta \in \delta \theta \in \nu$ ن́mé $\gamma \gamma v o t$, Schol. ėk
 is added to impress the certainty of the result, and that the interpretation was not the vain conjecture of a mere $\theta u \mu \delta$ $\mu a \nu \tau t s$, Pers. 226. The seers, as the ministers of the gods, were pledged by the most solemn obligations not to falsify predictions, and were in turn secured by the gods from misapprehension of the true meaning. Pexhaps however (cf. 32) it is better to join $\theta \in \delta \theta \in \nu$ Е̃лaкоу.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau o v ̀ s \gamma^{\alpha} \mathrm{s} \nu \epsilon \in \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}$,

 ì̀ Гaîa $\mu a i ̂ a, \mu \omega \mu e ́ v a \mu^{\prime}$ là ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota$
 тi $\gamma$ à $\rho$ 入ú $\tau \rho о \nu \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau o s ~ \alpha i \mu a \tau o s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ ̂ ́ \omega ~ ; ~ ; ~$
 ì̀ катабкафаі̀ סó $\mu \omega \nu$. à $\nu \eta$ ท̀too $\beta$ ротобтvүєîs






36. $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a t, ~ ' a r e ~ d i s s a t i s f i e d, ' ~ ' a r e ~$ discontented' with their unavenged and unhonoured state in Hades.- тє $\rho_{t} \theta \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}$, cf. $\pi \epsilon \rho t \delta \rho \gamma \omega s, \mathrm{Ag} .209$.
38. $\chi$ д́pı $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha p ı \tau o \nu . \quad$ So Herm., Franz., Dind., Peile, after Elmsley, for $\chi$ ápıv ${ }^{\alpha} \chi \alpha \rho ı \nu$, on account of the metre (for $\chi \alpha \rho, \nu$ KxapLv occurs in precisely the same sense Ag. 1522).-Гаía $\mu$ aía, cf. $\chi$ Өоуds трофой, v. 58, and also v. 120. The exclamation seems to imply that the chorus are shocked at the idea of Mother earth receiving libations so impiously sent, and intend to deprecate the $\phi \theta \delta \nu$ os which they might incur as agents in the transaction.
40. $\xi_{\pi} \pi$ оs $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon$. I fear to utter the prescribed words ддтотротоу канผิ (inf. 149) being well aware that there is no atonement for the blood that has been shed by her,-no ransom that can be paid to satisfy divine vengeance. It is a
 Theb. 678. Eum. 615 seqq.- $\lambda \dot{\prime} \rho \rho \frac{\nu}{}$ for $\lambda v \gamma \rho \delta \nu$ is the correction of Canter. The word is rather rare, and particularly in the singular. Cf. $\lambda d \tau \rho \omega \nu \not \approx \tau \in \rho \theta \in \nu$, from $\lambda d \omega$, Suppl. 988 . Demosth. p. 394,


47. $\delta \in \sigma \pi о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. Perbaps $\delta \in \sigma \pi о \tau \bar{\alpha} \nu$, as inf. 74. The plural is used, not only to suit $\theta a v d r o \iota \sigma t \nu$ (which means more than a natural death, see Ag. 1311), but as
before, v. 36, and as it generally is, when the intention is to allude rather than to specify.
49. $\delta i^{2}{ }^{2} \tau \omega \nu \quad \phi \rho \in \nu \delta{ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon$. They not only obeyed Agamemnon, but had an affection for him in their hearts; whereas they submitted to Aegisthus reluctantly and only from necessity (Ag. 1618). The Schol. explains, тои̂тo סè $\theta$ é $\lambda \in l$ єiтeîv,



 $\tau t s$, i. e. the combined $\alpha i \delta \dot{\omega} s$ and $\sigma \epsilon \in \beta a s$ of the former reign is changed into $\phi \delta \beta$ os.
 $\theta a$. Klausen thinks the point of the passage lies in Agamemnon's power to coerce, as $\breve{a}^{\mu} \mu \alpha \chi o s$, ả $\delta \alpha \mu \alpha \tau o s, ~ a ̉ \pi b \lambda \in \mu o s$, and that $\phi о \beta \varepsilon i \tau \alpha$, is said of Clytemnestra and the inhabitants of the palace, who have reason to dread the $\delta \eta \mu \delta \theta \rho o u s \dot{a} \nu \alpha \rho-$ $\chi^{l a}$ of Ag. 856, so that no man thinks himself secure under Aegisthus' feeble sway.
50. т $\delta \delta^{\prime} \in \dot{v} \tau \cup \chi \in i ̄ \nu, \kappa . \tau, \lambda$. Men worship worldly prosperity, and attribute to it all the power of a god (this is said in respect of Aegisthus and Clytemnestra who had ' ruled by money,' Ag. 1616), but justice will sooner or later overtake the wicked, and not the less surely because it is slow.
тítas фóvos $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \eta \gamma \in \nu$ out סıappúסav.
53. $\Delta$ ic as. The Med. has סikav, but

54. soUs $\mu \in \ell$. So the Schol. and ed. Turn. The Med. has toîs $\mu \grave{\mathrm{c}} \nu$, and another scholium, founded perhaps on a various reading, тoîs $\mu \mathrm{e} \nu, \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau i$ тồ toùs $\mu e ́ \nu$. It may be observed that סícay тoîs $\mu \hat{e} \nu$ form a connected construction, and must be adopted or rejected together. For e $\pi เ \downarrow \sigma \kappa \pi \in \hat{\imath}$, 'regards,' ' does not lose sight of,' cf. Suppl. 396, ar $\mu ф о \tau$ е́роиs

 are spoken of; the present time, or prime of life, when the stroke of justice (cf. Ag. 241) falls most heavily and least expected; the twilight, or evening of life; and the night, or death, which comes äкраитos, before punishment has overtaken its victim. It is thus that bad men hope to escape, because divine retribution is dispensed in this mysterious way. Translate: 'But swiftly descending Justice has in view (i. e. observant Justice falls quickly upon) some in the light, while other (crimes) remain silently awaiting her for a long time in the hour between it and darkness, and others ineffective night possesses.' The antithesis between $\tau \alpha \chi \in i a$ and $\chi \rho o \nu i \zeta o \nu \tau \alpha$ will not escape the student.
56. $\alpha \sigma v \chi \hat{a}$. So I formerly corrected K $\chi \eta$ or $\epsilon \cup \cup \chi \eta$ of the Med., an alteration which suits both sense and metre at the small cost of changing $\in$ into $C$. Hermann now gives $\dot{\alpha} \tau v \chi \hat{\eta}$, having formerly omitted the word as a gloss, in which he is followed by Klausen, Franz, and Pile. He interprets the three terms, not of periods, but of the reigning Aegisthus, the exiled (arvхخ̀s) Orestes, and the dead Agamemnon. The first has his day; that of the second is dawning; that of the third is past and gone. In this case, oik $\eta$, as referred to Orestes, must mean that retributive justice which will restore him to his rights, while in respect of the
others it will signify the justice which punishes (Aegisthus) and avenges (Agamemnon). The words however in the text seem peculiarly applicable to crimes which, as it were, lie dormant till old age, and then have their penalty in a miserable and remorseful evening of life. This is nearly the view of the Scholiast;



 $\alpha \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \nu \pi \epsilon \grave{\iota} \sigma \theta a t$ (this remark applying to Agamemnon, cf. v. 36), af $\lambda$ Nous $\delta \frac{1}{6}$
 avi ทิs.
 Bp utes are compelled to take this as a substantive, and, by consequence, $\mu \in \tau \alpha<\chi \mu i \varphi$ as an adjective. But cf. Ag. 820, $\quad$ or ns $\left.\chi \rho \circ \nu^{\prime}\right\} o \nu \in \bar{\partial} \mu \in \nu \in \hat{\imath}$. After $\not \subset \chi \eta$ the MSS. and early edd. add $\beta$ put, which seems to have crept in from v. 61. The Schol. also
 must be sacrificed to the necessities of the metre. Dr. Pele rightly judges, that in the scholium quoted above, $\alpha \lambda \lambda o u s$ 险 $\sigma \kappa \delta т о s ~ к а \lambda u ́ \pi т \epsilon \iota, ~ к . \tau . \lambda ., ~ \beta \rho u ́ \in t ~ e v i d e n t l y ~$ finds no place.
59. litas фठ́vas. Schol. ti $\quad$ woós.—oủ ס́appúdav, Schol. àvtl тov̂, ov̉ ठıappéw. This adverb is commonly interpreted, 'indelibly,' 'so as not to be washed out,' according to an ancient superstition that the blood of a murdered man leaves a stain that no water will efface. Prof. Conington however explains 'not flowing through,' i. e. Mother earth will not receive into her lap the blood of her own child, but casts it out and lets it lie bare and festering on the surface. How then could the same blood be said to have been 'drunk up?' It seems that $\delta$ cappeiv implies the dilution and consequent spreading of a stain, when water is mixed with it to wipe it up.
$\theta \iota \gamma o ́ \nu \tau \iota \delta^{\prime}$ ой $\tau \iota \nu \nu \mu \phi \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$ ย́ $\delta \omega \lambda i \omega \omega$$\dagger$ $\beta$ aívoveєs $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \chi \epsilon \rho о \mu \nu \sigma \hat{\eta}$65
 Did he read alawhs? (Eum. 642.) 'Acerba pernicies,' Klausen.- $\delta \iota a \phi \in ́ \rho \in \iota$, 'puts off the guilty one, that he may break out hereafter in a lasting affliction.' Of the many interpretations that have been proposed, this of Hermann's (" differt auctorem, i. e. differt auctori poenam") is by far the best. The Schol. gives $\delta \iota a \sigma \pi \alpha-$ páa $\sigma \epsilon$. The reference appears to be to the crimes which are not immediately punished, but remain रpovifovтa, v. 56.
 defended by the analogous form $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \in \tau \sigma s$ Eum. 501. It may be regarded as a synonym of $\pi \alpha \nu \alpha \not \kappa \grave{s} s$ and $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \rho \kappa \grave{\xi} s$, 'allsufficing,' and therefore ' $h$ olding out against all' the remedies next mentioned. For the feminine termination see Theb. 104. Pers. 598. Schol. Tŷs eis $\pi$ dàta $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$

 sen takes $\pi$ ravaprétas for the nominative. -After this verse the MSS. repeat $\nabla .57$, the error arising from $\beta p b$ bi having been added at the end of 56 .
62. Aryóvtı. So Scaliger for olyovtı, Cf. $\pi \omega \lambda \iota \kappa \omega \bar{\nu}$ € $\bar{\delta} \omega \lambda i \omega \nu$, "virgin chambers," Theb. 450. The double crime of Aegisthus, adultery and murder, neither of which admit of any remedy, is here meant.



 he read $\theta$ เ $\gamma \delta \nu \tau t$, not ot' $\gamma o \nu \tau t$, which leaves $\epsilon \delta \omega \lambda i \omega y$ to depend on ăkos. For the
 a synonym of $\theta_{\imath} \gamma \in i v e c u y \eta \bar{\eta}$. Besides, the metre is against of \%oyt t, which should not have been retained by Klausen, Franz, and Peile.
63. Ėк $\mu$ iâs $\delta \delta \delta o \hat{v}$. Rushing in one common channel, and therefore, in one direction upon the guilty spot. There can be little doubt that $\beta$ aivovtes is a mere gloss. The metre might be restored either by

троßalvoyтes (Bamberger), or סıaivoytes (Lachmann and Hermann); or, more probably still, we should transfer $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha i \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ to this place, and leave the next verse with the marks of a lacuna. The words ioṽav ä $\tau \eta \nu$ are also corrupt. No correction is of sufficient certainty to be admitted; but Є̇лоvбav $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$, though it does not suit the strophic verse, probably represents the general meaning. Hermann
 Dindorf, and Peile retain the vulgate, but Klausen alone ventures to explain it, taking $\pi \delta \rho o s$, after the Schol., for "ways and means' (Prom. 59). There can be no doubt however that water is meant, the usual purification in murder ( $\rho$ vodol $\pi \delta \rho o l$, Eum. 430), especially as $\chi \in \rho \rho \mu \nu \sigma \hat{\eta}$ is contrasted with katapal $\chi \in i \rho \epsilon \in s$ ( $i b$. 303).
66. The general sense of the difficult passage which commences the epode is this: 'However, since I am a slave, I must not rebel, but put up with the conduct of my superiors, just or unjust, sup. pressing my dislike.' "Excusant se servae, quod Clytaemnestrae morem gerunt in faciendis inferiis, quas Agamemnoni parum acceptas fore satis intelligunt." Klausen. -ávúyкŋ à $\mu \phi i \pi \tau o \lambda ı s$, according to Hermann, means " duplicis sedis necessitas," the being driven from their native city, Troy, to another, Argos. And so the
 The ordinary explanation seems at least equally probable, 'slavery thrown (like a net, Ag. 351) round the city' by the beleaguering army. Prof. Conington understands, nearly with Peile, à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ रà $\rho$

 i. e. 'things which, right or wrong, seen right and fitting to the rulers of my life,
 thus construes $\beta$ 'í $\phi \in \rho \circ \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} y \omega \nu$ as a genitive absolute, 'since things go by force.' To him also is due $\delta o u u^{\prime} \lambda \partial \nu \mu^{3}$ for $\delta o u ́ \lambda t o \nu$, for

#  


סікаиа каì $\mu \eta$ ঠікаиа

ßía $\phi \epsilon \rho \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ aivé $\sigma a l, \pi \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \phi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega ิ \nu$ бти́yos кратои́тŋ.

§єбтотâv $\tau \cup ́ \chi a \iota s, \kappa \rho v \phi a i o u s$
$\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \pi \alpha \chi \nu o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$.
НАЕКТРА.

 $\pi о \mu \pi o \grave{,} \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta$ ov入oı $\pi \epsilon \in \rho \cdot$


which others give סou入lav. Cf. Od. vi. 91,
 $\tilde{v} \delta \omega \rho$. The chief obscurity of the passage lies in $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi=\frac{1}{} \tau^{\prime}$ á $\rho \chi$ ais $\beta$ lov. As the Med. gives àp $\chi$ às, and the Schol. explains ${ }^{\ell} \mu \mathrm{ol}$



 to restore $\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{a} s$ in the text. Translate: ' For to me it has been becoming (i. e. a matter of duty) from the commencement of (this) life, to acquiesce in the deeds of those who behave overbearingly, whether righteous or unrighteous, suppressing the bitter detestation of my heart.' Literally, 'Justice and injustice alike have been proper for me to assent

 $\chi \in \sigma \theta a$ a audad. On the article omitted before фєро $\mu$ е́̀шу, see inf. 352.

 8/кана кӑסика. Compare Tac. Ann. ii. 38, ' haec atque talia, quanquam cum adsensu audita ab iis quibus omnia principum, honesta atque inhonesta, laudare mos est, plures per silentium aut occultum murmur excepere.' The $\mu \eta$ is used, as in Prom. 959. Theb. 750, as if the article had been prefixed, tà $\mu \grave{\eta}$ סiкata, the same notion of generality being sufficiently conveyed by
the context.
71. $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \delta \nu . \quad$ So ed. Vict. for $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \bar{\omega} v$.
73. $\mathfrak{v} \phi$ ' eifdic $\omega \nu$, 'secretly,' so as to conceal my tears from my masters. мataiois, 'helpless,' 'frustrated of their hopes.' By $\delta \in \sigma \pi$ totâ $\nu$ she means Orestes (cf. 757), including perhaps Agamemnon,
76 seqq. Electra, being assured by the sentiments in the parode, that the chorus are unwilling agents in carrying the libations according to the purpose of Clytemnestra, now asks their advice as to the course she ought to pursue, proposing to them several plans which she well knows they will severally reject. The object of this, Klausen observes, was to fortify herself by the counsel of others in treating her mother as an enemy, and so to avoid the $\phi$ divos of originating an imprecation upon her.
79. $\tau l \phi \hat{\omega}$. So Franz with H. L. Abrens
 is added as a conjecture. Hence $\tau \dot{d} \phi \varphi$ Stanley, т́v $\mu \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\chi} \chi^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} v \sigma a$ Herm., Dind., Blomf. Klausen and Peile retain $\delta \dot{\mathbf{E}}(\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\Phi} \varphi$ $\delta \underset{\chi}{ } \chi$ fouca), for the insertion of which it is not easy to account, though neither is it easy to defend it satisfactorily (see a similar instance in 198). I believe $\tau i \phi \omega$ is the true reading ; compare 83 and 110. -кךঠєious, Schol. $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu t \kappa \alpha ́ s . ~ R a t h e r, ~$ perhaps, 'funeral offerings,' as inf. 529.







 $\hat{\eta} \sigma \hat{\imath} \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \tau i ́ \mu \omega \varsigma, \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ov̂̀ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \tau \circ$

 $\delta \iota \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon \overline{\hat{v}} \chi о \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \phi о \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ оै $\mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ；



change this to кarevjwuaı．But the tragic writers frequently combine the future with the conjunctive of the aorist．Cf．Eur． Ion 758．Iph．Aul．442．455．Trach． 973．Ajac．403．Pers．124．Suppl． 757. Inf．257．Of course，there is a slight dif－ ference in sense，as in English between shall I and must or should I．－єйфро⿱亠幺， ＇acceptable to my father．＇

85．匇 тoûto r．т．入．＇Or should I utter this form of prayer，as is usual among mankind，That he may give in return blessings to those who are sending these garlands，a return which，forsooth，their evil actions have deserved？＇The reading E $\sigma \theta \lambda^{\prime}$ for ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ ，admitted by Dindorf and Hermann，is Elmsley＇s，and it so improves the sense of the whole passage that one can hardly doubt of its truth；and the same may be said of $\gamma \in$ for $\tau \epsilon$ ，since $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$ is opposed to $\vec{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda \grave{a}$ ，and the ab－ surdity，in the mind of a Greek，of render－ ing good for evil justifies the introduction of the ironical particle．－$\sigma \tau$ é $\phi \eta$ ，Schol． à $\nu \tau \boldsymbol{l}$ тoù $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \chi^{0}$ oás．And this may be the meaning here．Cf．Soph．El．440，$\tau \alpha \sigma \delta \bar{\epsilon}$
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \bar{\delta}^{\prime}$ è $\pi \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \in$ ．Antig．431，रoaîनl $\tau \rho t-$
 may have arisen from comprising various offerings，flowers，hair，chaplets，\＆cc．under one general name（cf．Pers． 611 seqq． Soph．El．895，$\pi \in \rho เ \sigma \tau \in \phi \hat{\eta}$ кúk $\frac{1}{\omega} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$
 from the Homeric $\kappa \rho \eta \tau \hat{\eta} p a$, é $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \in{ }^{\prime} \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota$ motoio，in allusion to the foam or＇head＇
upon poured－out liquids．
88．${ }^{\mathbf{Z}} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oûv．See on Ag． 1142. ＇Ought I not rather to commemorate my father＇s ignominious death by a silent and unceremonious offering of the libations？ To symbolize my loathing for the deed by casting away these libations like some polluted thing？＇But this（she implies） would be as unnatural and ungracious as the other proposals，since it would seem that the daughter did him dishonour as well as the wife．

90．$\sigma \tau \epsilon$ í $\chi \omega$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．＇Should I，like one who has carried away refuse from a purifi－ cation，after tossing away the urn，go back again with eyes not turned behind me？ （or without looking back to see what became of the lustral ashes and water which had just been thrown away．）On this ancient and curious superstition the Schol．observes，тoûto $\pi \rho \partial{ }^{\prime} \tau^{\tau} \delta \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$＇ $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta$－


 Eum．430．Virg．Eccl．viii．102．Theocr． xxiv．91．Oed．Col．490．Ovid，Fast． จ．436，＇Aversusque jacit，sed dum jacit， Haec ego mitto，His，inquit，redimo meque meosque fabis．Hoc novies dicit， nec respicit ；umbra putatur Colligere，et nullo terga vidente sequi．＇

93．ромí̧омєข．＇We entertain，＇＇we habitually hold，＇（inf．990．）The use of the word in this sense is rare；but the poet perhaps meant qoùs aủroùs фídous

 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta s ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau о u ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \nu \chi \epsilon \rho o ́ s$.












XO．тoîs aitious $\nu v \nu$ tov̂ фóvov $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu$ év $\eta$ ．－



95．тo $\mu \delta \rho \sigma \tau_{\mu} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, i．e．the chances of harm are common to slaves and free， and you can suffer nothing unless fate wills it．Do not therefore withhold your sentiments through fear of offending your superiors．Compare sup． 73.

97．入éरoss à̛．Literally，＇You would tell it，if you had any thing superior to the above proposals．＇In our idiom，＇Say， if you have any thing better to suggest．＂ And the chorus proceeds to dictate a more suitable prayer to be uttered while the libations are being poured．＇From the reverence which I feel for your father＇s tomb，I will speak，as you bid me，the real sentiments of my heart．＇－＇Speak on then，with all the sincerity implied in that profession，＇by which you are in a manner pledged to consult only the interests of Agamemnon and his family．With $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho$



101．$\phi \theta \in ́$＇$\gamma$ ov．＇Utter，as you pour， solemn words for those who are well－ disposed．＇What follows defines $\sigma \in \mu \nu \dot{\alpha}$ ， and shews that the prayer was for good． But the chorus speak at first very guard－ edly，and as it were by feeling the pulse of Electra．Thus both $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \mathrm{d}$ and $\epsilon \overline{\mathrm{U}}$－ фроб⿱亠乂 are purposely ambiguous．Had

 have reproved their andacity，or refused to accept so bold an expression．As it is， she cautiously inquires whom of her ac－ quaintances and relations（ $\phi$（ $\lambda 0 t$ ）she is to address as＇the well－disposed，＇and the chorus with equal caution reply，so as not directly to implicate themselves．

104．＇̇uo！$\tau \in$ кal бol．＇Shall I pray
 you as well as for myself？＇－Do you yourself consider that，now that you begin to understand my meaning．＇On the sense of $\tau \epsilon-$ ral see Suppl． 734.

106．$\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$ ．Here and inf．449， simply，＇company，＇without reference，as Klausen thinks，to political factions against Aegisthus and Clytemnestra．Properly （Ag．1086．Eum．301）used of the chorus drawn up in their proper station at the thymele；whence also $\lambda \delta \chi$ os Theb． 106.
 wishes to shift the responsibility of a vin－ dictive prayer from herself，on the pre－ tence of ignorance what to say．See on 76．It has been supposed that there is an allusion to the Athenian ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \xi} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau a l$ ，or authorised expositors of difficult legal and religious questions．












 to hear the cause, or an avenger to inflict summary justice?'
113. $\underset{\sim}{\circ} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$, 'plainly,' 'without dis-
 $\mu a \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\varphi} \lambda \delta \gamma \varphi$. Ib. 996, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\varphi}$
 mann reads $\frac{\mathrm{c} \pi}{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \lambda \omega \sigma \pi \mathrm{l}$.

 моt крlиєтat; Apparently a short or imperfectly enunciated expression for kal
 тapà $\theta \in \omega \hat{\nu}$;
115. Tîs $\delta$ ' ov ; i. e. the law of retribution is natural, just, and immutable.
 $\eta \mu \in i \beta \in \tau о$.
116. $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \xi$. This verse occurs in the old copies after 157. Later editors follow Hermann, who first transposed it to its present place. In the next verse either akovaov or ăpmध̆ is thought to have been lost.— $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \not{ }^{2} \nu \omega \kappa \alpha a l(\tau \bar{\omega} \nu) \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega$, the more correct expression, is disregarded as too

 should mean 'those who have to do with both the upper and the lower world,' like Hermes himself.—кпри́gas к.т.ג., ' having summoned for me the powers below the earth to hear my prayers which have reference to my father's house.' For the construction of кךри $\sigma \sigma \in \omega \nu$ compare Ar.

 and not with סalmovas, Eum. 863, droía עiкクs $\mu \grave{\eta}$ какク̂s є̇тiбкотa. The whole prayer as far as 132 (or at least 130), is
for the restoration of Orestes to his home. From not sufficiently noticing this, Hermann and Franz read aijáт $\omega \nu$. The Med. has $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \mu \mu \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu$. The obvious correction $\delta \omega \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ was made by Stanley.
 produced from herself, and having reared them to maturity, again receives the increase of them,' e. g. wine, the produce of the grape, oil from the olive, \&sc. She is not only $\mu \eta \tau \tau \eta$ and $\tau \rho o \neq \bar{s}$, but she is the careful guardian of all that she has taken back into her lap, and therefore of the dead. Photius, кі̀ $\mu \cdot$. т̀̀ кuоч́цєvov. See
 i. е. кขमцатоя.
122. кal E่yб. 'As you (Hermes) have your part to do, so I here do mine.' Hermann gives 'à $\gamma \dot{\omega}$, and $\phi \theta$ itois for $\beta$ potois, in the latter of which arbitrary changes he is followed by Dindorf and Franz. The Med. has $\gamma \rho$. עєкроîs, which seems to have originated in a gloss to shew that the dead Agamemnon was meant. But Bporoîs is rightly used in contrast with coùs $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ $\forall \nu \in p \theta \in \delta a i \mu o v a s$. Hermes summons the powers below by his office of herald, Electra calls on those who have human relations to her family, namely Agamemnon, by her libations. Though $\beta \rho o-$ tois is of itself indefinite, the immediate addition of $\kappa \alpha \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \hat{\rho} \rho \alpha$ removes the ambiguity. Dr. Peile follows Klausen in harshly construing $\chi$ е́puißas ßротоís, ' what mortals are accustomed to consider expiatory (or lustral) streams.'
123. Е̇ँоіктєєроу к.т. $\lambda$. 'Pity me, and how shall we restore dear Orestes to his house?' i. e. and show us how we may
 $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \circ \iota \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho \nu \hat{v} \nu \gamma \epsilon ́ \pi \omega s$ ả $\lambda \omega \prime \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$
 Aї $\gamma \iota \sigma \theta \mathrm{o} \nu$ ，ơ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma 0 \hat{v}$ фóvov $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha i ́ t \iota o s$.

 द้̉ $\tau 0 i ̂ \sigma \iota \sigma o i ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ v o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \chi \lambda i ́ o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma а . ~$
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi о \mu \alpha i ́ ~ \sigma о \iota, \kappa \alpha i \quad \sigma \grave{v} \kappa \lambda \hat{v} \theta i ́ \mu о v, \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \cdot$ $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{n} \tau^{3}$ є́ $\mu \circ$ oì Sòs $\sigma \omega ф \rho о \nu \in \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu \pi о \lambda \grave{v}$ $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \in \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \chi \in i \rho \rho a ́ \tau^{3} \epsilon v ̉ \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$.
 135 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ фаעท̂val $\sigma o \hat{v}, \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho, \tau \iota \mu \alpha ́ o \rho o \nu$


restore him．There is some uncertainty whether $\dot{a} \nu d \xi \rho \mu \epsilon \nu$ is from $\dot{a} \nu \dot{d} \gamma \omega$ ，or，as the Schol．takes it，from $\dot{d} v d \sigma \sigma \omega$ ．The latter verb has the dative in Homer，тол－
 while it must be confessed that natá $\xi_{0} \mu \in \nu$ would have been more appropriate in the sense of＇bringing back，＇than àvá $\gamma \epsilon \iota$ （Ag．991）．So however II．xv． 29 （quoted

 But Klausen，with the Schol．，explains $\pi \hat{s}$ as if for ö $\pi \omega s$ ，which can only be

 something has been lost，and reads єтоик－ тefpout ${ }^{2}{ }^{〔} \mu \epsilon \epsilon_{\text {．}}$ And Prof．Conington adopts this，＇addressing my father as one that has pity on me and my loved Orestes．＇

125．$\pi \in \pi \rho a \mu \epsilon ́ v o l$ ．So Casaubon for $\pi \in \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \dot{\text { évou，}}$ ，which Peile alone defends， very inelegantly rendering it＇For now we are converted into produce as it were and shipped off by our mother．＇It is ha－ zardous to attach such a strange sense to a participle familiar to every Greek in a very different one．And in truth，$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \eta \lambda=$ adjaco immediately following，not to say
 same transaction inf．902，is altogether in favour of $\pi \in \pi \rho a \mu \epsilon \in \mathcal{V}$ ，＇sold，＇＇i．e．discarded and turned of（inf．900）in order that the mother might gain possession of the man she coveted，at the price of her own flesh
and blood．
129．$\dot{\tau} \pi \epsilon р к \delta \pi \omega$ ．See on Theb． 386.
 labore tuo parta sunt，＂Klausen；who


136．The construction is，$\epsilon \check{\chi} \chi$ O $\rho a l$（ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$


 frag．94．тин $\quad$ рду $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ Eur．Electr． 676．The words кal toùs－à̀vtккат－ बaveiv form one notion with סinny，＇the justice which consists in the death of your murderers in return．＇The Med．has $\gamma \rho$ ． ả $\nu$ тікатакта⿱㇒⿺𠃊⿻丷木犬，whence Hermann reads with Scaliger àvтıкактаעєй̀ $\delta$ ícp．It ap－ pears to me utterly out of the question either to explain $\delta$ ík $\eta$ ，＇in like manner，＇ with Peile，or to join à àt．8tкmv with Klausen，moriendo solvere id quod justum est．It would surely be much better than either alternative to read $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \sigma \omega$ pavīvaí $\sigma^{2}$ ， $\bar{\sigma} \pi d \tau \epsilon \rho$ ，with Canter，and $\delta i \kappa \eta$ for $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$. Or tumáopoy might thus mean Orestes， and the next verse depend on $\ddot{\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon}$ ． The former change is justified by the Schol．，who takes tois èvaytions with $\tau$（Máopov фavŋ̀vat．Still the antithesis clearly is $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \mu$ èv $\epsilon \ddot{v} \chi o \mu \alpha t ~ \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$（ $\tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha)$ ，

138．т $\hat{\eta} s$ rà $\lambda \hat{\eta} s$ à $\rho a \hat{s}$ ．So Herm．， Blomf．，after Schütz for ти̂s какฑ̂s．It is surprising that a correction so easy，so obvious，－one is tempted to add，so neces－
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu \delta \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \pi \sigma \mu \pi o ̀ s ~ \ddot{\iota} \sigma \theta \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \omega$, छ̀̀े $\theta \epsilon \circ \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \Gamma \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \Delta i ́ к \eta ~ \nu \iota к \eta \phi o ́ \rho ఱ . ~$

 $\pi a \iota a ̂ \nu a ~ \tau o \hat{v} \theta \alpha \nu o ́ \nu \tau o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \xi \alpha v \delta \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v a s$.
XO. iєтє $\delta a ́ \kappa \rho v ~ к а \nu а \chi е ̀ s ~$
$\sigma \tau \rho .145$
ỏ $\lambda^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ ỏ $\lambda о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \varphi$
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \alpha \pi \rho o ̀ s \dagger$ †' $\rho v \mu \alpha$
sary,-should have met with very little favour from modern editors. The good prayer is the body of the petition generally; but the какो à ad, or imprecation on her enemies, is contained in the intervening lines, 135-7. 'This last,' says Electra, 'I interpose, and wish to keep distinct from the other.' For the doctrine
 Prof. Conington retains какरิs, 'This I interpose to bar their prayer for evil' (rather, perhaps, 'their bad, or iniquitous petition '), 'uttering against them this $m y$ prayer for evil,' and understands it of the prayer which Clytemnestra wished to have presented along with the libations. I cannot believe such to be the poet's meaning. It is true that dapd does not usually signify a good prayer;' but the addition of a strongly contrasted epithet in this case disposes of that objection.
 Orest. 1138.
140. $\tau \omega \bar{\nu} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$. Aldus and MS. Guelf. have $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$, the Med. $\tau \hat{\omega} y$ with $\delta^{3}$
 Recent editors give tồ, Klausen excepted.
142. $\epsilon^{2} \pi^{2}$ є $\dot{y} \chi$ aîc. The $e^{2} \pi l$ may be rendered 'after,' or ' with,' or 'upon,' i. e. to ratify them, as $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \varepsilon \quad \lambda a \mu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta L$ ह̇ं $\pi o \rho \theta t \alpha-$ $\zeta \epsilon \epsilon$ Ag. 28. Pers. 622, रoaĩı $\nu \in \rho \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ÿuvous ė $\pi \in v ф \eta \mu \epsilon i \tau \in$. And the last is probably the true meaning.
 ${ }_{4} \nu \theta \in \sigma$. The -verb is clearly active in

 1434. 'But for you it is the custom to (i. e. do you according to custom, \&is yd $\mu$ os Bporois sup. 85 ) deck with lamentations the paean of the dead as you utter
 ह̇ $\pi \mu$ е́ $\lambda \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$. The object of Electra, in
enjoining the кшкитоl which immediately follow, was, as Klausen well observes, to do honour to the hitherto unhonoured spirit of Agamemnon, and thus at once to impart to him a greater power to aid them, and to secure his good will to herself by anticipating Clytemnestra, whose impious offering could but increase his anger. For, according to the Greek theology, a man had power and honour in Hades just in proportion to the worship paid to him, on earth; see inf. 348 seqq. The union of the paean with the libation was a solemn form of acknowledgment to the gods (Ag. 237), and it is here offered as a $\nu \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \omega \nu \nu \epsilon i \lambda i \gamma \mu \alpha$, as in Pers. 622. -After this verse Electra proceeds alone to pour the libation on the tomb, while the chorus sing the following $\theta p \hat{\eta}$ vos.

145 seqq. In the antistrophic arrangement of these corrupt and difficult verses I have followed Hermann, though not in all his alterations. They are commonly edited as monostrophic.- $\delta \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho v$ калахѐs,

 added rather for the sake of a favourite poetical repetition, like какдे какढิs, \&c., than for any definite meaning as an epithet to dákpv. Hermann renders it lacrimam stridulam miseram misero domino; Dr. Peile neatly translates, 'a tear pattering: as it falls in honour of our fallen master.' Compare Suppl. 821, $\sigma \sigma \hat{v} \sigma \theta \in \sigma 0 \hat{\nu} \sigma \theta^{3}$ oें $\lambda \sigma_{-}$ $\mu \in \nu a \iota$ ӭлбнєуа.
147. ррид. This word is probably corrupt. Hermann reads $\pi \rho \grave{s}{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \mu \alpha \alpha \hat{\alpha} s$, comparing ${ }^{\text {é }} \rho \mu \alpha \tau \nu \mu \beta 6 \chi \omega \sigma \tau \sigma \nu$ Antig. 841. Few will go with Klausen, "dicitur caedes Agamemnonis esse praesidium malorum, quia omnia mala ab ea repetuntur, et augentur et firmantur eo, quod neglectum jacet ejus sepulcrum et iners est sepulti vis." The Schol. has átórpoтov $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$

$\tau o ́ \delta \epsilon \kappa \kappa \kappa \omega ิ \nu \kappa \epsilon \delta \nu \omega ิ \nu \tau$ ',    втотототототой, ỏтототото̂̀ ì̀,  $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \nu \tau \eta ̀ \rho \delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu$<br>$\Sigma_{i} \kappa v \theta \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \quad \chi \in \rho i ̀ \pi \alpha \lambda i \nu \tau o \nu \alpha$<br><br>

 E'x $\chi \rho \omega \bar{\omega}$. Translate, ' at this tomb which is a barrier against evil and good, now that the libations have been poured out (which were intended, cf. 38) to avert the odious guilt' (of the murder). The tomb is so called, because the dead are as it were shut out from all further participation in either the sufferings or the blessings of this life. - aryos for a $\lambda$ yos is from the Schol., who explains, though
 єiтєу. Hermann gives áyos (Hesych. ävıoua $\theta u \sigma^{\prime}(a s)$. Placing a stop at $\tau 6 \delta \epsilon$ $\kappa \in \delta \nu \partial \nu(a d$ sacrum huncce tumulum), he
 malorum autem averruncam consecrationem effusarum inferiarum abominor, thus losing sight of the evident antithesis between кaкс̀ and $\kappa \in \delta \overline{\nu \alpha}$. Both sense and
 mov) as the true reading. The accusative depends on the adjective as sup. 21 , रods $\pi \rho о \pi о \mu \pi \delta$. The chorus appears to employ, with a mental reservation as to the sense, the form of words ordered to be uttered with the libations by Clytemnestra.
150. $\sigma \in \in \beta a s$. The vocative, like $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \delta-$ $\nu \omega \nu \mu \iota \sigma \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ Theb. 173. The old read-
 corrected by Hermann. - $\xi_{\xi} \dot{\alpha} \mu a v \rho a ̂ s \phi p \in \nu d s$,
 520, íss $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda$ ' à $\mu \alpha \nu \rho \hat{\alpha} s$ हैк $\phi \rho \in \nu$ b́s $\mu^{\prime}$ duvarcévely, the same pords are applied to the speaker, who seems here also to be meant rather than the hearer; 'Listen, object of my veneration, listen, 0 my lord (to prayers uttered), from a sad. heart.' The ellipse will appear less harsh,
when we consider that $\kappa \lambda \delta \in \epsilon \nu=\delta \in \chi \in \sigma \theta a$, $\lambda$ bous. And $\dot{\alpha} \mu a v \rho a ̂ s$ may be regarded as the contrary of $\phi a \Delta \delta \hat{\delta} \hat{s}$, , cheerful.'
155. Ėv $x \in p l$. The MSs. give ety $\chi \in \rho o i ̂ v$. But $\chi \in \rho l, \chi \in \oplus \rho, \chi \in \rho \circ \hat{\nu}, \chi \in \rho \sigma l$, are continually confused, e.g. Prom. 938.
157. $\beta \in \epsilon \lambda \eta$. This word is probably corrupt. Recent editors confidently subsitute $\xi i \phi \eta$ from the Schol. ; yet an attentive consideration of his words will make us suspect that he did not find $\xi i \phi \eta$ (in which case he would hardly have repeated it from the text), but supplied it ; тdे ${ }^{\circ} \phi^{\prime}$

 $\rho \omega \theta \in \nu \quad \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ đえे $\beta \in \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta$. He wished to shew that autóкштa agreed grammatically with the preceding $\beta$ é $\lambda \eta$, but that some more appropriate word like $\xi\{\phi \eta$ was to be understood. The poet himself meant that a sword should be inferred from the distinctive epithet à̇т $6 \kappa \omega \pi a$. But he would not have used it if he had expressed $\xi\{\phi \eta$. And it was because aut $\delta \kappa \omega \pi a$ stood alone in the original, that the marginal gloss $\beta$ é $\lambda \eta$ crept into the text. On $\nu \omega=$ $\mu \omega ิ \nu$ the Schol. has the remarkable gloss $\gamma \rho$. Е'точда. Whence some word seems to have dropped out, possibly $\delta \mu o \hat{v}$. As for aùtobwita, it merely means 'hilted,' as contrasted with $\pi a \lambda i \nu \tau o v a$, applied to bows which assume the contrary curvature when unstrung. The addition of Eкveikd alone shows that bows are meant, not lances, as Peile supposes: he, how-
 On the Scythian bow see Wuestemann on Theocr. iii. 56.

Ibid. Electra having poured out the libation at the tomb on the stage, comes













forward, holding up to the chorus (still at the thymele) a lock she has found laid upon the mound. On the stage arrangement see note on Pers. 649.

 ©at $\phi \delta \beta \varphi$. The fear arose from the wild and excited looks of Electra.
164. $\pi \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ Éenô. 'Except indeed my-
 obiter pronuntiandum est." Hermann. The argument is this:- 'There is no one of my father's relations here who would have offered this lock. I might indeed have done it myself, but I did not. Therefore it must have come from a relation not hitherto here, and that can be none but Orestes.' So far the argument from inference. Then follow the confirmatory circumstances, the colour of the hair, \&rc. Dindorf, Peile, and Blomfield, adopt Dobree's plausible emendation $\pi \lambda \eta \nu \nu \varepsilon \nu \partial \bar{s}$, but Hermann, Franz, and Klausen, rightly retain ${ }^{\text {én }} \mu \mathrm{ov}$. I cannot agree with those who take $n \in$ ípais $\delta$ $\nu$ b for ' would mourn for him,' on the apalogy of $\tau \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ or $\kappa \delta \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a i ́ \tau \iota \nu a$. For inf. 181, éкеípaт́́ $\nu i \nu$ can only mean 'cut off the lock,' and it is very unlikely that a sense so different should have been intended here. With the whole of this passage compare Soph. Electr. 909 seqq. On eєípouzo without tev see Ag. 603.
166. $\delta \mu \delta \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho 0$. See Pers. 561. Eur.

 quùroù, 子'́pay. It is certain that not
only Sophocles and Euripides, but Aristophanes (Nub. 530) had the $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \nu \dot{\rho} \rho t \tau \iota s$ of the Choephoroe in view.
 notice, not only the masculine plural, used by a woman speaking of herself, but the idiom by which, for brevity's sake, a quality or possession of one person is compared, not to that of another, but to himself.
169. $\tilde{\eta}^{2} \nu$. So Scholefield for $\hat{\eta}$, which Hermann, Klausen, and Dindorf retain. The Greeks sometimes, though rarely, used the third person of the conjunctive interrogatively without the indefinite $\tau / 5$ which made it virtually equivalent to the first ( $\pi 0 \hat{\imath} \tau t s \phi \hat{\prime} \gamma \eta ;=\pi o i ̂ \phi \hat{\imath} \gamma \omega ;$ ). Thus Demosth. Mid.p. 525, $\delta$ тоюо̂тоs $\pi \dot{\sigma}$ тера $\mu \grave{\eta}$


 only where some action is implied, which can form the subject of deliberation. We still require, from a good Greek writer, an instance of such a construction as $\mu \dot{\eta}$
 rity of Hermann does not deter the present editor from expressing a longcherished conviction that it is decidedly a solecism. The imperfect, which involves the trifling change of $\bar{n}$ into $\bar{y} y$, is quite appropriate, the sense being, $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \rho \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$

 Pers. 346 and the note. It may be remarked, that $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, from $\mu \hat{\eta} o \tilde{v} \nu$ (the origin probably of num), must have been used

by the poet without regard to its component particles, since he adds of $\nu$ in this passage. Indeed, Dr. Peile adduces instances of both $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ô̂v and $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \hat{\eta}$ from Plato. The Greek intellect, though acute and quick, was not an etymological one.
172. є̈тгенє. '(I do not say he came; perhaps) he sent,' \&c.- $\chi d \rho \nu \nu \pi a \tau \rho d s$, of.
 On the same principle we find $i \in \rho \delta s$ tivos, where we should have expected iepós rivi. We might however regard $\chi a ́ p i \nu$ simply as equivalent to Éкать. Hermann and Dindorf needlessly give $\pi a \tau \rho l$ from Turnebus.
173. oủ $\mathfrak{n} \sigma \sigma o \nu$ єủסáкрритa. 'Not less lamentable than the former state of things : if he is alive and never destined to return, I may bewail him as being dead to me.' The $\epsilon \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ does not appear to change the sense of ठакритos (inf. 228, Theb. 962), though the compound ought to mean 'well wept for,' as ávijods $\epsilon \hat{\delta}$ $\kappa \in \kappa \lambda a u \mu$ évov inf. 674. But we have $\psi \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu$, $\delta \nu \sigma \delta \alpha ́ \kappa \rho \cup \tau o \nu, ~ ' b i t t e r l y ~ l a m e n t e d ~$ dust,' Ag. 430. There seems therefore
 with Emper and Hermann.
174. $\psi a u \sigma \in l_{\text {. }}$ So the Med. rightly gives. There is another reading $\psi a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta$, which Peile, Franz, and Klausen prefer. The difference between them may be expressed by si nunquam rediturus est, and si nunquam redierit. The latter appears wholly inappropriate, for the chorus speaks as if $\tilde{\varepsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \in$ had removed all hope of his return from their minds.
175. kap $\delta$ las. Though Klausen is
greatly mistaken in construing $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \in \sigma \sigma T \eta$ kapoías, and still more so in defending it by $\tau \dot{\mu} \mu \beta o v \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon i \rho \pi o \nu ~ S o p b . ~ E L . ~ 900,-~$ where $\tau \psi \mu \beta o u$ depends on the very next word $\tilde{\dot{\alpha}} \sigma \sigma o \nu$, which he has suppressed,it is probable that the genitive should be retained against the obvious corrections ка.poía or карঠiav, especially as the Schol. also recognises кapilias. It matters little whether we translate 'heart-surge of bile' or ' bile-surge of the heart.'
177. Síwiot. Hermann accepts the explanation of the Schol. no $\theta \in \iota \nu \alpha l$, ' longing tears.' Taken in connexion with 'óф $\rho \alpha к \tau о \iota$, and compared with Ag. 861, к $\lambda a v \mu a \tau \omega \nu$
 $\sigma \tau \alpha \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu$, we may understand 'tears not dammed up, but allowed freely to flow away and leave the eyes dry.' It is a mistake in epithets of this kind (and the remark applies also to aúvóкштa in $v$. 157) to press the sense of the word individually, when it only exerts a general force in the context. See on ré $\mathrm{\lambda avos}^{2}$ aiцатобфаүทेs, Pers. 812.- $\delta v \sigma \chi$ द $\mu v$, not connected with $\chi \in \hat{i} \mu a$, but from $\delta \dot{v} s$ with the adjectival termination, like $\mu \in \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \gamma{ }^{1-}$ رos. Cf. Theb. 498, бра́коута $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \chi ц \mu о \nu$, 'a fell serpent.' It is hard to find an English equivalent in the present passage; Dr. Peile's ' noxious flood' will hardly do; perhaps however the notion of "hurtful"
 Ag. 862. Klausen thinks that it merely distinguishes tears of mixed joy and sorrow from those of joy only, Ag. 261.
181. oùठ̀̀̀ $\mu \eta \nu$. Cf. Theb. 665. Eum. 449. With $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \delta^{\epsilon} \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho$, at which the commentators needlessly raise a difficulty,
 фро́vךца $\pi a \iota \sigma i ̀ ~ \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \epsilon \circ \nu \pi \epsilon \pi a \mu$ ќv $\eta$.


 $\phi \in \hat{v}$.








compare Pers. 154, $\mu \hat{\eta \tau \eta \rho}$ Baбi入écss

 $\mu \grave{y} \nu \kappa \tau \alpha \nu o v ̂ \sigma a$, è $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \grave{k} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta p$ oî $\sigma a$. See on Suppl. 15. Dr. Peile's view is however tenable, 'My mother, I should say, as though she would correct the strong expression ( $\bar{\eta} \kappa \tau \alpha \nu o v ̃ \sigma a)$ into which her feelings had betrayed her.'- $\bar{\pi} \pi \omega \nu \mu \nu \nu$, sc. $\mu \eta \tau \rho 6 s$.
186. $\sigma a l v o \mu a l$. $\delta$. The construction is broken off, as in Ag. 482, 631. Eum.
 aìé $\sigma \omega$, 'plainly assent.'
 $\delta \eta \lambda o \nu \delta \tau t$. For $\epsilon \nu \phi \rho o \nu^{2}$ Hermann gives ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \phi \rho o \nu^{\prime}$, after Auratus, i. e. the voice of a living and intelligent being. But 'a friendly voice' is a voice of warning and directing in perplexity. For of $\pi \omega$ s with the indicative compare Prom. 768; on the form кะขט்б $\sigma \omega, \mathrm{i}$. 163.
189. ' $\sigma \alpha \not \subset \nu \in 1$. This simple change from $\sigma \alpha \phi \eta \nu \eta$, long ago proposed by me, removes all the difficulty from a passage which has been regarded as one of the most perplexing. 'But it would have clearly intimated to me to reject indignantly this lock, if indeed it had been cut from the head of an enemy ; or, being related, it would have been able to share in my grief, (which would have been) an ornament of this tomb, and an honour to my father.' The subject to ' $\sigma a \phi \nmid \nu \in l$ is, of course, $\phi \omega \nu \eta$, while that to $\epsilon \vec{l} \chi \in$ is what
has immediately preceded, the $\pi \lambda$ óros itself. The simple verb $\sigma a \phi \eta \nu$ éw does not occur; but we have $\delta \iota a \sigma a \phi \eta \nu \epsilon \in \omega$ in Hippocr. Epist. ad Philopoem. Vol. iii. p. 781, ed. Kühn., and the analogous $\delta_{\text {ita }} \alpha \alpha \phi \in \hat{i} y$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \alpha \phi \in i ̄ y$ in Lucian and other writers.
192. $\quad$ z $\gamma \lambda \mu \mu$. The accusative in apposition to the sentence. See on Ag. 218.

193-6. There seems great probability in Hermann's opinion, that these verses should be assigned to the chorus. Not to mention that the subject here changes to the plural, whereas Electra has spoken of herself in the singular, кal $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ in 197 certainly ought to introduce a new speech (cf. 501).—Dr. Peile's "virtual opposi-
 $\kappa a \lambda o{ }^{\circ} \mu \in \theta a=$ is quite gratuitous. The
 the sense being, 'The gods know indeed in what storms we are tossed (what difficulties we have to encounter), but if we are to obtain safety (from them) a great event may arise out of a little incident,' viz. the offering of the lock may end in the restoration of Orestes. Schol. ei
 ėt $\mu \varkappa \rho a ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o ф a ́ \sigma \epsilon c o s ~ \mu e ́ \gamma a ~ a ̀ ~ \gamma a \theta b v . ~ D r . ~$ Peile calls this comment "not a little carious." Why so? The expression 'a great stock (or trunk) out of a little seed " was, perhaps, proverbial; but the application of it is clearly as the Schol. points out.
 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ . \delta v ̋ ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \omega ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \rho a \phi a ̀ ~ \pi o \delta o i ̂ \nu, ~$


 $\pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \delta{ }^{\circ} \dot{\omega} \delta i \stackrel{s}{\kappa} \kappa a i ̀ ~ \phi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega ̂ \nu ~ к а \tau а \phi \theta о \rho a ́ . ~$

## OPEETHE.

## 

198. тобิิ $\delta \mu 0$ ôot. The MSS. give moঠడิ $\delta$, which Prof. Conington accounts for by supposing a period to have been wrongly placed at the end of the preceding verse. See sup. 79. The order is,


 That $\pi о \delta \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \quad \delta \mu \hat{i} o u$ should mean, as Dr: Peile thinks, 'just like his feet too,' is to me incredible. Klausen's way is not better, ' and they fit my feet and are like my footsteps.' In either case, the pronoun ( $\grave{\mu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ or $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ écelvou) would be indispensable.
199. $\pi \in \rho r \gamma \rho a \phi \alpha_{,}$' two (distinct) outlines,' i. e. of not one and the same person. It is strange that Dr. Peile, with the very next verse in view, should understand 'outlines of a pair of feet.' Hermann has fallen into an error on the other side; he marks a lacuna after v. 200, on the ground that something ought to have been said about the foot-prints of Pylades being unlike, those of Orestes like Electra's. The simple truth is, that the poet felt bound, since in the prologue both the heroes had been seen at the tomb, to speak of the footsteps of both; but Electra has nothing to do with those which were obviously unlike her own, and therefore says nothing more about them. Much pains have been taken by critics to relieve Aeschylus from the ridicule which Euripides (El. 536, \&c.) throws upon this part of the ávaqvápiots, and Dr. Peile avows his belief that "there is nothing for adverse critics to condemn, or for misjudging friends to give up as indefensible in it." One does not see why

Aeschylus should be held impeccable by us, when his own contemporaries could find fault with him. We cannot, by any ingenious arguments, explain away a physical law, that the female foot and hand are somewhat smaller than the male. And if the resemblance was not particular and close, but general, and in shape only rather than in size, what is this but to assume that the brother and sister had certain bodily characteristics in common, which experience shows not to exist in ordinary families? Klausen's remark, that not so much either the form or size of the foot, but the way of impressing it on the ground is meant, is hardly worthy of serious refutation, since differences of this sort are mere matters of habit, not congenital ; and if they were, was Orestes, as a mere boy, likely to have observed them?

 фпаL.
203. $\omega$ éis. Distress of mind resulting from the conflicting emotions of hope and fear. "Ita quum sororem quasi percussam et fractam videat Orestes, accedit jam eam consolaturus ejusque perturbationem placaturus." Klausen.
 'Acknowledging to the gods the accomplishment of your prayers.' In Electra's reply $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ is contrasted with rà $\lambda o u m$ à, as if she had said, ' You tell me to be thankful for what I have already obtained, and to pray that the future may turn out (Prom. 354) not less favourably. What have I obtained on the present occasion by the favour of the gods?" Klausen


Hム. каì тíva $\sigma u ́ \nu о \iota \sigma \theta a ́ ~ \mu о \iota ~ к а \lambda о v \mu e ́ v \eta ~ \beta р о т \omega ิ \nu ; ~$

HА. каì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau i ́ ~ \delta \eta ̄ \tau \alpha ~ \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v \omega ~ к \alpha \tau \epsilon v \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ;$
OP. ö $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon i \mu i \cdot ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon v ' ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu o v ̂ ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ ф i ́ \lambda o \nu . ~$






 ' 'Хข
understands, 'offering to the gods no vain prayers, but such as shall bring with them an accomplishment,' and he adds, " $\tau \in \lambda \in \sigma$ бópos est omnis res, quae satis valet, ut bonum eventum adducat." But this is, in effect, to make prayers accomplish or fulfil themselves; whereas Clytemnestra says (Ag. 946), $Z \in \hat{v}, \mathrm{Z} \in \hat{v}$ тén $\lambda \epsilon \iota \epsilon$,



208. $\sigma$ buourAc. The compound implies that he could not know the purport of her prayers unless by being made a partner in them. Hence the argument really runs thus: 'It is impossible that you (being a stranger) can know my private aspirations.'- I know that you have a great veneration for Orestes, and therefore I infer that you have desired and prayed to see him, though I may not actually know it.' Compare, for the construction

 $\mu^{\prime} \nu \nu$; Plat. Phaed. p. 92, D, द̀ $\gamma \grave{\omega}$ тoîs

 know that they are cheats as well as they know it themselves.' As Aeschylus rarely, if ever, uses a dactyl at the beginning of a senarius except in proper names, it may be questioned if we should not here


211. $\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \epsilon v^{\prime}$. Herm., Dind., Blomf., Franz. read $\mu \alpha \tau \epsilon v^{\prime}$ from Aldus and MS. Guelf.
214. द̇े накоîбı $\gamma \in \lambda \hat{\alpha} p$. More usually é $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \hat{a} \nu$ какоîs, with the notion of derision not $i n$, but at, misfortunes.
216. $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \dot{d} \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma \in \nu \nu \in ́ \pi \omega$. The MSS.
 $\sigma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \in \nu \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \omega$ has been generally admitted from Arnaldus. Hermann's emendation is (metrically) a more proba-
 ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \omega$ seems superfluous, and is likely to have been thrust in after the common corruption of $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau a$ into $\tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \delta$. I have therefore given the verse as I formerly edited it. For the $\sigma \epsilon$ made long before $\pi \rho$, see Suppl. 618. Prom. 677. Pers. 778. Theb. 1060, Ag. 1418. Inf. 596. In $\tilde{\omega}_{s} \neq y \tau a$ there is a little ambiguity, since it might mean 'as really being,' or 'under the character of.' In the latter sense Orestes seems to understand it, for he says, 'Nay rather seeing Orestes himself you are slow in recognising me' (i. e. him in me),-where $\bar{\epsilon}^{\mu}{ }^{\epsilon}$ may depend on the sense of $\delta \nu \sigma \mu \alpha \theta \in i \hat{s}$ ( $=\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} s \mu a \nu \theta d \nu \in t s)$ or on $\dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha$. Sichol. $\dot{\alpha} \pi / \sigma \tau \epsilon i ̂ s$. The Med. has $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu$, whence Hermann and Franz give $\mu \in \nu$ viv with Schütz.

219-22. These verses are differently disposed in the Med. and in ed. Rob.,








##  ઈакрvтòs é入лìs $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \tau o s ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ o v$,

which latter places 222 before 220 . The order in the text is that of Herm., Dind., and Franz. Klausen, who follows the Med., has raised a just objection to $\xi \nu \mu$ $\mu$ éroou applied to the hair, the resemblance of which consisted not in size, but in colour. But his own version, which makes $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha p_{i}=\sigma a t$, is not less open to objection. Euripides, El. 532 seqq., where the present passage is satirized, applies it much more aptly to the size of


 sup. 201. Hence I formerly conjectured, and still adhere to the opinion, that Aeschylus wrote modl, and that kápa is a correction forced upon some grammarian by the accidental transposition of the verses. The original reading seems therefore to have stood thus:-




Which is the order of the verses in ed. Rob.
221. том ${ }^{2}$, 'the stump,' i. e. the place whence the lock was cut. The meaning is, 'apply the lock you have just found to what remains of it on the head, to see if it fits and coincides, and therefore if it was really I who cut it off.' Eur. El. 520,

 For this sense of $\tau 0 \mu\rangle$ see II. i. 235. Theocr. x. 46. Plat. Symp. p. 190, e. Thucyd. ii. 76.
224. Ohpesov. So Herm., Dind., Franz. for the vulg. A $\begin{gathered}\text { plav, the Med. having }\end{gathered}$ enplov. The poet had in view, perhaps, ${ }^{\text {as }}$ the Schol. suggests, the description of Ulysses' woollen cloak embroidered with
a fawn and a dog, Od. xix. 228.-After this verse Hermann marks a lacuna of one line. I had before suggested, that something was lost, from the abruptness of the next verse. But Hermann adds an equally cogent argument, viz. that the speech of Orestes probably contained eleven verses like that of Electra which follows, since of each of them speak nine verses next. (See on Ag. 1603.) Hence we may suppose the passage to have stood in some such way as this, -

The ridicule of Euripides (Electr. ut sup.) is well known, that Orestes the man could not have worn the same shirt as Orestes the boy. It may be replied, that pieces of embroidery regarded as a family
 and tacked on to many successive new garments, especially with a view to establishing an identity at some future time. And this is the explanation of the
 єiкдे $\alpha$ yov. To which however the sensible remark of Klausen must be opposed, " patet ex illo loco (El. 541 seqq.), tempore Euripidis nondum incertum fuisse, utrum pallium an fascias ostenderet Orestes, et quum is haud dubie actam viderit fabulam, sane non aliter atque ille possumus interpretari locum." He adds, "Cur vero non misisset Oresti pallium Electra?"
225. Є้ $\nu \delta о \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu 0 \hat{v}$, 'be collected;' 'be yourself." "Monet Orestes Electram de odio eorum, qui aedibus imperant, ne laeta se prodat." Klausen.
228. $\sigma \pi \epsilon_{\rho} \rho \mu \alpha \sigma \omega \tau \eta p l o \nu$ is the seed by. which a family is perpetuated. Cf. inf. 496.


 $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \tau \epsilon$, каì тò $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ s ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ ~ \mu o \iota ~ \rho ́ \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \eta \theta \rho o \nu,-\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\text { è }} \pi \alpha \nu \delta i \kappa \kappa \varsigma \stackrel{\text { Ł́ }}{ } \chi \theta a i \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha-$ $\kappa a i ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \tau \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s \quad \nu \eta \lambda \epsilon \omega ิ s$ ó $\mu о \sigma \pi o ́ \rho o v$. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s \delta^{\circ}$ à $\delta \in \lambda \phi o ̀ s ~ \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta^{\circ},{ }^{\epsilon} \mu o i ̀ ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta a s ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu . ~$



## OP. $Z \epsilon \hat{v}, Z \epsilon \hat{v}, \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \grave{̀} \varsigma \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{v}$.





229. à $\lambda \kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \pi=\Delta \theta$ 's. ' 'Tis by trusting to your strength (i.e. by the sword alone) that you will recover your father's

230. бैvoца. So Dind., Herm. for वै $\mu \mu$. The words are elsewhere confused, as in Theb. 573, and the context here favours, if it does not absolutely require, the alteration : ' $O$ fond name (of brother), comprising as it does to me three other relations, of father, mother, and sister.' Literally, 'containing four shares, viz. what ought to have been shared by three others beside. The father and the sister are dead, and the mother cannot be loved; the brother therefore inherits the affection due to each severally. Mr. Conington retains ${ }^{\circ} \mu \mu \alpha$, with Franz, Klausen, and Peile, in the sense of 'object,' comparing Ajac. 977. 1004. El. 903. Dr. Peile would read é $\chi \omega \nu$ for ${ }_{\text {É }}$ Xov, and thus in some degree remove the objection arising from the $\delta \mu o \frac{o \tau}{}$ é $\epsilon u \tau o \nu$ in the next verse.
 той $\gamma$ dp. See on Prom. 410.
235. $\tilde{j}^{6} \theta^{\prime}$. 'You were ever a brother in whom I placed confidence, and whom I venerated, even before you assumed the additional relations just enumerated.' (Dr. Peile's version of $\bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a$, 'you are proved to be,' cannot be maintained; this would have been $\hat{\eta} \sigma \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \alpha \rho \alpha$.)
236. $\mu$ bvov. The Med. has $\mu$ óvos, which Klausen and Franz retain, the latter giving Kрд́ros $\delta \mathfrak{e}$ for Kpdioos $\tau \epsilon$.

But one can hardly doubt that $\mu$ bovov is

 may Might, Justice, and Zeus the Preserver, conspire to assist, and all will be well.' And this in reference to 229 , where $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \hat{\eta}$ is in a manner repeated in Kра́тos.-For $\mu$ oc Hermann rightly edits $\sigma o l$, after Stanley. On $\tau \rho i \tau o s \sum \omega \tau \eta ̀ \rho$ see Suppl. 26. Ag. 237. 1358.

 the persons being identified with the simile instead of being only compared. See Suppl. 221. Ag. 939. But the poet adds ovitw $\delta \in$ in 244 , as if he had described a real eagle, without a figure of speech. Cf. Ag. 60.
241. $\mathrm{E}^{2}$ i $\delta \nu \eta \mathrm{s}$. So Clytemnestra is called inf. 981. Cf. Ag. 1204. Aristot.

 à $\epsilon \tau \delta$.
 old enough to bring to the nest the prey which its parent used to provide.' Or perhaps, 'the prey which its parent had (vainly) attempted to bring,' viz. the snake itself. The passage seems borrowed from Homer, II. xii. 222, аैंфар $\delta^{\prime}$ áфé $\eta \kappa \epsilon$,

 Med. gives $\theta \hat{\eta} \rho a \operatorname{\pi a\tau \rho \varphi } \psi^{\prime} a$, as inf. 472,
 served the accusative, and so ed. Rob.

#   iठєîv $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau i ́ ~ \sigma о \iota ~ \pi a \tau \rho о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta}$ yóvov,  

[HA.] каi то̂̂ $\theta v \tau \hat{\eta} \rho о$ кай $\sigma \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau о s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha$ $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \nu \epsilon o \sigma \sigma o u ̀ s ~ \tau o v ́ \sigma \delta^{\prime}{ }^{2} \pi \sigma о \phi \theta \epsilon i p a s ~ \pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$








 $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta s$ Хá $\rho \iota \nu$ §è $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau^{’} \dot{a} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon i ́ \lambda \eta \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon$



247. Hermann is probably right in assigning these verses to Electra. See above on 224. A new line of argument is here introduced, appropriate to a new speaker. Orestes has appealed to the compassion of Zeus; Electra adds, that it is his interest to listen and save.-The idea in 249 is repeated in 253, but with a change of metaphor. 'As, if you allow the young eaglets to perish, you will not have a winged messenger to convey omens to mankind, so, if you allow us to perish, the offspring of a religious sire, you will not find another to honour you alike.' It was superfluous to add, 'Nor will this royal stock if wholly withered up ( $\pi$ âs auvavels, see on Ag. 939) support (Theb. 14) your altars on sacrificial days.' But the simile of a tree is resumed from 196, and the poet continues in the same figure, 'Take care of it, and you may yet rear up from its lowly condition a family which seems now to have been quite laid low.' Both roul ${ }^{\text {ELIV}}$ and atpetv (for which Sophocles has é $\xi a(\rho \in i \nu$, Trach. 147) are used in reference to the $\phi$ เтитоí $\mu \nu$, or nurseryman (Eum. 871), who tenderly rears a
vine or an olive shoot to maturity. But in $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa$ évat there is probably no other allusion than to the ruin of a material edifice.
 the change of moods see sup. 80, though here it would be vain to suppose any subtle difference of meaning was intended.$\gamma^{\lambda \omega}{ }^{2} \sigma \sigma \eta s \chi^{\alpha} p L \nu$, 'for the sake of gossip,' i. e. from mere love of telling tales, and without any deliberate malice against you.
 burning in the spurting pitch of the pyre of pine-wood. See inf. 378.

261 seqq. Orestes nerves himself for the deed by a review of the motives which urge him to execute it. These are (1) the positive injunctions and denunciations of Apollo; (2) his own sense of wrong as an injured son; (3) public considerations. Under the first of these heads he enlarges upon the fearful penalties attached to the non-performance of the filial duty of revenge. Disease, nightly fears, political and religious disabilities, and, in fine, a wretched and ignominious death, are held out to him as the certain consequences of


#### Abstract

$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu$ òs，кє $\epsilon \epsilon v ́ \omega \nu$ тóv $\delta \epsilon \kappa i ́ \nu \delta v \nu o \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\partial} \nu$, $\kappa \alpha ̉ \xi о \rho \theta \iota a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ то入入à，каì $\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ́ p o v s$      $\tau i \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \mu^{\prime}$＇̈ $^{\chi} \chi \nu \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ \quad \delta v \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha ́$. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \in ̀ \nu \nu$ 人̀ $\rho$ є̇к $\gamma \hat{\eta} s \delta v \sigma \phi \rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda i \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ 


disobedience．－ойтoı $\pi \rho o \delta \delta \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ，＇will not abandon me，＇will not leave me without justification．For in proportion to the urgency of the command is his confidence in the promises of the god，which are ultimately realised in the Eumenides．

264．$\dot{v} \phi^{\prime} \hat{j} \pi \alpha \rho \theta \in \rho \mu \delta \nu$ ．The epithet is rhetorically added for the sake of the antithesis．See Ag．792．Antig．88，
 notion in the mind of the speaker is that of a cold chill at the vitals（kpvos， Eum．155）．

265．tovis alflous．＂Breviter dictum pro тô̂ тatpos tồ фóvou toùs aitious．＂ Dind．It is very harsh to supply dinas to govern тoú $\pi a \tau \rho \partial s$ ，as Dr．Peile proposes to do．

 $\tau \in$ кal $\lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ．Translate，${ }^{\text {Th }}$ bidding me kill them in return in the very same way，impelled by a feeling of exasperation at the loss of my property＇（losses leav－ ing me destitute of property）．Indigna－ tion at his own wrongs was to act as a spur to the vengeance undertaken as a duty．The above meaning of $\bar{\alpha} \pi о \chi \rho \nmid \mu \mu-$ tos is confirmed by v． 293 inf ．So also


 mos，and their compounds with $\alpha$ ，$\alpha \tau ; \mu o s$ \＆c．Hermann also translates，after Șchütz， bonorum jactura exasperatum．The Schol．seems to have read taupoúpevos， but his gloss is very obscure．
268．aư $\tau \partial \nu-\tau \hat{\eta} \phi i \lambda \eta \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}$ ．That， as I had already lost the $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \alpha$ ，so I should forfeit my very life if I omitted to exact vengeance．－$\tau \dot{d} \delta \epsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，sc．$\tau \dot{\partial} \mu \grave{\eta}$ $\mu е т$ téval，v． 265.

270－1．These difficult verses have been variously corrected and explained． Hermann，adopting $\mu \eta \nu^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$ from Lo－ beck on Ajac．757，thus edits，
עббоus，
where aiv⿳亠凶禸，＇mentioning，＇is compared with Ag． 98 and 1458．This is plausible； but then the antithesis between $\beta$ Ootoîs， the Argives generally，and $\nu \varphi ิ \nu$, Orestes and Electra，may have been intended． Klausen takes $\mu \in i \lambda i \gamma \mu a \tau a$ in nearly the same sense as $\mu \eta \nu\langle\mu \pi \tau \alpha$ ，and $\delta v \sigma \phi \rho 6 \nu \omega \nu$ of the angry spirits of the dead，who cause the Furies to send blight，sterility，and pestilence，Eum．754．He translates， ＂venena quibus infensi contra mortales utuntur．＂The Schol．is perhaps in favour of this strange interpretation，toùs $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu$


 Now the Furies could be appeased by offerings taken from Mother Earth（Oed． Col． 466 seqq．）；and $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi \rho o v \in s$, like the contrary title Eiv $\mu \in \nu$ í $\delta \mathrm{s}$ ，may reasonably be referred to the＇nameless goddesses＂ in their capacity of avengers．Unless there－ fore we are to read $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \hat{e} \nu$ خà $\hat{e} \kappa \gamma^{\prime} \hat{\eta} s$ $\delta \nu \sigma \phi \rho \delta \nu \omega v($ i．e．blight，\＆c．），the sense of the passage will be as follows：－‘ For while declaring to mortals earth－born pro－ pitiations of hostile powers，he foretold to us two the following diseases，＇\＆c． All were to suffer if Agamemnon＇s death were not avenged；but the people were not left without the means of propitiation， whereas his own children would have no rest or ease．Prof．Conington remarks that the oracle of Apollo takes the form，

# $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̇ $\pi \alpha \mu \beta a \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha$ à ảpíaıs $\gamma \nu$ á $\theta$ oıs <br>  $\lambda \epsilon v \kappa a ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa o ́ \rho \sigma \alpha s \tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{?}$ є̇ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \nu o ́ \sigma \omega$.  <br>    каì $\lambda v ́ \sigma \sigma a$, каi $\mu a ́ \tau \alpha l o s ~ є ̇ к ~ \nu v к т \hat{\nu} \nu$ фóßоs ó $\rho \omega \hat{\nu \tau \alpha} \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \sigma \kappa o ́ \tau \varphi ~ \nu \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau{ }^{\prime}$ ỏ $\phi \rho \grave{\nu} \nu$ $\kappa \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}, \tau \alpha \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \bullet$ каi $\delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ то́лє $\omega$ s 

not of a special denunciation, but of the declaration of a general law (see especially v. 283). And hence that Bporoîs is in fact the most appropriate term, where we might otherwise have expected $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau 0 i s$. On the same principle he condemns $\nu \hat{\varphi} \nu$, as introducing a specialty, and proposes $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \in \iota \nu$ for $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \in \in \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, 'he told us that the products of the Earth were wont to bring forth diseases, namely, leprosy,' \&c. If $\nu \hat{\varphi} \nu$ be liable to suspicion, it is rather on this ground, that Electra is made to share in penalties which seem properly to pertain only to Orestes. But the Schol. clearly recognises it in $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̃ s$.
273. $\lambda t \chi \eta ิ \nu a s . ~ A ~ s p e c i e s ~ o f ~ l e p r o s y, ~$ causing the hair to turn white. Dobree (Adv. ii. p. 27) agrees with the Schol. in understanding by v. 274 that the disease should continue till old age. The wellknown obstinacy of the malady is forcibly described in the words 'eating away the old constitution' of the patient, and gradually occupying every part that was sound. The commentators quote Leviticus, xiii. 10. Celsus, v. 28. Pliny, N. H. xxvi. l. Strabo speaks of a spring in Elis which was a specific for various forms of


276. Hermann thinks something may have been lost after this verse ; and Dobree (Adr. ii. p. 27) had supplied by con-

 field, Hermann, Franz, and Dindorf satisfactorily remove the otherwise insuperable difficulty of the passage by transposing $\delta \rho \omega ิ \nu \tau \alpha, ~ \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \partial \nu$ к.т. $\lambda$., the MSS. placing it next after $e^{\prime \prime} \kappa \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau p \notin \omega \nu \quad \kappa . \tau, \lambda$. Admitting further Stanley's è $\phi \omega \nu \in$ for $\phi \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, we may translate: 'And other assaults of the Furies he spoke of as destined to be
brought to pass from the (unavenged) blood of-my father; for the dark weapon of the powers below, coming from dead suppliants of kindred race, and madness, and groundless fear at nights, disturb and harass him who sees clearly while he moves his eye-brow in the dark,' i. e. him who can see the spectral forms of Furies even while asleep, according to the Pythagorean,
 д $\mu \mu \alpha \sigma \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha$.
 must construe, for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \in t$, or $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 the point is, not that the suppliants have been murdered by relations (which may or may not be the case), but that they send visitations to their surviving friends to urge them to vengeance. The word тробт ро́тolos appears to have a legal and technical sense when applied to those who call for vengeance from their nearest relatives. Klausen refers to Plat. Legg.





 Compare Photius in v. $\pi$ a $\lambda a \mu \nu a \hat{i} o s$.
281. каl $\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa є \sigma \theta a t$. Prof. Conington
 narrative may be regarded as resumed from v. 276, the words $\tau \grave{\partial} \gamma$ à $\rho-\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon t$ being parenthetical and explanatory of the $\pi \rho o \sigma \beta o \lambda a l$ 'Epivúvע. Hermann reads $\delta t-$ бкєтab with Turn., Vict., and Blomf., and takes it for a middle verb, as Od. xviii. 8, ठs $\beta^{\prime}$ è $\lambda \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'О For the use of the genitive of. also $\beta \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho \omega \nu$ \#бтaбөє, Oed. R. 142. Antig. 418, $\chi^{\theta 0-}$

 каі̀ тоі̂s тоьои́тоьs ойтє кратท̂pos $\mu$ ย́роs єival $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \chi \epsilon i ้ \nu$, ov̉ фıोo $\sigma \pi$ óv $\delta$ ov $\lambda_{\iota} \beta$ òs, $\beta \omega \mu \omega \bar{\nu} \tau^{3} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\prime} \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ov̉ $\chi$ ó $\rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s$
$\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \iota \nu$. $\delta \in ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota \delta$ ’ oü $\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \nu \lambda \lambda v \in \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \nu a ́ \cdot$ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta^{\circ}$ äт $\tau \mu о \nu \kappa a ̈ \phi \iota \lambda о \nu \theta \nu \eta \prime \sigma \kappa \epsilon L \nu \chi р о ́ \nu \omega$, $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \varsigma \tau \alpha \rho \iota \chi \epsilon v \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \mu \phi \theta \alpha ́ \rho \tau \omega$ но́ $\rho \omega$. тоьoî $\sigma \delta \epsilon \chi р \eta \sigma \mu \circ i ̂ \mathrm{~s} \hat{a} \rho a \chi p \eta ̀ \pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \theta \epsilon ́ v a \iota ;$


 каì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \iota \epsilon ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \dot{a} \chi \eta \nu i ́ a$, тò $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi о \lambda i ́ \tau \alpha s ~ \epsilon 兀 ̉ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ \tau o v s ~ \beta \rho о \tau \omega ิ \nu$,
 295

283. toîs tooótots. As above remarked, a general law is here stated; though in 285-7 the application is to the particular case. Apollo warned Orestes of the usual consequences of an unfilial apathy, and left him to act on the warn-
 จ. 271).- $\mu$ 'fos, the accusative as in Ag.
 general treatment of parricides was to be excluded from the table and all social converse with man; see Orest. 46. Iph. Taur. 947 seqq., and the same is here denounced as the penalty of neglect. As if Apollo had said,' 'You need not fear the consequences of a just vengeance; for the same consequences, and worse, will befall you for neglect.'
286. $\delta \in \dot{\chi} \chi \in \sigma \theta a l \delta^{\circ}$. The $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$ is wanting in the MSS., and was inserted by Schïtz and Elmsley. The meaning of the passage is uncertain; the most plausible translation is that after the Schol., $\sigma v \gamma-$
 that no one was to receive me nor to lodge with me,' i. 七. in the $\xi$ voockial mentioned Suppl. 936. For the negative to be supplied before $\delta \epsilon \in \chi \sigma \sigma \theta a l$, cf. Ag. 490 ,
 mann, after Bothe, understands it of setting sail in the same ship, comparing Theb. 598, and Hor. Od. iii. 2, 86, vetabo qui Cereris sacrum Vulgarit arcanae,
sub iisdem Sit trabibus, fragilemque mecum Solvat phaselon. Müller conjectures $\tau о \bar{\nu} \tau \epsilon \sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \epsilon \tau$, , i. е. à $\pi \in \mathfrak{i} \rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \beta \omega \mu \omega ิ \nu$,


288. $\tau \alpha \rho \iota \chi \in \nu \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \alpha$. Shrivelled up like a mummy, or salted and pickled flesh.
 à $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \nu \omega \sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, , to be read affirmatively' (not interrogatively), and so most recent editors. See inf. 427. It matters little whether we take $\hat{d} p a=$ nonne? or for sane.
 that the deed must be done on other considerations apart from the $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu 0$ i. Hence we must here translate, ' Beside the orders of the god, my great grief for my father,' \&c. The inclusive enumeration of the Greeks is well known.- $\pi \rho \delta \delta \pi t \epsilon \bar{\xi} \xi!$, the reading of Abresch for $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi t \epsilon \in \xi \epsilon$. The compound means 'to press closely,' which is here out of place.
 ' All these motives,' says Orestes, putting a public service prominently forward as a $\pi \rho \delta \dot{\sigma} \chi \eta \mu a$ and $\pi \rho \delta \phi \phi \sigma t s$ of an invidious and not disinterested. deed, 'conspire to one conclusion, that the noble Argive people should be freed from the yoke of two tyrants; of whom one is a woman, the other a man in sex but not in mind' (ă ${ }^{2}$ a $\lambda \kappa t s$, Ag. 1195. Soph. El. 301).

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{a} \nu$, ท̂̀ тò סíкаюо $\mu \epsilon \tau а \beta a i v \epsilon l$. 'Avi $\delta \grave{\text { ex }} \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta$ ท̂s фovías фovíav $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \tau \omega . \quad \Delta \rho a ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \iota \pi a \theta \epsilon i \nu$,

 coward (let him fight, and) he shall soon know the result.' On this formula see Ag. 1627, $\gamma \nu \omega{ }^{\prime} \sigma \in t ~ \tau d ́ \chi a$. Eur. Suppl. 580, $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon t$ $\sigma \grave{\nu} \pi \dot{d} \sigma \chi \omega \nu$. Heracl. 65, $\gamma \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma t$


 the context involves the same sense. It is a mistake to suppose that el $\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha a$ is here used passively.
298. The long Commatic ode which follows is in great part an invocation of Agamemnon as a $\delta a l \mu \omega \nu$, the theme being the necessity of justice, the majesty of the deceased, the ignominiousness of his end, his present powerless because neglected condition, and the unhappy state of the house deprived of his protection. The chorus use every argument to excite the passionate grief of the children, at the same time intimating that by energetic action there are hopes of restoration. Electra and Orestes alternately represent their own unpitied estate, appeal to their father for the recovery of their rights, and denounce the accursed conduct of their mother. The sister acts as informant of the brother, who was absent from the scene; and the hesitating mind of Orestes is thus finally confirmed in its resolution. -The introductory anapaests, usual in this kind of verse, but not forming part of it (Pars. 625. Theb. 818), constitute the $\pi \rho o o l \mu o \nu$, and appropriately commence with a statement of the ancient laws of $J$ justice. The other systems of Anapaests (at v. 332, 364, 392) serve to divide the Koppel into four distinct portions.
299. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \tilde{a} \nu$, sc. $\delta \delta \tau \epsilon$, as Theb. 75. - $\Delta i d \theta \in y$, because Zeus is the consume-
mator or perfecter of every thing which happens to mortals (Suppl. 802. Ag. 946, 1463), and the Fates are not here regarded in the same light as the d. d d' $\gamma \kappa \eta$ or $\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \eta$ to which Zeus himself is subject, Prom. 520. $-\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta a l \nu \epsilon$, Schol. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \nu \in \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon$. Justice holds the scale between two contending parties; she stands midway between them, favouring neither ; but she passes over to that cause, and becomes its $\xi<\mu \mu \alpha \chi$ os (Suppl. 337, 390), which is proved to have the right on its side. Thus in Ag. 750, she leaves guilty wealth and comes over to ( $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon^{\prime} \mu 0 \lambda \epsilon$ ) piety. Cf. Ar.

305. тเขÉ $\tau \omega$, sc. т ts, or $\delta ~ ф о \nu \in U ́ \sigma a s ~ i m-~$ plied in the context. The same law of retaliation is similarly, but rather more obscurely expressed in Ag. 1537 seqq.,

 516. Pars. 809. There is no special reference to the es $\chi \theta \rho \grave{\alpha} \gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha$ of Clytemnestra; a general law is enuntiated, at the same time applicable, in the form of a verdict, to the guilty usurpers.- $\tau \rho \iota \gamma$ ff $\rho \omega \nu$
 727.
 ('ill-starred father,' Peile, who compares, after others, the Homeric $\delta \quad \delta \sigma \pi a p \iota$, ain 6 токоs, $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \eta \rho \delta \nu \sigma \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$, to which we may add $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma$ ta $\mu \alpha \rho$, Ag. 1290.) - The diffcully in the following sentence consists chiefly in the uncertainty whether oupl $¢$ civ has an active or a neuter sense, and ф dos is the accusative after it or the nominative in apposition to estival. On the whole, with Hermann, Blomfield, and Pele, I incline to the former of both these alternatives, and translate, 'By saying or doing





 $\pi \rho о \sigma \theta_{0} \delta o ́ \mu о \iota$ s 'A $\tau \rho \epsilon$ íßaıs. тє́кขоv, фро́vŋца той
Oavóvtos ov̉ $\delta a \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$
x 0.
what can I waft (convey) to you from afar, to where your resting-place (the grave) holds you, a light proportionate to your darkness.' And the sentiment, thus obscurely worded, must be explained by that double meaning or play on фdos and orotos, of which we have an example in
 єùфро́vy фє́ $\rho \omega \nu$, sc. the light of hope, safety, and consolation, as opposed to the darkness of grief, neglect, or despair. It is the object of Orestes to do honour to the unhonoured manes of his father, and thus as it were to infuse light into his darkness. On oùpícuy see Prom. 986. Pers. 604. Eum. 132. It is clearly active Oed. R. 695. Androm. 610, and perhaps also in Trach. 827.-Hermann prefers to
 \& $\nu$, which may be compared with v. 410,

311. iनópotpov. The old reading is iбorljotpoy, which most of the recent editors have changed to àvtíhoipov after Er. furdt. The word i $\sigma o$ written above à àit$\mu o t \rho o \nu$ was the origin of the error, the next transcriber having supposed it was meant as a correction of $\dot{\alpha} \nu$, instead of duyi. There is a passage so remarkable in the coincidence of terms, given as a Pythagorean dogma by Laertius, Vit.
 фजिs кal $\sigma \kappa \delta \tau o s$, that the chances seem in favour of $l \sigma \delta \mu o\langle p o \nu$ being the true reading. The $i$ in $l \sigma 0$ is made long by epic licence, as in i $\sigma$ dyctpov Prom. 558.
312. $\delta \mu 01 \omega s$. Equally (whether I succeed or not in my wish) a laudatory lamentation is held to be acceptable to the former lords of the house, the Atridae. - रóos єùr $\lambda \in$ रो $_{s}$, a dirge or lament intended to do honour.-кє́кл $\eta \nu \tau a l$ is used





 The compound is very anomalous, if it really means nothing more than toîs $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda a$, ${ }^{\text {'A ATpeifais. Blomfield thinks the sense }}$ is 'standing as protectors before the house.'

315 seqq. The chorus acquiesces in the doctrine propounded by Orestes, and exhorts him to persevere; for that the spirit retains resentment beyond the pyre, and is therefore gratified by the prospect of being avenged. A just lamentation for parents is by no means useless; for when a murder is not hushed up, but openly bewailed, there is the greater chance of the murderer being discovered.- $\pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega$
 үové $\omega \nu \pi \epsilon \in \nu \theta o s$. Cf. $\pi a \tau \rho \delta s \pi \epsilon \in \nu \theta o s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a$,
 undertaken with good reason and in a just cause.- ${ }^{2} \mu \phi i \lambda a \phi \eta$ गे $\tau \alpha \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon l$, not 'troubled on all hands' (Peile), but 'abundantly and unsparingly raised.' On this peculiar use of тapá $\sigma \sigma \in!\nu$ (vocem ciere), see Donaldson on Pindar, Pyth. xi. 42. Soph. Oed. R. 486, $\delta \in \epsilon \nu a ̀ \mu \epsilon\rangle$ ṑv, $\delta \in \iota \nu a ̀$
 $\pi \hat{a} \nu(\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a)$, 'tracks out, investigates, the whole matter.' Cf. Ag. 1062, $\mu \alpha-$
 mann-and Klausen give foтà̀ for $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$, after Lachmann, and interpret discrimen, viz. the balance to be struck between the amount of vengeance due to the father, and of punishment to the mother. The Schol.
 $\delta i \kappa \eta \sigma \omega \nu$ (referring $\gamma$ bos to the parents, not the children),-but the last word is a supplement of his own, for it is clear that he took $\tau \delta \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ in the usual adverbial sense.
$\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu a \lambda \epsilon \rho a ̀ ~ \gamma \nu a ́ \theta o s$,
 ỏтотv̧́єтац $\delta^{\prime}$ ó $\theta \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$, åvaфаірєта兀 $\delta^{\circ}$ ó $\beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau \omega \nu$. $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \epsilon \kappa o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$
 $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \mu \phi ı \lambda a \phi \grave{\eta} s \tau a \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon i ́ s$.

Hи. $\kappa \lambda \hat{\nu} \theta i ́ \nu \nu \nu, \widehat{\omega} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho,{ }_{\epsilon} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$
à $\nu \tau . \alpha$.
325
$\pi о \lambda \nu \delta \alpha ́ \kappa \rho \nu \tau a \pi \epsilon ́ v \theta \eta$.

$\theta \rho \eta ̂ \nu o s$ ảvaбт $\tau \nu a ́ b \epsilon$. тádos $\delta^{\circ}$ iкє́таs $\delta$ ঠ́́̇єктаı


ои̉к $\dot{\alpha} \tau р і а к т о s$ äта ;




$\nu \epsilon к \rho \hat{\tau} \tau а$ фíगov коцібєєєข.
OP. $\epsilon i \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{v} \pi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} I \lambda i ́ c$
324. é $\nu \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon$. Having heard my brother's desire to avenge you, now hear the distresses of your children.
326. \$8\%. The common reading is tot $\sigma^{\text {' }}$, the MSS. giving tois è $\pi เ \tau \nu \mu$ Bisloss (probably from v. 334). But roîs is likely to be nothing more than the article intruded (one of the commonest of errors) when the verse had been metrically corrupted. Both sense and metre strongly suggest $8 \delta$. Hermann has given $\delta i$ inals $\delta \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime} 8 \delta^{\prime}{ }^{2} \pi \tau \tau \dot{u} \mu \beta$ воя.
328. inétas фuरdódas $\tau \in$, ' receives us in the double relation of suppliants and exiles,' - the tomb being regarded as having the sanctity of a $\beta \omega \mu$ дs, sup. 99.


331. atplaктоs, 'invincible,' from the three throws of a wrestler. See Eum. 559. So $\tau \rho \stackrel{\mu}{\text { rinf }}$ 'a conqueror,' Ag. 165.
332. xp $\hat{\prime}\{\omega \nu$, i. e. $\theta \in ́ \lambda \omega \nu$. The Schol. wrongly has $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \omega \delta \bar{\omega} \nu$.
335. тatáv. The MSS. give $\pi a l \omega \nu$. Most editors adopt maıà from Blomf., but see on Pers. 607.-коцlбєєє is Porson's for $\kappa 0 \mu / \zeta \epsilon \mathrm{c}$. It is possible that the
 not very likely that Franz has rightly edited $\dot{a}_{\beta} \beta \mu \partial \nu \nu . \kappa о \mu / \zeta o$. Prof̣. Conington suggests $t \nu$ for $\epsilon \nu$ in the preceding line; the particle is however easily repeated
 фi入iav, here alluded to, as in Ag. 771, properly 'to ratify friendship by mixing wine,' see Monk on Hippol. 254. Etymol. M. p. 537, עєокра̃таs $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \partial ̀ s ~ A i \sigma-~$
 Antiop. 209, (where eủkpas is not from

 meaning is, 'instead of dirges a joyful paean in the royal house will introduce a newly-made friend.'
337 seqq. The case would have been very different, Orestes now adds, if Aga-

$\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \iota \nu o s ~ \Lambda v к i ́ \omega \nu, \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$, <br><br>340<br><br>$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau o ̀ \nu$ aî $\kappa \tau i \sigma \alpha s$,<br>$\pi о \lambda u ́ \chi \omega \sigma \tau о \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu$ є ${ }^{i} \chi \in \varsigma$<br>та́фо⿱ $\delta \iota a \pi o \nu \tau i ́ o v ~ \gamma a ̂ s$<br>$\delta \omega ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ єủфó $\eta \tau о \nu$.<br>фídos фíगoloı тoîs<br> $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi$ Өоעòs $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \nu$ $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ \tau \iota \mu о$ а̀ $\nu \alpha ́ \kappa \tau \omega \rho$, $\pi \rho o ́ \pi о \lambda o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$  

XO.
memnon had died gloriously at Troy; for then he would have left behind him a high renown, after having lived a life in the path of which his children might have worthily walked. 'You would not, indeed,' he argues, ' have been laid in your ancestral tomb; but a barrow of foreign earth would have been raised high over your remains; and your friends could have borne that.' The idea of the passage is taken from Od. i. 236 seqq. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \quad \kappa \in \lambda \in \dot{U} \theta o u s$ (so Well. for $\boldsymbol{\tau \epsilon} \kappa \in \lambda \in \dot{\prime} \theta o u s)$, literally, 'having established a life to be pursued in your children's journey ' (by your clildren in their journey or course from youth upwards). Cf. Suppl. 974, జ́pay éxoúvas
 àva is Hermann's correction, adopted by Klausen, Franz, and Dindorf. Bekk. Anecd. p. 363, 17, aiê $\tau \delta \nu$ aīิva катà
 strophe being doubtful, we cannot be sure that the remark refers, or even is applicable, to the present passage.
346. фinos к.т.ג. The chorus, in assenting, anticipates an objection that might be raised to such a burial, as unworthy of Agamemnon's dignity. 'He would have lain there endeared to his comrades who had met with a common fate, and he would at least have been a King in Hades, since he was King of Kings on earth.' This implies, that his present condition in Hades is unhonoured, since he died $\tau \rho \delta$ ónotбty où

тvpaplıкois, inf. 470, and was buried in silence and ignominy (inf. 422). - The words фí $\lambda$ as $\bar{\eta} \nu$ toîs $\phi$ í $o t s$ are said to have been inscribed on the tomb of Darius; see Strab. lib. xv. p. 730.
348. нatà $\chi$ Өovòs à $\nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \kappa \tau \omega \rho$. Kings on earth were believed to be Kings even among the dead. See Pers. 687. Herm. on Soph. El. 131. Antig. 25. Thus Ulysses addresses the ghost of Achilles in Hades, Od. xi. 484, $\pi \rho l \nu \mu$ èv $\gamma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \rho \sigma \epsilon \zeta \omega \partial \nu$
 кратє́єєs $\nu \in \kappa$ и́є $\sigma \tau \nu$. - $\pi \rho \delta$ бтодоs, an attendant or minister of Pluto and Persephone. The term was properly applied to such inferior demons as accompanied the greater gods, e. g. to those who stood in the relation of the Satyrs and Sileni to Bacchus, or the Curetes to Cybele, of whom Strabo writes (x. p. 466), tovitous $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\rho}$ tivas
 $\phi a \sigma t$, and in p. 471 he expressly distinguishes them as such, because by some

 Herod. ii. 64.
352. $\check{\kappa}\lceil\eta$. So Hermann for $\bar{\epsilon} \leqslant \eta \mathrm{s}$, a reading which, he well observes, arose from there being no mark of a new speaker at 346, so that these words were wrongly taken as part of Orestes' address to his father. Hence also the Med. has f $y$ with $s$ superscribed. Translate, nearly with Dr. Peile, 'for he was a King, while

# $\mu$ о́ $\rho \iota \mu о \nu \lambda a ́ \chi o s ~ \pi \iota \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ 


he lived, over those who in their hands administered what Fate had apportioned to them, even the sceptre which commands the obedience of mortals.' The phraseology is not so much obscure as awkward from its brevity; for he intended to say, $\pi \iota \pi \lambda d^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \delta \rho_{\mu} \mu \nu \nu \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \chi o s$ (sc. $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in i \alpha a s), ~ r a l ~ \nu \omega \mu \omega ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \chi \in p o i ̃ \nu$ $\sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \tau \rho o \nu$. For the omission of the article before $\pi!\pi \lambda a \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ compare Pers. 247,
 tioat. But neither of these passages justify $\epsilon \frac{1}{} \delta^{\prime} \bar{j}^{\prime} \nu \pi \rho \in \pi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ in the neuter (Ag. 1366).-The title of 'King of Kings,' is applied to Agamemnon after the Persian custom. So on the tomb of Cyrus was inscribed (Strabo ut sup.) èv $\theta d \delta^{\prime \prime}$ है $\gamma \dot{\omega}$
 Pers. 24.

 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{e ̀} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \nu \eta \rho \eta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha$. The manly wish of Orestes, that his father had died in the war, is modified by the more feminine feelings of Electra, whose meaning appears to be this:-'I had rather that my father had not died even that glorious death; but (since he is dead, and that by an ignominious end), rather let us wish that his murderers had been killed in the same way by their friends (i. e. Clytemnestra by Orestes) far away from home, that one might hear of their fate without the dreadful necessity of being present at it.' In fact, she takes up the idea of dying far away from home, from v. 337, and applies it to her mother,
whom she would rather have had punished out of her sight than in the palace.$\tau \in \theta \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta a r$ for $\tau \in \theta \dot{d} \psi a l$ is a necessary correction (since the Greeks never say el $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{E}}$ $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa a s)$, and one justified both by the context, which requires an infinitive to precede $\delta a \mu \hat{\eta} v a l$, and the scholium on the former word, $\lambda \in i\left(\pi \in t\right.$ to $\begin{array}{c} \\ \phi \in \iota \lambda \epsilon s . ~ D r . ~\end{array}$ Peile, who endeavours to defend $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \epsilon-$ $\theta$ á $\psi \alpha$, , and introduces $\omega \phi \in \lambda o \nu$ in 359 without restoring the metre, cannot be considered successful in his explanation of the passage; nor is it easy to approve Hermann's $\tau \in \theta$ á $\phi \theta a t$ $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \rho \omega \sigma 0^{\circ} \pi d \dot{d o s o s} \delta^{\circ}$ oi ктаעלутеs, к.т.入. Prof. Conington acutely suggests, from a gloss in the Med. rois inclvov, that the word lost after $\delta a \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a$ must have been $\phi$ ídois. And in truth this exactly suits both sense and

 not 'before the murder of Agamemnon,' but 'rather,' 'in preference to the other wish.' So the word is used in II. viii. 166, and so $\pi \rho \delta \sigma^{\pi} a \rho$ in Suppl. 771.-тtwà, Schol. ${ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon^{\prime}$. $-\pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega$, here at Argos, far away from the scene of their death.Lastly, the infinitives $\tau \in \theta \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta a \iota$ and $\delta \alpha \mu \hat{\eta}-$ vai depend, not on $\ddot{\psi} \phi \in \lambda \in s$ or $\partial \phi \in \lambda o \nu$ omitted, but on the epic construction pointed out by Ahrens, ât ràp - roîos
 Od. vii. 311. xxiv. 376-380. Hence єi $\gamma$ व̀ $\rho$ must be supplied from v. 337.
365. ${ }^{\text {' }} \Upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \beta$ ßofeov. The felicity of the Hyperboreans, a race supposed to have inhabited the mild sun-lands beyond the

## 

 $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀{ }_{\alpha} \delta \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} s \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \mu a \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \nu \eta s$ סov̂ $\pi o s ~ i \kappa \nu \in i ̂ \tau a l \cdot ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ a ̉ \rho \omega \gamma o i ̀ ~$

$\pi \alpha \iota \sigma i ̀ \delta ̀ ̀ ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$.
HA.
тov̂тo $\delta \iota a \mu \pi \epsilon \rho$ ès oûs
$Z \epsilon \hat{v}, Z \epsilon \hat{v}, \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega \nu$

 $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \mathfrak{i}$ - $\tau о \kappa \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime}{ }_{0}{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \mathrm{s} \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \tau \alpha \iota$.
XO.


$\sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon_{\text {. }}$

regions from which the north wind blows, was proverbial. See on Prom. 812, and Mr. Blakesley on Herod. iv. 42.
 Tो $\epsilon$ ' $\chi \chi \in \sigma \theta a$. The sense is, 'You are indulging in delightful dreams, for you can imagine what you please.' The Med. has $\phi \omega \nu \in \hat{i}, \delta \delta \quad \delta \nu a \hat{a} \sigma a r$ र̀̀ $\rho$, which Hermann admirably restored, the $\delta$ being ouly an error for C.
367. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda{ }^{2}$ - $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. 'But (a truce to mere dreams), for the sound of this beating of our breasts is reaching the ears of those below: of these children indeed there are by this time assistants below the earth; but of the rulers, those hateful ones, the hands are unholy (i. e. their prayer is impious and will not be heard), so that to the children the victory rather has accrued.'- $\delta i \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} s ~ \mu \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \nu \eta s$ $\delta o \hat{v} \pi o s$ is the noise of the heavy blows dealt by the two hands one after the other ( ${ }^{2} \pi \alpha \sigma$ $\sigma v \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \tau \rho \iota \beta \hat{\eta}$ inf. 418) on the breast; see on Pers. 124.-ikveital, sc. is making its way down to the regions below, and Agamemnon is becoming sensible that honour (sup. 313) is being done to his hitherto neglected spirit. Cf. Theb. 558,
 dowyol means, that already he is sufficiently reinstated in his power as a $\delta \alpha / \mu \omega \nu$, by virtue of his children's lament (sup. 143), to bring them efficient aid. Whereas the impiety of the rulers makes it impossible that their petitions or offerings should be received by him. Thus the intended libations of Clytemnestra have
been turned into an accasion of securing the favour of the deceased against her.
370. $\sigma \tau v \gamma є \rho \omega ิ \nu \tau \sigma y ́ \tau \omega \nu$. Hermann reads $\sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma^{3}$ 'f $\nu \tau \omega \nu$. But the Schol. seems
 $\sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ то́́т $\omega \nu$.-Regnantibus vero impurce sunt manus, odiosis istis.-The nominative to $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \varphi \eta \tau a l$ is rather a general one from the rature of the proposition. We might accurately translate, in familiar English, 'The children have the best of it.' For $\mu \bar{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ is the result of balancing the respective positions of the injured and the guilty aggressors.
377. $\tau \in \lambda e i t a l$. There is an aposiopesis, as sup. 186. Electra would have said,
 Bpotoîs, $\tau \in ́ \lambda e t ~(\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ à $\rho \grave{s}$, or $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta i ́ k \eta \nu)$,
 mann gives $\tau \in$ 入oîto, in patris gratiam pariter ( $\delta \mu \hat{\omega})$ hace perficiantur. The Schol. remarks on топє $\hat{\tau} \tau$, qua тò 8 \%ooov
 Hermann supposes he found токє $\bar{\theta} \sigma t y$ $\forall \pi \omega s \tau \in \hat{\eta} \tau a l$. But he seems to explain
 to paraphrase by $\phi u \lambda a \chi \theta \hat{\eta}$.
378. * тup a . Acting on Hermann's hint, that something must have been lost both here and in the antistrophe, I have supplied a word not only suited, but almost necessary to the context. It is
 taken alone, is a very strange phrase for 'a shout over a pine-wood pyre;' but besides this, the $: \pi l$ in composition requires a


#  <br> $\theta \epsilon \iota \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v o v, ~ \gamma v \nu a \iota к о ́ s ~ \tau^{3} \quad 380$ <br>   <br> $\delta \rho \iota \mu ̀ ̀ s$ ä $\eta \tau \tau \downarrow$ к $\rho a \delta i ́ a s$ <br>  <br> 385 <br>  <br>  $\phi \in \hat{v}, \phi \in \hat{v}, \kappa а ́ \rho a \nu a \quad \delta a t \xi a s$ ； <br>  <br>  <br> 390 <br> $\kappa \lambda \hat{\tau} \tau \epsilon \delta \grave{\epsilon} \Gamma \hat{\alpha} \chi$ Өòí $\omega \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \mu a i$. 

$O P$.
 $\delta \in \epsilon \nu \nu є \kappa \rho \bar{\varphi}$ ib．1366），and the pot＇s meaning is fairly to be inferred from v．

 dorf give $\pi \nu \kappa \alpha \in \nu \tau^{\prime}$ ，but though this suits the metre，it is very doubtful whether the roots $\pi \in ⿺ \kappa$ and $\pi v \kappa$ are identical． Some translate＇shrill，＇＇piercing，＇as

 inf．629．Yet $\pi \in \cup \kappa \alpha ́ \varepsilon y \theta^{\prime}$＂ $\mathrm{H} \phi a, \sigma \tau o \nu$, An－ tig．123，is nearly conclusive against this． On the sacrificial shout，$\dot{0} \lambda o \lambda \nu \gamma \mu \dot{d}$ ，see Theb．257．Ag．577．The Schol．ex－ plains $\pi a \nu \eta \gamma v \rho \iota \kappa \partial \nu, ~ \lambda a \mu \pi \rho \partial \nu$ ，which，Prof． Conington remarks，shews that he took it as a derivative from $\Pi \nu \nu \bar{\xi}$ ，and perhaps found $\pi \nu \nu \kappa d \in \nu \tau^{\prime}$ or $\pi \cup \kappa \nu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \tau^{\prime}$ ．

379．ávópds $\theta \in l v o \mu e ́ v o v . ~ A t ~ t h e ~ m o-~$ ment when the victims are slain，to be burnt as a sacrificial offering on the fire． Thus they are regarded as standing $\pi \rho \delta s$
 1087，where Clytemnestra is spoken of as


381．Ti үàp keviقw．＇For why should I try to conceal what nevertheless fits in my mind？For at the prow of my heart a storm of stern indignation blows，and angry loathing．＇For olou the Med．and the old copies give $\theta \in \hat{i} i=\nu$ ，corrected by Hermann，and for kpailas the common reading is kap $\delta i a s$ ．The sentence com－ mences as if he had intended to say ofov arb in at the end，and by way of an epexfyesis of tujós．Compare，for the figure in

place），Ag．948．The evident allusion to a ship at sea，before adopted at $\mathrm{\nabla}$ ．194， should have preserved ä $\eta \tau a l$ intact from alteration．Klausen aptly quotes Il．
 й $\eta$ то．
 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \phi \omega \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \theta \eta \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha$, ．The epithet here stands in place of an attribute，like Zeis Ééyos к．т．入．，meaning，primarily，the god who protects children with both parents alive（Il．xxii．496），or who，in default of them，fulfils the office of natural guar－ dians．Hence he－is the $\sigma \omega \tau$ iोp or patron of orphans．Dr．Peile goes beyond the sense of the word in understanding＇the giver of domestic increase．＇
 mihi fidere posse civibus，＇Hermann； who compares Od．xi．456，ѐ $\pi \in \mathfrak{l}$ oѝнヒ́тt $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a ̀ \quad \gamma u v a \iota \xi l v$ ．It seems easier to un－ derstand it thus：（May Zeus soon lay his avenging hand on the murderers and usurpers，）and so＇may confidence be re－ stored to the country，＇by the return of the true and rightful sovereign．

391．Гâ $\chi$ Өovíà te timal．So Franz and H．L．Ahrens，by a very successful
 In the Med．the last word is written $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu$
тєтiual．Dindorf has adopted the cor－ rection．Hermann，who formerly proposed $\tau \grave{\alpha} \chi^{\theta 0 \nu i \omega \nu} \tau \iota \nu \eta \nu \alpha ̀$（Hesych．тıтŋ̂val• Aaci $\lambda(\delta \epsilon s)$ ，has now given $\pi \rho \delta \tau i \mu \alpha$. Neither he nor Klausen seems to have been aware of an emeadation which may be said to settle the reading finally．Cf．



 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \phi \theta \tau \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu$ 395

Hи. $\pi \circ \hat{\imath}, \pi o ̂ ̂ ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau v \rho \alpha \nu \nu i ́ \delta \epsilon \varsigma ; \quad \sigma \tau \rho . \sigma^{\prime}$.

 є' $\chi о \nu \tau а$ каі $\delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$

400


 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \delta v ́ \sigma \in \lambda \pi \tau \varsigma$,



* $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \varsigma, \dot{a} \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \chi{ }^{\circ}$

 $\tau^{\prime}$ È $\nu$ ย́ $\rho \omega \nu$.

394. Boâ Aocyd̀v 'Epivús. 'The Fury loudly summons Havoc to the calamity of those formerly killed, which is bringing on a new calamity as a consequence of the former.' This accords with the Greek idea, that those murdered are like helpless spirits in Hades, and require the aid of the living to assist them. And $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 hapless estate of the dead, and so for the dead themselves. For the use of $\beta$ oǜ it or $\tau$ wı̀ see Phoen. 1155, Boầ $\pi \hat{u} \rho \mathrm{p}$ kal
 summon to one's side,' or by way of aid,
 $\pi a \rho^{2}$ encivous, and the compound $\pi a p a \beta o \eta-$ $\theta \in i v$. The allusion is to the death of the children of Thyestes, and more recently of Agamemnon; and this ár力 is said
 his murderers, much as we have $\pi$ doos
 каксิ̀ какоі̂s, Pers. 1020. The majority of editors follow Schïtz in reading $\beta 0$ oq
 the vulgate, explains mapà by propter. "Clamat Furia stragem propter priorem "perniciem, quæ alteram adducit." This, though not quite accurate, is not far from
the poet's meaning.
395. то̂̂, आô̂ ठŋ̆. "Quo abierunt "" Klausen. But the reading does net satisfy the metre. Hermann gives $\tau_{i}^{i}$ moi $\delta \hat{h}$. Perhaps $\pi \delta \pi o 1 \delta \bar{\alpha}$, as in Ag. 1039.
396. 'Apal, i. e. 'Epivues, Eum. 395.$\phi \theta \iota \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ is corrupt, probably from the eye of the transcriber catching the word in 395. Hermann plausibly teads $\tau \in \theta v$ -
 $\mu \epsilon ́ v \varphi$ то́סє $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \lambda о$ о.
397. kitoucay. For the use of the accusative (as if the poet had said $\phi 6$ Bos


 $\tau \omega \nu .-o i k \tau \rho \partial \nu$ is added on the conjecture of Hermann. Cf. Suppl. 57.
 as I bear it,' Scholef.
 when again hope has elated me with confidence (raised me upon strength), it at once removes my grief, appearing to me with favourable aspect.' I bave given
 ( $Є$ ГГfel for bPAPE, words in fact identical), as nearer the original, and better suiting both sense and metre than any correction that has been proposed. The insertion of $\bar{\epsilon} \pi l^{2}$ is necessary to the context, as Blomf. and Bamberger perceived,


to the latter of whom is also due $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \phi \alpha-$ $\nu \in i ̂ \sigma a$ for $\pi \rho \partial s$ so $\phi a v \in i ̃ \theta \theta a t$ ．The com－ pound however has little authority．Prof． Conington proposes $\pi \rho 0 \phi \theta \dot{\nu}$ ouva．

410．фd́pics．So Bothe and Bamber－ ger for mávtєs．The Schol．explains $\tau i$

 The sense however is rather，＇What sub－ ject is so proper to speak of as the griefs we have suffered，and that truly（ $\gamma \epsilon$ ）from parents？＇Peile seems rightly to take $\tau \boldsymbol{t}$ —猪 for $\tau$ í ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda_{0} \%$ 方．It is rather singular that $\phi \eta \mu l$ has met with such hard treat－ ment from transcribers．Thus we have
 ф $\boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{\sigma a s}$ Eum． 765.

412．$\pi$ áp $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \sigma \alpha i \nu \epsilon t \nu$ ．Müller explains this of the possibility of Orestes conciliating his mother by submission；the Schol．

 ＇she may use＇（as she does use，inf． 882 seqq．）＇persuasion and blandishments to escape her fate，but our griefs are not to be soothed in this way．＇He adds，＇For we inherit from our mother a mind which is no more to be talked over than is a savage wolf．＇That is，＇she has but her own disposition to thank if she finds us inexorable．＇And this is one of the argu－ ments by which Orestes endeavours to escape the odium of the deed，by plead－ ing that it is not his fault．
 The Arians，or inhabitants of the country which is nearly the modern Cabool，were famed for their skill as professional mourn－ ers，like the Mariandyni（Pers．920），and the people of Cissa（Pers．17），appear to have been not less so（Pers．124）．Hesych． in $\lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau \rho l a s$－$\theta \rho \eta \nu \eta \tau \rho l a s$, whence Her－
mann long ago restored the reading in the text for $\nu \delta \mu o \sigma \tau \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau$ pias．The chorus at this point（as above at v．367） commence a beating of the breast．The aorist ekoษa，followed by the imperfect in $\quad i \delta \in i \bar{\nu}$ ，represents an action almost si－ multaneous with the words，so that we may translate，＇I strike an Arian stroke， and in the measure（i．e．time or tune）of a Cissian mourner you might behold the outstretchings of my hands from above， from arm＇s length，in quick succession， dealing blows with rendings of hair；and with the noise of the beating resounds my battered and unhappy head．＇To avoid the difficulty of 竦 $i \delta \bar{\delta} \hat{\nu}$, ，immediately fol－ lowed by the present $\dot{e} \pi \iota \rho \rho o \theta \epsilon \hat{i}$ ，Hermann

 Bamberger，while others correct è $\bar{\epsilon} \in \rho \rho \dot{\theta} \epsilon \epsilon$. The actual beating however probably had just ceased when the words were uttered． Müller（Dissert．on the Eum．p．205） refers these verses to the lament of the chorus at the funeral of the King，at which they were allowed，as slaves，to attend，while no citizen（inf．423）was permitted to be present．He remarks on the peculiarity of the metre（iambic）of this portion of the Commos，and thinks it may be regarded as in a manner a supple－ mentary narrative to the first play of the trilogy．According to the view given above，the planclus is meant as a late tribute in honour of the dead，because he did not obtain it on the occasion of the funeral．

417．à aрıүкто́тл $\eta \kappa \tau \alpha$ ．So Blomf．for äтрıүктоц $\pi \lambda \eta \kappa \tau \alpha ́$ ．Cf．Pers．1042，

 à $\nu \in ́ \kappa \alpha \theta \in \nu$ see Ag． 4.
 кротทтò̀ ả $\mu$ ò̀ каі $\pi a \nu \alpha ́ \theta \lambda \iota о \nu ~ к а ́ \rho а . ~$

 $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\alpha} \nu \epsilon v \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu \stackrel{้}{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa \tau^{\prime}$, ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \nu \epsilon v \delta є \frac{\varepsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \nu \theta \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 425
OP. $\quad \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau i \mu \omega \varsigma$ є̈ $\lambda \in \xi \alpha$, oï $\mu \circ{ }^{\circ}$ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \tau i \mu \omega \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \tau i \sigma \epsilon \iota$, є̀кать $\mu$ ѐ $\delta$ ба८цо́v $\omega \nu$, є'ккать $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{\alpha} \nu \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu ;$
 430
 $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\alpha} \nu \tau . \dot{\eta}$.
 بópov ктíбaı $\mu \omega \mu$ éva

$\kappa \lambda v ́ \in \iota s ~ \pi a \tau \rho ఱ ֻ o v s ~ \delta u ́ a s ~ a ̉ \tau i ́ \mu o v s . ~$ 435

421. $\delta$ atca, ' hostile,' strongly opposed to $\phi i \lambda \eta$ or $\pi l \sigma \tau \eta$, as she should have been. The sense of 'wretched' seems questionable, in Aeschylus at least.
426. $\tau \delta \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi i \mu \omega s$. The sense is, $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu$

 speaking, on which see Ag. 1215. Ibid.

 she not - ?' i. e. she shall assuredly pay for,-see sup. 289. Here for the first time, Klausen observes, Orestes boldly declares his resolve to slay his mother, being excited beyond control at the mention of these complicated indignities.
431. ${ }^{2} \mu a \sigma \chi a \lambda i ́ \sigma \theta \eta \delta \epsilon \gamma^{\prime}$. On the curious superstition of cutting off the extremities of a murdered man and tying them with a band ( $\mu \alpha \sigma \chi a \lambda t \sigma \pi \eta \rho$ ) round the waist, see Herm. on Soph. El. 437. The object was to deprive him of the power of doing harm to his murderers, just as the living body is rendered powerless by such mutilation. Till very recently, suicides were interred in England with a stake through the body, in order (it is said) to 'lay the ghost.' Müller (Dissert. p. 122 and 205 , ed. 2) calls it
 the offering of the $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ of a victim. In the latter case (for the two reasons assigned are wholly distinct) we may compare the consecration of a person to Hades by cutting off a lock of hair, Alcest. 75, 76. Perhaps this will explain why the extremities were cut off from the children of Thyestes, Ag. 1572.—\&s $\tau \delta \delta^{\circ}$ tidips has been restored by Hermann and others from the reading of the Med. $\tau \omega \sigma \tau \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon$ 'í $\eta \mathrm{s}$. Klausen gives $\dot{\omega} s \tau \delta \sigma^{\prime}$ єiठ̄̂̀s.
432. him, so she buries him, desiring to make his death intolerable to your life,'- i. e. in order to render his assistance from the grave impossible, and so to drive you to despair of vengeance. The Greek is unusual (but see on Ag. 1261) for oía è $\pi$ oíf aù $\delta \partial \nu$, but certainly there is no authority for Dr. Peile's explanation of bartering or trading with the body (see sup. 125). -ктioal for $\kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu a$, is Stanley's correction; and Hermann says the $\nu$ is written (doubtless for $\sigma$ ) in an erasure in the Med.-To Stanley also we owe the cor-


436-41. These verses are assigned by

Klausen to the chorus，by Peile，Din－ dorf，Müller，and Franz to Electra，while Hermann gives the whole（as far as v．446）to Hemichorion $\beta^{\prime}$ ，as the strophe to the Hemichorion c．He reads how－
 ＂patris necem accepisti．＂The alter－ ation however is far from probable． Klausen＇s comment seems satisfactory； －＂Chorus－affirmat revera eam（caedem） esse perpetratam ita，ut dixerit Electra： adfuisse enim se，sed opitulando invali－ dam，minis Clytaemnestrae retrusam a loco caedis，terrore coactam ad simulandam laetitiam．＂It is improbable，whatever Müller may urge in excuse，that an actor should reply antistrophically to the chorus （see Dissert．p．204）．Again，if we give 421－5 to Electra，we must do the same with the corresponding 442－6；and Klau－ sen does this ；but it is evidently to break off a sentence in the middle．

438．$\mu \nu \chi \hat{\varphi}$ ．So Stanley and Hermann for $\mu v \chi o \hat{v}$ ．The sense seems rather，＇shut up in the interior，＇than＇excluded from the interior；＇for thus only is there any force in кєкрчцие́vб v． 440.

439．à $\nu \in ́ \phi \in \rho o v$ ．＇I gave free vent to tears which came more readily than the smile of delight（which I was expected to
 28．For ддעa申́є $\rho \in \nu$, see Herod．iii．102，


 ронєу．

440．Х́́ouva．So Herm．Franz，Dind． with Dobree for xaípouva．Cf．Suppl． 852.

441．Something has been lost from this verse．＂Fortasse ejusmodi quid scriptum

$\phi \rho \in \sigma l v$ ypodou．＂Herm．The accuracy of antistrophic metre is well shewn in these senarii，where every foot occupies precisely the same place in the correspond－ ing lines．
 See on Ag．1019．Others read $\delta i i^{2} \not \approx \omega \nu$ $\delta \grave{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \bar{\nu}$ ，Herm．$\delta \iota^{2} \ddot{\omega}^{\circ} \tau \omega \nu \tau \tau^{\prime} \in \sigma t$ ，while Peile and Blomfield endeavour to explain the compound $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \in ́ \tau \rho a, \nu \in$（Herod．ii． 11. Strabo，vii．p．317）．－In тétpalve we see clearly the primary meaning of the word， ＇to carry through，＇or＇cause to pass through，＇as an auger is made to go through a board．Schol．Saatopet，$\delta$ sakó－ $\mu l \xi_{\xi}$ ．
 is，＇hear the account without giving way to violent indignation，＇i．e．reserve your wrath for the fitting occasion，＂ovuरos $\beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma s$ signifying＇a quiet and sedate step，＇ opposed to the $\delta \rho \mu \eta$ or impetuosity of one going to do a hasty and ill－considered act．

445．б $\quad$ pra $\mu a \theta \in i v . ~ ' B e ~ e a g e r ~ t o ~ l e a r n . ' ~$ The Schol．read ${ }^{\mathbf{o}} \rho \gamma \hat{\underline{q}}$, with the MSS．and old edd．，and so Franz，Klausen，and Dindorf．Hermann and Peile give ópya after Pauw．The sense is，＇Let the words you have already heard about your father＇s death stimulate you to further investiga－ tion．＇Compare 323．Properly òpyâv is said of the swelling and bursting of plants，and also of sexual appetites， whence the general notion of eagerly
 $\ell \pi t \theta \nu \mu \varepsilon$.

446．ка日爫效，＇to come down to the contest，＇＇to enter the lists．＇Lat．in arenam descendere．Eum．998．Inf． 714.








| HM. $A^{\prime}$. | $\widehat{\omega} \pi o ́ v o s$ é $\chi \gamma \epsilon \nu \grave{\eta}$ s, каì тара́ $\mu$ оvбо " "Atas аіңало́є $\sigma \sigma \alpha, \pi \lambda a \gamma$ á. | $\sigma \tau \rho$, i. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 460 |
|  |  |  |
| HM. $B^{\prime}$. | $\delta \omega ́ \mu а \sigma \iota \nu \stackrel{\prime \prime}{\prime \prime} \mu$ отор |  |



447. $\sigma$ '́ тoı $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$. Orestes hạs now made up his mind to act, and invokes his father to assist his friends, i. e. his rightful avenger. But the words $\pi \rho \delta s$ éx $\begin{aligned} & \text { poivs }\end{aligned}$ are left to be added by the chorus (451). -кєплаขце́zа, lacrymis suffusa. See inf.

 7, $\tau!\pi \bar{\tau} \in \delta \in \delta a \kappa \rho \underline{U} \sigma a!$; Od. xx. $353, \delta \in \delta d-$ нриутаı ঠ̀̀ $\pi \alpha, \beta \in i a l$.
452. $\xi \nu \mu \beta a \lambda \in \hat{L}_{0} . \quad$ 'Shall engage,'-a promise to act, rather than (as Klausen says) a predietion. The sense is, ' $M y$ prowess and the justice which is on my side shall join issua with theirs, and decide which is to win.' For even Clytemnestra and Aegisthus pleaded $\delta i k \eta$ (e. g. Ag. 1407, 1555) in defence of the murder. Porson read $\xi \nu \mu \beta d \lambda \lambda$, , the MSS. giving $\xi v \mu \beta a \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon t$. Possibly the form $\xi \nu \mu \beta 0 \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$ should be introduced from

453. Síkas. This word was supplied by Hermann, and has been generally admitted. The double homoeateleuton, as Prof. Conington observes, was probably intentional.




өभ̃val.- Vengeance has long been abiding its time, and will come at our prayer.'

 the poet means 'family troubles' in a wider sense, those, namely, which are inherent in it through the original curse. This strophe and antistrophe are sung by Hemichoria I. and II., while 454-6 and 467-9 are recited by the leader of the chorus. Others assign the strophe to Electra and the antistrophe to Orestes. It seems however quite natural that the long Commos should terminate with an address to the two children from the chorus, encouraging them, exhorting them, and praying for their success.
458. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu, \quad v \sigma o s$, ' ill-sounding,' in reference to the noise of a scourge, which Atè is conceived to apply.
 inf. 530 , this is probably a term complete in itself, derived from the treatment of wounds by lint. See on Prom. 488. The MSS. give éxc̀s, which Franz and Dindorf retain; 'it is a salve for the house to prosecute this quarrel (not) apart from these nor by the aid of others.' See sup. 286 for the omitted negative. Prof. Conington thinks there is a reference to the preceding $\delta \nu \sigma \kappa a \tau \alpha ́ \pi a \nu \sigma \tau o \nu$, and translates, 'Ay, but the house has lint to staunch

$\ddot{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \circ \theta \epsilon \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\lambda} \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\dot{\prime}} \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
$\delta \iota \dot{\kappa \epsilon \iota \nu ~ Є ̈ \rho \iota \nu ~ а і \mu а т \eta \rho \alpha ́ \nu . ~}$

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon v \chi \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \epsilon \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \rho \omega \gamma{ }^{\prime}{ }^{2} \nu$

OP. $\pi a ́ \tau \in \rho, \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ \tau v \rho a \nu \nu \iota к o i ̂ s ~ \theta a \nu \grave{o ̀ v, ~}$ aitov́ $\mu \in \nu o ́ s ~ \mu о \iota ~ \delta o ̀ s ~ к р а ́ т о \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu ~ \delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu . ~$
 $\phi v \gamma \epsilon i v, \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma a \nu A i \gamma i \sigma \theta \omega{ }^{*}$ * $\mu o ́ \rho o \nu$.
that wound.' On the whole, aros, the correction of Schütz, seems the best reading, and it has been adopted by Klausen and Peile under the mistaken impression that it is found in the Med. The gloss of
 shew that he did not find zкоs.-For ou $\delta^{\prime}$ $\dot{a} \pi^{3} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ I have given $o v k$, and Hermann has suggested, though not admitted the same. But oùk would necessarily have been altered to $o u \delta^{\circ}$ by a transcriber who found ékc̀s instead of «̈кos.
 corrupt reading of the Med. atwuavapety. Franz adopts Klausen's conjecture $\delta b^{\prime}$ $\dot{\omega} \mu \grave{a} \nu{ }^{Z} p ı \nu$, which Peile changes to $\delta i^{\prime} \dot{a} \mu \mu a ̀ v$

 and $\varepsilon$ are very often confused.
466. T̂̂̀ $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$ 人âs. The article was added by Hermann. The sense is, 'So ends our invocation of the gods below,' viz. to regard the cause of just vengeance.
 And these words are taken up by the
 к.т. . ., ' ' So hear this petition, ye blessed $^{\text {a }}$ powers beneath the earth, and cheerfully send the children such assistance as shall

 oùpavious. In fact, a Commos can only be called 'a song of the infernals,' since the celestials have nothing to do with funeral dirges. Hence the propriety of the term becomes manifest, even though the actual appeal to the gods below has only been made at v. 374 and 308.

471 seqq. The Commos being at length concluded, the brother and sister unite in a prayer in which vengeance, considered
as a duty, is for a time laid aside, and the prominent idea is disgrace to be wiped away. Nothing short of a restoration to their rights will enable the survivors and lawful successors to make amends for the ignominy of an unkingly death. This then may be called the argumentum ad pudorem (òveí $\eta$, v. 486), as contrasted with those preceding ad misericordiam and ad justitiam.- airò $\mu \in \nu o s$. Here used pas-
 xiv. 63. Cf. Pind. Isthm. vii. 5. Later editors, except Klausen and Peile, give aicoú $\mu \in \nu \omega$ with Turnebus.
472. тotávóc. The Med. has totóde, which Klausen has preserved, idem sentiens. He compares кảy Ag. 1331.
473. $\mu$ ópov. In Turn. Vict. this word is supplied by conjecture, a word having dropped out from the end of the line, as in $\mathbf{\nabla}$. 453. Hermann gives totê $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ б $\sigma$
 $\gamma^{i} \sigma \theta \varphi \phi \theta 0 \rho \delta \nu$. The Schol. however read

 which accords with the reading in the text; for his object was to supply an accusative to $\phi \cup \gamma \kappa \hat{\tau}$. Canter conjectured $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi р \partial \nu$, and Franz, misled by the scho-
 Aifif $0 \% \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha$ s. It must however be allowed that neither $\mu \in ́ \gamma a s \mu \delta \rho o s$ (for $\delta \in \epsilon \nu \partial s$ ) nor $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau เ \theta^{\prime} \nu a, ~ \mu \delta \rho o \nu \tau \tau \nu l$ is usual Greek. By фuyeiv she seems to mean a judicial acquittal, not escape by flight,-which, indeed, she has never contemplated. For the argument of Orestes runs thus:-‘In this case, i. e. if the death of Aegisthus be pronounced deserved and lawful, men will offer to my deceased father the customary




 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ठє̀ $\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau о \nu \tau o ́ \nu \delta \in \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon u ́ \sigma \omega \tau a ́ \phi о \nu$.


OP. $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma о ~ \lambda о и ́ \tau \rho \omega \nu ~ o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu o \sigma \phi i ́ \sigma \theta \eta s, ~ \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho . ~$


commemorative and propitiatory offerings, tevarionol, because this is virtually to declare his murder to have been an unjust one; but otherwise, he will remain unhonoured, because his death would be regarded as justifiable tyrannicide.' It is clear that Orestes cannot mean, that by getting possession of his house, offerings will be made as a direct consequence, for the offerings he speaks of are public, not private ones ( $\beta \rho о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ and $\chi \theta o \nu \delta s$ ). Beside which, Electra immediately adds, that she will contribute her share as a private individual. The allusion therefore is to some public recognition of Agamemnon as entitled to heroic honours by a formal decision of the people.
 poïrt, which Klausen and Peile retain with



 funeral offerings,' the additional epithet $\kappa_{\nu} \nu_{\sigma} \omega$ da showing that meat, not merely perfumes, oil, \&c., is meant. Hesych.

 xooi. It was a very ancient custom to give a funeral entertainment, as Achilles slaughtered oxen, sheep, goats, and pigs, over the tomb of Patroclus, the blood being poured round the tomb, the meat consumed by those present. In this case the allusion may be to a custom peculiar to Argos. Plutarch, Quaest. Graec. § xxiv.












477. Хо丸̀s $\tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \notin \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta$ plas. ' Libations of (i. e. offered out of) my entire substance,' or inheritance, 'on the event of my marriage.' Not, as Dr. Peile translates, 'the entire portion of my goods will I offer in libations to you.'
481. $\delta$ ds $\delta{ }^{7}$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \tau$ '. So I formerly edited for $\delta \delta \delta_{s} \delta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$. Cf. Od. iii. 60, $\delta \delta s \delta^{\prime \prime}$ єัт
 $\nu \in \kappa \alpha$ ठ $\epsilon \hat{v} \rho^{\prime}$ iк $\delta \mu \in \sigma \theta a$. Hermann gives $\delta \delta s$

 Oed. R. 190.
483. ※ิ $\sigma^{*}$ ènalviбav. Blomfield and Peile give $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ è $\kappa$ ivivav, 'how they put it to a new and strange use,' like кaluroov §u ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{b} \nu$, Ag. 1038 . "Nihil mutandum. ${ }^{\prime}$ Eraivifav est imbuerunt, initiarunt, i. e. primum exceperunt." Hermann. There seems a material difference between $\kappa \alpha$,
 unsafe to deny the possibility of the latter usage, the chief objection to which here lies in the accusative $\alpha_{\alpha} \mu \phi_{i}^{\prime} \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho o \nu$ after the genitive in the preceding verse. We have however Pers. 779, кой $\mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon$ ह́et тd̀s

 forged of brass,' but the entangling and shackling garment called $\pi o \delta \iota \iota \sigma \tau \eta \rho \pi \epsilon \in \pi \lambda o s$ inf. 987. Cf. Eur. frag. Peirith. iv.
 the metre see Pers. 354.









 oṽт $\omega$ व̀ $\rho$ ov̉ $\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa \alpha \varsigma ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \theta a \nu \omega ́ \nu . ~$





 the Schol. explains. Possibly we should read $\alpha$ il $\sigma \chi \rho \bar{\omega} s \gamma \epsilon$.
 do not so use the article (where the adjective is not the predicate), but $\tau d \sigma \partial \nu$ фírtatov kápa. Hence Dr. Donaldson conjectures $\phi$ iAcúcots.-For the idea of a departed person raising his head,- as if roused from the torpor of death, - in answer to invocations, see Pers. 662.
489. $\lambda \alpha \beta \alpha \dot{s}$. The MSS. give $\beta \lambda \alpha, \beta a s$. But Canter's correction may be regarded as a certain one, and it has justly been admitted by Hermann and Franz. For the very next line proves that the metaphor is borrowed from the palaestra.
 каl д̀ $\nu \tau \mu \mu \tau \alpha \theta \in ́ \sigma \epsilon!s$. Plutarch, Reg. et Imp. Apophtheg. De Alcibiade, § 1 , ${ }^{\prime}$ AN-
 $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i \sigma \tau \rho \bar{c}$. Plat. Phredr. p. 236, в, $\pi \in \rho$ l
 $\boldsymbol{e} \lambda \dagger \lambda v \theta a s$. The proverb was used of those who after a fall, or when they had got out of the ring, resumed the contest by taking the same grasp of the adversary as before. Hence the sense is, 'Either send justice to assist your friends, or rise and renew the contest in your turn,' i. e. after the defeat you have sustained.
493. \& $p \sigma \in \operatorname{vos} \gamma \delta{ }^{2}$ ov. Hermann adopts Bamberger's correction foov. But Klau-
sen remarks that the Greeks thought a son was the offspring of the father, a daughter of the mother; so that $\alpha, \rho \sigma \in \nu 0 s$ robov is in fact the same as ápoeva robvov.

 correct $\kappa \lambda \eta \delta \delta \nu o s$, but the children themselves are $\kappa \lambda \eta \delta 6 \nu \in s$ inasmuch as by calling on the father's name ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \delta \delta \nu$ as тaт $\rho 巾^{6} 0 u s$, Ag. 220), and talking about him, they rescue him from neglect and oblivion. They are like corks (he adds) which prevent the net from being dragged to the bottom by the lead, and wholly disappearing. Thus the deceased is, as it were, at once alive on earth and dead in Hades, as a net is both in the water and out of it. Pind. Pyth. ii. 79, äтє $\gamma$ dे

 Soph. frag. 783, $\mu 0 \lambda i \beta \delta i_{s} \sharp \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta i \kappa \tau v o \nu$ кате́ $\pi \pi \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$.
498. $\tau \delta \nu \nu{ }^{2} \kappa \beta \nu \theta 0 \hat{v}$. For $\tau \delta \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \beta v \theta \hat{\varphi}$




 phron, v. 480, каl $\tau \delta \nu$ éк $\beta 6 \theta \rho o v \quad \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \epsilon t$ $\beta \hat{\omega} \lambda o \nu$. Xen. Anab. v. ii. 24, छ゙ $\phi e v \gamma o v$ of
 rightly explains $\lambda$ lvov $\kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$ by $\kappa \lambda \omega-$ $\sigma \tau \delta \nu$ Aivov. The same expression is quoted from Euripides by Pollux, vii. 31.



 $\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ Хоàs $\epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \mu \psi \in \nu$, є̇к тívos $\lambda$ órov $\mu \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \mu \omega \hat{\sigma} \sigma^{\prime} \alpha \nu \eta^{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \sigma \tau о \nu \pi \alpha ́ \theta о \varsigma$. өavóvtı $\delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\phi \rho o \nu o v ̂ \nu \tau \iota ~ \delta \epsilon i \lambda a i a ~ \chi a ́ p ı s$




500. $\sigma \omega \zeta_{\epsilon c}$. Though in Hades, Agamemnon is said $\sigma \hat{\omega}\} \in \sigma \theta a l$, to escape from oblivion and a state of nothingness, and to regain his power as a king (sup. 348), by hearing the appeal of his son to send vengeance, and assist him in executing it.
 aкои́ $\sigma \alpha s$ ทั $\mu \mathrm{a}$.
501. à $\mu \epsilon \mu \phi \hat{\eta}$. Long as your addresses to your father have been, you cannot be blamed for them, since they were meant as a recompense for the unlamented condition (plight) of his tomb. That is, ' It is time to leave off talking, and to proceed to action; I have no wish to reprove the one, but only to urge on the other.' There is the usual antithesis be-
 with ed. Rob., places 502 after 500 , and reads $\sigma \hat{\omega} \zeta_{\epsilon}$ for $\sigma \omega \bar{\omega} \zeta \epsilon$. But there is no difficulty whatever in the vulgate.
503. Td $\delta^{\prime} \not{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$. 'As for the rest,' (see on Ag. 891).—кат $\omega \rho \theta \omega \sigma \alpha t$, 'now that you have had your mind set right for action,' i. e. your doubts removed, by the arguments alleged in the course of the preceding Commos.- $\delta a / \mu o \nu o s ~ \pi \epsilon є \rho \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu o s$, taking your chance of success ; trying how far fortune will assist you. See on Ag. 1641.
 much to the purpose (not out of course) to learn,' \&c. Cf. Prom. 902. Inf. 1011. Orestes suspects some extraordinary warning has been given to his mother, and wishes to judge whether it is favourable to his enterprise.
508. Aavbעti où фpovoûvtı. 'To the
dead man not caring for it,'-an epexegesis of $\mu \in \theta \dot{v} \sigma \tau \in \rho o v \tau \tau \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha$. We cannot explain 'not being conscious of it,' without contradicting the Greek doctrine about the souls in Hades. All that the poet means is, that Agamemnon was not likely to heed an offering sent too late, and as a remedy for what admitted of no remedy. See sup. 39-41.
509. ои้к ё $\chi$ о $\mu$ ', ă $\nu$. 'I cannot come to this conclusion,' viz. that there was no other motive than the improbable one of a voluntary wish to do honour to the deceased. He adds, as an additional reason for rejecting the idea, ' Besides, the offering is altogether inadequate to the offence.' To the next verse refers the scho-
 Prom. 410. The real object of the $\chi^{0 a l}$ was not as a compliment to the dead, but to avert impending evil (à ${ }^{\pi} \delta \dot{\tau} \rho о \pi о \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu, \mathrm{v} .38$ ), the evil namely which she foresaw would result from the dreaded return of Orestes.
512. $\mu \dot{d} \tau \eta \nu \delta \mu^{\delta} \chi \theta_{0}$. A change of construction for $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu \mu o \chi \theta \in \hat{c}$. Cf. Theb. 678,


 the same in the idiom noticed sup. 403. In the one case the subject belongs to $a$ verb intended to be expressed, but afterwards resolved into an equivalent periphrasis ; in the other, the object is governed by the sense of a verb conveyed in such periphrasis. See the note on Theb. 230.

#  

 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \nu v \kappa \tau \iota \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \kappa \tau \omega \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \mu \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \pi a \lambda \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$













520．$\delta$ puifal．＇That she put it to rest like a child in swathing bands．＇Schol．
 $\sigma \pi a \rho \gamma d \nu o i s . \quad$ Hesych．ठ\％$\rho \mu \tau \sigma \nu \quad \delta \eta ̄ \sigma a \nu$, àvdravaov．The infinitive depends on $\lambda \in$＇$\gamma \in t$ implied in the preceding $\lambda \delta \gamma \sigma$ ，
 of the serpent was borrowed by Aeschylus from Stesichorus．Plutarch，De sera Nu－ minum Vindicta，§ 10，affirms $\tau \delta \tau \hat{\eta} s$




 モ̇фа́vク。
Sophocles relates a different dream，Electr． 420.

521．tivos Bopâs．Hermann，Peile， and Scholefield retain tuds，cujuspiam． But the indefinite ris placed at the be－ ginning of a verse requires to be confirmed by certain examples；and the following reply seems（though Dr．Peile thinks the contrary）more appropriate to tivos than rivos，－－＇Wanting what food ？＇－＇Want－ ing the breast，which she accordingly gave it．＇－The old reading，$\mu a{ }^{5} h \nu$ ，is retained by Peile and Klausen；and Homer uses it of a female breast，IL，xxii．80．In the Attic writers it is commonly supposed（though it may be only a whim of the gramma－ rians）that $\mu \alpha$ dos and $\mu a \sigma \tau \partial s$ differ as to sex．
 （originally－$\eta \nu$ ）of the Med．Hesych．
 Idem，ov̌ $\theta a \tau a^{*} \mu a \sigma \tau 0$ ！．－$\sigma \tau \dot{\prime} \gamma o u s i s$ Scbütz＇s correction for $\sigma \tau v \gamma \delta \mathrm{~s}$ ，which others refer to an obsolete synonyme $\sigma \tau \boldsymbol{u} \xi$ ．Schol．






 and Klausen acquiesce in this；but Schole－ field gives a different sense，＇＇Tis the dream of a man（not of a beast），and no vain one，＇which Peile prefers．The other is satisfactory，provided oü̃at be taken strictly to negative $\mu$ d́ralov．＇This dream must have been sent from her husband， and it has a deep import of vengeance in store for her．＇

526．кéкраүє ${ }^{\text {，＇shrieks，＇}}$ in the present sense，as Prom．762，à̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ a $\hat{\delta}$ кє́ккрауаs， $\kappa a ̉ v a \mu \nu \chi \theta\{\zeta \epsilon!$ ．The Med．gives $\kappa \epsilon \in \kappa \lambda a \gamma \in \nu$ ， an anomalous form，which Franz alters to кéк $\lambda a \gamma \gamma \in \nu$ ，but Klausen retains，as he does $\dot{a} v \hat{j} \lambda \theta o v$ ，instead of the certain cor－ rection of Valckenaer，áp $\hat{\eta} \theta o \nu$ ，in the next verse，where the Schol．gives àvé $\lambda a \mu \psi a v$.

 also give à $\nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o v$.













 $\tau \epsilon \rho a \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi о \nu$ ठє̀ $\tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \sigma^{\prime}$ aipov̂ $\mu a \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota$.


529. $\kappa \eta \delta \in$ fovs. The word is applied to any thing done in connexion with the death of a relative, as кoupd̀ к $\overline{\eta \epsilon i o u ~ \tau \rho \iota \chi \partial s, ~}$ v. 218, and кй $\delta \in \iota o t$ रoal, v. 79. Schol.
 have no English equivalent for an adjective which implies the care and affection due to the living continued to them even after death.
 send up Agamemnon; cf. 480. 709-12.
533. крive к.т. $\lambda$. 'And I interpret it so that it corresponds (or fits me) exactly,' i. e. so that the dream and the person to whom it applies suit each other in every particular, and form, as it were, one consistent whole. Cf. Suppl. 305, кal $\tau 0 \hat{i} \tau^{2}$



535. où $\phi$ ts E゙Teita. In the Med. the verse is corruptly written oú фєєбєтaбa$\sigma \pi a p \gamma a \nu \eta \pi \lambda \epsilon\left[{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \rho\right.$, the restoration of which has exercised the ingenuity of critics without any very satisfactory result. Klausen comes nearest to the MSS., oúdıs $\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{3}{ }^{2} \mu \dot{\alpha}$
 endeavours to improve, oü $\phi$ เs $\bar{\epsilon}^{3} \pi^{3}$ à $\mu \mathrm{d}$ $\sigma \pi d \rho \gamma a \nu^{\prime} \eta \rho \pi \alpha \lambda / \zeta \epsilon \tau 0$ (MS. Guelf. giving $\delta \pi \lambda \epsilon!\zeta_{\epsilon \tau 0}$, but the $\delta$ by an insertion). Hermann follows Porson, oû $\phi$ es $\mathfrak{\text { Enỗt }}$
 independently conjecture è̉ $\epsilon \in!\tau a$, which I have admitted, but with little confidence of its truth. Perhaps ( $2 \pi$ - and $\epsilon_{\pi} \pi-$ being often confused) we should read araiocos, ' unfed,' in reference to the next verse, and to v. 521, tivos Bopãs रpy̆́Sovta; On the final is in oै $\phi$ ss see inf. 914. Suppl. 176. Prom. 1105.
 ira, Soph. frag. 147. See sup. 32.
 ойтш наl ßıaíws $\theta a \nu \epsilon i v$. See inf. 965.



540. éк $\kappa \rho \alpha \kappa о у т \omega \theta \in!$ s. 'Turned into a serpent,' i. e. playing the part of the serpent in the dream. Verbs of this sort are regularly compounded with tit and terminate in -bopai, implying the transition out of a former state into a new one.

 $\kappa т \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$. Cf. Eur. Ion 1019, $\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime} \delta$ $\kappa \tau \in \mathfrak{L} \nu \omega \nu$ モั $\sigma \in \epsilon$.
542. $\sigma \epsilon$, i. e. the leader of the chorus, who is appealed to by Orestes as to whether he has rightly interpreted the portent. - ${ }^{\xi} \xi \eta \gamma o v$, see sup. 110.





 ă $\nu \alpha \xi^{\prime} A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu, \mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \dot{a} \psi \epsilon v \delta \grave{\eta} s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho i v$.



 $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma \eta \mathrm{s} \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \ddot{\tau} \eta \grave{\nu} \nu \Phi \omega \kappa i ́ \delta o s ~ \mu \tau \mu о \nu \mu \hat{v} \nu \omega$ ．


to act a particular part（to do so and so）， others not to act at all，＇but simply to forward the scheme by silent acquiescence， lest by too much zeal in action the whole plot should be frustrated．Blomfield， Dind．，and Franzs，adopt Stanley＇s cor－ rection тoùs $\mu$ é̀ $\tau i$ ．Hermann transposes this and the next line，leaving only 543 to the chorus，and reading $\lambda \epsilon$＇$\gamma \omega$ for $\lambda \epsilon ์ \gamma \omega \nu$.
 plan we have agreed upon for surprising Aegisthus．Cf．572．On aivê for $\pi \alpha \rho-$ aเע仑 see Suppl．175．From the primary sense，＇to mention＇（Ag．1458），there is an easy transition to that of speaking， ordering，enjoining，praising，\＆cc．In the compound，tapà has the same force as in тарєєтєڤv，on which see Prom． 132.

548．$\delta \dot{\delta} \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon$ каi $\lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \nu$ ．The $\tau \epsilon$ here takes the part of the more usual eita in connecting the subsequent action of a verb with a preceding participle，and cal merely means＇also．＇So Ag．98，тоút $\omega \nu$
 $\pi a \iota \omega \nu \quad \tau \in \gamma \in \nu 0 \hat{u} \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \in \mu \in \rho i \mu \nu \eta s$ ．Ar．Nub：

 $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu o \nu$ à $\emptyset \eta \rho \rho^{\prime} \theta \eta$ ．Others connect

 poet meant the latter，he would undoubt－ edly have made $\lambda \eta \phi \theta \omega \sigma \sigma \iota$ and $\theta a \nu \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 наи к．т．ג．

553．छ́évos $\tau \in$ кal סopúgevos．At once a stranger and a guest of the family，i．e．
as a representative of Strophius．See Ag． 853.

554．グ $\sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．The MSS．give olqo $\quad$ е ， which Klausen and Peile retain；but the confusion of $o l$ and $\eta$ is not unfrequent； and the choice here between $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu \lambda \bar{\omega} \sigma$－

 the sense is simply＇to carry a silent tongue．＇In the concerted speech of Orestes， 661 seqq．，we find the Attic，not the Aeolic dialect which he here seems to promise．In truth，the admission of a $\hat{\beta} \eta \sigma$ is in the latter tongue would have violated all ideas of tragic propriety．Such a licence was reserved for the comic stage alone．It may be remarked also，that all which is really professed here is，that the two companions will converse in that patois，in order to gain admission by de－ ceiving the doorkeepers．There is no pledge to address Clytemnestra or Aegis－ thus in a feigned dialect．

556．kal $\delta 4$ ．＇Suppose now that no one will admit us cheerfully，on the plea that the house is possessed by present troubles．＇See on Eum．854，nal סो $\delta \mathbf{\epsilon}-$


 какоis，as Prof．Conington observes，he alludes to the supernatural terror which had just been caused by Clytemnestra＇s dream． It would be absurd to suppose that no guests had been entertained since the murder of Agamemnon．－

 Tí ס̀̀ $\pi u ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \nu ~ i \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon i \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$










 conjectures as to the reason，to the dis－ paragement of Aegisthus；since the viola－ tion of hospitality was a discredit to the wealthy Greek．See inf． 643.
560．à aеє $p \gamma \epsilon \tau a \ell$ ．＇Why does Aegis－ thus have one who is a Suppliant kept off him by（shut）doors？＇For this seems the true force of the dative．Cf．Ar．

 кıүкліঠi．Sallust，Cat．28，＇janua pro－ hibiti．＇Hor．Sat．1．2，67，＇exclusus fore．＇

562．ei＇$\delta$＇oỉv．＇But if I should pass，＇ \＆c．See on Ag．1009．Franz and Her－ mann read épкeiwy with Stanley；cf． Épкelous $\pi$ ú入as，v．552，épкelas 日úpas， т．640．Klausen gives e prion with the Med．（Rob．Effiov），but Hermann says the chas been altered from $\epsilon t$ in the former，which also gave $\theta \eta \rho i o y$ for $\theta$ өfpeioy in $\mathbf{v .} 224$.
564．\＃kal $\mu$ одف̀ע к．т．入．＇Or if after－ wards coming and meeting me face to face he shall raise his eyes and again drop them，＇i．e．so as to afford me one single moment for action when he is not watch－ ing me．The common reading is $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \in \hat{L}$ ， which Hermann and Bamberger alter to $\dot{d} \rho \in \hat{i}$ ，and Franz has adopted this slight and almost necessary correction．To $\hat{e} \rho \in \hat{\imath}$ Hermann with truth objects，that the word is never used in the simple sense of conversing，but requires that the purport of the speech should be added．The in－ transitive $\beta a \lambda \epsilon \bar{i}$ ，＇shall present himself，＇
might perhaps be defended by Ag．1143，
 But aipeî，à $\rho \in \hat{\imath}$ ，are often interchanged，and $\epsilon$ and $\alpha \iota$ constantly so．So aipoúue $\theta a$ and
 Suppl．927，are confused in the MSS．For the use of кaтд̀ $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a$ ，coram，see Antig． 760．Androm．1064．Ar．Ran．626， besides other passages given by Blomfield． －$\sigma d^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}$ Z $\sigma \theta l$ ，as the Schol．observes，be－ longs to the next verse．
567．$\pi \in \rho \_\beta a \lambda \omega y$ ．The term is taken from a hunter＇s nef，or perhaps from a chain，as Pers．744，kal médaıs $\sigma \phi \cup p \eta \lambda$ d́tots


 pared with oi $\delta \phi \rho \omega \nu$ тє́ $\tau \rho a$ ，Suppl． 775. The epithet is distinctive，as aürór $\omega \pi \alpha$ in v．157，a＇nimble steel＇（as we should say）being contrasted with an inert mass like a chain．
569．$\tau \rho \ell \uparrow \eta \nu \pi \delta \sigma \omega$ ．Schol．©́s $\in \mathfrak{l}$ Eौ $\phi \eta$ той трítov крךтท̂pos，$\mu \in \pi \grave{d}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~A} \gamma a \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \nu \nu a$
 the frequent allusions in Aeschylus to the third libation at a banquet．See sup． 236. Ag．237．1357．Z $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \Sigma \Sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ is indirectly hinted at as the saviour of the family after the deed of retribution．But the three draughts are probably the blood of Thy－ estes＇children，that of Agamemnon，and now of Clytemnestra and her paramour．
 The Med．has $\sigma \grave{v} y$ ổy，which was cor－ rected by Blomf．－$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon$ ，the plan for sur－ prising Aegisthus．For äpтікодлa see

#      <br> XO．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \mu \grave{\nu} \gamma \gamma_{\hat{a}}^{\tau} \tau \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{a} \delta \epsilon \iota \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \chi \eta, \quad \sigma \tau \rho$ ．á． <br> то́vтьаí $\tau^{\prime}$ à $\gamma \kappa a ́ \lambda \alpha \iota$ <br> $\kappa \nu \omega \delta \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu \nu$ à $\nu \tau \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$ <br>  <br>  <br>  

Theb．368．The similar word $\sigma v \mu \beta a i y n$ implies that each part to be performed must coincide，so that no contretemps may occur to hinder the execution of the scheme．

573．入є́үєı̀ тà кaípla．To speak only when occasion requires it．Dindorf thinks this verse spurious，since Aulus Gellius， xiii．18，quotes it as from the Prometheus

 may have occurred in more than one play．

574．тои́тч．Schol．$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ Пu入बō $\eta$ ，which Hermann pronounces right．Others un－ derstand Apollo，a statue of which is sup－ posed to be appealed to．－$\dot{o} \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau t$ ， Schol．$\sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho \alpha \xi \not \alpha_{\nu} \tau \iota$ ．Translate，＇who has set me in the right way of this contest of the sword．＇The metaphor is from a training master in the palaestra，to which allusion is also made in $\mathrm{vv} .331,446,851$ ， \＆cc．The word żтonteט̇єи（sup．480） seems to have been used of the master who kept bis eye on the combatants to see that blows were rightly dealt，\＆c．

576．The subject of the following ode is the infatuated love of women，which leads them to destroy even their nearest relatives．And the moral is，that the anger of the god sooner or later falls on the guilty；that even men detest such criminals；and that justice wields the sword to slay them．

580．$\beta \rho$ v́ovat．This is Hermann＇s cor－ rection for Bpotoiбt．As some alteration must be made here or in the antistrophe， it seems advisable to adopt a reading which， while it perfectly restores sense and metre， satisfactorily accounts for the MSS．read－ ing，$\pi \lambda \dot{d} \theta o v \sigma t, \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \theta 0 ิ \sigma l$ каl к．т．$\lambda$ ．As

have crept into the text．To explain it， as it commonly stands，is well－nigh hope－ less；and to admit Butler＇s ingenious cor－ rection $\beta \lambda \alpha_{\pi} \pi \tau 0 \cup \sigma$ still leaves the metre at fault．Franz also has omitted $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau 0 \cup \tilde{\sigma} \iota$ ， but he makes the construction to be
 $\kappa \nu \omega \delta \dot{a} \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \tau a i c o \nu$ Bpotoîot，which will hardly be defended by those conversant with the style of Aeschylus．－$\pi \lambda \alpha_{\text {d }}$ overt is not for $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o v \sigma l$（ $\pi \lambda \hat{\lambda} \theta o s$ for $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$ is not a Doric word），but for $\pi \in \lambda d$ Sovat，$\sigma \kappa 斤-$ $\pi \tau o v \sigma$ ．And if there is truth in this re－ mark，it follows that $\beta$ potoî $\sigma$ must be an interpolation，and must have superseded the verb on which the genitive $\kappa \nu \omega \delta \dot{d} \lambda \omega \omega$ depended．Translate：＇And mid－air＇ meteors（seen）between beaven and earth approach（i．e．to hurt us），and creatures winged and walking on earth can declare the wrath of stormy tornadoes．＇The common reading is $\pi \in \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho o l$ ，which the Schol．explains каө $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu a l$ ，but adds as a
 ${ }^{\nu} \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \quad \mu \in \tau \in \epsilon \rho o t$ ．And $\pi \in \bar{\delta} \dot{d} o \rho o t$ is the reading given by Hermann，Franz，Blom－ field，and Dindorf，after Stanley．Though it seems clear from the gloss $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \in \rho \iota \nu a l$ that $\pi \in \delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho o l$ is a mere error for $\pi \in \delta$－ d $\mu \in \rho o l$（i．e．$\mu \in \emptyset \eta \mu \in \rho o l$ ），Klausen retains it， and persuades himself that a word $\mu \epsilon \tau-$ ओ $\mu a p o \nu$ existed，derived from à $\mu a p v \sigma \sigma \epsilon t \nu$, ＇to flash，＇or＇twinkle；＇and Dr．Peile ＂most unhesitatingly follows＂him．
582．$\pi$ ravà к．т．入．If these adjectives form the subject to $\phi \rho a \dot{\sigma} a t$ ，as the poet appears to have meant，we have no choice but to read either à $\pi^{3} \dot{\alpha} \nu \in \mu о \in ́ \nu T \omega \nu$ with Hermann and Klausen，or $\not \approx \nu$ à $\nu \in \mu о \dot{e ́ v} \tau \omega \nu$ with Franz，for кад $\nu \in \mu \circ \in \rho \tau \omega \nu$, －unless indeed，as I formerly suggested，the epic
aiyíd $\omega \nu$ фра́бба兀 ко́тор．
ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ن́ $\pi \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \tau о \lambda \mu о \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \phi \rho o ́ \nu \eta \mu a ~ \tau i ́ s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \iota ~ a ̀ \nu \tau . ~ a ́ . ~$ $\kappa \alpha i{ }^{\imath} \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$ фрєбì
$\tau \lambda \eta \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ́ \lambda \mu o v s$


 $\kappa \nu \omega \delta \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \beta \beta о \tau \omega ิ \nu$.

$n \in$ was employed in this single passage，as it often is by Pindar．The Scholiast re－ garded $\pi \tau \eta \nu$ м̀ $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．as the accusative after $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau o v ิ \tau t$ ，which he explains by $\gamma \in \nu \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \iota$


 （He probably had in mind the $\pi \tau \epsilon-$ parol y $\phi \in i s$ of Herod．ii．75．Dr．Peile is，I think，mistaken in proposing to re－
 masculine $\bar{\eta} \in \mu_{0} \in ⿺ 𠃊 ⿻ 丷 木 斤 \tau \omega \nu$ the commentators compare $\delta \rho \dot{\delta} \sigma o \iota \tau t \theta \in \ell \tau \epsilon s$, Ag．545．Prof． Conington makes $\kappa \delta \tau о \nu$ as well as $\pi \tau \eta \nu$ à \＆c．depend on $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau o \hat{v} \sigma t$ ，and regards $\phi p a ́ \sigma a c$ as an exegetical infinitive，＇for a man to tell of．＇Lastly，Dr．Peile de－ taches $\dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \mu \circ \epsilon \in \downarrow \tau \omega \bar{c}$ from aizi $\delta \omega \nu$ ，and translates，＇and（under the head）of storms and tempests one might speak of the fury of whirlwinds．＇But this seems highly improbable．No ancient writer could hope to be understood，who wrote so ambiguously．

585．Tils $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o l . ~ C f . ~ A g . ~ 535, ~ \tau \grave{~} \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$


 tivas ouly èn $\theta$ oı tis Bon $\theta o$ us；where Bek－ ker says，＂ $\mathrm{E} \lambda$ Aor libri omnes．＂Hermann
 But $\phi \rho \in \sigma i \nu \tau \lambda \eta \mu \delta \nu \omega \nu$ may very well signify ＇audacious in disposition，＇＇bold in heart．＇ The MSS．give $\phi \rho \in \sigma \sigma l y$ ，but against the metre，as above $342 \kappa \tau i \sigma \sigma \alpha s$ ，and 362 $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \sigma \omega$ ．For the sentiment compare Eur．frag．incert．exxii．（880 Dind．），

 ＇ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{v o a i ́},-$

In the next verse Klausen，followed by Peile，repeats $\stackrel{I}{\rho} \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ in order to suit the
rulgate reading of the strophe，which， however，it fails to do with sufficient accuracy．－бvvvouovs ${ }^{\alpha}$ тats is，＇intimately comnected with the calamities（infatuated acts）of mankind．＇Theb．346，$\xi \in \nu \nu 0 \mu о \nu$ $\theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ё $\chi \epsilon \tau \nu$ ．

589．$\delta \mu a v \lambda$ ias，Schol．$\delta \mu$ oкoutias．＂The inordinate love which sways the female both in beasts and mankind unhappily pre－ vails over wedded fellowship，＇and drives them to desert their mates for the novelty of another union．The compound $\pi \alpha \rho a-$
 however $\pi a \rho a \pi о \lambda \in ́ \sigma \theta a t$ ，Dem．p．543，and the many verbs like тарабкотєiv（Ag． 1223），таракоиєเע，таратоьєì，implying that an act is wrongly or badly done． Hermann，placing the interrogation at $\delta \mu a v \lambda i a s$, reads $\pi d \dot{\alpha} \rho a \quad \nu \in i \kappa x$, and calls тарадıка̂̀＂mirum verbum．＂There are many＂mira verba＂in Aeschylus．The same indeed may be said for the irregu－ larly formed adjective $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau o s$.
 à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ दे $\lambda \eta \eta \theta \omega \bar{s} \mu \alpha \theta \in i \nu \quad \theta \in ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ．＇Let him who is not light－minded remember what sort of contrivance by a lighted，brand the unhappy daughter of Thestias，the de－ stroyer of her children，knowingly devised．＇ This passage is full of difficulty．The common reading is $\delta \alpha \in l^{\prime}$ т d̀̀ $\kappa$ к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．，and the Schol．recognises a variant iñoлт $\epsilon$ pors，
 $\pi \tau$ épots фpoyтiquv．Hermann has restored the metre by transposing $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta a \in i \sigma^{2}$ ，and he adds，＂aptum est $\delta a \epsilon i \sigma a$ ，quod sic demum，quia titionis vim norat，comburere cum isto fine potuit．＂One cannot help suspecting however that $\langle\sigma \tau \omega$ refers to the preceding sentiment，in proof of which the poet calls on people of sage minds to reflect on the story of Althaea．Should we then read $\tau$ à $\nu \delta a \epsilon$ ls $2 \nu$ ，＇，＇having learnt this contrivance which，＇\＆c．？

# фроутíqıv，$\tau \grave{a} \nu \delta a \epsilon i \sigma^{\prime}$ à $\pi a \iota \delta o \lambda v-$ $\mu a ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha ı \nu a ~ \Theta \epsilon \sigma \tau i a ̀ s ~ \mu \eta ́ \sigma a \tau o ~$ 

$\pi v \rho \delta a \eta ̂ ~ \tau \iota \nu \alpha \pi \rho o ́ v o \iota a \nu$, катаíӨovбa $\pi a \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ \delta a \phi o \iota \nu o ̀ v ~$


 600 $\mu о \iota \rho o ́ к р а \nu \tau о \nu$ є́s ${ }^{\text {à }} \mu \alpha \rho$ ．

$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.


595．$\pi \nu \rho \delta a \hat{\eta}$ тiva．Hermann corrects $\pi v \rho \delta a \hat{\eta} \tau i \nu$（the Med．having $\pi v \rho \delta \sigma_{\hat{\eta} \tau t v a), ~}^{\text {，}}$ and in the antistrophe $\chi$ рибокцитоьби． The Schol．however connects $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu$－$\tau \nu \nu \alpha$ $=\eta \nu \tau \tau v a$ ，and appears to have found the nominative $\pi v \rho \delta a n g$ ．For the $\alpha$ made long before $\pi \rho$ see sup．216，and compare the compound $\chi \rho v \sigma \in 6 \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \mu o s$ Pers． 159. It seems best to construe î̀ roóvotay


597．катаíAovба．So Canter for $\boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\prime}$
 rather harshly borrowed from the bloody point of a spear．Others explain＇fatal；＇ but the sense of colour is borne out by


 her son＇s age from the time that，＇\＆c．Schol．
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \eta \tau \rho \delta{ }^{2} \epsilon \beta \delta \eta \sigma \epsilon \dot{y}$ ．Apollodor，i．8， 1 ，






 каl натé日єто єis 入dррака．Ibid．§ 3，














## IIスєupêv！


 סá入ov $\pi \in \rho \theta 0 \mu$ évou

Here therefore，as in the opening of the Persae，Aeschylus seems to have imitated his contemporary Phrynichus．

602．đ $\lambda \lambda \lambda a \nu \delta \in \in$ ．The MSS．have ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda d$ $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ，and so apparently the Scholiast，who supplies $\mathrm{\chi} \sigma \tau \omega$ ö $\sigma \tau \iota s$ к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．Turnebus gives $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ ，Pauw ${ }^{\text {and }} \lambda \lambda a \nu$ ，and this has been generally received．But Hermann cor－ rects ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda a \nu \delta^{\prime}$ E゙ $\sigma \tau L \nu$ ，and it may be questioned if the last is not most like the style of Aeschylus．Dr．Peile has a curious idea that＂$\delta$ 力 gives emphasis to the latent copula（ $\tau \epsilon$ ）contained in тเข́．＂
 or agency of his enemies．Pausan．i．19，5，
















 pert．iii．19，21，＇Tuque O Minoa circum－ data，Scylla，figura，Tondens purpurea regna paterna coma．＇

 $\pi \iota \theta_{\eta} \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$, $\delta \dot{\omega} \rho о \iota \sigma \iota M_{i \nu}{ }^{2}$, Nî $\sigma o \nu$ à $\theta a \nu \alpha ́ \tau a s ~ \tau \rho ı \chi o ̀ s ~$
 $\pi \nu \in ́ o \nu \theta^{\circ}$ à $\kappa \nu \nu o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu \nu ँ \pi \nu \varphi{ }^{\circ}$

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{\iota} \delta^{\circ} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda i ́ \chi \omega \nu$


 $\epsilon \epsilon^{\top}$ à $\nu \delta \rho \grave{i} \tau \epsilon \nu \chi \epsilon \sigma \phi o ́ \rho \varphi$,


 Miveas. On this view it will follow that $\mu \nu \nu(611)$ refers to Scylla, whom Hermes conducted to the dead. Those who con-
 and Peile, consistently take $\mu \nu \nu$ to mean Nisus, as the Scholiast does in spite of the comment just quoted.
613. ancalpos $\delta \epsilon 6$. Not believing in the possibility of translating, with Dr. Peile, 'Behold! among things that are not as they should be (I might mention) an odious marriage, nor of making Ė $\overline{\epsilon \epsilon}$ छ̇ $\pi \in \mu \nu \eta \sigma \dot{d} \mu \eta \nu$ signify deinde recordor, and construing $\gamma \alpha \mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \in \nu \mu a$ in continuation of
 with Klausen, I have prefixed an obelus to a word which may have been corrupted from some verb like à $\gamma \in i \rho \omega$ or $\begin{gathered}\text { É } \gamma \epsilon i \rho \omega \text {, or }\end{gathered}$ may have been a mere marginal note which has supplanted the now irrecoverable genuine word. But I rather think; after much reflection on this most obscure passage, that the poet wrote tikauov $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$, with an aposiopesis as in vv. 186, 377, so that, supplying $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \nu$ from $\dot{e} \pi \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu$, we should understand him thus:-'And now that 1 have made mention of relentless family troubles (in illustration of the general truth in v. 589), I might indeed go on to describe the crime of Clytemnestra, but it is not the place to do so here,-so I only say, I prefer a hearth unembroiled by family quarrels, and a woman's disposition which is free from daring.' The great difficulty is to find a verb to govern $\gamma a \mu \nmid \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu a$ and $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau i \delta a s$,
on the latter of which the Schol. absurdly remarks $\lambda \in \mathfrak{i} \pi \in!$ €iphafato. Now, as the reader was prepared to expect, after $\epsilon \pi \epsilon!$ $\epsilon^{2} \pi \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha_{\mu}^{\prime} \mu \nu \quad \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. , the apodosis $\nu \hat{v} \nu$
 the poet, in correcting and withdrawing this кalpós éart, may have still left the accusative to depend mentally on $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \epsilon \omega$, or some such word. This must have been nearly the view of the Scholiast, whose


 marriage,' \&c. In either case $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ would introduce the apodosis after $e$ e $\pi \in$ l, as in 11. vii. 149. Od. x. 112, \&c. See Pers. 417. Ag. 196. Hermann makes the whole passage down to aixud̀ a parenthesis,
 $\tau^{\prime}$, with this version;-"Quando autem mentionem feci tristium laborum, (intempestivus enim, qui inimicum connubium, exsecrandum aedibus, et foemineae mentis insidias viro bellatori, viro apud hostes claro structas colit, et suspicit igne carentem focum atque imbelle mulieris sceptrum) : malorum autem maxime celebratur Lemnium." In favour of the above may be alleged the MSS. reading $\tau i \omega \nu$ in 618, and a certain correspondence between $\sigma^{\prime} \beta \omega \nu$ and $\tau i \omega \nu,-$-and we may perhaps

 av่ $\tau$. But the great distance between the article and the participles, and the unnatural sense which he, in common with Klausen, gives to 618,19 , are objections to his otherwise ingenious view of the poet's meaning.
$\tau i \omega \delta^{\circ} \dot{a} \theta \in ́ \rho \mu \alpha \nu \tau o \nu$ ย̇ $\sigma \tau i a \nu ~ \delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu$,
$\pi \tau v \sigma \tau o \nu . \eta^{\prime} \kappa a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ סє́ $\tau \iota \varsigma$
тò $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \alpha \hat{v}$ И $\eta \mu \nu i ́ o \iota \sigma \iota \pi \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$.
$\theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \tau v \gamma \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \delta^{\circ}$ ä $\gamma \in \iota$
625
$\tau i \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta^{\circ}$ oủk $\mathfrak{e ̇ \nu \delta i ́ \kappa \omega s ~ a ̉ \gamma \epsilon i ́ p \omega ; ~}$
${ }^{\mathbf{a}} \nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$.
621
 a man who was with reason an object of veneration to his people.' Compare sup. 48, 150. We must understand $\sigma$ épas ชै $\nu \tau t$ for $\sigma \in \beta a \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$, as the Schol. explains. The Greeks, it is well known, seem to have regarded such forms as $\sigma_{\text {É }} \lambda a s, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \beta a s$, $\delta \dot{e} \mu a s$, and even $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \hat{f} \rho a s$, as either indeclinable, or at least as capable of very limited inflexions. Compare also $\theta$ épus. I have adopted $\lambda$ aoôs for $\overline{\text { ntitots }}$ from the very
 $\phi \rho \in \nu$ ás $\tau \in$ סajulas $\pi \in \rho a i ̂ \nu o y$, and because 'veneration' is not the sentiment of enemies, to whom Agamemnon was simply a terror, but of his own people.-For
 which the metre does not admit, and even if it did, it is evidently nonsense; for Klausen's "qui venerationem ira injicit" scarcely deserves notice. Scholefield, from an anonymous conjecture, and Franz after H. L. Ahrens, have restored $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \in \iota \kappa \delta \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$.



 -On aí $\chi \mu$ ो see Ag. 467.
 9, 17. Herod. vi. 138, who adds, that in consequence of the double crime which had been committed in that island, $\nu \in \nu 6$ -

 'takes precedence of,' Ag. 1271.
621. $\pi$ dofos. The Med. has $\delta\rangle \geqslant \pi 0 \theta \epsilon l$, Turn. $\delta \eta \pi \sigma 0 \theta \in \nu$, whence the ordinary reading $\delta i \pi \pi \theta \theta \in \nu$, which is rendered ubique or undecunque, or profecto. Hermann ingeniously restores roẫal ò̀ $\gamma \hat{a} \pi \alpha^{2} \theta o s$

кaтdıттvбтov, terra Lemnia abominandum malum luget, adding, " roâo $\theta a l$ Attici, non, ut Homerus, yoây dicunt." Blomfield conjectures $\beta_{0}$ ốco..
623. $\alpha$ 3. This seems to mean, 'on every new occurrence of the like evil.' "Particula aủ refertur ad id, quod modo dictum est; omnia mala hominibus videri quasi Lemnium repetitum." Klausen. Hermann reads t $\nu$ with Stanley, which is somewhat confirmed by the scholium eiкoviotté $\tau / 5$. In this case it would seem that $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon t \nu \partial y$ must mean the crime which has called forth all this moralising, viz. that of Clytemnestra. 'One might (well) have compared the case I have just hinted at to the Lemnian woes.' On $\hat{a} y$ and $a \hat{i}$ conftased see on Thebs 702.
624. ăyet. So Auratus for áxet. The latter might be defended (see on Ag. 1222), and is so by Klausen and Peile; but in this place the context seems strongly to favour the alteration. The sense is general, though the Schol. explains févos $\tau \grave{\tau \omega} \nu \Lambda \eta \mu \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\delta} \nu$, as just before he perhaps rightly limits $\tau \delta \delta \in \iota \nu \partial \nu$ to the crime of Clytemnestra.
626. $\sigma^{\prime} \beta \in t$ रáp. It is the odium and infamy attached to certain crimes on which the chorus here dwells, and which is con-
 question of divine retribution, which is next entertained, is purposely kept distinct from the punishment which awaits the impious in the detestation of their fellow-creatures. This feeling of hatred on the part of the chorus was before expressed, v. 103.
627. $\tau \mathfrak{t} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\circ} \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. 'Which of these is not a just inference?' But editors

## $\tau o ̀ \delta^{\prime}{ }^{a \prime \gamma} \chi^{\iota} \pi \nu \in \nu \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ گí申os <br> $\sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.


ठ ai $\Delta i к \alpha s^{*}$ тò $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \iota s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$
630
ov̉ $\lambda \grave{\alpha} \xi \pi$ тédoı $\pi \alpha \tau o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$
тò $\pi \alpha \hat{\alpha} \nu \Delta$ tòs
бє́ßas тарєкßávтos ov̉ $\theta \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau \omega ิ$. Díкаs $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon}^{\rho} \rho i \delta^{\delta} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \pi v \theta \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, $\dot{a}^{\prime} \nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}$.

$\tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu о \nu \delta^{\prime}$ є̇ $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$ סó $\mu о \iota \sigma \iota \nu$, * Є̇к $\delta^{\prime}$ аі $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \tau \in ́ \rho \omega \nu$

тíveı $\mu$ úбos
$\chi \rho o ́ \nu \omega$ к клvт̀̀ $\beta v \sigma \sigma o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ 'E $\rho \iota \nu$ v́s.
OP. $\pi \alpha \hat{\imath}, \pi \alpha \hat{\imath}, ~ \theta \dot{v} \rho a s$ ăk
differ as to what the inference is that is intended to be drawn. Probably, that both hatred and retribution will attend the crime of Clytemnestra : that her history will be a by-word and her fate a warning to all posterity.
 already the sharp sword which is at the heart is about to deal a home-thrust at the instigation of Justice; for the irreligion of one who has lawlessly trans. gressed and utterly set at naught the majesty of Zeus is not trampled by it under foot on the ground' (i. e. is not slighted nor neglected). For $\tau \delta \pi \tilde{a} \nu=$ $\pi d \nu \tau \omega s$ see sup. 426. Ag. 969. On $\delta$ (avтaiav ( $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta \eta \nu$ ) see Ag. 1316. Theb. 887. And for the sentiment, that impiety is not disregarded by heaven, as men vainly boast, Ag. 360-4.
633. тарєквdyтоs. The MSS. and Schol. give $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$, which might indeed be taken as exegetical of $\tau \delta \mu$ गो $\theta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu t s$ on the principle pointed out Prom. 209. I formerly edited $\pi \alpha \rho \in \kappa \beta \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s$ depending on obt $\hat{\hat{a}}$, and so Franz reads after Müller. But with Stanley and Hermann, it seems better to change $\epsilon$ into 0 . Cf. Hesiod. Opp. 226, тарєкßaivouøı סıкаiov,
634. $\epsilon \rho \in\{\hat{\delta} \in \tau a \iota \pi v \theta \mu \neq \eta$. The stump or block on which the anvil is laid (or which is used as an anvil) is firmly based or planted in the ground.- $\pi \rho \circ \chi a \lambda \kappa \in \dot{U} \epsilon t$ for $\pi \rho o \sigma-$ is a metrical correction long ago made by Hermann. By a similar metaphor, Justice is said to whet the sword on a whetstone, Ag. 1513. The meaning
here is, that Fate forges a sword beforehand, to be ready for the hand of Justice when she wishes to strike.
636. $\delta \delta \mu \circ \sigma \sigma \nu, z^{2} \kappa \delta^{\prime}$ aifá $\tau \omega \nu$. This is the admirable correction of Hermann for the corrupt $\delta \ell \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \delta \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$. The words of the Schol. are clearly in support of his


 restored ai $\mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$. For the doctrine of one crime begetting another, see Ag. 730 seqq. Inf. 792.
 ' The time-honoured Fury (i. e. ancient family curse) pays to the uttermost ( ${ }^{2} \kappa$ ) the guilt of former murders.' We might, at first sight, regarding 'Epuv̀s simply as the avenging Fury, have expected $\dot{\xi \pi \epsilon \xi} \bar{\xi} \lambda \lambda \theta$ or $\pi p \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \tau \sigma l$, , exacts' rather than 'pays,' as the murderer is said to pay, ${ }^{2} \kappa T\{\nu \in t \delta$ каi $\nu \omega \nu, \mathrm{Ag}$. 1539. But the fact is, the curse itself is here regarded as the Erinys of the family, which owes a debt to Justice not yet fully discharged; and $\boldsymbol{\tau} / \mathrm{\nu elv}$ $\mu \dot{v} \sigma o s$ thus follows the ordinary construction of tively diduiav, 'to atone for' (sup. 427).- $\chi \rho \delta \dot{\partial} \downarrow \kappa \lambda \nu \tau \grave{\alpha}$ seems rightly taken together by Dr. Donaldson, who compares Pind. Pyih. xi. 32, $\chi \rho \delta \nu \varphi \kappa \kappa \nu-$
 Eum. 361.
640. Orestes now appears with his companion Pylades, both disguised as wayfaring men, knocking at the door of the palace. The servant (oik' $\tau \eta s)$ is heard to reply from within ( $\dot{\sim \pi} \alpha \kappa \circ \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \nu)$. The at-
 $\tau \rho i ́ \tau о \nu \tau o ́ \delta{ }^{\prime}$ є̇клє́ $\rho \alpha \mu a \delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ к а \lambda \hat{\omega}$,


## OIKETHZ.



$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o v ̋ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \eta ̈ к \omega ~ к а i ̀ ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega ~ к а и \nu o u ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s-~$
 $\sigma к о \tau \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu, \ddot{\omega} \rho a \delta^{\circ}$ є́ $\mu \pi o ́ \rho o v s ~ \mu \epsilon \theta \iota \in ́ \nu a \iota$

 $\gamma \nu \nu \eta े ~ \tau o ́ \pi \alpha \rho \chi o s, ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho a \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{u} \pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$.

tendants carrying the baggage of Orestes ( $\sigma \underset{\alpha}{\alpha} \gamma \eta \nu, \nabla .551$ ), are seen on one side of the stage, to be afterwards introduced into the house separately ( v .700 ).
642. $\tau$ pítov $\tau 68$ '. 'This is the third time I have had to call for some one to come out of the house, if Aegisthus keeps an hospitable one.' This impatient speech, in strict accordance with the plan formerly proposed v. 557 seqq., implies a doubt as to whether Aegisthus opens his house at all to travellers, and is intended to convey some reproach for the tardiness of the door-keeper. With Klausen and Dindorf I have given $\beta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}$ as the simplest and most probable reading. The Med. has $\delta$ ocu, whence Franz edits $\delta$ ofl, Peile and Well. סial with Schütz. Hermann gives Biav,
 The poet would not have used the form סal except from the necessity of the metre. But we find $\phi\left(\lambda \tau a \tau^{\prime}\right.$ Aizi $\sigma$ Oou Bia inf. 879. And Boúlios is corrupted to סoṽıos Suppl. 593.
644. єitev’ 'ảкov́ш. 'Well, well, I hear.' The same words occur Ar. Pac. 663, and the metrical fault is no doubt to be excused on the ground that it is a formula of familiar application.
 and say to the owners of the house, to whom I am come bringing news (and be quick, for it is late, and time for travellers to rest), Let some one come forth from the house who brings authority, be it a woman having the command of the place
(or a man), though for a man to come is more beseeming, for in that case reserve in conversation does not render words obscure. A man speaks with confidence to a man, and exhibits plain credentials (shows clear proofs).'
649. $\overline{6} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \sigma t$ тарס́бкоьs. The 'apartments for the common entertainment of
 So $\gamma v \nu a \kappa \epsilon i ́ a ~ \delta \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a \sup$. 33, means the үuvaıкшиітเs. The more proper (but not tragic word) for 'a room ' is $\delta \omega \mu d^{2} \tau \iota \nu$.

 tónajpos the Med. has ramapxos (a corruption from an old variant $\gamma u \nu \bar{f} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{3}$ ă äap-
 first $\alpha$. Hermann adopts Bamberger's not improbable but unnecessary correction
 after H. L. Ahrens.
65I. ${ }^{2} v \delta \rho \alpha \delta^{3}$. So ed. Turn. The rest
 e $\lambda \theta \epsilon i v$. The object of these words, which the servant is told to repeat to his master, is to induce Aegisthus to come out first, as it would have been safer to dispatch him before the queen was aware of the danger. All the editions place a full stop after $\xi \in \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ in 649.
652. ${ }^{2} \nu \lambda$ रé $\sigma$ atoty. The MSS. give èv $\lambda \in \chi \theta \in i ̈ \sigma \nu$, but the comment of the Schol., ¿̀v taîs $\pi \rho \partial s$ quvaîkas $\delta \mu \mathrm{\lambda}$ रiats, leaves no doubt of the truth of 'Hermann's and Emper's emendation, adopted also by Franz. For $\lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \chi \eta$ here implies the un-

#  $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho \alpha, \kappa \alpha ̉ \sigma \eta ́ \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \nu \bar{\epsilon} \mu \phi \alpha \nu \in ̀ s \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \mu \alpha \rho$. 

## KATTAIMNHZTPA.


 каì $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu a ̀ ~ \lambda о и \tau \rho a ̀, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \lambda к т \eta \rho i ́ a ~$ $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \nu \eta$, $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu \tau^{\prime}$ ö $\mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma i \alpha$.


 $\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi o \nu \tau a \delta^{\prime}$ aủтó申ортov oiкєíaç $\sigma$ á $\gamma n$


business-like conversation which would naturally be held with a lady, while aibids is that bashfulness which hesitates to declare in her presence, plainly and at once, the purpose of the visit. The aorist participle, as Hermann truly observes, would be quite out of place; and he might have added, the article could hardly be omitted. The sentiment is repeated inf. 722.
654. द̇ $\mu \phi$ ауès $\tau$ éккар. It is not clear whether this alludes to the exhibition of certiain tokens or ocular proofs (such as a signet or $\sigma\langle\mu \beta o \lambda o \nu$ ), or whether we should understand, with Schütz and others, 'declares his meaning plainly.' The former seems the natural sense of the word $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \mu \alpha \rho$
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ ), while we might rather have looked for $\varepsilon \delta \oint \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ than $\epsilon \sigma \hat{\eta} \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \nu$. The idea probably is, that a woman might easily be cajoled by a false messenger; compare inf. 830. Ag. 467.
 words are readily explained on the probable supposition that guests had a reluctance to fare with hosts of bad repute; -indeed, we know that murderers were excluded from a common table. There was something to a Greek even in the omen of being looked at by an honest eye.

 Thus we do not need H. L. Ahrens' סıкаl $\omega \nu \theta^{\prime}$ к $i \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, much less Hermann's
 $\pi о ф \dot{\rho} о \mathbf{~ т р о ф и ̆ ) . ~}$
659. ßou入t'́т $\epsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{V}$. 'Of a more private
kind,' 'more a matter for consultation.'

 that Clytemnestra must have overheard the remarks of Orestes about the unfitness of women for holding a conference; but the supposition is hardly necessary.

 $\pi \lambda o l o i s . ~(S o p h . ~ f r a g . ~ 250) ~ H e n c e,. ~ p e r-~$ haps, the Schol. explains enl iסíq $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a-$ tela. But our poet seemas to have used it in the simple sense of 'self-burdened,' not indeed that Orestes, who had attendants with him (700), is to be supposed to have carried his own bundle, but that he was accompanying his effects in their removal, instead of sending them by a separate conveyance.
663. $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho-\pi \delta \delta a s$, as I have now carried out my purpose by resting at Argos. Literally 'as (having come) hither I have had $m y$ feet unyoked.'


 $\phi \dot{a} \tau \nu \eta \nu \quad \delta \rho \mu \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$. It would seem that either the poet wrote $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \zeta \check{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \eta \nu \delta \delta o \hat{v}$ or the grammarian toùs $\pi \delta \delta \delta a s \tau \hat{\eta} s$ б $\delta o u \pi o \rho i a s$



 means to say is this,-that he had not deviated from his original route in order to bring the message.
$\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \rho \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma s$ каì $\sigma \alpha \phi \eta \nu i ́ \sigma a s$ ódìv,

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o \grave{s} \tau \epsilon \kappa o ́ v \tau \alpha \varsigma, \pi \alpha \nu \delta i \kappa \omega \varsigma \varsigma \mu \mu \nu \eta \mu \in ́ v o s$,



 $\nu \hat{v} \nu \gamma$ à $\lambda \epsilon ́ \beta \eta \tau о \varsigma \chi^{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \epsilon ́ \sigma v \pi \lambda \epsilon \cup \rho \omega \mu \mu \tau \alpha$

 675
 oủk oî̀a, тòv тєкóvта $\delta^{\prime}$ єikòs єídévau.

©̂ $\delta v \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda a l \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu{ }^{\circ} A p \alpha ̀$,

 ing asked the way I was going and told me what was his own destination.' Or it may mean, 'having explained to me the road I was to take.' But Klausen well remarks that the high road from Delphi and Daulis to the Peloponnesus was not likely to require such explanation.
 of Strophius (Ag. 854), at which the ears of Clytemnestra would be anxiously opened, is thus casually mentioned, as a matter of indifference.
667. ¿九 $\lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$, 'at all events,' - for a purpose unconnected with the present message. Schol. $\delta i i^{2} \lambda \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \quad \chi \rho \in\{a \nu$. Plutarch, De Fortun. Rom. § xii., т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ Bap-

670. e $\tau^{\prime}$ ㅇ̈v. The o $\bar{\nu} \nu$ must here be construed separately, or the sentence will be unconnected with the preceding: ' Whether then the opinion of his friends shall prevail to bring him bome, or whether to bury him abroad, convey these instructions to us on your return.' In the next verse $\epsilon \not \approx \tau^{\prime}$ oby forms the usual combination, meaning literally ' or whether consequently,' i. e. if the former alternative is considered as rejected. For $\mu$ éroukò see Pers. 321. Eur. Heracl. 1033, $\mu$ є́тоноя

677. тду тєкоута. 'His parent,'-
said generally, and without reference to the question whether both or only one survived.
678. In ed. Rob. this speech is given to an attendant ( $\theta \in \rho$.). In the Med. no name is prefixed. In ed. Turn. it is assigned to Electra, and so Blomfield, Klausen, and Peile. But it does not appear that Electra is present during the scene; and the hypocritical grief and ill-disguised exultation at the reported death are well suited to Clytemnestra, to whom Hermann, Franz, and Dindorf assign the passage, after Portus. - I have given eîmas from a former conjecture, also made by Bamberger, for $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \pi u \hat{\sigma^{\circ}}$ of the Med. The meaning is, 'Your words have made us sensible how utterly we are left desolate,' i. e. by these tidings you have undone us. Neither $\epsilon^{2} \nu \theta \alpha^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} s$ (Turn. Dind.)
 (Müller) seems likely to be right. Nor is Hermann's ékratês better than Peile's ${ }^{2} \mu \pi \in \tilde{\delta} \omega \mathrm{~s}$, which he translates in homely fashion, 'clean down on the ground is the desolation of our house.'
679. 'Apá. Curse or Fury of the family (Eum. 395. Ag. 1579).
 many things, though lying safe out of harm's way, do you set your eye, bringing them down from afar with well-aimed
 фì $\lambda \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \psi \iota \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \nu \alpha \theta \lambda i ́ a \nu$.





 $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ \xi \epsilon \nu \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a l$ rí $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$

arrows.' If this be the true meaning of the poet, and the right punctuation of the passage, we might almost imagine that he had somewhere seen the Assyrian representation of the god Nisroch, who is pictured hovering over armies, \&c., with a destroying bow. Compare Herod. iii. 35,
 $\theta \in \partial \nu$ oữ $\omega$ मे $\nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega े s$ $\beta a \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \nu$, and Mr. Blakesley's note. But the Schol. appears to have construed thus :- $\boldsymbol{\omega} s \pi \delta \lambda \lambda^{j}{ }_{e}^{3} \pi$ -

 mann reads $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \neq \psi \stackrel{\lambda}{\lambda} \bar{\imath}$, and places this verse after 684.
683. nal $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ - $\gamma$ dáp. 'For but just now Orestes had made a lucky throw, getting his feet out of the mire of ruin.' These, as well as the following two verses, are the words which Electra had so often said to Clytemnestra, and which the latter now retorts in derisive irony, though she intends the supposed messenger to take them in their natural sense, viz: that Orestes had died at the very time when his troubles seemed at an end. The MSS. give $\nu o \mu l \zeta \omega \nu$ and $\epsilon \dot{j} \beta o b \lambda \lambda \omega s$. The former was corrected by Turnebus from the scholia, the latter by Porson. The metaphor is probably from a luçy throw of the dice, Ag. 33. Inf. 956.
685. $\nu \tilde{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime}$, $\eta_{\mid}^{7} \pi \in \rho$ к.т. $\lambda$. 'But now the boasted hope of Orestes' return is here before her,' i. e. is come to nought. Such is apparently the sense; but the exact meaning of $\pi \alpha \rho \sigma \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu ~ E \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon t$,perhaps a technical phrase,- has yet to be determined. She seems to say, that what was in prospect is to be written down or reckoned at its present worth. Clytemnestra purposely makes the subject to é $\gamma \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ á $\phi \in!$ ambiguous; she means Electra,
but the messenger is to understand it of Orestes. Electra had often threateningly

 threat is now ridiculed as having been falsified by the event. But to the messenger the words would bear a very different impert:-‘ But now the hope of joy and revelry which the house had in prospect of his return, as a cure for the long-endured sorrow, he writes down as before them,'-i. e. he shews, by the presence of his ashes, to have been vain. The Scholiast has this obscure comment:

 $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \phi a \nu i \sigma \theta \hat{\varepsilon} \hat{i} \sigma a \nu \quad \delta \rho \tilde{q}$, that is, "Construe, $\delta \rho \underline{q}$
 seems therefore rightly to have taken Electra as the suibject to $\delta \rho \hat{q}$. He explains
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in i \omega \nu$ ot $\chi \in \tau a \iota$, though only in the non-ironical sense, viz. that in which the messenger is to understand it.
 more friendly than that of a guest towards his hosts?' The Schol. must have read
 -In the next verse $\delta \dot{k}$, which is wanting in the MSS., was inserted by Pauw. The simple sense of the whole passage is this: -‘I could have wished to bring better news to such wealthy hosts; but I held it to be a matter of impiety not to go through with such a business for friends, after I had promised to one (Strophius), and been hospitably received by another (Clytemnestra).' Suidas, кataıעยбаขтas' $\sigma v \gamma-$

入ots катйиеє. Oed. Col. 1633. 1637.

# $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta v \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i a s \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu o i ̀ \tau o ́ \delta{ }^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \nu \phi \rho \in \sigma i \nu$, тоьóv $\delta \in \pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu \alpha \mu \eta ̀ ~ к \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \omega ิ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ ф i ́ \lambda o \iota s, ~$ катаıขヒ́ $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ к а \tau \epsilon \xi є \nu \omega \mu e ́ \nu о \nu . ~$ 

 ov̉ $\delta^{’}{ }_{\eta}^{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu \stackrel{\star}{a} \nu \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \circ \iota o ~ \delta \omega ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o s . ~$ 695

 $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho a ̂ s ~ к \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ́ \theta o v ~ \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma ф о \rho a . ~$


$\kappa \alpha ̉ \kappa \in \hat{\imath ̂} \kappa \nu \rho о и ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ס $\omega \dot{\mu} \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \tau \grave{a} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi о \rho a$.

 $\kappa о \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa о$ к̉ $\sigma \pi \alpha \nu i ́ \zeta o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ фí入 $\omega \nu$

694．$\mu \in \mathfrak{i o v} \dot{\alpha} \xi \mathfrak{\xi} i \omega \nu$. So Blomf．，Dind，after Pauw．The old copies give $\mathbf{\alpha} \xi(\omega)$ ，which is retained by Klausen，Peile，and Her－ mann．＇You shall not meet with（hospi－ tality）the less worthily of yourself．＇But （1）the ellipse is very harsh，and $\tau v \gamma-$
 compares，has $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ dolmò either for its sub－ ject or its object．（2）The Schol．gives
 terminations－$\omega s$ and－$\omega \nu$ are sometimes interchanged，as Ag．1366，$\pi \rho \in \pi \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ for $\pi \rho \in \pi \delta \nu \tau \omega 5$ ．Hermann inclines to the genitive，but thinks d̀glas capable of de－ fence．

698．$\mu$ ккрâs кє $\lambda \in \dot{U}$ Oov．This may de－ pend on $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \phi \phi 0 p a$ ，as Eur．El．508，rà

 here to be used much as $\mu \in \tau$ oккеiv $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ Suppl．603，тaүEiv＇A A iסos Pers．760，on the principle that the verb involves the
 Matth．Gr．Gr．§ 338．Jelf，§ 522．2． Similar verbs are ò $\rho \theta \rho \in \dot{\prime} \epsilon!\nu$（Theocr．x． ult．），$\delta \leftarrow a \nu \nu \kappa \tau \in \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \in \downarrow$ ．



 and follows Pauw in editing $\xi \nu \nu \in ́ \mu \pi o \rho o \nu$. But it is very far from certain that Orestes and Pylades appeared alone on the stage． As in Suppl．962，casual mention is made of attendants who take no part in the pro－
ceedings，so here it is very credible that the two wayfarers were accompanied by servants．（See the note on aütóфорта， v．662．）As for the $\delta \hat{E}$ ，which Peile and Klausen regard as introducing a new pro－ position，we have seen that it is not un－ frequently used by Aeschylus in the copu－ lative sense；cf．Suppl．15．We may reasonably ask why，if Pylades only is meant，there should have been need of returning to introduce him，since he does not appear any where in the cbaracter of a servant to Orestes，but as his equal and companion．For the form obrí $\sigma$ omos the
 גuл

 other scholium gives inodiku．Both are clearly in favour of the reading in the text，though Klausen，singularly eaough， claims them in defence of the MSS．read－ ing k̇єvもivy．Dr．Peile，as usual，follows him，and translates，＇I advise that this be done as it would for the eye of a master．＇ But the meaning surely is，＇I bid you do this，and hold you responsible for exe－ cuting my orders scrupulously．＇－aivê for $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \omega \overline{\text { ，as }}$ sup． 546.

704．oủ $\sigma \pi a \nu\{$ Govtes $\phi і \lambda \omega \nu$ ．＂Vides eam fretam praesidio Aegisthi pariter，ut Ag． $1357^{\prime \prime}$（1411）．Klausen．The idea occurs suddenly to her mind，that the death of Orestes may cause a revolution， and the dreaded retribution may arrive．

Hence the allusion to her not unbefriended condition. Nothing can be more natural than the conduct of Clytemnestra under the circumstances. She is glad, but does not exult ; inclined to believe the report, but not blindly credulous; she gives no decisive reply, and expresses no opinion, till she has talked the matter over with Aegisthus. Not less characteristic is the cold and calm look she displays to her servants, v . 725, which they well know to be a hypocritical one.
707. $\sigma \tau \sigma \mu d ́ \omega \omega \nu$ i $\sigma \chi \grave{̀ \nu}$, i. е. $\mu \in \gamma \dot{d} \lambda \eta \nu$ фwìो, a loud paean of unsuppressed joy, in place of the hitherto secret tears (v.73) and stealthy expressions of hope ( $\mathbf{v}, 124$ ).
713. סoxiav. The common reading is סonla, but Pauw seems rightly to have given $\delta о \lambda i a \nu$. For ák $\kappa$ á $\oint \epsilon \iota$ is impersonal
 and the Med. in other places has the nominative by an error for the accusative,
 The Schol. however also found the nomi-

 'to enter the lists on the side of Orestes.' See on каӨभккєข, sup. 446. Xen. Anab. iv. 8, 27, толлоі кат $\epsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.
715. кal $\tau \delta \nu \nu \not \nu \chi$ tov. "Nihil ineptius fingi potest additamento isto кal $\tau \delta \nu$ $\nu\left\langle\chi_{10 \nu}\right.$. Scripserat aliquis interpres $\tau \delta \nu$
 however prefers to reject $\chi$ Oóvoy and
 $\chi 06 \nu i o \nu$ as a mere synonym. I had inclosed the above words in brackets in the former edition. Dr. Peile thiuks they are used of Orestes, and translates, 'and marshal the night-faring man on his way
 very doubtful idiom; the word seems to mean 'to inspect' in Ar. Av. 1160; but it is there used in the passive. The Schol. has $\sigma u \nu \alpha \rho a \sigma \theta a l ~ \pi \rho \partial \delta s \tau \eta \eta \nu \delta \delta \delta \nu$. The sense probably is 'to direct,' 'to see that all is right for,' \&c., and differs but little from

 man appears to be causing a mischief to the family; for I see here the nurse of Orestes all in tears.' Schol. on $\tau \epsilon \bar{d} \chi \epsilon \nu$,
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ à $\gamma \sigma \in \lambda$ ias. Certainly there is nothing in this comment to prove that a verse bas been lost, which Hermann proposes to

 merely is, that the man seems to be the author and originator of mourning to the family, and the Scholiast wished to shew how that could be. Cf. $\tau \in \dot{\nu} \chi \in \tau \nu$ какळे

719. Kì $\lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha$. So the Med. $\Gamma \in\{\lambda t \sigma \sigma \alpha$, the reading of Robortello, is wrongly preferred by Peile and Klausen. Not only has that name no meaning (as is usual in all Greek names), but the gentile appella-

## TPOФOZ.


#### Abstract

        


tion is quite appropriate to one in the position of a nurse. No importance can justly be attached to the remark of the Scholiast on Pindar, that she was called Arsinoe, and by Pherecydes Laodamia.For $\pi\langle\lambda \lambda a s$ I formerly suggested $\pi \in ́ \lambda a s$, and am still unable to defend mateì $\pi$ U $\lambda \alpha$ as by any examples. The words are probably confounded in Prom, 430. Cf. Herc. Fur. 139, $\Lambda \dot{\kappa} \kappa \nu \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \omega ิ \nu \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$ $\pi$ édas. Still, as the nurse was going towards the palace to call Aegisthus, we may rightly render it, 'Whither are you going, that you are stepping towards the door?'
 attendant,' i. e. unsought and unbidden; cf.

 version, inelegant in itself, quite misses the point of the idiom, 'grief, no thanks to it! is your fellow-traveller.'
721. The nurse, a garrulous gossip who has been dispatched to summon Aegisthus, now comes forward alone on the stage and opens her griefs to the chorus. She is instructed to convey such a message to Aegisthus as suits their present purpose (757).-Toîs $\xi \in$ vous is Pauw's correction for toùs $\xi_{\text {Épous, }}$ which Well., Klausen, Peile, Dind., retain. So also the Schol.,
 It is, however, very harsh to explain either - My mistress bids me say that the strangers are calling for Aegisthus,' or, as Hermann suggests, 'bids the strangers call Aegisthus,' i. e. by means of me as their messenger. The dative, as $\mathrm{i}^{t}$ seems, has been rightly admitted by F.anz and Hermana.
 652.
725. E $\theta$ ecto. The old reading is $\theta$ éco. Compare кd́ves $\gamma^{2}$ for ékades in v. 916, and see on Pers. 499. Hermann adopts the very improbable compound $\theta \in \tau о \sigma к \nu \theta \rho \omega-$ $\pi \delta \nu$ from the conjecture of Erfurdt, which he calls "praeclara emendatio." Thus he
 ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \omega \gamma \epsilon \nu$. As the Greeks considered the eyes the seat of mirth (as appears by such expressions as $\grave{\partial} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \partial s \quad \gamma \in \lambda \phi \omega \nu$, $\quad \mu \mu a \tau \iota$
 ${ }^{3} \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ was a natural phrase for the attempt to disguise inward satisfaction. With Franz and Dindorf I have admitted $\sigma \kappa \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \omega \hat{\nu}$ for $\sigma \kappa \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \delta \partial$, as more suited to the context. (So $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ and $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \delta \nu$ are confused in v. 72.) Translate :-'To her domestics indeed she concealed a smile under (within) a sorrowful eye, trying to hide it (i. e her delight) upon events which have been accomplished fortunately for her, while to this house they are altogether unfavourable, in consequence of the report which the strangers have clearly announced.' Compare Shakespeare, Two Gentlemen of Verona, i. 2, 'How angerly I taught my brow to frown, When inward joy enforced my heart to smile.' Hor. Sat. ii. 5, 103, 'est Gaudia prodentem vultum celare.'
727. $\notin \chi \in$. Klausen, followed by Peile, gives éXely from the Med. But the Schol.

 however be easy to understand ouvtc $\delta \iota a-$










 каі̀ $\nu v к т \iota \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma к т \omega \nu ~ o ̉ \rho \theta i \omega \nu ~ к є \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 $\tau \lambda a ́ \sigma \eta \cdot \tau o ̀ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \phi \rho o \nu o v ̂ \nu \gamma$ à $\rho, \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i ̀ \beta o \tau o ̀ v$,



 $\psi \epsilon v \sigma \theta \epsilon i ̄ \sigma a, \pi a \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ \sigma \pi a \rho \gamma \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu$ фaı $\delta \rho \dot{v} \nu \tau \rho \iota a$


Clytemnestra looks stern and grave, without doubt he will indulge his joy at the tidings.' Such seems to be the sense. Dr. Peile translates, 'We may be sure, then, his hearing will make him a merry heart, the moment he receives the intelligence.' It is true that $\epsilon \dot{u} \phi \rho a \nu \in \hat{i}$ you scarcely implies of itself any outward demonstration; but still the words must mean something different from the morose reserve of Clytemnestra. Like the Latin
 notion of giving way to pleasure. So Alcest. 788, єйфраиขє $\sigma a u \tau \dot{\partial}, \pi i \nu \varepsilon, \tau \delta \nu$


 Qdivatos.
 tion is irregular, and doubtless intentionally so, to suit the rambling and incoherent style of the soliloquy. She ought to have
 does not finish the sentence till v. 750, and then turns it in a different way. Translate; -' But as for the dear Orestes, the darling of my soul, whom I brought up from the day I took him of his mother, and all my many troubles from the shrill
cries that kept me astir at nights,-all of no avail to me who had to endure them, for,' \&c. If the text be right, as Hermann thinks it is, though others suppose something to have been lost, $\kappa \in \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \mu \alpha^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ is the genitive after $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa a l ~ \mu o x \theta \eta \rho \alpha \dot{c}$. The construction would be rather clearer if we were to read $\tau \grave{a}$ mo $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ кal $\mu \circ \chi^{\theta \eta \rho \grave{a}, \text {, and }}$

741. $\tau \rho \delta \pi \llbracket \varphi \phi \rho \in \nu 6 s$. 'According to his humour.' Scholef.
 Hermann and Franz rightly adopt the correction; for, though in v .876 , $\overline{\text { t }}$ — is certainly for $\epsilon$ ' $\tau \epsilon-\epsilon \bar{\tau} \tau \epsilon$, there is this difference, that $\#$ cannot be used to express a direct hypothesis. Dr. Peile's attempt to dispose of the objection is only a kind of quibble, 'does hunger, or does thirst, it may be, or-' For the Ionic form $\delta \ell \psi \eta$ Herm. and Well. propose $\delta i \psi \eta \sigma t s, J$. Wordsworth $\delta i \not \psi^{\prime}$ єïcts, admitted by Dindorf. We have, however, $\theta \bar{\omega} \kappa o s$ in Prom. 850, $\delta \alpha \pi \pi \in \alpha$ ib. 848, not to mention $\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu \epsilon \nu a t$ and $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \sigma \sigma t \chi \nu \in \hat{v} \sigma t \nu$ ib. 663 and 122.
 кal Boŋөєĩv Bob入єтal. 'Helps itself,' Scholef.



$\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \omega \delta^{3} \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{3}$ äv $\delta \rho a \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon \lambda \nu \mu \alpha \nu \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \rho \circ \nu$







749. $\pi a \tau \rho$ l. 'For the father,' i. e. to present it to him; but from the mother, sup. 737. Cf. Od. xix. 355, $\delta \in \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ $\chi \in i ́ \rho \in \sigma \sigma^{2}, ~ ठ т \tau є \mu เ \nu \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$ тє́нєє $\mu \eta \tau \eta \rho$.
750. т $\in \theta \nu \eta \kappa \delta ́ \tau o s . ~ I t ~ m a y ~ b e ~ d o u b t e d ~$ if this is not the genitive absolute. For though the MSS. reading in $\nabla .752$ is $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \varepsilon-\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, it seems certain that the Greeks made the general distinction be-
) tween $\pi \in \dot{j} \theta \in \sigma \theta a i$ тıva, 'to hear of, or inquire about, a person' (Ag. 600. Supra 724. Inf. 824), and $\pi \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \in \sigma \theta a u$ (or $\pi u$ ब́́éval) тivos, 'to hear from a person' (inf. 833). I do not understand Dr. Peile's doctrine, that $\pi \in 6 \theta_{0} \mu$ си $\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa$ ótos means 'I hear of his being dead,' and $\pi \in \dot{\theta}$ ouat $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \delta \tau a$, 'I find he is dead,'一 nor how he can compare the construction of 752 with 833.
752. $\tau 6 \nu \delta \in \lambda 6$ रov. So Blomf., Dind., Herm. for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu$. The mere cacophony of the latter (with $\theta$ é $\lambda \omega \nu$ ) is some argument against it.
754. 方 $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{\omega}$; 'Did you ask how? Explain your meaning more clearly'-'I meant to ask whether he is to come with his body-guards or alone.' In the former verse Hermann and Franz, after Canter, give $\tau l \pi \hat{\omega} s$; (a combination which requires to be defended by examples.) Dindorf edits $0 \pi \omega s$; with Schütz,-a comic rather than a tragic idiom. In the second verse
 (interrogatively). If we supply ${ }^{2} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega}$ or ө́ $\lambda \omega$ ciò́éval, there is no reason to alter $\#$ to $\epsilon l$ with Turn. Vict., as Hermann, Peile, and others have done.
757. $\delta \in \sigma \pi \delta \tau o v ~ \sigma \tau u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$. Schol. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu$.l-
 object of our master's detestation,'Aegisthus. So a person is called $\mu$ l/ $\eta \mu a$
in Theb. 173 and elsewhere. Peile translates, ' our wretch of a master,' comparing the well-known periphrasis $\sigma v \delta_{s} \mu$ ќ $\gamma a$ хр $\eta \mathrm{\mu} \mu$, Herod. i. 36. But it may be doubted if the Greeks ever extended this idiom so as to make $\delta \in \sigma \pi d \tau o v ~ \sigma \tau b \gamma o s a$ synonym of $\sigma \tau u \gamma \epsilon \rho \bar{s} \delta \in \sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta s$. The fact is, the chorus speak guardedly and ambiguously to the nurse, not from distrust of her fidelity, but from fear of her garrulity. Her real feelings in favour of Orestes have been disclosed to them in the nurse's rambling speech. Thus, though Aegisthus is clearly meant, the application of $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta=$ $\tau \eta s$ to him or Orestes is left open.
758. aủvdे è $\lambda \theta \in i v$. 'In order that he may hear the news fearlessly, bid him come alone,' - i. e. assure him that he has no need of body-guards, in order to disarm his suspicions by representing it as per-
 correction. The latter may be one of those Doricisms which are sometimes found even in Iambic verses, on which see Prom. 694. It is objected, with some show of reason, that the present $\gamma \eta \theta^{6} \omega$ was not used by the earlier Greeks (though it is found Theocr. i. 54). We have indeed $\bar{\varepsilon} \pi \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \eta \theta \in t$ Prom. 162, but the reading is doubtful.
 $\phi \rho \in \nu$, alleging that $\gamma \eta \theta \sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta$, if said of the nurse, would have told her too much of the secret; if of Aegisthus, it would have made him suspect the nurse. But it was natural for her to tell him to come quickly, without waiting for ceremonious attendance, to hear some good news, and to meet the messenger with that $\phi a \delta \delta \rho \delta \nu \pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ of which the superstitious Greek thought so much. See below on 761 .

##  

760. криттд̀s ò $\rho \theta o u ̂ \tau a l ~ \lambda$ d́zos. These words were recovered by Porson from Eustathius, p. 1013, 11, who quotes as a
 ópӨoûtal $\lambda$ doos (with the var. lect. кunt $\delta s$ ). The Med. and the other old copies give $\kappa \rho и т т \partial s$ ỏp $\beta=$ v́ $\eta \quad \phi \rho \in \nu$ l, from a confusion with the preceding line (see Ag. 1187). The meaning is, that a confidential message can only be rightly and safely communicated by a personal interview of the bearer. It is to be observed, that the nurse is instructed to quote this aphorism to Aegisthus, in order to induce him to come in person. Compare above, v. 650.
761. фpoveîs єरु. 'Can it be that you are glad at the present tidings?' Peile strangely mistranslates, 'Well, if you have any good purpose to serve by what you just now bid me say-,' reading $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{3} \in l$ after Aldus. But the scholium xaipets, on the preceding verse, evidently belongs to this, as Hermann observes. Compare

 cùppalyectar seems generally to have escaped the commentators. Assuming its truth here, we have a strong argument for the genuineness of $\gamma \eta \nexists o v \sigma_{\eta}$ above, since the question could only have originated from the nurse's surprise at the mention of rejoicing at what she supposed was really the death of Orestes.
762. à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ єi к. $\tau . \lambda$. ' But what if Zeus shall one day bring about a change from misfortune ?'-' Impossible!' replies the still incredulous nurse, 'since Orestes is
gone, the hope of the family.' Cf. Suppl.
 ofıv; Or, without a question, we might translate, 'Nay, I was only thinking whether,' \&c. For the chorus are trying to allay the not unreasonable suspicion of the nurse that there must be some cause for this unwonted joy. For tpotalay see Theb. 702.- кal $\pi \omega \hat{s}$, 'indeed!' Ag. 532.
763. ${ }^{2} \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda$ ' ${ }^{2}$ ov̂ $\sigma \alpha$. ' Go, and deliver the message,' i. e. don't stay to question us further. There is great art in the manoeuvre by which the chorus, who are under a promise of secresy (v. 546), yet reveal just enough to excite the curiosity of the nurse without satisfying it.
 ठ́́ $\tau o \iota ~ \sigma o l ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ д̀ $\nu \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \eta s \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$. Here it may be regarded as a formula for discouraging further inquiry.
764. The nurse having entered the palace, as before Orestes and Pylades had done, and the Queen having also retired to consult with Aegisthus (v. 705), the chorus are now left to themselves, and throwing off all reserve and disguise utter a hearty prayer that Orestes may at length find an end of all his troubles, that order and virtue may be restored to the house, that a crowning act of retribution may put an end for ever to the murders in the family. Apollo and Hermes are invoked, the one as holding the prophetic seat, the other as the god of craft and concealment. They promise rich offerings and the institution of solemn dirges to expiate the

<br>$\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho o \nu ’$ є<br>ठıà ठíкая $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ є̈ $\pi$ os<br>є̀ $\lambda \alpha \kappa o \nu$ ．今̂ $Z \epsilon \hat{v}$ ，$\sigma v ́ \nu \iota \nu$ фv入á $\sigma \sigma o \iota s$.<br>775  $Z \in \hat{v}$ ， $\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$. $\theta$ ès，ė $\pi \epsilon i ́ \nu l \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \nu$ ápas<br>סíठvца каì $\tau \rho \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\alpha}$<br><br> $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu$<br>ar $\nu \tau$ ．${ }^{\text {af．}}$<br><br>782<br><br>

guilt incurred in the family．And finally， Orestes is exhorted to act with firmness， and to think only of his dead father by way of hardening himself to his mother＇s cry for mercy．－This stasimon is so cor－ runt that great licence must be allowed to every editor who would present sense and metre to his readers，rather than，like Klausen and Peile，sacrifice both to a veneration for a MS．，which has evidently been，in this part，interpolated with glosses to an unusual extent．Indeed，nothing can be conceived less satisfactory than Klau－ sen＇s comments，both critical aud exege－ tical，on the whole of this chorus．Neither he nor Dr．Pile seems to have been aware of the metrical law of exact syllabic cor－ respondence which Aeschylus always fol－ lowed．Hence they both，in common with earlier editors，print verses as anti－ thetical which have scarcely a couple of feet in common．


 б at $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta a l \omega s$ ．－$九 v p i \omega s$ ，which occurs also Ag．171，seems to mean＇validly，＇＇parma－ neatly，＇from кupoîv，＇to ratify．＇
 The Med．gives $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\sigma} \nu-$ ，probably from a gloss superscribed having been introduced as a various reading．The antistrophic verse makes the correction of Hermann nearly certain．Translate， －Grant that their fortunes may be truly blessed who desire to see virtue in the
 for $\hat{i} \delta \in \hat{\epsilon} v$, but $\epsilon \hat{\tilde{U}}$ may be compared with
 ＇to see it well，＇meaning＇to see it thrive．＇

774．סıà $\delta i k a s . ~ H e r e ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ i s ~ a ~ d i s-~$ syllable，as in Pers．565，640．Inf． 784. Hermann has nad $\delta \delta i n a \nu$ from the School．
 $\pi \hat{a} y$ Er $\pi$ os ểnarov is meant，that the above is a sort of prophetical declaration，that it will be so．

775．ลे Z $\epsilon \hat{v}, \sigma \delta \nu \nu \quad \phi u \lambda d \sigma \sigma o t s . ~ A$ former correction of Hermann＇s for $\mathbf{Z} \in \hat{\omega}$ ， $\sigma \dot{v} \delta E ́ v i \nu$ к．т．ג．
 Hermann and Seidler for $\pi \bar{\pi} \rho \delta \delta \xi^{\delta} \delta \eta^{3} \chi \theta \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$ $\tau \bar{\nu} \bar{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega$ ．＇Yea，and set thou him that is within the palace（Orestes）before（i．e． above）his enemies；since by raising him to be great you will receive gladly a double and triple return，＇sc．in sacrifices，sup．
 to дарєas $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu$, v． 254.

779．li $\sigma \theta_{l}$ ．Schol． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ iरvarte．Hermann and Franz admit Paw＇s conjecture $\tau \sigma \chi \epsilon$ ， ＇sustain him in the course，＇or rather perhaps，＇check his speed．＇But the change seems by no means necessary： ＇Know that the orphan son of a dear sire is yoked in the chariot of misfortune，and set a limit to his course．＇The common reading is atp $\mu a \tau \iota$ ，but Hermann has re－ stored the plural from the Scholiast，on metrical grounds．
 field for $\tau o u \tau^{\prime} \hat{i} \delta \in i \bar{\nu} \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \in \delta o \nu$ ．Some alterac
ả้о $\mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ß $\eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ӧ $\rho є \gamma \mu \alpha$; 785
 $\kappa \lambda \hat{v} \tau \epsilon, \sigma u ́ \mu \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon \mathrm{~s} \theta \epsilon о$ í. $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota[\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu]$
 * * * * *


 $\phi \iota \lambda i ́ o \iota s$ oै $\mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \hat{\omega} s$
tion is necessary to make the passage grammatical; and I $\triangle$ OI for I I $\triangle \in I N$ is as simple and easy as any that has been proposed,-more so than ктifov for tis av, which Franz has given on the conjecture of H. L. Ahrens, or $\tau u \nu^{\prime}$ a ${ }^{3}$, Hermann's reading. The Schol. found i $\delta \bar{\delta} \mathrm{i} v$, but he explains it by \%ool. The $\delta$ od is here, as unquestionably in many other places (see 774), a monosyllable. If we retain $\delta \dot{\alpha} \pi \in \delta \overline{o \nu}$ (or, as Hermann writes it, $\gamma$ d $\pi \in \delta o v$, -but see on Prom. 848), the
 $\delta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \delta \partial \nu$ being like $\pi \eta \delta \hat{\alpha} \nu \pi \epsilon \delta i \alpha$, Ajac. 30 ;
 $\kappa \lambda u ́ \delta \omega \nu^{\prime}$ ằ ${ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$, Oed. Col. 1686; $\sigma \tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi \chi^{2}$ àp $\mathrm{a} p$ ótous $\gamma^{\text {vios, Prom. 727. Translate; }}$ 'Would that one could see the strained pace of his steps keeping regular time as they go over this course.' Schol. є 6 -
 $\hat{\beta} 0 \theta \mu \partial \nu$ we must underatand the 'stepping in time' or measured paces of a horse in harness. For the middle $\sigma \omega \zeta_{\xi} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ see Prom. 43.
786. $\mathfrak{e v i} \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. The admirable emendation of Hermann for $\nu 0 \mu\langle\xi \in \tau \epsilon$. Compare
 Eur. EI. 1117, цоубєia каl өdксоиs èví-
 mean 'habitually to live in a recess,' as inf. 990, vo $\mu$ / $\zeta \omega \nu$ Blov, 'practising a way of life,' the antistrophic metre might be defended by an argument which I formerly adopted, but now gladly resign as unnecessary. The gods are addressed who were worshipped as the protectors of the family, and who occupied shrines or had altars in the interior of the palace, as Zeìs

790. $\pi \in \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$. Hermann omits this word. I had before inclosed it in
brackets without knowing that Canter had long ago condemned it. But it may have been the end of the lost verse.
 no longer beget new murders,' i.e. may the curse inherent in the family now become exhausted, as by old age. On the peculiar figure here employed see sup. 636. Ag. 734.
793. кт $\{\mu є \nu \%$. So Franz and Hermann for $\kappa \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \partial \nu$. Dr. Peile, who has a notion that the antistrophe (for so he wrongly regards it) commences with $\bar{\omega} \mu$ é $\gamma \alpha$ valw in the middle of a verse, connects $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon$ $\kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{s} \kappa \tau \dot{d} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$, 'when this shall have been well killed,' with the preceding verse. But it is instructive to observe how such theories are overthrown by the lucky restoration of a single letter. Without doubt the poet imitated Homer's $\frac{10}{} \dot{0} \kappa \tau\{\mu \in \nu 0 \mu$ $\pi \tau a \lambda\{\in \theta \rho o \nu$, in speaking of Apollo as the occupant of the rich and beautiful temple of Delphi.- $\sigma \tau \delta \mu \sigma \nu$, the prophetic adytum, called $\mu v \chi \partial \nu \chi \theta 0 \nu \partial s$ inf. 941. The metre of these two verses is Paeonic, as in Ag. 972. Eum. 325, 335, \&c.
794. ảvi $\delta \in i ̂ v . ~ S c h o l . ~ a ̀ v a \beta \lambda e ́ \psi a ı . ~ T h e ~$ word seems $\alpha \pi \alpha \xi \quad \lambda \in \gamma \delta \mu \in \nu o \nu$, and can hardly be considered free from suspicion. Hermann gives à $\nu$ '́ $\eta \nu$, "fac ut domus viri libere, et ipse (Agamemno) amicis oculis ex caliginoso velamine (sepulcri) adspiciat."
795. The Med, here has kal $\nu d \nu$ Ė $\lambda \in \nu$ -
 $\delta \nu о \phi \in \rho \alpha{ }_{2} \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\lambda} \pi \tau \tau \rho a s$. I formerly included the whole line kai $\nu \iota \nu$ - $i \delta \epsilon \hat{\Delta} \nu$ within brackets, as a mere gloss on the preceding words $\epsilon \bar{U} \dot{Z} \boldsymbol{a} v \delta \in \hat{i v}$. But $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \bar{\omega} s$ is so appropriate to both sense and metre that I now think it should be retained. Hermann has arrived at nearly the same con-

є̈к $\delta \nu о \phi є \rho a ̂ s ~ к а \lambda u ́ \pi \tau \tau \rho a s . ~$

Tos，
$\pi \rho a ̂ \xi \iota \nu$ oủpía Өé̀ $\lambda \omega$ ．
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha^{\prime \prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi a \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
800

$\nu v ́ \kappa \tau а ~ \pi \rho o ́ ~ \tau ’ ~ \grave{\mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \sigma к о ́ т о \nu ~ ф є ́ \rho \epsilon є, ~}$

$\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ † \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ \delta i ̀ ̀ ~ \pi \lambda о и ิ \tau o \nu ~$
$\sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.
$\delta \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ גvтท́pьo
clusion；but he reads kal $\nu \nu \nu$ i $i \delta \epsilon i \nu$ ，and
 explanation of $\alpha \nu \bar{c} \delta \delta \eta \nu$ in the preceding verse．For Eustathius explains the latter word by à $\nu$ étcos ral é $\lambda \in u \theta \in ́ \rho \omega=s$ ，Favorinus
 restored by Hermana from the Schol． тov̂ okb́ous．For the idea of＇seeing from a dark veil，＇compare Ag．1149，$\delta$
 боокш́s．

797．Е̇тьфори́татоs．＇Most favourable，＇ －a metaphor from wind，as ouplay in the next verse proves．Schol．ìs è $\pi \boldsymbol{i}$ à áćmou
 ф入oyt èmípopos es aùr\｛iv．Hence it is clear that Franz has wrongly adopted
 oúptei．The metre would have been satis－ fied by pronouncing the word $\overline{\epsilon \pi เ \pi ф о р \omega-~}$ тatos，after the Aeolic way of $\lambda \dot{\sigma} \pi \phi$ ооs for
 Il．xii．208，$\zeta_{\epsilon \pi \phi \varphi p i ́ \eta}$ Od．viii．119，$\pi t-$ тфаибкшу Il．х． 478.502.

800．$\tau \grave{a} \delta^{\prime} \dot{d} \lambda a^{\prime \prime} \dot{a} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi a \nu \in \hat{i}$ ．So Hermann， by a bold，but，in all probability，successful
 «риттá．The Schol．Med．strongly con－
 $\phi \alpha \nu \in \rho \omega \sigma \in \mathrm{l}$ ．Another scholium has $\theta^{\prime} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \nu$ （see on 332）$\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ криттdे єúphбєє．In neither is any mention of $\langle\lambda \lambda \alpha$ ，which is a corruption of $\dot{d} \lambda d^{\prime}$ ，while $\kappa \rho \cup \pi \tau d$ is a
 àえady $\gamma^{\prime} \operatorname{lig}_{0 s}$ Prom． 560.

801．ム̆aнотоу ё́tos．Hermes，who is peculiarly the god of craft，will conduct the enterprise by stealth and delusive words．Which the poet thus expresses： －＇But speaking an unlooked－for word both by night he carries darkness before his face，and by day is not clearer．＇Her－
 by which Hermes summons men to the shades．This command，coming by day or by night，is unforeseen；and thus Aegisthus little knows how near he is to his end．－For $\kappa a \theta^{\circ} \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \rho a \nu$ in this sense the Greeks commonly use $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}^{\rho} \rho a \nu$ ， but we have vúxios 务 $\mu a \theta^{2} \hat{\eta}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$ Eur．


804－22．The concluding part of the chorus is exceedingly corrupt；so much so indeed，that it is a question whether the MSS．readings should be faithfully given in the text，though certainly wrong，or whether emendations should be admitted which have a very fair chance of being right．There can be no doubt that the chaotic mass which has come down to us was originally antistrophic；and the now ascertained exactness of the Aeschylean metres is a very valuable aid in ejecting textual glosses and restoring the original words．In the following disposition of $\sigma \tau \rho$ ．and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau$ ．$\delta^{\prime}$ I have generally adopted Hermann＇s readings，while in á $\nu \tau$ ．$\beta^{\prime}$ those given by Franz seem preferable．From the Scholiast very little assistance is here to be obtained，for the text was corrupted before his time．
804．каl тбтe $\delta$ ．This verse cannot be restored with any thing like certainty． Hermann＇s conjecture is kal $\tau \delta \tau^{\prime}$ そ $\bar{\partial} \eta$ ，

 But we should rather have expected the mention of some $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \alpha \theta a p \mu \delta s$ or supplication， $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho o \pi \lambda$, to be conducted by the women． The remark of the Schol．is of no value， for he explains $\lambda u \tau \nmid p t o \nu$ by ${ }^{2} \lambda \in \epsilon^{6} \in \in \rho o v .-$ oùptootd́rav，Schol．ò̀píws $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \in ́ \nu \tau a$ ．The word was probably used of a settled favourable gale，as an epithet of ă $\nu \in \mu \circ$ s．
$\theta \hat{\eta} \lambda v \nu$ oủpıo $\frac{1}{\alpha} \tau \alpha \nu$,

$\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \bullet \cdot \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\circ} \epsilon \hat{v}$

$\tau \alpha \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{i} \phi i ́ \lambda \omega \nu$.


$\theta \rho o o v ́ \sigma a$ Téкขov,
$\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \iota \nu ’$ ov̉к ё $\pi i \mu о \mu \phi o \nu$ ä $\tau \alpha \nu$.

$\tau o i ̂ s \theta^{\circ}$ vinò $\chi$ Oovòs фỉhous

$\chi{ }^{\alpha} \rho \iota \tau a s$ ò $\rho \gamma \hat{a} s \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \rho \hat{a}_{s}, ~ \epsilon ้ \nu \delta o \theta \epsilon \nu$ фоıvíà äyà $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon i \mathrm{~s}$,
 Өクбо $\mu \epsilon \nu$. So Hermann for $\delta \mu о \hat{\varepsilon} \kappa \rho \in \kappa т \partial \nu$
 the correction of a later hand in the Med. over the erasure of the original word). There is no known word ront $\dot{m}$, but it
 Pers. 577.-крєнт̀s $\nu \delta \mu$ os is properly said of the notes of a harp; but we find крќкew auj $\lambda \partial \nu$ Ar. Av. 683; and the cithern was the instrument of joy.

 The metre shews the vulgate to be wrong; and the correction is confirmed by the



 antistrophe Franz seems to have judiciously admitted the emendations of Seidler and Blomfield. The Med. has èmaǘaas

 arav. It is manifest that here are several interpolations. The Schol. has éтькалє-
 would seem that he found either єike for
 the text commends itself by a certain simplicity and appropriateness, though such serious changes are only to be justified by necessity:- But do you confidently, when your share of action has arrived, uttering the name of Father to
her crying out My son! accomplish a calamity which is free from blame.'

 $\alpha i \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \rho a$.

 By this slight and happy change not only is the metre restored, but an imperative, hitherto wanting to the sentence, is obtained. In the next verse the Med. gives $\chi$ ápıtos ò $\rho \gamma \hat{\alpha} s$ $\lambda u \pi \rho a ̂ s$, emended by Hermann after Blomf. and Schütz. By xápıs ò $\rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ we may understand $\tau \delta \quad \chi a p l \xi \in \sigma \theta a \varepsilon$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{b} \rho \gamma \hat{n}$, the gratifying of the spirit of revenge. This, the chorus says, may be indulged (viz. in the reproaches against his mother, inf. 890 seqq.) before the deed is done, for the sake both of the dead Agamemnon and the surviving Electra. We do not elsewhere find $\pi \rho o \pi \rho d \sigma \sigma \epsilon \varepsilon \nu$, but $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha}, \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \chi$ ápı, ' 'to do a favour,' occurs Eur. Ion 895.
819. фoulday ă yay. The Med. gives hatav, but the metre absolutely requires a short syllable. Both here and in Ag. 709 Hermann has introduced a conjectural form ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \eta$ from $\ddot{\alpha} \zeta \omega_{,}$connected with $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \partial s$, and meaning 'a sacrifice,' or 'consecration.' The reading given above seems
 See Ag. 130, where ára has been restored
 crime, $\not \partial \gamma \eta$ is any thing which excites wonder, horror, or any strong emotion.

#  

＊＊
＊

## AITIエ $\Theta O \Sigma$.








 $\pi \epsilon \delta \alpha ́ \rho \sigma \iota o \iota ~ \theta \rho \omega ́ \sigma \kappa о \nu \sigma \iota ~ \theta \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \mu \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ； $\tau i ́ \tau \omega \nu \delta^{\prime} \stackrel{a}{ } \nu \epsilon i \pi \pi o u s \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma a \iota ~ \phi \rho \in \nu i ́ ;$




820．The metre shews that a verse has been lost after this；and the preceding $\delta \stackrel{k}{\epsilon}$ seems to indicate that a new sentence was commenced，which we might complete by some such addition as そ̧́vuaxoy кá入єt $\Delta h \pi \eta$ ．

826．$\mu \delta \rho o \nu \delta^{\prime}$＇＇Opéatov．Usually these words are connected with the preceding verse，and a full stop placed after them． But the sense seems rather to be this：－ －For as to the death of Orestes，to attri－ bute this also to the family would be a fear－instilling burden to one already fester－ ing and bitten by（i．e．sore from the bite of）the former murder．＇The news，says Aegisthus，is by no means agreeable， because the blame will certainly be laid on me，who have already enough odium to bear from the death of Agamemnon，－
 $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \in \nu \quad \phi \delta \nu q$ ．It is singular that none of the commentators have perceived that $\phi \delta \nu \varphi$ does not agree with étraivovti，but is the dative after it．Even Klausen， who rightly supplies ${ }^{2} \mu \mathrm{O}$, ，wrongly joins $\delta є є \mu a \tau 0 \sigma \tau a \gamma$ es $\phi \delta \nu 凶$ ．How Hermann can
 caedes illa quae nos vulnerat et mordet， is as surprising as that Peile should think the words could mean＇unto the former death－blow yet sore and inflamed．＇For
the figure of speech cf．Ag．1134，$\pi \pi^{\prime}-$
 the construction $\mu \delta \rho o \nu$ of＇＇Opéotov，kal


 $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in \nu \sigma \tau \cup ́ \gamma o s$.

829．д̀ $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ каl $\beta \lambda$ є́тоута．Compare § $\omega v \tau a$ said of oracles，Oed．R．482．The converse is $\theta \nu \eta$ ض $\sigma o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \mu d \tau \eta \nu$ ．Perhaps however $\beta \lambda$ é $\pi 0 \nu \tau a$ is used in the sense of ＇clear，＇＇unveiled，＇as Ag． 1149.
 ＇Spread by women in alarm，＇or＇as an alarm．＇Hesych．$\delta \in!\mu a \tau o \hat{\tau a l}{ }^{\circ}$ фоßєрà $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \in \iota$ \＃̀ àкои́єь．I do not believe $\delta \in ц \mu a-$ тои$\mu \epsilon \nu 01$ $\lambda$ ójoı can mean rumores per－ territi，or rumores ad terrorem conficti， and therefore I understand $\lambda \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} о \iota \phi 0 \beta \in \rho \omega \bar{s}$


831．बข $\quad$ бккоутєs $\mu d \tau \eta \nu . \quad$ The same sentiment occurred Ag．470，тaxípopoy


835．aùt $\delta \nu$ ．So Schütz for au̇tos．The meaning is，＇There is nothing like per－ sonally questioning messengers，＇－for ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$
 Blomfield rightly compares Ar．Av．966，




#     <br> XO. $Z \epsilon \hat{\nu}, Z \epsilon \hat{v}, \tau i ́ \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$; $\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ ä $\rho \xi \omega \mu a \iota$ <br> $\tau \alpha ́ \delta^{3} \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \chi \chi \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \kappa \alpha ̉ \pi \iota \theta \epsilon \alpha ́ \zeta o v \sigma^{\prime} ;$ <br>  <br>  $\nu v ิ \nu$ रà $\mu$ بé $\lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota ~ \mu \iota a \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma a \iota$ $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a i ̀ ~ \kappa о \pi \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ả $\nu \delta \rho о \delta \alpha i ้ \kappa \tau \omega \nu$  оїк $\omega \nu$ ö $\lambda \epsilon \theta \rho \circ \nu \delta \iota \alpha ̀ \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ́ \varsigma^{\circ}$  

Dem. Mid. p. 529, oủס̇̀ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ रàp oîov àкоย์єı aủ่тô̂ rov̂ עópou. The expression was doubtless proverbial. At the end of the verse $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho a$ is Hermann's correction for $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho t$. Dr. Peile, who retains both $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \rho c$ and aù $\tau \delta s$, makes the poet guilty of a solecism in translating ' Reporters can do nothing, compared with oneself hearing a man's own story.' That $\not \approx \nu \delta \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \theta \in \sigma \theta \alpha$ does not mean 'to hear from a man,' but 'to ask about him,' has been already observed on v. 750. And the nominative autd ${ }^{\text {s }}$ violates the first principles of grammar.
 the true sense of this verb, which hence signifies either 'to prove false,' 'refute,' or 'prove true,' viz. according to the result of a close verbal examination.
839. фр $\epsilon^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ hu. So Herm., Franz, Dind., with Elmsley for $\phi \rho^{\prime} \nu a$. We might indeed correct oùrt $\nu$, but that $a$ is not usually made long before $\kappa \lambda$. The mistake arose from a transcriber supposing $\phi \rho \in y^{\prime} \alpha \nu$ was a wrong form of the accusative. See the note on $\tau i \nu^{\prime} \hat{a} \nu$ (MSS. Tiva), Suppl. 19. Translate, 'Assuredly they will not (are not likely to) deceive a vigilant mind,'-a mind furnished with eyes. Cf. Suppl. 461, $\xi v v \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha s^{*} \dot{\omega} \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \sigma \alpha$ रà $\rho$ $\sigma \alpha \phi \in ́ \sigma \tau \in \rho о \nu . \quad$ Schol. т $̀ \nu \quad \sigma u \nu \in \tau \uparrow \eta \quad \mu о \nu$
 in a long note, following, as usual, Klausen in his close adherence to the old readings. But the result is only the unsatisfactory version, 'There's no cheating, I reckon, one that has all his wits about him.'
 Peile with Blomfield, for $\kappa$ àm $t \theta o d \zeta o u \sigma^{3}$. Franz and Klausen retain the vulgate, which is also defended by Dr . Donaldson, New Cratylus, p. 578. But Hesychius


 give кàmt日od§w. Thucydides and Plato also use $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \theta \in d\{\in \omega \nu$ in the sense of 'calling on the gods.'
843. Zoov, ' neither too much nor too
 каıрд̀ $\chi$ ápıтоs, Ag. 759. Cf. Theb. 347,
 —avivouat might mean (cf. Prom. 719), 'should I obtain it,' and so Klausen takes it, while Peile regards it as a synonym with $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ eikov̂ $\sigma a$ đúथc ; 'How must I succeed in saying?' But the context seems to shew the poet's meaning to be, 'I know not whence I shall begin, nor how I can leave off, having said just enough, through kindly feelings towards Orestes.'

 סatkт $\omega \nu$ is clearly active, as $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon$ fous тирүoסolkтous Pers. 109.

848. $\pi \hat{\imath} \hat{\rho}$ кal $\phi \hat{\omega} s$. The order is, | $\xi$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\xi$ |  |


 $\nu$ duous. This is rather harsh; but perhaps not more so than to take $\tau \epsilon$ as used for eita, with Peile and Wellauer; see on จ. 548. Franz and Dindorf give àp $\rho a i ̂ s$ te modtoбovfuots, with Porson. Her-
$\delta \iota \sigma \sigma$ ois $\mu$ é $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ $\theta \in i o s$ ' $O \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta s$ à $\pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu \quad \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu а т о \varsigma ~ \tau \in \lambda о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о v$,
 єìval $\mu a ́ \chi \eta s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \kappa u ́ p \omega \tau \alpha l ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s . ~$


mann has recourse to his favourite (though here by no means improbable) theory, that a line has dropped out, like $\pi \lambda 0 \hat{2} \tau \delta \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \delta \delta \mu \omega \nu$. The poet's meaning seems to be simply this:-Orestes will either lose all or gain all by the present stake; either he will himself be killed, and so bring to an end the succession to the house, or he will recover the sovereignty, and offer sacrifices for the release of the Argives from an unjust usurpation. .That this is the $\dot{e}^{3} \in \in \theta \in p i=$ meant is to be inferred from

 $\pi \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon L \nu$. It is contrasted with the $\tau v p a \nu \nu l s$ of Aegisthus, Ag. 1336, 1611. But in $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o v \delta \mu o v s$ ă $\rho \chi \grave{\alpha} s$ I can see only ${ }^{2}$ periphrasis for "the government of the city," without any reference to that " limited and constitutional monarchy of Argos" which Dr. Peile understands from the "peculiar use of the connecting $\tau$ te." So $\pi o \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o \nu \delta \mu o s$ ßiotà in Pers. 848 seems to mean merely ' citizen-life,' or life under a fixed government.
 the only reserve (subsidiary combatant) against two.' By the technical word $\notin \phi \in \delta \rho o s$ the Greeks understood a champion who abided the result of a contest to engage afterwards with the victor. Xen. Anab. ii. 5, 10, єì $\delta \dot{\text { è }} \delta \bar{\eta}$ кal $\mu a \nu$ évtes $\sigma \in$




 tes is about to act as the $\begin{aligned} & \text { É } \\ & \epsilon \in \delta \rho o s ~ o f ~ A g a-~\end{aligned}$ memnon, but has to engage with both Aegisthus and Clytemnestra; and thus, as Dr. Peile observes, is adventuring one chance against two. Scholefield, who understands "nullum habens assessorem," might have compared what Martial says of the incomparable gladiator, v. 24, 8, ' Hermes suppositicius sibi ipse.'
858. avaltca. They were in reality $\mu \in \tau a i t i a t$, accomplices, sup. 546.
860. $\dagger \tau \in \lambda о \nu \mu$ '́vov. There can be little doubt that this word has been wrongly copied from 857, an error of which there are examples in $\nabla .398$ and (perhaps) v. 1022. The Greeks do not say $\tau \in \lambda \in i v$ riva, 'to finish a man,' in the sense of kTelveiv. Even if they did, the present participle could only mean 'our master is being killed,' which is directly at variance
 mann admits the correction of Schütz, $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \in \dot{\varepsilon} \nu 0$, the usual word in such cases. Cf. Ag. 1304.
864. $\mu 0 \chi \lambda o i=\chi^{a \lambda a} \tau \tau . ~ ' U n b o l t, ' ~ o r ~$ rather, 'unbar the door of the women's apartment.' The dative properly means,



 $\alpha u ̉ \chi \eta े \nu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \iota к \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о$.




 $\epsilon \dot{i} \delta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \nu \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \nu \iota \kappa \omega \prime \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$.


#### Abstract

'by the bar' (sera), i. e. by withdrawing it. Compare the similar use of $\pi \dot{\prime} \hat{u}^{2}$ aus àreípyє $\sigma \theta a$, , sup. 560. The side-door of the palace, viz. that leading into the $\gamma \nu$ $\nu \alpha ⿺ \kappa \in \mathfrak{i \alpha} \delta \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, or ' queen's room,' is here meant. Hermann interprets $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{\eta}^{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \tau$ of a quick and active, rather than of a strong person, though in Od. xxiii. 187, the words bear the latter sense, ov̀ $\delta \dot{k} \mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$ $\dot{\eta} \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\nu} \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \tau 0 \chi \lambda\{\sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \in \nu$. Here however $\chi^{a \lambda \alpha \hat{y}}$ clearly refers to undoing the door from within, not to forcing it from without. There was no need of violence, for the object of opening the door was not to assist Aegisthus but simply to find the queen (868). It does not appear that the servant is in the plot; he acts naturally as in a moment of surprise and excite-


 ment.865. où $\chi$ ©́s 8 '. 'But not for the purpose of assisting him that is already dispatched.' Porson, Herm., Dind., read $0^{3} \chi \chi \sigma \tau^{3}$, but the common reading is equi-
 Ag. 1108. The phrase is much like our 'of course.'-iov, tov. ' O dear, O dear!' an expression of impatient despair, and so to be rendered in Ar. Nub. 1. But lov̂, iov̂, is 'hurrah !' Ag. 25.
 now that her neck, close upon the razor's edge, will fall justly smitten.' There was
 those who were in any imminent danger. Here $\pi$ é $\lambda \alpha s$ is added as if he had meant
 but without adopting, as Dindorf and Franz have done, the conjecture of Abresch ėmıg quov, from Ag. 1248. But
 fiк $\eta$. The correction is very likely right; $\pi \rho \partial s$ бiкәข however will stand for $\delta \iota \kappa a i \omega s$, as $\pi \rho \delta \mathrm{s} \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta े \nu$ for $\hat{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega s$, Ag. 278.
866. $\tau$ ' $\delta$ ' $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau l$ र $\chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$; ' Well! what is the matter? What cry for assistance (Ag. 1320) are you setting up to the house ?' i. e. why are you calling on the
 $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a ;$ тis $\sigma^{\prime}$ à $\pi о \sigma \tau \rho \dot{́} \phi \in t$ ф 6 ßos;
867. $\tau \delta \nu$ § $\hat{\omega} \nu \tau a$ каivelv. Schol. $\delta \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 $\zeta \omega \nu \tau a$ Al $\gamma \iota \sigma \theta \sigma \nu$. He therefore read $\lambda \delta \gamma \varphi$, with Turn. Vict. Cf. Trach. 1163, oüт

 ámoфөє $\epsilon \hat{i v}$; These words are called ai$w^{\prime} \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$ by Clytemnestra, not only from the way in which Orestes is hinted at under $\tau$ ov̀s $\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa \delta т a s$, but because the verse is susceptible of a double paradox, 'The living is killing the dead,' or 'the dead is killing the living,'-the latter, of course, being the sense here intended.
868. $\neq \sigma \pi \in \rho$ o $\partial \nu$. See above, v. 88, and


869. \# $\nu \iota \omega \omega \mu \in \nu$. Hermann, Franz, and Dindorf read $\epsilon \frac{l}{l} \nu \iota \omega \bar{\omega} \mu \nu$. See on 743. But $\Rightarrow$ is amply defended by Homeric




 Compare also viii. 532. xvi. 243. Od. iv. 712. 789. Nor was the usage unknown to the tragic writers. Prom. 799, énoù


## 
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## ПҮАAAHE．

 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \Pi \nu \theta o ́ \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau a$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\circ}$ є $v \circ \rho \kappa \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau a$ ；

OP．крìv $\sigma \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa a ̂ \nu, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a ı \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \mu о \iota ~ к а \lambda \hat{\omega}$ ． ধ̈ँтov．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ́ v \delta \epsilon ~ \sigma e ̀ ~ \sigma \phi a ́ \xi a \iota ~ \theta e ́ \lambda \omega . ~$





878．$\sigma$ ѐ каl $\mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega$ ．＇I have been even looking for you，＇i．e．so far from your visit being unwelcome or ill－timed．For Clytemnestra，armed with the axe，must be supposed to have rushed into the pre－ sence of Orestes，who，perhaps，is seen in the act of coming out of the central door－ way after having killed Aegisthus within． To the same fatal apartment he withdraws her by force at v．916．Hence réधuqка $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．in the next verse is not said from a sight of the corpse of Aegisthus，but from the words of Orestes，т $\varphi \delta \in \delta^{\prime}$ apкоиутаs ё $\chi \in$ є．
 the man，do you？Well then，you shall lie with him in the same tomb，and it shall never be said of you that you aban－ doned him in death．＇See on Theb． 38. The incautious expression $\phi$ iर $\tau$ at $\epsilon$ is used by Orestes as an evidence against her．

882．т $\delta \nu \delta \varepsilon \mathrm{e} \mu a \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ ．She here exposes the breast that had suckled him，an action which the Greeks regarded as the strongest appeal for mercy．II．xxii．79，

[^9] à $\nu^{\prime} \sigma \chi \in \nu^{\circ}$
 трабךи́d́a．


 є́ $\sigma$ 犭ov．

885．aỉd $\epsilon \theta \theta \hat{\omega}$ ．On this conjunctive de－ liberative see Pers．640．Pylades replies， ＇As yet you have only executed half the commands of Apollo，in slaying Aegisthus．＇ Cf．toìs aitious v．265．These are the only words spoken by Pylades throughout the play，and the part is acted here by the oikévクs or $\begin{gathered}\text { द́ } \\ \text { á } \gamma \gamma \in \lambda o s, ~ a s ~ t h e ~ S c h o l . ~ r e-~\end{gathered}$
 persona laboret．

 $\theta \in o u ̀ s$ é $\chi \theta \rho o u ́ s$. ＇Prefer to have all the world your enemies rather than the gods，＇ i．e．think less of what the world will say than of the commands of Apollo．
 or the dative would have been more cor－ rect．The murder，of course，is not acted before the eyes of the spectators；hence $\tau \delta \nu \delta \epsilon$ here，as $\tau \hat{\psi} \delta \epsilon$ in 878 ，is said $\delta \epsilon \epsilon k-$ tucês，only so far as Orestes points in the direction of the corpse．















894. $\sigma$ vì $\delta$ é. So Herm., Franz, Dind. ${ }^{\text {g }}$ Blomf., after Auratus, for vū̀ $\delta$ é. Com-

 870 we have the transitive aorist from

 pavacu, as the intransitive second aorist from the same verb, on the analogy of
 the sense is the same as $\gamma \eta \rho о ß о \sigma \kappa \in і \sigma \theta a$, , $\tau \rho \in ф о \mu \tilde{\varepsilon} \eta \eta$ being supplied from ${ }^{e} \theta \rho є \psi a$.
898. $\gamma \in \nu \in \theta \lambda$ ious $\dot{a} p d s$, i. e. the dying curse of a parent (not 'a parent's prayers'). The Greeks attributed especial weight to the imprecation of a dying person; hence the $\phi 06$ 人 $\gamma$ os ápaios of Iphigenia was stopped by a gag, Ag. 228.
 not cast you off (in sending you) to the




901. ठぃ $\chi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{S}$ दे $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \nu$. Doubly, i. e, first by sending me away, next, by killing my father and depriving me of my property. On the figure of speech see 125 . Orestes means, that even if she can clear herself from the preceding charge ( $\tau \grave{\partial} \grave{\alpha} \pi$ oppi $\psi u$ u), he has another to bring against her of the same personal kind, and as a motive for not shewing her any mercy on his own account.

903. $\sigma a \phi \hat{s}$, in plain language; more than by allusion in the word è $\pi \rho \alpha^{\prime} \theta \eta \nu$.$\sigma o s$ for $\sigma o v$ is Canter's correction, which seems a necessary one. For neither is
 than 'this of yours' would be English; nor has difqđivouai oov, 'I am ashamed for you,' been confirmed by really similar examples.
904. [ $\mu$ n.] Hermann omits this word, perhaps rightly, supposing it to have arisen from the transcriber having begun to copy the next verse, and stopping short on discovering his error. To supply ai$\sigma \chi$ buou adds nothing to the rhetorical force of the passage; and we are hardly justified in asserting that $\mu \bar{\lambda} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$, taken as a single phrase, can mean ' nay but.'On the word $\mu$ á $\tau \eta$, which here bears the sense so commonly found in $\mu$ átaios, i. e. àкодатía, see Suppl. 194. 799. Schol.


906. a $\lambda$ रos $\gamma u \nu \alpha, \xi \in \nu$. The argument is, 'If a man is to be excused on account of his laborious life in the camp, a woman may also be excused because she is debarred from the company of her husband.' The reply is, ' Yes, but greater indulgence should be extended to the man, by whose exertions the wife is maintained at home in indolence.' One can hardly doubt that this passage really represents the Greek






OP．$\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a i ̂ \sigma a ~ \tau o ́ v \delta \epsilon ~ \sigma o v ̉ \rho i ́ ' Є \epsilon ~ \mu o ́ \rho o v . ~ . ~$



XO．$\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$ ．$\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oủ̀ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu \phi \circ \rho a ̀ \nu \delta \iota \pi \lambda \eta \nu^{-}$

morality of the time on the subject of marital fidelity．

911．$\pi a \rho \epsilon l_{s} \tau d \delta \varepsilon$ ．＇If I omit this．＇


912．өр $\eta v \in i ̀ \nu \pi \rho d s ~ \tau u ́ \mu \beta o \nu$ ．The Scho－ liast quotes part of a trochaic verse as a
 ă $\nu \delta \rho \sigma, \nu \eta \pi \iota o \nu$ ，where we may with con－ siderable certainty supply raird as the first word．（Blomf．is clearly wrong in proposing औै $\gamma o y \nu$ for $\kappa$ cal．The meaning is， ＇One may as well cry to a tomb as to a fool．＇）Deaf or obstinate old men were called $\tau \dot{\prime} \mu \beta o \iota$ ，as Ar．Lysistr．372，$\tau i \delta{ }^{\prime}$ a $\hat{b}$

 $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \theta \in \nu$ т $i \theta \eta \sigma t \nu$ ；But a comparison of this passage with Suppl．108，$\zeta \bar{\omega} \sigma a$ \％$\delta 0$ ovs $\mu \bar{\varepsilon}$

 $\tau \dot{u} \mu \beta o v$ is not said of the relentless Orestes． \＆To make a last appeal for mercy to one＇s tomb，＇i．e．when all other hopes are vain， was a saying which denoted the extremity of despair．

913．$\sigma o u ̄ \rho!\zeta \epsilon$, i．e．$\sigma o \iota ~ \delta \rho!\zeta \epsilon$, ＇pre－ scribes，＇or＇determines for you this death．＇The Med．has $\sigma^{3}$ opl $\{\epsilon$, which was more correctly written with a crasis by Elmsley．Some take it for $\sigma 0 t$ ou－ pl $\zeta \varepsilon$, and it is not very easy to decide．

 waft fate＇is，however，a much weaker term than the other．

914．тєко仑ิба $\tau \delta \nu \delta \delta$ ．Dr．Peile trans－ lates，＇This is the serpent that I bare and suckled．＇The sense is perhaps，＇It was in being the mother of this man that I nur－
tured a serpent，＇i．e．in my dream．
915．勇 кá $\rho \tau \alpha$ ．Suppl．446．Orestes， who had been privately apprised of the circumstances sup． 517 seqq．，is thereby enabled to tell her that her fears were prophetic．Hermann，Franz，Klausen， and Dindorf，are doubtless right in as－ signing both these verses to Orestes，with－ out an intervening lacuna．In the Med． 916 is given to Clytemnestra．Wellauer thought a line was lost in which Clytem－ nestra made a last appeal for mercy．In concluding the $\sigma \pi \iota \chi 0 \mu v \theta^{\prime} \alpha$ Orestes rightly enough speaks two continuous verses．－ For encaves the old reading was $\kappa$ dives $\gamma^{\prime}$ ， where $\gamma \epsilon$＂was an evident makeshift to restore the metre．The same error oc－ curred in $\theta$ ézo for é $\theta$ धєo sup．725．I bave shewn on Pers． 499 that Aeschylus does not omit the augment in senarii．On the meaning of тो $\mu \grave{\eta}$ Хрєむ̀y in this place see Suppl． 397.

 lament the fate even of these two，though they were enemies；but，since Orestes has attained the height（or finishing point） of a long series of family murders，we still have this consolation，that the hope of the house has not entirely failed．＇In other words，It was to be wished that so much blood could have been spared；but even as it is，we prefer it to the total ex－ tinction of the family，which might have resulted from the original curse．On the Eastern expression ỏ ö $\theta a \lambda \mu \delta$ s ǒk
 tov tapovalav．


# $\tau \lambda \eta \eta_{\mu} \omega \nu$ 'Oрє́ $\sigma \tau \eta \varsigma, \tau о \hat{\theta} \theta^{\circ}$ ö $\mu \omega \varsigma$ aipoú $\mu \epsilon \theta a$, ỏ $\phi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu o ̀ \nu$ оїк $\omega \nu \mu \eta ̀ \pi \alpha \nu \omega ́ \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho о \nu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i \nu$. <br> $\ddot{\epsilon} \mu о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ бі́ка Прьацíठаıs $\chi \rho о ́ \nu \omega$, ßари́бıкоs тоьขá. <br>  $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o \hat{v}{ }_{s} \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu, \delta \iota \pi \lambda o v ̂ s{ }^{*} A \rho \eta s$. єै $\lambda a \chi \epsilon \delta^{\circ} \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ б́ ПvӨохрท́бтаs фvүа̀s, $\theta \epsilon o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\cup}$ ф $\rho a \delta a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \mu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s$.   

 The genitive, in either sense, is rather irregular, but Hermann rightly prefers the
 Orest. 274. Strabo, lib. xv. p. 725, 'A入é ${ }^{-1}$ -

 § $\epsilon \|$ occurs, apparently intransitive.
921. The deed has now been completed, vengeance has been satisfied, and the chorus rejoice. 'It was Apollo that directed the avenger, Justice that aided him in the fight. She was summoned by Apollo himself from his prophetic adytum, and she has come after a long delay.' They adore the divine government which has given the victory to the good cause. - A great deliverance has been achieved; the house shall no longer lie low, but time shall bring a change, and drive out of it all the pollution it has contracted. A lucky throw of fortune shall reverse the former fate for the new possessor of the
 until after a long time that punishment fell upon Paris and the other sons of Priam ( $\gamma a \mu \beta \rho 0$ îs, Ag. 688), so now the arrival of Orestes and Pylades has been delayed, but has come at last. For Justice is in her nature halting and tardy, $\beta \lambda a \pi \tau о \mu \dot{́} \nu \eta$ and $\chi \rho \circ \nu i \sigma \theta \in i ̄ \sigma a$ inf. 944.-

924. $\delta$ เ $\pi \lambda 0$ ồs $\lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$. Schol. of $\pi \in \rho l$

 Orest. 1401. Klausen, who admits that Euripides had this very passage in view, nevertheless explains the phrase in the text of the "double slaughter," first of Agamemnon, next of Clytemnestra. And Dr. Peile endeavours to improve on the
idea by suggesting that "the two violent invaders of Agamemnon's greatness, Aegisthus and Clytemnestra, are described." To the former it may be replied, that the parallel is not necessarily drawn between the fate of Priam and the fate of Agamemnon, but only that there is a resemblance in point of time between the vengeance that overtook both houses. Against Dr. Peile's view it may be urged that ${ }_{\mu}^{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ implies an arrival, which is applicable to the two strangers, but not to those who were inmates of the house itself.
925. Є̇גахє. So Franz, Herm., Dind., with Schütz, for ধ̈лaкє. The Schol. seems to have read Ë $\lambda \alpha \sigma \epsilon$, for he clumsily explains it, in connexion with $\tau \delta \pi \tilde{\alpha} \nu$, by
 en $\lambda a \chi \epsilon$ is the only word that gives a clear and easy sense: 'The exile who took advice of the oracle at Pytho has gained his end entirely, having been well sped on his way by instructions from the god.' -
 'O $\rho$ ќ $\sigma \tau \eta s$. But this would have been
 Ion 1218.
 woman's shout, Ag. 577. - т $\rho$ ८ßâs for $\tau \rho i \beta a ̀ s$ is the necessary correction of Schütz: 'Hurrah for the escape of our master's house from evils and from the wasting of his possessions by two guilty wretches, a fate hard to pass through.' (Schol. סvaropєútov, though the sense of the compound lies almost wholly, perhaps, in the $\delta v \sigma$.) With Hermann and Franz, I have given $\dot{i} \pi a l$ for $i \pi d$, and have preferred to follow the latter in the simpler arrangement of the strophes and antistrophes. The true disposition of these
dochmiacs is, however, rather problematical, from the extensive corruptions which disfigure the latter part of the ode.




 -a bold, yet rather specious conjecture, since $\stackrel{\varkappa}{\mu} \mu \lambda \epsilon$ - $\pi o u \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ here may have been adapted by a transcriber to the same words above 92l-2. But, assuming motvà to be right, we have a repetition of the former

 refers ${ }_{\xi} \mu 0 \lambda \in$ to Hermes, and understands
 But $\pi$ ow $\nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \eta \eta$, which he renders 'the vengeance of an assault,' is unlike a Greek expression. It is, in fact, clear enough from the mention of Orestes in the fight immediately after, that he is personified
 $\mu$ dixas merely means 'to him who will not fight openly,' and therefore compels the use of $\delta$ б́ $\lambda$ os against him. See on v. 297.
935. $\delta^{\prime}$ èv $\mu a ́ \chi q$. So Pauw from the Schol., the Med. having $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi$ at. For $\dot{e} \tau\{\tau v \mu o s$ most of the recent editors prefer

 fication of $\Delta i k \eta$ see Theb. 642.
 present uncertainty of the readings, we must take common sense for our guide, and be content to adopt Schütz's conjecture ${ }_{\epsilon} \pi^{3}$ for ${ }^{2} \nu$, which latter is retained by Franz, Dind., Klausen, and Peile. If $\pi \nu \in i \nu \in \nu \tau t \nu \backslash$ be Greek at all, it must mean
${ }_{2}^{2} \mu \pi \nu \in \hat{2}$, or ${ }^{2} \nu$ must signify 'in the case of,' as in Ag. 1425. But $\epsilon \mu \pi \nu \in i \nu \nu \tau \nu t \tau \ell$ is 'to inspire,' whereas $e^{2 \pi} \tau \pi \nu \in i v$ (Theb. 333 ) is 'fo blow upon,' or 'against.' I formerly edited $\pi \nu \in \dot{\epsilon} o v \sigma a \nu$ from Auratus; but the construction of the passage clearly points to an interposed parenthesis, as indeed the Schol. has remarked, $\tau \delta$ $\epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$,
 took the parenthesis to be from ${ }^{\text {entr }} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{f}$ to $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s$.
 conjectured for $\tau \dot{d} \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ - $\epsilon \pi$ ’ ${ }^{\circ} \chi \chi \theta \epsilon \iota \nless \xi \in \nu$, words which are indisputably corrupt, and have not been successfully restored by other editors. Apollo is said to invoke, rouse, or call for Justice, much as the Fury calls for Vengeance or Havoc ( $\lambda$ ol-
 263. Ag. 29 and 1089. It is likely that we should also read חappaarias to agree with $\chi^{\text {tovoss. }}$
942. $\hat{\alpha} \delta \delta \lambda \omega s$ $\delta 0 \lambda$ lay. This, of course, applies to Justice who is ' fraudulent without fraud,' or who exercises a lawful cunning in carrying out her designs,-a doctrine quite consistent with the Greek character, and one that is defended Ag. 1345. The Schol. and others refer it to Clytemnestra. But the succeeding words are most appropriate to the goddess who halts in her step and has lingered long in her approach; see on v. 921. Then ėmoí $\chi \in \tau a$, rightly signifies ' has gone for,' ' has summoned,' $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \psi a \tau 0$. As below, 947, $\mathrm{z} \xi \imath 0$, so here $\delta o \lambda i \alpha \nu$ is a dissyllable like кapoia Suppl. 68. Theb. 277. See on Pers. 975. Prom. 698.

#  кратєī̃al $\delta \epsilon ́ ~ \pi \omega \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{~}$ viточрүєiv какоі̂s＇ <br>  $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \alpha$ тò $\phi \hat{\omega} s{ }^{i} \delta \epsilon i \bar{\nu}$ ． <br>   $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.  <br> 米 米 米 桎 <br>   $\pi a ̂ \nu ~ e ́ \lambda a ́ \sigma \eta \eta ~ \mu u ́ \sigma o s$  

943．$\chi \rho \circ \nu \downarrow \sigma \theta \epsilon i ̄ \sigma a \nu$ ．This is Hermann＇s certain correction for $\chi$ póvors $\theta \in \hat{i} \sigma a \nu$ ．The verb is used passively in Theb．54．Ag．705，
 We have xponifovta in a similar applica－ tion to long unpunished crimes，sup． 56.

945．кратєĩтal $\delta \dot{́} \pi \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ．The Med．gives
 yeĩy kakoîs，which the Schol．obscurely

 doubt that mapà was interpolated by some one who was not familiar with the idiom тो $\mu \grave{\eta}$ for $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \mu \dot{\eta}$ ．In fact，the metre peremptorily condemns it．The passive кратеiotal is not，perhaps，elsewhere found；but it seems naturally enough to bear this sense：＇The divine power is in a manner withheld from assisting the bad，＇ i．e．the victory was sure to be on the side of Orestes．
949．oiketûy．The common reading was oüк $\omega \nu$ ．Franz adopts oikicu from H．L．Ahrens，but himself conjectures oiket $\omega$ v，which Hermann justly prefers． ＇I have had the heavy bit which domestics wear removed from me．＇The emendation is entirely confirmed by the passage in the parode to which this has reference，v． 66 seqq．，where the chorus complain that，as slaves，they are acting under coercion，and are compelled to do violence to their real feelings．There is probability in the passive b．$\phi p \rho \in \epsilon \eta$ ，adopted by Blomf．from Stanley．
 O house！＇So Homer uses ăza，for à áa－
 reito（a passage the poet may have had in
 The MSS．give $\delta \delta \mu o s$ ，corrected by Her－ mann，who edits äpayє $\mu \mathrm{a} \nu$ ，with Robor－ tello，erigite vos．He might have com－ pared，for the use of the singular，$\vec{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ह̆ $\gamma \epsilon$, Пép $\rho a t$, Pers． 142.

952．$\pi a \nu \tau \in \lambda\rangle) \quad \chi \rho \delta \nu 0$ ．Either＇full time，＇or，more probably，＇all－accomplish－ ing time，＇as we have $\mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \dot{\hat{c}} \mathrm{~s}$ ， Theb．111，and as the Schol．explains $\delta$
 and $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a \gamma \eta \| \varepsilon \tau a L$ ，but the word has here， as in Theb．851，its true middle sense， ＇will have the house changed，＇will find it differently situated，it being now où $\mathfrak{\omega}$ s


954．тầ हैं $\lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta ~ \mu \hat{v} \sigma o s ̧$ ．The order in the MSS．and edd．is $\mu \hat{b \sigma o s} \pi \bar{a} \nu$ è $\lambda \dot{d} \sigma \eta$ ， which must be changed if the antistrophe has been rightly made out by Franz．In the next verse $\dot{\alpha} \tau a \hat{\nu}$ for ${ }^{\circ} \pi \pi \alpha \nu$ and ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \tau \eta-$ plots for－ov are due to Schiutz．That $\tau$ and $\pi$ are often interchanged bas been remarked on Suppl． 296 and elsewhere．
 макоу．For this sense of Ėлavjely see Eum．273．Oed．R．98．The repetition
 there is no reason to doubt that the above is the true reading．Translate：＇When it（not the palace，but Time；cf．Eum． 276）shall have driven from the hearth all pollution by purifications for expelling calamities．＇

тú $\chi a \iota \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi o ́ \kappa о \iota \tau \alpha \iota$ тò $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ iठєєì † $\dagger \rho \in \tau \mu$ е́vols $\mu \epsilon \tau о і$ коья $\delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \sigma о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a \iota \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ． $\pi \alpha ́ \rho a ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi \hat{\alpha} s i \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.<br><br>$\pi \alpha \tau \rho о к \tau о ́ \nu o v s ~ \tau \epsilon \delta \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi о \rho \theta$ ท́тораs．  фí入oı $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \stackrel{\nu}{\nu} \nu, \dot{\omega}_{s} \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta$ $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ，ő $\rho \kappa о \varsigma \tau^{3} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ．   

956－9．These four verses are very dif－
 coilcal，which Hermann and others alter

 statement is doubtless correct ；cf．$\hat{\gamma}_{\nu} \nu$ रà $\rho$
 $\lambda o v ́ \sigma \eta s$ Ag．33．Dice may be so called when they have a fall or lodgment（koir $\eta$ ） in such a way as to present a good face， i．e．a lucky number，uppermost．But then it seems to follow，almost as a matter of course，that $\pi \in \sigma_{0} \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu$ is said of these same dice which bring good luck as they formerly brought bad luck；and again，that the persons for whom they so fall are the $\mu$ हтокко，or new residents， viz．Orestes．Hence $\mu \epsilon$ toírors appears right；the reading of the Med．being $\mu \in \tau о н \kappa o \delta \delta \mu \omega y$ ，which must have been further corrupted since the time of the Scholiast who found $\mu$ éroıкot，（oì vûv toùs
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta s \tau\langle\chi \eta s)$ ．Franz appears rightly
 he reads $\mu$ éroıkot agreeing with túxal．
 they suit the metre，it is more than pro－ bable that they are corrupt．The Med．
 Schol．strangely explains é $\tau \in \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ 入 $\epsilon \gamma \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ àroûбat．Hermann and Franz eject àkồ－ out as a gloss．If $\theta \rho \in \operatorname{lem}_{\text {évots alone could }}$ mean＇grieving，＇or＇lamenting＇（cf．Suppl． 104．Theb．78），it would be easy to
 favourable to behold．＇Nothing can be more forced than Hermann＇s interpreta－ tion，Prospera ad videndum narrantibus
fortuna revertentur restituti aedibus；and nothing more extravagant than Klausen＇s， In fortunam laeto vultu gratam omnino aspectu，auditu lamentantibus denuo con－ ditioni incident aedium inquilini．

960．＂Conspiciuntur éккขк入һиатоs ope Orestes，viridem ramum tenens，et pallium Agamemnoni mortiferum ferentes famuli， quibus dicit ékreivat＇aùvó（970）．＂Her－ mann．There is nothing in the following $\beta \hat{\eta} \sigma t s$ to imply that the corpses were in sight of the spectators，as the Scholiast asserts．He was doubtless led to the opinion by $\tau \delta \in \sigma \theta \in$ ，which however need not be taken of ocular survey．

963．фíiol $\tau \epsilon$ ．There seems no reason to alter $\tau \epsilon$ into $\delta \epsilon_{6} . \quad$ Cf．Theb．916．－

 $\sigma \epsilon L \nu$ т̀̀ $\pi \rho \nmid \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$.

964．ę $\mu \mu$ évєt $\pi เ \sigma \tau \omega \mu a \sigma t \bar{\eta}$ ．＇Abides by the terms of their mutual pledges＇－a


965．dं $\theta \lambda i \omega s$ ．The editors generally read $\dot{a} \theta \lambda i \psi$ ，comparing inf．968，which however rather affords a reason against the change．It seems clear that the Scholiast either read $\quad d . \theta \lambda i \omega s$ or found a different word．His brief comment is， $\theta$ äyatov về $\pi a \tau \rho!$ ．He probably meant

 comparison of 539 sup．suggests that à $\theta \lambda i \omega s$ should be repeated with $\xi v v \theta \alpha-$


 they have suffered，as well as that which they devised．

тò $\mu \eta \chi$ á $\eta \eta \mu a, \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu a ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda i ́ c i c \pi ~ \pi \alpha \tau \rho i$, $\pi \epsilon ́ \delta a \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \chi \epsilon \iota \rho о \stackrel{\imath \nu}{\nu} \kappa$ ì $\pi о \delta о i ̂ \nu ~ \xi u \nu \omega \rho i ́ \delta a$.   <br>  <br>      

 his two feet,' i. e. the entangling garment,
 кєขтos sup. 484.
 seems no necessity to read aỷวd, for even $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda o \nu$ might be supplied without any difficulty, as the mind of the speaker was upon it. Cf. тoloùtov inf. 988.
 here identified with Apollo (see on Suppl. 210), who appears as a witness in Eum. 546.
976. The common reading is oủ $\psi \in ́ \gamma \omega$,
 however found $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \omega$, which Dind. and Hermann prefer; and these words are occasionally interchanged. The sense is, 'I say, of my mother; for I reckon not, in considering the question of justice, the fate of Aegisthus.' Franz and Klausen retain the reading of the Med., which is perhaps defensible, 'I have nothing to say against,' ' I reck not,' i. e. have no wish to repudiate.
977. $\dot{\omega} s \nu^{\delta} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$. So Canter for $\dot{\omega} s \nu \delta \mu o v$, which Wellauer, Klausen, and Peile en. deavour to defend, ws עб $\mu$ ov $\delta\{\kappa \eta$ é $\sigma \tau \ell$, or
 and ail $\sigma \chi \nu \nu \tau \eta \rho$ are regularly used in the sense of adultery (see Ag. 1334. 1604); and an adulterer could be lawfully put to death by the party aggrieved.

 scribed. On $\in \frac{l}{l}$ and confused see 743 . In the next verse the MSS. and edd. give
 oủ $\delta \in\left\lceil\eta \gamma \mu \hat{c}^{\prime} \nu o v\right.$. The construction, as Dobree pointed out, Advers. ii. p. 28, is $\delta$ окє $\hat{\iota}$ $\sigma \eta \pi \in L \nu$ ă $\nu$. Translate (from v. 978) as follows: ' But as for her who devised this odious contrivance against the husband by whom she bore the burden of children beneath her waist,-a burden once dear, but now, as it has shewn, a hostile evil,what do you think of her? Why, that if she had been a lamprey or a viper, she would have corrupted one by the mere touch rather than by being bitten.' I have ventured to read i) for ov̀ in 982, because $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ is hardly complete without $\bar{\eta}$, and if $\nless \lambda \lambda o \nu$ be retained, as it is by Franz, Dind., Klausen, there seems no point in the mention of another as distinct from the husband. Hermann, after Meineke, reads $\bar{\eta}$ бol $\delta o \kappa \in i$ and oủ $\delta \in \delta \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$, in this sense:-D Do you think she could have more injured him by her contact, without being herself wronged by him, if she had been a viper or a lamprey?' Lucian $\pi \in \rho l \Delta \iota \psi \dot{d} \delta \omega \nu$, p. 236, vol. iii. Reitz.

 $\mu\left\langle\rho a t \nu a\right.$, like the $\delta \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \kappa \omega \nu$, seems to have been, if not a fabulous creature, at least endowed with fabulous properties.

то́л $\mu \eta$ є є̈кать ка̉סíкоv фроиท́ратоs.




 $\xi \in ́ \nu \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota o ́ \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ̉ \rho \gamma v \rho о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta}$
 990 $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \grave{a} \nu \alpha \iota \rho \omega ิ \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha i ́ \nu o \iota ~ \phi \rho \in \nu i ́ . ~$



 though I should use the mildest terms.' Hermann with Meineke, and Scholefield in his Appendix, transpose this and the next seven verses to follow v. 969. The adrantage of this is that the soliloquy on the garment, and that on the character of Clytemnestra, are not mixed up and interchanged as in the common order. Yet I think that an attentive consideration of the train of thought in the poet's mind will confirm the old arrangement. Having ordered the attendants to unfold and exhibit the gory robe (970), that the sum may see it , and attest that the nurder of the mother was just, Orestes is carried away by the mention of that name into a strain of indignant reproach, which he terminates at 983 to revert to the treacherous garment. And he concludes (992) naturally by the wish that a woman who could use such a contrivance against her husband may never be an inmate (wife) in his house. Dindorf formerly enclosed the whole passage in brackets; but it is thoroughly Aeschylean in language and imagery, and he has rightly reinstated it in his last edition.
986. ठроітทs катабкпишшиa. Schol. тара$\pi ध \tau a \sigma \mu \alpha, \quad$ бpovs (1. $\sigma о \rho о i)$ ). 'The pall of a bier covering the feet of the corpse.' Cf.

 ing to a carriage, Pers. 982. At the same time there is a play on the double meaning of $\delta \rho o i \tau \eta$, 'a bath,' and 'a bier.'
988. roiovitoy. It is very easy to supply $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda o \nu$ from the preceding $\pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \pi \lambda$ ous. 'Such an one a thief would be likely to possess, practising the duping of strangers and a money-robbing life; and by this sort of deceit killing many, he would conceive many deeds of violence in his mind.' Hermann, who rather hypercritically objects
 $\lambda \eta \mu \alpha$, where he places a comma, and reads
 ठола́иaтє к.т. $\lambda$. This may be some improvement on the vulgate, but cannot be considered necessary.-For $\phi \eta \lambda \eta t \eta s$ the old reading was $\phi i \lambda \hat{\eta} \eta$ s. The orthography of the word is rather uncertain: some have explained it $\delta \phi \downarrow \lambda \omega \bar{\nu} \tau \frac{1}{2} \tau \hat{\omega}$ тé $\lambda a s$, comparing our word filch and the French filou. The verb however is $\phi \eta \lambda \delta \omega$, Ag. 475.
994. aiai. The Med. has only at at, which Bothe doubled for the sake of the metre. I have ventured to do the same

997. $\mu$ i $\mu \nu \nu \tau \tau$. 'To the survivor,' i. e. to Orestes.- $\dot{a} \nu \theta$ ê, still blooms, still is green and vigorous.
998. $\mu а р т \nu \rho \in \hat{\imath} \mu o l$. Not $\mu a p \tau \nu \rho \in i$ ís






 Hence we must understand oy̆7 $\mu \in$ yov $^{\text {es }} \boldsymbol{\kappa} . \tau . \lambda$. 'The garment, all stained as it is by the sword of Aegisthus, attests her guilt.' The subject to $\begin{gathered}\text { E } \delta \rho \alpha \sigma \epsilon \\ \text { might }\end{gathered}$ seem to be Aegisthus, not Clytemnestra. But Klausen argues from $\xi\llcorner\phi о ঠ \overline{\eta \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \varphi}$ Oavárqu Ag. 1506, that Aegisthus lent the queen his sword for the deed.
1000. $\xi \nu \mu \beta{ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha u$. 'For the bloodstain contributes with time in obliterating many colours of the pattern.' Others understand 'coincides or tallies with the date,' i. e. the alleged time of the murder; but it is doubtful if $\xi \nu \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \in \sigma \theta a t$ ever bears this sense. The meaning is, that time has aided the effects of the blood in destroying the colours, and therefore that it could not have been recently done.
 'It is only now that I speak of it and deplore it as one actually present at the scene.' He means that after his long exile the sight of the very instruments of the murder renews his painful recollections, and forces him to speak on a subject which he had hitherto brooded over in silence. Franz ${ }_{j}$ Klausen, and Peile, read aít $\partial \nu$ with Hermann, for $e^{e} \mu a v \tau \partial \nu$, 'now I praise mýself, now I bewail my case.' That is, at one time I think I have acted rightly, at another, I bewail the deed I have done. But $\pi \alpha$ aciv becomes thus unmeaning (Peile's version, 'I lament that I am here,' seems doubtful), nor is there any certainty that air $\boldsymbol{\partial} \boldsymbol{y} \nu$ could be so used.
1005. $\nLeftarrow \eta \eta \lambda a \kappa$ к.т. $\lambda$. 'Having upon me a miserable pollution as the result of this victory.'
1007. arimos. This word is corrupt.
 enough, since $a$ and $\epsilon \hat{v}$ are often confused. Dr. Peile reads $\boldsymbol{i} \sigma \delta \tau_{\iota} \mu_{o s}$, 'equally wellconditioned through the whole of it,' sc. סıà maytos roû Biov. Franz less happily suggests $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda u \pi a s$. The Schol. explains the vulgate by $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \eta \tau o s$. For $\dot{\alpha} \mu \in i \psi \in t$ the Med. has á $\mu \in \dot{\imath} \psi \in \tau \alpha \iota$. On $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \grave{\prime} s$ ßíotos see Ag. 1312.
 Klausen had corrected $\begin{gathered}\hat{\varepsilon} \\ \text { ér. }\end{gathered}$
1009. ${ }^{7} \xi \in t$. The Med. is said to have

 dation (which I suggested in the former edition) has been also made by Emper and Martin, and is adopted by Franz and

 may be apprised of it in time (since I know not what the end may be), I am as one who is driving off the course with a chariot; for my feelings, difficolt to control, are hurrying me onward without the power to resist.' By this fine metaphor he warns his friends of the coming paw roxysm of madness; and the description is true to nature, for many persons are aware beforehand that mania is about to seize them. I have no hesitation in preferring Stanley's emendation $\bar{\eta} \nu t o \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \bar{\omega}$ for $\eta \nu i o \sigma \tau \dot{\beta} \delta \phi o v$ to any others that have been suiggested. It is the only one which contains fothing harsh either in the construction or the sentiment. Compare









 $\kappa а i ̂ ~ ф i ́ \lambda \tau \rho a ~ \tau o ́ \lambda \mu \eta s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \pi \eta \rho i ́ \zeta о \mu a \iota$






 1025

 аркто.
1014. ย̇торХЕїбөà ко́тч. Cf. 159,

 vimop $\overline{\epsilon 1} \hat{\sigma} \theta a, i$ is 'to dance to music with violent gesticulations,' and is therefore appropriately used with ăd $\delta \in \omega$. By кóтos any sort of excitement is occasionally meant. It seems surprising that both Hermann and Franz should have the bad taste to adopt Emper's conjecture s

 Cf. $\pi \lambda \in \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \rho \eta$ रpóvov Eum. 733. The verb is $d \pi a \xi \lambda \in \gamma \delta \mu \in \nu o v$. The context shews that it means $\pi \in \rho l$ $\pi \lambda$ eli $\sigma \pi=u \pi o l-$
 ducement to this deed of daring I hold the oracle of Apollo in the first place.'
1021. $\pi$ aptévit, si omisissem. 'But I will not mention the penalty he imposed on me if I neglected it.' Cf. $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \boldsymbol{l}_{s} \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \delta \mathrm{E}$ sup. 911. The Med, has $\pi$ apévca, which Hermann retains, and explains by an aposiopesis. This may be right; see 186. But neither is it improbable that the two terminations have been interchanged (cf. Suppl. 225), and that Blomfield is right

1022. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma\{\xi \in \tau a . \quad$ Though the genitive

 it is to be feared that in this instance the eye of the transcriber fell upon $\pi \rho o \sigma\left\{\xi^{\prime} o \mu a i\right.$ in 1024. We should perhaps restore

 $\mu \hat{\eta} \kappa$ очs. Hermamn adopts $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta\{\xi \in \tau \alpha$ from Meineke; yet it must be admitted that $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta c \gamma \epsilon i v y$ is a less apt word than a compound of iкvéouait to express the idea of reaching a thing by conjecture. For the construction compare Ar. Equit. 761, $\pi \rho l \nu$ éneivov $\pi \rho о \sigma \iota \kappa \epsilon \in \theta \neq a t$ ซov. Eur. El.
 Demosth. p. 361, 25; ib. 958, 8, \&c. Peile's translation, 'For by no shot can one come up to it for plagues,' is little to be commended.
1024. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \quad \theta a \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ кal $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \phi \in!$. The olive bough rrowned with a suppliant fillet. See Eum, 44. The conclusion of this play may be regarded in some sense as introductory to the next, the last of the trilogy.

 often seen on the two-peaked hill of Parnassus, which Euripides attributes in several well-known passages to the torchlight visitations of Bacchus, e. g. Ion







 * * * * *

 є́ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\rho} \sigma a s ~ \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu ~ ' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$,



$\rho \nu ф о \nu \pi \lambda \alpha$ ккс. Probably it was a luminous vapour, more or less connected, physically speaking, with that which rose from the cave of Trophonius.

1029-30. каl $\mu$ артvрєîv. With Blomfield I have transposed these two verses, and
 of the old copies, from Hermann, who however now retains the vulgate order and



1031. हैं $\gamma \dot{\omega} \delta^{3}{ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s$. This verse is very nearly the same as Ag . 1253. Something has apparently been lost after the following. The abrupt termination might seem to result from aposiopesis or the interruption of the chorus, and so Franz edits the passage. But I think, with Hermann, the other supposition more probable.

1033. $\mu \eta \delta^{\circ} \epsilon \pi t \zeta \in \cup \chi \theta \hat{\eta}$. Most of the recent editors correct $\overline{\xi \pi} \zeta \zeta \varepsilon u \chi \theta \hat{\eta} s$, after Heath, and the accusative is sufficiently defended by à $\pi \epsilon$ 乌̛́ $\gamma \eta \nu \pi \delta \delta \alpha s$ in 663. But the construction appears exactly to correspond with Suppl. 475, $\kappa \lambda \alpha$ doous $\tau \epsilon \tau o u ́-$
 'You have both succeeded well and let not your mouth be implicated in ill-omined expressions, nor predict evils against yourself.' For $\phi \hbar \mu \eta$ the Med. has $\phi \hat{\eta} \mu a$, whence Franz, Peile, and Dindorf read ф $\eta \mu \alpha \iota s$ тovnpais, with Auratus.
 $\Delta i \delta s$, Prom. 949, and the note there.
1038. фato $\mathfrak{i} \tau \omega \nu \in s$. Dr. Peile reads

фaıol $\chi \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \epsilon s$, but wrongly, as it may be worth while to shew in rather a long note. It is certain that some words have a metrical weight arising from pronunciation which is not accordant with the actual spelling; and this poetical licence, which some would confine to proper names, has in fact a rather extensive application. Both the mutes and the aspirated letters, as well as the sibilant, have the power of reduplication according to metrical convenience. Thus we have $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \in \xi \bar{\xi} t a \kappa \chi$ व́ $\sigma \alpha s$ Theb. 632, and the equally common forms ia $\chi \grave{\eta}$ and iaк $\chi \eta$. Euripides is said to have
 $\beta \dot{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \omega s$ (frag. 1084). Pindar uses őкхє́oутı
 40. In Theognis we similarly find $\beta$ pók-
 the following examples occur: 'I $\pi \pi \bar{\sigma} \mu \hat{\epsilon}$ ' Sovtos Theb. 483, Парӫ̈עотаîos ib. 542 and Eur. Suppl. 889, Tē̃ $\epsilon \dot{d} \tau a \nu \tau o s ~ A j a c . ~$

 Similarly $\Delta t \epsilon y v \sigma o s$ ought rather perhaps to be written, or at least pronounced, $\Delta t$ byvvoos. The letter $\rho$ is doubled in "Apqs, Theb. 233. 335. ミap $\alpha a \nu a ́ t a \lambda \lambda о$ о
 $\kappa$ ќфаллоs in Equit. 417. 'O $\quad \lambda \lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \sigma$ (not
 the same principle we find кaтayyciov Od. ix. 490. $\alpha \nu \nu \in \tau \alpha$, Il. x. 251. \% $\lambda \lambda$ доро ib. 258. дे $\pi \omega \rho \iota \nu \nu \partial{ }^{2}$ Il. хxi. 346. $\mu \in \sigma \alpha \mu_{-}$ Bptyvà Callim. Lav. Pall. 72, and the Latin pruina from $\pi \rho \omega i \nu$ ds. In Il. x. 572 we have à $\pi \in \nu \nu i \zeta_{\text {Sovto, in Theocr. xxii. } 19 .}$

XO．$\tau i v e s ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \delta o ́ g ̆ a l, ~ \phi i ́ \lambda \tau a \tau^{\prime} a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \pi a \tau \rho i ̀$,











 xxix． 36 è $\nu \nu 0 \chi \lambda \epsilon i s$. Perhaps we may hence explain the long $\iota$ in $\lambda \iota \nu o \pi \tau \omega \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ， Pac．1178，from $\lambda i$ ivoy．（Photius：$\lambda i$ í

 mans similarly said relligio，sollicito， nummus（from vóuos，vo $\mu \tau \sigma \mu$ ），\＆c．From all which it follows，that so far from there being any reason to doubt the reading фatoxircules，it has the analogy of poetical usage altogether in its favour．

1039．тикцоїs браккоибь．Pausan．i． 28，6，траิтоs $\delta \grave{E}$ Ailoरú入os бра́коутаs



 racter of these awful goddesses as drawn by Sophocles is more according to the ancient view．They are beings not neces－ sarily hostile to man，but who may at any time become so，and therefore their good will is rather to be maintained than their anger propitiated．

 Porson $\mu \grave{\eta} \phi \dot{\prime} \beta o v \nu \nu \kappa \bar{\omega} \pi о \lambda \grave{v}$ ，＇Be not too much overcome by fear．＇Cf．¿ $\mu \hat{\rho} \rho o v$ עıкб $\mu \in \nu 0 s$ Suppl．982．But $\mu \bar{\eta} \nu_{\nu} \kappa \bar{\omega}$ is an imperative for which we require au－ thentic examples．The correction is not worthy of Porson＇s taste and judgment， though Dindorf also has adopted it．Peile
 Ajac．1357．Thuc．vii． 34.

1042．oùk elal $\delta \delta$ gal．＇They are no
mere fancies．＇Cf．Ag．266．411．There is nothing in this at all inconsistent with the ravings of a terror－stricken mind． Müller thinks that the Furies who appear in the next play were really seen by Ores－ tes，though so placed as not to be visible to the present chorus．

1045．èt т $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \delta$ é tot．＇＇Tis in conse－ quence of this that－．＇Cf．Ag．850，ek
 mann appears therefore wrong in altering rot to бoi．There is an antithesis between $\chi$ eip and $\phi \rho \grave{\lambda \nu}$ ，as Hipp．317，$\chi$ eipes $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$

 фрévas．

1046．$\pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{v}$ ouvt．＇They swarm．＇Eum．
 Hence Euripides calls them aijatcomol $\theta \in a l$ ，Androm． 978.

1048．fîs dol．So Franz and Hermann with Erfurdt and H．L．Ahrens．The Med．has $\epsilon i \sigma \sigma^{2} \delta$ ，but $\sigma^{2} \delta$ is said to have been written over an erasure．Klausen and Peile give $\epsilon 屯 \sigma \omega$ räapubs from Tur－ nebus．Blomfield，after Schütz，Eiolv $\kappa a \theta a p \mu o i ́$ ．On the exegetical $\delta \grave{k}$ see Prom． 410 ；on the nominativus pendens，Eum． 96．Suppl．440．Some take $\kappa \tau\{\sigma \in \iota$ for the second person middle；but neither is the form ктíoual known to be in use，nor has $\sigma \in$ for $\sigma \in a v \tau \delta \nu$ much to be said in its defence，though we have $\zeta \omega \bar{\omega} a$ 子 $\tau \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$ Suppl．108．－iogion，i．e．the statue of Apollo；for the actual touch or grasp of a sacred statue constituted a sanctuary， Eum． 80.




трítos av̂ $\chi \epsilon \mu \omega े \nu$
$\pi \nu \in$ v́ras yovías è $\tau \epsilon \lambda$ ér $\theta \eta$.




 $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\circ}$ av̉ $\tau \rho \dot{i} \tau o s \hat{\eta}^{\lambda} \lambda \theta \epsilon \in \pi \circ \theta \epsilon \nu \sigma \omega \tau \grave{\rho} \rho$,


$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \kappa о \iota \mu \sigma \theta$ ย̀̀ $\mu$ évos ä ä $\eta \mathrm{\eta}$;
 $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \hat{a} a s$ кı $\nu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \quad \chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \delta \nu \quad \pi \nu \epsilon \bar{v} \mu a$. Hesych.
 Blomfield explains it 'a family wind,' remarking that the names of winds usually terminate in as, as кaikias, \&sc. Hermann says, ' videtur ventus dici secundo flamine spirans.' Perhaps it may be observed generally, that the origin of the names of winds is extremely obscure: a scarcely less strange term is oủpioovd́ $\tau \eta$ s sup. 806 .
1058. $\mu \dot{\chi} \chi$ Өo兀 тá $\alpha a \nu \in s$. Hermann's opinion, that $\tau \in$ © © $\cup$ ध́ $\sigma \tau v$ is an interpolation, is highly probable. It was quite needless to add the name after the descriptive epithet, while it was just such an addition as a metrist would make in his desire to fill up an anapaestic monometer. This habit, as remarked on Pers. 547, has been a fruitful source of corruption. The use of $\tau \epsilon$ in connecting mere epithets is not according to the general Greek usage,
though we have $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{d} \lambda a s$ d $\gamma \gamma a \theta a ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon$ Pers. 848, тритббтоубоу єйтот $\mu$ д $\tau \in$ Ag. 237.
 sufferings of a man and king' (Peile), but


 in the next line is exegetical.
1063. \#) $\mu$ doov. Because time alone will prove whether this daring act of Orestes will be the deliverance or the destruction of the family. Compare 846-50.

 Theb. 145. - $\mu \in \tau \alpha \kappa \circ \mu \iota \sigma \theta$ è $\nu$ seems to mean, 'enjoying an interval of repose,' i. e. reposing after the troubles of the family. - Where will the force of calamity end, after the present calm?' A similar compound is $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \gamma \epsilon i v$ Suppl. 400, where see the note.

## EヘMENI $\boldsymbol{C}$ E $\mathbf{\Sigma}$.

## $\Upsilon \Pi O \Theta E \Sigma I \Sigma$

## $T \Omega N E Y M E N I \Delta \Omega N$.






## EUMENIDES.

Orestes, who at the end of the preceding play (1051), had rushed from the stage in a frenzy of excitement, to seek refuge and expiation from his patron-god Apollo at Delphi, appears at the opening of this as a suppliant in the temple, where he is first discovered, along with the strange and dread train of pursuing Furies, by the Pythoness on her entrance to utter oracles at the prophetic seat. Apollo presents himself to the way-worn matricide, and guarantees protection to the end of his troubles, advising him to resort at once to the ancient statue of Pallas in the Acropolis at Athens. Accordingly, a considerable lapse of time being supposed to intervene, the scene shifts to the latter place, and subsequently to the hill of Mars, where a formal trial is held before Pallas, as the presiding genius, and a select jury of the citizens. The result is the acquittal of the culprit, who pleads his own cause, and is cross-questioned by the prosecuting Furies, Apollo himself coming forward as an interpreter of the law and a witness in his behalf. The votes of the jury prove to be equal; but Athena has promised the casting vote in his favour, and ordains that the privilege of acquittal under the like circumstances shall continue to all time.

This play has both a political and a moral import, which Müller has, on the whole, satisfactorily developed in his well-known Dissertations on the Eumenides. The object of the poet, in assigning a divine origin to the court of the Areopagus, was to declare its importance, through the mouth of the goddess of wisdom herself, to the welfare of Athens. About this time that ancient and highly influential court had been assailed by the democratic party, and directly endangered by a measure of Ephialtes, who proposed in the public assembly to curtail its privileges, and especially (as Müller maintains ${ }^{1}$ ) that of jurisdiction in cases of homicide. Aeschylus therefore endea-

[^10]voured in the present play to inculcate respect for this, as well as, indirectly, for all other time-honoured state-institutions which tended to preserve order and check unbridled licence. "Hence," Müller observes, " the poet seems almost to forget Orestes in the establishment of the Areopagus and the religion of the Erinyes,-two institutions which Aeschylus deems closely connected and alike momentous to the welfare of the community, as in fact they were." The religion of the Erinyes was the religion of Conscience. He labours to shew, that laws are useless unless fear of divine vengeance is in men's minds as a motive to obedience. And while, on the one hand, the cultus of the Erinyes is the recognition of a supernatural power ever ready to visit crimes with vengeance, on the other hand that very cultus is to be a means of converting malignant Chthonian Beings into beneficent and well-disposed goddesses (Eivecvî́ss), who shall, by virtue of their original office as $\chi$ Өóvat, send abundant blessings from the fruits of the earth.

The number of the chorus was, according to Müller and the Schol. on $\nabla .555$, fifteen. The parode, which does not occur till v. 297, is, as it were, postponed from the necessity of the case; for the chorus first appear on the stage, as in the Delphic temple, and it is not till the scene has shifted to Athens that they are enabled to lay aside their character of mere pursuers, and take up a regular and staid position in the orchestra ( $\chi$ ooòv ä $\pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, v. 297), while Orestes abides under the immediate protection of the statue of Pallas, and so is beyond their grasp.

## та TOT $\triangle$ PAMATOさ ПРОさЛПA．

ПYツIAS ПРОФHTIS．
ATHOA $\triangle \Omega$ ．
OPE
KAYTAIMNHETPAS EIARAON．
XOPOS EYMENID $\Omega$ ．
A $\Theta$ HNA．
ПРОПОМПОІ．

## EMMENIDE


#### Abstract

Пreias.     $\lambda a ́ \chi \epsilon \iota, \theta \in \lambda o u ́ \sigma \eta s$, oủ $\delta \grave{\text { è }} \pi \rho o ̀ s$ ßíà $\tau \iota \nu o ̀ s$,


1. $\theta \in \omega \hat{\omega}$. 'Of the gods,' viz. out of the whole number of them. The genitive might, indeed, depend on $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \dot{v} \omega$, (Schol. $\pi \rho o \tau \tau \mu \hat{\omega}$, and so Photius), as we
 620. 'In the first place, I offer my prayer to those ancient and primeval gods who have occupied the prophetic seat before me; and I reckon first amongst them her that was the first prophetess,
 after the prayer I proceed to take my seat.' Thus єv̉x $\hat{\eta} \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \dot{v} \omega$ exacily an-
 -The Eartb, according to a very early mythology, was the first giver of oracles, either because the mephitic vapour which was supposed to produce inspiration arose from it, or because to it were attributed generally those occult influences which affected the destinies of human life. Her successor was Themis, the goddess of law and justice; for oracles were the primitive rules by which the conduct of kings and of states was directed, and to which reference was made in cases of theft or murder, Herod. ii. 174. Hence Earth herself is said $\theta_{\epsilon \mu, \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon t \nu, ~ H o m . ~ H y m n . ~}^{\text {, }}$ Apoll. 253. See Strabo, ix. p. 422, who quotes the opinion of Ephorus, that Apollo founded the oracle in conjunction with Themis, from a desire to benefit the
human race; for that he civilised man-
 évoф $\rho \dot{\delta} \nu \iota(\epsilon)$ by declaring to them what was to be done and what to be left alone.
2. 方 $\delta \dot{\eta}$. The $\delta \eta$, as Müller remarks (Dissert. p. 185, note), has a sense which materially affects the whole passage. The object of Aeschylus was to shew that no violence nor injustice had been committed in the transfer of the Pythian oracle to its successive possessors, whereas Pindar had represented a bitter conflict and strife to have taken place between Apollo and Earth (Schol. on v. 2),-a legend which Euripides also has in view in saying (Iph. Taur. 1260) that Apollo dislodged Themis, daughter of Earth, from the sacred oracle. Now the particle $\delta i$ implies that, as a matter of course, the daughter succeeded to the mother; and the peculiar stress on
 like manner shews that Themis was succeeded by her sister Phoebe, mother of Latona and grandmother of Phoebus Apollo, with perfect good will on the part of the former. Compare ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \delta \hat{j} \tau \alpha$ inf. 377 . -All these goddesses, Earth, Themis, Phoebe, belonged to the older or Titanian powers. Hes. Theog. 117. 135-6. So we have Tirapls ©épus as the mother of Prometheus, Prom. 893.






 $\kappa є \lambda \epsilon v \theta \circ \pi о \circ o i ̀ \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \epsilon s$ 'H申аícтov, $\chi$ Oóva
3. $\delta \delta \delta \omega \sigma_{i} \delta^{\prime} \eta$. This use of $\hat{\eta}$, which is in fact the Homeric use of the article,



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Alcest. 264. There were two forms of the demonstrative pronoun even in Homer's time, ofs, $\hat{\eta}$, and $\delta, \dot{\eta}$, the latter of which was more commonly used in the oblique cases. Here Hermann and Porson read $\delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$, though it is hard to say why the relative is to be preferred.-
 Here again the word $\delta \delta \sigma c \nu$ is intended to answer the statement of those who taught that possession had been gained by violence. The allusion is to the old Athenian custom of carrying the infant on the ninth day after birth round the hearth, on which occasion presents called òттирьa were offered by the friends, the festival being termed $\dot{a} \mu \phi \iota \delta \rho \delta \mu t a$ from the symbolical act done on the occasion. On the same day the name was given to the child, usually after the grandfather. In this case Phoebus was named from Phoebe his grandmother; hence the male name is $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \mu \nu \nu$, or slightly changed from the female. Perhaps, however, as $\pi \alpha \rho^{2} \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a v$ means 'every other day,' so 8vo $\mu \alpha$ тapdivuov may properly have signified 'a name taken from a person once removed.' The Scholiast represents Phoebe as sister of Latona.
4. $\lambda\{\mu \nu \eta \nu$. This was the far-famed circular (or rather annular) lake in Delos, called by Herod. ii. 170, $\frac{\eta}{\eta} \tau \rho o \chi o \epsilon(\delta \eta s$, and by Eurip. Iph. Taur. 1078, $\lambda$ iuvav $\epsilon i \lambda\left\{\sigma \sigma o v \sigma a \nu \psi \delta \delta \omega \rho \kappa \delta_{\kappa} \kappa \iota o \nu\right.$. It appears to have been the crater-lake of an extinct volcano, the centre of which was still partially filled by a cone of ashes.$\Delta \eta \lambda l a \nu$ you $\alpha \delta a$, the rocky isle of Delos, रoipds being any low reef rising above the sea-level. Cf. Pers. 423. New Cratylus,
p. 362. Euripides, probably copying the
 89. Apollo is represented as learing the bare and scanty soil of his native isle to take possession of his new dignity ; and, touching at the coast of Attica in his route, to have been escorted to Delphi by Athenian pioneers, who opened for him the sacred road afterwards used for the annual processions ( $\theta$ cwpíaı). Schol. रapt-



5. $\pi$ aîies 'Hфaiбtov. The Athenians as descended from Erichthonius, son of Hephaestus. Pausan. i. cap. ii. fin. $\pi a-$

 $\Gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$. At the same time, Athenian artificers are especially meant, though $\sigma \epsilon \beta l$ Soualy shews that they are not exclusively so. In this relation 'sons of Hephaestus' may be merely figurative, as $\pi \alpha i \bar{s}$ ' $A \pi \delta \lambda$ $\lambda \omega \nu o s$ is used for a physician, Suppl. 259.
 This verb was originally applied to cutting roads through unoccupied forest-lands, as is well explained in New Cratylus, § 150. Varronianus, p. 268, ed. 2. Cf. Herod.
 Fur. 20, $\bar{\xi} \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma a, ~ \gamma \alpha a \hat{a} \nu . ~ P i n d . ~ I s t h . ~$
 Afterwards, the adjective ${ }^{7} \mu \epsilon \rho o s$ was applied to the fruits and trees of cultivated soil, as opposed to drypos. On the sacred road from Athens to Delphi see Müller, Dor. i. p. 267 seqq. Herod. vi. 34, $\hat{\eta}$ ip $\eta$



 Oी ठè $\pi a \rho a \gamma \in \nu \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ каl $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o \pi \rho \in \pi \omega ̂ S$




 $\mu о \lambda o ́ v \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ av̉тòv ка́pта тı $\mu \alpha \lambda \phi \in \hat{\imath} \lambda \epsilon \grave{\omega} \varsigma$ ，




 $\Pi a \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} s \pi \rho o \nu a i a \delta^{\prime}$ є́v $\lambda o ́ \gamma o u s ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \tau \alpha l$ ． $\sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \omega \delta \epsilon ̀ \nu v ́ \mu \phi a \varsigma, \epsilon_{\epsilon} \nu \theta \alpha K \omega \rho v \kappa \grave{\varsigma} \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \rho a$ коí̀ $\eta$ ，фíגo $\nu \nu \iota, \delta a \iota \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu \dot{a} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta^{\circ}$

几окр⿳亠二口丿 т $\bar{\omega} \nu$＇ $\mathrm{E} \sigma \pi \in \rho / \omega \nu$ ．Strabo，lib．ix．





 been kept up commemorative of the ori－
 $\pi \omega \sigma t \nu$ eis $\Delta \in \lambda \phi$ oùs $\theta \in \omega \rho l \delta \alpha, \pi \rho \circ$ ép $\chi$ оутаı
 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$.

16．$\Delta \in \lambda \phi \delta{ }^{\prime}$ ．The hero－king or Eponym of the Delphians，commonly reputed the son of Poseidon，who was one of the gods worshipped at Delphi，inf． 27.

17．ктioas，i．e．motnбas，but the word in Aeschylus always has the notion of per－ manent and substantial effect．－＂$\because \nu \theta \in o \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta s$ idem est quod $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ өєlas $\tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta$ ． $\phi \rho_{\text {én a a a }}$ autem est accusativus，quem dicunt， remotioris objecti，abundanter fere ad－
 фрє́vas．＂Minckwitz．

18．тétaptov $\tau \delta \nu \delta \epsilon$ ．＇The fourth and present prophet．＇

19．трофйт $\bar{\prime}$ ．Interpres．The oracles are delivered by Apollo，but they emanate from Zeus．Cf．Frag．＇Iépeial 79．Dind． inf．586－8．
21．Ha $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} s$ т $\rho o v a i l a . ~ H e r o d o t u s ~ m e n-~$ tions the temple of this goddess，Пa $\lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \delta o s$ $\pi \rho o \nu \eta \eta^{\prime \prime} \eta s \tau \hat{\eta} s$ èv $\Delta \in \lambda \phi o i \pi t$ ，i． 02 ，and again viii． 37 ，nor is the title to be confounded with $\Pi a \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} s$ П $\Pi$ boora，the goddess of fore－ thought，as she was worshipped at Sunium．
 refers to a Delphic inscription，TAIA＠A－ NAITAIIPONAIAI，which sets the ques－ tion at rest．But in changing ét $\lambda$ dóoots
to $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda \delta \gamma \omega s$ ，he has not sufficiently noticed the antithesis between＇prayers＇to the elder divinities，who directly preside over the oracle，and＇honourable mention＇of those other deities whose worship was more or less connected with that of Apollo at Delphi．－$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \dot{\prime} \in \tau a t$ ，cf．v． 1.
22．Kapukls $\pi$ ét $\rho a$ кolin $\eta$ ．A grotto in Parnassus had this name，Herod．viii． 36.
 Пар $\alpha a \sigma \sigma \hat{\varphi}$. Strabo，ix．p．417，${ }^{i} \in \rho \sigma \pi \rho \in \pi \grave{\jmath} s$



 Kıлıкіч．Hermann prefers à $\nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho о ф$ al with MSS．Flor．，Ven．，Farn．，the Med． giving à $\nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho o ф \grave{a}$, the Schol．${ }^{2} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \rho o ф \emptyset$ ． The plural $\overrightarrow{e \pi t \sigma \tau \rho o \phi a l}$ is used Theb． 645. Inf．518．The word is a synonym with弓̆ $\theta \eta$ ，＇haunts．＇
 Cf．Suppl．266，$\mu \nu \nsim \mu \eta \nu \pi о \tau^{3}$ д̀ $\nu \tau\{\mu \sigma \neq \sigma \nu$ eípeq ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \lambda u \tau \alpha i s$ ．These three lines are parenthetical as far as the construction is concerned；－＇Nor must I forget Bro－ mius（Bacchus），who has held the place ever since he headed his Bacchanalian women and planned a death for Pentheus by tearing him to pieces like a hare．＇ The cultus of the Hellenic Apollo is inti－ mately connected with that of the Pelasgic Bacchus，and the poet is wrong in attri－ buting it in this case to the accident which befel Pentheus．But it is to be remarked that he seems to speak of Parnassus as the scene of it，not Cithaeron，as Euripides describes it，and as Aeschylus himself did in the छdiviptat（Schol．）．In the Med．$\delta^{\circ}$ is wanting after Bрómios，on which slight evidence Hermann marks a lacuna．

#  


 $\kappa a \lambda o v ̂ \sigma a, \kappa a i ̀ ~ T \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota o \nu ~ v ̌ \psi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \Delta i ́ a . ~$
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \nu \hat{v} \nu \tau v \chi \epsilon i ̀ \nu \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho i ̀ \nu \epsilon i \sigma o ́ \delta \omega \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \hat{\varrho} \hat{1}$




27. ח़ौetбтov. The old copies have חлeiotous, whence the common reading חлelovav. But the accent has been corrected by later critics from Etym. Mag. p. 676. 5. Apolionius Rhodius mentions
 Strabo, ix. cap. iii. p. 418, трофєєєта. $\overline{\delta \epsilon}$


 тотaubs. Both Zeus and Poseidon were worshipped at Delphi, the latter as the Consummator (Ag. 946), and supreme
 though Müller (Dissert. p. 51) thinks this last epithet refers to Zè̀s $\Lambda \nu \kappa \omega \rho a i o s$, who dwelt supreme on the mountain-top of Parnassus. There was an old legend that Poseidon had exchanged Pytho with Apollo for Taenarus, Strabo, viii. p. 574, quoted by Hermann, who also refers to


 $\delta$ ढ̂vos.
 in v . 1.
30. тuхєì apıfta. 'To obtain the most favourable responses which have ever been vouchsafed me.
31. $\pi a p^{3}$. Hermann gives $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}{ }^{3}$, i. e. $\pi \alpha \rho \in \iota \sigma \iota$. The preposition sometimes, though rarely, represents the plural verb, as Med. 442. Acharn. 862, 1091 ; and still more rarely is the a elided.- $\pi \dot{d} \lambda \omega$ $\lambda a \chi$ butes, having decided by drawing lots the order of succession in which the envoys from the various states should be admitted. The Hellenic people seem to have had the privilege before foreigners, but were themselves bound to draw lots
for precedence in consulting the oracle. For it was only on stated days that responses could be obtained. Eur. Ion 418,



 $\mu a \tau a$.
And in allusion to this custom Apollo is said $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{\nu}$ ỏ $\mu \phi$ àv in $\mathbf{v}$. 908 of the same play. Plutarch, Quaest. Graec. ix., ỏ $\psi \grave{1}$

 $\hat{\eta}$ Пuөía тồ ėviautov̂ катd тab̃ $\eta \nu \tau \eta \nu$ $\eta_{\eta \mu}^{\prime} \rho a v$, viz. the seventh of the Delphic month Bysius.
33. $\dot{\omega} s$ あ $\nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\eta} \tau a l ~ \theta \in o ́ s$. "As the god leads me,' i. e. according to no caprice or arbitrary will of my own. As she was supposed not to know the circumstances of each applicant, the god only could make her answers respectively suit the persons admitted according to the above rule.-After this verse there is a pause. The priestess then returns with a terrified look from the adytum of the temple. She had spoken the prologue, according to Müller's theory, in the orchestra, representing the aủhy or outer court of the Pythian temple. The temple itself he supposes to have been the whole stage, and that this was concealed from the spectators by a curtain till after the prologue. But it seems, on the whole, at least as probable that the Pythoness was on the stage, the interior of the temple, of which the spectators only obtain a glimpse sufficient to excite further curiosity as to the garb of the Furies, being within the central doorway of the proscenium.
 $\tau \rho \in ́ \chi \omega$ ठє̀ $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i \nu$, ov $\pi о \delta \omega \kappa i ́ a ́ q \kappa \epsilon \lambda \omega \bar{\nu}$.






 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \mu a \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} . \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \rho a \nu \omega \hat{\varsigma} \stackrel{B}{\kappa} \rho \hat{\omega}$.
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \in \nu$ ס̀̀ $\tau$ ảv $\delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̂ \delta \epsilon ~ \theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \lambda o ́ X o s . ~$

36. $\sigma \omega \kappa \in \hat{\nu}$, ' to have power,' $\operatorname{l} \sigma \chi \dot{\psi} \in i \nu$, Soph. El. 119.- $\hat{\alpha}_{\kappa \tau \alpha l \nu \in L \nu, ~ \gamma a u p t a ̂ ̀ \nu ~ r a l ~}^{l}$ $\dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \mathrm{c} \pi \eta \delta \bar{\alpha} \nu$, Schol. and so Timaeus in his Lexicon, on which see Ruhnken's note. Homer has a cognate form, rotiss $\delta$ ย́тєриктаі้оуто, Od. ххiii. 3, i. e. ' moved nimbly along.'- $\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \nu$, for $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{d} \sigma t \nu$, has been adopted by most recent editors from a var. lect. in the Med. The verb refers rather to the ability of moving than of standing firmly.
37. $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \omega$ бé $\chi \in \rho \sigma\{\nu$. Not, as the Schol. absurdly supposes, that she came out of the temple on all fours, but that she supported herself by her hands, from infirmity of her terror-stricken limbs.

 is as a mere child.' The word is properly applied to one midway between a child and a grown-up person. Soph. frag. 148. Eur. Androm. 326. Lucian, "E ${ }^{2} \omega \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$,



40. $\theta_{\in \rho \mu \nu \sigma \bar{\eta} \text {. Probably pronounced as }}$ a trisyllable, like $\theta$ fopaves Theb. 650.$\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{3} \dot{\partial} \mu \phi \alpha \lambda \hat{\varphi}$, at the altar of the adytum, supposed to stand in the exact centre of the earth. Pausanias, x. 16, 3, mentions











 $\tau o \hat{\mu} \mu \dot{\theta} \theta o u$. - The $\mu \hat{\in} \nu$ in this verse is answered by $\delta \xi$ in $\mathbf{v .} 46$.
41. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho \sigma$ баноע. 'A suppliant for expiation.' Infra, v. 168, 228, 423, the word means 'blood-guilty.' See Müller, Dissert. p. 105, who shews that both senses descend from the primary notion of $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \in \epsilon \theta a \iota$, 'to resort to another as a suppliant for reception.' In the sense which it here bears it occurs $\nabla .225$.

 $\mu \in \nu \omega s$ ठєض̆бєt наӨápбєшs.
42. vєoбтaס'́s. She infers that the sword was newly drawn, i. e. recently used, from seeing Orestes' hands dripping with gore. Cf. Ag. 1322. "Orestes is supposed to have betaken himself to his place of refuge so quickly, as not to have yet relinquished his weapon." Drake.
44. $\lambda \eta \nu \in \iota \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma / \sigma \tau \omega$. The epithet is rather tame, but not sufficiently so to justify Hermann's $\mu \in \gamma!\sigma \tau o \sigma \omega \phi \rho 6 y \omega s$. The tufts of wool, or rather the pendent fillets (Suppl. 22. 641), were of ample size, as is known from ancient sculptures. May we not infer from the present passage that the earnestness of the appeal was denoted by the more than usually long festoons on the boughs? Any how, there is something in Linwood's remark, that $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \bar{\delta} \in \gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ $\tau \rho a \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$ दُ $\rho \hat{\omega}$ is added as a reason for describing these details so minutely.
46. $\lambda 6$ रos. See on Theb. 106.
ov̈тoı $\gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa \alpha s, a ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ Top ${ }^{\circ}$ óvas $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$.




$\dot{\rho \in ́} \gamma к о v \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\pi \lambda a \tau \circ i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \phi v \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu \cdot$
є̇є $\delta^{\circ}$ о̉ $\mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon i ́ \beta o v \sigma \iota ~ \delta v \sigma \phi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda i ̂ \beta a$.
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa o ́ \sigma \mu o s ~ o v ้ \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma a ́ \lambda \mu a \tau \alpha$


 $\tau \rho \in ́ \phi o v \sigma$ ' ả $\nu a \tau i . \mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau \in ́ \nu \epsilon เ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \nu o v . ~$ $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu \stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \delta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta$ ठó $\mu \omega \nu$
49. Topyeiotaty vúmots. "The Gorgonimages were among the very earliest works of Grecian, especially of Athenian art, which can be traced as far back as the age of Cyclopian workmanship." (Müller, Dissert. p. 188, ed. 2.) Both the Gorgons and the Furies are represented with snaky locks; cf. Prom. 818. Cho. 1037; indeed, Müller is of opinion that Aeschylus borrowed the idea of so describing the latter from the much earlier works of art he had seen of the former.-After this verse Hermann and Franz mark a lacuna. Linwood, Dindorf, Donaldson, and Minckwitz, all concur in the opinion that the passage has been mutilated. But the truth seems to be, that in describing a well-known picture, sufficiently identified by the mention of Phineus, the poet thought it needless to specify $\tau$ dेs 'Aprutas. Nor does he scruple to omit the article with the participle where strict usage requires its addition; see Cho. 353. Pers. 247. We may simply translate, 'I have seen before now in a picture (female forms) carrying off the dinner of Phineus; but these (Furies) are wingless, and black, altogether disgusting in their manner.' Thus the implied antithesis is that the Harpies had wings, the Furies had none, being huntresses or hounds ( $\kappa \dot{v} \nu \in s$ ) who track their prey by the scent. For the story of Phineus see Apoll. Rhod. ii. 178

 $\kappa \in \lambda a, \nu a l$ Eur. El. 1345. But Aeschylus does not go further than to give them a
black garb, inf. 332. 353.
53. oủ $\pi \lambda a \tau$ oíct, ' unapproachable.' Their breath was too deadly, or the noise of their snoring too terrible to allow of a closer inspection. The common reading, oủ $\pi \lambda a \sigma \tau 0 i \sigma t$, is retained by Hermann; but there is little sense in "non fictis flatibus," and the forms are often confused; see on Prom. 915. Elmsley's correction has been admitted by Franz, Dind., Linwood. We learn from v. 132-3 that the Furies' breath was fiery and bloody. It was also venomous, inf. 752, \&cc. - $\lambda i \beta a$. So G. Burges for Biav or סía. Thus pía and סía are confused Cho. 643. Ibid. 1047, кà ${ }^{\text {ón }} \mu \mu \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu$ $\sigma \tau d-$
 that there is a notion of libations which are unacceptable to the Olympian gods, just as in the next line there is an allasion to the practice of vesting the old statue of Pallas ( $\tau \dot{d} \beta \rho \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha s$ ) in the peplus. See Athens and Attica, p. 126.
57. тो фи̂лov. 'I have seen not the tribe to which this company belongs, nor know I what land can aver that rearing such a race without harm, it has not to repent of its pains.'- $\pi 6 \nu 0 y$ for $\pi \delta y$ yov has been generally received by critics from Arnaldus. The genitive might indeed stand, if $\mu \in \tau a \sigma \tau \in \downarrow \in \in \nu$ be taken strictly in the neuter sense. Compare $\mu \in \tau a \lambda \gamma \in i v$, Suppl. 400.
60. $\tau \dot{d} \nu \nu \tau \in \hat{v} \theta \in \nu \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\partial} \eta$. How to eject them from the temple, and purify it afterwards, she knows not, and therefore leaves the god to do for himself what he is in the
$\alpha \tilde{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \theta \theta \omega$ Иo
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \iota s ~ \delta \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ к а \theta \alpha ́ \rho \sigma \sigma \iota s . ~$ AПOAMSN.




 रpaîal, $\pi \alpha \lambda a \_a i ̀ ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta € \varsigma, ~ a i ̂ s ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu i ́ \gamma \nu v \tau a \iota ~$


 $\mu \iota \sigma \eta \eta^{\mu} \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ каì $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega}{ }^{\prime} O \lambda \nu \mu \pi i \omega \nu$.

habit of doing for others. "As Iatromantis and portent-seer he can account for the presence of these terrific beings and do away the curse which evoked them; as Katharsios he can remove the pollution they have occasioned," Müller, Dissert. p. 127. With these words she retires, and Apollo himself comes forward with Hermes (90), advising Orestes to take advantage of the slumber of his pursuers, and fly to Athens, where he and his sister Pallas will devise means to rid him of his troubles. He must not give in from timidly brooding over the toil in store for him (v. 78), for he is warned before hand that they will chase him over land and sea till he finds an asylum in the Athenian Acropolis. Hermann contends against Müller and the Scholiast, that the forms of the Furies are not yet visible to the spectators, whose attention is at present occupied wholly with the fortunes of Orestes. In fact, it seems most probable that they are first seen, though indistinctly, in the act of waking from sleep at v. 135. By $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ in $\nabla .67$ it is enough to suppose they were pointed to.
65. каl $\pi p \sigma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega \delta^{\circ}$. See on Prom. 994. Hermann gives, after Blomfield, кal т $\rho \sigma \sigma \omega$ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$, 'aye, and when absent from you too,' i. e. when you are far away from Delphi. - $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \nu$, 'mild,' mitis, Ag. 1336.
67. ánoúvas. Caught, nnable to stir. The capturers are now themselves cap-
tured, and Orestes is free to fly. To supply $\forall \pi \nu \omega$ is needlessly to involve the passage. The next verse is either corrupt, or, as Hermann plausibly supposes, a line has been lost after it, like $\frac{\epsilon}{\nu} \nu \tau 0 i ̈ \sigma \delta \epsilon$ тoîs $\theta \rho \delta \nu 0 \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ à $\sigma \theta \in \nu \in i ́ s ~ \pi a ́ p a . ~ H e ~ a l s o ~$ suggests that the poet may have written
 One might conjecture $\kappa \delta \pi \nLeftarrow \delta^{\circ}$ or $\pi \delta \nu \varphi \delta^{2}$ $\dot{\varphi} \pi \nu \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma v \sigma^{\prime}$, as inf. 119. Regarded as a mere anacoluthon, $\pi \in \sigma o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a$ is liable to this difficulty, that the poet must have forgotten not only the construction, but what he intended to say about the inability of the Furies to pursue.
69. ou $\mu$ iरvutal. The verb is here used in an ambiguous sense, of converse either sexual or social, just as Homer says of Calypso, Od. vii. 247, où $\delta \in ́ \in \tau s$ aùtĥ
 In the latter sense Plato has $\theta \in \dot{d} s \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \notin$ oủ $\mu$ lүvvial, Symp. p. 203, init. Cf. Hom. Hymn. ad Cer. 355.
71. какผิ้ Е̇кат $\frac{\text {. 'Their very origin is }}{}$ through evil,' i. e. if there were no crimes to punish there would be no avenging Erinyes.- ${ }_{\mathbf{\epsilon}}^{\boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \ell l}$, as you may further infer from the fact that, \&c.
74. $\delta \mu \omega s$ sé. Though they are now asleep, and powerless to pursue, nevertheless you must persevere in your flight, for they are sure to overtake you.-каl $\delta t^{2}$ ${ }^{3} \pi$ eipou, 'even through the long continent' of Europe. For Orestes is supposed



 $\pi o ́ \nu o \nu \cdot \mu о \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu$ סè $\Pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \delta o s ~ \pi o \tau i ̀ ~ \pi \tau o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~$

$\kappa \dot{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta \iota к а \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha a ̀ ̀ \epsilon \lambda \kappa \tau \eta \rho i ́ o v s$




OPEETHE．


to have visited many lands，and to have applied for purification at many places， before be makes his appearance at Athens （at v．226），as is clear from his address to Athena．The student must avoid con－
 i．e．taking $\kappa$ al $-\tau \epsilon$ as convertible with $\tau \varepsilon$－$\kappa \alpha i$.

76．$\beta_{\imath} \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \nu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \epsilon$ ．The MSS．give $\beta \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau^{2}$ or $\beta \epsilon \beta \delta \nu \tau^{\prime}$ मे $\nu$ к．т．入．$\quad \beta \iota \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau^{2}$ is the correction of Stephens．Cf．Hom．
 and ibid．225，$\beta_{\iota} \beta \underset{\text { ç．}}{\text { ．Pindar has койф }}$ $\beta \iota \beta \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ O1．14，24．Hermann compares， for the position of àà，Plat．Legg．viii．
 del tuvc Bía．Franz，Dind．，Linwood， read $\beta \in \beta \omega \hat{\omega} \tau^{2} \dot{\alpha} \nu^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \in \epsilon$ ，but it is difficult to see how a continued action can be ex－ pressed by a perfect participle．Müller conjectures à $\lambda a \tau \epsilon l$ ，Musgrave àv́á $\gamma \kappa \eta$ ，Dr． Donaldson $\dot{\alpha} \nu^{\prime}$ a $\sigma \tau \eta$ ．－On the feminine form $\pi \in \rho \iota \rho \rho u ́ \tau a s$ ，for which Dind．suggests $\pi є р \iota \rho \frac{́ t o v s, ~ s e e ~ P e r s . ~ 597 . ~ A ~ s i m i l a r ~}{\text { a }}$ periphrasis for＇islands＇is $\pi \delta \lambda \in t S$＇ $\mathrm{A} \chi \in-$ $\lambda \omega t \bar{\delta} \in s$, or＇water－settlements，＇Pers． 866.

78．тро́канцє．Do not faint or give in before your race is run．Compare v． 88. －Bovкодоч́ $\mu \in \nu$ оs，Schol．$\pi \in \rho เ \epsilon \in \pi \omega \nu$ ．Thus
 $\pi \dot{d} \theta 0$ os Ag．652．There can be little doubt the poet meant＇brooding over，＇＇being anxious about．＇Hermann takes it pas－ sively，and says，＂inest in hoc verbo diu frustrati laboris significatio．＂Linwood


cognate accusatives which do not defend ßочколо⿱亠乂口甘at $\pi \delta \nu o \nu$ ，＇to be driven like an ox through a toilsome route．＇

79．$\pi$ oti $\pi \tau \delta \lambda a y$ ．The Ionicism is re－ markable in a senarius．We have $\pi \rho \rho \pi t$ $\pi{ }^{6} \lambda_{1} \nu$ in a choric verse，Theb．336．－ $\alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha 6 \in \nu$ ，＇in your arms；＇see on Ag． 3.

 Il．axiii．711．By $\beta \rho \in \in ́ \tau a s$ the ancient wooden statue of Pallas is meant，as contradistinguished from the other two， on which see Athens and Attica，p． 125.



83．＇ंs $\tau \delta \pi \tilde{a} \nu,=\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \hat{a} \nu$ ，finally and effectually．Cf．Cho．671，ढ＇s $\tau \delta$ $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ à $\epsilon$ $\xi \in \mathfrak{v o v} . \quad$ Inf． 510.

84．є̈ $\pi \in \varepsilon \sigma \alpha$ ．＂Desideres fortasse pro－ nomen दे $\gamma \omega$ ：sed vim sententiae continet ëret me）suadente fecisti．＂Linwood．

 $\rho \in ́ \sigma \epsilon$ ．Suppl．753，фрбvet $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ ，ès tap－ $\beta o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha, \mu \hat{\eta} \hat{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu \nu \in \omega \bar{\nu}$ ．The Med．gives $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \delta \kappa \kappa \in i v$ and $\mu \eta$＇${ }^{\prime} \mu \in \lambda \epsilon i v$. Others adopt
 meaning is，＇Of your justice there is no question，and since you know what it is， learn also to practise it；for you have power to help，if the will be not wanting．＇ Some understand by $\tau \delta \mu \grave{\lambda} \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ the guiltlessness of Orestes who has acted under obedience；but this is to do some violence to the Greek．－фєр＇́ $\gamma \gamma{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ 391，＇competent．＇

AII.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma o, \mu \eta ̀ ~ \phi o ́ ß o s ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \nu \iota к \alpha ́ \tau \omega ~ ф \rho \in ́ v a s . ~$
$\sigma u ̀ ~ \delta ं, ~ a u ̉ \tau a ́ \delta € \lambda \phi o \nu ~ \alpha i ̂ \mu a ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ к o v \nu o ̂ ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s, ~$

$\pi о \mu \pi \alpha i ̂ o s ~ \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \iota, \tau o ́ v \delta \in \pi о \iota \mu \alpha i ́ \nu \omega \nu$ є́ $\mu$ ò $\nu$

 KATTAIMNHETPAE EIURAON.
 $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \gamma \grave{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \dot{\phi} \phi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tilde{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \eta \tau \iota \mu a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$

88. $\mu{ }^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \sigma o$, i. e. the injunctions (78) about not giving in through fear and anxiety.
 your name;' truly, or rightly, called the conductor from your office of conducting.
 Подvעєiкп $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$, where see the note. The meaning is, Do not belie your name of Conductor in the case of this man, my Suppliant.
 in respect the regard due to outlaws which comes to mortals from (by) the fortune of having a safe conduct.' This passage is difficult to translate; the sense appears to be, that a man is entitled to respect, even though a culprit and beyond the protection of ordinary laws, provided that he is convoyed or conducted by some one who has guaranteed to him safety and protection. For such was the sacredness attached to all the rights of hospitality in the heroic times, that it would have been held not only a dishonour but a kind of sacrilege to violate the plighted faith of a safe convoy, as far as the boundaries of the land, however heinous the crime of him to whom it had been extended. And Zeus himself is said to hold this $\sigma$ f́ $\beta a s$ in respect, since he was the especial patron of $\xi \in \notin \nu o \iota$ and inétac. By éкขbuшv we must understand, with Hermann, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \delta \mu \omega \nu$, as Suidas explains the word, viz. the lawless, or rather, those who are without the pale of the law from the commission of some crime. Schol.



can be no doubt that єÿтонтоs $\tau u ́ \chi \eta$ is here used generally, for any guidance or escort pledged to one man by another. The addition of $\beta$ poroi $\sigma I \nu$ perhaps implies that this privilege was granted to all mortals from the divine office of Hermes. -At this verse Orestes, escorted by Hermes, leaves the stage, as for Athens. Apollo retires within the temple. After a brief pause the ghost of Clytemnestra rises through a trap-door in the stage.
94. є Ưotr' $^{2} \nu \nu$. 'Sleep on,'-an ironical exhortation to go on doing what the next sentence declares to be useless, 'what need have I of you asleep ?' Similarly $\mu \nu \zeta_{\text {(oit }}{ }^{3}$ à inf. 117.
96. ©́s $\mu$ è $\nu$ éктavov. Herm., Franz, Dind., Linwood adopt ©
 reading $\hat{\omega} \nu$ in the second edition of this play, I did not sufficiently observe that this úmé $\hat{\omega} \nu$ of the Scholiast only means
 really points to $\dot{\omega} s$. The sense is, $\partial \nu \varepsilon \epsilon \delta o s$
 ceding é $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ is used because the poet in-
 construction is repeated in $\pi a \theta 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma a, \mathrm{v} . \mathbf{1 0 0}$. See inf. 455. Cho. 511. 1048. Suppl. 440. Translate: 'But I, thus slighted by you among (the) other dead, am upbraided continually among the shades with being myself a murderess, and I wander in disgrace,--while for having suffered such dreadful treatment from those dearest to me (my own son), none of the gods is angry in my behalf.' The degree of honour which a ghost had in Hades depended on the amount of honour, justice, or concern paid to it on earth (Cho. 143).

 Є้ $\chi \omega \mu \epsilon$ í $\sigma \tau \eta \nu$ aiтíà кєív $\omega \nu$ v゙ $\pi \sigma$.
$\pi \alpha \theta o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ ov̌т $\omega \delta \epsilon \tau \nu \alpha ̀ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \nu \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$,





 Хoás $\tau^{\prime}$ ảoívovs, $\nu \eta \phi \alpha{ }^{\lambda} \iota \alpha \mu \in \lambda i ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$,

Hence Clytemnestra complains that the remissness of the Furies, her avengers, brings discredit upon her below, and gives opportunity to the other shades to taunt her with what she has done, while at the same time they pay no heed to what she has suffered. By 'dishonoured among other dead' she means that she is so in comparison with others, who have not to complain of the like neglect.
103. $\delta \rho \bar{\alpha} \tau \epsilon-{ }^{8} \theta \in \nu$. The MSS. reading
 $\sigma_{\epsilon} \theta \in \nu$, but Ald., Turn. give кapòías, which has been commonly adopted, $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ às being taken for reproaches, as inf. 131. 150. 444. There can hardly be a doubt that Hermann has rightly changed $€$ into 0 . As for the plural, which I first gave in the second edition of this play, it is to be observed that Clytemnestra uniformly uses it in this $\rho \hat{\eta} \sigma$ ots in addressing the Furies; and it is obvious that the corruption of $\delta \theta \in \nu$ into $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \in \nu$ would have involved
 kapoías we may now understand literally the gory wound inflicted by Orestes; nor is it a serious objection that inf. 562 it is said to have been on the neck, since the sword may have been thrust downwards into the region of the heart. 'See,' she exclaims, 'this wound, whence it came,' i. e. ér $\chi \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \tau \rho о \kappa \tau \delta \nu \omega \nu . \quad$ Look at the blow a mother has received from her own son, and cease from your present apathy in her cause.
104. єüסovaa фрfy. Having used the word $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$, which could not in common propriety be addressed to persons asleep, she has recourse to a doctrine, which the Greeks seem to have received from Pythagoras, that the mind's eye sees clearer
in sleep, i. e. into matters of futurity, while ' in the day time the fate of mortals is unforeseen' (or perhaps, 'the lot of mortals is such that they cannot foresee'). Cf. Cho. 280, $\delta \rho \omega ิ \nu \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \delta \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \sigma \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \psi$ $\nu \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau^{2}$ ö $\phi p t y$. Cic. de Div. i. 39, ' cur autem deus dormientes nos moneat, vigilantes negligat ?' Ibid.i. 30, 'quum ergo est somno sevocatus animus a societate et contagione corporis, tum meminit praeteritorum, praesentia cernit, futura praevidet.' Now, if Clytemnestra bids the sleeping Furies see the actual wound, she must transfer this faculty from the mental to the bodily eye. But if she merely desires them to see by whom it was inflicted, this is a matter of intelligence only. Compare фр'́va $\grave{\omega \prime \mu} \mu \tau \omega \mu$ е́ $\nu \eta \nu$ Cho. 839. Hermann, Minckwitz, and Donaldson, give $\phi \rho \in \nu \bar{\omega} \nu$ for $\beta \rho \rho \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ in v. 105, from the
 $\dot{\eta} \mu$ ́́pq. But $\beta \rho о т \hat{\omega} \nu$, so far from being " ineptum," merely generalises the sentiment, so that what is true of mankind ordinarily is true à fortiori of supernatural beings.
106. Ė $\lambda \in$ 低ate. 'You have lapped.' She compares them to thirsty hounds; and Müller has appositely observed, "Aeschylus borrowed from the Gorgons, no doubt, the pendent tongue and grinning mouth, which regularly characterise the Gorgon-head in ancient works of art." On the 'wineless libations' offered to the Furies, see Oed. Col. 100. 481, and the Schol. there. The reason probably was, that wine infuriates, and leads to the commission of those very crimes which arouse the dread goddesses. Hence áolvous दُ $\mu \mu \nu \epsilon$ є̂s $\theta \nu \mu \dot{\mu} \mu a \sigma t$, inf. 975.

#  $\stackrel{7}{\epsilon} \theta v o \nu, ~ \ddot{\omega} \rho a \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ к о \iota \nu \eta े \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$. $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ 入̀̀ $\xi$ ó $\rho \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \tau о v ́ \mu \in \nu \alpha$. <br>      o้ $\nu a \rho \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ípâs $\nu v ิ \nu K \lambda \nu \tau \alpha \iota \mu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$. <br> XOPOE. 

## (Mvүнós.)



109. \&́pav. ' At an hour,'-an unusual accusative. Compare Ar. Ach. 23, ǎwpíav

 and Elmsley's note. To the Furies, as children of Night (inf. 760), sacrifices were offered in the midnight hour. $\lambda d \hat{\xi} \xi \pi \alpha \tau o v(\mu \in \nu \alpha$, despised and ungratefully slighted. Cho. 630, то̀ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ 日épis $\gamma$ àp où

112. kal taûta. 'And that too-.' The use is not very common with a finite verb. Cf. Ar. Vesp. 771, kal тav̂тa $\mu \in ́ v$

 New Cratylus, p. 264, compares it with каítol. Linwood needlessly understands

 sense is, 'he has not only escaped' (i.e. gone off to Athens while you were sleeping, sup. 93), 'but he has slipped out of the very middle of the toils, when you thought you had him fast, whereby he has greatly mocked and insulted you his pursuers.' On àp $\frac{1}{}$ Linwood and Franz defend the MSS.
 likely as a by-form of $\mathrm{a} \rho \kappa \kappa$.
 made faces at you,' from ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \in L \nu$ (Antig. 509), and $\ddagger \pi a$, 'to contort the countenance,' the $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ giving the same force as in




'Are you not aware how all are winking at me ?' Hence ì $\lambda \lambda$ oेs, 'squinting,' Ar. Thesm. 846.
114. $\dot{\omega}$. 'Hear me, since I have spoken about my very life.' This is not an English idiom; but the Greeks are


 $\pi \in \rho \ell \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$. Il. xxii. 161, ᄅ̀ $\lambda \lambda \grave{d} \pi \in \rho l$


 Orest. 847. Heracl. 984. Hel. 946, \&c. We say, 'about a matter of life and death,' or, 'a matter of vital importance.' She means that nothing less than 'to be or not to be' is the subject of her address.
115. $\phi p o \nu h \sigma a \tau$ ', 'Be conscious,' 'awake to consciousness.' It is only to sleepers that dreams or visions are wont to appear. Hence Clytemnestra appeals to them, not directly to awake, but to take heed even in their sleep, adding, 'For I am now as a dream calling upon you,' not as a real and substantial person, whose presence could ouly be felt by those awake. The doctrine involved is precisely the same as above, $\nabla .103-5$. If we translate, 'I call you in a dream,' as inf. 126, orvap
 call upon you even in your sleep.' It is more likely that she calls herself övap, i. e. that it is here the nominative, not the accusative case; and so Hermann takes it, with Schütz.
118. аѝк ${ }^{2} \mu$ oí. So Herm., Dind.,
x 0 .
(Mvy ${ }^{\text {ós. }}$ )


x 0. (' $\Omega \gamma \mu$ о́s.)
 $\tau i ́ \sigma о \iota \pi \dot{\pi} \pi \rho а к \tau a \iota ~ \pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu a \pi \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ ;$
XO.
(' $\Omega \gamma \mu$ ós.)


XO.

$\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \epsilon, \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \epsilon, \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \epsilon, \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \epsilon, \phi \rho \alpha ́ \zeta o v$.

 $\tau i ́ \delta \rho a ̂ s ; ~ a ̉ \nu i ́ \sigma \tau \omega, \mu \eta$ $\sigma \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa a ́ \tau \omega ~ \pi o ́ v o s, ~$





Franz, Linwood, for ò̀к ėuồs. The sense as explained by Müller (Diss. p. 126), who however retains ${ }^{e} \mu 0$ iss, is this, -- There are patron-gods of Suppliants for relations (i. e. for Orestes), though none for me.' Apollo, that is, can protect a matricide, but has no pity for the murdered mother.- $\phi$ í久ots, cf. $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \phi\llcorner\lambda \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$, v. 100. As àфiктшр is both suppliant and god of suppliants, Suppl. 1 and 237, so $\pi \rho o \sigma$ iкcw here and inf. 419.
 Cho. 717. 'What thing has been accomplished by you, except to do harm?' i. e. you have done me no good, but only mischief in allowing the culprit to escape.
 spirators." "Qui natura sua inter se conspirare solent." Minckwitz.
125. фpá̧ov. 'Mark him!’ Hitherto the sleeping Furies have responded to the reproaches of Clytemnestra by sounds imitative of the uneasy whining of hounds ( $\omega \gamma \mu \delta s$ and $\mu v \gamma \mu \delta s$, which are mere stage instructions, $\pi a \rho \in \pi i \gamma \rho a \phi a l$, as they stand in the text). But they now start in their slumbers, as if half conscious that some-
thing was wrong, and cry seize him! Of course, these few words are uttered in a tone to inspire a thrill of horror in the spectators.
126. by $\quad$ ap. 'In a dream.' There is no reality in this cry of $\lambda a^{\prime} \beta \in$,-it is only the working of a sleeping fancy. Photius:

 which never, even for the brief interval of repose, leaves of its eagerness for the chase. Aristot. Hist. An. iv. 10, init.,

 $\lambda o \hat{v} \sigma!\delta^{\prime}$ oi кúves $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ í $\lambda a \gamma \mu \varphi ̣$. Lucret. iv. 992, 'Venantumque canes in molli saepe quiete Jactant crura tamen subito, vocesque repente Mittunt, et crebras redducunt naribus auras, Ut vestigia si teneant inventa ferarum.'


132. т仑̂. Cf. v. 166, каl тду оѝк

 gives $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta^{\prime}$, an unnecessary alteration and a doubtful licence in Aeschylus. 'Rise!' exclaims the indigaant ghost, 'direct
> $\dot{\alpha} \tau \mu \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \chi \nu \alpha i ́ \nu о v \sigma \alpha, \nu \eta \delta \dot{v} \frac{}{} \pi v \rho i$, є̈тоv, $\mu a ́ \rho \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \delta є v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s ~ \delta \iota \omega ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$. XOPATO乏.

135
 i $\delta \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \theta^{3}$ єїть тоर̂ $\delta \epsilon$ фроцนiov $\mu a \tau \hat{\alpha}$.


 ${ }^{\circ} \phi є \rho \tau о \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa o ́ \nu$.
 $ข ँ \pi \nu \omega$ крат $\eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma^{\circ}$ ä $\gamma \rho \alpha \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha$.

$\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \alpha$ á.
 145 тòv iкє́таע $\sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \omega \nu$, ä $\theta \epsilon o \nu$ ä $\nu \delta \rho \alpha$ каì токєひैбเข тькро́ข.
 $\tau i ́ \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \iota \varsigma \delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \omega \varsigma{ }^{\epsilon} \notin \epsilon \iota \nu ;$
against him your gory breath! Wither him with the vapour from the fire of your vitals! Follow, and wear him out by a second chase!'
135. The leader of the band,-we can hardly yet say the Hegemon of the chorus, -now starts up, and rouses her next neighbour, who in turn is exhorted to awaken the one at her side. 'Do you rouse your neighbour here as I rouse you.' See on Suppl. 734. Blomfield's idea (Praef. ad Pers. p. xxiv.) that this passage proves the number of the chorus to have been three, is refuted by the ensuing ode, in which fourteen distinct sentences may be counted, (the first four lines of $\sigma \tau \rho$. and ${ }^{2} \nu \tau$. á making each two,) while the three introductory iambics are recited by the leader alone.
137. $\mu a \tau \hat{a}$, ' 'is vain,' ' is to no purpose,' Prom. 57. By $\phi$ poiflov she means the following ode, or 'opening song,' in which bitter reproaches are heaped upon Apollo for rescuing the culprit. Let us see, she says, whether we can induce him to give him up again to our hands. This proving unsuccessful, the parode ( 319 seqq.) takes the form of a $\bar{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu$ sos $\stackrel{\vartheta}{\mu}_{\mu \nu o s,}$ or 'binding
hymn,' in order to enchant and arrest the culprit himself.
138. $\pi \delta \pi a \xi$. An exclamation of vesa~ tion ( $\sigma \chi \in \tau \lambda(a \sigma \pi \tau \kappa \partial \nu)$, another form of which was $\pi \dot{v} \pi \pi \alpha \xi$ (Photius in $\nabla$.$) . Hence$ $\pi 0 \pi \pi \dot{\psi} \zeta_{\epsilon L \nu}$ and $\pi \nu \pi \pi \dot{\alpha} \zeta_{\epsilon L \nu}$, like $\omega \zeta_{\epsilon \epsilon \nu}$ from $\bar{\omega}$ (sup. 121), фєúselv from $\varphi \in \hat{u}$ (Ag. 1279).- $\mu d \dot{d} \eta \nu$, 'undeservedly,' Pers. 240.
142. ö̌ $\chi \in \tau a l \theta^{\text {a }}$ Herm., Dind., Donaldson; but see on Suppl. 15.
145. עéos - $\gamma$ paías. Apart from the mere antithesis, which is a favourite one with Aeschylus (Suppl. 355. Inf. 156. Cho. 163), there is a contrast drawn between the old Titanian or Chthonian divinities, and the newer dynasty of Olympian gods. $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \pi \pi d \sigma \omega$, ' you have ridden over,' a remarkable and rare word. Cf.



149. $\tau i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta$. Which of these two acts, viz. overriding and trampling under foot elder gods, and taking up the cause of a parricide, being yourself the god of all purity and goodness. Elsewhere $\tau\}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ is said of two alternatives, as Ag. 204. Cho. 330. 832.

#   $\mu \epsilon \sigma о \lambda a \beta \in \hat{\imath}$ кє́v $\tau \rho \varphi$ vítò фрévas, víò̀ 入oßóv. $\pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \mu a \sigma \tau i к \tau о \rho о s$ סatov $\delta a \mu i o v$  155 тoıâ̂тa $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\nu \epsilon \in \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̀$, кратоиิขтєऽ $\tau$ ò $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ Síкаs $\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ о \nu$ фого $\lambda_{\iota} \beta \hat{\eta} \theta \rho о ́ \mu \beta о \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \pi o ́ \delta a, \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ к \alpha ́ \rho a-$ $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \gamma$ âs ỏ $\mu \phi a \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \delta р а к є i ̂ \nu$ аi $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ <br>   $\sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$. $\mu \nu \chi o ̀ \nu$ є̈ $\chi \rho a \nu a s$ aủтó $\sigma \sigma v \tau o s$, aủтóк $\lambda \eta \tau о 5$, 


 $\pi \nu \nu^{\prime} \omega \nu$ Cho. 30. It is the genius of the Greek language to regard primarily the source from which an action proceeds.-$\mu \epsilon \sigma 0 \lambda \alpha \beta \in i \quad$ к $\epsilon$ ' $\nu \tau \rho \psi$, as with a goad grasped by the middle, so as to remain firmly in the hands. Cf. Theocr. xvi. 78, 茄 $\delta \eta$

 the liver, i. e. the vitals. Cho. 264, ä 1 as


154. пúpeat . 'There is present for me to feel (or perhaps, 'one may feel,' ${ }_{\xi}{ }_{\xi \in \in} \in \pi /$, cf. Cho. 412), the severe, the very severe chill (smart) of a hostile public executioner.' Mr. Drake compares the use of ${ }_{\epsilon}(\chi \in!\nu$ in Ag . 358. A figurative way of saying, 'I have suffered from the reproaches cast upon me through the conduct of Apollo, as much as if I had been scourged by the torturer's whip.'
157. кратоû̀tes тঠ тây. 'Having a power altogether beyond what is right.' The adverbial $\tau \grave{\pi} \pi \tilde{a} \ddot{v}$ is already familiar to the student of Aeschylus.
158. $\theta \rho \delta \mu \beta o v$. So Herm., Dind., Linwood, after Wakefield for $\theta \rho 6 \nu o \nu$. Franz gives $\theta_{\rho} \tilde{\nu} \nu 0 \nu$ after H. L. Ahrens. Hermann well observes, that $\pi \in \rho l \pi \delta \delta a, \pi \epsilon \rho l$
 above, and therefore that if the one concludes a sentence, the other must do the same. So also both are succeeded by $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \in \in \sigma \tau$ with an infinitive. Rather how-
ever than construe with him крatoûytes фоуолı $\beta \bar{\eta} \quad \theta \rho \delta \mu \beta o \nu$, sibi vindicantes caedis vestigia, it is better to mark an abrupt transition at $\kappa$ ápa. In fact, the words are taken up and finished by another speaker, who slightly changes and amplifies the sentence. The first intended to say, $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \sigma \tau!$ тробঠракєìv $\phi$. Өро́ $\mu \beta o \nu$, 'one may see a gory clot round the head and the foot (the top and the bottom) of the sacred altar.' The new speaker adds another accusative exegetically,-" Yes, one may indeed behold the central altar of the earth to have taken upon itself a terrible pollution of blood so as to have it.' All this is meant as a taunt to Apollo for protecting a murderer. It is noticeable that both strophe and antistrophe

162. $\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota s$ äv, So Schütz for $\mu a ́ \mu \tau \imath$ $\sigma \hat{\omega}$ or $\sigma \hat{\psi}$. Apollo, as a prophet, should have preserved his own shrine free from pollution, whereas he has now voluntarily defiled it by the touch of a murderer. Rather we should have looked for $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ oizos $\omega \nu$, 'being the god of brightuess and purity;' but under every attribute (sup. $62-3$ ) he was a god to whom any sort of defilement was odious, and the attribute of $\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \tau s$ is chosen on account of $\mu \nu \times \delta \nu$. Compare inf. 686, $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \alpha \delta^{2}$ ov̀k ${ }^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \tilde{a}^{2} \gamma \nu \grave{\alpha}$

 word implies a still severer taunt than before. The two ideas of $£ \kappa \kappa \grave{\omega} \nu$ and $a \not \approx \kappa \omega \nu$ had a peculiar significance to the Greek mind.

# $\pi a \rho \grave{~ \nu o ́ \mu o \nu ~} \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$ ß $\rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \alpha$ मè̀ $\tau i ́ \omega \nu$, $\pi a \lambda a \iota y \in \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ M o i ́ p a s ~ \phi \theta i \sigma a s . ~$     

165 $\stackrel{3}{\alpha} \nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$.

$\chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \tau^{\prime}, \dot{a} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu a \nu \tau \kappa \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \nu \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$.



 175 ойтоь $\delta o ́ \mu о \iota \sigma \iota ~ \tau о і ̈ \sigma \delta \epsilon ~ \chi р і ́ \mu \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$.
 ठíкal, $\sigma \phi a \gamma a i ̂ ~ \tau \epsilon, \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \tau o ́ s \tau^{\prime} a ̀ \pi o \phi \theta_{0} \rho \hat{\alpha}$

165. $\pi a \lambda a<\gamma \in \nu \epsilon$ ês, sup. 145.-Moipas, inf. 920, where they are called sisters of the Erinyes, whereas here they are identified. And in v. 694 they are spoken of by the chorus as if wholly unconnected with them. - $\phi$ ti $\sigma a s$, ' having set at nought,' ' having deprived of power.'
166. stà $\mu 0$ l $\gamma \in$. The $\gamma \epsilon$ is well suited to the words-of a new speaker, and is perhaps rightly given by Casaubon for kà̀uoí $\tau \epsilon$. Hermann has ${ }^{\text {én }} \mu \mathrm{i}$ it $\tau \epsilon$. The sense is 'Yes, and while he causes vezation to me, he shall not any the more deliver him (Orestes), and even when he has fled under the earth (even in Hades) be is no more free.' The common opinion was, that death brought an end of all troubles; but it was not to be so in this


168. тотıт $\delta$ бтatos, guilty, defiled with murder ; see on v. 41.— $\mu$ дáбторa, Suppl. 637 , where the peculiar force of $\vec{e} \nu \mathrm{k} \alpha \mathrm{p} \mathrm{q}$ is explained.- $\bar{\xi} \xi$ दuovi, 'after me,' is the correction of Scholefield, for Écelvov.
 Hermann, who formerly conjectured $\begin{aligned} & \text { ЄT } \\ & \text { IV }\end{aligned}$
 ék $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ éov, after H. L. Ahrens,-an ingenious reading, if there were authority, for the phrase in the sense of denuo. Who this new or further persecutor is, appears from v. 262.
170. Apollo, as if to vindicate the character given above by the Pytboness, v. 60 seqq., now returns from the interior of the temple to eject the loathsome intruders on his sanctuary. The tone of superior authority assumed by him is in accordance with the complaint of the Furies, v. 145.
 ed glistering serpent,' i. e. an arrow which inflicts a sting or wound like a serpent. A singularly figurative expression.- $\pi \tau \eta-$ $\nu \dot{\partial} \nu$, Schol. $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \delta \quad$ ध̇ $\pi \tau \in \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha l$. For the feathering of the arrow was called $\pi \tau \in-$ pwaa, as in the well-known fragment of the Myrmidones ( 123 Dind.).
176. $\delta \delta \mu 0 \iota \sigma \iota$ тоï $\delta \delta$. There is emphasis on тoíd $\delta$, 'these temples, where the god of all purity dwells.'
 should dwell in (or remove to) those places where tortures are inflicted, as being more suitable to your character as persecutors. There is probably an allusion to the cruelties inflicted by the Persians on their delinquents, one of which was deprivation of sight. See Stallbaum or Plat. Gorg. p. 473, c, and on Resp. x. p. 361, fin. Demosthenes speaks of one Aristocrates as $\tau \delta \nu$ тoùs ö $\phi \partial a \lambda \mu a \dot{s} s \delta_{1}-$
 occurs Rbes. 817. Cf. Pers. 373, $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma t \nu$

179. какоиิта؛ $\chi$ 入oûvis. 'And where

by the destruction of the seed（castration） the virility of boys is injured，＇i．e．Where they are cruelly mutilated to become eunuchs．The word $\chi$ रoūvis has given rise to much discussion．Even among the ancients the interpretation of $\chi$ 入oúv ${ }^{2} \sigma \bar{v} s$ Il．ix．539，was undecided．Aristotle （Hist．An．vi．28）explained it èктoцías， while others took it from $\chi \lambda 6 \eta$ and $\varepsilon \dot{v} v \eta$ ． We find $\chi \lambda 0{ }^{\text {o }} \nu \eta \mathrm{n}$ s in an obscure verse of the Edoni of Aeschylus，frag．62，from which no certain assistance can be derived． Were the authority of Aristotle less，one would say that every thing which we know about this word leads us to suspect that it originally bore exactly the contrary sense to éктoulas．For Homer is de－ scribing the huge and fierce Calydonian boar ；and it is notorious that this animal in its natural and entire state is much more savage than a катрд̀ е̇ктоцías．Now if $\chi \lambda o u ́ v \eta s$ really meant＇entire＇（ ${ }^{\prime} \rho \chi \in I S$ $\epsilon_{\chi}(\chi \omega), \chi \lambda o \hat{v} \nu$ ts would here mean the state of virility，either as a substantive or as an adjective agreeing with $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota k l a$ ．In truth，Aristotle＇s unscientific comment on this supposed castration deprives his opinion of the meaning of $\chi$ रoóvns of



 （with the MSS．）$\sigma \pi \epsilon^{\prime} \rho \mu a \tau \delta_{s} \tau^{\prime}$ a ${ }^{2} \pi \circ \phi \theta \circ \rho a l$ ， which he explains partus abactos；and in the next verse $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \chi \lambda 0 \hat{\nu} \nu L s, \vec{\eta} \delta^{\circ}$ àкршиía какой，where he thinks $\chi$ 入ойעıs may mean castration，and àкрwиla（as the Schol．and other grammarians explain it） ＇a collection，＇or concentration of evil． But in the first place the mention of abortion is totally out of place，the con－ text pointing wholly to cases of torture inflicted．Secondly，какоиิбӨat is a medical word，often used by Hippocrates of any damage done to the limbs or body．In the third place，though several gramma－ rians do explain àкрснıa by $\dot{\alpha}, \theta \rho o \iota \sigma \mu \grave{s}$ ， the word is not known to occur in any
other passage of an ancient author，and it is much more difficult to understand bow it could mean $\vec{a} \theta \rho o \sigma \sigma \mu \partial s$ ，than how it could mean＇mutilation＇（ $\kappa \kappa \rho о s$ ，see on Cho．431）．Now the Schol．on this word has éктоц̀̀ $\mu о \rho i \omega v$ ，and another Schol．
 word referring to $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \mu o l$ or $\lambda \in \nu \sigma \mu \partial \nu)$ ． Both these may be shewn to be erroneous．
 other found како仑̃ $\tau \in \chi^{\lambda}$ ои̃ขıs，a reading given in Ald．Turn．As the later gram－ marians compiled their lexicons in great measure from the scholia of the Alexan－ drine commentators，it is probable that this very какढ̈̀ ă $\theta \rho o t \sigma t s$ gave rise to the commonly received interpretation $\dot{\alpha} \theta$ pol－ $\sigma \mu$ ds．There seems scarcely a doubt that $\dot{\alpha} k \rho \omega \nu i a$ means the cutting off of nose， ears，fingers，\＆c．which was anciently adopted as a mark of ignominy and a means of punishment．Thus the scho－ lium which interprets $\chi$ גôvvis àkpovía by àкцаіа а̀токотŋ̀ seems at least partly right．

180．$\lambda \in v \sigma \mu \mathrm{l}$ t $\tau$ ．The MSS．give $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \mu \grave{\partial} \nu$ ，which Hermann retains，so as to depend on $\mu \dot{\prime}$ Sovatr．The＇moaning＇is peculiarly applicable to the horrible death by impaling；but $\mu \dot{v} \zeta_{\xi \in t \nu} \lambda \in v \sigma \mu \partial \nu$ is obvi－ ously a different idea from $\mu \dot{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \in \nu$ oikTi $\sigma$－ $\mu \delta \nu$ ．Compare à $\mu \pi \epsilon i p a s$ páxı R Rhes．514， and see Herod．iv． 72.

183．$\sigma \tau$ 白 $\rho \gamma \eta \theta \rho a$ ，cf．Cho．233．Prom． 500．＇Do you hear what kind of feast that is，from having a fondness for which you are detested by the gods？＇（ $\mu \mathrm{L} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \mu a \tau \alpha$
 your kind of form，i．e．your ugly shape． So Vulcan upbraids Robur with his ugli－ ness，Prom．78．－ív $\eta \gamma \epsilon i \tau a t$ ，＇suggests it，＇ ＇leads in that direction，＇viz．to the con－ clusion that you are thus cruel and blood－ thirsty，and for that reason fit inmates for a lion＇s den rather than a temple．

186．$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ iot $\sigma$ ．The Furies，as Her－ mann observes，though now turned out of the temple，were still supposed to be in the precinct（ $\alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda\rangle$ or $\tau \in \in \in \nu 0 s$ ），repre－


 av̉ròs $\sigma \grave{v} \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ov̉ $\mu \epsilon \tau a i ́ \tau \tau o s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon t$, 190


XO.

AII.

XO.







AП. $\tau i ́ \gamma a ́ \rho ; ~ \gamma v \nu \alpha \kappa o ̀ s ~ \eta ั \tau \iota \varsigma ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho \alpha ~ \nu о \sigma \phi i ́ \sigma \eta ;$

sented by the orchestra.- $\tau \rho^{\prime} \beta \in \sigma \theta \alpha t \mu\langle\sigma o s$,
 Prom. 337, and so Schol. $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho^{\prime} \beta \in \sigma \theta a t$. The verb is probably in the middle, ' not to inflict a pollution on,' \&c.
191. єîs. So Canter for cis. Elsewhere we have t's $\tau \dot{d} \pi \hat{a} \nu$ used for $\pi d \dot{\alpha} \nu$ or $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega s$, but eis is here peculiarly suited to the context.
194. $\tau \ell \mu$ hy; 'Why not?' See Ag. 655.-Tolvàs has here the primary sense noticed by Müller, of 'the price of blood.' - $\pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi a l$, as it were to convey it to him in Hades.
196. тробтратє́ $\sigma \theta \alpha$. Cf. Cho. 1028,
 '̇ф! $\epsilon \tau 0$. 'I acknowledge the charge,' replies the god, 'and also that it was I who enjoined him to take refuge in this temple.' -' And then forsooth you reproach those who conducted him thither.'-' Yes, for 'twas not to these abodes that it was fitting for them to come.'-'But this (sc. тo $\pi \rho o$ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ) has been assigned us by appointment.' - 'What kind of honour is this? Boast of a prerogative which is a creditable one.' By using the mild word 'conductors' instead of 'pursuers,' the chorus represent themselves as escorting the refugee to the temple, and so as honouring
rather than offending Apollo. In the last verse, which is generally understood ironically, Apollo seems to say that if they must boast, they had better follow some office worth boasting about, not the wretched one of chasing parricides from their homes.
202. $\tau 1$ रd $\rho$; 'What! (the slayer) of a woman who may have killed her husband?' For this would be an exceptional, as well as justifiable, case of matricide. Apollo affects surprise that they should manifest anger against the slayer of such
 pare ถ̋тє $\tau \delta$ ки์ptov $\mu \delta \lambda \lambda \eta \mathrm{Ag}$. 740. тоі̂бเข

 be the murder of a blood-relation,' i. e. if a woman were to kill a husband, who is
 inf. 575 . The peculiar force of avitos in aủévt $\eta$ s is well known as applying not only to suicide, but to relations killing relations. The argument of the chorus is this: 'If a woman has killed a husband, who is not related to her by blood, she has not committed a crime sufficiently grievous to justify a son in killing her in return. Consequently, such a son is liable to our wrath, and we do not accept his

##  "Hpas Tèeías каi $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega \mu \mu \tau а-~$


 єủv̀̀ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ả $\nu \delta \rho i ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \gamma v v a \iota \kappa i ̀ ~ \mu о \rho \sigma i ́ \mu \eta ~$







 AII. $\sigma \dot{v} \delta^{\prime}$ oủv $\delta i ́ \omega \kappa \epsilon$, каì $\pi o ́ v o \nu ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ о \nu ~ \tau i ́ \theta o v . ~$
plea that he did it to avenge his father.' To this sophistry Apollo replies, 'You make the sacred bond of matrimony of no avail, by thus virtually laying down a law, that a wife is free from all stain of kindred blood in slaying a husband.'
204. eipyd́ac. So J. Wordsworth for $\eta_{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \in \boldsymbol{f} \sigma$. The true reading is rather doubtful. Hermann gives $\begin{aligned} \text { गेné } \\ \text { бot, Well., Dind., }\end{aligned}$ Franz, Linwood $\eta \eta^{\delta} \dot{́} \sigma \omega$. Compare $\pi \alpha \rho$

205. kal $\Delta i \grave{\prime} s$, sc. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ íov. Schol. ad


 rduos.
209. 8ркоу ' $\sigma \tau l$ $\mu \in l \zeta \omega \nu$. The Med. rightly has $\delta \rho \kappa$ oiv $\sigma \iota$, but the reading of Aldus and some MSS. is ${ }^{\text {op }}$ prous $\tau$. . The meaning is, that marriage, though not exactly constituting blood-relationship, is yet something greater than a mere oath or civil compact, since it is appointed by Fate and sanctioned or protected by Justice. Linwood gives фpaupounévy with Ald. Rob., 'to her who is guarded by justice,' objecting to $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta$ inn $\eta$, which however occurs inf. 417, $\pi \in \pi o t \theta \dot{\omega} s ~ \tau \hat{p} \delta 1 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{\eta}$.
211. $\tau \delta \mu \eta \quad \gamma \in \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta \alpha, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. 'If then you are lenient to man and wife when one kills the other, so that it does not happen to them that you even regard them with wrath (i. e. much less persecute them), I deny that you are now justly chasing Orestes.' That is, the difference between the ties of matrimony and those of mater-
nity is not so great as to justify you in overlooking the one crime and punishing the other. For $\gamma \in \nu$ é $\sigma \theta a, ~ H e r m ., ~ D i n d ., ~$ Franz, and others give tivecoal, Linwood
 may be defended by Ajac. 378, ov̀ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$





 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \delta \in i ̄ \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$. Mr. Drake, who thinks this explanation of $\gamma \in \nu \in \delta \sigma \theta a$ harsh and improbable, not less harshly un-
 $\phi \delta \nu o \nu$ from v. 203. The idea is, however, ingenious.
213. 'For in the one case (matricide) I perceive that you are greatly indignant, but in the other (the killing a husband) that you are openly acting (or perhaps 'exacting,' cf.. . 594) with more remissness' (less excitement). A man is said $\pi \rho d \sigma \sigma \epsilon l \nu \quad \tau l$ 多 $\sigma u \chi o s$ when he acts quietly and leisurely ; $\eta \sigma \dot{\chi} \chi \omega s$, when he does not disturb another ; ที $\sigma u \times a$, when he lives in repose and tranquillity. Linwood gives $\eta \quad \sigma v \chi a i \tau \in \rho a$, but the poet would have written ทो $\sigma$ uxaltepov if he had intended


216. $\lambda i \pi \omega$. Sa Porson for $\lambda \epsilon$ ín $\omega$. See Theb. 38.
217. $\sigma i$ ì où. 'Then go on pursuing him, and cause yourself trouble still


XO．$\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \ddot{\epsilon} \mu \pi a s ~ \pi \grave{\alpha} \rho \Delta i o ̀ s ~ \theta \rho o ́ v o \iota s ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon l . ~$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \iota \mu \iota \tau o ́ v \delta \in \emptyset \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha, \kappa \alpha ̉ \kappa \kappa v \nu \eta \gamma \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega}$.







further．＇Linwood gives $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ é $\omega$ ，and the words appear to have been interchanged in Ag．1270．Hermann understands， ＇prefer trouble，if you choose it．＇
218．$\mu \grave{\eta} \xi \dot{y} \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \epsilon$ ．Do not abridge， curtail，interfere with my prerogatives by your special pleading，i．e．about the sanctity of the marriage tie，whereby you seek to deprive me of my rights．Apollo replies，＇I would not accept your prero－ gatives so as to be the possessor of them，＇ i．e．I do not admit that you have any prerogatives deserving of the name，－as
 haps not，＇says the leader of the chorus， ＇for you are reckoned great among the Olympian gods，＇while my duties，as a Chthonian and avenging power，are of a totally different sort．－$\mu \pi \pi a s$ ，＇any how，＇ even without such an office as mine．－The epic $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho$ may be compared with $\pi o \tau l$ in v． 79.
 now on his track．＇So Herm．and Er－ furdt for－$\eta$ s．Linwood with Well．gives
 and not reacè（much less ite early form $\kappa \grave{\alpha}$ ），is the more usual part of the com－ pound，as Ion 1422，命 $\mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v}$ ，tís finâas
 $\tau \iota \nu \alpha ̀$ see Elmsl．on Heracl．852．Bacch． 345，тбуঠє тдд $\delta \delta \delta \alpha ́ \sigma н а \lambda о \nu ~ \delta і є к \eta \nu ~ \mu е ́ т є є \mu . ~$ Properly，＂to pursue a man by way of satisfaction for a wrong．＇

225．．то̂̀ $\pi р о \sigma \tau \rho a \pi a i o v ~ \mu \hat{\eta} \nu i s . ~ A s ~ a ~$ $\pi p o r \tau \rho \delta \pi \pi a t$ was in the proper sense of
 the patron and protector of iкétal，so Apollo，who occasionally assumes the
attributes of the supreme god，but was in his own right a Purifier（kaOdoftos，sup． 63 ），bound to admit those demanding ex－ piation，cannot refuse his protection to such an one without a curse（ $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu /$ s or $\mu \eta \nu \mu \alpha)$ resulting from his wrath．And as the wrath of Zeus（Z $\eta \nu$ ds ${ }^{\text {＇I }}$ кта！ou кб́тos， Suppl．379）fell on those who wronged a Suppliant，so the Suppliant himself could exercise an imprecatory power to be feared both among gods and men，if the rightful

 $\$$ Pers．787．The idiom falls under the same head as 解ıs עooфícŋ sup． 202.

226．＂Postquam chorus ex orchestra abiit，et Apollo in templum se recepit， mutatur scena．Conspicitur templum Minervae Poliadis in arce Athenarum． Advenit Orestes et supplex ad simulacrum deae accedit．＂Hermann．The interval of time supposed to have elapsed is con－ siderable ；see inf．274－6，and particu－ larly v．429．Müller，Diss．p．131．
228．ай тробтро́таяò．＇Not blood－ guilty（sup．41），nor unclean in hand．＇
 Neavíaroıs．
229．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda \dot{\nu} s$ 芕 $\delta \eta$ ．The common read－ ing，$\dot{a}^{\mu} \mu \lambda \lambda \dot{\nu} \nu$ and $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \mu \mu \in ́ v o \nu$ ，has been variously altered on account of the
 if a full stop be placed at $\beta \rho o \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$ ．Her－ mann and Minckwitz have recourse to a fiolent remedy in reading $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda \dot{\nu}$ s
 to the pollution that had been contracted，＇ and transposing v． 231 to follow next in order．Dr．Donaldson reads $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \mu$－


 $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \mu \iota ~ \delta \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ каї $\beta \rho \epsilon \in \tau \alpha s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma o ̀ v, ~ \theta \epsilon a ́ . ~$


 $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \nu \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ évov $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ©́s кv́ $\omega \nu \nu \epsilon \beta \rho o ̀ \nu$, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a i ̂ \mu a ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma \tau a \lambda a \gamma \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ُ \kappa \mu а \sigma \tau \epsilon u ́ o \mu \epsilon \nu . ~$ $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu o ́ \chi \theta$ оıs à $\nu \delta \rho о к \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \quad \phi \nu \sigma \iota \hat{a}$




$\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} 0 \nu$, ' being a suppliant for expiation at other abodes,' which induces an unpleasing sense of contradiction in ov $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho \delta$ -
 contends (New Cratylus, in v.) that $\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda \dot{\prime} \dot{s}$ is properly used of the fading colour of blood. But the truth seems to be, that some grammarian, not perceiving the construction was continued as far as v. 233 , could not resist the obvious correction ov $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho \delta \dot{\pi} \pi \alpha, o \nu-\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda \dot{v} \nu$, whereas the poet really commences a new sentence with $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \mu \mu \beta \lambda u$ ús. The metaphor seems to be borrowed from a sharp instrument which is blunted by being rubbed against a stone, as on the contrary a sword is sharpened $\pi \rho \delta s \theta \eta \gamma \alpha \nu \eta \mathrm{Ag} .1514$. Cf. inf. 429. Translate :- 'But now blunted and worn down at other abodes and high-ways of men, passing alike over dry land and sea, observing the oracular behests of Loxias I am here at thy temple and thy statue, O goddess.'
233. $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon i \mu$. Not from eil $\mu$, but ci $\mu$, sum. The accusative depends on the previous notion of approach to the place. So Bacch. 5, тגрєє $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ( $\rho \kappa \eta s$

234. à ááév. Herm. gives ả̀ $\nu \alpha \mu \in \omega \hat{\omega}$ with Stanley. The sense is the same; - Keeping my post here I await the result of the trial.'
235. "Introeunt Furiae $\sigma \pi o \rho \alpha \delta \eta \nu$ in orchestram." Herm.- $\in \hat{i} \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$ к.т. $\lambda$. 'So! here is a clear indication of the man; only follow the suggestions of a voiceless in-
former,' i. e. the smell of blood, which Orestes was before said to drop from his hands, v. 42, though this would hardly be thought to occur even after his purification. Yet this is clearly the meaning, from 238. 244. It is, as the Schol. remarks, an impossible conception, фavtaбía $\dot{a} \mu \eta \chi \alpha^{\mu} \nu_{0}$.
239. ả้ $\quad$ рок $\mu \hat{\eta} \sigma t$. Actively, as ${ }^{2} \nu$ -
 661, тú $\chi \eta$ inf. 916, i. e. toils undertaken for the purpose of tiring out Orestes.$\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi^{\nu o \nu}=\kappa \alpha \rho \delta i ́ a, \pi \nu \epsilon u ́ \mu \omega \nu$. See Ag. 966.
241. àлтє́роıs, cf. จ. 51.—тотһนабเע Dind., but the $\omega$ is defended by the analogy of т $\rho \omega \chi a ́ \omega$ from трє́ $\chi \omega, \sigma \tau \rho \omega ф \alpha ́ \omega$ from $\sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \phi \omega$, and by the double form
 ' as quick as ship can sail.' There is no need to understand any particular ship in which Orestes crossed the sea.
243. кaтaптакळข. The aorist participle of каталл $\quad \sigma \sigma \omega$. Turnebus gives каталтакळेs, but Hesychius, probably from this passage, has катarлak̀ेv, катa$\pi \lambda\{\xi \alpha s$, which Dindorf, from the Schol. Med., rightly reads кататтак $\nu$, ката$\pi \tau \eta \xi a s$.-The preceding speech, consisting as it does of five complete couplets or distichs, is assigned by Franz to as many distinct speakers. There is probability in this, as the singular is used in v. 236. 242. 244. Yet the whole may have been spoken by the Hegemon exhorting and encouraging the rest.
ó $\sigma \mu \grave{\eta} \beta_{\rho о \tau \epsilon i \omega \nu}$ ai $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \pi \rho о \sigma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{\alpha}$.
 $\lambda \alpha ́ \theta \eta$ фú $\delta \alpha$ 人às $\mu a \tau \rho о$ о́vos ảтíтаs.



ठvбауко́ $\mu \sigma \tau \sigma \nu, \pi \alpha \pi \alpha \hat{\imath}$.

 є่ $\rho v \theta \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a \nu o \nu \cdot ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \sigma o v ̂ ~$ ßобка̀ $\phi \in р о i ́ \mu \alpha \nu \pi \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \delta v \sigma \pi о ́ т о v \cdot ~$


245. $\pi a \nu \tau \hat{\alpha} \lambda \in \hat{v} \sigma \sigma \in$. The Med. gives $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon$. . $\tau \delta \nu$ (with an erasure), whence others have $\lambda \in v \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \partial \nu, \lambda \in \dot{u} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \sigma \nu, \lambda \in \tilde{v} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \in$ т̀̀v, $\pi$ d́via. Hermann, Dind., Linwood edit $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon$, but it seems more likely that $\tau \delta \nu$ is an instance of the article intruded before $\pi \alpha \dot{d} \nu \tau \alpha$, than that $\tau \epsilon$ was corrupted into $\tau \delta \nu$. Moreover. the adverb $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\alpha}$ (the Doric form of $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \eta$ ) is more suitable than the neuter plural; and the Med. gives $\pi \alpha \dot{d} \nu \bar{\alpha}$. Sophocles, in a passage which closely resembles this, Oed. Col.
 - $\lambda \in \hat{v} \sigma \sigma^{2}$ аüтд̀,$~ \pi \rho о \sigma \delta е ́ \rho к о и ~ \pi а \nu \tau а \chi \hat{\eta}$. For these reasons it seems best to transpose $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{a}$, as the metre requires. The dual $\lambda \epsilon \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau o \nu$, retained by Müller and Franz, has little to be said in its favour, even if it were a genuine and unaltered MSS. reading.
246. ג̇tiras, 'unpunished.' Schol. à $\tau \iota \mu$ бр $\quad$ тоs. See on Ag. 72. The MSS. add the article before $\mu a \tau \rho o \phi b \nu o s$, by the common error just before noticed.
247. $\delta \delta^{2}$ a $\begin{array}{ll}\vec{\jmath} \tau \epsilon ́ & \gamma^{\prime} \text {. So Linwood and }\end{array}$ Hermann for $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ a $\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma^{\prime}$ o $\bar{\partial} \nu$, where oîv was doubtless added to make up a senarius.
250. ข่т $\delta \delta$ เкоs $\chi є \rho \omega ิ \nu$, i. є. ข่тд̀ $\delta$ โкทs $\chi \in \rho \omega \nu$, 'to place himself in our hands for his trial.' Some take $\chi \in \rho \omega \hat{y}$ to mean 'for his deeds;' but why should not the poet have used $\phi$ brov, if he had meant this? Compare ${ }^{\text {èv }} \boldsymbol{\chi} \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ סíкj Bacch. 738,


убнє Herod. viii. 89. Hermann and Linwood admit Scaliger's correction $\chi \rho \in \hat{\omega} \nu$, from the Schol. $\dot{a} \nu \theta$ ' $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ท́n $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \quad \chi \rho \in \omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon \hat{c}$. But Hermann at the same time remarks that Hesychius explains únóonos by $\chi \rho \epsilon$ $\dot{\sigma}^{\circ} \sigma \tau \eta s$, 光vo objection, he is willing enough to do so while in the asylum of the sacred statue. There is some irony in this.
251. Tל $\delta^{2}$ ov $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \tau \tau \nu$. It is not allowed him to elude us in this way.
252. $\delta \cup \sigma а \gamma \kappa \delta \mu \iota \sigma \tau о \nu . ~ C f . ~ A g . ~ 987-9 . ~$ Hermann and Franz place the stop at

 Heracl. 76.—тd $\delta \iota \epsilon \rho \partial \nu$, 'life-blood,' an obscure word, involving the two-fold idea of vitality and sap or moisture. Hesych. $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \rho \delta \nu^{*}}$ ن́ypóv• $\chi \lambda \omega \rho \delta \nu$. Cf. Od. ix. 43, $\delta_{i \in \rho \bar{\varphi}} \pi о \delta \bar{l} \phi \in \cup \gamma \epsilon \mu \in \nu$. Ib. vi. 201, оікк

254. க்yt ¿סồva. As you have shed blood, so you must give your own blood in return, not however to be shed in retribution, but to furnish us with food. Cf. v. 184. 292.
258. tivelv. So Franz from a former conjecture of Hermann for $\tau \in i \nu \eta s, \tau(\nu \eta s$, or $\tau$ elveis. But Hermann afterwards pre-
 the correction of Schütz, and so most of the recent editors. It is not easy to decide between the two. In the Med. $\epsilon t$ is superscribed over the $\eta s$. If $\mu \eta \tau \rho \circ \phi$ obos $\delta \dot{\prime} \eta$ be regarded as a periphrasis for 'mar-

#  



 ยै $ย \in \rho \theta \in \chi$ Oovòs，
 265
 то入入ò̀s каӨар $\mu$ о̀̀s，каі̀ 入є́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ öтоv סíкך，




 Фоíßov каӨар $\mu$ ôs $\mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \theta \eta$ Хо七роктóvols．

tricide，＇Orestes is rightly said $\tau\{\nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ，to pay for it，with the addition of àvtımolvous in the sense of＇retributively，＇दे $\nu \tau i \delta \delta \nu \pi \alpha$ rouvds．On the other hand we have the substantive d̀ $\nu \tau i \pi o w a$ in Pers．478．－The MSS．give $\mu a \pi \rho o \phi b v a s$ ，for which the editors（Linwood excepted，who refers to Porson on Med．82：2）give $\mu$ arpopgyov or－ovs．

259．Kג入os．The correction of Heath for ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda o v$ ．

260．oט̉ঞ $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \psi$ ．The common read－ ing is $\dot{a} \sigma \in \beta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，which does not well suit the dochmiac verse．Hermann＇s correc－ tion is ingenious，and his defence of it satisfactory：－＂Si per errorem scriptum erat $\dot{\alpha} \alpha \epsilon \in \beta \omega \bar{\omega}$ pro $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \bar{\omega} \nu$ ，consequens erat ut ouv omitteretur．＂The accusatives


 and Hesiod，Scut．Herc．8，has márapas ク̆дıтєข＇A $\mu ф \iota \tau р \dot{\omega} \omega \nu . \quad$ So Od．iv．378，


 －The notion of the ancients，that the crimes especially punished in the nether world were impiety towards parents and refusal of hospitality to guests，is well known．Cf．Aen．vi，608．Plat．Phaed． § 144．Ar．Ran．147，\＆o．



 230．429．From having visited the abodes of many purifiers of blood（ ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \boldsymbol{\sim}$ Orestes has become well versed in all the ceremonial observances and usages con－ nected with his condition，and he has learnt that though a murderer must not speak till after his purification（inf．426）， he is then at full liberty to do so，but especially if he is ordered by a superior power．Hence v． 277.

270．$\mu$ араivetal Xepos．The genitive may be compared with $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \in \sigma \theta a l ~ \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega 9$ Cho． 281.

272．тoтaluoy ty，＇while yet fresh，＇

 $\theta_{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \nu \nu \quad \lambda \in \dot{U} \theta \in \rho \delta \nu \quad \sigma \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \in \pi \eta \mu d \tau \omega \nu \kappa \tau\{\sigma \in \epsilon$. It is，perhaps，best to join kadapuois $\pi$ pobs
 eotica is not amiss．He had received at Delphi；shortly after the murder，a primary and probably full expiation ；but to make assurance doubly sure，he had visited other places celebrated for their virtues in this matter，and so had been freed from moral guilt over and over again．It is this， added to the influence of time，which in－ duces him to speak in many passages with such confidence of himself as katapos，

 275













275. えд $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ 乡uvouv(a. "With harmless intercourse.' Cf. inf. 452. Having already associated with many, who have received no ill in consequence, he infers that he is sufficiently purged and purified from guilt. In the next verse he takes into account the mitigating effects of time as tending still further to deaden and wear away his offence. Cf. Theb. 679,
 Hermann and Dindorf inclose this line within brackets as spurious, retaining the MS. reading ka0alpêt. The correction however is as easy as the verse is appropriate and even necessary to complete the train of thought.


 by friendship and not by victory.-Ess ro тầ, here and inf. 379 , and also 640 ,
 'for ever,' though elsewhere a synonym of $\pi \dot{d} \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{~s}$.
282. ^ıßuбтькois. So Herm. with the MSS. Others read $\Lambda \iota \beta v \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ with Au-ratus.-Tpitwvos $\chi \in \hat{\nu} \mu a$, a lake and river in Libya where the goddess was said to have been born, Herod. iv. 180. Pind. Pyth. iv. 36. Pausan. i. cap. 15.
284. $\dot{\partial} \rho \theta \delta \nu \nu$ औ) $\kappa a \tau \eta \rho \in \phi \hat{\eta} \pi \delta \delta \alpha$, 'uppright or covered,' i. e. in an erect or sitting posture,-in action or at leisure. By $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho \epsilon \phi \hat{\eta} \pi \delta \delta \alpha a$ he probably means a foot enveloped in drapery, whereas in an erect
posture the foot, advanced as in action, is displayed. Strabo xiii. cap. 1, mo $\lambda \lambda \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$






 doubt the poet had in view certain wellknown statues of the goddess. Hermann translates, sive palam incedens, sive latens opem fert amicis, and understands кaтnpé $\phi$ a of the mist or cloud in which she was supposed to conceal herself.
285. фíतoıs àpf́yova'. 'Her friends,' i. e. the Libyans, who, according to Herod. iv. 188, sacrificed to her, 'A0quain $\theta 0$ -
 $\Delta i \beta v \in s .-\Phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma p a i a y{ }^{\pi} \pi{ }^{2}$ d́ra, the volcanic district or solfatara of Campania, said to have been the scene of the battle of the giants. There was another Phlegraean plain in Macedonia (Strabo, Excerpt. ex fin. lib. vii. 12).
287. кal $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega \theta \in \nu$ \% $\omega$. 'And a god can hear even when at a distance.' The use of $\pi \rho \delta \delta \sigma \theta$ sy will be understood from the note on Cho. 498.
 a kind of attraction to $\tilde{\text { E }} \lambda \theta$ o, or, in other words, the wish is continued in زє́youto, which expresses the end and object of her coming.
 ค́v́ $\sigma \alpha u{ }^{\circ}$ à $\nu, \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\circ}$ ov̉ $\pi a \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \mu E ́ v o \nu$






 $\mu \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \nu \quad \sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon \rho a ̀ \nu$ $\dot{a} \pi о \phi а і \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \delta o ́ \kappa \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ，




289．In vain is your invocation of Pallas（v．277），in vain your appeal to Apollo．They shall not deliver you from perishing disregarded and unconscious of one joyful emotion，a bloodless victim of the infernal powers，a mere shadow of yourself．－$\pi a p \eta \mu \in \lambda \eta \mu{ }^{\prime} \nu_{0} \nu$, i．e．by the very gods you think to conciliate．Cf． The．699，$\theta \in o i ̂ s ~ \mu \hat{e} \nu$ 万ु $\delta \eta \pi \omega s \pi \alpha \rho \eta \mu \in \lambda \eta-$


 $\sigma \kappa \eta \mu a$, not，perhaps，here＇the food，＇but ＇the victim，＇or animal reserved for sacri－ fice，－the plural Bork $\mu a \tau \alpha$ always having this sense．The same figure is continued
 there is an evident allusion to the $\phi$ ap－ $\mu$ carol，human victims fed（Said．in v．，Ar． Equit．1135）in reserve for state sacrifices． But the Furies＇victims were not fattened like other victims；they were on the con－ tray sucked so as to be a val amatol，sup． 254．－ $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ uar is Heath＇s correction for akt⿱丷 ．Hermann conceives this word to
 and reads $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \in \delta a \iota \mu \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ ．If the vulgate text be right，we may compare $\delta a \mu \mu \nu \omega \nu$ $\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \pi \alpha$, inf．769．Possibly the poet wrote cal $\mu \delta$ vo $\sigma \kappa$ tad．

293．out $\delta$＇${ }^{2} \nu \tau \backslash 申 \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{1}$ ；＇What！do you not even reply？＇Orestes must here be supposed to turn away from the Furies and to clasp the statue of Pallas with all the earnestness of a suppliant who is on the point of being torn from his asylum．

Three different persons appear to speak
 giving me your blood to suck while alive， not your flesh to eat when slain，as was the custom with ordinary victims．Cf． 254.

297－310．Here follows the parade， immediately preceding the first stasimon． Hitherto the Furies have acted simply as pursuers，and consequently with all the fitful irregularity of huntresses close upon their prey．Now at length，finding all their efforts baffled，they propose a new method，－to take up their position in the usual order at the thymele（ $\chi$ op $\delta \nu$ un $\pi \tau \epsilon \nu$ ）， and try the effects of a＇binding hymn，＇ by which，according to the Greek idea of the power of incantations，their victim would be devoted to them and inextricably tied down to his fate．Hermann divides the parade into $\sigma \dot{́} \sigma \tau \eta \mu a$ ，à $\nu \tau \iota \sigma \dot{v} \sigma \tau \eta \mu a$ ， and $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \psi \bar{\delta} \delta{ }^{\prime}$ ．

300．$\lambda \in \mathfrak{\xi} \xi a!~ \tau \in \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．＇And to describe on what principle our company（Cho． 106）distributes the lots（for good or evil） which prevail among mankind；and we think（i．e．whatever others may say of us）that we exercise upright justice．＇ They accordingly proceed to shew how the good are unmolested by them，but the wicked brought to punishment．It may be observed，that in the most general sense，happiness and prosperity，or the converse，were considered the gifts of the Furies，inf．890．914，\＆c．





$\chi \epsilon i ̂ \rho a s ~ \phi o v i ́ a s ~ є ̇ \pi \tau к \rho u ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$,
$\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon s$ ò $\rho \theta a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ \sigma \iota ~ \theta a \nu o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~$
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$\sigma \tau \rho$ ．á．
$N \grave{v} \xi, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \delta о р к о ́ \sigma \iota \nu$
 $\tau$ о́v $\delta^{\prime}$ ảфаıрои́ $\mu \in \nu O s$





verse is variously corrupted in the MSS． Hermann，Linwood，Dindorf，give $\hat{\eta} \delta \delta \mu \epsilon \theta^{2}$ ， but oib $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ，the correction of H．L．Ah－ rens，is nearer the MSS．readings，and seems to give a much better sense；for

 Dr．Donaldson gives $\epsilon^{\dot{u}} \chi^{\delta} \mu \mu \theta^{3}$ ，a conjec－ ture I had formerly proposed．We have the form i日uסikns Hes．Opp．230，òp日o－ סírato inf． 948.

303．троу＇́ $\mu о \nu \tau$＇．The MSS．give toùs －тробдérodтas．Hermann，who once adopted the singular，now reads $\tau 0$ òs $\mu \epsilon \lambda$
 only to suit his view of a strophic arrange－ ment，but because＂veri simile non sit librarios pluralem posuisse，quum singulari
 But in Prom． 273 the MSS．give toùs какผิs $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma о \nu \tau a s$ for $\tau \partial \nu-\pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma о \nu \tau \alpha$, in defiance of the metre；and this very singular $\delta i o i \chi \nu \in \grave{\imath}$ points to $\delta$ toové $\mu \omega \nu$ ． See however 322－4．Franz adopts from
 movias．There is an evident apposition between троує́ $\mu \in ⿺ 辶 ⿱ 亠 乂$, The innocent man holds them forth for all to behold；the guilty man hides the blood－stain beneath his cloak．

306．à altćv．So Herm．and others after Auratus for $\dot{\alpha} \lambda ı \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．There might
 but the aorist ${ }^{\text {hnderev }}$ occurred sup．259，
 the frequent intrusion of $\delta$ see Prom． 2.
309．$\pi \rho$ व́кторєs aluatos，＇exactors of blood，＇i．e．for the dead．－aivit，$\tau \hat{\varphi} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\epsilon} \pi t$－
 finem，non desistentes．＂Minckwitz．

312．ä̀ $\alpha 0 \hat{\imath} \sigma t$ каi $\delta \in \delta о \rho \kappa \delta \sigma \omega$ ．＇To both dead and living．＇Cf．167．324．366．
315．$\mu a \tau \rho \hat{\uparrow} \circ ้$ ä $\gamma \nu \tau \sigma \mu$ ．＇My own pe－ culiar victim to expiate a mother＇s mur－



 sense this is right，for the victim is as－ signed and devoted to sacrifice（ $\kappa \alpha \theta t \in \rho \omega$－ mévos，sup．294）before he is actually im－ molated．Some translate＇devoted＇in the sense of the compound кatadiбoнat， Theocr．ii． 10.

317．тapaфopd，＇a carrying aside，＇i．e． a distraction．The a in $\phi \rho \in \nu 0 \delta a \lambda$ hेs is probably long，as from $\delta \eta \lambda \epsilon \neq \mu a t$ ．The metre（paeonic）has an exact parallel in Cho．793－4．

319．aủovà，Schol．§ छ̇ךpalvov toùs
 v. 134. Hermann observes that the word means 'voice' ( $\alpha$ v̆ctv, Theb. 173), in

320. $\delta$ tay 7 aia, 'all-pervading,'-a rather favourite word with Aeschylus. Schol. in

322. aùtovpरiat $\mu$ átatos. 'The murder of relations without just cause.' See on จ. 203. The MSS. give Өavárov and
 Usually a man is said $\xi \nu \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu \quad ф \dot{\partial} \varphi$, Oed. R. 113, but there are instances of the converse construction, as Oed. Col.
 $370,8 \tau \varphi \tau \delta \mu \geqslant) \kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \nu \xi \mathcal{L} \nu \in \sigma \tau \iota$. The meaning therefore here is, ' those with whom murders of kin have been associated,' for ' who may have been involved, or implicated, in murders.'- тoîs, perhaps тoí $\delta$ ', -but see on Prom. 242.
 free,' i. e. as much a captive as ever.


330. रєıvouévauбt. 'At our birth.' This, the usual epic form, has been restored by Hermann for $\gamma i \gamma \nu \rho \mu \epsilon \in \nu a \sigma t$ or pıvouévatra, which is not usually found in the above sense.
330. à $\theta a v \alpha \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu$ 8'. 'But 'tis the part of the gods to keep their hands off us,'
not to interfere with our office. Herm.
 $\chi \in i ̂{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ämbб $\chi \omega \nu \tau a t$, Suppl. 736. The Olympian gods were not to thwart the duties assigned by a superior Fate to these ancient Titanian powers. The Schol. understood it differently, 'for us to keep our hands off the gods,' $\mu \hat{\eta} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma a d \xi \in \nu$ ${ }_{n} \mu \bar{a} s$ tois $\theta$ eois. But the allusion is to the conduct of Apollo.- $\ddagger v \nu \delta a i \tau \omega \rho$ к.т. $\lambda$., no one has a share at our table; none but Erinyes feed on the blood of living victims; or generally, no one holds converse with us.
333. $\pi a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \omega \nu$ пย́ $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$. Schol. où-
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} p \in \epsilon \mu$. As daughters of night, the Furies were black, i. e. clothed in black, $\mu$ édoupul, v. 52. As the authors of woe, misery, and mourning to families, they had nothing to do with white garments. Cf. 353. This or the antistrophic verse is in some way corrupt, and it is not easy to determine wherein the error lies. Hermann supplies àq́́paotos before ă $\mu o t \rho o s$, and reads $\delta \in ч \mu a \tau a \sigma \tau a \gamma k$ 's in v. 344, a word that occurs Cbo. 827. Perbaps the poet

 when citizen kills citizen. The metre is again paeonic.

#  кратєро̀ ${ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \alpha \pi є \rho$ ä $\mu \omega \varsigma$ $\mu a v \rho о \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \in \in=\nu$ aî $\mu a$ ． <br> $\sigma \pi \epsilon v \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a l \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu \tau \nu \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \rho i ́ \mu \nu a s, \quad \dot{a} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.   <br>   <br>  

 Cf．Suppl．798，$\mu \in \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \delta \rho \delta \mu 0 \iota \sigma t \delta t \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$.

338－9．Here also the genuine readings have been tampered with，and the un－ certainty of the antistrophe makes correc－ tion difficult．The MSS．give кратерди
 Hermann formerly gave the emendation adopted in the text，though in a different sense（juvenile robur exsorpto sanguine frangere），but afterwards resigned it
 ä $\lambda \mu a$ ，＂obscuramus quamvis validum ad－ hue juvenilem saltum，i．e．robur fugi－ entis frangimus．＂There is good and ap－ propriate sense in $\mu \alpha u \rho \sigma \tilde{u} \mu \in \nu$ ข＇éa aif $\mu a$ ， since the blood on a murderer＇s band is elsewhere said to be faded and worn out by time and suffering，sup．22．9． 270.

340．$\sigma \pi \in \cup \delta \delta \mu \in \nu \alpha$, ．The syntax of this． passage is so anomalous that little is to be gained by reading $\sigma \pi \in \cup \delta о \mu \epsilon ́ \varphi \varepsilon$ or－a． The finite verb，in continuation of $\mu a$, $\rho a \hat{\mu} \mu \nu$ ，is forgotten，while several clauses explanatory of each other are successively added．As for $\sigma \pi e v \delta \delta \mu \in \nu a t$ followed by e＇uaîs，we have only to compare v．323，
 throughout the whole of this ode the sin－ gular and the plural are intermixed in a very remarkable manner．The chief diffi－ culty lies in v．341，on which the Schol．

入ivaîs be right，it must mean＇prayers offered to me ；＇but $\bar{\epsilon} \mu a \hat{k} s \mu \in \lambda \in \tau \sigma u s$ ，the conjecture of H．Voss adopted by Franz， bas a high probability，since $\mu \in \lambda \epsilon \tau \tau \eta$ and $\mu$ ¢́pıйva suit each other exactly．If we compare the strophic verse，we shall see （as Mr．Drake has pointed out）that $\theta \in \hat{\omega} \nu$ probably is the same genitive as $\dot{\alpha} \theta \alpha \nu \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu$ ， and thus the meaning will be，that all which the gods（viz．the Olympian gods）：
can fairly do is to bring about a non－ fulfilment of prayers offered to the Furies， i．e．the prayers to them to send ven－ geance upon the guilty．For just so far the Greek mythology permitted the interference of one god with another， though directly they might not obstruct each other＇s designs．The word d̀ré̇̇eta， which generally means＇immunity，＇ap－ pears here to be simply a negative of $\tau \in \lambda o s$ ．On this view the following words are perfectly consistent and intelligible，as explained by the Schol．кal $\mu \grave{\eta}$ és $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$ $\mu o r{ }^{2} \lambda \theta \in \hat{i} \nu$ ．Others have imagined a re－ ference to the Attic à adaf nary investigation into the merits of a case before bringing it into court．We have however àvaкрivecөat，＇to quarrel，＇



 late：＇And being anxious to take away from another these cares（or duties），－for the gods have only the power of prevent－ ing prayers to me from being fulfilled，but may not come to a quarrel with me，－for Zeus has deemed this our tribe unworthy of his converse，as blood－dropping and deserving of hatred＇－（i．e．collision is impossible，since Olympian and Chthonian gods have nothing in common ；cf．v．73）．
344．aipatootayés．This word does not fall in with the strophic verse．Mäller， Franz，and Linwood read aipa⿱宀tàjès， omitting $\gamma$ dे $\rho$ or altering it to $\gamma^{3}$ ．On

346．रàp oūv．These particles resume the narrative from v． 337 seqq．，the idea of the intervening passage being this，that the gods have no concern whatever with the Furies，and have no right to rescue victims from their grasp．The $\mu d \lambda a$ be－ longs to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \nu^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \theta \in \nu$ ．The sense is，（＇Strong
${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \in \alpha \alpha \theta \nu \quad \beta a \rho v \pi \epsilon \sigma \hat{\eta}$ катафє́ $\rho$ тобо̀s àкмà $\sigma \phi а \lambda \epsilon \rho \grave{a}$ * каì таvvסоó $\mu о \iota s$ $\kappa \omega ิ \lambda a, \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi o \rho o \nu a ̈ ้ \tau \alpha \nu$.






 aù $\delta a ̄ \tau a \iota ~ \pi o \lambda v ́ \sigma \tau o v o s ~ \phi a ́ \tau \iota s . ~$

indeed a man may be, yet he shall not escape,) for assuredly springing from very far above I bear down upon him the heavy-falling force of my foot, my limbs which overthrow even the swiftly running, (a result which is) to him an intolerable calamity.' Mr. Drake seems to have supplied кal before ravu $\delta \rho \delta \mu o / s$ with greater probability than Hermann and others insert $\gamma \alpha \rho$. He remarks that $\sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \in \rho d$ $\kappa \omega ิ \lambda \alpha$ ( $=\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \alpha$ ) are those of the Furies, not of the fugitives, and this is the view of the Schol., who appears to have read toîs тavuסjofols. On the idea contained in $\beta a \rho u \pi \epsilon \sigma \hat{\eta}$ see Pers. 518. Ag. 1146.
352. кaтc̀ $\gamma$ âs. So Hermann, as I had before corrected, for kat̀̀ $\gamma \hat{a} y$. 'The opinions of men, even though very proud under the sky (in the light of life), fall away and dwindle in dishonour beneath the earth at the approach of us sable-robed goddesses, and at the invidious (hateful) dance of our feet.' That is, 'Men who think highly of themselves on earth sink into nothingness,-ignominy and oblivion-in Hades, when the Furies mark him for their prey, and weave the magic dance ( $\tilde{\nu}_{\mu \nu o s} \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \mu \mu o s$ ) to ensnare him.' This idea of the poet is constantly repeated in some form or other. Thus in Ag. 451, the Furies are said to make the wicked
 535, he perishes in like manner áндauбtos, aľros. The doctrine can only be rightly understood by remembering how the departed spirit was thought to grieve for
being slighted or neglected on earth, e. g. as in the case of Agamemnon in the Choephoree.
 фovocs. The meaning seems to be that odium and ignominy attend him against whom the Furies institute their weird dances. The idea is amplified in what immediately follows.
355. $\pi i \pi \tau \omega \nu$. Schol. $\pi \alpha \rho a ф \rho o \nu \omega ̂ \nu ~ \gamma \dot{d} \rho$

356. $\mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma o s$, guilt,-the pollution of murder in particular. This pollution hovers over the culprit like a dark cloud, and men begin to whisper that his house is under a curse. They suspect he is given over to the silent influence of the Furies (inf. 895). Literally, 'And report with many a sigh declares a dark cloud against his house,' i. e. speaks against his house, that it is under a cloud.
 тєто́тaтai. Hesiod, Scut. Herc. 264, represents 'A $\chi \lambda$ 认ेs as a sort of goddess of
 $\tau \varepsilon$ каl aiv力.-aù $\delta$ àtal is here in the middle, as Cbo. 144. 264. Philoct. 852; but perhaps Auratus was right in proposing

 aùтои̂ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau a t$.
359. $\mu$ évei $\gamma$ d́p. 'For 'tis a fixed and abiding law,' that the above consequences should result from guilt. Cf. Ag. 1540,
 sc. É $\sigma \mu \grave{\Sigma} \nu$, 'we are never at a loss for means' to carry out our designs to their
 ..... 360$\tau \in \mu \nu \eta \eta_{\mu} \nu \in \Sigma^{\Sigma} \in \mu \nu a i$,каì $\delta v \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \eta$ ク́ropoı $\beta$ ротоіs,$\lambda \alpha ́ \chi \eta, \theta \epsilon \omega ̄ \nu \delta \iota \chi \circ \sigma \tau a \tau \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau^{\prime}$,365т̀̀v $\mu о \iota \rho o ́ к р а \nu т о \nu$ є̇к $\theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$370$\tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \iota \nu$ éХоvбa каi $\delta v \sigma \tilde{\eta} \lambda \iota o \nu ~ \kappa \nu \epsilon ́ \phi a s$.$A \Theta H N A$.
 ..... 375
fullest accomplishment.- $\alpha \kappa \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon \varsigma$, cf. Prom. 524, $\mu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \nu \in s{ }^{\text {'Eptvíes. Cho. }}$ 639, 及uббб́фрши 'Epıvứs.
363. à $\tau i \in \tau \alpha$. So Canter for à $\tau i \in \tau \alpha$. The metre demands the correction. 'Pursuing an unhonoured and ignominious office.' Cf. 200. 219.- $\theta \in \hat{\omega} \nu$, the Olympian or upper gods. Schol. àф $\omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha$
 therefore understood à $\mu\{\lambda \lambda ı o s ~ \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \eta$, 'a sunless torch,' as a mere periphrasis for darkness, and so Hermann explains it,
 word is rare, but occurs inf. 994, and

 Musgrave's and Hermann's correction). But Dindorf, Franz, and Linwood adopt
 to suit the reading of the antistrophe,
 gives $\kappa \dot{v} \rho \omega$, so that the metrical difficulty is by no means insurmountable. Hesych.
 the word means a gross humour ( $p i t u i t a$ ). The Furies are usually depicted with torches, and Aeschylus may have meant by àvinte that it pertained to the darkness of the infernal regions, like à $\nu \dot{d} \lambda i o \nu$ $\theta \in \omega p i \delta \alpha$ Theb. 852.


 appears to mean that the office of the goddesses ( $\lambda \alpha_{\chi} \eta$ ) is to pursue both dead and living by a road hard for them to travel over.
372. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \ell \nu$. This word is not in the MSS., nor did the Schol. find it, who explains $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ by $\neq \pi \in \sigma \pi l$. Hermann gives $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \in \iota \quad \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \pi a \lambda a i \delta \nu$, Frapz (after Ahrens) $\gamma^{\prime} \rho a s \pi \in ́ \lambda \in \iota \pi \alpha \lambda a t \delta \nu$. Dr. Donaldson $\gamma$ fépas $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha i \partial \nu$, ov̀ठé $\pi \omega$. Mr. Drake suggests
 men (v. 368), though held in contempt by the gods, sup. 363. Inf. 692.-кípw, cf.

375. Pallas, having been invoked to come in person, even though from a distanee, at v . 287, now arrives, and is seen to descend upon the stage from an aerial car, after the manner of Oceanus in the Prometheus. The poet takes occasion to allude to a dispute then pending between the Athenians and the Mitylenaeans about the possession of Sigeum. According to the Schol., the latter had obtained it by the victory of their champion in a $\mu$ руo$\mu a \chi^{i \alpha}$. Aeschylus, by representing Pallas as the rightful owner in perpetuity (es ro
$\dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \Sigma \kappa \alpha \alpha \mu a ́ v \delta \rho o v, \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \kappa a \tau \alpha \phi \theta a \tau o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$, $\hat{\eta} \nu \delta \bar{\eta} \tau^{3}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} \chi \alpha \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ äкторе́s $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \rho o ́ \mu о \iota$,












тây，sup．281）of that city，where she had a famous temple，encourages his fellow－ citizens to regain it．See Herod．․ 94， 95．Strabo，lib．xiii．cap．i．（p．600）．

376．خ̂̀̀ катафӨaтov $\mu$ ย́v．So Stanley for $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a \tau \alpha \phi \theta a \tau o \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$. ＇Forestalling foreign usurpation，＇Müller，Diss．p． 87. Schol，катафөdyovoa．From an old verb $\phi \theta \& \omega$ ，fut．＇$\phi \theta \eta \sigma \omega$ ，came $\phi \theta a \tau \delta s$ ，whence $\phi \theta a \tau$ éc is formed on the analogy of $\sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ ，\＆c．The literal sense seems here to be，＇as I was securing the land for myself before others．＇

377．解 $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau$＇．The same as $\hat{\eta} \nu \delta \eta$ ，on
 leaders of the Argive host at the Trojan war，who are supposed here to have as－ signed Sigeum as a share of the conquered territory to the Athenians，and to have solemnly dedicated it to Pallas．

381．ס七ஸ́коvбa，＇plying，＇Theb．366．－
 the breeze）the folds of my aegis，without the use of wings．＇Instead of wings she extended the goat－skin，usually worn wrapped round the chest and appended to the shield，and thus sailed through the









383．ты́入ots àkнalots．Hermann reads кúdors with Wakefield，and denies that
the Schol，is right in supposing Pallas to appear mounted on a car．And cer－ tainly $\pi \delta \delta a$ ठı́ккovaa implies personal exertion，and the epithet ankuiots aptly expresses the facility of supporting and continuing it；while the word $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \delta$ б proves， that if it was a car at all，it was actually visible to the spectators．And thus the horses must be left to the imagination of the spectators，who are to suppose that she came in haste from Sigeum，with her aegis extended to assist in propelling the vehicle． As for $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \bar{\nu} \not \alpha_{\tau} \tau \rho$ ，which Hermann con－ tends can only signify that the goddess travelled in some way in which real wings ought to have been employed，but were not，and therefore not on a car at all，but merely supporting herself on the aegis，－ one may reply，that there would have been need of some sort of sail whether she came over the sea or through the air． Compare ó $\chi$ as $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \tau$ oेs Prom． 137.

384．кaupip．So Herm．，Dind．after Canter，for kal $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \delta$ ，which Franz and Linwood retain．It might be defended by кal $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega \delta^{\prime}$ à $\pi о \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, sup．65；but the poet would more probably have written кal $\nu \tilde{v} \nu$ without $\delta \bar{\varepsilon}$ ，and кaivì $\nu$ is not in－ appropriate，for it is at the novelty of the sight that the goddess expresses $\theta a \hat{\nu} \mu a$ ， and the point and drift of the poem is， that this first advent of the Furies to Athens is to end in their permanently established worship in that city．
388．ípãs 8＇$^{\prime}$＇＇But as for you－＇，See on Cho．826，where we have a similar accusative，$\mu \dot{\rho} \rho o \nu \delta^{3}$＇Opé $\sigma \tau \sigma 0$ ．The MSS．












X0. öтоv тò $\chi a i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \eta \delta a \mu о \hat{v} \nu о \mu i \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.

XO. фоขє̀̀s $\gamma$ à $\rho$ єival $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \eta ̉ క \iota \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \tau o . ~$


 coupled with $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{s} s$ by an awkward, because very sudden, change of construction. Mr. Drake says, "Here, when Pallas begins to mention the Furies' appearance, $\lambda \in$ é $\gamma \omega$ (understood before $\dot{i} \mu a \hat{s}$ ) is rather to speak of, i. e. describe, and takes an accusative; thus regulating its case by the sense required." But Linwood's view seems to be more satisfactory, that Pallas checks herself at v. 390, not wishing to finish her disparaging remarks on the personal appearance of the strange divinities. Compare a like aposiopesis in Cho. 186.
391. ă $\mu о \mu ф о \nu$. So ed. Rob. for ă $\mu о р ф о \nu$. ' However, to speak ill of others without having cause for complaint, is far from just, and Right stands aloof from it.' Mr. Drake understands the vulgate thus, "For his neighbours to speak ill of a person because he is deformed." But the order of the words is against this, as well as the
 as in Ag. 785.
394. alayņs. The Med. and the Schol. give aiap $\hat{\eta}$. But alayds is a usual epithet of Night, as Ajac. 672, עuктঠs alavйs ки́клоя, 'Eternal Night' (inf. 642), or perhaps, in a secondary sense, 'wearisome,' 'oppressive.'
395. 'Apal. An offended person im-
precates on the head of his enemy the wrath of the avenging Furies. Cf. Od. ii.

400. тov̀ т̀̀ тépua. So Tyrwhitt and others for $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau o$ т $\epsilon \rho \mu a$, on aecount of the
 is to be joined. Cf. 291, тd $\chi$ aípely $\mu \bar{\eta}$
 We may translate, ' Where to feel joy in nothing is the usage of the place.' With $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \circ \hat{v}$ we should perhaps supply $\phi \rho \in \nu \omega \bar{\nu}$. If the poet had intended to negative $\nu o \mu i \zeta \epsilon \tau a l$, he would have written ovi $\delta a \mu 0$ v.
 or - $\epsilon \bar{L} \nu$. This verb means 'to make any shrill noise at a person,' as when a pursuer shakes his garments or his weapon, or shouts close behind the fugitive. So Eu-
 Fur. 860. Schol. è $\pi \iota \beta o \underset{q}{s}$, èmı $\beta \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota s$.
404. ä $\lambda \lambda \eta s$ ả $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta s$. 'What, without having to fear resentment from any (other) strong inducement?' i. e. Did he do this voluntarily, and without having to dread the consequences of neglecting some im-
 the injunction of Apollo, and nótoy refers to the penalties he predicted, inf. 444. For the peculiar use of a $\alpha \lambda \eta \lambda_{s}$ (omitted in our idiom) see Ag. 192. Pers. 633.

A@. Svoîv $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau o u v, ~ ท ̋ \mu \iota \sigma v s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ \pi \alpha ́ \rho a . ~$







406. भ/ $\mu \mathrm{\mu} \sigma \mathrm{v}$ s $\lambda$ dóros. 'I have as yet heard only the accuser; the defendant is silent.' The reply is, ' But he will neither take an oath on his own part, nor tender one to us.' Consequently, the proceedings are informal, because the Attic process required the $\pi \rho \delta \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$, or challenge on oath, as a preliminary step to the trial. Stanley refers to Lysias, p. 352, $\delta \mu$ èv

 speaking of the $\delta i \omega \mu$ orla. A person is said doûva, ${ }^{\text {8 }} \rho$ коу when he offers another an object to swear by; while he who accepts it, and 'takes' the oath, is said $\delta \epsilon \chi \in \sigma \theta a l$. For ${ }^{\circ} \rho \mathrm{p}$ коs is, primarily, not the oath itself, but the pledge or object on which a person swears. Sometimes, as
 means, on the contrary, 'to swear an oath' and 'to bind another by an oath,' i. e. to
 póvas, and $\lambda \alpha \beta \in i ̄ \nu$ ళ ४ккоу Eur. Suppl. 1188. Pausan. iv. 15, 4, 'Нракле́a ס̀̀


 The meaning, Müller rightly observes (Dissert. p. 146), is this: 'Orestes will scarcely allow us to name the oath which he shall take for asseveration of his innocence; nor will he readily consent to rest the issue upon our swearing to his guilt by whatever oath he shall please to propose to us. But Athena very properly refuses to admit such a mode of decision in this case, as a mere semblance of justice : never, with her consent, shall oaths gain the victory for the wrong cause.' Divested of legal technicality, the plain sense is this: The Furies say to Orestes, 'Will you swear you are not guilty ?' which, from conscientious motives, he declines to do. 'Will you tender us an oath then by which we may swear to your guilt ?' This also he naturally objects to,
because it would furnish them with a case against him. Now "both parties must be agreed to rest the issue to be tried on the oath of one or other of the parties" (Müller), and this constituted the $\pi \rho \delta$ $k \lambda \eta \sigma t s$, or challenge to swear, which Pallas treats with a kind of contempt as a mere form for diverting the law from its
 regards the Greek, où סov̂val $\theta^{\prime}$ ' $\lambda \in t$ is equivalent to oủk $\downarrow \nu \delta o i n$, and therefore Hermann and others needlessly read $\theta$ é $\lambda o c$.
408. к $\lambda$ ย́eı $\delta$ סıaiws. 'The course you are taking seems to shew that you are more anxious to be called just than to act justly.' - 'How so?' replies the chorus, as if indignant at the remark; 'explain, since you are not deficient in wisdom.'-'I say that an unjust cause does not prevail by the mere force of oaths.'-‘ Proceed then to question the parties, and decide the matter by a straightforward process,' i. e. without the preliminary of трокл $\eta \sigma t s$ or $\delta \iota \omega \mu о \sigma i \alpha$, as explained above.-On ė入é $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \iota \nu$ see Cho. 836.
412. 市 кal к.т. $\lambda$. 'Would you commit to me the decision of the charge?'' Of course, as revering one that is herself worthy and born of worthy parents.' Pallas does not ask to act as umpire, but simply as president at the trial pending between the two parties. Having obtained their consent, she appoints a jury of her own nomination, inf. 465.
 to the reading adopted in the first edition of this play, though I had rejected it, and not hastily, in the second for $\bar{a} \xi e^{\prime} \dot{a} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau^{2}$
 But the words of the Schol. leave no doubt that he found the reading in the text, ả $\xi(\omega \nu$ ồ $\sigma a y$ yové $\omega \nu$. Hermana and Minckwitz give $\sigma \in ́ \beta o v \sigma a l \gamma^{3}$ d̀ $\xi^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \gamma^{\prime} \stackrel{3}{\epsilon} \pi-$ $\alpha \xi \in \omega$, where the repetition of $\gamma \epsilon$, though perhaps capable of defence, is needless and inelegant. Dindorf has $\mathfrak{c}_{\xi} \mathfrak{l} \alpha \nu$ é $\pi \alpha \mathfrak{\xi} \mathfrak{l} \omega \nu$,














 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha ̆ \lambda \lambda o \iota s ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\circ} \dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \in \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \epsilon \theta a$



Franz $\dot{\alpha} \xi \xi \alpha \nu \sigma^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{3} \dot{\alpha} \xi i \omega \nu$. The error of the MSS. seems to have arisen from sup= posing $\kappa \alpha^{\prime} \pi^{3} \dot{a} \xi\{\omega \nu$ meant $\kappa \alpha\} \in\{\pi \alpha \xi\{\omega \nu$.
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \in$ ißov, Suppl. 245.
419. 'I $\xi$ tovos. The name, derived from iré $\sigma \theta a t$, implies his character of Suppliant. See inf. 687. Schol. 8у. трбтоу ка́кєі̀ขоs

 е̇ка日api $\sigma \theta \eta$ บ́ $\pi$ ठ $\Delta \iota o ́ s . ~$

 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{d} \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$. The anxiety ( $\mu$ é $\lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ ) resulted from the ambiguous use of mporlктwp, which, like $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \tau \rho \delta \pi \alpha, o s$, implied not merely a suppliant, but also a guilty one, i. e. not yet expiated. Hence Pallas has a doubt whether her statue is not polluted by his presence,-a doubt which Orestes immediately removes.
 ways of construing this passage. (1) 'Nor does pollution possess -your statue.' (2) 'Nor does your statue have pollution.' (3) 'Nor does pollution adhere to my hand, resting on your statue.' The first seems the most probable. For $\mathfrak{e} \phi \eta \mu$ é $\nu \eta$
the MSS. give $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \phi \in \subseteq o \mu \in ́ v \eta$. Hermann, Dindorf, and others edit є̇ф $\eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v$. There seems no reason why the hand itself should not be said ėфé $\langle\in \sigma \theta a \iota$, 'to rest upon a statue.' We have however $\beta p$ éтas $\epsilon^{2} \phi \eta \mu$ évழ in v. 387. The use of the dative is to be noticed; cf. Theb. 305,
 valent idiom is 'at the hand.' Contiguity to is the antecedent notion of reception from.
426. $\phi \theta 0 \% \gamma o \nu . \quad$ Speechless, that is, because he was not spoken to. Cf. Iph. Taur. 951, $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{h} \delta^{\prime}$ è $\tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \nu \alpha \nu \tau^{3} \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \phi \theta \in \gamma \kappa-$ $\tau \delta \nu \mu \epsilon$. Orest. 75. Oed. Tyr. 352. Herc. Fur. 1283, oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ă $\tau \alpha s ~ є \grave{m} \rho \rho \sigma \eta-$

427. $\frac{\check{c}}{} \sigma \tau^{2}$ ฝע. 'Until, by the ministration of a man who is a purifier from murder, the spurting of blood from a sucking pig shall have besprinkled him.' See Frag. 329. Müller, Diss. p. 124. For this use of $\sigma \phi a \gamma \hat{\lambda}$ compare Ag. 1360.
429. т $\rho$ ठs \% \& $\lambda$ 人ous otnoıs. 'At other houses, both by slain victims and by rumning water.' See sup. 230.
431. фооעтi $\delta \alpha$, i. e. the $\mu$ é $\lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ in v. 422. Schol. т ̀̀v тov̂ $\mu u ́ \sigma o u s$.
 ＇$A \rho \gamma \epsilon i o ́ s ~ \epsilon i \mu \iota, \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \delta ' ~ i \sigma \tau о \rho \epsilon i ́ s ~ к а \lambda \omega ิ s, ~$ ＇$A \gamma \alpha \mu \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \nu \nu{ }^{\prime}$ ả $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \alpha \nu \beta a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \mu o ́ \sigma \tau о \rho a$ ，


 $\mu \eta ं т \eta \rho$ катє́кта，тоькíخоıs ảyрєú $\mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$
 $\kappa \alpha ̉ \gamma \grave{\omega} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ ，$\tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu$,
 ả้тıктóvoıs тоıvaîбı фı入тáтov $\pi a \tau \rho o ́ s$. $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa о \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ Mo乡ias є̇ $\pi \alpha i ́ \tau \iota o s$, $a ̈ \lambda \gamma \eta \pi \rho \circ \phi \omega \nu \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i ́ \kappa \in \nu \tau \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \delta i ́ a$,

 $\pi \rho a ́ \xi a s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ُ \nu ~ \sigma o i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi र ̂ \eta ~ \tau a ́ \delta ’ ~ a i \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega . ~$




435．క̇̀̀v §．Schol．סià Toút $\omega \nu$ фı $\lambda 0-$ $\pi о \iota \epsilon i ̄ \tau a \iota ~ т \grave{\nu} \nu \theta \in \delta \nu$ ．

439．кри́ча $\sigma^{2}$ ，\＆к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．So Hermann for $\kappa \rho \dot{v} \psi a \sigma a$ ．The allusion is to the ex－ hibition of the blood－stained robe in Cho．
 Hence the use of the imperfect．On the meaning of éккартvfeiv see Ag． 1167.

443．kouvp̂．In common with my own natural desire for vengeance，the declara－ tion of Apollo，that I should suffer griefs if I omitted that duty，was a motive for acting．The Schol．explains $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \ldots \downarrow \hat{p}$ by $\pi \alpha, \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ ．

446．$\sigma \dot{v} \delta^{\prime}$ ．MSS．$\sigma$ ú $\tau^{2}$ ，corrected by Pearson．－
 may have fared before you（at your tri－ bunal），I shall be content．＇Schol．tà





 Compare also Pers． 227.

448．$\mu \in i \hat{S}$ ov，elitıs к．t．$\lambda$ ．＇Too great， if any mortal thinks to decide it．＇Schol．

 This comment，which is a confusion be－ tween eľis and 另 $\tau i s$ ，has wrongly induced Franz and Dindorf to read 莍 tes oletal， and Hermann ${ }^{\#}$ el tis oletai．Nothing can be simpler or better than the ordinary reading．

450．фóvou．The MSS．give $\phi$ óvous， and the Schol．appears also to have read of ${ }^{2}$ vinvicous，for his comment is，фóvous
 possible that Aeschylus wrote poovous
 about murders in trials which will bring

 the MSS．give $\mathfrak{b} \xi \nu \mu \eta \nu i \tau o v$, and the plain sense appears to be，＇It is not for me，a goddess of mercy rather than of ven－ geance，to decide causes of murder which brings with it the quick anger of these Furies，＇i．e．which it is their especial province to punish．



 $\kappa а i ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \tau v \chi$ о̂баı $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau о s ~ \nu \iota \kappa \eta \phi o ́ \rho o v$,





451．è $\mu$ ois．So Pauw for ${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \omega$ ．Others have proposed $\delta \delta \mu o t s \epsilon$ è $\mu$ ois in v．453．The reading and the interpretation of this pas－ sage are alike uncertain，and considerable difficulty arises in the rare word кarnp－ тик $\mathrm{\omega}$ s，which the Schol．explains té $\lambda$ etos т $̀ \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota n\{a \nu$ ，Hesychins $\tau \in ́ \lambda \in \cos$（others read $\tau \in \lambda \in \epsilon \omega \sigma a s$ ，the MSS．giving $\tau \in \lambda \in i \omega s)$ ，
 èкßd́лク $\pi$ ávтas тò̀s ó óóvтas．Euripides，

 Q．iii．28，translates subactus miseriis． The word is said by the grammarians to have been used of animals which have shed all their first teeth，as a horse is said to have done when four and a half years old（Aristot．H．A．vi．22）．Properly， perhaps，it was an elliptical phrase for ${ }^{\text {＇}}$ haring fitted the mouth fully with teeth．＇ Be this as it may，the notion was trans－ ferred to the time of life when they were of mature age．Then came the idea of ＇tamed，＇＇spirit－broken，＇which it bears in the present passage and in Euripides． Compare v．229，$\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda \dot{\nu} s$ 分 $\delta \eta \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \mu-$ $\mu$ évos $\tau \in$ ．Müller，assuming as true the more than questionable correction $\tau \in \lambda \in \epsilon-$ あoas in the above gloss of Hesychius，ex－ plains（Diss．p．106），＂having duly per－ formed every thing，＂i．e the ceremonies of purification．See New Cratylus，p． 296 （ed．1）．Antig．478，lттоиs катарти－ ө́́vtas．Translate：＇Especially as you on your part have come a worn－out sup－ pliant，purified and harmless，to my tem－ ple；but nevertheless（i．e．though a mur－ derer，since you have been expiated）I accept you as one who has incurred no blame from my city．＇For the crime had been committed at Argos，and therefore did not directly concern the Athenians． Hermann reads катทртикѝs $\delta \rho 6 \mu o \iota s$ ，＂worn out by your long wanderings，＇one or two of the MSS．giving $\delta \rho \delta \mu o t s$ as a variant at
the end of the next line．This is pro－ bable enough ；and so perhaps is his ai $\delta o \hat{\psi} \mu a l$ for $a i \rho o \hat{u} \mu \alpha \iota$ ，since $\alpha i \delta \epsilon i ̄ \theta \alpha \iota$ was the peculiar word for shewing mercy to suppliants．Cf．Suppl．631，aidoûvтa！$\delta^{\circ}$


454．aîzau $\delta^{\prime}$ ．The $\delta \hat{\varepsilon}$ answers $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ in 451．＇But these Furies（whom you would wish me to send away）have a con－ sideration which does not allow of their easy dismissal；and if they do not obtain the victory in this matter，poison here－ after，resulting to the country from their anger，falling on the plain will prove an intolerable and enduring disease．＇The Schol．explains ejuส́́ $\mu \pi \in \lambda o \nu$ by $\epsilon \dot{3} \pi a \rho a l-$
 seem to have been two words which he has confounded，єüтє́ $\mu \phi \in \lambda$ os（ $\delta \nu \sigma \pi \pi^{\prime} \mu$－ $\phi \in \lambda o s)$ and $\epsilon \dot{u} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \in \lambda o s$ ．The latter may be compared with $\delta\left\langle\sigma \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \circ s{ }_{\epsilon} \xi \omega\right.$ ，Ag． 1161，and is strongly confirmed by $\pi \epsilon \mu_{-}$ $\pi \varepsilon \iota y$ in v．459．－$\mu$ uípay，as $\theta$ eoùs $\mu$ oípas $\pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta \in \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega}$ ，Oed．Col．277．Some translate rationem，or conditionem．

455．тuरoṽocu．The＇nominativus pendens＇is very common in Aeschylus； but here，as above v．340，the construc－ tion was intended to be continued from the verb immediately preceding．－ids，the blight described inf．769．780－3，as $\sigma \tau \dot{d} \lambda a \gamma \mu a$ ，a venom distilling from the Furies，as from the mouths of serpents．
459．$\pi \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau$ ．The MSS．give $\delta \varepsilon$ for $\tau \epsilon$ ，and $\delta v \sigma \pi \eta \mu a \tau^{3}$ ．Hermann seems rightly to have adopted the slight correc－ tions of Auratus and Stanley．＇Thus then the matter stands；both alternatives， either for them to remain or for me to send them away，are perplexingly disas－ trous for me．＇The object of Pallas，as appears by the result，was to appease the Furies by giving them honours in the country，not to irritate them by sending them from her temple，as Apollo had

фóv $\omega \nu$ ঠıкабтàs ópкious aipovuévovs






x 0.
$\nu \hat{\nu}$ катабтгофаì $\nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$
$\sigma \tau \rho . a^{2}$.


summarily dismissed them at Delphi.
 Ag. 636.

 aitías té os;
461. סokiocs. So I before conjectured for $\delta \rho \kappa i \omega \nu$, the genitive having apparently arisen from assimilating the termination to $\phi \delta \nu \omega \nu,-\mathrm{a}$ frequent error. Cf. Ag. 96. 643. 1417. The. 783, and perhaps Tho. 13. Hermann and Dindorf give $\delta \rho \kappa$ ions aipounév $\eta$ after Casaubon. But aipeĩ тьусे \%ркотs may be satisfactorily defended by db $\rho a i ̃ o \nu ~ \lambda a \beta \in i ̂ \nu ~ \tau \iota \nu a ~ O e d . ~ R . ~ 276 . ~$ \%ркотs ката入 $\alpha \beta \epsilon i \nu$ Thus. i. 9. iv. 86. So Herod. iii. 74, $\pi\{\sigma \tau \iota \lambda a \beta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ cal $\delta р \kappa l o \iota \sigma t$. Ib. ix. 106, тои́тоия каталаßóvтеs докí-
 évpé $\theta \eta \nu$, but School. er $\lambda \dot{\eta} \phi \theta \eta \nu)$. The prosent participle is quite correctly used, since it was not for this occasion only, but for all time that the oath was to be administered to members of the Areopagus. Otherwise the poet might have preferred
 will appoint judges under the obligation of an oath as an institution which shall endure for all time. Hermann, objecting
 poses a line to have been lost, $\sigma \in \in \beta \in \iota$
 $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ т. $\lambda$.
463. $\mu$ артúpıa. Probably pronounced as a trisyllable, See on v. 764.
465. тà $\beta$ édт eta. For qoùs $\beta \in \lambda \tau \alpha \dot{a} \tau o u s$. The constitution of the Areopagus was aristocratic.
467. тарбутas - фр $\alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$. The MSS.
give $\pi \epsilon \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \tau a s$ - $\phi \rho \in \sigma l y$. But the Schorl.

 is the emendation of Markland. It is liable to an objection on the ground that $\phi p{ }_{d} \zeta_{\epsilon L \nu}$ seldom means simply ' to speak,' 'declare,' but 'to explain,' e. g. Prom. 626. Ag. 1028. See however inf. 593. - Pallas here leaves the stage.
468. The ode which follows is an elaborate exposition of the use (so to speak) of Erinyes, as keeping in check the tendency of man to sin, and as regurating society by the force of Conscience. Their office once being removed, they argue, all sense of justice will vanish, and with justice will vanish piety towards parents, reverence for the gods, and respent for fellow-creatures.-катабтpoфal $\nu \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \theta \in \sigma \mu / \omega \nu$. 'Changes to new laws.' Properly, 'The subversion of (old) laws so as to become new.' Cf. Prom. 317,
 the chorus, 'the cause and the wrong of this matricide shall triumph, there will be an end of the principles which have hitherto governed society, and new ones will prevail.'
 over all men by the ease of committing it;' or perhaps, ' will reconcile them to the reckless commission of crime.' For
 that unconcern and indifference about the right or wrong of a thing which makes men disregard consequences. So Plato,
 $\tau \omega \sigma \iota \tau \eta ิ s \pi o \nu \eta p l a s$. Dem. Mid. p. 548, $\lambda\{a \nu$ e $\dot{\chi} \chi \in \rho$ ai $s$.


 какц̀,

äкєá т’ ov̉ $\beta \in ́ \beta a \iota \alpha ~ \tau \lambda a ́ \mu \omega \nu$ [ $\delta$ é $\tau \iota s] \mu a ́ \tau \alpha \nu \pi \alpha р \eta \gamma о \rho \epsilon i ̂ . ~$ $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ к \iota к \lambda \eta \sigma \kappa є ́ т \omega$ $\xi \nu \mu \phi$ о $\hat{a}$ т $\tau \epsilon \tau \nu \mu \mu \in ́ \nu о \varsigma$, $485^{\circ}$ $\tau 0 \hat{\tau}{ }^{\text {' }}$ є̈ $\pi$ os $\theta \rho o o v ́ \mu \in \nu 0 s$,今̂ ठiка, 今̂ $\theta$ ро́voı $\tau^{3}{ }^{3} E \rho \iota \nu v ́ \omega \nu$. $\tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha ́ ~ \tau \iota s ~ \tau a ́ \chi ’ ~ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\alpha} \nu \pi a \tau \eta ̀ \rho$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ $\nu \epsilon o \pi \alpha \theta$ خेs
 490 $\stackrel{้}{\epsilon} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ő $\pi \mathbf{~}$ $\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.
 taken, as Minckwitz has pointed out, with $\pi \rho о \sigma \mu \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$.
476. ơ̈te $\gamma$ d́p. Answered by $\delta \hat{c}$ in 480; though Hermann and Dind. read où $\dot{\delta} \hat{k} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. The $\gamma \bar{\alpha} p$ is anticipative, for
 belongs to é $\rho \gamma \mu \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu$, not to $\mu \alpha . \nu \alpha \delta \omega \nu$, as т $6 \delta^{\prime}$ ' $\mathrm{E} \rho \gamma \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$, above. The sense is, ' Since no wrath against such deeds will henceforth proceed from the infuriated watchers of man's actions, I will permit (let loose) every kind of murder.' Schol. ov̀ $\tau^{t} \mu \omega-$

 783, and the Homeric $\pi \delta \tau \mu o \nu$ or $\chi$ єipas द̈фе̂̀vat, Il. i. 567. iv. 396. Od. xvii. 130.
481. $\lambda \hat{\eta} \xi\llcorner\nu$ ט́т $\delta \delta 0 \sigma$ iv $\tau \epsilon$. 'The cessation and succession of troubles,' i. e. for himself, implied by the strong contrast in
 shall learn from each other that no sooner has one trouble ceased than another arises. Hermann, Franz, and Minckwitz, adopt the reading of MS. Ven. iju $\delta \delta v \sigma t v$, ' escape

 increase;' and so the Schol. explains $\delta \iota a \delta o \chi \not \eta v$. Scholefield translates, "Will
inquire for the leaving off and decrease of troubles,' i. e. will ask when there is to be an end of them,-as $M \in \nu e ́ \lambda \in \omega \nu$ ס̀̀ $\pi \in U ́ \theta o \mu \alpha \iota$ Ag. 600. And so perhaps the Schol.


482. वั $\kappa \in \alpha ́ \alpha$ ' ous $\beta \in \in \beta a l a$. 'And the unhappy man talks in vain of the uncertainty of remedies.' The words $\delta \in$ tés seem to have been inserted by some one who did not perceive that $\tau \lambda a \dot{\mu} \mu \omega \nu$ agreed with the preceding $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ os. The MSS. give ${ }^{2} \kappa \in \tau^{3}$ ou $\beta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \beta a \iota \alpha$, but $\psi_{\kappa} \in \sigma \tau^{3}$ is the reading of Flor. Ven. Hermann well compares Apoll. Rhod. ii. 622. for the peculiar use of $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \gamma o \rho \in \hat{L} \nu$, 'to speak of a thing by way


488. тaṽa, 'thus.' See on Suppl. 396.
491. єั $\sigma \theta$ ' 't $\pi о v$. 'There are cases where it is right that awe should remain enthroned, exercising a proper guardianship over the mind.' This sentiment is directed against those who under the false cry of liberty would remove every restraint, and even do away with government itself (v. 500). There was an old saw tbat awe and reverence were inseparable, lıa $\delta \in ́ o s$,








$\sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.
 501
aivéoŋns．



505


$\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega ̄ \nu$ ó $\pi a ̂ \sigma \tau \nu$ фídos
каї то入ข́єขктоs ö̀ $\beta_{\text {ßos．}}$

$\beta \omega \mu$ òv aïठєбal סíkas＂ 511



 involving the idea of majesty，see Suppl． 94.

494．$\delta \in \hat{i}$ 低 $y \in L D . ~ S o ~ D o b r e e, ~ D i n d o r f, ~$ Minckwitz，and Donaldson，for $\delta \in!\mu a i v e l$ ．
 עむิy $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ ．Hermann and Linwood give


495．$\dot{v} \pi \delta \quad \sigma \tau \in \in \cup \in$, ，through suffering．＇ On this doctrine see Ag． 169.

496．тis סè к．т．A．＇For who，if he cherishes no seatiment of fear（ $\delta$ etydy， v．491）in the gaiety of his heart，－be it state or be it individual，－would be likely any longer to reverence justice as hereto－ fore（ $\delta \mu \mathrm{Hows}$ ）？＇I have inserted $t \nu$ before duarpéprov for the sake of the metre． Hermann supplies ${ }^{\prime} \tau$＇ ．Franz has ăula тpé $\oint \omega v$, and so Donaldson，after H．L． Ahrens．The MSS．give rapsiay，but the Schol．explains $\delta \nu \lambda a \mu \pi \rho \delta \tau \eta \tau t ~ ф \rho \in \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ ．

500．ad ${ }^{2}{ }^{\prime} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau 0 \nu$ ．The excellent correc－ tion of Wieseler for àдарктод．The MSS．
 кєтоע．Compare àmeú $\chi \in \tau 0 \varsigma$, Cho．614， with полúєuктos inf．509．We have $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma-$
aparos Cho．1013，but the open form
 contraction，$\chi$ becomes $\kappa$ on account of the dental immediately following．




 tepos rifvetat à $\begin{aligned} & \text { topwtos．Eur．Frag．}\end{aligned}$ Alcmaeon．xiii．（82 Dind．）Bporoîs $\tau d$

 things（i．e．whatever is in extreme）he regards differently，＇viz．with displeasure． The words are here used by a sort of eu－ phemism．See on $\mu \eta$ тoiov，Suppl．394． Hermann explains＂alia aliter gubernat， i．e．alia aliis rebus pro suo arbitrio attri－ buit；＂Linwood，＂neque enim omnia eodem lumine aspicit Deus．＂
 ther，perhaps，＇consistent with the above opinion，＇viz．about the necessity of $\delta$ éos， v．491．For the doctrine about insolence， the child of impiety，see Ag． 734.
 ＇By all means，＇＇under all circumstances．＇ 511．$\beta \omega \mu$ ди $\delta$ iккаs．See Ag．375．The

$\mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \nu \iota \nu$,   кúpıò $\mu$ н́vє тélos． 515<br>$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \tau о \kappa \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ ß a s ~ \epsilon \hat{~} \pi \rho о \tau i \omega \nu$ ， каi $\xi \in \nu o t i ́ \mu o u s$<br>$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi a ̀ s ~ \delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$<br>ai̊ó́ $\mu \epsilon \nu o ́ s ~ \tau \iota s$ ё $\sigma \tau \omega$ ．<br> <br>  тà $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ́ \phi v \rho \tau$＇ä $\nu \in v$ ठíkas<br><br>$\lambda а i ̂ \phi o s$, ö $\tau \alpha \nu \lambda \alpha \beta_{\eta} \pi$ то́vos，<br>Өраvoнévas кєраías．<br><br>$\delta v \sigma \pi a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \epsilon . \delta i v a{ }^{\circ} \cdot$

aorist ${ }^{\text {ritita }}$ from $\dot{\alpha} \tau l \zeta \omega$ is remarkable， though $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} I \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha$ is in common use，－ whether in the indicative，it might be worth while to inquire．The Med．has тathons，which cannot be reconciled with the metre．

515．кúpıov $\mu$ é $\lambda \in i$ té̀los．To every man a proper and fitting end is in reserve， viz，punishment or reward according to his deserts．
 able frequentings，＇a mere periphrasis for ＇guests，＇the virtue of hospitality being here，as above v． 260 and elsewhere，as－ sociated with piety to parents．For the sepetition of $\tau$ is see Suppl． 58.

520．Eixciv $\delta^{\delta}$ ．So Wieseler for $\epsilon_{k} \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \delta^{\delta}$ ． Plato，almost in the same words，enun－ tiates this sentiment in Resp．ii．§ 4，
 ¢ $\delta \mu \in \nu=\nu . \quad$ Propert．v．11，48，＇Mi Natura dedit leges a sanguine ductas，Ne possem melior judicis esse metu．＇

522．$\pi a \nu \omega \dot{\lambda} \lambda \in \theta \rho o s \delta^{3}$ ．The $\delta \hat{t}$ was added by Heath．There appears to be some clause left to be implied（＇ He may not in－ deed be exempt from trials and sufferings）； but utterly destroyed he will never be．＇

523．кal лараıßáта⿱亠䒑．So Herm．for
 quired by the metre，and is by no means superfluous to the sense．＇I say also that the man who transgresses in daring de－ fiance，and acts for the most part in an indiscriminate way without justice，will in time draw in his sail perforce，when trouble has overtaken him，and his yard－ arms are breaking．＇The meaning con－ cealed under all this verbiage，is $\tau \delta \nu \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 кal $\xi \nu \mu \phi о \rho \dot{\alpha} s \quad \tau \in \lambda \in u \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \mu$ ．To act with order and sobriety，$\sigma \omega \phi p \delta \nu \omega s$ and кат $\kappa \delta \sigma \mu o \nu$ ，is to act virtuously；opposed to which is the confusion which does not distinguish right from wrong．

525．кäभ̆ซє！ ．Linwood quotes Od．



 $\nu \alpha \nu \tau i \lambda \omega \nu$ ঠíкп $\nu, \sigma \tau \rho о \beta о ч \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ．

529．$\delta v a \pi \alpha \lambda \in i$ ．Probably the verb，not the dative of $\delta \nu \sigma \pi a \lambda \eta$ ，because mere epithets are not properly coupled by $\tau \epsilon$ ． Herod．viii．21，єìXe $\pi$ тоîov кат̂̂pes




530．$\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \hat{\varphi}$ ，i．e．$\theta \epsilon \rho \mu o v \rho \gamma \hat{\varphi}$ ，a gloss which has crept into the MSS．in place of the true reading．Cf．vaúraıनt Qeppois，$^{2}$ Theb．599，＇rash，＇＇hot－headed，＇\＆c．
 is nego，so oйтотє $\phi \eta \mu \mathrm{i}$ would mean neyo futurum ut－．Hence the sense here is， ＇sseing the man who never expected it would come to this，＇\＆c．Schol．Tiv


 $\lambda a \pi \alpha \delta \nu \partial \nu, ~ i . e . ~ a ̀ \lambda ~ \lambda a \pi a \delta \nu \partial \nu, ~ ' w e a k, ' ~ ' e z-~$ bausted，＇has been rightly admitted by Hermann and others from Musgrave＇s conjecture，$\lambda \in ́ \pi \alpha \sigma \nu 0 \nu$ being only known as
 ärpav，＇weathering the point，＇i．e．getting safely round the dangerous promontory． Others understand＇keeping his head above water；＇but Lucian uses it in the former sense，＂E $\rho \omega \tau \epsilon s$ ，p．405，Reitz．，

 Eurip．frag．Archel． 229 （Dind．）où $\gamma$ d̀ $\rho$


534．＇̈ $¢ \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ ，＇the reef．＇Cf．Ag． 977. －alduos，so Porson，Hermann，and others
 Oovtos oütis àえんкは́．

536．Athena now returns accompanied by twelve elect citizens who are to be installed as the first judges in the court of the Areopagus．The place is now sup－ posed to be the hill of Mars，represented by means of the periactos，or shifting scenes．Müller，misled by v．657，sup－ poses the session to be still in the citadel， with only a distant prospect of the hill as shewn by a painting．He well observes that Pallas addresses the whole body of the spertators in her inauguration speech， and that the Areopagites must be sup－ posed to occupy chairs in the semicir－
cular curve of the orchestra under the amphitheatre．Without doubt the ex－ pression in $\mathbf{v}$ ． 540 ，＇while this council is assembling，＇alludes to their march from the parodos to take the places assigned them as above．（See Dissert．p．60．） When they come to vote on the question of guilty or not guilty，the thymele or central altar serves as the table from which they successively take their votes and deposit them in the urn．－катеtр－ radou．So Pors．，Herm．for катєp ${ }^{2} \theta$ ou． Dindorf，Franz，and Linwood give－ov̂， as ${ }^{2} \mu \nu v a \theta o v i ~ i n ~ v . ~ 416 . ~ I t ~ m a y, ~ p e r h a p s, ~$ be questioned，whether Aeschylus did not prefer the Ionic form ${ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma \in \sigma \theta a l$（common
 Suppl． 61 the MSS．agree in ép $p \neq \mu$ éva．

537．ท̂ óáropos oùpazoû．The MSS．
 The correction oùpapov is due to Askew， and it is a probable one，not only because $\delta$ tá ofopas seems to require some genitive， but because the compendium ouvou was easily corrupted into oĩv．Cf．Aen．viii． 526，＇Tyrrhenusque tubae mugire per aethera clangor．＇Hermann retains $\epsilon / \tau^{2}$ o $\hat{b} v$ dadapop with the lacuna of a word． Yet surely $\epsilon \bar{\tau} \tau a$ ，not $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon$ ，is suggested by the imperative фaıvétc．The sense is，＇Call the people to order，and then let the sound of the trumpet proclaim silence，＇\＆c．The Farnese MS．has $\epsilon /{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ oùv סıákтороs $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ T．，m mere attempt at a metrical correction．The anachron－ ism in attributing to Pallas a Tyr－ rhenian trumpet has been noticed by others．So also Sophocles，Ajac． 17. The legend of its invention is given by Pausanias，ii．21，3．The use of the trumpet in the $\sigma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta$ tos $\epsilon_{\kappa} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ of the Athenians is well known．Without doubt its notes were now actually heard in the theatre．





 $\tau i ́ \tau o v ̂ \delta \epsilon ~ \sigma о i ̀ \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o \varsigma, \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$.







 555




#### Abstract

543. кal $\tau 6 \nu \delta \delta^{\circ}$. So the Med., but most MSS. and the Schol. have kal têy $\delta^{\circ}$. The general sense is the same: 'the citizens collectively are to hear my institutions intended for all time, and Orestes in particular is to do so, in order that his suit may be justly and formally decided,' Hermann reads ék $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$, and supposes a verse to have been lost after $\mu \alpha \theta \in i \nu \quad \theta \in \sigma \mu \circ$ ùs $\epsilon \mu o v s^{\prime}$. If $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \in$ be read, we may readily understand $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \in \delta i \kappa \eta$ of the suit pending between Orestes and the Furies.  this on perceiving Apollo to approach to take a part in the trial.

546-7. $\delta \delta \mu \omega \nu . \quad$ Probably a transcriber's error in one or the other of these verses. Hermann reads $\nu$ ó $\mu \varphi$ in the former, with G. Burges ; Mr. Drake proposes $\mu 0 \lambda \omega \nu$. Franz reads $\mu v \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ in the second after H. L. Ahrens.  is the counsel for the defendant, $\xi v \nu \eta \gamma o \rho o s$ for the plaintiff. But the distinction is not always accurately observed, as inf. 731. The Schol, has an idea, derived


apparently from the words immediately following, that givoikos is 'one equally implicated in the accusation.' Though he is probably wrong, his comment is worth consideration.
 $\kappa \dot{\varphi} \rho \omega \sigma \sigma \nu$, except Flor. which has $\kappa u \rho \omega \sigma \omega \nu$. The article is added from a former conjecture of the present editor. 'Do you, whoever intends to give his sanction to
 the cause.' To which Pallas replies, 'Tis for you (the Furies) to speak, for I will act as $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon u^{\prime}$. This correction restores the sense perfectly by changing $\epsilon$ into O. Hermann and others read $\delta \pi \omega \omega$
 case $\sigma \dot{v}$ must be addressed to Pallas.
555. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha$ í. Schol. toûto où $\pi \rho$ д̀s tàs $\tau \rho \in \mathrm{is}$ (sc. the number commonly assigned in the later mythology), $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{2} \pi \rho \partial_{s} \tau \delta \nu$
 idea that only three were properly ${ }^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{E} \rho \iota-$ $\nu \dot{v} \in s$, the remainder being called ${ }^{2}$ Apal, sup. 395.








OP. каì $\delta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \rho o ́ ~ \gamma ं ~ a ̀ \epsilon i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau u ́ \chi \eta \nu$ ov̉ $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi о \mu a \iota$.


XO. עєкроі̂бí $\nu v \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta_{\iota} \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ к \tau а \nu \omega ́ \nu . ~$







559. т $\omega \nu$ т $\rho t \hat{\omega} \nu . \quad$ The third fall in wrestling was decisive of defeat, and the vanquished party was then said кei $\sigma \theta$ ou
 татрós. Il. xxiii. 733, каl $\nu$ v́ кє тठ

 Soph. Frag. 678, 13, тíh ov̉ $\pi a \lambda a a^{\prime} o v \sigma^{\prime}$ ès
 ärplakтos, Ag. 165. Cho. 331.
565. $\delta$ uávets. 'What! the prophetgod suggest to you to kill your móther ?' - Yes, and up to this time I have no reason to complain of my lot,' i. e. he has kept his promise in protecting me.$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \in \dot{i} \tau o$ means, 'acted as $\epsilon \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta s$, or interpreter of the moral law.'
568. $\pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi \in$. So the Scholiast. The MSS. have $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon t$, which might mean ' keeps sending me assistance,' to support me in my troubles.
569. $\pi \in \in \pi \in \sigma \theta l$. A form of reduplicated aorist, like à $\nu \omega \chi{ }^{0}$ く Cho. 759, кéкра $\chi \theta_{1}$ Acharn. 335, and the Homeric

570. т $\rho 0 \sigma \beta$ ß $\lambda$ às, Schol. $\sigma u \nu \tau v \chi$ las. 'I did it, because she had the union of two
defilements,' viz. by killing her husband and my father.- $\mu \alpha \sigma \mu a ́ \tau o l \nu$ for - $\omega \nu$ is Elmsley's correction. Cf. Ag. 1355.
573. тoı $\gamma$ dp. Herm. тl rap; Quid id $a d$ rem? Cf. 648. But тor $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ implies some ellipse:- Well then, she killed her husband, and you killed your mother. So far you are equal. But you are yet alive, while she has paid the penalty by her death.' -'If $I$ am to be persecuted on that plea, why did you not chase her, before she was put to death ?'- 'Because she did not, like you, kill a blood-relation.' See above, v. 202.-For 'фбvou of the MSS. Herm., Dind., and Minakwitz adopt Schütz's correction $\phi 6 v \nLeftarrow$. The genitive would mean 'free from the guilt of blood,' but then we must understand 'by her own death;' the dative expresses this, but leaves alparos to be supplied with è $\lambda \in u \theta$ épa.
576. $\epsilon^{\gamma} \gamma \dot{\omega} \delta \dot{k} \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. This, which at first sight seems rather a simple question, involves the doctrine afterwards laid down by Apollo, that the child owes his sole existence to the father.-Evids 广awns, cf. Cho. 979.



$\delta \rho a ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho, \check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ єं $\sigma \tau i \nu$, оv่к $\dot{\alpha} \rho \nu о v \not \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ．


 $\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \grave{\nu}, \delta_{\iota \kappa \alpha i ́ \omega s,} \mu \alpha ́ \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \not \omega \nu \delta^{\circ}$ ov̉ $\psi \epsilon v ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha i$.
 ov̉к ả $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s, ~ o v ̉ ~ \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa o ̀ s, ~ o v ̉ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \pi \epsilon ́ p u, ~$ ồ $\mu \eta ̀ ~ к \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ Z \epsilon \grave{s}{ }^{\prime} O \lambda u \mu \pi i ́ \omega \nu \pi \alpha \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho$ ． тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ סíkaıoע $\tau \circ \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\sigma o \nu ~ \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \mu a \theta \epsilon i \nu$,



 $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu o v ̂ ~ \tau \iota \mu a ̀ s ~ \nu e ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu ;$
AП．ov̉ $\gamma a ́ \rho \tau \iota \tau a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu$ ，ä $\nu \delta \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i ̂ o \nu ~ \theta a \nu \epsilon i \nu$ 595
 каì таv̂та $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa o ̀ s, ~ o v ̉ ~ \tau \iota ~ \theta o v p i ́ o \iota s ~$


579．延 ${ }^{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ồ．See on 565．Müller， Diss．p． 154.

583．ठокє $\imath_{\text {，}}$ sc．$\pi \in \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \chi \theta \alpha \iota$ implied in $\delta \rho \bar{\alpha} \sigma a l . \quad$ See a similar ellipse Cho． 426. Sup． 446.

588．ठ $\mu \hat{\eta}$ кe入 $\kappa \dot{v} \sigma \alpha l$ ．So Herm．for кє入 $\epsilon 6 \sigma \epsilon$ ，The Romans would have said Nihil dico quod non jusserit Jupiter． Nihil dixi quod non jussisset Jupiter． Compare Dem．p．1198，init．$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \in s \quad \gamma \mathrm{~d} \rho$

 ঠ̈тE（fort． $8 \tau \iota$ ）кє入íбкоута．Where some MSS．give кє－入edoreтe．－Apollo the interpreter of Zeus， sup． 19.

589．rd ס＇ка⿰оv тoûto，this plea，this justification．In condemning Orestes you will be condemning Zeus．

590．$\pi i \phi a b \sigma \kappa \omega$, I declare to you by authority，Cho．271．－$\because \mu \mu$ ，an Aeolicism， renrarkable in a senarius．Cf．Antig．846，
$\sigma \nu \mu \mu \alpha \rho т и р \alpha s$ ঠै $\mu \mu^{3} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \tau \bar{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ．The Areo－ pagites，not the Furies，are addressed．

591．\％ркоs $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ ．＇For certainly an oath has not greater authority than Zeus．＇ That is，your oath to decide according to justice（sup．467）must be held secondary to the revealed will of Zeus．This implies that legally Orestes would be condemned， but that he is morally right，because he was merely the instrument in executing the commands of a superior．Compare
 $\lambda e ́ \gamma \omega$ ．
 $\nu \in ́ \mu e t \nu$ ，see Prom． 300.
 pends either on $\pi \rho \delta s$ from the preceding verse，or on $\tau 6 \xi$ gos．Linwood compares a more irregular and obscure construction in Trach．767，$\pi \rho о \sigma \pi \tau \dot{u} \sigma \sigma \in \tau \alpha \iota \quad \pi \lambda \in u p \alpha \hat{\iota} \sigma t \nu$



àrò $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \nu \iota \nu ~ \eta \jmath \mu \pi о \lambda \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha ~$
 §роїтך $\pi \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{\omega \tau \tau ~ \lambda о v \tau \rho a ̀ ~ к a ̉ \pi i ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \tau \iota ~}$ фа̂pos $\pi a \rho \in \sigma \kappa \eta ́ \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$, ėv $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\tau \in ́ \rho \mu о \nu \iota$

 тov̂ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \sigma \sigma \epsilon ́ \mu \nu o v, \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \lambda a ́ \tau o v \nu \epsilon \omega ิ \nu-$ $\tau a v ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau o L a v ́ \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \hat{i} \pi o \nu, \dot{\omega} \delta \eta \eta \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \omega े \varsigma$,





AП. $\hat{\AA} \pi \alpha \nu \tau o \mu \iota \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \alpha \lambda \alpha, \sigma \tau u ́ \gamma \eta \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$,

602. "a' atyous. So I formerly pro-
 and Dindorf suppose a verse to have been lost. The former retains ${ }_{\alpha} \mu \epsilon t \nu 0 \nu$, and translates, rebus plerisque bene gestis.
 $\kappa \dot{\tau} \tau a \dot{a} \pi \dot{\jmath} \sigma \tau \rho a r e i a s$. But one can hardly doubt that ${ }_{a} \mu \mathrm{elv}$ v is corrupt. Something is wanted to agree with єüpooनtv, and that something is opportunely supplied from Ag. 890, where Agamemnon says to his wife, ad $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ èvatal $\mu \omega s$ aiveiv,
 Translate; 'For having received him on his return from the army, after having successfully conducted most of the affairs, with friendly praises, she extended a garment like a canopy over the laver, even to its very end, as he was going through the bath (performing his ablutions), and strikes down her husband, having entangled him in an endless embroidered robe.' With $\grave{\alpha} \pi \grave{\partial}$ atpatelas supply some word like $\sigma \omega \theta \in \in \nu \tau a$. Cf. Ag.
 - $\quad$ м $\mu о \lambda \eta к о \tau \alpha$, 'huving done a good trade in,' 'having made a gain of,' i. e. having successfully accomplished. So Theb. 540,
 to entangle his feet as well as his hands,
 ă $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \frac{\nu}{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{\beta} \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho o \nu$. Orest. 25, $\pi \delta \sigma \tau \nu$
 ing of the Med. and Schol. is $\pi \in \rho \in \sigma \kappa \eta-$ $\nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$, on which see Ag. 1116.
608. тоaúт $\eta$ v. Hermann inserts $\delta^{3}$ with Pauw. But roîos, tooov̂tos, are often used without any connection, e. g. Prom. 941, and the $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in 606 does not necessarily require $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$, for there is no direct antithesis intended between the murdered and the murderess.
610. $\pi a \tau \rho \partial{ }^{\prime} \mu \delta \rho o \nu$. 'Zeus has especial regard for the murder of a father, according to your account; and yet he himself put in chains his aged father Cronos.'- $\pi \rho 0-$ $\tau \mu \bar{q}$, i. e. before that of a mother. Zeus, under the attribute of $\pi a \tau \rho \varphi \hat{0}$ (Trach. 753), was pre-eminently the guardian of paternal rights.- $\delta \mu a \hat{s}$, i. e. you judges; 'I call upon you to take notice of his answer.'
615. $\lambda u ́ \sigma \in \epsilon \in \nu$, i. e. Zeus. I formerly followed linwood in supposing the nominative to be $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta$. Others understand $\tau t s,-$ but neither is right. Müller (Diss. p. 184) well observes, that here, as in the opening of the play, the object of the poet was to do away with certain old legends about the strife and
каi ка́ $\rho \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \lambda \eta े ~ \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta ̀ ~ \lambda v \tau \eta ́ \rho ı o s . ~$

 тоút $\omega \nu$ Є่ $\pi \omega \delta \dot{a ̀ s}$ oủk є่ $\pi о i ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$
 $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \omega \nu \tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, ov̉סèv ả $\sigma \theta \mu \alpha i ́ \nu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \in \iota$.








conflict between two orders of gods, and to replace them by views of a milder and more conciliatory character. Hence, though he does not say that Zeus did loose Cronos, he argues that the offence was small, because he might at any time do so, whereas a murder once committed is irreparable. For this latter and oftrepeated sentiment compare V .251 . Ag. 989. Suppl. 443. Il. ix. 408 , ả $\nu \delta \rho \dot{\rho}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \delta \bar{k}$


 them upside down as he will, so as entirely to change therm. $-0 \dot{v} \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \dot{\mathfrak{a}} \sigma \theta \mu a l \nu \omega \nu$, not panting with fatigue; be does it easily, without any exertion of strength, however vast the operation. Cf. $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \nu$

622. $\pi \bar{\omega} s$ रdp. The meaning is, Suppose Orestes is acquitted through your advocacy, what good will that do him? He can never appear again in his own country, nor share in religious privileges with his own clansmen, as a matricide.$\tau \delta \phi \in d \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, in accusative after $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \delta \iota \kappa \in i ́ s$, expressing the result of it. Cf. Ajac.


 Ag. 1003. Müller, Diss. p. 96, "It was more particularly the Phratria, a family community on an enlarged scale, and held together by religious rites, that was offended by the presence of a manslayer.

They not only took vengeance upon any member of another Pluratria who had slain one of their own body, but also never failed to expel from among themselves any member who lay under the pollution of blood."
628. nєєє入 $\eta \mu$ évou. Hermann has ke$\kappa \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ with MSS. Flor. Ven. The sense is virtually the same in either case; the point being that тéкyoy and токès' both come from tiкcw. ©The parent of that which is called the $\tau$ fénov (of her so-called child), is not really the mother of it , but only the nurse of the newly conceived fetus. It is the male who is' the author of its being, while she, as a stranger' for a stranger (i. e. no blood re-' lation), preserves the young plant, in the case of those for whom the god shall not have blighted it' (inf. 869. 898). Plu-' tarch, de Stoicorum repugnant. § xli. $\tau \delta$





 the male alone generates, has been adopted by Euripides, Orest. 552,

[^11]


 $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v s$ тápєбть $\pi \alpha i ̂ s{ }^{\prime} O \lambda \nu \mu \pi i ́ o v \Delta i o ̀ s$, où $\delta^{\circ}$ द̇̀ $\sigma \kappa o ́ \tau o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \nu \eta \delta v ́ o s ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \rho a \mu \mu$ év $\eta$,






 $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau$ тov̀s $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \pi o ́ \rho o u s$.


 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \delta^{\circ}$ ảкоv̂ $\sigma \alpha l, \pi \omega ̂ s ~ a ̉ \gamma \omega ̀ \nu ~ к \rho \iota \theta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l . ~$


Plutarch, Symposiac. iii. Quaest. iv. § iii.



630. \% $\theta \rho \omega \sigma \kappa \omega \nu . ~ ' T h e ~ m a l e . ' ~ C o n-~$ nected with $\theta a \rho \partial s$, Objvvuac. Hesych.
 $\mu a \tau i \zeta \omega \nu, \gamma \in \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. Ai $\sigma \chi \chi^{i} \lambda о s{ }^{\prime} A \mu \nu \mu \omega \nu \eta$. (From this gloss $\theta \rho \omega \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \kappa \nu \omega \dot{\delta} a \lambda a$ has been usually admitted among the fragments of Aeschylus. But the stop should probably be placed before к $\nu \dot{\sigma} \delta a \lambda \alpha$, not after it.)
635. oủdé. 'Not even,'-' not so much as.' Not only not engendered of a woman, but not even nurtured in the womb, like all others. Schütz reads оијк, while Linwood, Herm., Dind., suppose a verse to have been lost in which her birth from the head of Zeus was mentioned. Compare however Theb. 1038, тои́тou $\delta \stackrel{\text { E }}{ }$
 боутa. Mr. Drake quotes Thuc. iv. 84,


 his own person he might ratify a treaty
between Athens and Argos for all time.
 $\tau \delta \delta^{\prime}{ }_{\eta} \mu$ е́pas к. $\tau . \lambda$. , some such word as $\tau \in ́ \lambda o s$ or $\pi \lambda$ 亿的 $\mu a$ being implied.
643. ėँiand́pous. Schol. àmoरóvous. The series is (1) Orestes, (2) his posterity,
 $\tau \hat{\nu} \delta \mathrm{\delta}$ refers to the spectators then present.
644. àm $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$ s. 'According to their true opinion ;' 'to the best of their judgment.' Schol. of סокıдд́'єтаи (' what they approve of'). Eur. Ion 1313, toùs yó-

 389, means 'without judgment,' as à $\pi$ ' e $\lambda \pi$ idos is 'contrary to hope,' Ag. 969,
 of the mark nor unexpectedly,' Od. xi. 344.
648. $\pi \omega ิ s ~ r ı \theta \in i \sigma \alpha$. ' How must I arrange it so as not to incur blame from you?' The Greeks do not say $\pi \hat{\omega} s \bar{\omega}$, $\pi \omega ิ s \gamma_{\epsilon} \nu \omega \mu \alpha \iota$, without the addition of some subject or matter for deliberation, though they do say $\tau \ell \pi d \theta \omega$; for $\tau \ell \pi \in\{\sigma o \mu a l ;$


##   <br>     $\pi a ́ \gamma o \nu ~ \delta ' ~ " A \rho \epsilon \iota o \nu ~ \tau o ́ v \delta ', ~ ' A \mu a \zeta o ́ v \omega \nu ~ ধ ̌ \delta \rho a \nu ~$ 

$\pi \alpha \dot{d} \theta$, Theb. 286. Thus in the present instance the real meaning is, $\pi \bar{\omega} s \tau_{t} \theta \hat{\omega}$


 or $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$, not, I think, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \nu$, which is usually supplied. For the Greeks say $\tau(\theta \in \sigma \theta a t$ rather than $\tau t \theta \in ́ v a l$ in this sense, as inf. 705, $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi \circ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ 'O $\rho \in ́ \sigma \tau \eta \eta\left\{\eta \delta^{\prime}\right.$
 she is to conduct the voting so as not to incur the charge of partiality. The chorus do not deign to reply, but appeal solely to the judges to abide by their oaths.
651. 'Aтtıкd́s. Herm. à àtikds, as in 950.
653. kal $\tau \delta \lambda o u \pi \delta v$. Hermann, followed by Minckwitz, has inserted before this verse v. 674-6, alleging that they are " hic necessarii, illic inepti aperteque sero positi." But there seems little ground for this assertion. In the first place, кal $\tau \delta \lambda o u \pi \delta \nu$ naturally and properly follows as an immediate antithesis to $\pi \rho \omega$ ítas סícas крivoutes, 'Now that you are deciding the first trial for bloodshedding.' Secondly, the near recurrence of Bounevriptoy with an interval of only three verses, is an objection to Hermann's arrangement which it is surprising he did not himself perceive. The judges were now assembled in full conclave, and though Athena had not as yet, totidem verbis, declared their office as a council, it was already manifest to the eyes and minds of the spectators that she intended to do so. See sup. v. 462.-Ai ${ }^{\prime}$ tes. So MS. Flor. for Aifé or Alyeíy. The or-

655. тd́yav $\delta^{2} \mathrm{~A} \rho \in ⿺ 𠃊 \nu=\tau \delta \delta^{\circ}$. An irregular accusative at the commencement of a sentence, of which we bave seen an example at v. 388. She had intended
 à $\delta u \grave{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, $\nabla$. 660. Hermann reads
opetoy, which is surely no improvement. For the very reason why it was called *Apeios $\pi \alpha ́ \gamma o s$ is immediately given by Athena in "A $\rho \in \iota \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon v a y$, and the repetition in $\mathbf{\nabla} .660$ is quite natural after an interval of several verses. There is no reason to conclude that the scene is still in the Acropolis, and that máyov $\tau \delta \dot{\nu} \delta \bar{\sigma}$ is represented as seen from it in a painting, as Müller and others contend. The whole weight and solemnity of the institution depeuds on the illusion, that the affair is now transacted in the Areopagus itself. But Müller misunderstands $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu \nu \in \delta \pi т о \lambda \iota \nu \tau\{\nu \delta \overline{(657}$ ), of the Acropolis or citadel, as then recently built by Theseus; whereas the Schol. rightly explains $\tau \delta \nu$ "Apecoy $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \gamma o \nu$. The Amazons, when they invaded Athens through a grudge against Theseus, occupied the new part of the city on the hill of Mars, and fortified it as a counterwork to the acropolis. Thus $\pi v \rho \gamma o i ̃ v ~ i \psi i \pi u \rho \gamma o v$ is 'to
 Ag. 1347. Precisely so in Bacch. 1097 the women assail Pentheus on his lofty pinetree by mounting a bank opposite to it,
 viii. 52 , which suggests not only the true explanation of this passage against Müller (Diss. p. 61), but that Aeschylus borrowed the idea from the Persian invasion :


 rotó $\delta$ ¢. The derivation of Areopagus from the event in question is adopted by the poet because the commonly received legend did not suit his purpose. Pausan.
















 à a $\sigma o i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \notin \wedge \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota ~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$,






658. Tote. This is added redundantly indeed after " $\sigma \tau \epsilon$, but as if he had said
 ถ̆тє $\overline{\mathfrak{j}} \lambda \theta о \nu$, - каі то́тє к.т. $\lambda$.
 on v. 7.- $\phi$ 6 os $\xi v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \grave{\eta} s$, fear allied to reverence.
 themselves make no innovatious in the laws.' The conjecture of Stephens for $\mu \bar{\eta}$
 and others. Linwood and Dindorf prefer $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ' $\pi เ \chi \rho \alpha u \nu b \nu \tau \omega \nu$ after Wakefield. And this is perhaps the better of the two, if with Hermann and the best MSS. we place the stop at $e^{2} \pi \iota \rho \rho o \alpha i \sigma t$, and read Bop $\beta$ bop $\delta^{\circ}$. This however divides a proverbial and sententious saying into two parts, nor does it seem necessary, in verses of this sort, which convey general truths, to add the connecting particle. So we have in v. 276, хрঠуоs räalpet
 not undeserving of notice, that BopBóp U $8 \omega \rho \lambda a \mu \pi \rho \delta \nu \mu \iota a i \nu \epsilon \nu$. elsewhere occurs alone as a proverb, in Zenobius and others quoted by Hermann. So also




 ment occurred before at y. 500. The best copies give $\mu \eta \delta k$, whence Hermann
入ova, embracing and maintaining as a principle of value. This word is regularly used of observing laws, as Herod. ii.
 $\lambda \in \dot{v} \omega$. The moderate views of Aeschylus are here apparent, and also his anxious desire to mediate between the two parties which then ran high in the state, headed respectively by Cimon and Pericles.
668. т $\delta \delta \epsilon \nu \partial \nu$ тầ. Cf. v. 497. Cic. Tusc. Disp. iv. § 46, 'Metum si quis sustulisset, omnem vitae diligentiam sublatam fore; quae summa esset in eis, qui leges, qui magistratus, qui paupertatem, qui ignominiam, qui mortem, qui dolorem timerent.'
 in $\nabla .92$, where $\sigma \in \beta$ as is not a cognate accusative. With the Greeks the word did not convey a merely abstract idea. So Agamemnon is called a $\sigma \in \in B a s$, Cho. 48, 150 , and we have $\theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu \sigma_{\epsilon} \beta \eta \delta_{\epsilon l / \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s}$ Suppl. 735.
673. Entuataty. The felicity of the Hyperboreans (Cho. 365) and the general





 aỉounćvovs тò̀ ó $\rho к о \nu . ~ \epsilon і ̈ \rho \eta \tau а \iota ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma о s . ~$




XO. ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ аi $\mu \alpha \tau \eta \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\lambda a \chi \grave{\omega} \nu \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$,

АП. ท̂ каi $\pi \alpha \tau \eta ́ \rho ~ \tau \iota ~ \sigma \phi \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$




## 

prosperity of the Peloponnese (Oed. Col. 694), may be alluded to in this expression. He may also mean oü $\tau^{3}$ èn Bap-

 gine that an insiruation was conveyed against the venality of the ather courts.-

 but, ' in behalf of the negligent and remiss.' This is an instance of that verbal antithesis which was noticed on Ag. 792. If there be truth in the story that the Areopagus held its meetings at night, there would be a peculiar significance in the passage.
 and take (each) your vote, and decide the cause with due respect for your oath,' sc. $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \kappa \kappa о \nu \quad \phi \rho \dot{q} \sigma \in I \nu$ v. 467. The votes were taken up one by one from the thymele.-aiסoupéyous is a probable correction of Canter's for -ous, which arose from a mistaken punctuation connecting
 $\lambda \delta$ रos is only another form for the more
 Minckwita compares the Hebrew Amen. -At these words the first of the judges
drops his vote into the urn. Then follow ten couplets, containing a dialogue, or rather a mutual recrimination, between Apollo and the Hegemon of the Chorus; after each of which another judge rises for the like purpose; the last of the twelve giving his vote at the conclusion of the three verses 701-3. The whole passage is parallel to that in 1319 seqq. of the Agamemnon.
 are at once mine and my father's.' Cf. จ. 19, and the note on Cho. 116.ӟнарты́тоия, Theb, 614.

 seems to refer to the changes which have already occurred in the occupation of the Delphic oracle, as explained in the prologue. Hermann and Linwood give $\nu \in \mu \omega \nu$, which may be defended by Orest.
 татоу. Iph. Taur. 1255, $\mu$ a $\tau \tau$ tías Bpotoîs $\theta \in \sigma \phi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \omega \nu \nu \in \epsilon \mu \omega \nu$. Here however $\chi^{\prime} \notin \omega \nu$ sounds like a mere pleonasm.
688. 'ŗ̧̌ovos. Srp. 419. 'Will you venture to say that Zeus was mistaken in his counsels in the matter of Ixion, the first applicant for purification from mur-

## 

 Moípas є̈̃єє

Xо. $\sigma u ́ ~ \tau o \iota ~ \pi a \lambda a l a ̀ s ~ \delta \iota a \nu o \mu a ̀ s ~ к а \tau a \phi \theta i ́ \sigma a s ~$







der?' i. e. wrong in admitting him to mercy. The chorus evade the question by simply replying $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon t s .-\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \nu \chi 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta i k \eta s$, 'if I do not gain the cause.'



694. äфөítous $\theta \in i$ ivat $\beta$ ßotoús. An exaggerated and invidious way of saying, 'to postpone the death of a mortal man.'


695. ойкоуข סiкаиоу. We might translate, 'Was it not then right to confer a favour on a devout man, especially when he happened to want it?’ But äтє тúxot is not, apparently, for the indefinite $\delta \pi \delta \tau \in$
 ment being general, ' $I s$ it not right,' \&c. This use of the optative is rather rare.






697. бú тot. 'You, I say,'-an emphatic repetition of the charge.- $\delta \iota a \nu o \mu \grave{s} s$, 'allotments,' sc. of life, was happily recovered by Dindorf from the Schol. of a Vatican MS. on Alcest. 12, where wv. 693-4 and 697-8 are quoted with some slight variations. The old reading was סaluovas, which might bave been defended by v. 165, тaдalyeveîs dè Molpas фolfas. -otvq. Euripides alludes to this legend, which is not elsewhere expressly men-
tioned, in Alcest. 33, Molpas $\delta \mathbf{0} \lambda 1 \varphi \sigma \phi \eta-$ $\lambda a \nu \tau \iota \tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta$. The worship of the Furies, and probably also of their kindred the Fates (inf. 920), admitted of no wine,
 Hermann quotes a Scholium on Alcest.


700. т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \nu$ l $\delta \nu . \quad$ See v. 456. These verses are sarcastically said, in allusion to $689-90$. 'Being defeated, you will soon have to spit out your poison, but it shall not harm your enemies.' The reply derives some light from v. 646-7. 'Since you override me thus, and my efforts and appeals to justice are in vain, \&c.
704. $\lambda$ oo $\sigma$ lay $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$. What is yet wanting to the decision of the suit, viz. the vote of the party presiding. Pallas does not at this point drop her ballot into one or the other of the urns; indeed she could not do this without leaving the stage and approaching the thymele. It is even doubtful if she holds up any material vote to the eyes of the spectators, though $\tau \nmid \nu \delta \varepsilon$ favours the supposition. Her object is to ascertain first how the judges have voted, in order that she may in no way interfere with their judicial functions. Only, should the votes prove equal, she announces her intention of adding hers in favour of the culprit; that is, of declaring him acquitted. And this she does verbally at $\nabla .722$, and without giving any actual vote either before or after the counting of the ballots. This










 $\tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ ảdıкєî̀ $\sigma \in \in \beta$ ß
 $\beta a \lambda o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a ́ \tau^{\prime}$ oîko $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi$ os $\ddot{\omega} \rho \theta \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu \mu i ́ a$.
 $\iota \sigma o \nu \gamma \alpha ́ \rho ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho i \theta \mu \mu \eta \mu a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu$.
became a well-known Attic law, that every culprit should have the benefit of the doubt. See Eur. Iph. Taur. 1483. Electr. 1274. Antipho, p. 135, 51, т $\boldsymbol{\omega}$

 Hermann imagines, against Müller, that Pallas actually drops her ballot into the urn at v. 705, on which the Schol. rightly



708. тoû $\pi a \tau \rho \delta$ s. 'I am entirely on the side of the father.' Eur. El. 1103, of
 $\boldsymbol{\mu} \bar{\lambda} \lambda о \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho \delta s$.
709. $\pi \rho о т \iota \mu$ 亿ुб $\omega$. See on v. 610.
712. Tєu才є́ $\omega \nu$. Minckwitz wrongly supposes that there was but one urn. This is refuted by the well-known voting-scene in the Wasps, where Bdelycleon conducts his father by stealth to the wrong urn. The votes were all of one kind (see v. 679), and derived their import from the urn into which they were dropped. The black and white votes seem to have been a later usage. The words $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu$ סıa.p $\hat{\epsilon} \sigma \in \iota$ do not
mean that all were confused together in one vessel, as the Schol. thought, $\delta<\alpha-$


 'noose' was the last resource in despair. Suppl. 459. 767. Ag. 849.
717. ท̀miv róp. The result is not of vital importance to you alone, for \&c.
 $\mu \in \nu a s$ Ba $\lambda \omega \nu$, as Hermann rightly explains.
 $\dot{d} \lambda \in \theta \rho l a \quad$ Bov $\lambda \in \dot{U} \sigma \in \tau \alpha$, , the word stands not for the thing, but for the person or persons who apply it. The meaning is, "The absence of a single vote may give rise to a great calamity, as on the other hand the addition of it may save a house.' The sentiment is like that in Soph. El. 415,

 тои̂ $\psi$ ń $\phi o u$. Cf. Ag. 1319. Others explain, 'if circumspection be wanting in counting out the votes.' But $\gamma \nu \omega{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta$ could only mean 'judgment,' which is a totally different idea from 'care' or 'caution.'

OP. $\hat{\omega} \Pi a \lambda \lambda a ̀ s, ~ \hat{\omega} ~ \sigma \omega ́ \sigma a \sigma a ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \mu o v ̀ s ~ \delta o ́ \mu o v s, ~$




 $\Sigma i \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$, ồs $\pi a \tau \rho \hat{\omega}$



 $\mu \eta ́ \tau o \iota \tau u \nu^{\prime}$ äv $\delta \rho a \delta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \rho o \pi \rho v \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \nu \chi^{\text {Govòs }}$

 тоîs $\tau$ à $\mu \grave{\alpha} \pi a \rho \beta \alpha i v o v \sigma t ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu ~ \grave{~ о к к \omega ́ \mu а \tau а ~}$



727. 'Apyeios $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta p$. 'The man is an Argive citizen again,' and no longer ät $\tau \mu \mathrm{pos}$. The MSS. give àv $v\rangle$ p, as usual.
729. трítou ミ $\omega \tau \hat{\eta} p o s$. See on Ag. 237. Müller, Diss. p. 195, "Over the confficting powers of darkuess and of light, the vindictive and the conciliatory, stands Zeus Soter in the character of the god who conducts all things to a good issue, and universally, as the Third and Finisher, either adjusts the difference between two others, or completes what two others have begun." - $\pi a \tau \rho \bar{p} o \nu \quad \mu \delta \rho o \nu$, in the capacity of Zeus $\pi a \tau \rho \hat{̣} \sigma s$, sup. 610.
734. aposs $\delta \delta \mu o u s$, to Argos, having first ratified an alliance between it and Athens here on the spot. This treaty was in fact made the year this play was acted, b. c. 459. The measure, Müller has taken care to point out (Diss. p. 85), was promoted by the very party to whom Aeschylus was politically opposed. His approval of it is one of the proofs (see above, v .666 ) that he was a man who was above becoming the mere tool of a party, and whose object was to advance the national good without regard to the frivolous charge of political inconsistency. To the same
historical event Euripides appears to al-


 head of the Argive land. Cf. $\chi \omega$ раas $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \sigma$
 'well provided,' 'well arrayed.' Equit.

 commonly stated, after Buttmann, the root being $\kappa \alpha \delta$.
 The construction is the same as Suppl.
 $\sigma \tau \delta \lambda o \nu$, where see the note. Both $\pi a p-$ Baivougt and aùroît, which latter is pleonastically added, depend on $\mu \in \tau \alpha \mu$ ' $\lambda \eta$.


 $\pi \rho a \xi$ lacs is the dative of the means,--' we will bring it to pass, by perplexing illsuccesses, namely by causing dispiriting expeditions and disastrous ways, that they shall repent of their pains.' He pledges himselp that as a $\delta a l \mu \omega \nu$ in Hades he will oppose any Argive army that shall in future invade Attica.


 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \chi a i ̂ \rho \epsilon, ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma u ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o u ̂ \chi o s ~ \lambda \epsilon \omega ́ s ' ~$
 $\sigma \omega \tau \eta ́ \rho \circ o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa$ каi סopòs $\nu \iota \kappa \eta \phi o ́ \rho o \nu$.
XO. ì̀ $\theta \epsilon o i ̀ ~ \nu \epsilon \omega ́ t \in \rho o \iota, \pi a \lambda \alpha \omega o ̀ ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v s ~$ $\kappa а \theta \iota \pi \pi a ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon, \kappa a ̉ \kappa \chi є \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ єì $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \circ v$.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \underset{a}{a} \tau_{a ̨}^{\partial} \delta \epsilon, \phi \in \hat{v}$,
 $\sigma \tau a \lambda a \gamma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \chi^{\theta \text { ò }}$





 $\mu$ к'rwy, 'if they are rightly and duly observed.' Or perhaps apaүнárcev is to be supplied, as in Theb. 263, $\epsilon \mathcal{\delta} \xi v \nu \tau u-$ $\chi^{d \nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \nu$, 'if matters go well.' It is at all events needless to read òpөov $\mu$ '́vos. $\tau \not \mu \hat{a} \nu$ with a dative, Suppl. 108. There is some difficulty both in aùroĭสty, which should mean ipsis at the beginning of a sentence, and in $\vec{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu$ for $\vec{\varepsilon} \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$. Hermann attempts to remedy both evils by
 Dindorf incloses in brackets 737-744. The use of $\grave{o} \rho \theta o u \mu \dot{e} \nu \omega \nu$ is howerer significant as an Aeschylean idiom, and the present- E $\sigma \mu$ ย̀ $\nu$ is sufficiently defended by Prom. 178, 786, 969 . Ag. J25. The position of autoĩot seems here to be exceptional ; but it was intended as a counterpart to aùroî̃ in 741.
 novpiay. Rather, ' may you have a way of wrestling from which your enemies find no escape.' The same metaphor as in $\tau \rho t a-$ кт $\quad \mathrm{p}$, ' a victor,' Ag. 165.-Apollo and Orestes here depart, the latter for Argos. Athena, the Furies, and the Areopagites, remain.
750. è $\gamma$ c. The verb is deferred to v. 757. Cf. v. 95. This is better than to take $\mu \in \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \alpha$ for $\mu \in \theta \hbar \sigma \omega$ with the Schol.
 but puts it in the form of a deliberative question. - iov, 'poison,' as in จ. 700.-
 ois $\pi$ énovea. Hermann confidently connects á $\nu \tau \iota \pi \in \nu 0 \hat{\eta}$ карঠías. But карסías goes more naturally either with $\mu \in \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} a$, 'letting fall from my heart a poison in return for what I have endured, a drop causing sterility to the land ' (cf. $\mu a p \alpha i \nu \in \tau \alpha a l$ хєposs, จ. 270), or better still perhaps with $i \overrightarrow{i b} \nu$, as

 خos, äreжvas, the three usual conditions of prosperity are alluded to, viz. the increase of flocks, corn, and the human race. See the note on Suppl. 671. Properly, áфopas is ' not bearing,' as $\delta \dot{́} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \delta \rho \in a$ кal картофо́ $\alpha$ наl そ̌форa $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$, Herod.ii. 156. Here it obviously means 'causing the state of ảфopia, or non-productiveness.' $-\lambda \iota \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$, ' a blight,' Cho. 273.
 The feminine form is defended by several examples, as $\pi \alpha \nu \alpha \beta \kappa$ é $\tau \eta$ Cho. 61, єن̉ $\phi \lambda \lambda \tau \eta$ Theb. 104, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \kappa \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta$ Pers. 598. 'Should I become intolerable to the citizens, for what I have endured from them?'sc. $\delta l^{\prime}$ \&
 correction in the text was made by me before Hermann suggested it. But Her-

#  Nиктòs à àц $\mu о \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \in i ̂ s$. 

760
$A \Theta$. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \grave{\iota} \pi i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu \eta ̀ \beta \alpha \rho v \sigma \tau o ́ \nu \omega s$ фє́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu$. oủ $\gamma$ à $\rho \nu \in \nu i ́ \kappa \eta \sigma \theta$ ', ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' íбó $\psi \eta \phi$ оs סíк $\eta$
 ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ є̇к $\Delta$ iòs $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho a ̀ ~ \mu a \rho \tau u ́ \rho \iota \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \rho \eta ̂ \nu, ~$
 765

 $\sigma \kappa \eta ं \psi \eta \tau \epsilon, \mu \grave{\eta} \theta \nu \mu o v ิ \sigma \theta \epsilon, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \rho \pi i a \nu$

mann, Linwood, and Dindorf, give $\gamma \mathrm{\epsilon}-$ $\lambda \omega \hat{\mu a \iota}$ from Tyrwhitt, comparing ơ幺ot $\gamma \in \lambda \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$ Antig. 838, while others with the Schol. very harshly repeat $\tau$ l with $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \neq \mu \mu i$.


 and really. Pallas means to assure them that the equality of votes was independent of her own ballot, and that such equality being neither a victory nor a defeat, they have nothing to complain of on the latter score.
764. $\mu a \rho \tau$ úpta. It is a question worthy of consideration, whether such words as this were not pronounced as a trisyllable. The final că seems, that is, to have had the metrical power of ac. So sup. 107,



 oùpáviá $\tau \in$ real $\chi$ Өoyoaт $\_\beta \hat{\eta}$. The grounds for the supposition proceed (1) on the known principles of hyperthesis, as $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ -
 (2) On actual examples of $\iota \mathfrak{a}$ pronounced like $y \bar{a}$, as $\delta \dot{c}$ is constantly a long monosyllable, e. g. Pers. 565. Cho. 774. Theb. 343, \&c., and so $\sigma \tau 6 \mu \mathrm{co}$ Theb. 194, кap $\delta$ io Suppl. 68, \&c. (3) Words in tos which must have been pronounced yos, as al$\phi \nu i \delta t o s$ Prom. 698, $\mu \nu \rho \iota \delta \nu \tau \alpha \rho \chi 0 \nu$ Pers. 972 (where see the note). (4) The uniform regularity of the Aeschylean senarius, which naturally rejects resolved feet in these places. (See however Suppl. 382.)
765. $\delta$ phoas. So Hermann for $\delta$
 with Wieseler. The Schol. has eै $\varphi$ абкк

though this is an explanation, and a correct one, of the preceding verse. Turnebus edited $\delta \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a s$, which has been admitted as the common reading, though destitute of authority. By aủ $\boldsymbol{b}$ s $\delta \phi \nmid \sigma a s$ he means the same god who delivered the oracle as the mouth-piece of Zeus. Compare Aesch.




 тoùs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o$ ís. $^{2}$ Translate, ' The very god who declared it was also he who bore testimony (from Zeus), that Orestes for doing this should receive no harm.'
767. тot. The conjecture of Hermann for $\tau \hat{\eta}$, the Med. and others having $\dot{v} \mu \in \hat{i}$ $\delta \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \gamma \hat{\eta}$. In the next verse Elmsley corrected $\sigma \kappa \eta \psi \eta ग \tau \in$ for $\sigma \kappa \eta \psi \eta \sigma \theta E$. The aorist well conveys the notion of a momentary stroke, while the present $\theta v$ $\mu \hat{v} \sigma \theta \in$ implies the endurance of their wrath.
769. $\delta a, \mu \delta \nu \omega \nu$. This word is doubtful, though it derives some little countenance from $\beta \delta \sigma \kappa \eta \mu \alpha$ $\delta a \mu \delta \nu \omega \nu$ in v. 292. Varions conjectures have been proposed; $\pi \nu \in \nu \mu \delta \nu \omega \nu$, Wakefield; $\delta \iota \alpha ̀ \quad \gamma 6 \omega \nu$, Franz;
 т $\hat{p}$ as aixuc̀s, Hermann, who incloses the intermediate words in brackets, as a mere tautology, and joins $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu d \tau \omega \nu$ à $\nu \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{e}^{\rho} \rho o v s$. He ingeniously remarks, that the $\delta \tilde{\eta} \sigma t s$ will thus have thirteen lines, corresponding with that next after the choral ode. I formerly proposed $\lambda a \mu \mu \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu$ or $\lambda a \mu \mu b \nu \omega \nu$, 'from your throats,' as we have $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \hat{i}$ т $\tau \nu$ ibv v. 700. Cf. Ar. Av. 1562, $\kappa \frac{̣}{\dot{q}} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta^{\circ}$
 Xat $\rho \in ф \omega \bar{\nu}$ 方 $\nu \cup \kappa \tau \in \rho$ 's.



 ${ }^{\ell} \xi \in \epsilon \nu, \dot{v} \pi^{3} \dot{a} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \tau \mu a \lambda \phi o v \mu \in ́ \nu a s$.
XO. ì̀ $\theta \epsilon o i ̀ ~ \nu \epsilon \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o t, \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o v ̀ s ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v s$
 $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \hat{q} \tau \hat{a} \delta \hat{\theta}, \phi \in \hat{v}$,
iòv iòv $\mathfrak{a} \nu \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \mu \in \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma a$ карס́as, $\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \chi^{\theta \text { ò }}$


 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a ́ \zeta \omega$; $\tau i ́ \rho \in ́ \xi \xi \omega$; $\gamma \in ́ v \omega \mu a \iota$

ì̀, $\mu \in \gamma$ ála $\tau \circ \iota$, кópaı $\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \in i ̂ s$ Nиктòs àтц $\mu о \pi є \nu \theta \in i ́ s$.
 $\theta \epsilon a i ̀ ~ \beta \rho о \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \eta ́ \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon ~ \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \eta \lambda о \nu ~ \chi$ Ө́va.

$\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \delta \alpha$ s oî $\delta \alpha \delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu o ́ v \eta$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$,
770. aì $\chi \mu \mathrm{d} s$, 'influences,' Scholef. Aeschylus uses ai $\chi \mu$ ク̀ in a very peculiar sense; see on Ag. 467. Scaliger proposed aux$\mu o u s$, but the Schol. has aixual $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega^{-}$ $\sigma \kappa o v \sigma a!~ \tau \grave{a} \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \tau \alpha$. Here it refers to

773. $\lambda \iota \pi \alpha \rho \circ \theta \rho \delta \nu \circ \iota \sigma \nu$. The temple of the $\Sigma_{\text {euval }}$ at the foot of the Areopagus contained, besides a subterranean chasm
 certain low hearths or fire-places, which are here called 'resplendent with fat,' because the goddesses seem to have been worshipped with oil poured upon greasy wool. Such is the ingenious conjecture of Müller, Diss. p. 181, who quotes Pausan. viii. 42, 5, to prove that the Black Demeter, also an Erinys, was thus honoured at Phigalea. The epithet however may only mean 'richly-enthroned,' as $\lambda$ titapal was a favourite epithet of Athens itself.
789. $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$, for катабтhбךтє. Lin-
wood conjectures $\kappa \tau i \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon .-\delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \kappa \eta \lambda o \nu$, Schol. $\delta \nu \sigma \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \cup \tau 0 \nu .-B \rho o \tau \omega ิ \nu$ is added to $\chi^{\theta 0} \mathrm{vog}$ for the sake of the antithesis with $\theta$ eal (see on v. 674. Cho. 122), and is not to be taken with $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \eta \lambda o \nu$.
790. кal tí $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \lambda \in ́ \gamma \in \iota \nu$; An Attic formula when something is suppressed which it is superfluous or disagreeable to add. Plat. Symp. p. 217, с, $\sigma v y \in \gamma v \mu \nu \alpha ́-$



 sense is, 'I have the means at my disposal to compel you if I please; but I prefer to try the arts of persuasion' (v. 845, 928).
791. $\delta \omega \mu$ dit $\omega \nu$. The rooms, or storehouses. Cf. Cho. 649. Ar. Av. 1537,

 $\tau \delta \pi \psi$. Hermann gives $\delta \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s$, Linwood èv ols, with the Farnese MS.






 $\theta$ ún $\pi \rho \grave{̀} \pi a i ̂ o \omega \nu$ каì $\gamma \alpha \mu \eta \lambda$ íov $\tau$ élous，

 $\theta \nu \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ äī，$\mu a ̄ \tau \epsilon p$

Savaıầ $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega}$



794．$\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma \eta s$ нaтalas ка $\rho \pi \partial \nu$ ，a rashly



798．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} s \chi^{\omega} \rho \rho a s$, i．e．$\mu \in \gamma \dot{d} \lambda \eta s$ ．So
 See Baehr on Herod．iv．109．Offerings of the first－fruits of the earth shall be made to them in behalf of prolific mar－ riages．These offerings are called $\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{e} k$ भ̂̀s $\delta v \sigma \phi р \delta \nu \omega \nu \quad \mu \in i \lambda l \gamma \mu a \tau a$, Cho． 270.


 $\beta_{\alpha \lambda} \dot{\omega} \nu$ ，for $\dot{v} \pi \in \stackrel{⿺}{\rho}$ ，＇in behalf of．＇
＇802．катдे $\gamma^{\hat{\alpha} \nu}$ oiкєiv．The same as катонкîy $\gamma$ クns，in allusion to Athena＇s proffer of a permanent settlement and cultus in the land．If this be the sense， $\dot{\alpha}$ ajefov $\mu \dot{\jmath} \sigma o s$ shews that it is spoken of ironically as a thing of no worth after the dishonour they have suffered．Hermann has oixveiv and $\mu \hat{i} \sigma o s$ ，in which latter Franz and Minckwitz agree，the Med．and others having $\mu \hat{v} \sigma o s$. Both corrections seem probable．In the same sense ot－

Xoual often means＇I am done for，＇＇there is an end of me．＇

806．This verse seems defective，as it does not fall in with the dochmiac metre．



809．סavaıây．So Franz and Linwood with L．Dindorf for $\delta a \mu a i \omega \nu$ or－av．The Schol．must have read $\delta a \mu i \omega \nu$ or $\delta a \mu l a v$. The former is explained oi $\delta \delta \delta^{\lambda} o l ~ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\tau \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ ，the latter $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma\{\alpha \nu \dot{v} \pi \dot{\delta} \theta \in \omega \bar{\nu}$
 which Hermann professes not to under－ stand，arose from wrongly construing $\tau \mu a \hat{\nu} \theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu$ ．He himself gives $\tau \mu \bar{\alpha} \nu a^{\mu} \mu \hat{a} \nu$.
 sc．$\theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \in \nu 0 l(\mu \epsilon$ ，as Ag．221．The phrase
 seems to mean，＇to lift one and carry him off from his appointed duties．＇－$\delta v \sigma \pi \alpha-$入apou，difficult to grapple with．Cf．Suppl． 846．Ag． 1509.

810．ópyàs к．т．入．Here also we may










 èv $\tau o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ُ \mu o i ̂ s ~ d ̇ \sigma \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ i ́ \delta \rho v i \sigma \eta s ~ " A \rho \eta ~$
and its close coincidence with our idiom, ' to bear with a person in something,' for à $\nu^{\prime} \chi \in \sigma \theta a$. The next verse is evidently spurious, and I had marked it as such before Hermann omitted it. It was intruded by some one who thought the idea of ppoveiv not sufficiently conveyed by $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathrm{pautépa} .\mathrm{Pallas} \mathrm{was} \mathrm{before} \mathrm{compli-}$ mented by the chorus as the goddess of

813. i $\mu \mathrm{e} \hat{s} \delta^{\delta} \kappa$ ' $\tau . \lambda$. ' You, if you leave me in disdain and depart for some other land, will be enamoured of this, and regret that you resigned it; I forewarn you of this; for my citizens are destined to come to great glory in the course of time, and will build you a temple hard by the Acropolis, where you will obtain such honours both from men and women as you would not be likely to meet with from others.'
817. mpds $\delta \delta \mu o t s$ ' $E \rho \in \chi \theta$ '́ws. The temple of the Erinyes lay between the Areopagus and the Acropolis, which is here called 'the palace of Erechtheus,' because the Erechtheum formed a prominent feature of the citadel.
819. $\delta \sigma \omega \nu$. So I formerly edited for of $\sigma \eta \nu$, which appears to have originated in the idea that it referred to e $\delta$ pay. The genitive removes every difficulty, and seems more probable than to suppose a verse lost, with Hermann, Linwood, and Dindorf.
821. $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\gamma} \gamma \nu \omega \nu \quad \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \alpha s{ }^{\nu}{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$. 'Inscitements to blood, injurious to young
hearts,' because the loss of the young was an especial grievance to a military state.


 agreeing with on $\begin{gathered}\text { dyvas, may mean ' carried }\end{gathered}$ to madness by rage,' and this seems safer than to give it an active sense, é $\kappa \mu \alpha-$
 quarrels not proceeding from wine, like mere drunken brawls of the k $\hat{\mu} \mu \mathrm{os}(\mathrm{Ag}$. 1160), but the deadly hatred of party feelings. Hermann does not appear to have improved on the passage by his

 $\nu \in \hat{i s}$ өи $\mu \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$.
 the heart as from fighting cocks, establish among my citizens a civil war, and one that is mutually merciless.' There seems no sufficient reason to question $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \lambda o \hat{\varepsilon} \sigma a$, for which Hermann has admitted, as "vera baud dubie," Musgrave's improbable conjecture, ęr Ş́ouo ws. The Schol. has $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \notin \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$, which must not be taken as a gloss on the participle, but as giving the general sense of the whole passage. The notion of transferring the hearts of the birds to the citizens is of course a mere figure, and one that happily expresses that sort of spirit which will fight to the death rather than yield.Өрaб̀̀, ' cruel,' ' remorseless.' So Prom.

$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\epsilon} \mu \phi u ́ \lambda \iota o ́ v \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o v s ~ \theta \rho a \sigma u ́ v . ~$

 évoккiov $\delta^{\circ}$ ö $\rho \nu \imath$ əos ov̉ $\lambda$ é $\gamma \omega \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$.
 $\epsilon \hat{v} \delta \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \alpha \nu$, $\epsilon \hat{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi o v \sigma a \nu, \epsilon \hat{v} \tau \tau \mu \omega \mu \tilde{́} \nu \eta \nu$,
$\chi \omega \dot{\rho} \rho a s \mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \chi \epsilon i ้ \nu \tau \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon о \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta s$.
द̇ $\mu$ è $\pi a \lambda a \iota o ́ \phi \rho о \nu a, \kappa a \tau \alpha ́ \alpha \epsilon ~ \gamma \hat{a} \nu$ оiккє̂̀ $\dot{a} \tau i ́ \epsilon \tau о \nu, \phi \in \hat{v}, \mu v ́ \sigma o s$.

oî oî, $\delta \hat{a}, \phi \in \hat{v}$.
$\dagger \tau i s \mu^{\prime}$ vímoঠ́véal $\pi \lambda \epsilon v \rho a ̀ s$ ỏ óv́va;
$\theta v \mu \grave{\partial} \nu$ ä̈̈,$\mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon \rho$

Savalầ $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$


 $\theta \epsilon$ ¢̀s $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota a ̀ ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o u ́ \chi \omega \nu ~ \beta p o \tau \omega ิ \nu ~$


826. Aupaîos. 'Let there be foreign (not civil) war, coming not scantily but in abundance to him who shall feel a strong desire for glory; but of domestic broils not a word be spoken.' The object of the poet, as Müller remarks, Diss. p. 86-7, is to recommend conquest to the Athenians, as the best means of diverting them from party contentions. - ov̉ $\mu \dot{\delta} \lambda \iota s$, Ag. 1049. Scholefield rightly understood this passage, 'quantumcunque sit, nihil moror, dummodo non sit domesticum.' The ov so completely negatives $\mu \dot{\delta} \lambda_{l s}$, that the more correct particle $\mu \eta$ is scarcely required after the imperative. Among the many false interpretations of this passage was that formerly given by me, 'Let there be war in plenty abroad (but may it not come near us, who wish for peace).' Hermann, misled by the Schol. ou $\mu$ aкрàv, by which he meant 'soon,' gives " for où, "foris sit $^{\prime}$ bellum, aut brevi spatio remotum, in quo
magnus erit gloriae amor. Significatur autem pugna Marathonia." All the com-
 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \varphi$.
828. où $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \omega$. 'But I prefer not to speak of the contest of the domestic bird.'

 phrase où $\lambda \in$ t́ $\gamma \omega$ was used by a sort of euphony when any ill-omened subject was brought forward. The custom of cockfighting is very ancient; for Sir Charles Fellows found it sculptured on one of the Xanthian marbles (Travels in Asia Minor, 1838). Aelian, Var. Hist. ii. 28, says that the Athenians adopted the practice after the Persian war, Themistocles having been struck with the courage with which these birds contended, not for homes, glory, nor freedom, but simply not to be beaten.





 єival $\delta \iota \kappa a i \omega s$ és $\tau o ̀ ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \nu ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \mu e ́ v \eta \eta . ~$






XO. каí $\mu \circ \iota \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ є ̇ \gamma \gamma u ́ \eta \nu ~ Ө \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o v ; ~$
$A \Theta$. ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \alpha ́ \rho \mu o \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\alpha} \mu \eta ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega}$.
construction, apparently for $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \sigma \in \beta!\zeta_{\epsilon!} \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$
 $\theta_{\in \lambda \kappa \tau \eta p i ́ a ~ \sigma o i ́ ~ e ̇ \sigma \tau t . ~ O r ~ p e r h a p s ~ t h u s: ~}^{\text {a }}$
 pıồ é $\sigma \tau \ell \sigma o t$, i. e. $\theta \in \in \lambda \gamma \epsilon t \sigma \epsilon$. 'If the virtue of Persuasion is sacred to you, and the eloquence of my tongue can sooth you.' The Schol. gives the general sense pretty correctly, $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \theta \eta \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \in i-$ $\lambda \ell \gamma \mu a \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \grave{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} s \quad \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma \eta s$. Hermann's explanation is too complex for Aeschylus,

 succeeds better in making $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \partial \nu$ кal $\theta \in \lambda$ ктлйov, "holy and propitiatory," the predicate: but the sentence is, at best, irregular.
847. $\sigma \dot{v} \delta \delta^{\text {o }}$ oùv. Here $\delta$ è can hardly be said to mark the apodosis, because of its combination with oiv, on which see Ag. 246. The use of $\delta^{\prime}$ o $\nu \nu$ is rather exceptional in this place. Probably it is to be referred to the same idiom as $\delta \delta^{1}$ oiv тоєєit $\omega$, Prom. 956, since $\mu^{\prime}$ yo七s $\downarrow \nu=$
 you have any respect for my persuasive powers, why then stay; but if you do not choose to stay, at least you cannot justly bring down upon this city any wrath or any resentment, or harm to the people.'
 actively, Ag. 242.
850. $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \gamma \alpha \mu \delta \rho \omega$. So Dobree for


854. kal $\delta \eta \eta^{\eta} \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \gamma \mu a l$. 'Supposing now I do accept it; what honour is in reserve for me?' On this idiom, in which a contingent case is regarded, for the sake of argument, as realised, see Elmsley on


 To the same usage we should apparently

 friend through not knowing him.'
855. $\epsilon \hat{U} \theta \in \nu \in i ̄ \nu$. So Scaliger for $\epsilon \hat{\delta} \sigma \theta \hat{\epsilon}-$ $\nu \in I \nu$ or $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon i \nu . \quad$ Cf. $\nabla .904$,
 will direct aright the fortunes of him who reveres you.' This is significantly said. 'To revere the Erinyes' is to have a just awe of them (sup. $660-1$ ), and therefore to live conscientiously, and in consequence happily: compare 973 . Similarly $\tau \delta \nu$ $\sigma \epsilon \in \beta o \nu \tau^{2}$ єป่єрүє $\epsilon \bar{L} v$, sup. 695.
 a security,-will you guarantee that this privilege shall last for all time? viz. that in $\nabla .855$.
859. еॄ $\xi \in \sigma \tau \iota \mu\rangle \lambda \in \in \neq \iota \nu$. 'Yes, for I am not bound to state what I will not perform.' Literally, ' it is in my power not to state,' \&c. Cf. Dem. Mid. p. 538,
 $\alpha \dot{u} \tau \hat{\varphi}$, ' whither he need not have gone.' Plat. Gorg. p. 461, fin., $\epsilon i \mu \eta े \frac{1}{\epsilon} \xi \in \sigma \tau a l \mu o l$










 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \beta \rho о \tau \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a \nu . ~$





 rendered by Monk, debebas non peccare. He should have said, non debebas peccare.
862. Ti o己lv. For the hiatus see Suppl. 301.
863. vikns $\mu \eta$ kakîs. 'Such prayers as have for their aim a not dishonourable victory.' Hermann, Linwood, and Donaldson give $\boldsymbol{\nu \text { eikns, which Herm. renders }}$ opta quae bonae contentionis, non, qualis ante tua fuerat rixa, [quae] malae provida sint. But $\nu$ eikn, as remarked on Ag. 1349, is a word of doubtful authority. We have $\nu$ inn kakj also in Theb. 713, but that is a rather obscure passage. Here, as Müller rightly takes it, (Diss. p. 86 ,) the goddess means that victory over foreign enemies, not the inglorious one of carrying the day in party strifes, ("Apns - $\mu \varphi \phi \bar{\lambda}$ ros, v. 825, ) is to be one point of the choral hymn they are to sing for the city. And so in fact we find it, inf. 933 seqq. - $\bar{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \ell \sigma \kappa 0 \pi a$, Cho. 119. Аjac. 976,


864. kal taìтa. Though not fond of the theory of lacunae or lost verses, I cannot help thinking that something is here wanting to the sense, like $\epsilon \check{ర \chi \chi o v ~} \delta^{2}$ à $\phi \in \iota \delta \omega$ è $\sigma \theta \lambda a ̀ m \in ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ B \rho о \tau о i ̂ s . ~ H e r e, ~ a s ~ b e l o w ~$ v. 900 \&c., we see the power of the Erinyes, as Chthonian beings, but in their more benign capacity as Eumenides, to regulate the elements and the produce of earth for man's benefit. On the triple
wish conveyed in this fine passage, which Hermann thinks was imitated by Ennius in Cic. Tusc. Quaest. i. 28, see the note on Suppl. 671.
867. Boт $\omega \nu$. So Stanley for Bpoт $\hat{\nu}$. The same error has been corrected in two passages of the Supplices, v. 672 and 836. - $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \theta \in \nu=\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \mu \eta) \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \nu \in \iota \nu$, ' may never fail (or tire) in thriving.' Cf. v. 841.
870. е́кфорштє́ра. "May you incline rather to make a clearance of the impious out of the city.' The metaphor, as we may infer by the radp in the next verse, is not from funerals (éкфорal), but from a nurseryman rooting out and carrying away weeds or superfluous plants. Aeschylus' dislike of $\delta v \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta i=$ is apparent from many places, e. g. Ag. 364, 734. sup. 506, where he condemns it as the parent of insolence.
 in general, addressed as present in the theatre, are called 'righteous' as opposed to the $\delta v \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i s$ just mentioned.- $\dot{2} \pi \pi^{\prime} \nu_{\text {- }}$ Antoy is the consequence of probity and virtue, v. 520, 973. Mr. Drake takes $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ à $\pi \in \in \nu \eta \tau o \nu$ together for ' unmolested by these impious ones ;' but I doubt if he is right.
873. ảpєıфd́т $\pi \rho \varepsilon \tau \tau \tau \omega ิ \nu$ ả $\gamma \omega \nu \omega \nu$. It is uncertain whether she means the contests at the great games, or real wars, in allusion to her advice about foreign conquests, v. 863. As Pallas was the goddess of war, but not directly of the games, to which indeed ápel фarou is hardly appli-
  ..... 875
cable, the former is probably meant. The genitive depends on $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\mathcal{L}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ккov, as we have $\delta \circ \rho \dot{\partial} \boldsymbol{\nu \iota \kappa \eta ф \delta \rho o \nu ~ i n ~ v . ~ 7 4 7 , ~ a n d ~ \tau \tau \mu \tilde { \alpha } \nu}$ ( $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu a \iota$ ) $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau u v t \kappa o \nu$ is an idiom familiar to most.
878. т $\dot{\alpha} \nu$ кal Z $\epsilon$ ís. 'Which even Zeus the omnipotent and Ares assigns (or inhabits; cf. 972) as the strong-hold of the gods.' The meaning of $\phi$ poúpoov $\theta \in \hat{\omega} \nu$ is explained by $\delta \cup \sigma i \beta \omega \mu \circ \nu \not \partial \gamma \alpha \lambda \mu a$, the delight or pride of the Grecian divinities as the protector of their altars. For the acropolis, a fortified space in great measure occupied by temples, is well called $\phi$ oov́pıov. On каl - $\tau \in$ see sup. 75. Theb. 576.
885. $\bar{\xi} \alpha \alpha \mu \beta \rho \alpha \sigma \alpha$. The conjecture of Prof. Scholefield for $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\xi} \xi_{\alpha} \alpha \beta \boldsymbol{\beta} \delta \sigma \alpha a t$ ( $\bar{\xi} \xi \alpha \mu \bar{\nu}-$ póvat Ven. Flor. Farn.). The aorist active of éк $\beta \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ does not seem'to occur except in a passage which he quotes from S. Gregory of Nyssa, Orat. 2, où $\hat{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}$
 But the analogy of the passive aorist strongly supports it. Hesych. in $\beta$ pa $\sigma \theta \in i \eta$




 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{e} \kappa \beta p a \sigma \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ à $\nu \in \grave{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \tau о$. Pausan. iii. 24,

 калойढเע of moגлol. The word appears therefore to have been peculiarly used of wrecks cast ashore. There is less to be said in favour of Hermann's $\bar{\epsilon} \xi a \mu \beta \rho \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$, adopted by Franz, Minckwitz, and Donaldson, though the metre of 907 supports it. For $\beta$ pués is an intransitive verb, and is very unlikely to have had a transitive
 Lobeck on the Ajax, p. 93.)
 here,' катонívaбa. See Elmsley on Med.



 have the active vóoनat in Pind. Pyth. v. 94. Od. iv. 174. Compare $\delta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta a u$ from $\delta$ alw.


 $\lambda a \chi^{\epsilon} \omega \nu$, 'he who has not met with adverse fortune in life knows not (from want of experience) whence a sudden stroke has befallen him; whereas it is the sins of his ancestors which really hand him over to the Erinyes, and bring bim to nought in the midst of bis boasting that he has hitherto escaped affliction.' The right interpretation of this passage


$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma a i ̀ ~ \beta \iota o ́ \tau o v ~ * ~ \pi \rho о \sigma e ́ t a \iota \sigma a \nu . ~$

 каї $\mu \in ́ y a ~ ф \omega \nu o ̂ ̂ \nu \tau ’ ~$ éx $\theta$ paîs ỏpraîs ả $\mu a \theta$ v́vel．

$\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \tau . \alpha_{\text {．}}$
đà̀ ${ }^{\mu} \mu a ̀ \nu ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$,

фvт $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho a ̂ \nu$ öpò тó $\pi \omega \nu$ ，



905

$\pi \lambda о v \tau o ́ \chi \theta \omega \nu$ ย́pцаíà


$\sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.
depends in part on the doctrine of $\pi \dot{d} \theta \in \tau$ $\mu{ }^{\prime} \theta 0 \mathrm{~s}$, （Ag．169，）and partly on the view of the danger of pride，enlarged upon
 corresponds to $\mu \hat{c} \gamma \alpha$, ф $\omega \nu=\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha$ in 896.
 $\gamma \in \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ кúpoas．Hermann，who com－ plains that＂multa mirabilia de his versi－ bus prolata sunt，＂has himself made a most preposterous alteration，$\delta \delta \hat{\epsilon} \mu \bar{\eta}$
 misit peccatum．
893．$\pi р о \sigma$ е́таเбаע．Supplied from con－ jecture by Hermann．Minckwitz sug－ gests $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ ék $u \rho \sigma a \nu$ ，which is objectionable from the preceding кúpras．

895．$\sigma \iota \gamma \omega ิ \nu$ đ̀ $\lambda \in \theta \rho o s . \quad H e r m a n n ~ h a s ~$ $\sigma t \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \delta$＇，and takes кal for＇even．＇

898．$\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha$ ，blight，damage，properly used of trees，as sup．631．－〒à̀ $\ddagger \mu \grave{\lambda} \nu$ $\chi$ dpuv，because as Chthonian powers they can exercise a beneficent influence over the produce of the earth．They wish to shew the citizens，that to themselves and not to Pallas they will owe the blessings of life．

900．ذ $\mu \mu a \tau \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon р \grave{̀} s$ ，destroying the young germs or buds，which Virgil techni－
cally calls oculi，Georg．ii．73．－ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{a} \nu \quad \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. ，so as to prevent them from spreading beyond the limits assigned them when first planted．The poet speaks of rines，olives，and fig－trees．See on Suppl． 979.
 mally to cancel the threat uttered at v． 457.

904．$\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \theta \epsilon \nu$ oû $\nu \tau \alpha$ रâ．So Dobree for e $\dot{v} \theta \in \nu 0 u ̂ \nu \tau^{2}$ そ̌yav．The wish here ex－ pressed is the same to the letter as that dictated by Pallas，v． 864 seqq．

906．$\delta^{\prime}$ del．These words were sup－ plied by Musgrave．Hermann refers $\gamma \delta \nu 0 s \pi \lambda o u \tau \delta \chi \theta \omega \nu$ to the mineral wealth of Athens，mentioned more specifically in Pers．236．On this supposition rioc will refer to the tithe paid to the gods，and equalay will be the usual epithet，＇lucky，＇ applied to treasure trove．The middle syllable is short，as in ikcalov Suppl． 379 ，and occasionally in $\delta \in(\lambda a \iota o s, \gamma \in p a i b s$ ， \＆c．，if the reading in the strophe be cor－ rect．See Monk on Hippol． 170.

909．$\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ фрои́ptov．Schol．言＇Apeo－ $\pi a \gamma i \tau a b$ ．The metre suggests that $\pi \delta{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ is here a spondee．
 ..... 910$\pi o ́ \tau \nu \iota^{3}{ }^{3} E \rho \iota \nu \grave{s} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime}{ }^{3} \dot{a} \theta \alpha \nu a ́ \tau o \iota s$ßiov $\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda \omega \pi$ ò̀ таре́ $\chi o v \sigma a \iota$.915
 ..... $\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.$\nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i ́ \delta \omega \nu \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi \eta \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$$\theta \epsilon \alpha i ́ \dagger \tau^{3}$ 今̉ Moîpaı $\mu \alpha \tau \rho о к \alpha \sigma \iota \gamma \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota$,920баímoves ỏ $\rho \theta$ о $о$ о́ $\mu$ ои,$\pi \alpha \nu \tau i$ ठо́ $\mu \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \kappa о \iota \nu о \iota$,є́vঠíкоьs ó $\mu \iota \lambda i ́ a \iota s$,$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$.925
A@. $\quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \tau о \iota \chi \chi^{\omega} \rho \underset{\varepsilon}{\tau} \tau \hat{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \mu \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \phi \rho o ́ \nu \omega s$$\kappa \alpha i ̀ \sigma \tau o ́ \mu{ }^{2}$ є̇ $\pi \omega \pi \hat{q} \hat{q} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \delta^{\circ}$ ả $\gamma \rho i ́ \omega s$¿т $\pi \alpha \nu \eta \nu \alpha \mu$ ย́vas.

 mean the oupdurou or Olympian gods, on account of the apposition with $\boldsymbol{0}$ is vid raîav. But in v. 330 seqq. the Furies themselves had disowned all connexion with these àdávatol. The truth is, there. they were angry with Pallas and Apollo; here they are appeased and friendly. This is one of the many instances where Aeschylus shews his desire to reconcile the two orders of gods.
916. a'upous, 'untimely,' viz. the death of youths, sup. 821. Suppl. 648.
 power over marriage,' sc. Kv́т $\rho / s, \mathrm{Z} \in \dot{\text { ès }}$
 omission of the article is justified by
 $\delta \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ exovtes. In the next verse $\theta \in a i$ $\tau^{\prime}$ た Moippat is Hermann's excellent restoration of $\theta \in a i=\frac{\omega}{\omega} \nu$ Moipal, in which $\theta \in a l$ was vainly supposed to agree with


The Fates, as the daughters of Night, were sisters by the mother's side of the Erinyes, though elsewhere regarded as unconnected and superior, v. $3 \geqslant 1$.
 ing.' Hermann distinguishes this from óp órvo $^{2} 0 t$, 'having good laws' ( $\nu \delta \mu o s$ ). The same idea is conveyed by the epithet देиठікогs, 924.
925. тavт $\alpha$, 'everywhere,' 'all the world over,' because they are universal in their operation, slaytaiat, v. 320. The MSS. give mad $\begin{gathered}\text { a, against the metre. }\end{gathered}$ Hermann $\pi$ d́vtac. See on v. 245.
 dle verb, but Aeschylus has many other such; see Prom. 43.- $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \gamma \omega$, not unlike aivले, Eur. Suppl. 201. Bacch. 10, \&c., 'thanks to the eye of Persuasion,' sup. 845.
931. Zè̀s àyopaios. The god of eloquence and convincing argument; see Suppl. 618, and Elmsley on Heracl. 70.
$\nu \iota \kappa \frac{q}{c} \delta^{\circ}$ ảja $\theta \hat{\omega} \nu$



 speaking of the dissensions between Aristides and Themistocles, $\eta^{\dagger} \mu \epsilon^{\prime} a s$ $\sigma \tau a \sigma t a ́ \xi \epsilon \nu$


 she shews that she and the Eumerides have now made common cause; and though $y t \kappa \hat{a} \nu$ would properly imply that one side prevailed over the other, the idea here clearly is that the gnod, which they are both equally anxious to effect, has prevailed over the bad which had been threatened by one of the parties.
935. $\pi เ \frac{1}{} \sigma a \kappa$ к $\partial \nu$ Ls. Cf. Theb. 733, кal
 and Suppl. 646.- $\delta_{i}$ òpyàv rowàs, 'through eager desire of vengeance.' Ag.
 is said $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \lambda /{ }^{\prime} \in \bar{i}$, eagerly to lick up, to catch at as anf animal seizes his food, the slaughter of the citizens for other slaughter, i. e. the blood shed in civil broils. But $\pi \delta \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \omega}$ perhaps depends directly on $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi а \lambda$ ( $\sigma$ dt. Cf. Cho. 281.
939. रd́puata. Here a synonym of $\chi$ dpıras, mutual favours and kindnesses.
 correction of Hermann for коt $\omega \omega \phi \in \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ or коเขофє入єर, is confirmed by $\sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ in the next verse. The infinitive depends rather irregularly on $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \delta i \delta o i ̀ s \nu$, in which


The notion of unanimity, which is 'a remedy of many (evils) among men,' is expressed by thie common Greek idea, тoùs à̀тoùs фíhous кal éx $\theta$ poùs עouitety.
 the conjecture of Musgrave for $\phi \rho a \nu o \hat{v} a \iota$ - єирі́бкєь. One MS. only (Ven.) gives фрoyov́rचs, with ed. Rob. Hermann reads eípl $\sigma \kappa \in \iota \nu$ with Pauw, and puts the question at mo入itals, num, si sapiunt, his civibus magnum ab his horribilibus vultibus lucrum video bonae linguae viam invenire? But in fact Pallas addresses the citizens, (as is clear from $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \psi \epsilon \tau \varepsilon, \mathrm{v}$. 948, ) and asks them whether the Furies are not now becoming wise in finding the way of a good tongue, i. e. in at length uttering blessings for curses (794). The
 of the verb into the singular, and thus threw the whole passage into confusion.
945. Ě̌ $¢ p o v a s$. In this epithet, immediately contrasted with the $\phi о \beta є \rho \bar{\alpha} \pi \rho \delta \sigma \sigma ш \pi a$ as described sup. 47 seqq., the new title of Eumenides is implied. See also $\nabla$. 984. Some have fancied a passage must have been lost from the conclusion of the play, in which this was expressly specified; and Müller (Diss. p. 174, note) has gone so far as to question if the play was entitled Eujucyi§es by the poet himself. Hermann conceives the lacuna to occur at v. 983, where see the note.




 $\pi a \rho \theta \in ́ v o v ~ \phi i ́ \lambda a s ~ \phi i ̀ \lambda o u ~ \sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ẻv $\chi \rho o ́ v \varphi$ ．


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \phi \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ i \in \rho o ̀ \nu \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \pi \rho о \pi о \mu \pi \omega ิ \nu$.


947．óp日osikalol．On this adjective үìv каl $\pi \delta \lambda_{1 \nu}$ depend，＇just and upright both in territory and city，＇i．e．not de－ priving your neighbours of the one nor badly governing the other．All the MSS． however，except one of the latest，give opeosicazov，and it is a question whether $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \psi \in \tau \epsilon$ is not here active，as it certainly is in Ag．lı99．So סıampémety in Plat． Gorg．p．485，fin．The sense would then be，＇you will make your land and city conspicuous for justice，＇because，as be－ fore remarked，the cultus of the Eume－ nides was the respect for the dictates of conscience．Hermann，Franz，and Lin－ wood give кal $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ кal $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda u s .-\pi \alpha_{2}^{2} \tau \omega \mathrm{~s}$ is the reading of MS．Ven．for $\pi \alpha^{\prime} v \tau \in S$ ，which is tame and superfluous．
 session of the blessings of wealth．＇Etym． M．aı̈бı $\mu a^{+}$à $\gamma a \theta$ á．
 explains this remarkable phrase of supe－ rior virtue and excellence，quoting Plato， Phileb．p．16，c，oi $\pi \alpha \lambda a \iota o l, \kappa \rho \in i ́ \tau т о \nu \epsilon s$

 $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\nu} \dot{y} \dot{\sigma} a$ ，and the noble lines from the Niobe of Aeschylus，（Frag．146，Dind．）

$$
\text { ǫ } \theta \in \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi \text { l } \sigma \pi о \rho o \iota,
$$




951．фíגot．The citizens are so called， and with great propriety．They are said $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \in i \nu \quad 2 \nu \chi \rho \delta \nu \varphi$ because they have at length established among them that re－
spect for conscience，that moral awe， which is meant by the cultus of the Erinyes，as clearly appears from the chorus 491 seqq．Hermann pronounces the common reading＂admodum inep－ tum，＂and gites 申ílots eìpovoûvtes， nobis Minervae amicis benevolentes dein－ ceps．Why should the chorus have used the masculine $\phi$ dioots rather than $\phi$ inass？

952．Úтд̀ $\pi \tau \in \rho 0$ îs．These words have more than a merely metaphorical meaning． The Athenian theatre was placed close un－ der the great bronze statue of Pallas Níкп or Про $\mu a \chi o s$, to which Aristophanes al－ ludes in Av．574，aùтiкa Nikn $\pi$ є́тєтat ттєриүoì Xpuaaî．See Wordsporth， Athens and Altica，p． 97.

957．тротé $\rho a \nu \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \in \iota \nu$ ．Here again Hermann，in his zeal to contradict Müller， has wrongly denied that Pallas heads the procession，and maintains that she only ＂abit de scena ante pomapam．＂＂To whom，＂asks Mr．Drake，＂was she to shew their chambers，if not to the Furies？＂
959．$\pi \rho \circ \pi о \mu \pi \omega ิ \nu . ~ S o ~ B e n t l e y ~ f o r ~ \pi \rho o-~$ тонто́．See also v． 976.
960．útb．On this peculiar use see Monk on Hippol．1294．So Ar．Ach．
 ко孔íхшу．
9fil．àrnpóv．Bentley＇s correction for à $\eta$ ńpoov．The genitive probably depends on кaré $\chi \in L \nu$ ，＇to keep back from，＇as we





#  

 $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i ̄ s \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta \epsilon, \pi o \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o \hat{v} \chi o \iota$ $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ K \rho a \nu \alpha o v ̂, ~ \tau a i ̂ \sigma \delta \epsilon ~ \mu \epsilon \tau о i ́ к о \iota s * ~$XO．$\chi a i \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon, \chi a i \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \delta^{\prime} a 乞 ̉ \theta \iota \varsigma, \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \nu \delta \iota \pi \lambda o i \zeta \omega, \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$ ． тávтєs oí катà $\pi \tau$ ó $\lambda \iota \nu, \delta \alpha i ́ \mu о \nu$ е́s $\tau \epsilon$ каì ßротоі， 971
 $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ov̋т $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma v \mu \phi \circ \rho a ̀ s ~ \beta i ́ o v$.
A＠．aì $\tau \epsilon \mu u ́ \theta o v \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa а \tau \epsilon v \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ， 975 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \omega \tau \epsilon \phi \epsilon ́ \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \lambda a \mu \pi \alpha ́ \delta \omega \nu \sigma \epsilon \lambda a \sigma \phi o ́ \rho \omega \nu$



 980 $\pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu, \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o s ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau i ́ \delta \omega \nu$


 Dindorf gives $\stackrel{\iota}{\epsilon} \pi o s ~ \delta \iota \pi \lambda o l \zeta \omega$ ，and so Franz and Donaldson．

972．$\pi \delta \lambda_{i v} \nu \epsilon \in \mu \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ．We should have expected $\nu \in \mu \delta \mu \varepsilon \nu 0$ ．See v．879．But examples of the active in the usual middle sense are supplied by the Lexicons．

 MSS．$-\mu \mu^{\prime} \mu \psi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ，see sup． 566 ．

975．aiv $\bar{\omega} \tau \epsilon$ ．Hermann so reads for aiv $\bar{\omega} \delta \epsilon^{\prime}$ ．For $\phi \in \gamma \gamma \in \iota$ he also，with Mül－ ler，gives $\phi$ é $\gamma \eta$ ，which Franz states to be found in MS．Flor．They refer it to the actual tossing of the torches into the cavern which the dread goddesses were supposed to haunt，（ $\kappa \in \cup \theta \mu \omega \hat{\nu \in S}$ ，v．772．） But，if we assume Pallas to take part in the procession，（see on v．957，it is sim－ pler to translate，＇I will escort you with the light of flashing torches．＂So Pers．
 the cavern in question see Alhens and Altica，p．79．It was close to the Areo－



978．छ⿺辶⿱亠乂口丿⿱⿱亠䒑日儿，$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \pi \delta \lambda o t \sigma \tau \nu$ ．From this pas－ sage Müller argues（Diss．p．fi2）that the procession set forth from the Acro－ polis，and not from the Areopagus；and
consequently that the scene was never shifted from the former to the latter place．Either supposition involves some difficulty：but the greater of the two is to conceive the institution and first judi－ cial proceedings of the Areopagus to have been detached in imagination from its own proper locality：for it is clear that the scene could not have been in the Acropolis and also in the Areopagus at one and the same time．See the note on 655．It does not follow，because Pallas brings her $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \pi o \lambda o t ~ t o ~ s w e l l ~ t h e ~ p o m p ~$ of the procession，that they must have issued from the temple itself．

979．ráp．This particle is used in reference to $\pi \rho \mu \pi \grave{\jmath}$ implied in $\pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi \omega$ ．

982．évduroís．Hermann remarks that the word always implies a garment put on for the sake of additional ornament， as $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \delta \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a \pi \epsilon \pi \pi \lambda \nu$ Trach．674．If the passage be correct，the dative can only mean that the people who went in proces－ sion were clad in scarlet robes，this being the colour peculiar to the worstip of the Chthonian goddesses，as Müller thinks， （Diss．p．173．）though he is not justified in saying that the Furies themselves were clad in blood－red garments．There was a curious ancient custom of putting on scarlet when any very solemn oath was
$\tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon$, каì $\tau o ̀ ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \gamma \gamma о \varsigma ~ \dot{o} \rho \mu a ́ \sigma \theta \omega ~ \pi v \rho o ̀ s$,
 тò $\lambda о \iota \pi o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon \cup ̉ a ́ \nu \delta \rho o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \sigma v \mu ф о \rho a i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \eta$.

## ПРОПОМПОІ.


 ( $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \phi \alpha \mu \epsilon і \tau \epsilon \delta \dot{\epsilon}, \chi \omega \rho i ́ \tau \alpha \iota$, ) $\gamma \hat{a} \mathrm{~s}$ vimò кєú $\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ढ̀ $\gamma v \gamma i ́ o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$, $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \alpha \dot{\alpha}$. тıцаîs каi $\theta v \sigma i ́ a \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ † i \pi \alpha a ̀ ~ \pi v \rho \iota \sigma e ́ \pi \tau o \iota s, ~ 990 ~$


about to be taken; and the connexion of the Furies with 'Apal we have seen above, v. 395. Cf. Lysias contra Andoc.



 mans seem to have held this colour to be proper for rites of peculiar sanctity. Ovid, Fast. iv. 339, 'Illic purpurea canus cum veste Sacerdos Almonis dominam sacraque lavit aquis.'
983. тıца̂тє. Hermann contends that some verses must have been lost here. (1) Because there is an abruptness in this imperative, and (2) a want of connexion in the context. (3) There is no mention of men, young and old, as sup. 818. (4) Pallas must have assigned to the Erinyes- the distinctive title of $\mathrm{E} \dot{\jmath} \mu \epsilon-$ $\nu i \delta \in s$, from which the play took its name, and which the author of the Greek argument and Harpocration (evidently from him, however) assert that the goddess actually did do. On the other hand, Müller, (Diss. p. 174, note,) denies that there is any lacuna; and with his opinion I agree. For (1 and 2) the imperative $\tau \mu \mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon$, as well as $\delta \rho \mu \dot{d} \sigma \theta \omega$, conveys the order to the procession to start at once, and the words are addressed to the $\pi \rho \sigma \pi \sigma \mu \pi o l$ to commence the concluding song. (3) The males may have been sufficiently mentioned in v. 965, or the procession may have consistèd of women alone, according to the sex of the Furies, even though in v. 818 they are promised a general honour from both men and women. The latter supposition is proba-

used of women only. (4) This objection is answered on v .945 , to which add the remark of Müller (p. 173), that E $\dot{v} \mu \in \nu_{i} \delta \in s$ was the Sicyonian, $\Sigma \in \mu \nu a l$ the Athenian name of the goddesses.
986. Bâtє $\delta \delta \mu \varphi$. The MSS. give ${ }^{2} \nu$ $\delta \delta \mu \omega$, corrected by Wellauer. The usual construction would be $\delta \delta \mu o v$. But if the poet wrote thus, how are we to account for the corruption? Possibly $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \delta \mu \varphi$ was a gloss on $\delta 6 \mu 0$, a form of which Aeschylus is fond, and which has the

987. жaî $\delta \in s$ 台 $\pi a \downarrow \delta \epsilon s$. Mr. Drake (with Dr. Donaldson) omits the epithet, and thinks $\tau \emptyset \chi a, \tau \in$ a mere metrical addition in 990 . He may be right; but $\pi a \hat{\delta} \hat{\delta} \in s$ äraıठes, which he thinks can neither mean 'old' nor 'childless,' may be defended by $\nu \hat{a} e s{ }^{\text {ă }} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ aces, Pers. 676, 'children who are no children.'- $\varepsilon$ ©̈фpoעt, the correction of L . Dindorf for $\in \dot{v} \theta^{\prime} \phi \rho \rho_{\nu}$.$\chi$ шрîtal Herm. for $\chi \omega \rho \in i t e$. So $\chi \omega \rho i \tau \eta s$ $\delta \rho \alpha \kappa \omega \nu$, frag. 114. $\chi \omega \rho i \tau \eta s$ 名фis, Soph. frag. 219.
 connected with the Celtic ogof, a gloomy cave. Hence 'lost in the mists of anti-

990. unal. So I have given for the corrupt rúxa $\tau \in$, the MSS. having кal
 The true reading is extremely doubtful. Herm. has $\pi \in \rho!\sigma \in \pi \tau a$ тuХои̃ $\sigma a l$, Lin-
 Alrens, and Scholefield, $\pi \in \rho!\sigma \in \pi \tau a \quad \tau \hat{u}-$

 follow almost as a matter of course.
992. 'Thaol. The first two syllables ap-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \alpha \underset{\tau}{\tau} \epsilon \pi \pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \theta^{\circ} \text { ó } \delta o ́ \nu \cdot \\
& \text { ỏ } \lambda o \lambda v ́ \xi a \tau є \nu \hat{v} \nu \text { モ̇ } \pi i \quad \mu \circ \lambda \pi a i ̂ s . \\
& 995 \\
& \sigma \pi o \nu \delta \alpha i \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i \sigma o ́ \pi \iota \nu \text { є̉ } \nu \delta \hat{a}, \delta \epsilon \varsigma \text { їт } \omega \nu \text {. } \\
& \boldsymbol{\alpha} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { оข้т } \boldsymbol{\text { ºîpá }} \boldsymbol{\tau \epsilon} \sigma v \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \beta a \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

pear to be long. In these epithets there is again an allusion to the new appellation of $\mathrm{E} \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu \delta \delta \in \mathrm{s}$.
994. $\lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \alpha$. See on v. 365. The Med. has $\lambda a \mu \pi \alpha \dot{\delta} \delta$, but with the last two sydqables written over an erasure.
995. $\mathrm{e}^{2} \boldsymbol{l} \mu_{0} \lambda \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi a i s$. 'With songs.' So


996. єiaḃtv - Tт conjecture of Linwood for ${ }^{\text {Es } s ~ \tau ो ~ \pi a ̂ ̀ \nu ~}$ olk $\kappa \nu$, which is retained by Herm. and
 rect form, as Homer shortens the a in סatסos. Franz edits èvóaidés tol èv oั̌nч.
997. $\delta \pi \alpha \nu 6 \pi \tau \alpha s$. So Herm. for Zè̀s $\pi a \nu \tau \delta \pi \tau a s$, a form which occurs Suppl. 130. The sense appears to be this:-- Let libations accompanied with torches follow behind. Thus hath all-seeing Zeus and Fate entered the contest on behalf of the citizens of Pallas.' But Hermann, placing the stop at $\dot{\alpha} \sigma$ tois, gives a widely different meaning; "Pax in omne tempus cum lumine taedarum in sedibus (Furiarum) Palladis civibus: Juppiter et Parca sic consenserunt." We have the plural $\sigma \pi o u \delta a l$ for 'libations' in Suppl. 959. On the metaphor in $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \in \beta$ see Cho. 713.
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ả $\gamma a ́$ 〔 $\epsilon l \nu$ S． 1046
àjádaктos Ag． 697
ä $\gamma$ रapos Ag． 273 ．P． 14
＇Ayסaßáтas P． 939
аै $\gamma к а \theta_{\epsilon \nu} \mathrm{Ag}$ 3．Eu． 80
áккрьтьs Eu． 342
àขрє́тŋs P． 983
＇Ayviev̀s Ag． 1054
ảүv́ртрıа Ag． 1244

ả $\gamma$ ia入os P． 877
àyóvıoє $\theta$ єoì S．185．Ag． 496
＇Ǻєúns P． 314
áooßátךs P－908

＂Aঠpartos Th． 50.571
＇Afpía S． 71
á $\mathfrak{\gamma}$ vvoos Pr． 460
aỉavท̀s Eu．457． 902
aíapòs Eu． 394
Ai $\gamma \in \dot{\prime}$ E Eu． 653
Alyiti
${ }^{\text {＂Asins（deus）Ag．1358．Eu．} 262}$
＇Ä̈ס $\omega \nu$ vus P． 651
AíOío $\boldsymbol{\text { тотанòs Pr．} 8 2 8}$
aiнатобфауク̀s P． 812.
aiveĩ Ag．98． 1458 ．S．1\％5．C． 546
aióरos S．322．Th． 489
aı̈ $\rho \in \iota \nu$ бтó $\lambda o \nu$ S．2．P． 791
$\longrightarrow \pi a \rho^{\prime}$ nv̉dè $\nu$ Eu． 809
aı $\rho \in \sigma$ Өaı тó $\epsilon \mu \sigma$ S．433． 927
$\longrightarrow-\phi v \gamma \dot{\eta}$ P． 483
aiбıuía Eu． 949
al $\sigma \chi v \nu \tau \eta े \rho(a d u l t e r)$ C． 977
aix $\mu \eta$（indoles）Pr．412．Ag．467．C． 619．Eu 770
ảкабкайоs Ag． 718
áкрá§єє Th．95．C． 713
ăкцшу 入ó $\gamma \chi \eta$ Р P． 51
ảкрауク̀s Pr． 822
áкрьто́фv $\lambda \lambda$ os Ag． 678
ảкрıто́фขртоs 「Th． 352
áкрó $\beta$ одos＇Ih． 146
ákpovia Eu． 179
áктаívєє Eu． 36
＂Акт $\omega \rho$ Th． 550
ả入є́кт $\omega \rho$ Ag．1649．Eu． 823
＇A入égavס́pos Ag． 61.354
${ }^{a} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \xi \eta \tau \eta \dot{\prime} \rho \iota o s$ Zєป̀s Th． 8
ả入เтєโ้ $\operatorname{Pr} .541$ ．Eu． 259
${ }^{3}$ A $\lambda \kappa \mu \eta$ خ́ $\eta$ Ag． 1007

＂A入тıбтos P． 962
ả $\lambda$ v́є $\iota \nu$ Th． 386
＂A入us（тотанòs）P． 860
${ }^{\text {＇} A \mu \text { a̧óves }}$ P．739．S．283．Eu． 598. 655
${ }^{a}{ }^{\prime} \mu a \lambda_{s}$ S． 821
å áápт $\frac{1 a}{}$ ，тà Ag． 520
$\alpha_{\alpha} \mu \beta \lambda \dot{\prime}$ Eu． 229
á $^{\prime} \epsilon ́ \gamma$ артоs $\mathbf{S} .632$. Pr． 411
${ }_{a} \mu \in i(\beta \in \sigma \theta a i$ S．228．Th．292．851．C． 779

＂A $\mu$ ибтріs P． 322
a $\mu \pi \epsilon \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$ S． 761
ả $\mu \pi \lambda а ́ к \eta т о s ~ A g . ~ 336 ~$
a $\mu \mu v \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \in s$ Th． 456
${ }^{a} \mu \mu \tau v \xi \mathrm{~S} .425$
'A $\mu \phi$ и́ $\rho \epsilon \omega s$ Th. 565
à $_{\mu} \phi^{\prime} \beta$ ßodot Th. 287
${ }_{a}^{\mu} \mu \phi \iota \theta a \lambda \dot{\eta} s$ C. 386
ả $\mu \phi \iota \lambda a$ गे $^{2}$ Ag. 985. C. 323
ג̀ $\mu \phi$ ' $\lambda$ єктоя Ag. 854. 1563
à $\mu \phi \dot{\sigma} \beta$ аина Ag. 1204

ад $\mu$ фіттрофоs S. 858
'А $\mu \phi{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ 'Th. 523
$\hat{a} \nu$ and $\hat{a} \nu(\stackrel{a}{a} \stackrel{a}{a} \nu)$ confused, Ag. 1318. Th. 557

- omitted with subjunctive, Ag. 740. Th. 246. 328. Eu. 202
- implied with optative, Ag. 1016
- with indic., 'must have,' Ag. 1223


đ̀ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \grave{\eta}$ Eu. 23
$\dot{\alpha}^{2} \nu a \phi \epsilon \subset \in \nu($ to bring up) C. 439
(to attribute) C. 826
ảvőpaкàs Ag. 1573
à $\nu$ ốо́таия Th. 528
${ }^{2}$ A $\nu \delta$ סos P. 876



à $\nu \boldsymbol{\tau} i \pi a \iota s \mathrm{Eu} .38$

àขтเбๆкоขิ้ Р. 439

ả̀v́ซабӨaı Pr. 719. C. 843
'Agıòs P. 495
à ${ }^{\xi}$ toṽ $\sigma$ Aat Ag. 361



$\dot{\alpha}_{\pi}{ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ (intrans.) Ag. 1260
à $\pi a \rho \tau \dot{t}\} \in \tau$ Th. 369
àmév $\begin{aligned} & \text { Птоs Ag. 868. Eu. } 872\end{aligned}$

ảnクท̂́po Pr. 28
'A ${ }^{\prime} i a$ S. 256. Ag. 247
${ }^{3}$ A $\pi t s$ S. 258

ả $\pi \grave{\infty} \gamma^{\prime} \nu \dot{\oplus} \mu \eta \mathrm{s}$ Eu. 644
'A $\pi \delta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$ Ag. 1048
—— ^úkelos S. 668. Th. 132. Ag. 1228
à $\pi \sigma \mu$ о́v $\omega \mathrm{s}$ Ag. 774
ảторріттєєи 入о́үoи S. 478
äлофөорà $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu а т о s$ Eu. 178
ảтохр $\eta$ матоs C. 267
ä $\pi \rho \iota \gamma \delta a$ P. 1036
а̀ $\pi \rho \iota \gamma к \tau о ́ \pi \lambda \eta к \tau о$ С. 417
ä $\pi \tau \in \rho o s$ фáris Ag. $^{2} 67$
${ }^{a} \pi{ }^{\prime} \pi v \rho a$ ífpà Ag .70
ảpà ă ä $\sigma \pi=\nu$ Óos Ag. 1206
ảpà $\delta \eta \mu o ́ к р а \nu \tau o s ~ A g . ~ 444$
†'Apaßía Pr. 427
"Apaßos P. 320
${ }^{\text {'Apai Eu. 395. Th. } 945}$
'Apaxuaîov (oैpos) Ag. 300

${ }^{\text {'A }} \boldsymbol{\prime} \gamma \eta \eta \sigma \pi \eta \mathrm{s}$ P. 310
"Apelos táyos Eu. 655
"Ap ${ }^{2}$ (ā) Th. 233
'Арицабтоl Pr. 824
${ }^{\prime}$ 'Aptó $\mu$ apóos P. 38. 323. 916
"Aplos P. 976. C. 415
'Арктєѝs P. 44. 314.
а́ $\rho к ข \dot{\jmath} \tau \tau а т а$ P. 100. Ag. 1346. Eu. 112
á $\rho \mu$ о̂ Pr. 633

'Aprá $\mu \eta$ s P. 320
${ }^{\text {',Артафре́әךя P. }} 21$

артікодлоs Th. 368. C. 471
a’ $\rho \chi$ аиóтлдочтоs Ag. 1010
d’ $\rho \chi$ aĩos, ' old fashioned,' Pr. 325
ă $\rho \chi \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{P}$ P. 299

${ }^{\text {'A A taxos Th. }} 402$

dं $\sigma \tau$ ógévos S. 350

ä वтроьs фєúyє $\frac{\text { S. }}{} 388$
à $\sigma \not \subset a ́ \delta ̄ a \sigma \tau 0 s ~ A g . ~ 1264$
${ }^{\text {'A }}$ o $\sigma \pi$ òs P. 288. Ag. 288
à áávpwtos Ag. 236

ảтít $\eta \mathrm{s}$ Eu. 246. Ag. 72
"Athas Pr. 356
àт $\rho \epsilon \mu a \hat{a} a$ S. 678
'Atреі̀s Ag. 1479. 1561
àтріактоs C. 331
aũ є $\frac{1}{}$ Th. 173

av̉ovà Eu. 319
àüтé̀ Th. 131. 379
aи̉то́кютоя C. 156
a ̃̇то́токоs Ag. 135
à̉rovpyía Eu. 322
av̉róx $\theta$ ovos Ag. 519
${ }^{a} \phi$ etos $\operatorname{Pr} .684$
áфiкт $\omega \rho$ S. 1. 237
àфví̊ıos, aìфví̀os Pr. 698
ảфоíßàтоs Eu. 228
áфpodír $\eta$ s ala S. 549
ảфро́vтtơтos Ag. 1348
'Axails Th. 28. P. 490
'А $\chi \in \lambda \omega t{ }^{\prime} \delta \epsilon s$ P. 865

ả $\chi \eta \nu i a$ Ag．409．C． 293
ả丸 $\lambda \dot{v}$ Eu．357．P． 666


## B．

$\beta$ and $\kappa$ confused，S． 541
——v－Pr． 335
—— $\mu$ ————Pr． 427
Báктрıоє P．308． 728
вакхєía С． 685
$\beta a \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ P． 659
$\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，intransitive，Ag． 1143
Baтávoxos P． 962
ßav̂\}ecy P. 13. Ag. 436
ßоєєли́ктротоs Eu． 52
Bīnos S． 313
ßıßầ Eu． 76
$\beta \lambda \omega \chi^{a}$ à ảptı $\beta \rho є \phi \in i s$ Th． 341
$\beta_{\circ \grave{\eta}}=\beta_{0}{ }_{\eta}^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon \iota a}$ S． $710 . \mathrm{Ag}, 1320$
Bó̀ $\beta \eta$ P． 496

Bóppaıaı тúגaь Th． 552
Bó $\sigma \pi$ opos Pr．752．P． 719
$\beta о т \grave{y}, \beta \rho о \tau \grave{\nu}$, confused，S． 672.836. Eu． 867
ßovko入eî̀ Ag．652．Eu． 78
ßov $\lambda \dot{\nu}$ катаррí廿ai Ag． 857
ßoûvis S．109． 756

ßov̂s èm̀ | $\lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta$ |
| :--- |
| Ag． |
| 6 |

Boóplos Eu． 24
ßpúxcos Pr．1103．P． 399
Búß入ıva óp $\eta$ Pr． 830


## $\Gamma$ ．


「aía Eu．2．Pr． 218
raî̀ and $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \nu$ confused，P． 732
रátios Zєv̀s S． 146
خáuороs S．607．Eu． 850
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ in deprecating，Th．71．Pr． 649
—after ä ${ }^{2} a \nu$ S． 698
үє $\mu \eta \eta^{\nu}$ S． 238
үєүаиібкєєข Pr． 645
Гєiл८б⿱㇒木 С． 719
$\gamma$ Eîos S． 837
үєдє́日入ıos סóvts Eu． 7
yєрарà S．652．Ag． 701
「й коvрот $о$ óфos＇Th． 16
$\gamma \eta \theta \epsilon i \nu$ С． 759
$\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \pi \rho$ ò $\gamma \bar{\eta} s \operatorname{Pr} .700$
$\gamma \eta ́ \rho \eta \mu$ я，$\gamma \eta \rho a ́ \sigma к \omega$ S． 870. C． 894

Грришン Ag． 843
fiqveral with infinitive，Eu． 211
$\gamma^{\nu \omega ் \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau a ́ \chi \alpha ~ A g . ~} 1627$
$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \phi v \gamma \eta \nu$ S． 6
रovías äve
Гopóves Pr．818．Eu． 48
Горүढ̄тьs $\lambda i \mu \nu \eta$ Ag． 293
үраia é $\rho \in i ́ \kappa \eta$ Ag． 286
Г $\rho$ ûmes $\operatorname{Pr} .823$
yúns Pr． 377
रण $\mu \nu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ ס $\rho o ́ \mu о v s$ Pr． 607
ز$v \nu \eta ̀, ~ \gamma o v \eta ̀, ~ c o n f u s e d, ~ T h . ~ 214 ~$

## $\Delta$.

$\Delta \hat{a}=\gamma \hat{\eta} \operatorname{Pr} .848$

$\delta a \iota \mu \nu$ à Th．995．C． 557
סакти入óסєıктоs Ag． 1303

$\Delta a \rho є$ ıà P .653
ба́бктоs S．88．P． 318
$\Delta a v \lambda \iota \epsilon$ ข̀s C． 661
§aфoıvòs C． 597
ס̇́，exegetical，Pr． 410
－in questions，P． 336
－in apodosis，Ag．198．Eu． 660

ঠєццатобтаүท̀s C． 827
$\delta є \iota \mu a т о \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ C． 830
$\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ s$ Eu． 16

ס́́ $\chi \in \sigma \theta a l$ ，of omens，Ag． 1631

§ұvaiòs Pr．813．Eu． 808
ঠй $\pi$ отє Ag． 560
－íaı̧̧ıs P． 977
ठıavoцך Eu． 697
סıappúồv C． 59
סtaototरi乡et Pr． 238
סєатєтіцךтає Th． 1041
Síavえov Ag． 335
$\delta i \epsilon \mu a \iota$ P． 696
бікаиа $=$ бікп，Ag．785．Eu． 392
סíкךข єi̋áyєєข Eu． 552
－ס́ayvติval Eu． 679

бítлakes P． 279
$\Delta i \rho \kappa \eta$ Th． 262
סíp $\nu \mu$ оs P． 47
Siuypos Th． 977
סi申vios Ag． 1445
8i $\psi \eta$ C． 743
биө́кєєц $\pi о ́ \delta a$ Th．366．Eu． 381
ठо́кıцоs P． 90
$\Delta o \tau a ́ \mu a s$ P． 938
бракор $\theta$ биілоs S． 263
8 оакорто́ $\mu a \lambda \lambda o s$ Pr． 818
§рávavтє $\pi a \theta \epsilon i ้, \mathrm{Ag}, 1541$. C． 305
бооітт Ag．1518．C．986．Eu． 603
§оо́гоє $\lambda є o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ Ag． 139
סvбav入ía Ag． 538
ঠvбßáüктоs Р． 576
סvбєvขát $\omega \rho$ Th． 281
§vбкч́ $\mu$ аитоs Ag 636
ঠvбоסотаiта入os Eu． 365
Svaoí\}єьу Ag. 1287
ठvбо́ $\mu \mu a \tau o s$ Eu． 366
§vбта入єโน Eu．$\overline{2} 29$
סvбтŋ́цаитоs Eu． 459
ঠvбт
סvбхєрクŋs S．562．Pr． 821
$\Delta \omega \delta \omega \nu \eta$ S．254．Pr． 849

## E．

єßסораує́тךs Th． 797


єүүи́тата үє́vous S .381
є $\gamma к а т \iota \lambda \lambda \omega ́ \pi \tau є \iota \nu$ Eu 113
$\epsilon i$ with optative and $\vec{a} \nu$, Th．510．Ag． 903
－subjunctive，S．86．P．786．Eu． 225
єi ${ }^{\circ}$ oủv Ag．659． 1009
єi゙veкa，ои̃עєка，S．184．984．Pr． 353
єккатоүка́рךขоs，－avos，Pr． 361
éк $\theta a \mu \nu i \zeta є \iota \nu$ Th． 72
е́ккарпіڭєб $\theta a \iota$＇Th． 597
є́ккроубтоя Th． 537
є́кцартขрейข Ag．1167．Eu． 439
єкуоиоs Eu． 92

Є̉кта́тוos Ag． 49
Є́кто入vitevév Ag． 1000
є́кфа́тьs Ag． 686
є́кфора́тєроs Eu． 870
е入єєоßа́тає P． 39
${ }^{\text {＇E Eév }}$ Ag．670． 773.1430
є $\lambda \in ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$（percipere）Ag． 34 1．Eu． 829

є $\lambda$ каìvє七 C． 828
＂E入入 $\eta$ P．70．741． 870
є́цлотоs C． 462
є́ $\mu \pi$ acos Ag． 180
є́ $\mu \pi а \lambda a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$ S． 291

èvaj̀s S． 116
ย่ $\nu \delta \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ Th． 574

Є̉ $\nu$ ôvtòs Eu． 982
évoíklos őputs Eu． 828
${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} S$ C． 242
＇Eขvó Th． 45
ย่ עळ́тレa S． 137
é $^{\xi} a \gamma i \zeta \kappa \iota \nu$ Ag． 624
égaíotos S． 508
є＇$\xi \alpha \mu \beta$ а́ $\sigma \sigma є \iota \nu$ Eu． 885

є＇$\xi \in \sigma \tau \iota \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma є \iota \nu \mathrm{Eu} .859$
Ég Fos Th： 11
ধُ $\xi \eta \gamma \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ C．110．Eu．565． 579



ẻ́ $\xi \omega \rho \iota a ́\} \epsilon \iota \nu$ Pr． 17

є́такріちєє С． 918
є̇тацßатŋ̀ C .272
 970



${ }^{7}$ Eraфos S．309．Pr． 870


＇$\pi i \iota$ ，with genitive，＇towards，＇ $\operatorname{Pr.} 676$
є̇ $\pi \iota \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ Pr．949．C． 1034

${ }^{\prime} \pi \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi a \sigma \theta a \imath$ S． 49

є̇тьขє́ $\mu \in \sigma$ Өal Ag． 469

єтi乡ๆvov Ag． 1248
é $\pi i \pi \nu 0 \iota a$ S． 17
ėтนрре́ $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu$（transitive）Ag．242．Eu． 848

є่ті́бкотоя C．119．Eu．492． 863
Є̇л $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \rho є \pi \tau$ òs S．974．C． 342
є̇льтрофаі бшна́тюข Th．645．Eu． 518

є่тоvрi̋єєь Eu． 132
є́mюóai Eu． 619
${ }^{\text {＇Epáorıos S．}} 997$
є́คүá̀ŋ Pr． 469
${ }^{\prime}$ E $\rho \in \chi$ Өєv̀s Eu． 817
${ }^{3}$ Eptıúєs Ag．447．1161．1558
${ }^{*}$ Epis Th．723． 1054
єैन $\sigma$ Ag．1019． 1314
＇Eтє́ок入оs Th． 453
éтєрóфоуos Th． 159
ย้т ${ }^{2}$ s S． 243
єủaүท̀s P． 468
$\epsilon ข ̈ \delta \epsilon \iota \pi \nu a$ C． 475
$\epsilon \dot{\cup} \epsilon \sigma \tau$＇＇Th．174．Ag．630． 902
є ̇̇Өvo̊ィкía Eu． 411

E $\dot{u} \mu \in \nu i \delta \in s$ Eu．946． 983
є $\dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi є$ едоs Eu． 454
Evैpıтos Ag． 283
єủpoeĩ P． 603
єข่ $\sigma \epsilon \beta \in i ้ y ~ \tau \iota \nu a \mathrm{Ag} .329$

єủruxモi้（sepultum esse）P． 327

єن̉фıло́таıs Ag． 700
єűх́́pєьa Eu． 471
єขี้रєの日a（eloqui）S． 270
－єข̇ш，verbs in，Th． 58
є́фєбооя C． 851
é $\phi$ ยє́vaı Th．783．Eu． 478
éфоঠєúє C .715
є́фолко̀s S． 196

## Z．

Zà̀ S． 152
$\zeta a \pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \mathrm{P} .318$
乌ахреїоs S． 190

〔єиктйрьо，Ag． 512
Zev̀s Té $\lambda \epsilon$ los Ag．946．En．28． 205
——Kт $\eta \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ tos Ag． 1003

－àjopaîos Eu． 931
－évios Ag． 61.353
§uyíaı Ag． 1596
̧ติval S． 451

§ $\omega \pi$ иреiy Th．278．Ag． 1001

## H．


$\hat{\eta}$ ，from ôs，Th．17．Eu． 7
${ }^{3} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu{ }^{2} \nu$ Pr．174． 928
＂Н入ектраı тú入ą Th． 418
${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \lambda$ ißatos S． 345
$\stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \lambda \iota \sigma \pi \iota \beta{ }_{\eta}$ S Pr． 810

$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho о \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \dot{\partial} \nu$ Р． 63
$\eta_{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho о$ s，Eu． 14
ทุцєробко́тоя Th． 66
$\eta \pi \nu ์ \eta$ Th． 133
＂H $\quad$ а S．287． 580
－Teגcía Eu． 205

${ }_{\eta j} / \sigma \theta a$ for ${ }_{\eta} \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{Ag} .494$
＇H $\sigma t o ́ \nu \eta$ Pr． 570
$\dot{\eta} \sigma u ́ \chi \omega s, ~ \eta ̈ \eta v \chi$ оऽ $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \mathrm{Eu} .214$
＂Hфаібтоv тaîoss Eu． 13
$\Theta$ ．
$\theta a ́ \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu} \beta_{i o \nu}^{\prime}$ P． 618
Eavaroûv Pr． 1074
Өápußıs P． 51.949
$\theta$ єіато，$\theta$ єі̀
$\theta_{\epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \mu \grave{s} S}$ S．1006．Th． 704
$\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \pi \tau s$ Pr． 136
Ө́́ $_{\prime \prime}$ Pr．217．Eu． 2
$\theta \epsilon ́ \mu s$ ，indeclinable，S． 330
－$-\tau$ ò $\mu \grave{\text { ̀ C．}} 630$
Өєцібкира Pr． 743
$\theta_{\varepsilon є о \beta \lambda a \beta є i \nu}$ P． 827

$\theta$ єoi à à $\quad$ vávaктes S． 996
－vinato九，$\chi$ Өóvıo Ag． 90
$\theta \epsilon 0 \kappa \lambda \nu \tau \epsilon$ íl P． 502
Өєр $\mu$ óvovs Ag． 1043
Өєр $\boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\delta} \omega \nu$ Pr． 744
$\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota$ є
－$-\pi a \rho^{2}$ ov̉ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{Ag} .222$
үє́ $\lambda \omega \nu$ ย่ $\nu \tau$ र̀s ò ö $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ C． 725
Өєatıàs C． 595
$\theta \in \sigma \phi a \tau \eta \lambda o ́ y o s ~ A g . ~ 1416$
$\theta \in \omega \rho l_{s}$（vavis）Th． 852
Ө ${ }^{\text {Əैßaı P．}} 38$
Өךүápal Ag．1514．Eu． 821
$\theta \dot{\eta} \nu \operatorname{Pr} .586$
Ө $\quad$ б $\sigma \in \dot{s}$ Eu． 380.656
Өoá̧єцय S． 589


Өро́ $\mu$ ßos，$\theta$ рóvos，confused，Eu． 158
$\theta \rho u ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ к \rho u ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ c o n f u s e d, ~ A g . ~$ 1573
$\theta \rho \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \in \iota \nu$ Eu． 630
Oúctv（furere）Ag． 1206
Өvécтगs Ag．1213． 1562
$\theta v \eta \lambda \eta$ Ag． 792
$\theta$ viàs S．958．Th． 493.832
$\theta v \mu$ é $\lambda a \iota$ S． 653
Qu $^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu$ avtıs P． 226
Ovo兀кıขєì Ag． 87
Өvpoкóтоз Ag． 1166
$\theta v \sigma \tau$ às $\beta$ ò Th． 258
$\theta \dot{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\xi}$ Eu． 173
$\theta$ шракєia Th． 32
$\theta \omega \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \mathrm{Ag} .866$

## I．

七，elision of，Pr．1036．P． 846
iaì P． 663
${ }^{*}$ Iaves P． 929
＇Iaóvo vóuoc S． 66
iánंтє兀（intrans．）S． 541
latpoì 入óyoı Pr． 386
latрб́ма⿱亠тьs S．259．Ag．1601．Eu． 62
lavô̂ P． 663
＇Iáwy P． 1006
＂I $\%$ $\eta$ Ag．272－4． 547
${ }_{\ell \in \nu}$ ทat $=i \neq \sigma \theta a \iota$ P． 472
ì $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \mu$ iatoıa C． 416
＇Ikápov édos P． 878
̌ктар Ag．115．Eu． 950
íkт $\omega$ S． 639
＇I $\mu$ aíos P． 31
${ }^{7}$ Ivaxos S．491．Pr．606． 682
＇Iuǒol S． 280
ivis S．41．Eu． 313
${ }^{\prime}$ I＇sínv Eu． 419.688
iòs S．152．Ag．801．Eu．456． 700
ไótทтィ Pr． 568
$i_{\pi 0} \frac{2}{\nu}$ Pr． 273
$i \pi \pi \eta \delta \dot{\partial} \mathrm{y}$ Th．317．S． 425
${ }^{\text {＇I }} \pi \pi \pi о \mu \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \nu$ Th． 483
íá́p $\quad$ voos Ag． 932
＇I $\sigma \mu \eta \nu$ òs＇Th． 262.373
iбó $\mu \circ \iota \rho о \nu \mathrm{C}$ ．${ }^{\text {3 }} 11$
íó $\downarrow \eta$ фos Eu． 711.762.
iotopeî̀ P．456．Eu， 433

iú $\gamma \boldsymbol{\xi}$ P． 968
ǐxap S． 828
і $\chi$ робколєіл C． 220
i $\chi \omega \rho \mathrm{Ag} .1456$
＇Iむ S．288．534．Pr． 714
i $\omega$ à P． 1049

## K．

каß阝às S． 807

каА ${ }_{\eta}^{\prime} к є \iota \nu$ С． 446
каөí́vaı 入aîфos Eu． $52 \overline{5}$
$\kappa a \theta \iota \pi \pi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ Eu．145．701． 749
ка’－$\delta$ é Pr．994．P．155．263．Eu． 65.
－and кои̇ confused，S． 291
каi $\delta \grave{\eta}$ Eu． 854
кaì $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ ；Ag．532． 1281
каıขiऍєıข Ag．1038．C． 483
каıрds $\operatorname{Pr} .515$
какоv̄бӨaı Eu． 179
кáлvそ Ag． 1363

Ká入 Xas Ag．151． 240
Kávøßos Pr． 865
Kãavєن̀s Th．418． 435

карауเоті̀ Eu .177
карßà̀ S． 110
кápßavos S．891．Ag． 1028
карлоขิ้ Р． 817
картой $\theta a \iota$ ，картіґєб $\theta a \iota$ ，Th． 597
Kaбनávס $\rho a \mathrm{Ag} .1002$
кãà，＇against，＇Th． 500
——＇off，＇P．872．Th． 522
катаıүі\}єьข Th. 63
катацує¿ц C． 693
катаขá $\sigma \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ Eu． 889
кататтакळ̀ $\operatorname{Eu} .243$
$\kappa а т а \sigma \theta \mu a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \chi \chi^{\wedge} \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu$ Th． 388
катафӨатєî́Өaı Eu． 376
ката廿ека́ऍєцу Ag． 544
катєррเขךрє́vos S． 726
катпрєфѝs той Eu． 284
катทртикшя Eu 451
ка́тоькоз Ag． 1257
като入о入ú̧єєข тıцòs Ag． 1087
ка́тоттоs Ag． 298
катоvріऍєє $\operatorname{Pr} .986$
Kav́кабоs Pr．430． 738
кєкабнє́yоs Eu． 736
$\kappa є \lambda є \cup$ Өотоьòs Eu． 13
кєраі́a Eu． 527
кєротулєїбӨaı Ag． 638

кךрขкєข́єเข S． 217
кクри́бのєะ S．978．C．117．Eu． 536
Kıүסаүа́тая P． 979
Kı日aıрゅ̀ Ag． 289
K $\lambda_{\text {lıks }}$ Pr．359．P． 329
Kì兀бба C． 719
Kı $\mu \mu є ь \kappa$ о̀s í $\sigma$ $\theta \mu$ òs Pr． 748
кívóvyov àvaßa入єív Th． 1022
кıขס̂v́v＠$\beta$ ßаєєiv Th． 1042
$\mathrm{K} \iota \sigma \theta \neq \eta \eta$ Pr． 812
Kícoıo P．17．123．C． 415
кí $\omega \nu$ oùpaข $\frac{1}{\text { Pr．}} 357$
к $\lambda \eta$ óóves Pr．494．Ag．221．C． 496. 1032
$\kappa \lambda \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ S．616．Th． 570
$\kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \tau \eta ̀ \rho$ C． 498
Kvíoos P． 879
ки七бшто̀s C． 476
коı $\mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ ф \rho о v \rho a ̀ \nu ~ A g . ~ 2 ~$
коьขо $\omega_{\omega \mu i ́ a ~ S . ~}^{218}$
ко入оббоі Ag． 406
коркориу ${ }^{\text {Th }}$ Th． 336
Kópos and $\Theta \rho a ́ \sigma o s, ~ c h i l d r e n ~ o f ~ " Y \beta \rho ı s, ~$ Ag． 744
${ }^{\kappa} \delta \dot{\rho} \rho \nu \mu \beta$ P． 413.660
Kpauaòs Eu． 965
к $\rho \in к т$ т̀s ио́доs C． 807
креóßotos S． 283
K $\rho$ є́ $\omega \nu$ Th． 469
$\kappa \rho \eta \pi i$ P P .811

крокоßафѝs Ag． 1090
кро́коч $\beta$ афа $\grave{\text { A．Ag．}} 230$
Kpóvos Pr．209．Eu． 611
ктéves Ag． 1572
$\kappa \hat{\mu} \mu a=\kappa u ́ \eta \mu a$ C．121．Eu． 629
Kúnpıs Th．128．S．978．Eu． 206
ки́рєєу Eu．373． 892
киреіу ть C．694． 701
Kи̂́os，Р． 764
кบройข P． 29 523．Eu． 551
кขрбо́тєкขаs Th． 781
Kıхрєіа P． 572.
$\kappa \omega \bar{\omega} \mu \mathrm{s}$ Ag． 1160
$\kappa \omega ́ \nu \omega \psi$ Ag 865

Kø $\quad$ vveis $\pi$ ét $\rho a$ Eu． 22

## $\Lambda$.

入aкá§єıц S．850．Th． 173
$\lambda a \mu \pi a \delta \eta \phi$ о́ $о \iota$ Ag． 303
$\lambda a ́ \mu \pi \eta$ Eu．365． 994
$\lambda a \mu \pi \tau \eta \rho \circ$ ѝ ${ }^{\prime}$ a Ag． 863
入amaó̀òs Eu． 532
$\Lambda a \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \eta s$＇Th． 616
ヘaтоү́́veta T＇h 134
$\lambda a ́ т \rho o ̀$ S． 988
几aт̀ Eu． 313
$\Lambda \epsilon ́ \rho \nu \eta$ Pr． 695
$\Lambda \epsilon \sigma$ ооя P． 874
$\lambda$ tupòs S 502．Pr． 377
$\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \mu$ òs Eu． 180
$\lambda$ ג́́alos Th． 281
$\lambda \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$ òs Pr． 5
$\Lambda \eta{ }^{\circ} \delta a \mathrm{Ag} .887$
Мйдуıа кака̀ С． 623
$\Lambda \bar{\eta} \mu \nu о$ Р P．878．Ag． 275
$\lambda \bar{\eta} \xi \iota s$ Eu． 481

＾ィßибтєко̀s Eu． 282
Nídalos P． 310.948
$\lambda_{\iota \chi \grave{\eta} \nu}$ C．273．Eu． 754
入ı $\psi o u p i a ~ C . ~ 743 ~$
पoǵas Ag．1041．Eu．19． 226
入oхíтаı Ag． 1628
גóxоs Th．1u6．Eu． 46
Avo̊oi P． 41.766
＾vӨíplas P． 979

入икодісктоs S． 345
Avpvaios P． 326
入úтрои C． 42
$\lambda \omega \tau i \zeta_{\epsilon \sigma} \neq \alpha \iota$ S． 940
$\lambda \omega ф$ á $\omega$ Pr． 27

## M．

Maүขๆтıкク́ P． 494
mavyodis S． 101
Matatıкòs aủ̀ ${ }^{2}$ Pr． 750
Maıâtıs 入íuvך Pr． 426
$\mu а к \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\rho}$ Р． 694
Mákıбтos（mons）Ag． 280
МараАぁ $\nu$ Р． 477
Mápaфıs P． 774
Mápóos P． 770
Má $\delta \omega \nu$ P． 51
Maplavóvool P． 920
Máíттрŋs P．30． 949
$\mu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \tau \eta \rho$ S． 460
набтіктьр Eu． 154
$\mu a \sigma \chi^{a \lambda} i \zeta_{\epsilon \epsilon \nu}$ C． 431
$\mu a \sigma \chi a \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ Pr． 71
Matá̧єı Ag． 966
нátatos S． 194
Máta入入os P． 316
$\mu a \tau a ̂ \nu$ Pr．57．Th．37．Eu． 137
$\mu a ́ t \eta$ S．799．C． 904
～áरך $\sigma$ тadaía P． 242

Мєүаßáт $\eta$ s P． 964
$\mu \in \gamma \operatorname{loipelv}$ tiví tivos $\operatorname{Pr} .644$
Meүd $\rho$ és Th． 469
$\mu \in \lambda a \mu \pi a \gamma \grave{\eta}$＇Th．734．Ag． 383
Me入ávitттоs Th． 409
$\mu \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ Ag． 1327
Mé $\mu \phi \iota s$ S．306．P． 36
$\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \iota \nu$ ё $\chi \in \epsilon \operatorname{Pr} .453$
$\mu \epsilon ิ \nu-\tau \epsilon$ I＇h．916．C． 962

н́́́бактоs P． 877
Mequátios Ag． 284
$\mu \in \tau a \neq \omega ̄ \nu a \iota$ S．103．Ag． 214
$\mu \in \tau \alpha i \chi \mu \iota о$ Th．184．C． 580
$\mu \epsilon \tau а \pi т о \iota \in ́ \omega$ S． 324
${ }_{\mu \text { е́то七коя P．} 321 .}$ Ag． 57
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ interrogative，S．289．P．346．Pr． 980
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ with future and subjunctive，P．：－ 124
$\mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau a \ell, \gamma^{\prime} v \circ \iota \tau 0, \gamma \in \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega$, S． 351
$\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \in \lambda \eta \sigma a ́ \tau \omega$ \＆c．Pr． 340
M ${ }^{\text {josos }}$ P． 761

Mŋ入tè̀s кó入tos P． 488
$\mu \eta$ иıтòs S． 262
Maivect S． 637
ниáбтшр Eu． 169
Mivos C． 607
míचpros Ag． 1199
Mıтроуа日̀̀s P． 43
Moípaı Eu．165． 694.
$\mu о ш \sigma о д \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$ Pr． 469
$\mu v\} \in \epsilon \nu$ Eu． 180
Мйкоуоя Р． 875
$\mu v к т \eta \rho о ́ к о \mu \pi т о s$ Th． 459
нúpaıда С． 981
$\mu \nu \rho$ то́ $т$ архоя P．316． 975
Muvoil S．543．P． 52
$\mu$ v́ $\omega \psi$ S． 302

## N．

Nágos P． 875
ขарөпкоп入йрштоs Pr． 109
ขâ̂̀ ävaus P． 676
עaúшто入os，ä́бто入os，Th． 852
N $\epsilon$ inos S．555．Pr．831．866．P． 34. 313
$\nu \in i ́ p a$ Ag． 1455
$\nu \in ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \mu о \hat{\rho} \rho a \nu$ Pr． 300
עєокра̀s фídos C． 336
ขєо́ттодıs то́лıs Eu． 657

$\nu \eta \lambda \epsilon \omega ิ \varsigma$, à $\nu \eta \lambda \epsilon \omega ิ \varsigma$ ，Pr． 248
$\nu$ й $\sigma t \iota s$ тóvos Ag． 322
—— סín Ag． 1599
$\nu і к \eta$ какәे Th．713．Eu． 863
$\nu \iota \nu=$ à̇兀à Pr． 55
Nícos C． 608
родібдата ти́руıра P． 855
$\nu ⿺ к т \eta \gamma о р е і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ T h . ~ 29 ~$
диктібє $\mu \nu$ аs Eu． 108
ขขктьфро⿱́p甲ттоs Pr． 880
ขv $\mu ф$ о́клаขтоs Ag． 726
$\nu \tilde{\nu} \nu$ ถัтє S．624．＇Th． 702
$\nu \omega \mu a ̂ \nu$ Th．3． 25

## 䍝。

Ezáv $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ons } \\ & \text { P．} 976\end{aligned}$
Eávios Z єùs S．656．Ag．61． 353


گ́申оидкд̀s Eu． 562
fovAds Ag． 1111

o．
${ }^{2} \beta$ ріккала Ag． 141
＂Оука＇А $\theta$＇$\quad$ va Th．152． 482.496
ö öloy крátos Ag． 104
ö $\delta \iota \sigma \mu$ P． 71
＇Oóvorev̀s Ag． 314

Oißápクs P． 965
Oi̊íitovs Th．190．367．651． 706
Oikдé $\begin{aligned} & \text {＇Th．} 377.605\end{aligned}$
oỉ̀òs кpílıos S． 928
O＂̀vo廿 Th． 499
ol $\omega$ ，olo $0 \alpha$, Pr． 196
${ }^{\text {ö }} \lambda \iota$ yod $\rho a v i ́ a \operatorname{Pr} .557$
ỏ̉ ${ }^{\text {人 }} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mu \mu$ д̀s Ag． 28.578


${ }^{\circ}$ ̈оtaı $\lambda a \beta a i$ C． 489
${ }^{\circ} \mu$ ootov，perinde est，Ag． 1375
＇ $\mathrm{O} \mu \mathrm{\mu} \lambda \omega$ totes $\pi$ ú入al Th． 566
о́ $\mu$ о́то七хоs Ag． 974



ő $\mathfrak{\xi} v \pi \epsilon ข \kappa ฑ ̀ s ~ C . ~ 629 ~$

ỏтіб $\theta$ oтos C． 700
ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ with indicative，Pr． 766
öt $\pi \omega$ â $\downarrow v$ with optative，Ag． 355
оптюs $\pi о \delta \omega \nu$ S． 816
ơp $\rho \dot{\eta}$ ขобой $\sigma a \operatorname{Pr} .386$
о́кка́vך Th． 336
${ }^{\text {ópoots aipeiv tiva Eu．} 461}$

ор $\mu$ aivet Th．389．Ag． 1359
з $р \sigma ө \lambda о \pi \epsilon і \sigma \theta a \iota ~ P . ~ 10$
＇O $\rho$ фє乇̀s Ag． 1607
ö́ $\sigma$ тıs Pr． 38
ö $\sigma \phi$ ùs $\operatorname{Pr} .505$
${ }^{\text {ön }}$ õ $\boldsymbol{y}$ with optative，P． 452
${ }^{\circ}$ ö $\tau \epsilon$ ，with subjunctive，Ag． 740
－with optative，Eu． 696
öт ${ }^{\circ}$ os Th． 18
ov wrongly intruded，Th．468． 1041.
ou $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ Th． 38
oủס̀è－Tє S． 234
－＿for ouk，Eu． 635
——with oủk redundant，P．432．Ag． 1612
oủ vap $^{\text {C．}} 523$
oưขєка，єี゙ขєка，Pr． 353

oข่คаขธ́ขาкоs S． 154
оข̀рі́そєи P．604．C． 309
oủpıoбtátทs C． 806
ò $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ о̀s оıккоч，P．170．C． 920
ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu \omega \rho \dot{\chi} \chi$ оs Eu． 177
öф七s C．535．Eu． 172

ö $\chi$ गos $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ Pr． 846
ö $\psi$ íкотоз Ag． 862

## п．

$\pi$ and $\tau \iota$ confused，S． 756
Пад子aion öpos P． 496
таүкаívtбтоs Ag． 933
 Eu． 495
тaîòes tâs ả $\mu$ tá ${ }^{\prime}$ tov P． 580
таıסодข $\mu$ às C． 595
חaioves S． 253
таíбas，$\pi$ таíซas，$\pi \eta ́ \sigma a s ~ A g . ~ 1602$
$\pi \alpha \iota \grave{\nu}, \pi a t a ̀ \nu$ Ag．607． 1219
$\pi a \iota \omega \nu i \zeta \epsilon \iota$ Th． 257
таเต́ขlos Ag．495．821．P． 607
тa入aíatخs Ag． 1177
Пaлaí久 ${ }^{\omega \nu}$ S． 246
$\pi а \lambda i \mu \pi о \iota а$ C． 778
$\pi а \lambda \iota \nu \sigma т о \mu є i \nu$ Th． 247
та入ìrova $\beta$ е́ $\lambda \eta$ C． 155

Пaג入às $\pi p o v a i ́ a$ Eu． 21
тантпбía Th． 813
Пàv à̀ím $\lambda a \neq$ ктоs P .451
тауа́ркєтоs С． 61
тарסокєір Th． 18
$\pi a \nu \sigma \in ́ \lambda \eta \nu 0 s$ Th． 384
таито́фvртоs Eu． 524

тарацßáтŋs Eu． 523
таралıкầ C． 590
тарáopos $\operatorname{Pr} 371$
тарарри́бєєs S． 695

тараткпроиิข Eu． 604
тарабкотєі̂̀ Ag． 1223
тарабúpєıц Pr． 1086
тарßабía Th． 740
тарєєтєíข Pr． 132
$\pi a \rho \eta \beta a ̂ \nu$ Ag． 957
тарŋүорєі̀ Pr．664．Eu． 482
тар $\quad$ үорía Ag． 95
$\pi a ́ p \eta \xi \iota s$ Ag． 539
ПарӨєуотаîos Th． 542
Hápıs Ag．390．515．692． 1126
Парıך $\sigma \sigma$ òs Eu．11．C．554． 940
Mápos P． 875
тарочผ́цд $\mu$ Ag． 1422
$\pi a \sigma \sigma a \lambda \epsilon \tilde{v} \epsilon \downarrow$ Pr．56． 113
Пá申os P． 879
тахข้yєєข по́л七у S． 611
$\pi \epsilon$ д́á $\chi \mu$ ноs C． 580
$\pi \in \delta$ áo oos C． 581

$\pi \epsilon \ell$ Өapxía Th． 213
Пєє ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{\omega}$ S．1023．Eu． 845
$\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ̀$ C． 845
Пє $\lambda a ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ P． 938.
Пела⿱㇒木іа Pr． 879

$\Pi_{\epsilon \lambda a \sigma \gamma o ̀ s ~}^{\text {亿 }}$ S． 247
$\Pi_{\epsilon} \lambda a \sigma$ yòs（rex）S． 987
$\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau 0 \theta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu$ P． 311
$\pi \epsilon \lambda \omega$ рıos Pr． 157
$\pi є \mu \pi a ́ \zeta є \iota \nu$ Eu． 718
$\pi є \mu \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta s$ Р． 961
$\pi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \theta_{\epsilon \iota \alpha}$ Ag． 419
Hev $\theta \in \dot{v} s$ Eu． 26
тєутךкогта́лаєь S．315．Pr． 872
тєєтрацє́ขos C． 125
$\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \rho \omega \tau a \iota$ Pr． 520
$\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a$, ì S．258．Ag． 182
$\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a$ каирой Pr． 515
$\pi \in \rho \grave{i}$ and mapà confused，Ag． 1330.
C． 835
$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ф́́ß C．32．P． 692
$\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \psi u \chi \hat{\eta} s \lambda^{\prime} \notin \epsilon \epsilon \nu \mathrm{Eu} .114$
$\pi є \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ C． 567
and $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{Ag} .1116$
$\pi \in \rho \iota \gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta}$ C． 199
$\pi \epsilon \rho เ$ о́ $\gamma \omega$ м Ag． 209
$\pi \epsilon р і$ íт $\mu \pi$ тos Ag． 87
$\pi \epsilon p \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ Eu． 667
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \iota \chi i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ Ag． 1354
Пе́ppatßoc S． 252
Пєрбєѝs C． 816
Пєрбоуоиєї $\sigma$ Өaı Р． 587
$\pi \epsilon \dot{\forall} \theta o \mu a i ́ \tau \iota \nu a$ and $\tau \iota \nu o s$ C． 750
$\pi \epsilon v \theta \grave{\omega}, \pi \in \iota \theta \grave{\omega}$ Ag．87．Th． 365
$\pi \epsilon ч к \grave{\epsilon \epsilon ร ~ С . ~} 378$
Ппүабтајө̀ Р． 35

тtaivecv Th．583．Ag．267． 1647
Пívסos S． 253
тиขข่бкєะ P． 826
$\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \tau \nu$ є̇ $\pi \grave{\imath} \nu \dot{\omega} \tau \varphi$ S． 85
חıбтà，тà P．2． 530.677
жíтu入os $\chi \in \rho \omega ิ$ Th． 850
$\pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \pi \iota \gamma \xi \mathrm{C} .282$
Плaratal P． 813
$\pi \lambda a \tau \grave{s}, \pi \lambda \mu \sigma \tau \grave{s}$ Pr．915．Eu． 53
$\Pi \lambda є \epsilon \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta$ S Ag． 1580
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \pi \eta \rho i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ C． 1018
пौєєбтòs（ $\pi$ отацòs）Eu． 27
$\pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{v} \psi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ S．598．Ag． 1341
$\pi \lambda \eta \theta^{\boldsymbol{v} \omega}$ P． 423
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho о \hat{\nu}$ трофєіа Th． 472
IIдои́t $\omega$ vos $\pi$ ópos Pr． 825
$\pi \nu \in i ́ \nu \tau \iota$ Ag． 1206
тоס́évð̌vtos C． 985
$\pi$ то́ó $\downarrow \eta \sigma \tau \rho a \mathrm{Ag} .899$
HóOos S． 1023
токкіліцшы Pr． 24

тоі́фиүна Th． 269
По入vфо́v $\eta \boldsymbol{\tau}$ Th， 443
торфи́ра Ag． 932
торфиро́ттрштоя Ag． 883
Побєьठَ̀ Th．122．298．Eu． 27
$\pi \rho є \sigma \beta \epsilon ข ้ \epsilon \tau$ Ag．1271．Eu．1． 21
$\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \eta$ S． 707
$\pi \rho о \beta а т о \gamma \nu \omega \mu \omega \nu$ Ag． 768.
Проьтi尺ss $\pi$ úגaı Th．372． 390
$\pi \rho о \mu \eta \theta i a, \pi \rho \circ \mu \eta \theta \varepsilon \dot{\nu}$ S．680．Pr． 86
троуаі́a，троуáa Eu． 21
троує́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ Eu． 303
тро́ॄॄциоs S． 896
$\pi р о \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ P． 140
Протоитіs P． 970
$\pi \rho о \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ C． 820
$\pi \rho о ́ \pi \rho v \mu \nu а$＇Th． 766
тро́тируоs Ag． 1139
тро̀s кє́vtра $\lambda a k т i \zeta \xi i \nu \operatorname{Pr}$ 331．Ag． 1602
$\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \delta a \phi i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ Th． 491


тробıкє́бӨat тьขòs C． 1022
тробіктшо Еи．118． 419
тро́ттатos Ag． 338
трогтати́рıos Th．444．Ag． 949
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau є \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \sigma} \theta a \iota$ Th 410
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \rho i \beta_{\epsilon \sigma} \theta a \iota$ Pr． 337
$\pi \rho о ́ \sigma т л є \mu \mu \mathrm{Ag} .386$
тробтро́тагоs C．278．Eu．41． 168. 225． 423
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi i \lambda \epsilon l a$ Th． 510
тробфи́єьข，afirmare，S． 272
$\pi \rho о т є ́ \lambda є t a \mathrm{Ag} .65 .819 .699$
$\pi \rho \circ v \sigma \in \lambda \epsilon$ ё $\operatorname{Pr}$ Pr． 446
$\pi \rho о ф \epsilon ́ \rho \in \epsilon \nu$ Ag．195． 937
троұалкєข́єเข C． 635
$\pi \rho \varphi \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta \mathrm{s}$ Eu．16．735
$\pi \rho v \mu \nu о ́ \theta \epsilon \nu, \pi \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \nu о \theta \in \nu$, Th．71． 1051
$\pi \rho$ útavis S．365．Pr． 176
$\pi \rho \omega 亠$ P．134．872．Ag． 298
$\pi \rho \hat{\rho} \rho a \mathrm{~S} .696$
$\pi р \omega т$ доотоя P． 570
$\pi т \omega ิ \mu a$. cadrver，S． 646
$\pi \tau \grave{\omega} \mathrm{Eu} .315$

ПиӨо́краутоs Ag． 1226
ПиӨохрйбтךs С． 926
пиӨ́́хр
$\pi v \rho \gamma \eta \rho є i \sigma \theta a t$ Th．22． 171
тирбо̀s P． 318
múates Th． 54
$\pi \bar{\omega} ; \mathrm{Ag} .1485$
$\pi \omega \lambda є ข ̃ \mu є \nu o s ~ P r . ~ 663 ~$

## P．



ค́aибтगेр Pr． 56

faхıía Pr． 732
ค์axi\} ${ }^{\text {fil }}$ P． 428

ṕáxıs Eu． 181
${ }^{\text {© }}$ Péáas кó入tros Pr． 856

ṕ $\iota \downarrow \eta$ дateì Ag． 1156
ค์ルทク Pr．126．Ag． 866
f́ititelv hózous S．478．Pr． 319

＇Pódos P． 879
pótoos P．408． 464

ค́ont סikns C． 53
ค́офєì Eu． 254
คरv $\begin{aligned} \mu \text { òs C．} 783\end{aligned}$

¢ீ́vıov．S．406．Ag． 518

## $\Sigma$.

бáy P．242．C．551．Th． 386
баірєцу Th．378．701．Ag． 704
ба́ктшр Р． 908

इ $a \lambda \mu \nu \delta{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \sigma \sigma$ òs $\operatorname{Pr} .745$
इápos P． 874
乏áposts P． 45323


бафәиєiц C 189
б́́ßelv，probare，Ag．753． 1590
$\sigma \in \sigma a \gamma \mu \in \operatorname{L}$
इєvá $\kappa$ кךs P． 947

$\Sigma_{i \lambda \eta \nu \iota a i ~ P . ~} 305$
$\Sigma_{\text {¿ } \mu \text { о́єєs }}$ Ag． 679
$\sigma \kappa a \lambda \mu o ̀ s$ P． 378
ミка́ $\mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \delta \rho о$ е Eu．376．Ag 494

бкıà Ag． 1299
ミкú旼 Pri 2．728．Eu． 673
$\Sigma_{\kappa} \dot{\jmath} \lambda \lambda a$ Ag．1204．C． 604
бкú入入є $\sigma \theta a<$ P． 579
इó入ヵィ P． 880
ミoûซa P．122．537． 726
Sov́ras P． 938
ミovoıテкávクs P．34． 939
$\Sigma_{\pi \epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \text { òs } \mathrm{P} .} 489$
$\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi{ }^{\nu o s}$ Th． 274
$\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu a$ Ag． 966
$\sigma \pi o ́ \gamma \gamma o s$ Ag． 1300
ттovóai S．y59．Eu． 996
$\sigma \pi о \rho \eta \tau o ̀ s ~ A g . ~ 1363$
otadaîos Th．509．P． 242
$\sigma \tau \varepsilon ่ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ S．127．Th．205． 223
бтр́́ß入aı vavтıкаі S． 434
бтро́ßos Ag． 640
orpoфal S． 617
ミтро́фıos Ag．854．C． 666
atpódos S． 451
$\Sigma \tau \rho ข \mu \grave{\nu}$ S． 251 1．P． 499.865
бтршиатофөорєiv Ag 921
бvүкатаßаiveıv C．714．Eu． 990
इvéveєals P． 328
テv入入ข์єє兀 C． 286
$\sigma v \mu \beta$ одєiv Th． 344
бí $\mu$ ßo入os S． 495.496
бن́pфutos Ag．107． 148
бט́vס兀коє Eu． 549
бuvє $\rho \rho \mathfrak{i} a \iota$ Pr． 500
$\sigma v \nu є \mu \beta \diamond \lambda$ Р．398．Ag． 956
бúvo 0 O $\rho$ v Ag． 245
Zúplò áp $\mu$ a P． 86
$\sigma \not \subset a y \eta$（meanings of）Ag． 1577
$\sigma \phi \in \nu \delta o ́ v \eta$ Ag． 980
$\boldsymbol{\sigma \phi i} \gamma \gamma \omega \operatorname{Pr} .58$

テфиáá $\operatorname{Pr} .388$
бшкєї Eu． 36
$\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a, \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon \overline{i \nu} \operatorname{Pr} .471$
$\Sigma_{\omega \sigma \theta a ́ y \eta s}$ P． 33

## T．


таүєè P． 759
таүєข́єเข＇l＇h． 58
тá $\eta \eta, \tau a \gamma \grave{\eta} \mathrm{Ag} .110$
таүoũos Eu． 286
$\tau$ táde for $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{\omega} \\ \delta \mathrm{\delta} \\ \text { S．S．396．Eu．}\end{gathered} 488$

тарá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Pr．646．C． 323
тарıхєข́ต C． 288

тavpôิ $\sigma$ Өaı C． 267
$\tau \epsilon$ ，with verb after a participle，Ag．
99．C． 548
$\tau \epsilon \quad$ llowed by $\mu \eta \grave{\infty}$ ，S．475．C． 1033
$\tau \epsilon$－каi，ut－sic，S． 734
$\tau \epsilon$ following $\mu \in \grave{\varepsilon}$ ，＇l＇h．915．C． 963

$\tau \in \theta \nu \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o s$ S． 182
т $\epsilon$＇$\lambda \in \operatorname{los}$ S．520．Ag． 945
－＿Zeús．See Zev̀s

Tє $\epsilon a ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ P． 308
Teútpas S． 543
Ty $\theta$ is Pr．140．Th． 300
T $\eta$ vos P． 875
Tทpeía ä̉ $\lambda$ oхos S． 58
т $\eta$ рòs S． 244
$\tau i \mu \eta \eta_{\nu}$ ；Ag．194．S．976．Eu． 194
Tí oủv；S． 301
$\tau ц а \lambda \phi \in i ̂ \nu$ Eu．15．596． 774
тípos C． 902
Tità̀ $\operatorname{Pr} .435$
Titavis Pr．893．Enu． 6
т $\lambda \eta \sigma \nless$ á $\delta$ д̀os Pr．165．Ag． 419
Tرйлos P． 49
tóס́ and rov̂to confused，Pr．542．P． 734
$\tau \dot{\delta} \theta_{\epsilon} \nu$ for $\begin{gathered} \\ \theta\end{gathered} \epsilon \nu$ P．101．Ag． 213
toios－ôs Pr． 929
tois and tồoठe confused，Pr． 152. 242
токєѝs Eu． 629
Tó刀 $\mu$ os P． 980
тон ${ }^{\text {C }} 222$

трахúvєเข Th． 1039

тpíava S． 214
тракктѝр Ag． 165
$\tau \rho i \beta \in \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma$ Eu． 186
$\tau \rho \imath \beta$ خ̀ Ag． 450 ．C． 736
т $\quad$ ißos Ag．190． 382
т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ є́р $\omega \nu$ С． 306
трєкуціа Pr． 1036
трі́ногоя Ag． 845
т $\rho \iota \pi$ áxulos Ag． 1452
тpis ék ßu入riv Ag． 33
Tрiт $\omega$ Eu． 283
$\tau \rho \chi_{\chi} \eta \lambda$ os＇Th． 757
тоотаіа＇l h．703．Ag． 212
т $\quad$ óxts $\operatorname{Pr} .9$ ． 2
Tu 0 ov̀s Th．372－5． 402.567

Tuфゅ̀ Tvфф̀s S．554．Pr．362．Th． 488
тvұєìv，accidere，Pr． 362

TúX $\eta$ ，goddess of the sea，Ag． 647 тűそข ย ยєєi้ S．374．Ag． 1631

## $\boldsymbol{r}$

${ }^{\mathbf{v}} \beta$ pıs Ag． 738
ißpıбтウ̀s лотано̀s Pr． 736
ข́ytia，íyíta，Ag． 972
vioap ${ }^{\text {s．Ag．}} 771$

${ }^{*} \mu \mu \varepsilon$ Eu． 590

${ }^{\boldsymbol{v}} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon} \nu$ ，with genitive，Pr． 944
＇Y $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ќрßıos＇I＇h． 499
${ }^{`} \Upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \beta$ о́рєоь C． 365


 386
$i \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \chi \dot{\omega}, \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \chi \omega \nu$ ，confused，Pr． 221

ขлоүраф̀̀ C． 201

ข́nóסóo兀s Eu． 481
ขлтоклаієєン Ag． 69
ขinóntєpos C． 592
ขторхєїб аия С． 1014
ข่тоштєขá̧єцд．Pr． 439
$\dot{v \pi r i a \sigma \mu a} \mathrm{Ag} .1256$
${ }^{\prime}$ Yбтаíx $\mu \mathrm{as}$ P． 951
v $\psi \iota \gamma$ є́ $\nu \nu \eta$ тоs Eu． 43
$\Phi$.
$\phi a \gamma \in i \nu{ }^{\circ} \rho p u \theta_{o s}$ S． 220
фаіребөal（argui）Ag． 576
Фаралঠ̈áкทs P． 31
Фарขои̃хоs P．315． 945
фє $\lambda \lambda$ оì C． 497
$\phi \in \rho \in ́ \gamma \gamma v o s$ Th．391．Eu． 87

Фép ${ }^{\text {q．}}$ Eu． 693
фeú̧ely Ag． 1279
$\phi \in \psi a \lambda o v ̃ \nu$ Pr． 370
$\phi \eta \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s$ C． 988
$\phi \eta \lambda о u ̄ \nu$ Ag． 475
фөарŋ̄vat ${ }^{\text {P．}} 453$
ф $\mu$ oi Th． 458
$\Phi \quad \Phi \nu \epsilon \dot{s} \mathrm{Eu} .50$
фьтижоín $\quad$ Eu． 871
$\Phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \rho a_{i}{ }^{\pi} \lambda \grave{\alpha} \xi$ Eu． 285
$\phi \lambda$ є́ठ $\omega \nu$ Ag． 1166
$\phi \lambda \cup ́ \in \iota \nu$＇Ih．658．Pr． 512.

Фоí ${ }^{\prime} \eta$ Eu． 7
фоьькбßалттоs Eu． 982
фоїтоs＇l＇h．658．C． 29
фóvos and $\phi$ ́́ßos confused，S． 492.
Pr．363．Th．233． 493
Форкí̀єs $\operatorname{Pr} .813$
фрá́ттареs S． 486
фратє́ $\rho \in s$ Eu． 626

фроццıá乡єбӨal Ag． 1325
фроíноу Eu．137．Th． 7
ффoúpion Pr．820．Eu．879． 909
фvрầ Th． 48
фúgtıs P． 910
фитá̀ $\mu \mathrm{Los}$ Ag． 318

## X．

$\chi^{\text {atòs S．}} 837$
$\chi^{\text {àко̀s какòs Ag．} 381}$
$\chi^{\text {алкои }}$ ßафаі Ag． 595
Xáخvßes Pr． 734
Xáג $\downarrow$ ßos Th． 725
$\chi$ даай $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$ S．85．Th． 791
$\chi$ रанатєє $\grave{\eta}$ C． 951. Ag． 893
$\chi^{\text {аракт }} \boldsymbol{\text { р．S．}} \mathbf{S} 278$
$\chi$ ха́́ббєєу P． 679
$\chi^{\text {ápts ä }} \chi$ apts Pr．555．Ag．1522．C． 38
$\chi$ хаєтоүл $\omega \sigma \sigma$ єi้ $\operatorname{Pr} .302$
$\chi$ đáp $\quad$ aтa Eu． 939


$\chi \in!\rho \omega \nu a \xi{ }^{\prime} i a \operatorname{Pr} .45$
$\chi$ ¢ $\lambda \iota \delta \varnothing \omega \nu \mathrm{Ag} .1017$
$\chi \in \rho \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta$ Р Pr． 912
$\chi є \rho \nu \psi$ Ag．1004．Eu． 626
$\chi \eta \lambda \dot{\eta}$ Th． 757
$\chi^{\text {®ovòs }} \chi^{\text {入aíva Ag．}} 845$
Xios P． 874
$\chi$ रoûvts Eu． 179
$\chi^{\nu o a i ~} \pi 0 \delta \bar{\omega} \nu$ Th． 366
Xoai äotvo Eu .107
Хоирокто́vos Eu． 273
$\chi^{0 \lambda \eta} \bar{s} \lambda_{0} \beta{ }_{0} s$ Pr． 503

$\chi \rho$ і́єь Pr．578． 615
$\chi$ хіра Ag． 94
Хро́vф кдuтòs C． 639
Xри́ба P． 316
$\chi \rho$ ббаноґß̀̀s Ag． 426
Xpvaŋitoes Ag． 1414
$\chi \omega$ рir $\eta$ E Eu． 988
$\psi$ aíp Pr． 402
廿á入ıa Pr． 54
$\psi a ́ \mu \mu \eta$ Pr． 586
世а́ $\mu \mu \iota s$ P． 938
$\psi$ aфapò Th． 311
$\psi$ Є́ठ́ขроs S． 1025
$\psi$ 玟òs Pr． 835
$\psi \eta \overline{\gamma \mu a}$ Ag． 430
$\psi \eta$ خоs $=$ ó $\psi \eta \phi \iota \zeta o ́ \mu e \nu o s$ Th． 185. Eu． 721
$\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \omega,{ }_{c}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu$, Ag． 553
廿úOos Ag．462． 970

廿û̃ọ Pr． 711
$\qquad$

[^12]311
$\qquad$
$\Psi$.
$\Omega$.

${ }^{\omega}$ あ $\zeta \in \iota$ Eu． 121
ఉкєадós Pr． 142
ఉ $\mu 0 \delta a к \eta \eta^{s}$ Th． 689
－$\omega$ and－$\epsilon \tau \nu$ confused，S．58． 174. Th． 560
${ }_{\omega} \rho a=$ ท̀ $\lambda \iota к i ́ a$ Th． 13


— for $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \boldsymbol{c} \\ \text { A Ag．326．P．} 596\end{gathered}$
－à $\nu$ with optative suppressed，S． 698
— in which case，Pr． 161
— for ${ }^{\eta}$ © ${ }^{\circ}$ ，Pr． 647
－with accusative absolute，S． 743
－and $\omega \nu$ confused，Ag． 1366
ఱ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ov̉ท Ag．1142．1400．C． 88
$\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i$ Ag． 1190

## II.

## INDEX TO THE NOTES.

## A.

 1504

- in à $\nu 0 t a \bar{a}$, Th. 397
$\breve{a}$, from adjectives in - $\epsilon \iota o s$, Th. 692
Accusative, in apposition to sentence, Pr. 575
——absolute, P. 825. Ag. 1598 with verbal adjectives, C. 21.147
tence, C. 736.826. Eu. 388 C. 784

Aegis, Eu. 381-3
Aegisthus, character of, Ag. 1555
-_- banished by Atreus, Ag. 1584 294
Aesculapius, Ag. 990
Aetna, eruption of, Pr. 375
Althaea, story of, C. 597
Amazons, S. 277. Pr. 743-7
—_m why so called, S. 283
Ameinias, P. 401
Ammon, fountain of, Pr. 827
Anapaests, not always antistrophic, Ag. 1499
interpolation of, Ag. 70. 1311. P. 547. C. 1058

Antistrophe, close correspondence with strophe, S. 429. P. 552. C. 77. Eu. 158

Aorist, future sense of, Th. 424
———imperative, Eu. 767
Aposiopesis, Ag. 481. 539. 630. C. 186. Eu. 390

Araxes, River, Pr. 736

Areopagus, Eu. 655
——— institution of, Eu. 536
-_ origin of name, Eu. 655
Argives, short speeches of, S. 269
Argos, treaty with, Eu 735
-_ ancient limits of, S. 256
Argus, Pr. 578
Arimaspians, Pr. 812. 824
Aristides, Th. 588
Artemis, S. 137. Th. 141. 445
Article, expressing contempt, Pr. 963. P. 749. Th. 548

- unusual transposition, S . 1039. Ag. 521. C. 498
——Homeric use of, Eu. 7. Pr. 242
——omitted before one of two opposite terms, C. 116
- omitted with participle, P.

247. C. 352. Eu. 919
superfluous, Pr. 566. Th. 304
———intrusion of, C. 326
Assembly, allusions to Athenian, S. 598. Ag. 443. Eu. 537

Athens, burning of by Xerxes, P. 346. 806

- part of in battle of Salamis, P. 401

Atè, Th. 597. Ag. 376
Atlas, Pr. 356. 436
Attraction, Th. 395
Augment omitted, Pr. 188. P. 311. 499
absorbed, P. 492

## B.

Bad, distinct from the Good, P. 229. Ag. 620. C. 138

Barley wine, S. 930
Beacon, Ag. 22. 272.863
Birds, dirt of, ill-omened, S. 637
——omens from, Pr. 498. Ag. 267
Blood-guiltiness, Eiu. 41. 322
Blood-relations, definition of, Eu. 203
Bloodshed, expiated by death, Eu. 573
ineffaceable, C. 59
irremediable, S. 440. Ag. 978. 989. Eu. 617

Boats, bridge of, P. 71
Bows, met. for conjecture, C. 1022
—— Scythian, C. 157
——symbol of destruction, C. 680
Bybline mountains, Pr. 830
C.

Caesura, violated, P. 467. 499
Camels, Indian, S. 281
Canopus, Pr. 865
Chorus, number of in Agamemnon, Ag. 1315

## Eu. 555

- secondary, S. 916

Cissa, P. 17
Cocks, fighting, P. 752. Eu. 828
$\cdots$ symbol of the sun, S. 208
Coining, metaphors from, S. 279, Ag. 754
Crasis, Pr. 854. Th. 965
Curses, brood of, Ag. 1542
——_-_ of Oedipus, Th. 783
of the dying, C. 898

## D.

Dactyl, rare in beginning of senarius, C. 208. Th. 650

Daemons (heroes), P. 222
Darius, family of, P. 713

- ghost of, P. 649.

Delos, circular lake of, Eu. 9
Demon, leaping down of, Ag. 1145. P. 518

Dice; throw of, Ag. 32. C. 683. 956
Dorians, for Greeks of Europe, P. 183
Doricisms in senarii, Pr. 694
Draco, laws of, S. 687

## E.

Eagles, as omens on the way, Ag. 114 -_ orphans compared with, $\mathbf{C}$, 239. 247

Earth, nurse of youth, Th. 16
Eccyclema, Ag. 1343. C. 960
Egyptians, boats, S. 126. 696
——— colour of, S. 145
—— dress, S. 112.700
——— papyrus, S. 740
Elements, gods of, Ag. 88
Eur governed by Eumenides, Eu. 864
—— invocation of, S. 23. Ag. 491
rage of, compared with women, C. 580
Envý, divine ( $\phi$ Oóvos), Ag. 877. 920

- human, Ag, 807

Epaphus, why so called, S. 309
Epicurus, atheism of, Ag. 360
Epithets, general in their meaning, C. 177

Epodes, Pr. 920
Ethiopia, ancient notions of, S. 281
Eumenides, origin of name, Eu. 945. 983
Expiations, carrying away refuse from, C. 90
__ repeated for murder, Eu. 266. 272

## F.

Fate, superior to the gods, Ag. 993. Pr. 526
Fennel, used for tinder, Pr. 109
Flowers, in offerings, C. 85

- metaphors from, Ag. 1640

Funerals, feast at, C. 476
Furies, abode of, Eu. 773. 817. 975
——cultus of, Eu. 769
——dress, Eu. 333
$\longrightarrow$ expiatory offerings to, C. 270 .
Eu. 798
——number of, Eu. 135. 555
———office of, Eu. 300. 468
——representation of, C. 1039
—— victims of, human, Eu. 289
Fury, same as family curse, Th. 991. C. 638

Future tense combined with sub. junctive, P. 120. C. 80

## G.

Genitive absolute, Ag. 938. 1249. 1395. Pr. 880. Th. 236. Eu. 742 with verbs of aiming, Th. 146. C. 1022

Glosses, interpolated in text, Pr. 254
Gorgons, ancient type of, Eu. 49. 106

## H.

Hair, Greek care of, Ag. 543
——offered in mourning, and to rivers, C. 6
Harpies, paintings of, Eu. 50
Helen, her arrival at Troy, Ag. 716
-_ play on her name, Ag. 671
Hermes, delegate of Zeus, C. 1
———_god of craft, C. 801
——Greek representation of, S. 216
———patron of heralds, S. 897. Ag. 498
Heroes, invocation of, Ag. 499
Hit malignant powers, S. 25
Hiatus in anapaests, Ag. 79. 1552. P. 39

Honour, connected with good birth, Th. 404
——heroic notion of, Ag. 513
Horses, Greek use of, Pr. 473
—_metaphor from driving, 'C. 1010
Hospitality, sacredness of, Ag. 1291. Eu. 92
Hunting, metaphors from, Ag. 1155. 1353. P. 100

Hyperboreans, Pr. 812. C. 365

## I.

I, elision of in dative, S. 6. P. 898

- verbs, P. 467
$\iota a ̆, ~ \iota \bar{a}$, tos, a monosyllable, S. 66. Pr. 698. P. 565. 972. Eu. 764

Imperfect, force of, Pr. 689. P. 378. 484

$$
\text { \&c.), Ag. } 1223
$$

Impiety, poets' dislike of, Eu. 870
Imprecations, dread of, Ag. 227. C. 898
Io, form of, S. 567. Pr. 578

- journey of, S. 547-9. Pr. 812

Io, symbolism of, $\operatorname{Pr} .578$
Ionicisms, in senarii, Eu. 79. Pr. 663

## K.

$\kappa$ and $\beta$ confused, S. 541
"King of Kings;" P. 24.671. C. 352
Kings, priestly functions of, S. 364

## L.

Laurium, silver mines of, P. 240. Eu. 907
Laws, fixed up in temples, S. 921
Lerna, S. 31. Pr. 694
Letters, poetical reduplication of, C. 1038
Libations over a corpse, Ag. 1368
——— to Furies, Eu. 107 to Zeus Soter, C. 569. Ag. 237.1358
with paeans, P. 622
Lions, domesticated, Ag. 698
—— symbol of Atridae, Ag. 800
Liver, inspection of, Pr. 503
Lodging-houses, S. 934. C. 286

## M.

Marriage, equality in, Pr. 906
M._gods of, Eu. 205

Medicine, ancient practice of; Pr. 487. Ag. 989
Memory, invention of, Pr. 469
Metaphor, sudden transitions in, S . 85-92. Ag. 760. 1149. C. 247
Middle verbs, Pr. 43. P. 140. Th. 597
Mother, not really a parent, Eu. 628
Mourners, Arian, C. 415
———Mariandynian, P. 920

## N.

Niger River, Pr. 828
Nightingale, S. 60. Ag. 1114
Nile, cataracts of, Pr. 830

- epithet ‘Egyptian,' P. 311
- life-giving, S. 836

Nominative absolute, S. 440. Th. 678. Ag. 277. C. 512. 1048. Eu. 96

## O.

Oaths, taking and tendering, Eu. 406
Ocean, as dramatis persona, Pr. 317
—_ fabled abode of, Pr. 292
———supposed current, Pr. 142
Optative followed by optative, Eu. 288
——— for imperative, Ag. 917
————in oratio obliqua, Ag. 581
with ö ${ }^{\circ} \pi \omega s$ âv, Ag. 355
—— with öтay, P. 452
603
———with relative, Eu. 695

- without àv, Ag. 535. 1014

Oracle, primitive object of, Eu. 1
——_times of consulting, Eu. 31

## P.

Paean, good words at, Ag. 1219
——o of the dead, C. 144
-_ of the Furies, Th. 863. Ag. 628
Painting, metaphors from, Ag. 774. 1299
Pallas, statues of, Eu. 53. 284. 956
———vote of, Eu. 704
Pan, P. 449
Pandora, Pr. 258. Ag. 790
Parnassus, light on, C. 1026
Parode, Ag. 40
Parricides, treatment of, C. 283. Eu. 622
Pelasgi, Pr. 857
Pelasgic words, Pr. 446. 848
Peplus, Th. 99. Eu. 53
Persia, ancient limits of, P. 85
Phrynichus, P. 1. C. 597
Physic. See Medicine
Piraetis, P. 449
Plants, metaphors from, C. 247. Eu. 628
Pleisthenes, Ag. 1579
Poseidon, worshipped at Delphi, Eu. 27
Present for Future tense, Ag. 125. Eu. 742
Prometheus, inventions of, Pr. 455 seqq.
play on name, Pr. 86
son of Themis, Pr. 18
where scene is laid, Pr.

Proverbs, Eu. 663. Ag. 36. 312. C. 385. Pr. 906

Prows, double, S. 858

- Egyptian, with painted eye, S. 696
__refuge in a storm, Th. 196
Purple (Sea), P. 318. Ag. 933
Pythagoras, doctrines of, S. 81, 687. Ag. 415. C. 267. 311. Eu. 104


## R.

$\rho$, frequent intrusion of, S. 611. Pr. 2

- reduplication of, Pr. 442. P. 318

Retaliation, law of, Ag. 1537. C. 305
Rowers, order of, "in triremes, Ag. 1596
Russia, unknown to Greeks, Pr. 727

## S.

Sacrifice, family, Ag. 1003

- shouting at, Th. 257. Ag. 577-80
Sacrilege, penalty of, P. 805. Ag. 332
Sarmatia, Pr. 427
"Schema Pindaricum," P. 49
Scylla, daughter of Nisus, C. 605
——origin of legend, Ag. 1204
Scythia, Pr. 727. Eu. 673
Sigeum, contest for, Eu. 375
Stars, navigation by, S. 387
Statues, facing eastward, Ag. 502 of Pallas, Eu. 79. 284. 952 want of eyes, Ag. 406
Stesichorus, C. 520
Subjunctive, combined with future indic., C. 80
C. 169
——— for future, Th. 286
- used as imperative, S. 351. Ag. 332
without ä $\nu$, Ag. 740.
Th. 328. Eu. 202
Sun, identified with Apollo, C. 974
Suppliants, anger of neglected, S .

380. Eu. 225
——— filleted boughs of, S. 641.
Eu. 44
Susa, P. 4
Swans, death-note of, Ag. 1419
Syria, Assyria, P. 85

## T.

Tartessus (Guadalquiver), Pr. 825
Themis, Pr. 217. 1112. Eu. 2
Themistocles, Pr. 1089. P. 346. Th. 601
Thetis, marriage of, Pr. 786
Thyestean banquet, Ag. 1573
Thymele, P. 649. Eu. 536. Ag. 496
Titans, the, Pr. 213
Trochaic, caesura of, P. 167
Typho, antagonist of Zeus, Th. 510
——E Egyptian demon, S. 555 hundred-headed, Pr. 359.363
Tyrrhenian trumpet, Eu. 537

## U.

Umbrella, Persian use of, P. 981

## V.

Verses (iambic), antithetical in number, Ag. 1603. C. 224. Eu. 769

- spurious, Th. 647.682. Ag. 7. S. 303. P. 313. 322. 331, 467. 774. 841. Eu. 811

Votes (judicial), number of, Eu. 712
———urns for, Ag. 786—90. Eu. 712

## W.

Waves, metaphor from, Ag. 1145. Pr. 905
Wealth, newly acquired, Ag. 1009

- personified, Ag. 1303

Wind, metaphors from, Ag. 1206. C. 797
-- names of, C. 1056
Wine, from green grapes, Ag. 943
———mixing of, Ag. 766
-_ not offered to Furies, Eu, 106
Words, danger of proud, Pr. 541. Ag. 877
Wrestling, metaphors from, S. 85. P. 914. Ag. 857. C. 489. Eu. 559. 746

## X.

Xerxes, flight of, P. 499
Y.

Yoke, Pr. 471. Ag. 1618

> Z.

Zeus, the Conqueror, Ag. 167

## THE END.




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See a long catalogue of editors, commentators, and critical writers on Aeschylus in p. 311-2 of Franz's Orestea.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Dissertations on the Eumenides, p. 201 (ed. 2).

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ I should have been glad to go into this question at length; but space does not permit it: Suffice it to say here, that much remains to be done for the editing of these important scholia even after Dindorf's useful reprint, Oxford, 185I. I have studied the whole of them with great care for the present work.

[^3]:    - But $O$ thon that first of the Greeks didst build up fine words And dress up tragic trumpery.'

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ MS. Ol. 40, corrected by Casaubon. ${ }^{2}$ тो $\dot{\alpha} \delta \rho \partial \nu \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu a$.
    d

[^5]:    ${ }^{3}$ This seems directed against Euripides.
    
     revealed the sacred Mysteries.

[^6]:    7 So the MS., but he really died in the sixty*eighth or sixty-ninth year of his age.
    8 These numbers are erroneous, and the text referring to the Satyric plays is obviously corrupt. The titles of seventy-eight plays are known. Suidas attributes to him not less than ninety.
    
    ${ }^{1}$ The author means the actor of the first part ( $\left.\pi \rho \omega \tau a \gamma \omega \nu / \sigma \tau \eta \mathrm{y}\right)$, \&c.

[^7]:    2 The above extracts are from Alexandrine Grammarians, probably of an age anterior to the Christian era. Though their statements may not be wholly authentic, we must not forget that they had abundant sources of information which are wanting to us. The criticism however is admirable, and shews how thoroughly the ancients understood the spirit and principles of Greek Tragedy. The translation has been made from Dindorf's edition of the Scholia (Oxford, 1851), and according to the readings of the Medicean MS., other MSS. exhibiting various interpolations and corruptions.

[^8]:    675. עuктiфкит', Hermann has yveтi$\phi \theta i \tau^{2}$, the reading of all but the Med. and Rob.

    67f. ${ }^{2} \pi$ ! $\Delta$ wiócuns. Properly, 'towards Dodona.' The construction with a genitive is well known ; cf. Her. vii. 31, $\delta \delta o \hat{\ell} \dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ Kapins $\phi \in p o u ́ \sigma \eta s . ~ E u r . ~ E l e c t r . ~ 1343, ~$
     perfect $\sigma_{a} \lambda \lambda \in \nu$ explains the idiom in this place: he sent, as it were, a continued stream or line of messengers along the road in that direction.
    684. वैфєтov. Consecrated animals, which were allowed to wander at liberty and exempt from all work, were called
     Phoen. 946. Iph. Taur. 469, $\dot{\omega} s$ ó $\partial \tau \epsilon \epsilon$

[^9]:    入́́ตuта

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ This opinion has been ably combated by others, and is well discussed by Mr. Drake in Purt ii. of the Iutroduction to his edition (Camb. 1855).

[^11]:     maîs,
     $\pi d \dot{d} a$.
    

[^12]:    

